-----x

NEW YORK CITY TAXI & LIMOUSINE COMMISSION PUBLIC HEARING

-----x

Held on Thursday, November 18, 2010

40 Rector Street

New York, New York.

Time: 10:00 a.m.

FIVE STAR REPORTING, INC.

90 JOHN STREET, SUITE 411

NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10038

631.224.5054

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	
4	
5	COMMISSIONERS:
6	DAVID YASSKY, Chairman
	HARRY GIANNOULIS, Commissioner
7	LAUVIENSKA POLANCO, Commissioner
	ED GONZALES, Commissioner
8	MARK GJONAJ, Commissioner
	CHARLES FRASER, General Counsel
9	
10	
	SPEAKERS:
11	
	GEORGIA STEELE, Licensing Division
12	VINCENT SAPONE, LOMTO
	ANDREW VOLLO, Director, Taxi FHV
13	Driver Institute
	CLIFF ADLER, LOMTO
14	ETHAN GERBER, Greater New York Taxi Association
	JOHN MOORE, on behalf of
15	Council Member Jessica Lappin
	PETER MAZER, Metropolitan Taxicab
16	Board of Trade
	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RAY SCANLON
17	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PANSY MULLINGS
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	MR. YASSKY: Good morning. We have
3	another Commissioner on her way, and I'm told
4	that she will be here shortly. So, I know
5	everyone has business schedules, and we would
6	like to try and get started right at ten
7	o'clock or as close thereto as we can. So, I
8	figure we mine as well get started and we
9	will achieve quorum in progress.
10	We have some distinguished folks with us
11	today. We have all the distinguished members
12	of the industry and interested public, and
13	one special guest that we'll get to in a
14	moment. But first let me introduce a new
15	face for some folks here. I would like to
16	introduce our newest Commissioner on the Taxi
17	and Limousine Commission Mark Gjonaj, whose
18	appointment was unanimously approved by the
19	City Council on October 27th unanimously,
20	everybody in favor.
21	(Applause)
22	MR. YASSKY: Mark is president of MP
23	Realty Group, a rapidly growing real estate
24	firm that specializes in property

development, mergers and acquisitions,

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	property management, and the underwriting of
3	debt, and sales with a portfolio of over
4	1,000 units of commercial and residential
5	real estate. He is also founding member of
6	the Elyria (ph) Partnership and is involved
7	in numerous other health care organizations
8	and community organizations in New York
9	City. He is serving the remainder of the
10	term that ends in January 2015, representing
11	his home Borough of the Bronx.
12	I have had the pleasure of spending some
13	time with Mark in bringing him fully up to
14	speed in what we're doing at the Commission
15	and what the staff is up to. I can say with
16	confidence that you will see that he is a
17	superb addition to our team at the
18	Commission. I'm very, very pleased with his
19	presence here on the Commission.
20	So, we have, as you know, in our vacancy
21	that is I believe on its way to being filled,
22	Frank Garon (ph,) who has been very gracious
23	in bearing with the government as its wheels
24	of justice grind slowly toward our
25	confirmation of his appointment, but I

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	believe that we're on our way to having a
3	full-strength Commission before too long.
4	A couple other items, first, Taxi of
5	Tomorrow. As I'm sure you know, Mayor
6	Bloomberg announced earlier this week that
7	the Taxi of Tomorrow process is moving
8	forward apace. As the Mayor announced, the
9	process yielded seven proposals, three of
10	which were scored sufficiently highly by the
11	scoring committee to make them the leading
12	contenders. Those were I wouldn't go
13	through this in detail, but there is a
14	proposal from Ford Motor Company for the
15	Transit Connect, a proposal from Karsan for a
16	vehicle called the V1, and a proposal from
17	Nissan for their MV200 vehicle. We are
18	asking each of those three to submit their
19	best and final offer, which we expect we will
20	get over the next month or six weeks, and we
21	I believe are still on track toward a
22	selection early next year.
23	So, in the meantime, in conjunction with
24	the Mayor's Office of Media and
25	Entertainment, we have launched a webpage to

Τ	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	solicit public feedback. Obviously the
3	people in this room have a powerful interest
4	of what the new taxi design will be, so, of
5	course, does the broader riding public and
6	the broader New York City public. So, we're
7	soliciting that feedback on a webpage that
8	contains a survey, not a vote on the
9	vehicles, but a survey on which attributes
10	people care about the most. You know, you
11	will all make your voices heard directly to
12	the Commission, but I encourage you to fill
13	out the survey as well. If you do, you will
14	have a chance at free taxi rides for a year.
15	You know, some of you have taxis at your
16	disposal, but still, I'm sure you would
17	appreciate that nonetheless, a chance to
18	check out the competition.
19	The Media and Entertainment Office also
20	designed a public service announcement about
21	Taxi of Tomorrow that, as you know, is now
22	running in taxicabs.
23	A personnel change that I would like to
24	tell you about, another thing that I'm just

enormously pleased by, is the rounding out of

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	our senior team here at the Commission. We
3	have a new staff member, Ashwini Chhabra,
4	will you raise your hand please?
5	MR. CHHABRA: (Raising hand.)
6	MR. YASSKY: This is our new Deputy
7	Commissioner for Policy and Programs.
8	Ashwini comes to TLC most recently from the
9	private sector in a senior position at a law
10	firm, but before that, also extensive
11	experience in the government, in the City
L2	government. He was part of Jeff Kay's team
L3	at the Mayor's Office of Operations. He
L4	worked under Chancellor Joel Klein at the
15	Department of Education. When he was working
16	with Jeff Kay, he worked on a number of TLC
L7	projects including the initial stage of Taxi
18	of Tomorrow, an in-house expert to join the
19	other experts.
20	But he, I can tell you again, I think we
21	have a strong a team in place now as any City
22	agency does, and Ashwini is a terrific
23	addition.
24	Couple of last things, recent, some

milestones in the industry, and some other

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	points. The taxi industry reached a notable
3	landmark recently. Earlier this month, we
4	hacked up, or you hacked up, the 4,000th
5	hybrid electric taxicab. The number of
6	hybrid taxis has been increasing steadily
7	since the Commission first approved the use
8	of the hybrids in 2005, and with gas prices
9	hovering at around three dollars a gallon, we
10	certainly expect that trend to continue. So,
11	4,000, I think this industry has done
12	something material for the health of New
13	Yorkers in the cleanliness of our air. So, I
L4	commend you for that.
15	Also, credit card usage in taxis has
16	continued to grow. For the month of October,
L7	39 percent of taxi trips were paid by credit
18	card, up from 29 percent a year ago; about 43
19	percent of the total fare box paid by credit
20	cards during October compared to 34 percent
21	October 2009. So, the trend continues, that
22	is a fairly rapid rate of increase. I think
23	fairly soon, you'll see a majority,
24	two-thirds maybe, of the trips paid by credit

card, I think testifying to the importance of

1 TLC PUBLIC	C HEARING	11,	/18	/10
--------------	-----------	-----	-----	-----

^		
.)	that	equipment.
4	ciiac	cquipment.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And credit card tipping I'm pleased to

say remains high. The average credit card

tip during October was 19 percent of the fare

up from 18 percent in October 2009.

So, that's all good. A couple of less cheery things I'll point out, one is to acknowledge an issue that we had here at the Commission. Wait times to get inspections of livery vehicles, which I know in the spring had gotten unacceptably high and we worked hard to bring that wait time down again, I acknowledge that it got up again in October, and really to a kind of unacceptable point of a couple weeks wait for an inspection. fully understand that time is money, and we added extra hours on Friday, and we will continue to do that to get it back down again. The wait is now about five days for a transfer, which I think is okay. It is high for a new still, it is about 12 days as of today for a new vehicle. I repeat, we understand that that is unacceptably high and we will get that number down.

1	1	TT.C	DIIRI.TC	HEARING	1 1	/18	11 (n
ш	L	\perp	FUDULC	TIDALING		<i>,</i> ± 0 <i>,</i>	<i>,</i> \	J

2 I also want to tell you about something that will be in the news today about the 3 4 Mayor's budget. Today's New York Post 5 reports that the Mayor will release his 6 midyear financial plan today, and it's not --7 these are difficult times. As the Post 8 reports, the Mayor will be announcing significant layoffs throughout the City 9 10 government -- not here at TLC, I want to make 11 that crystal clear. We fortunately will 12 continue to have the resources that we need 13 to do our mission, but elsewhere in the City 14 government, the budget will require layoffs. It said over a \$3 billion gap for the coming 15 16 fiscal year, and the City will do what it needs to do to make the books balance. 17 18 There is a part of the budget relating to this industry. As part of the budget 19 20 exercise, the City has reviewed a number of 21 fees to see if the fee is covering the cost 22 of providing the service; we're talking here 23 about the license fee and the inspection 24 fee. And what that survey showed in the case

of TLC is that our fees which have not been

1	\mathtt{TLC}	PUBLIC	HEARING	11/18/10

raised in some 18 or 19 years, at least for those two, the core inspection fee and the license fee, those no longer do cover the cost of the operation, they are significantly under that cost. The Mayor's budget will propose adjusting those fees -- not to cover the entire costs still, because that would be, you know, that would be a steep increase given where they are -- but they will propose a modest increase. I don't know what the exact figure is at this point, but they will propose a modest increase in both the license fee and the inspection fee.

The last business point, and then, you know, then pleasure, the December meeting, we are going to address an issue that I think is as important as any on our agenda, the issue of access ability. As you know, the Commission has long been working to ensure that the TLC regulated industries are accessible to all New Yorkers including those who use wheelchairs. We are the firm belief that all New Yorkers should have access to the type of service that you provide, point

Т	ILC PUBLIC REARING II/10/10
2	to point service at a market rate. We tried
3	a pilot program for dispatching the taxis.
4	As you know, we also have a rule for
5	liveries, for livery bases, neither that
6	pilot program, nor I dare say 607(f), have
7	succeeded in producing an acceptable level of
8	service for people in wheelchairs. We are
9	working on some other solutions. And what we
10	will be doing in December is having a
11	hearing. It certainly wouldn't be right for
12	vote by this Commission, but we're going to
13	put out some thoughts about what we should do
L4	next and invite the interested public or the
15	members of this community and advocates of
L6	the disabled to make a presentation to you
L7	Commissioners in response to the staff's
18	suggestions. Again, this is a big issue, I
19	don't think it is the sort of issue that we
20	can have testimony and vote the same day like
21	some more straight forward issues. So,
22	between now and December, we will be putting
23	out a proposal and getting back comments at
24	the December meeting so that you can hear it
25	and have time to digest those comments with

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	an eye toward moving forward sometime in the
3	beginning part of next year.
4	So, now, before we turn to our actual
5	business, I do want to recognize an important
6	honoree, really, that we have with us today,
7	Matt Daus, my predecessor in this position.
8	Matt is here. We have a gift from the
9	TLC, so, if you will come and join us, and
10	while you are doing that, I will note what
11	everyone in this room knows, that Matt served
12	the Commission for 14 years, first as counsel
13	and then as the longest-serving chair in the
14	Commission's history. And Matt, I expect
15	that record to stand a good long while. I
16	think that is the Lou Gehrig of the TLC.
17	Matt certainly served during interesting
18	times: A taxi strike; a transit strike; a
19	franchise bus strike; a blackout; border wars
20	with Nassau County, that remained, you know,
21	cold wars, never sprang into actual armed
22	conflict, but nonetheless tension, but
23	leading to good resolution; there was
24	September 11th, on a serious note, just
25	blocks from the Commission's office here at

Т	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	Rector Street. During all that time and
3	despite all those challenges, the TLC did
4	world class innovative work during Matt's
5	tenure. The TPEP system has become a model
6	for taxis everywhere across the country and
7	indeed across the world. And I'm sure that
8	the Taxi of Tomorrow, a project started under
9	Matt's tenure of course, I'm sure that will
10	be equally influential every place that taxis
11	are used.
12	It's really been, in the time I've been
13	here, I've just come to appreciate more and
14	more the enormous quality of leadership that
15	Matt provided during his tenure, and I see
16	the fruits of that continuing to be produced
17	day in, day out. Operations were
18	modernized. Customer service standards
19	enhanced here at the TLC. Waiting times
20	dropped dramatically. March Madness became
21	March Mildness I wish I could take credit
22	for that line, that's a really good one.
23	(Laughter)
24	MR. YASSKY: And the transparency of
25	TLC's operations is unparalleled, and the

Т	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	website has a true wealth of information,
3	statistics, tools and listings.
4	And one aspect of Matt's legacy that I
5	appreciate every day is the superlative staff
6	you recruited to the TLC, and I repeat that.
7	If there's one thing that you expect a leader
8	to do, is attract first-rate people and
9	empower them, and Matt certainly did that.
10	So, in recognition of all of that, but
11	just tokens that can't quite approach it
12	even, we have, Matt, for you today, your
13	badge, the badge that was yours as Chairman
14	and Commissioner, suitably encased so that
15	MR. DAUS: I can carry it in my car.
16	(Laughter)
17	MR. YASSKY: It can hold down the legal
18	papers that must be proliferating in your new
19	office. And also, and this is quite pretty,
20	a gavel and plaque: "Hail to the. Your
21	drive to excel helped the TLC's regulated
22	industries safely drive over one million
23	people each day. Your compassionate
24	leadership will never be forgotten." To Matt
25	Daus.

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	(Applause)
3	MR. DAUS: Thank you, Commissioner.
4	This is beautiful, thank you. I was
5	expecting to get at least a week's free worth
6	of cab rides, when I came here today, for 14
7	years of service. I am going to go up to the
8	website and apply I guess.
9	But it's really good to see everybody.
10	Thank you so much for welcoming me back. And
11	I can't take credit for any of that stuff,
12	because really the people that were in the
13	room, many of them still are really the ones
14	that did it all. And I'm glad that you are
15	happy with the team, and I see you're
16	building and making great additions to the
17	team, and I'm very, very honored and
18	pleased. And I think you have already done a
19	tremendous job in the first six months that
20	you have been here, and I'm here to support
21	you even after I'm gone. It's not only the
22	things that we started that you brought to
23	the next level, but there are new things
24	going on too. And I greatly appreciate the
25	honor, the recognition.

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	And it's good to see it's kind of
3	weird being on the other side, it is kind of
4	like Alice in Wonderland. But, having been
5	at every meeting for the last fourteen years
6	and standing up here and being down there,
7	it's not that bad down there actually.
8	It's good to see my colleagues that
9	we've been through wars together, friends,
10	Commissioner, new Commissioner and some
11	frienemies too, it's good to see you. It's
12	good to be back, and thank you for this
13	honor, I really, really appreciate it.
14	(Applause)
15	MR. DAUS: You know, if I know my
16	brothers, you'll do 10 million times better
17	than what I've ever done, and I hope you do
18	and I know you will.
19	MR. YASSKY: Thank you. What Matt
20	graciously didn't say is that by Alice in
21	Wonderland, he meant it going from the topsy
22	turvy world back to the above-ground reality
23	MR. DAUS: That's correct.
24	MR. YASSKY: So, to business, we have

25 minutes to adopt. The minutes of the October

- 1 TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
- 2 21st meeting have been provided, do I have a
- 3 motion to adopt them?
- 4 MR. GONZALES: Motion to adopt.
- 5 MR. YASSKY: Thank you. All in favor,
- 6 say "aye."
- 7 THE COMMISSION: (In unison) Aye.
- 8 MR. YASSKY: Opposed, "no"?
- 9 (No response)
- 10 MR. YASSKY: By unanimous vote, the
- minutes of the October 21, 2010 meeting are
- 12 adopted.
- We have base applications. Georgia, you
- are still the presenter, yes?
- MS. STEELE: Good morning. Licensing
- 16 would like to present before the Commission
- 17 16 bases with a recommendation for approval.
- 18 MR. YASSKY: Is there a motion to adopt
- 19 this?
- MR. GONZALES: Motion to adopt.
- 21 MR. YASSKY: All in favor, say "aye."
- THE COMMISSION: (In unison) Aye.
- MR. YASSKY: Opposed, "no"?
- (No response)
- MR. YASSKY: By unanimous vote, the

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	recommendation is adopted.
3	And you have three bases as well being
4	recommended for denial?
5	MS. STEELE: Yes, and we request that
6	the Commission grants an additional 30 days
7	so that they may present the outstanding
8	items.
9	MR. GONZALES: Motion to deny.
10	MR. YASSKY: All in favor, "aye."
11	THE COMMISSION: (In unison) Aye.
12	MR. YASSKY: Opposed, "no"?
13	(No response)
14	MR. YASSKY: By unanimous vote, the
15	recommendation for denial of those three
16	bases is adopted, and with an additional 30
17	days to give them time to meet their
18	requirements.
19	MS. STEELE: Thank you.
20	MR. YASSKY: We now have three rules, or
21	three sets of rules. Let's do them in this
22	order: First, the change in the effective
23	date for the TATC Rule Book and then the
24	proposed critical driver rule and then the

adjudication rules.

T	INC FUBBLE HEARING II/10/10
2	MR. FRASER: The first one is changing
3	the effective date of the Rules Revision and
4	the comprehensive rewrite of the rules from
5	January 1, 2011 to April 1, 2011. This is to
6	enable our MIS staff and other staff to
7	complete preparations which are extensive for
8	a complete revision of the rule book.
9	We published this for a public comment
10	for the 30-day required period. We received
11	no comments, and no one has signed up to
12	testify today.
13	MR. YASSKY: So, Commissioners, just to
14	report to you, we of course put in an
15	enormous amount of the work in the re-writing
16	of the rule book to plain language and to
17	achieve greater clarity of text and
18	organization. There are, I guess not
19	innumerable, they can be numerated, but there
20	are numerous implementation steps that go
21	with these forms that need []to be re-done.
22	A lot of MIS work where the programming
23	refers to specific rule numbers and looks for
24	those rule numbers and has to be now

rewritten. And given all of the other

Τ	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	initiatives going on in the Commission, I
3	just didn't want to stop work completely on
4	everything else to get that implementation
5	done, so, we're pushing that effective date
6	back from January 1st to April 1st. But we
7	are on target to stick to that, so, I think
8	that's a good date and I would ask for your
9	support.
10	Motion in favor?
11	MR. GONZALES: Make a motion.
12	MR. YASSKY: All in favor say, "aye."
13	THE COMMISSION: (In unison) Aye.
14	MR. YASSKY: Opposed?
15	(No response)
16	MR. YASSKY: The proposed rule book
17	effective date is adopted.
18	MR. FRASER: The next one is a revision
19	to the critical driver rules which are
20	applicable to drivers. The originally
21	published proposal made three changes to the
22	existing rules. In response to public
23	comments, the staff is recommending removing
24	one of those changes, that change is the one
25	that would total all of the points for all of

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	the violations from a particular incident,
3	and instead what the staff is recommending is
4	we adhere to the current rule, which is only
5	the violation with the highest number of
6	points arising from a particular incident
7	will be counted.
8	The other two changes that the proposed
9	rule would make that the staff is
10	recommending be promulgated, the rule was
11	published for comment. And a number of
12	written comments were received and those have
13	been circulated to the Commissioners. In
14	addition, four people have signed up to
15	testify today.
16	MR. YASSKY: Vincent Sapone from LOMTO,
17	League of Mutual Taxi Owners.
18	(Applause)
19	MR. SAPONE: Good morning. Thank you,
20	Mr. Chair. Thank you, Commissioners, for
21	giving me the opportunity to speak.
22	I represent the League of Mutual Taxi
23	Owners, known as LOMTO, established in 1934.
24	My name is Vincent Sapone, as you know.

Before that two-minute clock goes on, I

Τ	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	want to say a little something. First of
3	all, this has got nothing to do with today's
4	meeting. I want to thank the Commissioners
5	for being here month after month without
6	getting paid, probably losing four, five
7	hours a day to be here. Whether you support
8	us or not, it's nice of you to be here and
9	take the time out and support the City.
10	And No. 2, for 33 years it's been two
11	minutes there. I think it's time for a
12	change for three minutes. Maybe it's time
13	for a change for four minutes.
14	MR. YASSKY: I think our rules should
15	change from three to two.
16	MR. SAPONE: What about three and a half
17	minutes, you know? With an important meeting
18	like today, three minutes is sometimes not
19	enough. If a guy is out of order, put him
20	out.
21	MR. YASSKY: The one place where
22	inflation does not operate, sadly, is time.
23	It operates in currency, but not time.
24	MR. SAPONE: You sound like my wife.

Anyway.

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	MR. YASSKY: To what do we owe this
3	special comedic routine treat today?
4	(Laughter)
5	MR. YASSKY: I'm sorry, Mr. Sapone.
6	Please continue.
7	MR. SAPONE: Maybe we should go on stage
8	somewhere, you know, call it the "TLC Comedy
9	Hour."
10	Anyway, people who drive their personal
11	cars in New York don't spend much time behind
12	a wheel. Taxi drivers work six days a week
13	and average 12 hours a day sometimes seven
14	days a week to make ends meet. They cover
15	hundreds of miles every day between
16	construction, bus lanes, bike lanes, petty
17	cabs, skaters. It is very tough to get
18	around the City today, and they are very
19	vulnerable to get some kind of a summons.
20	They there is no quota, but there definitely
21	is a quota, it's been that way since I was a
22	kid and anyone could tell me I'm wrong, I
23	don't know what I'm talking about that's
24	what it is, and the police officer or the
25	traffic officer who gives out the most

	25
1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	tickets is saluted.
3	I would like to discuss the 15-month
4	period in which points are accumulated. The
5	current rule states that the 15-month period
6	starts from the most recent violation. This
7	would be changed to that it is cited in the
8	summons issue. If this happens, many drivers
9	will be suspended or revoked.
10	LOMTO is in favor of keeping safe
11	drivers on the road. If a driver received
12	three two-point summonses in 2007 or 2008,
13	which could easily happen, and say, no
14	summonses since then, or maybe only one
15	two-point summons in 2010, is it fair to put
16	that driver out of work for 30 days? He's
17	got a family. He's got to pay rent just like
18	all us.
19	Let me get started here. Based on what
20	happened two or three years ago, the 15-month
21	period should only start from the most recent
22	summons issued.
23	Education is a vital part of any

Education is a vital part of any
industry. Many drivers do not know they can
take a defensive driving course every 18

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	months if needed. I think the critical
3	driver rule needs to be changed so that the
4	driver can take a defensive driving course
5	even after a critical driver summons is
6	issued. The point reduction should apply and
7	the driver will learn to be a safe driver.
8	Thank you very much. Have a nice day.
9	Have a nice Thanksgiving.
10	(Applause)
11	MR. YASSKY: Thank you. We also have
12	Christian Palamik (ph) who has signed up to
13	testify.
14	(No response)
15	MR. YASSKY: We will move on to Andrew
16	Vollo.
17	MR. VOLLO: Good morning. Thank you,
18	Chairman. Thank you, Commissioners. My name
19	is Andrew Vollo. I'm the director of the
20	Taxi FHV Driver at the La Guardia Community
21	College.
22	The New York City Taxi and FHV Driver
23	Institute at La Guardia Community College
24	recognizes the value and supports the Taxi
25	and Limousine Commission's proposed amendment

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	to the critical driver program.
3	The proposed rule will enhance and
4	increase the program's contribution to public
5	safety. The institute applauds the TLC's
6	plan also to include commuter vans and
7	Paratransit in the program.
8	While drivers should be rewarded for
9	taking advantage of professional education,
10	the institute believes that they need
11	additional training beyond the standard motor
12	vehicle accident prevention course, given
13	that the professional drivers face unique
14	driving conditions.
15	As a provider for over 26 years of
16	experience training TLC drivers, the
17	institute works closely with the TLC in the
18	conception, design and implementation of
19	training programs. We're concerned that
20	there's not enough time in the current
21	six-hour vehicle accident prevention course
22	to meet the specialized needs of the TLC
23	drivers. These needs include coping with
24	passengers while driving under already

stressful conditions; the need to drive

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	longer hours; TLC traffic regulations that
3	apply only to TLC drivers, such as cell
4	phones, electronic device rule, alcohol
5	restrictions, critical driver/persistant
6	driver program, new technologies that drivers
7	need to embrace and enhance.
8	The institute, in conjunction with the
9	National Traffic Safety Institute, NTSI, has
10	developed a TLC driver safety component that
11	has been approved by the New York State
12	Department of Motor Vehicles. This seven-
13	hour course specifically is designed to
14	address the needs of TLC drivers. In August
15	2009, the institute and NTSI certified 100
16	drivers with the seven-hour defensive driving
17	course so that a survey study could be done
18	to access the program value. We've shared
19	this information with your staff and we thank
20	them for their participation and
21	cooperation.
22	Mandating that all TLC drivers attend a
23	specialized State certified seven-hour
24	defensive driving course designed
25	specifically for TLC drivers who will more

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	effectively into training safer drivers than
3	generic programs designed for the general
4	public. The institute has a secured data
5	link to the TLC expediting the completion and
6	compliance requirements and eliminating many
7	of the possibilities of fraud. Having the
8	course conducted by an official New York City
9	taxi training school rather than a generic
10	course delivered by any motor vehicle
11	accident prevention course provider will
12	ensure program integrity and compliance.
13	The proposed seven-hour defensive
14	driving course is ready for immediate
15	commencement and will be taught by the New
16	York State certified defensive driving
17	instructors who are taxi drivers and FHV
18	drivers. They bring a wealth of knowledge to
19	the class. Such a course will be beneficial
20	to both the TLC driver, the passenger, by
21	ensuring that professional drivers have the
22	skills and knowledge that they need to
23	provide a safe and comfortable driving
24	experience.

The TLC may want to consider

1	ILC PUBLIC REARING 11/10/10
2	compensating drivers for their additional
3	time and effort in taking this course by
4	allowing them to reduce four violation points
5	from their license, helping to keep
6	professional drivers on the streets of New
7	York City.
8	Thank you for considering our views on
9	these important regulations. Should you have
10	any questions, I'll be
11	MR. YASSKY: Thank you. Just for
12	clarity, the course you are describing you
13	said has been approved by the State DMV?
14	MR. VOLLO: Correct. It exits.
15	MR. YASSKY: And the driver then taking
16	that gets credit under our rules?
17	MR. VOLLO: Correct, and they get credit
18	with the State also.
19	MR. YASSKY: I understand. Thank you.
20	MR. VOLLO: Thank you.
21	MR. YASSKY: We have Cliff Adler, also
22	representing the League of Mutual Taxi
23	Owners.
24	MR. ADLER: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners,

ladies and gentlemen, thank you. There's a

Τ	ILC PUBLIC HEARING II/10/10
2	point which has been bothering not just
3	myself but a lot of drivers especially around
4	stations like Penn Station and Grand Central.
5	When you're talking about the critical driver
6	program, drivers are pulling up in traffic
7	close to Penn Station, passengers who I'm
8	sure you understand get very antsy when
9	they're looking at four minutes, five
10	minutes, seven minutes to catch a train, they
11	jump out in the middle of traffic throwing
12	money through the partition or on the front
13	seat. Drivers in most cases have no chance
14	to say, "Please don't do that, I'll get a
15	ticket." The passenger jumps out, when
16	driver pulls up, another 50 yards is a police
17	officer waiting to pull them over, and they
18	give them not one, but two or three tickets;
19	blocking traffic, improper discharge of
20	passengers, they write out a whole slew of
21	tickets, because it's what they do, okay?
22	So, if you wind up with three, four or five
23	tickets, that could put somebody out of
24	business right there. And I wish the
25	Commissioner and the Commission would

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	seriously think about this please. Thank
3	you.
4	(Applause)
5	MR. YASSKY: Finally, Ethan Gerber from
6	the Greater New York Taxi Association.
7	MR. GERBER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
8	At the outset, I just want to say that my
9	first and biggest concern of these particular
10	rules was taken care of by your office
11	already with the top count merger, and I
12	appreciate the hard work that your staff did
13	of doing that, so, that was my number one
14	concern with this particular section of the
15	rules, so, thank you.
16	Also, since I'm cutting out that large
17	portion of my speech, saying I want to
18	welcome the two new Commissioners, the
19	Commissioner sitting and the one to be, both
20	have wonderful reputations and I'm delighted
21	to have them. I'm speaking for members of
22	the industry.
23	Also speaking as a member of he
24	industry, I do want to take the opportunity,

since I have it, saying, 14 years back,

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	congratulations, that's a fantastic run. My
3	wife threatened to change the locks when I
4	served four years in government, so, I
5	understand what a sacrifice that was.
6	As to the problem I do have with these
7	rules is the retroactive effect of the
8	15-month purge going back in time. This
9	seems to be inherently unfair that a driver,
LO	who like many professionals gets better with
11	time, can still run the risk of activities
12	that took place quite a long time ago. This
13	grabbing of a number and not going forward
14	and starting off with day one has inherent
15	due process problems and inherent problems
16	for the drivers personally with the
17	retroactive effect.
18	I urge the Comission to make this a
19	point of going forward and not one of going
20	back. We do not need to purge drivers who
21	have been excellent drivers and who have
22	improved with time. Thank you.
23	(Applause)
24	MR. YASSKY: Commissioners, are there

questions?

1 TLC	PUBLIC	HEARING	11.	/18	/1(
-------	--------	---------	-----	-----	-----

MS. POLANCO: Yeah. I have a question regarding -- I'm trying to understand this. So, basically a driver that got two or three summonses that basically will sum up to six or more points three years ago could easily be suspended today if, for example, the TLC staff runs his license or his TLC license number and they realize that he's accumulated that time. I'm trying to see in the rule; it says that within any 15-month period, so we can go back to 2006, basically there is no limit. So, basically it depends on when we catch this driver.

MR. FRASER: It doesn't depend so much when we catch the driver, it depends when the DMV adjudicates the violation. In other words, although the violation counts the day you run the stop sign, for example, if it takes two years, which I understand from the documents I have seen, it is not atypical at all for the DMV to adjudicate that, obviously there are no points until the adjudication, even though once they're adjudicated, the points are now two years old.

1 TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/	_		ТЪС	LOBLIC	HEARING	$\perp \perp$	/ T Ø	/ -
-----------------------------	---	--	-----	--------	---------	---------------	-------	-----

2 Our licensing staff runs weekly computer runs of points on all of our drivers, subject 3 4 to the critical driver program, and so therefore, no more than a week after that 5 adjudication basically, the point total 6 7 created by that adjudication will be detected. But the fact of that matter is, 8 the adjudication does not happen necessarily 9 quickly. That's obviously because there can 10 11 be adjournments, there can be all kinds of 12 delays in the process, and of course the 13 driver can end that process by pleading 14 guilty, which is I think probably more often than not how the State adjudication is ended. 15 16 The point is the driver has some control 17 over the adjudication date, we have none of And the driver has no control 18 course. presumably over the violation date. 19 20 statute calls for the point, using DMV points, DMV accrues them as of the violation 21 22 date. We feel that we really have to 23 accumulate them as of the violation date, even though they don't exist obviously until 24 there is an adjudication. 25

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	So, yes, it is possible that we can be
3	looking at older violations, but we're always
4	looking at newer adjudications, because we
5	run those every week.
6	MR. YASSKY: And that's why I don't
7	want to belabor, but, Mr. Adler's
8	hypothetical where police officer issues
9	three tickets to somebody, first of all,
10	that, you know, the industry folks came to us
11	and said they were concerned about the
12	fairness of that, and I think that's a
13	judgment call, it could go either way, but it
14	was the staff's judgment unbalanced that we
15	should not count all three tickets issued for
16	one episode, but only count the most grave of
17	the violations. So, that cannot happen under
18	the new rules, meaning somebody gets three
19	tickets all at once and now all of a sudden
20	they're a critical driver and their license
21	is suspended.
22	As General Counsel explains, while it is
23	possible, I guess, that this could operate to

suspend a license based on, you know, three

separate episodes in 2007, the only way that

24

Т	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	could really happen is, No. 1, if we just
3	went back and looked for the first time
4	which doesn't happen, since we do every
5	week. You know, as soon as that third
6	violation that adds up to six points hits the
7	DMV database, we're going to learn about it
8	in the next few days, and then they'll get
9	their critical driver notice.
10	The only other way would be if somehow
11	it has not been, even though the violation
12	was in 2007, it was not adjudicated until
13	now. And then that makes sense, because only
14	now do they have the six points. So, I hope
15	that answers your question.
16	Further discussion?
17	MS. FRIEDMAN: Excuse me, Commissioner.
18	I'm a traffic attorney. My name is Karen
19	Friedman, I'm the president of the
20	Association of Motor Vehicle Trial Attorneys.
21	MR. YASSKY: You know, we do ask people
22	to sign up to speak. It is formality, but
23	still it helps order the proceedings here.
24	But why don't you go ahead and make your
25	comment.

1	ILC PUBLIC HEARING II/18/10
2	MS. FRIEDMAN: Thank you very much,
3	Judge. The problem is that over the years,
4	attorneys have suggested to drivers that they
5	plead guilty to a ticket to cut off that
6	period, that 15-month look-back period. So,
7	now what's going to happen is that those
8	drivers who had six points or eight points,
9	perhaps a year or two went by and they were
10	worried that they might be called in under
11	the critical driver rules. So, then they got
12	a two-point ticket, went out and pled guilty,
13	thinking, "Okay, well, this cuts off that
14	look-back period." And now, the TLC
15	conceivably could look back to 2007 and say,
16	"Oh, my gosh, this man has 10 points. We'll
17	have to revoke his Hack License," or whatever
18	the situation is, but it's not fair, because
19	they actually proceeded based on your rules.
20	MR. YASSKY: First of all, I mean, in
21	truth it's not TLC's belief that the rules as
22	previously written have the same effect, but
23	this clarifies it.
24	Second of all, still, the core kind

of bottom line is that you're only subject to

	39
1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	the critical driver penalty if you have six
3	points within a 15-month period. So, it's
4	not in the question of 2007 and then 2009 and
5	then 2010 violations, but the violations have
6	to be within that 15-month period.
7	MS. FRIEDMAN: Yes, I understand what
8	you're saying, Commissioner. The problem is
9	that assuming, arguendo, the person went and
10	pled guilty to six points, and he did it over
11	the last 15-month period, odds are that the
12	TLC would pick that up. But what has
13	happened as drivers are convicted of six or
14	eight or 10 points in a 15-month period, but
15	that period has gone by, and then
16	subsequently, a year later they are afraid
17	that "Oh, I might get convicted of the
18	critical driver rules," so, they'll get a
19	two-point ticket and plead guilty based on
20	your present rules. And under your proposed
21	rules, the TLC could look back at any
22	15-month period, and that would create a
23	problem for many drivers.

MR. YASSKY: Okay.

MS. FRIEDMAN: In addition, when you

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	consider it, a six-point ticket could be
3	given out for 22 miles over. In other words,
4	the Clearview Expressway, you want to pass
5	somebody on a hill, and you could all of a
6	sudden get six points, and that would be
7	grounds for
8	MR. YASSKY: That's always been true.
9	But, okay, your testimony is noted. Thank
10	you.
11	MS. FRIEDMAN: Thank you.
12	MR. GONZALES: I would like to make one
13	general comment here. In essence, what we're
14	trying to do here is to make sure that both
15	the drivers and the riding public are safe,
16	correct? Now, I understand some of the
17	logistics around the 15-month period, but
18	there is a resolution to this, you can take
19	the program, and the program removes points;
20	that's resolution, it's not determining
21	whether it's 15 months, this month, that
22	month or not.
23	(Interruption)
24	MR. YASSKY: Thank you, Commissioner.

You are certainly correct.

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	(Interruption)
3	MR. YASSKY: Is there a motion to
4	approve the rule as drafted?
5	(Interruption)
6	MR. FRASER: The statute, we have no
7	authority to change this. The 15-month look-
8	back period is in the statute, we don't get
9	to change that. And the two-point credit is
10	also in the statute, and what it says is if
11	you voluntarily take the course, our judges
12	for many years have interpreted "voluntarily"
13	to mean before you get a summons for it. If
14	you are under a summons and you take the
15	course then, they have construed that to be
16	not voluntarily. All this rule does is
17	codifies that long standing precedent of our
18	judges. You can take the two points, you can
19	take that time any time up until the day
20	before we issue the summons when you have six
21	points, four points, eight points, whatever
22	number of points you want, or no points.
23	Now, you can only do it once and again,
24	this is in the statute, we don't get to
25	change this every, I forget, 18 months or

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	whatever the statute says. You can't take
3	three courses in three weeks and drop your
4	point total to zero. But what we are doing
5	here codifies long standing precedent of our
6	judges, and we thought it was a good thing to
7	do and take those appeals decisions and put
8	them in the rules rather than to have them in
9	appeals decisions.
10	MR. YASSKY: Thank you.
11	I move that we adopt the critical driver
12	rules as written. All in favor say, "aye."
13	THE COMMISSION: (In unison) Aye.
14	MR. YASSKY: Opposed, "no"?
15	(No response)
16	MR. YASSKY: With all in favor, the
17	motion is adopted and the rule is adopted.
18	Our final package are the adjudication
19	rules that the General Counsel will now
20	explain.
21	MR. FRASER: This is two separate rules
22	packages. What we're doing here is we
23	have and they are supposed to be
24	identical, hopefully they are one of them
25	amends our existing rules, the rules that are

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	in effect today, and one of them amends the
3	set of rules that will become effective April
4	1st as we just determined a few minutes ago.
5	They modify to our adjudications chapters in
6	a number of respects. We published the rules
7	for comment, we received I think it was two
8	written comments which we circulated to the
9	Commissioners, and three people have signed
10	up to speak today.
11	MR. YASSKY: The first is John Moore
12	representing Council Member Jessica Lappin.
13	MR. MOORE: Thanks for letting me speak
14	today on behalf of Council Member Jessica
15	Lappin. Again, my name is John Moore.
16	"I am pleased to testify in support of
17	the Taxi and Limousine's Commission to
18	proposed changed and regulations regarding
19	hearing postponements. These new regulations
20	will help close a loophole that will allow
21	unscrupulous operators to put off hearings to
22	put off their misconduct seemingly
23	indefinitely. I ask the TLC to undertake
24	this change after learning of an exasperating

experience of one of my constituents.

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	constituent was driving his car on March 11,
3	2007 when he was forced out of his lane by a
4	taxi driver. He and his young child were
5	badly frightened by the encounter. He filed
6	a complaint on the same day and was asked to
7	attend a hearing on September 19th. He
8	showed up but the driver did not. The
9	hearing was rescheduled and this happened
10	four more times. Each time my constituent
11	showed up and the driver did not, always
12	asking to get another postponement always for
13	medical reasons.
14	"Then in June 2008, my constituent was
15	asked to appear for a fifth time. The case
16	was finally heard in July 2008, 16 months
17	after the incident, and the driver was found
18	guilty. His license was suspended for a
19	period of time and he received a substantial
20	fine.
21	"The story does not end there. The
22	driver appealed, as is certainly his right,
23	and in August 2008, an administrative judge
24	reduced his penalties. The case was reviewed

again, and it was not until July 2009, more

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	than two years after the original incident,
3	that the case was resolved in my
4	constituents's favor.
5	"I think that this is a clear case of
6	justice delayed, justice denied. During
7	these two years, the driver in question, who
8	has also been found to be a dangerous driver,
9	was out on the streets possibly endangering
10	his passengers, occupants of other vehicles,
11	pedestrians, and himself.
12	"It is certainly possible for a driver
13	as well as the complainant to have a valid
14	medical reason or other reason for needing a
15	postponement of a hearing. However, on
16	looking into this case, I learned that the
17	TLC had no regulations limiting the number of
18	postponements a driver could request, no
19	verification of the medical necessities
20	alleged, and no way to make sure the hearings
21	proceeded at a reasonable pace in order to
22	protect the public from dangerous drivers.
23	"I was in contact with former
24	Commissioner Daus and current Commissioner

Yassky to request that this matter be

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	pursued, and I'm pleased with the outcome.
3	"These regulations provide that, except
4	for a very clearly defined good cause, a
5	respondent shall be entitled to only
6	adjournment. They also clarify the appeals
7	procedures including time limits for each
8	stage of the process and clarify the timing
9	of the imposition of penalties after a
10	hearing decisions. These new regulations are
11	appropriate and sensible and I'm delighted to
12	lend my support, and I hope they're adopted.
13	Thank you very much."
14	MR. YASSKY: Thank you, Mr. Moore.
15	Peter Mazer?
16	MR. MAZER: Good morning.
17	MR. YASSKY: Good morning.
18	MR. MAZER: Good morning, Chairman
19	Yassky, Mr. Fraser, members of the TLC Board
20	of Commissioners. My name is Peter Mazer,
21	and I'm general counsel to the Metropolitan
22	Taxicab Board of Trade, an association
23	representing the owners of more than 3,500
24	licensed taxicabs.

As part of our member services, we

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	provide representation at TLC tribunals to
3	medallion owners, agents, brokers, licensed
4	taxi meter businesses, as well as drivers at
5	the various TLC adjudications tribunals.
6	I personally represented respondents at
7	nearly 500 TLC hearings during the past five
8	years and served as a judge at the TLC
9	tribunal from 1987 to 1996, and as the TLC's
10	chief judge from 1996 to '98.
11	MTBOT has previously submitted written
12	comments, which you have, regarding a number
13	of concerns raised by the proposed amendments
14	to the adjudications rules, but I will limit
15	my testimony to two major concerns that I
16	have.
17	The first issue in which I would like to
18	address is the proposed new Section 18-06(c),
19	which would allow the TLC to prosecute
20	hearings without the testimony of the officer
21	who issued the summons. The proposed rule
22	provides, "If the summons of Notice of
23	Violation is sworn to under oath or affirmed
24	under penalty of perjury, a copy of the

summons will be admitted into evidence prima

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	facie proof of the allegations alleged." And
3	I believe that there is a new draft this
4	morning that changed the word "prima facie
5	proof" to the word "prima facie evidence."
6	MR. FRASER: I forgot to mention that
7	there were four changes that staff is
8	recommending to the rule. I would regard
9	them all as technical. One of them is
L O	changing the word from "proof" to "evidence,"
11	in response to the comments submitted by
12	Mr. Mazer and others.
13	MR. MAZER: In the Statement of Basis
L4	and Purpose, accompanying the rules would the
15	following: "This change in adjudications
16	procedures conform to procedures used at
L7	other tribunals in the City including the
18	Environmental Control Board." However, the
19	rules governing the ECB shows that its rules
20	are quite different. Section 3-54(a) of the
21	ECB rules provides that the Notice of
22	Violation if sworn to or affirmed shall
23	constitute prima facie evidence of the facts
24	stated therein.

ECB also routinely allows respondents to

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	obtain adjournments to compel the issuing
3	officer to be present. The judge need only
4	determine that the hearing can be conducted
5	more effectively if the officer were made
6	available.
7	When a summons is presented into
8	evidence at an ECB hearing, it is the same as
9	if the officer were at the hearing and
LO	testified under oath to the facts contained
11	in the summons. For example, the summons was
L2	issued because there's no curb cut in front
13	of a building, it's like introducing the
L4	summons is like having the officer saying, "I
15	saw that there was no curb cut."
16	The judge then decides what to do with
L7	the facts; believe them, discredit them,
L8	consider defenses or responses. ECB rules
L9	are very clear that burden of proof in the
20	hearing remains at all times with the agency
21	issuing the summons. The City must prove the
22	respondent guilty. A respondent is never
23	required to prove his or her innocence.
24	This is a significant distinction

between a summons being prima facie evidence

L TLC	PUBLIC	HEARING	11/18/10
-------	--------	---------	----------

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of the facts as practice at the ECB and the summons being prima facie proof or even prima facie evidence of a violation as proposed by the TLC.

Under the TLC proposed rules, a summons is not merely evidence of the facts stated on it, it is proof that the allegation occurred. Thus, the proposed rule asserts the authority of the judge to apply the law to the facts alleged and to reach a judicial determination as to whether the facts are sufficient to establish the violation alleged in the summons. By simply introducing the summons into evidence, the TLC now shifts the burden of proof to the respondent. So, now, the respondent is guilty unless he or she could prove innocence, unlike The ECB where hearings are routinely adjourned because the respondent wants the issuing officer to be present. Under proposed rule 18-09(a)(4), the burden is also on the respondent to convince the judge that the issuing officer's testimony is even necessary. Not only is the respondent presumed to be guilty but the

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	respondent may even be denied the tools to
3	defend a case to establish his or her
4	innocence.
5	If the TLC truly wanted to replicate the
6	procedures in other agencies, it should look
7	at the ECB rules, look at The City Charter,
8	set forth the due process requirements and
9	mandate first that the burden of proof remain
10	with the TLC to establish guilt and not with
11	the respondent to prove innocence; and
12	second, to develop a fair procedure to
13	balance the respondent's right to a fair
14	hearing with the TLC's admitted desire to
15	utilize its offices in ways other than
16	testifying at hearings.
17	Replicating what the ECB does word-for-
18	word might be one way to achieve the result.
19	The proposed TLC rules neither replicate
20	ECB's procedures as they purport, nor provide
21	a truly fair hearing to the respondent.
22	While we're on the subject of
23	replicating procedures at other tribunals,

25 providing attorneys or representatives with

24

the TLC is unique among tribunals in not

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	notice of scheduled or rescheduled hearings.
3	Part of the rationale that reforms those
4	today is to reduce the number of adjourned
5	hearings. One of the reforms, the one I
6	previously discussed would actually increase
7	the number of adjourned hearings, because
8	now, whenever a respondent chooses to contest
9	a hearing, there will be a necessity of at
10	least two appearances by the respondent and
11	the hearing would be adjourned at least once
12	to have the issuing officer present.
13	A large number of summonses are
14	adjourned because the TLC is unprepared to go
15	forward. From my experience, about 10
16	percent of hearings are adjourned for that
17	reason. Also from my experience, about 20
18	percent of hearings are adjourned at the
19	request of the respondent or his attorney.
20	Non-availability of a party or the attorney
21	or the representative is the number one
22	reason for adjournments, followed by
23	adjournments to request records.
24	Unlike every other tribunal that I am
25	aware of, if an attorney or representative

Τ.	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	requests an adjournment, the notice of
3	rescheduled hearing is not sent to the
4	attorney or representative, but directly to
5	the respondent. The attorney or
6	representative at the TLC is not given timely
7	notice or given any notice of a rescheduled
8	hearing. If a hearing is dismissed at the
9	TLC level and an appeal is filed, appeal or a
10	notice of appeal is occasionally sent to the
11	attorney, but not always. If a case is
12	remanded for a new hearing, the attorney is
13	never notified. A respondent who receives a
14	notice and who has an attorney will usually
15	assume that the attorney knows of the
16	hearing. After all, in every other tribunal,
17	attorneys and authorized representatives are
18	informed in a timely basis about all future
19	proceedings, only the TLC does not.
20	Many of the issues relating to
21	adjournments and missed hearings arise
22	because the TLC does not inform attorneys and
23	representatives about rescheduled hearings.
24	A simple change in the procedure would make
25	the TLC tribunal operate just like any other

Τ	ILC PUBLIC HEARING II/10/10
2	court, any other adjudication tribunal.
3	Simply have the attorneys and representatives
4	file notices of appearances either at the
5	hearing or at any pre-hearing request such as
6	an adjournment request. By the way, this is
7	the way it's done at the JFK Tribunal that
8	the TLC operates, although absolutely nothing
9	is done with the notice of hearings that are
10	filed there. Give the attorney or the
11	representative notice of all future
12	hearings. ECB does it, Department of Health
13	does it, every court does it. This simple
14	change in procedure will enable your
15	tribunals to operate more efficiently and
16	reduce the number of adjournments and missed
17	hearings.
18	I'll be happy to answer any questions
19	that anyone may have.
20	MR. YASSKY: Commissioners, are there
21	any questions for Mr. Mazer?
22	(No response)
23	MR. YASSKY: Alright, while you are
24	here, we have one more person who is
25	testifying, my guess is, along much the same

Τ	ILC PUBLIC HEARING II/10/10
2	lines. I have to say I think that the
3	distinction between evidence of the facts and
4	evidence of the violation, I think that seems
5	to be your main point, I think is utterly
6	without meaning. If a summons says, "I saw
7	that Mr. X. I hailed Mr. X who was an
8	unlicensed vehicle and he offered me a ride
9	and a ride for money," and therefore, it's an
10	illegal street hail, you are saying that
11	there is a difference between saying that it
12	is evidence of facts that constitute
13	unlicensed activity and that's one thing, and
14	saying evidence of unlicensed activity is a
15	different thing?
16	MR. MAZER: Well, actually I'm a lot
17	happier that the word "proof" was changed,
18	"proof of the violation" to "evidence of the
19	violation."
20	MR. YASSKY: Honestly, I mean it's
21	mandated, we would be happy to have it say
22	"evidence of proof," but in neither case is
23	it conclusive. In both cases, it's a
24	rebuttable evidence that if a defendant has
25	something to say in his or her case, he or

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	she is perfectly free to do that. And if
3	they can persuade the judge that the summons
4	is incorrect or wrong, then the judge will
5	dismiss the case.
6	MR. FRASER: If I may add. I, as five
7	years in charge of enforcement at the
8	Buildings Department, supervised the practice
9	of the Buildings Department's violations at
10	the Environmental Control Board. And our
11	Deputy Commissioner for Adjudications was an
12	official for many years at the Environmental
13	Control Board, I believe leaving as legal
14	director. So, we have a pretty good idea of
15	what ECB practice is, and it is our intention
16	to precisely mimic that practice in this
17	rule. You can write that down as part of the
18	legislative history right from the transcript
19	of the hearing.
20	You should know that we conceive of this
21	as having there are four types of hearings
22	procedurally speaking: One, the respondent
23	defaults, doesn't show up; two, the
24	respondent shows up and asks for an
25	adjournment that has nothing to do with the

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	inspector being present; three, the
3	respondent shows up and doesn't need the
4	inspector to testify, his defense relates to
5	some other thing altogether; and four, the
6	respondent shows up and needs to have the
7	inspector's testimony.
8	In the first three cases, the procedure
9	that is being advocated for would require us
10	to have the inspector present for all of
11	those hearings, even though only in the
12	fourth case is that inspector's testimony
13	needed. This is exactly what happens at
14	ECB. If the respondent does not show up,
15	there is no hearing. And I know this, this
16	comes from my own practice. The computer, at
17	the end of the day subtracts out all the
18	things where action was taken, says, "Okay
19	everything else, no action was taken, " the
20	computer spits out a default decision. No
21	judge even looks at it.
22	We're not proposing to go that far by
23	the way. In this respect it is different

than the ECB practice. We will still have a

judge issue a decision based on the summons.

24

Τ	TLC PUBLIC HEARING II/18/10
2	But the inspector won't have to take time to
3	testify for an uncontested case. The only
4	time an inspector will have to testify is
5	when the inspector's testimony is in issue.
6	And that can be put in issue, of course the
7	respondent would ask for it, the judge would
8	review it, and our intention is that the
9	judge will have the same flexibility to
10	adjourn to call the inspector that the ECB
11	judge has. I guess that's the points I
12	wanted to make.
13	The notice of the adjourned date to the
14	attorney, your point is well-taken. We think
15	that's something that should be done. We
16	don't think that's a rule-making issue.
17	That's something we think we need to work on
18	operationally and we've committed to do that.
19	MR. MAZER: Can I make just one rebuttal
20	to your first point about the inspector's
21	testimony?
22	MR. FRASER: Sure.
23	MR. MAZER: The only difference there,
24	and if you want to replicate ECB practice,
25	it's true that ECB spits out default

1	TLC	PUBLIC	HEARING	11,	/18/	/10

- 2 judgements for respondents that do not show.
- 3 But the respondent who files a motion within
- 4 45 days has an absolute right to the hearing
- 5 be reopened. There is nothing in these rules
- 6 that give that respect.
- 7 MR. FRASER: That's right. The motion
- 8 to vacate default is different. At any
- 9 speed, that's true. But that's not covered
- 10 -- that is the prima facie proof issue.
- MR. MAZER: And I'm very happy that
- 12 you're saying as part of this transcript is
- the legislative history that your practice
- here will replicate the ECB practice with
- respect to inspectors, with respect to
- 16 adjournments.
- MR. FRASER: Well, I can't and wont' try
- 18 to bind our judges. What I'm saying is our
- 19 rule is intended to mimic their rules and
- their practice. I do not decide the actual
- 21 cases, so.
- MR. YASSKY: Thank you.
- 23 Mr. Ethan Gerber again has also signed
- 24 up to testify.
- MR. GERBER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

1 TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/	_	Т.Г.	C BORPI	C HEARIN	G LL	/ T Q	/ _	Lι
-----------------------------	---	------	---------	----------	------	-------	-----	----

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you, Commissioners, and I do adopt all of Mr. Mazer's comments.

I do want to say that I'm here on behalf of the Greater New York Taxi Association and Owners Association, which largely concerns drivers as well, which is of course essential to our industry. I'm also here because on a personal level as a Bar Association leader and as a former prosecutor, my sense of due process is deeply upset by what's going on. We have got a couple of things going on, one of them is the change of adjournment rules. And what we're doing here, let's be certain, is we're taking the discretion away from the administrative law judges. What we're doing in changing the discretionary powers of administrative law judges to be certain is changing the powers of the administrative law judges' discretion of the administrative law judges that were appointed and screened by this agency itself. So, we are not happy with the discretion that's being given buy these ALJs, and we are going to reduce their powers to do the fact-finding and to exercise

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	their discretion.
3	It's ironic, because just recently I
4	received or became aware of a plethora of
5	appeals decisions where the TLC was not ready
6	on dates by their inspectors for the dates
7	that they set, that the TLC inspectors set
8	and the agencies set, were not ready, the
9	adjournment was denied and brought to the
10	appeals bureau, and the denial of the
11	adjournment was appealed by this agency and
12	overturned. The appeals bureau signed off on
13	by the General Counsel.
14	MR. FRASER: Whoa, whoa, hold on a
15	second. I do not decide appeals, please.
16	MR. MAZER: Your name is on the bottom
17	of it.
18	MR. FRASER: Alright, yeah, they sign
19	them in my name. That's actually one of the
20	things that this rule would change. I do not
21	see appeals decisions.
22	MR. GERBER: Right. But this agency has
23	a history of appealing its own denial of

adjournments when it seeks the adjournments,

that's number one.

24

1	TT.C	PIIRLIC	HEARING	11	/18	/10
<u></u>	$_{\rm L}$	FUDLIC	TIDAKTING		/ _	/ _ U

2	As to the prima facie case or the fact
3	on a piece of paper, I would like to take
4	some umbrage also at the comparison between
5	ECB. The violations of this agency are quite
6	different than the violations that are often
7	given. They are very fact specific. The
8	statement given by who happens to be my
9	Councilwoman, I understand the frustration.
10	But the specific charge given in that
11	particular case had to do with the type of
12	reckless driving. That is not the type of
13	allegation that, let's say, did not properly
14	dispose of a flammable liquid or some other
15	type of thing which either happened or did
16	not. A reckless driving is akin to a court
17	case which is very, very fact specific, and
18	the idea of being able to defend such a
19	charge without cross-examination is virtually
20	impossible. I don't know what evidence I
21	would come in to rebut an allegation on a
22	piece of paper of that type of conduct. I
23	would not know how to do that cross-
24	examination. Maybe an attorney with better
25	power than I can figure it out, but as

1 TLC	PUBLIC	HEARING	11.	/18	/10
-------	--------	---------	-----	-----	-----

someone who has tried many jury cases and has tried many bench trials, I don't know how I would possibly cross-examine that piece of paper. The Administrative Code of the City of New York gives due process rights and the Administrative Code specifically recognizes that cross-examination is one of those essential rights.

Here, what we do is we put the burden on the respondent to make a motion to the court to bring in a person to cross-examine. This is not consistent with the Administrative Code. Again, liking it to a sanitation issue I really think is a stretch because of the very fact-specific nature of the types of charges that appear here. There are all types of issues that are subject to cross-examination.

You know, you get a violation, a driver gets a violation in this forum for not checking the back seat when they drop of a passenger. They take a passenger from the airport, they don't check the back seat, the passenger alleges three weeks later that he

1 TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/	_	Т.Г.	C BORPI	C HEARIN	G LL	/ T Q	/ _	Lι
-----------------------------	---	------	---------	----------	------	-------	-----	----

lost his wallet in that cab and the driver didn't check. How am I supposed to prove or disprove that allegation on a piece of paper? I mean cross-examining the witness would be interesting. I know I don't like the type of questions when I lose my wallet every other day and my wife says, "Where's the last place you had it?" You know, well, that's a difficult question. And that's a difficult question that you would ask to a person who makes the allegation that "I lost my wallet in the back seat of a cab."

Again, I could go on and on with the type of allegations, but those type of cases are thrown out routinely in court when there is a tort of a bailment issue and they base it on the ALJ decision that came out of TLC, routinely thrown out of court because the proof is insufficient. But here, it's okay. How you could possibly sustain those charges in almost the whole plethora of type of charges that a driver could be faced with on a piece of paper and cross-examine a piece of paper? It is virtually impossible to prove a

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	negative, I don't know how to do it.
3	MR. YASSKY: Thank you.
4	(Applause)
5	MR. YASSKY: Are there questions by
6	Commissioners?
7	MS. POLANCO: Well, my question is, I
8	thought that the driver when he appears at a
9	hearing, basically he will have the option to
10	call the officer, the issuing officer.
11	MR. YASSKY: That's correct.
12	MS. POLANCO: But the issue here is that
13	the hearing, when they come in for the first
14	time, let's say there is no adjournment by
15	the driver, automatically there will be an
16	adjournment because the issuing officer will
17	not be there.
18	MR. YASSKY: If I can, Mr. Gerber, if
19	there is an issue in dispute; so, what this
20	enables, and the goal, my goal, my primary
21	goal here is to maximize the use of our very
22	limited enforcement resources and enable our
23	officers to be in the field writing tickets
24	for illegal street hails every possible

minute, rather than in court, when they have

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	no need to be there, right?
3	If there are facts in dispute, the
4	respondent's, the driver's right to cross-
5	examine is unchanged. If there are facts in
6	dispute, the driver can say "This summons is
7	inaccurate. I need to examine the officer to
8	show why it is inaccurate, to show that there
9	are other things that weren't reflected
10	here," they can do that.
11	But in the bulk of cases in which there
12	are no facts in dispute, we don't have to
13	have our officers sitting in the Long Island
14	City hearing area for their entire shift when
15	they could be out writing tickets. That's
16	the goal.
17	MR. FRASER: Mr. Gerber's hypothetical I
18	think is precisely the argument that would
19	lead to the calling of the inspector. In
20	other words, he said, "The facts are in
21	dispute, I need to call him. I need to
22	cross-examine him. I can't cross-examine a
23	paper." What this rule is intended for is if
24	there is a defense that has nothing to do

with the inspector's testimony. For example,

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	service was incorrect, or "I wasn't the
3	person in the car," or whatever it might be
4	that calling the inspector has nothing to do
5	with it.
6	But, there's one other point. When I
7	was at the Department of Buildings, you would
8	be amazed how many times the respondent asked
9	to have the inspector called and the judge
10	would say, "Are you contesting the
11	violation?" and the answer was "No."
12	"Well, why are we calling the
13	inspector?" And that's what this is intended
14	to root out, delay for delay's sake.
15	MR. GERBER: Can I answer the question
16	posed by the Commissioner? The question of
17	the Commissioner was, do you have a right to
18	call the witness? And the answer is
19	certainly 100 percent, no, you do not. What
20	you have the right to do is make a motion to
21	the judge to request a witness, which is the
22	same effect as let's say an oath proceeding
23	of asking the court to issue subpoenas
24	which it virtually never does, very, very
25	rarely.

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	MR. FRASER: Again you have insulted me.
3	I was an ALJ for 10 years, I signed many,
4	many subpoenas, Mr. Gerber.
5	MR. GERBER: And I could bring in
6	testimony by one of your lawyers on the
7	record that said "We don't do that here." I
8	have that transcript, Mr. Fraser.
9	So, what you would do is you do not
10	have the right. The question, Commissioner,
11	I thought was, do you have the right to call
12	witnesses? No you do not have the right to
13	call witnesses. You have the right to make a
14	motion to ask for the right to call
15	witnesses. And as you know, being familiar
16	with the court system, that's a big
17	difference between having the right to make a
18	motion and having the right to do something.
19	I have, as a litigator in the court
20	system representing parties, I have subpoena
21	powers myself. I can subpoena witnesses. If
22	they don't come to a lawful issued subpoena
23	that was done in the course of litigation,
24	the judge could hold that person in
25	contempt.

Τ	ILC PUBLIC HEARING II/10/10
2	In this proceeding, all I have is a
3	right to a motion, and the motion I'm calling
4	is and what am I doing? I'm asking simply
5	for the judge to call the witness against my
6	client. That's what I'm asking them to do.
7	So, I asked you if The Charter of the
8	City of New York says that there must be due
9	process in this forum, and that due process
10	says you have a right to cross-examine
11	witnesses, and that is exactly what The City
12	Charter says. If The City Charter of New
13	York says I have a right to due process and
14	due process includes the right to cross-
15	examine witnesses, how is that right
16	discretionary with the court?
17	MS. POLANCO: Where is that in the rules
18	about a motion to call a witness?
19	MR. FRASER: Where that is
20	MS. POLANCO: Because my understanding
21	is they appear at the hearing, if they tell
22	the ALJ judge basically that that they
23	dispute the facts as they are in the
24	violation, "I'm requesting to speak with the
25	officer," does it have to prove anything

	70
1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	else?
3	MR. FRASER: I have to say the purpose
4	of the rule is to leave very wide discretion,
5	and as I said, the intent was to mimic the
6	ECB's rules and procedure in that respect.
7	Where it is, it's under requests for
8	adjournment, so, in the new version of the
9	rules it is 18-09(a)(4), and it's
10	adjournments to obtain the testimony of a
11	complaining witness.
12	One of the purposes of the rules is
13	notice. It's supposed to inform people the
14	kind of things you have to say, and of course
15	inform the judges the kind of things we have

one of the purposes of the rules is notice. It's supposed to inform people the kind of things you have to say, and of course inform the judges the kind of things we have to find. So, as the respondent must explain the subject of the testimony the respondent intends to obtain, Mr. Gerber says, "I want to cross-examine him because I'm going to contradict what he has to say," that's the subject matter. ALJ will find good cause if he concludes that the complainant's witness is reasonably likely to be necessary to a fair hearing. Cross-examination of an adverse witness all on material facts is very

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	likely to be reasonably likely to be
3	necessary.
4	And then the non-attendance of the
5	complaining witness is submitted a sworn
6	oh, that's a different issue. So, that's
7	what he needs to show: (i) and (ii) is what
8	he has to show. And my position on it is
9	that the judge then has very wide discretion
10	to determine that that's good cause.
11	And my expectation would be that barring
12	some fairly bizarre circumstances I can't
13	anticipate, if that hypothetical as
14	Mr. Gerber just spelled it out were the case,
15	the witness would be called. Now, it might
16	not be if this was the eighth time the case
17	was on or some other bizarre circumstance,
18	but as a general matter, I would expect that
19	to be the case.
20	MR. GERBER: And that's exactly my
21	point. My point is that it requires a
22	motion, it requires the burden to show that
23	there is good causes on the party calling the
24	witness. The judge must then determine that

there is good cause and that it will somehow

1	TT.C	PIIRLIC	HEARING	11	/18	/10
<u></u>	$_{\rm L}$	FUDLIC	TIDAKTING		/ _	/ _ U

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

impact. So, in other words, I have to make

my case of what my cross-examination is going

to reveal before I cross-examine.

Remember, this is not a trial where I have deposition testimony to say, "Look, there has been conflict in testimony." What do I have other than my driver says this is not true and this would bear witness? And this procedure makes it a discretionary, it shifts the burden, it shifts the burden on the party seeking to call the witness. Ιt requires a finding of fact by the judge that it would have some material effect without the ability to cross-examine that witness in the first place to find out these issues of fact and the bearing omissions and perhaps the triteness or silliness of the allegation.

If we take the position that all drivers are guilty, you know, it's just a rubber stamp anyway, then I agree, then we don't need these procedures. You know, but the purpose of these rules should not be about the kangaroo to jump higher.

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	MR. GIANNOULIS: Do we know
3	approximately what percentages of the cases
4	actually end up currently with one of our
5	inspectors testifying?
6	MR. FRASER: My understanding is it's
7	about 10 percent, but someone in the back
8	might have a better number.
9	MR. MAZER: I said in my testimony my
10	records show 10 percent of the cases that I
11	have handled were adjourned because the
12	issuing officer was not available.
13	MR. FRASER: Maybe Deputy Commissioner
14	Mullings might know. But I had the idea it
15	was about 10 percent.
16	MS. MULLINGS: What was the question?
17	MR. GIANNOULIS: Just of these cases,
18	currently what percentage does an inspector
19	show up?
20	MS. MULLINGS: Any summons written in
21	the field, the inspector shows up.
22	MR. GIANNOULIS: Any summons?
23	MS. MULLINGS: Written by an officer,
24	written from actions in the field, the
25	inspector shows up.

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	MR. YASSKY: So 100 percent?
3	MS. MULLINGS: 100 percent.
4	MR. FRASER: But how many end up
5	testifying? In other words, they show up now
6	because
7	MS. MULLINGS: Unless somebody takes a
8	plea of guilty, they testify. Every now and
9	again there is a rare moment where the rep
10	will stip to the testimony, but in 99 percent
11	of the cases that go to hearings the
12	inspector testifies.
13	MR. YASSKY: Or shows up and is prepared
14	to testify.
15	MS. MULLINGS: Shows up to testify.
16	MR. GIANNOULIS: On average, how many
17	cases are seen a day would you see?
18	MS. MULLINGS: The overnight squad
19	writes, actually writes for an entire day of
20	hearings. The other inspectors schedule
21	basically either a morning session or
22	afternoon session. So, they are tied up
23	through their entire shift basically.
24	MR. YASSKY: Thank you.

MS. POLANCO: You mentioned the goal

TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
that we're trying to accomplish with this, we
want to basically not have the inspector tied
up sitting around in hearings, and we want
them out there on the street issuing
summonses.
MR. YASSKY: Yeah, unless they are
needed to adjudicate the facts in dispute,
then of course their place is in the hearing
room.
MS. MULLINGS: Thank you. I would just
like to point out an issue as having been in
unfortunately about 20 years in Sanitation.
The Sanitation summonses, the officers do not
appear. The uniform forces did not appear
for most of the hearings.
MR. FRASER: Those are ECB?
MS. MULLINGS: ECB summonses.
MR. FRASER: Parking violation
summonses, the cops do not go.
MR. YASSKY: In speaking of Mr. Gerber's
point, let me ask General Counsel, do ECB
rules give the power of subpoena to the
respondent?

MR. FRASER: I actually don't know.

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	They may formally refer to subpoenas. Deputy
3	Commissioner Scanlon would know this.
4	MR. SCANLON: The rules that were in
5	effect when I was there, the judges did have
6	subpoena power.
7	MR. YASSKY: The judges do, but do the
8	respondents?
9	MR. SCANLON: The judge would issue a
10	subpoena based on respondent's request.
11	MR. FRASER: But in the case of an
12	inspector of an agency employee, the subpoena
13	wouldn't be used, it would just be adjourned
14	and the agency instructed to call the
15	inspector.
16	MR. SCANLON: Due to a disputed fact,
17	the judge would screen the basis on an
18	issuing officer. Not just Sanitation, the
19	Parks Departments, even the Police
20	Department, when cases are general, they
21	include them and they would not automatically
22	show up.
23	MS. POLANCO: Basically, when you get
24	let's say a DMV violation, you appear and so
25	forth. If you have an issue, you request an

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	adjournment because you want to produce the
3	officer, but I don't know about proving or
4	speaking with the judge trying to prove
5	basically why you need the testimony of the
6	officer I think. Does that happen?
7	MR. FRASER: Yes, at ECB, well, in
8	general. As a general matter, if you are
9	calling asking for adjournment of a witness
10	called, most bureaus, the judge would want to
11	know what for and would want to make sure
12	that it is not a waste of time. And
13	certainly when I was an oath judge, I did not
14	allow witnesses who had nothing material to
15	say. If the issue was not contested, if it
16	was irrelevant or whatever, I did not allow
17	the witness.
18	MR. YASSKY: Can we hear from Deputy
19	Commissioner Mullings if there is additional?
20	MS. MULLINGS: I mean, I would just
21	point out that in Sanitation there were some
22	summonses where we would appear, and there
23	are certain summonses that we will appear
24	because there will be questions of fact.
25	Some summonses, for example, whether it could

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	be a simple thing as whether there was a
3	missing detail, I don't know that we need to
4	appear on that unless somebody is coming in.
5	But I mean, there are going to be some
6	issues where we're going to appear. And the
7	same way in Sanitation where the respondent
8	could request to appear.
9	MR. GIANNOULIS: How detailed is the
10	information in the summons?
11	MS. MULLINGS: Well, what I'm saying is
12	once this rule passes, we will be changing
13	how we write the summonses, so they will have
14	to be more detailed, so they will spell out
15	the facts.
16	MR. GIANNOULIS: Currently?
17	MR. YASSKY: Often not at all, just
18	"checked," you know. And you are right,
19	Commissioner Polanco. I feel like what
20	you're getting at is just put some kind of
21	burden on the judges to do the job, which I
22	have faith that they do, in determining
23	whether their issue is in dispute or not.
24	And that is, you know, that does become part
25	of their job, you are right.

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	MS. POLANCO: Especially because we have
3	at these hearings, a lot of these drivers are
4	pro se, so, they are not represented by
5	counsel, they're not going to be looking at
6	summonses and say you know, they way they
7	will explain it to the judge I mean.
8	MR. FRASER: That is true, but the
9	judges are obligated and there's still a
10	relatively new three, four-year-old code of
11	ethics that applies to judges that spells out
12	in a fair amount of detail their obligations
13	when a party appearing before them has no
14	counsel, and they stick strictly to it. I've
15	seen transcripts, I have listened to
16	recordings, they are very good about it. So,
17	I would not be too worried about that.
18	MR. YASSKY: For example, when
19	Mr. Gerber points out that it's not uncommon
20	for judges to deny the Commission's request
21	for an adjournment such then the Commission
22	goes and appeals, I think that tells you a
23	little bit about the independence of the
24	judges, you know, they're not there just to

be rubber stamps.

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	MR. GIANNOULIS: Would it be problematic
3	then if they as part of their little speech
4	explain that they have the ability to call in
5	the inspector?
6	MR. FRASER: I don't see why, and if it
7	isn't already there, we're going to add it.
8	MR. YASSKY: That's an excellent idea.
9	I think that is clear.
10	Look, the goal of course is to identify
11	the cases where there is a factual issue and
12	the cases where there is not. And if there
13	is not, the amount of, you know, kind of
14	bureaucratic time we will make as little as
15	possible.
16	MR. GIANNOULIS: I mean, people should
17	certainly should have a clear understanding
18	that they have a right to do that if they
19	think that there's something under it. I
20	mean, a bunch of these summonses I assume are
21	factual and are not that under dispute,
22	right? I mean in terms of whether it is
23	equipment issues or other things that the
24	inspector really has nothing to offer
25	anyway.

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	MR. FRASER: That's right. And a number
3	of them aren't eye witness cases. In other
4	words, the administrative summonses that we
5	wrote, the computer record shows this or that
6	or the other thing, the person who wrote the
7	summons is going to relatively add to that.
8	But yes, many of them are eye witness
9	cases. In the field, you picked up a street
10	hail, you know, "I saw the person paying."
11	MR. YASSKY: For what it's worth, they
12	are. I think Mr. Gerber is correct in saying
13	that a lot of these cases are fact
14	intensive. That doesn't necessarily mean
15	though that there are disputed facts. I
16	mean, you know, "I saw a cell phone," the
17	facts are more detailed than maybe an average
18	Sanitation violation, but that doesn't mean
19	they're disputed.
20	"I saw that the driver was speaking on
21	the cell phone," now, the officer doesn't
22	have to show up.
23	Judge says, "Were you speaking on the
24	cell phone?" "Yes." If the answer is yes,

then the facts are non-disputed.

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	You know, "You are denying that you were
3	speaking on the cell phone?" "Yes." "Okay,
4	then we need to have the officer here."
5	I think that you will find that most
6	people though tell the truth and will not say
7	that the facts are in dispute if later it's
8	going to turn out that they really are not.
9	Is there a further discussion?
10	MS. POLANCO: Was there something else
11	you needed to say?
12	MR. GERBER: Yes. I mean, I think the
13	point of this is that there are problems with
14	the rule as written. Commissioner
15	Giannoulis' point that the witness should be
16	advised of their rights, one of the things
17	that an advisory of the rights would do is it
18	would remind the ALJ of their duty to
19	institute justice where a fact is in
20	dispute. There is no guidelines here of why
21	that would be necessary.
22	I think these rules need to be amended.
23	With all due respect, Mr. Chairman, I would
24	beg my request for adjournment, so that you
25	can contemplate a little bit of a safeguard

1 TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/	_	Т.Г.	C BORPI	C HEARIN	G LL	/ T Q	/ _	Lι
-----------------------------	---	------	---------	----------	------	-------	-----	----

needed just so that people have the ability and the right to cross-examine witnesses that are essential. And it is very difficult to make a case of why you need to cross-examine. I mean, you gave a point about "I saw a witness on the cell phone." Well, if there were three people in the back seat and you are sitting behind the rate card, maybe it is, maybe you saw something you didn't think you had seen, which is one of the reasons why cross-examination is important.

MR. GIANNOULIS: I'm not advocating for this, but just maybe to save some time. I actually believe that while attorneys will find value in being able to have the inspector, I actually think that most people will not want the inspector there, because chances are you are going to get off better if he's not there. There is no debate about that in my mind, so, eventually, people figure that out, because the judge will be more lenient. "Oh, okay. Well, I was only on the phone for a second. You know, the guy really screwed me, and you know, it was my

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	wife and she was driving me crazy," the
3	judge, you know, "Okay." There's no debate
4	about what's going to happen.
5	MR. YASSKY: Thanks for the dose of
6	reality.
7	MR. GIANNOULIS: Again, maybe this
8	defeats the purpose of this rule, so, I don't
9	know if this is a very good idea, but is
10	there possibly some process during the
11	summons, kind of a notification where
12	somebody could express their intent as also,
13	"I would like to have the inspector"?
14	Because I think a lot of people will say,
15	"No. I don't want the inspector there
16	because he busted me. He caught me. I don't
17	want him there."
18	MR. YASSKY: I think you are absolutely
19	right that, you know, I think our operations
20	need to be improved. That starts with a
21	hand-held device for the officers to issue
22	the summons. And the reason I put it that
23	way is then we can build into the document
24	that the person gets. We could do this
25	today, but once our processing of the

1 TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/	_	Т.Г.	C BORPI	C HEARIN	G LL	/ T Q	/ _	Lι
-----------------------------	---	------	---------	----------	------	-------	-----	----

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

summonses is automated, we can build in the opportunity to say, you know, "I'm contesting this and I think there are facts in dispute," and then we can know.

The whole goal of this is for us to know of the thousands of summonses that we issue which are the handful of real cases and which aren't. And we don't have to have the full, you know, people just sit and stand and wait for something that's not a real case. Once we have the hand-held, then we can move to an online ability for -- and the point of about notice by the way, you are right, kind of an ideal world we would send the notice to the respondent and to the representative as well. All of these things are operational, and take, you know, it is a question of what our priorities are for implementing operational improvements; you know, which sooner and which later. You know, we don't think that that one -- that we don't see much evidence of kind of day-to-day practical problems, Mr. Mazer, but nonetheless you're right, the representative should get notice too.

1	TT.C	PIIRLIC	HEARING	11	/18	/10
<u></u>	$_{\rm L}$	FUDLIC	TIDAKTING		/ _	/ _ U

Once we have the hand-helds and then you can go to the online response both to ask for adjournments, to reschedule on your own, to pay, just to plead guilty online and pay in advance, all of that will enable us to identify the real cases and separate the real cases from the ones where it is just a matter of getting to them, and quicker.

So, you were right about those operational improvements. We will certainly incorporate. I want to tell you, when this goes into effect, the ALJs will get training on this as they do on all new rules, and Deputy Commissioner Scanlon and our new Deputy Chief ALJ have done really a very nice job in kind of stepping up our training regimen for ALJs. They'll be trained on the rule, and that will include a directive to advise people that if you think that there are facts in dispute and you want to question the inspector, that you can ask the judge to call on the inspector.

Mr. Gerber?

MR. GERBER: Mr. Chairman, I do want to

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	caution that I think some of these goals that
3	you are stating are fair and I wish they were
4	incorporated into the rules that you are
5	about to pass. What you are about to do, if
6	you do it, is change the essential due
7	process rights that have been in effect in
8	this organization since it started, since
9	this Commission started.
10	It is ironic to me slightly, when I was
11	going through some of the past issues that
12	have here, is that just not too long ago, we
13	just changed the rules so that you allowed
14	testimony by witnesses via video
15	conferencing. At the hearings for that, it
16	was suggested, "Don't worry, you'll still
17	have all your due process rights to cross-
18	examine." Apparently those rights that we
19	talked about, those due process rights that
20	we talked about when we went to video
21	conferencing no longer exist and they have to
22	be by request of the judge.
23	If you're going to shift the burden, if

you're going to say that a piece of paper is

enough to take away someone's livelihood --

24

Τ	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	and another distinction between the
3	Sanitation summons and the summonses that are
4	issued by this body is that particularly for
5	drivers you are talking about things that
6	could result their suspension, their ability
7	to put food on their table, to pay for their
8	children's school, to pay for their rent, and
9	in doing so, they should have due process
LO	rights. And the severity of the punishment
L1	involved, the lack of livelihood is such that
L2	I believe that it gives rise to a greater due
L3	process rights, and I think that's what all
L4	Administrative Code decisions that I have
L5	read say that, say that it has to do with the
L6	deprivation that is going on by the body. A
L7	fine for leaving the garbage out is not the
L8	same thing. So, I do ask that these
L9	suggestions that were made today be
20	incorporated into these rules and they are
21	re-examined when those changes are made.
22	Thank you.
23	(Applause)
24	MR. YASSKY: Thank you. As strongly as

I do feel that these rules are not just smart

Т	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	but fairly common sense, I'm glad that we had
3	the discussion. I think it's good to have
4	kind of a full and thorough airing of the
5	issues including points of view with which I
6	disagree. So, I appreciate all of the folks
7	who testified here to bring those issues
8	forward.
9	Do we have a motion to approve the
10	rule?
11	(No response)
12	MR. YASSKY: I will move to approve the
13	rule as written. All in favor, say, "aye."
14	THE COMMISSION: (In unison) Aye.
15	MR. YASSKY: Opposed, "no"?
16	(No response)
17	MR. YASSKY: By unanimous vote, the rule
18	is adopted. Thank you, Commissioners.
19	MS. POLANCO: I mean, I did approve the
20	rule, but I would just like to maybe between
21	now and December well, by December, I
22	would like to see basically some of the
23	suggestions that we spoke about, something in
24	writing regarding instructions to the ALJ,
25	maybe something in the summons itself where

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	people can check that they are disputing the
3	facts, something. I want basically just
4	something in writing.
5	MR. YASSKY: We will do that. Thank
6	you, Commissioner. Thank you for that
7	suggestion.
8	The last item is, and I know it is now
9	20 minutes before noon, Commissioners, so, I
10	don't want to impose on your schedules, I did
11	think it was a good time for me to kind of
12	bring you fully up to speed on the Taxi of
13	Tomorrow project. I outlined at the
14	beginning of this session the broad outline
15	of where we are in the process, but we
16	haven't as a group discussed it in some
17	time.
18	Given that the responses involved
19	confidential material from the proposers, it
20	is necessary that we retire to executive
21	session to do that. So, I'm going to move
22	that we now move to executive session to
23	discuss the Taxi of Tomorrow project. If
24	that motion is approved, we will then adjourn
25	this meeting. We can do that, so, we'll

1	TLC PUBLIC HEARING 11/18/10
2	adjourn the meeting and then we can do that
3	in the conference room inside so people don't
4	have to leave more expeditiously than they
5	otherwise would.
6	So, I move that we convene executive
7	session to discuss the Taxi of Tomorrow
8	project. All in favor, "aye."
9	THE COMMISSION: (In unison) Aye.
10	MR. YASSKY: Opposed, "no"?
11	(No response)
12	MR. YASSKY: Okay, the motion is
13	approved. With that, this meeting is
14	adjourned. Thank you.
15	(TIME NOTED: 11:48 a.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	STATE OF NEW YORK)
	: SS.:
4	COUNTY OF NEW YORK)
5	
6	
7	I, CASEY MARTIN, a Stenotype Reporter and
8	Notary Public for the State of New York, do hereby
9	certify:
10	THAT this is a true and accurate
11	transcription of the Taxi & Limousine Commission
12	Public Hearing held on November 18, 2010.
13	I further certify that I am not related
14	either by blood or marriage to any of the parties
15	in this matter; and
16	I am not in any way interested in the
17	outcome of this matter.
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
19	hand this 18th day of November 2010.
20	
21	
	CASEY MARTIN
22	
23	
24	

A ability 11:19 72:15 80:4 83:2 85:13 88:6 able 62:18 83:16 above-ground 17:22 absolute 59:4 **absolutely** 54:8 84:18 acceptable 12:7 access 11:19,24 28:18 accessible 11:22 accident 27:12,21 29:11 accompanying 48:14 accomplish 75:2 accrues 35:21 accumulate 35:23 accumulated 25:4 34:9 accurate 92:10 achieve 3:9 20:17 51:18 acknowledge 9:9,14 acquisitions 3:25 action 57:18,19 actions 73:24 activities 33:11 activity 55:13,14 actual 13:4,21 59:20 add 56:6 80:7 81:7 added 9:18 addition 4:17 7:23 22:14 39:25 **additional** 19:6.16 27:11 30:2 77:19 additions 16:16 address 11:17 28:14 47:18 adds 37:6 adhere 22:4 **adjourn** 58:10 90:24 91:2 adjourned 50:19 52:4,7 52:11,14,16,18 58:13 73:11 76:13 91:14 **adjournment** 46:6 53:2 54:6 56:25 60:13 61:9 61:11 65:14,16 70:8 77:2.9 79:21 82:24 **adjournments** 35:11 49:2 52:22,23 53:21 54:16 59:16 61:24,24 70:10 86:4 **adjudicate** 34:22 75:8 **adjudicated** 34:24 37:12 adjudicates 34:17 **adjudication** 19:25 34:23 35:6,7,9,15,17,25 42:18 54:2 adjudications 36:4 43:5 47:5,14 48:15 56:11 adjusting 11:7 Adler 2:13 30:21,24 **Adler's** 36:7 administrative 44:23

60:16,18,19,20 63:5,7 63:13 81:4 88:14 admitted 47:25 51:14 adopt 17:25 18:3,4,18,20 42:11 60:2 adopted 18:12 19:2,16 21:17 42:17,17 46:12 89:18 advance 86:6 advantage 27:9 adverse 70:25 advise 86:20 advised 82:16 advisory 82:17 advocated 57:9 advocates 12:15 advocating 83:13 **affirmed** 47:23 48:22 afraid 39:16 afternoon 74:22 agencies 51:6 61:8 agency 7:22 49:20 60:22 61:11,22 62:5 76:12,14 **agenda** 11:18 agents 47:3 ago 8:18 25:20 33:12 34:6 43:4 87:12 agree 72:22 ahead 37:24 air 8:13 airing 89:4 airport 63:24 akin 62:16 alcohol 28:4 Alice 17:4,20 **ALJ** 64:18 68:3 69:22 70:21 82:18 86:16 89:24 **ALJs** 60:24 86:13,18 allegation 50:8 62:13,21 64:4.12 72:19 **allegations** 48:2 64:15 alleged 45:20 48:2 50:11 50:13 alleges 63:25 allow 43:20 47:19 77:14 77:16 allowed 87:13 allowing 30:4 allows 48:25 **Alright** 54:23 61:18 altogether 57:5 **amazed** 67:8 amended 82:22

amendment 26:25

amendments 47:13

amends 42:25 43:2

announced 5:6,8

80:13

amount 20:15 79:12

Andrew 2:12 26:15,19

announcement 6:20 announcing 10:8 answer 54:18 67:11,15,18 81:24 **answers** 37:15 anticipate 71:13 antsy 31:8 anyway 23:25 24:10 72:22 80:25 apace 5:8 **Apparently** 87:18 appeal 53:9,9,10 **appealed** 44:22 61:11 appealing 61:23 appeals 42:7,9 46:6 61:5 61:10,12,15,21 79:22 **appear** 44:15 63:17 69:21 75:15,15 76:24 77:22 77:23 78:4.6.8 **appearances** 52:10 54:4 appearing 79:13 appears 65:8 applauds 27:5 **Applause** 3:21 16:2 17:14 22:18 26:10 32:4 33:23 65:4 88:23 applicable 21:20 applications 18:13 applies 79:11 **apply** 16:8 26:6 28:3 50:10 appointed 60:21 **appointment** 3:18 4:25 **appreciate** 6:17 14:13 15:5 16:24 17:13 32:12 89:6 approach 15:11 appropriate 46:11 approval 18:17 approve 41:4 89:9,12,19 **approved** 3:18 8:7 28:11 30:13 90:24 91:13 approximately 73:3 **April** 20:5 21:6 43:3 area 66:14 arguendo 39:9 argument 66:18 arising 22:6 armed 13:21 **Ashwini** 7:3.8.22 asked 44:6,15 67:8 69:7 asking 5:18 44:12 67:23 69:4,6 77:9 asks 56:24 aspect 15:4 asserts 50:9 **association** 2:14 32:6 37:20 46:22 60:5.6.9 assume 53:15 80:20 assuming 39:9 attend 28:22 44:7

attorney 37:18 52:19,20 52:25 53:4,5,11,12,14 53:15 54:10 58:14 62:24 attorneys 37:20 38:4 51:25 53:17,22 54:3 83:15 attract 15:8 attributes 6:9 atypical 34:21 **August** 28:14 44:23 **authority** 41:7 50:10 authorized 53:17 automated 85:2 automatically 65:15 76:21 **available** 49:6 73:12 average 9:4 24:13 74:16 81:17 aware 52:25 61:4 aye 18:6,7,21,22 19:10,11 21:12,13 42:12,13 89:13.14 91:8.9 **a.m** 1:15 91:15 В

back 9:19 12:23 16:10 17:12,22 21:6 32:25 33:8,20 34:12 37:3 38:15 39:21 41:8 63:22 63:24 64:13 73:7 83:8 **bad** 17:7 badge 15:13,13 **badly** 44:5 bailment 64:17 **balance** 10:17 51:13 Bar 60:9 barring 71:11 base 18:13 64:17 based 25:19 36:24 38:19 39:19 57:25 76:10 bases 12:5 18:17 19:3,16 **basically** 34:4.5.12.13 35:6 65:9 69:22 74:21 74:23 75:3 76:23 77:5 89:22 90:3 basis 48:13 53:18 76:17 bear 72:9 bearing 4:23 72:17 beautiful 16:4 beg 82:24 **beginning** 13:3 90:14 behalf 2:14 43:14 60:4 belabor 36:7 belief 11:23 38:21 **believe** 4:21 5:2,21 48:3 49:17 56:13 83:15 88:12 believes 27:10

bench 63:3

beneficial 29:19

chapters 43:5 best 5:19 68:11,13,14 69:5,18 **Commission** 1:3 3:17 better 17:16 33:10 62:24 72:12 76:14 80:4 86:23 **charge** 56:7 62:10,19 4:14,18,19 5:3 6:12 7:2 called 5:16 38:10 67:9 charges 63:17 64:21,23 8:7 9:10 11:20 12:12 73:8 83:19 beyond 27:11 71:15 77:10 **CHARLES** 2:8 13:12 18:7,16,22 19:6 big 12:18 68:16 **calling** 66:19 67:4,12 69:3 **Charter** 51:7 69:7,12,12 19:11 21:2,13 31:25 biggest 32:9 71:23 77:9 check 6:18 63:24 64:3 42:13 43:17 79:21 87:9 bike 24:16 calls 35:20 90:2 89:14 91:9 92:11 **billion** 10:15 **checked** 78:18 car 15:15 44:2 67:3 **Commissioner** 2:6,7,7,8 bind 59:18 card 8:15.18.25 9:3.4 checking 63:22 2:16.17 3:3.16 7:7 bit 79:23 82:25 cheery 9:8 15:14 16:3 17:10,10 bizarre 71:12,17 cards 8:20 Chhabra 7:3,5 31:25 32:19 37:17 39:8 care 4:7 6:10 32:10 chief 47:10 86:16 blackout 13:19 40:24 45:24,24 56:11 blocking 31:19 **child** 44:4 carry 15:15 67:16,17 68:10 73:13 **blocks** 13:25 cars 24:11 children's 88:8 76:3 77:19 78:19 82:14 case 10:24 44:15,24 45:3 blood 92:14 86:15 90:6 chooses 52:8 **Bloomberg** 5:6 45:5.16 51:3 53:11 Christian 26:12 Commissioners 2:5 12:17 **Board** 2:16 46:19,22 55:22,25 56:5 57:12 **circulated** 22:13 43:8 20:13 22:13.20 23:4 48:18 56:10,13 58:3 62:2,11,17 71:14 circumstance 71:17 26:18 30:24 32:18 71:16,19 72:3 76:11 circumstances 71:12 33:24 43:9 46:20 54:20 body 88:4.16 book 19:23 20:8,16 21:16 83:5 85:11 **cited** 25:7 60:2 65:6 89:18 90:9 **books** 10:17 cases 31:13 55:23 57:8 City 1:3 3:19 4:9 6:6 7:11 **Commission's** 13:14,25 **border** 13:19 7:21 10:9,13,16,20 23:9 26:25 79:20 59:21 63:2 64:15 66:11 Borough 4:11 73:3.10.17 74:11.17 24:18 26:22 29:8 30:7 committed 58:18 bothering 31:2 76:20 80:11,12 81:3,9 48:17 49:21 51:7 63:5 committee 5:11 **bottom** 38:25 61:16 81:13 85:8 86:7,8 66:14 69:8,11,12 **common** 89:2 box 8:19 **CASEY** 92:7,21 clarifies 38:23 **community** 4:8 12:15 **bring** 9:13 29:18 63:12 catch 31:10 34:14,16 **clarify** 46:6,8 26:20,23 68:5 89:7 90:12 caught 84:16 clarity 20:17 30:12 commuter 27:6 bringing 4:13 cause 46:4 70:21 71:10 class 14:4 29:19 Company 5:14 **broad** 90:14 71:25 cleanliness 8:13 compared 8:20 broader 6:5,6 causes 71:23 clear 10:11 45:5 49:19 comparison 62:4 brokers 47:3 caution 87:2 80:9.17 compassionate 15:23 **Bronx** 4:11 cell 28:3 81:16,21,24 82:3 clearly 46:4 compel 49:2 brothers 17:16 83:7 Clearview 40:4 compensating 30:2 **brought** 16:22 61:9 Central 31:4 client 69:6 competition 6:18 budget 10:4,14,18,19 certain 60:14,18 77:23 Cliff 2:13 30:21 complainant 45:13 complainant's 70:22 11:6 certainly 8:10 12:11 clock 22:25 build 84:23 85:2 13:17 15:9 40:25 44:22 close 3:7 31:7 43:20 **complaining** 70:11 71:5 45:12 67:19 77:13 closely 27:17 complaint 44:6 **building** 16:16 49:13 **Buildings** 56:8,9 67:7 **complete** 20:7,8 80:17 86:11 **code** 63:5,7,14 79:10 **bulk** 66:11 certified 28:15,23 29:16 completely 21:3 88:14 **bunch** 80:20 certify 92:9,13 codifies 41:17 42:5 completion 29:5 compliance 29:6,12 burden 49:19 50:16,22 chair 13:13 22:20 59:25 cold 13:21 51:9 63:10 71:22 72:11 colleagues 17:8 component 28:10 **Chairman** 2:6 15:13 72:11 78:21 87:23 26:18 30:24 32:7 46:18 **College** 26:21,23 comprehensive 20:4 come 13:9 14:13 62:21 bureau 61:10.12 82:23 86:25 **computer** 35:2 57:16,20 challenges 14:3 65:13 68:22 bureaucratic 80:14 81:5 conceivably 38:15 **chance** 6:14.17 31:13 comedic 24:3 **bureaus** 77:10 bus 13:19 24:16 Chancellor 7:14 Comedy 24:8 conceive 56:20 **business** 3:5 11:15 13:5 comes 7:8 57:16 conception 27:18 chances 83:19 17:24 31:24 change 6:23 19:22 21:24 comfortable 29:23 concern 32:9,14 businesses 47:4 23:12,13,15 33:3 41:7,9 coming 10:15 78:4 concerned 27:19 36:11 **busted** 84:16 Comission 33:18 41:25 43:24 48:15 concerns 47:13,15 60:6 buv 60:23 53:24 54:14 60:13 commencement 29:15 concludes 70:22 61:20 87:6 commend 8:14 conclusive 55:23 \mathbf{C} **changed** 25:7 26:3 43:18 **conditions** 27:14,25 comment 20:9 22:11 C 2:1 92:1.1 48:4 55:17 87:13 37:25 40:13 43:7 conduct 62:22 cab 16:6 64:2.13 changes 21:21,24 22:8 comments 12:23,25 20:11 **conducted** 29:8 49:4 21:23 22:12 43:8 47:12 cabs 24:17 48:7 88:21 conference 91:3 call 24:8 36:13 58:10 changing 20:2 48:10 48:11 60:3 conferencing 87:15,21 60:17,19 78:12 commercial 4:4 confidence 4:16 65:10 66:21 67:18

confidential 90:19 25:25 26:4 27:12,21 day-to-day 85:23 68:17 confirmation 4:25 debate 83:20 84:3 28:13,17,24 29:8,10,11 **different** 48:20 55:15 conflict 13:22 72:7 29:14,19 30:3,12 35:12 **debt** 4:3 57:23 59:8 62:6 71:6 **conform** 48:16 35:18 41:11,15 58:6 **December** 11:16 12:10,22 **difficult** 10:7 64:10,10 congratulations 33:2 60:7 68:23 70:14 75:9 12:24 89:21,21 83:4 **conjunction** 5:23 28:8 decide 59:20 61:15 digest 12:25 80:10 courses 42:3 Connect 5:15 decides 49:16 directive 86:19 consider 29:25 40:2 court 54:2,13 62:16 63:11 decision 57:20,25 64:18 directly 6:11 53:4 director 2:12 26:19 56:14 49:18 64:16.19 65:25 67:23 decisions 42:7.9 46:10 considering 30:8 68:16,19 69:16 61:5,21 88:14 disabled 12:16 consistent 63:13 cover 11:4,7 24:14 **deeply** 60:11 disagree 89:6 default 57:20 58:25 59:8 **constituent** 44:2,10,14 covered 59:9 discharge 31:19 discredit 49:17 covering 10:21 constituents 43:25 defaults 56:23 **discretion** 60:15.20.23 constituents's 45:4 crazv 84:2 defeats 84:8 **create** 39:22 61:2 70:4 71:9 **constitute** 48:23 55:12 defend 51:3 62:18 discretionary 60:17 construction 24:16 created 35:7 defendant 55:24 construed 41:15 credit 8:15,17,19,24 9:3,4 **defense** 57:4 66:24 69:16 72:10 discuss 25:3 90:23 91:7 contact 45:23 14:21 16:11 30:16,17 defenses 49:18 contained 49:10 defensive 25:25 26:4 discussed 52:6 90:16 41:9 contains 6:8 critical 19:24 21:19 26:2 28:16,24 29:13,16 **discussion** 37:16 82:9 contemplate 82:25 26:5 27:2 28:5 31:5 defined 46:4 89:3 contempt 68:25 35:4 36:20 37:9 38:11 definitely 24:20 dismiss 56:5 contenders 5:12 39:2.18 42:11 delay 67:14 dismissed 53:8 delayed 45:6 contest 52:8 cross 62:23 63:18 66:4 dispatching 12:3 delays 35:12 contested 77:15 69:14 87:17 disposal 6:16 **contesting** 67:10 85:3 cross-examination 62:19 delay's 67:14 dispose 62:14 **continue** 8:10 9:19 10:12 63:8 70:24 72:3 83:12 **delighted** 32:20 46:11 disprove 64:4 24:6 cross-examine 63:4,12 delivered 29:10 **dispute** 65:19 66:3,6,12 continued 8:16 64:24 66:22,22 69:10 denial 19:4,15 61:10,23 66:21 69:23 75:8 78:23 continues 8:21 70:19 72:4,15 83:3,5 **denied** 45:6 51:2 61:9 80:21 82:7,20 85:4 continuing 14:16 cross-examining 64:5 deny 19:9 79:20 86:21 contradict 70:20 crystal 10:11 denying 82:2 **disputed** 76:16 81:15,19 contribution 27:4 curb 49:12,15 **Department** 7:15 28:12 disputing 90:2 **distinction** 49:24 55:3 control 35:16,18 48:18 currency 23:23 54:12 56:8 67:7 76:20 56:10,13 current 22:4 25:5 27:20 Departments 76:19 88:2 convene 91:6 45:24 **Department's** 56:9 distinguished 3:10,11 **convicted** 39:13,17 currently 73:4,18 78:16 **depend** 34:15 **Division** 2:11 **DMV** 30:13 34:17,22 Customer 14:18 **depends** 34:13,16 convince 50:23 cut 38:5 49:12,15 deposition 72:6 35:20,21 37:7 76:24 cooperation 28:21 deprivation 88:16 **coping** 27:23 cuts 38:13 document 84:23 cops 75:20 **cutting** 32:16 **Deputy** 2:16,17 7:6 56:11 documents 34:21 copy 47:24 73:13 76:2 77:18 86:15 doing 4:14 12:10 13:10 D core 11:3 38:24 32:13 42:4,22 60:14,16 86:16 correct 17:23 30:14,17 **dangerous** 45:8.22 describing 30:12 69:4 88:9 40:16,25 65:11 81:12 dare 12:6 design 6:4 27:18 dollars 8:9 designed 6:20 28:13,24 cost 10:21 11:5.6 data 29:4 dose 84:5 29:3 **draft** 48:3 **costs** 11:8 database 37:7 **desire** 51:14 **Council** 2:15 3:19 43:12 date 19:23 20:3 21:5,8,17 drafted 41:4 43:14 35:17.19.22.23 58:13 despite 14:3 dramatically 14:20 Councilwoman 62:9 detail 5:13 78:3 79:12 dates 61:6.6 **drive** 15:21.22 24:10 counsel 2:8 13:12 36:22 Daus 13:7 15:15,25 16:3 detailed 78:9,14 81:17 27:25 detected 35:8 **driver** 2:13 19:24 21:19 42:19 46:21 61:13 17:15,23 45:24 75:22 79:5,14 **DAVID** 2:6 determination 50:12 25:11,16 26:3,4,5,7,7 count 32:11 36:15,16 **determine** 49:4 71:10.24 26:20,22 27:2 28:6,10 day 12:20 14:17,17 15:5 counted 22:7 15:23 23:7 24:13,15 determined 43:4 29:20 30:15 31:5,16 country 14:6 26:8 33:14 34:18 41:19 **determining** 40:20 78:22 33:9 34:4,14,16 35:4,13 **counts** 34:18 44:6 57:17 64:8 74:17 develop 51:12 35:16,18 36:20 37:9 County 13:20 92:4 74:19 92:19 developed 28:10 38:11 39:2.18 42:11 days 9:20,22 19:6,17 development 3:25 **couple** 5:4 7:24 9:7,16 44:4,8,11,17,22 45:7,8 60:12 24:12,14 25:16 37:8 **device** 28:4 84:21 45:12,18 63:20 64:2,23 course 6:5 14:9 20:14 difference 55:11 58:23 65:8,15 66:6 72:8 81:20 59:4

drivers 21:20 24:12 25:8 ended 35:15 25:11,24 27:8,13,16,23 28:3,6,14,16,22,25 29:2 29:17,18,21 30:2,6 31:3 31:6,13 33:16,20,21 35:3 38:4,8 39:13,23 40:15 45:22 47:4 60:7 72:20 79:3 88:5 driver's 66:4 driver/persistant 28:5 driving 25:25 26:4 27:14 27:24 28:16,24 29:14 29:16,23 44:2 62:12,16 74:23 84:2 drop 42:3 63:22 **dropped** 14:20 56:10.12 **due** 33:15 51:8 60:10 63:6 69:8,9,13,14 76:16 82:23 87:6,17,19 88:9 88:12 duty 82:18 \mathbf{E} E 2:1.1 92:1 87.6 **earlier** 5:6 8:3 early 5:22 easily 25:13 34:6 **ECB** 48:19,21,25 49:8,18 50:2,18 51:7,17 54:12 ethics 79:11 56:15 57:14,24 58:10 58:24,25 59:14 62:5 75:17,18,22 77:7

ECB's 51:20 70:6 **ED** 2:7 **education** 7:15 25:23 27:9 **effect** 33:7,17 38:22 43:2 67:22 72:14 76:5 86:13 87:7 **effective** 19:22 20:3 21:5 21:17 43:3 effectively 29:2 49:5 efficiently 54:15 **effort** 30:3 **eight** 38:8 39:14 41:21 **eighth** 71:16 either 36:13 54:4 62:15 74:21 92:14 electric 8:5 electronic 28:4 eliminating 29:6 Elyria 4:6 embrace 28:7 **employee** 76:12 empower 15:9 **enable** 20:6 54:14 65:22 86:6 enables 65:20 encased 15:14 encounter 44:5 encourage 6:12

endangering 45:9 ends 4:10 24:14 **enforcement** 56:7 65:22 enhance 27:3 28:7 enhanced 14:19 enormous 14:14 20:15 enormously 6:25 **ensure** 11:20 29:12 ensuring 29:21 Entertainment 5:25 6:19 entire 11:8 66:14 74:19 entitled 46:5 **Environmental** 48:18 **episode** 36:16 episodes 36:25 equally 14:10 **equipment** 9:2 80:23 **especially** 31:3 79:2 essence 40:13 essential 60:7 63:9 83:4 establish 50:13 51:3,10 established 22:23 estate 3:23 4:5 Ethan 2:14 32:5 59:23 eventually 83:21 everybody 3:20 16:9 evidence 47:25 48:5,10 48:23 49:8,25 50:4,7,15 55:3,4,12,14,18,22,24 62:20 85:22 exact 11:12

exact 11:12 exactly 57:13 69:11 71:20 examination 62:24 63:19 examine 66:5,7 69:15 87:18 example 34:7,19 49:11 66:25 77:25 79:18

exasperating 43:24 excel 15:21 excellent 33:21 80:8 Excuse 37:17 executive 90:20,22 91:6 exercise 10:20 60:25

exist 35:24 87:21 existing 21:22 42:25 exits 30:14

expect 5:19 8:10 13:14 15:7 71:18

expectation 71:11 expecting 16:5 expediting 29:5 expeditiously 91:4 experience 7:11 27:16

29:24 43:25 52:15,17 expert 7:18 experts 7:19 explain 42:20 70:16 79:7 80:4 explains 36:22 express 84:12 Expressway 40:4 extensive 7:10 20:7 extra 9:18 eye 13:2 81:3,8

F F 92:1 face 3:15 27:13 **faced** 64:23 facie 48:2,4,5,23 49:25 50:3,4 59:10 62:2 **fact** 35:8 62:2,7,17 72:13 72:17 76:16 77:24 81:13 82:19 facts 48:23 49:10.17 50:2 50:7,11,12 55:3,12 66:3 66:5,12,20 69:23 70:25 75:8 78:15 81:15,17,25 82:7 85:4 86:21 90:3 factual 80:11.21 fact-finding 60:25 fact-specific 63:16 fair 25:15 38:18 51:12.13 51:21 70:24 79:12 87:3 fairly 8:22,23 71:12 89:2 fairness 36:12 faith 78:22 familiar 68:15 **family** 25:17 fantastic 33:2 far 57:22 fare 8:19 9:5 favor 3:20 18:5,21 19:10 21:10,12 25:10 42:12 42:16 45:4 89:13 91:8 **fee** 10:21,23,24 11:3,4,14 11:14 feedback 6:2,7 **feel** 35:22 78:19 88:25 fees 10:21.25 11:7 FHV 2:12 26:20,22 29:17 **field** 65:23 73:21,24 81:9 **fifth** 44:15 **figure** 3:8 11:12 62:25 83:22 file 54:4 **filed** 44:5 53:9 54:10 **files** 59:3

fill 6:12

filled 4:21

83:16

final 5:19 42:18

financial 10:6

finding 72:13

fine 44:20 88:17

finally 32:5 44:16

find 70:16,21 72:16 82:5

fruits 14:16

frustration 62:9

fully 4:13 9:17 90:12

full 85:9 89:4

firm 3:24 7:10 11:23 **first** 3:14 5:4 8:7 13:12 16:19 19:22 20:2 23:2 32:9 36:9 37:3 38:20 43:11 47:17 51:9 57:8 58:20 65:13 72:16 first-rate 15:8 **fiscal** 10:16 **five** 1:22 9:20 23:6 31:9 31:22 47:7 56:6 flammable 62:14 flexibility 58:9 **folks** 3:10,15 36:10 89:6 followed 52:22 following 48:15 **food** 88:7 forced 44:3 **forces** 75:15 Ford 5:14 forget 41:25 **forgot** 48:6 forgotten 15:24 formality 37:22 formally 76:2 **former** 45:23 60:10 forms 20:21 forth 51:8 76:25 fortunately 10:11 forum 63:21 69:9 **forward** 5:8 12:21 13:2 33:13,19 52:15 89:8 found 44:17 45:8 founding 4:5 four 22:14 23:6,13 30:4 31:9,22 33:4 41:21 44:10 48:7 56:21 57:5 fourteen 17:5 **fourth** 57:12 four-year-old 79:10 franchise 13:19 Frank 4:22 Fraser 2:8 20:2 21:18 34:15 41:6 42:21 46:19 48:6 56:6 58:22 59:7,17 61:14,18 66:17 68:2,8 69:19 70:3 73:6,13 74:4 75:17,19,25 76:11 77:7 79:8 80:6 81:2 **fraud** 29:7 free 6:14 16:5 56:2 Friday 9:18 Friedman 37:17,19 38:2 39:7,25 40:11 friends 17:9 frienemies 17:11 frightened 44:5 **front** 31:12 49:12

full-strength 5:3 42:6 46:4,16,17,18 hearing 1:4 3:1 4:1 5:1 **hypothetical** 36:8 66:17 further 37:16 82:9 92:13 6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1 10:1 56:14 70:21 71:10,23 71:13 11:1 12:1,11 13:1 14:1 **future** 53:18 54:11 71:25 79:16 84:9 89:3 90:11 15:1 16:1 17:1 18:1 G gosh 38:16 19:1 20:1 21:1 22:1 idea 56:14 62:18 73:14 gotten 9:12 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 gallon 8:9 80:8 84:9 gap 10:15 governing 48:19 27:1 28:1 29:1 30:1 ideal 85:15 garbage 88:17 **government** 4:23 7:11,12 identical 42:24 31:1 32:1 33:1 34:1 **Garon** 4:22 10:10.14 33:4 35:1 36:1 37:1 38:1 **identify** 80:10 86:7 grabbing 33:13 39:1 40:1 41:1 42:1 gas 8:8 ii 71:7 gavel 15:20 gracious 4:22 43:1,19 44:1,7,9 45:1 illegal 55:10 65:24 graciously 17:20 45:15 46:1,10 47:1 48:1 immediate 29:14 **Gehrig** 13:16 **Grand** 31:4 general 2:8 29:3 36:22 49:1,4,8,9,20 50:1 51:1 **impact** 72:2 40:13 42:19 46:21 grants 19:6 51:14.21 52:1.9.11 53:1 implementation 20:20 61:13 71:18 75:22 grave 36:16 53:3,8,8,12,16 54:1,5 21:4 27:18 implementing 85:19 76:20 77:8,8 great 16:16 55:1 56:1,19 57:1,15 generic 29:3,9 greater 2:14 20:17 32:6 58:1 59:1,4 60:1 61:1 importance 8:25 gentlemen 30:25 60:5 88:12 62:1 63:1 64:1 65:1,9 **important** 11:18 13:5 23:17 30:9 83:12 **Georgia** 2:11 18:13 greatly 16:24 65:13 66:1,14 67:1 68:1 **Gerber** 2:14 32:5,7 59:23 grind 4:24 69:1,21 70:1,24 71:1 **impose** 90:10 grounds 40:7 72:1 73:1 74:1 75:1,9 imposition 46:9 59:25 61:22 65:18 group 3:23 90:16 67:15 68:4,5 70:18 76:1 77:1 78:1 79:1 **impossible** 62:20 64:25 71:14,20 79:19 81:12 grow 8:16 80:1 81:1 82:1 83:1 **improper** 31:19 82:12 86:24,25 growing 3:23 84:1 85:1 86:1 87:1 **improved** 33:22 84:20 Gerber's 66:17 75:21 Guardia 26:20,23 88:1 89:1 90:1 91:1 improvements 85:20 guess 16:8 20:18 36:23 getting 12:23 23:6 78:20 92:12 86:11 54:25 58:11 **hearings** 43:21 45:20 inaccurate 66:7.8 86:9 **Giannoulis** 2:6 73:2,17 **guest** 3:13 47:7,20 50:19 51:16 **incident** 22:2,6 44:17 73:22 74:16 78:9,16 guidelines 82:20 52:2,5,7,16,18 53:21,23 45:2 80:2,16 82:15 83:13 **guilt** 51:10 54:9,12,17 56:21 57:11 include 27:6,23 76:21 84:7 guilty 35:14 38:5,12 74:11,20 75:4,16 79:3 86:19 **gift** 13:8 39:10,19 44:18 49:22 87:15 includes 69:14 give 19:17 31:18 54:10 50:17,25 72:21 74:8 held 1:12 92:12 including 7:17 11:22 46:7 59:6 75:23 help 43:20 48:17 89:5 86:5 given 11:10 20:25 27:12 guy 23:19 83:24 **helped** 15:21 incorporate 86:12 40:3 53:6.7 60:23 62:7 helping 30:5 **incorporated** 87:4 88:20 H helps 37:23 62:8,10 90:18 **incorrect** 56:4 67:2 hereunto 92:18 increase 8:22 11:9,11,13 gives 24:25 63:6 88:12 Hack 38:17 giving 22:21 hacked 8:4,4 **high** 9:4,12,21,24 27:4 52:6 Gionai 2:8 3:17 hail 15:20 55:10 81:10 **higher** 72:25 increasing 8:6 highest 22:5 glad 16:14 89:2 indefinitely 43:23 hailed 55:7 hails 65:24 **highly** 5:10 independence 79:23 **go** 5:12 16:7 20:20 24:7 hill 40:5 **industries** 11:21 15:22 34:12 36:13 37:24 half 23:16 history 13:14 56:18 59:13 52:14 57:22 64:14 hand 7:4.5 92:19 industry 3:12 7:25 8:2.11 handful 85:8 10:19 25:24 32:22,24 74:11 75:20 86:3 61:23 goal 65:20,20,21 66:16 handled 73:11 hits 37:6 36:10 60:8 hold 15:17 61:14 68:24 74:25 80:10 85:6 hand-held 84:21 85:12 inflation 23:22 home 4:11 influential 14:10 **goals** 87:2 hand-helds 86:2 goes 22:25 79:22 86:13 happen 25:13 35:9 36:17 Honestly 55:20 **inform** 53:22 70:13.15 honor 16:25 17:13 going 11:17 12:12 16:7,24 37:2,4 38:7 77:6 84:4 **information** 15:2 28:19 **honored** 16:17 17:21 21:2 33:8,13,19 happened 25:20 39:13 78:10 44:9 62:15 honoree 13:6 informed 53:18 33:19 37:7 38:7 60:11 60:12,24 70:19 72:3 happens 25:8 57:13 62:8 hope 17:17 37:14 46:12 **inherent** 33:14,15 hopefully 42:24 inherently 33:9 78:5,6 79:5 80:7 81:7 happier 55:17 82:8 83:19 84:4 87:11 happy 16:15 54:18 55:21 hour 24:9 28:13 **initial** 7:17 hours 9:18 23:7 24:13 initiatives 21:2 87:23,24 88:16 90:21 59:11 60:22 hard 9:13 32:12 **GONZALES** 2:7 18:4,20 28:2 innocence 49:23 50:18 19:9 21:11 40:12 HARRY 2:6 hovering 8:9 51:4.11 **good** 3:2 9:7 13:15,23 **health** 4:7 8:12 54:12 hundreds 24:15 innovative 14:4 hear 12:24 77:18 hybrid 8:5,6 innumerable 20:19 14:22 16:9 17:2,8,11,12

hybrids 8:8

inside 91:3

18:15 21:8 22:19 26:17

heard 6:11 44:16

litigation 68:23 **inspection** 9:16 10:23 known 22:23 J litigator 68:19 11:3.14 knows 13:11 53:15 **January** 4:10 20:5 21:6 little 23:2 79:23 80:3,14 inspections 9:10 **Jeff** 7:12.16 L **inspector** 57:2,4,10 58:2 82:25 **Jessica** 2:15 43:12,14 La 26:20,23 livelihood 87:25 88:11 58:4,10 66:19 67:4,9,13 **JFK** 54:7 liveries 12:5 73:18,21,25 74:12 75:3 lack 88:11 **job** 16:19 78:21,25 86:17 76:12,15 80:5,24 83:17 **ladies** 30:25 livery 9:11 12:5 Joel 7:14 locks 33:3 83:18 84:13,15 86:22 landmark 8:3 **John** 1:23 2:14 43:11,15 86:23 lane 44:3 logistics 40:17 join 7:18 13:9 **inspectors** 59:15 61:6,7 **LOMTO** 2:12,13 22:16 lanes 24:16.16 judge 38:3 44:23 47:8,10 73:5 74:20 language 20:16 22:23 25:10 49:3,16 50:10,23 56:3,4 **Lappin** 2:15 43:12,15 **inspector's** 57:7,12 58:5 long 5:3 11:20 13:15 57:21,25 58:7,9,11 67:9 large 32:16 52:13 33:12 41:17 42:5 66:13 58:20 66:25 67:21 68:24 69:5.22 **institute** 2:13 26:23 27:5 largely 60:6 87:12 71:9,24 72:13 76:9,17 27:10.17 28:8.9.15 29:4 longer 11:4 28:2 87:21 **Laughter** 14:23 15:16 77:4,10,13 79:7 81:23 24:4 82:19 longest-serving 13:13 83:22 84:3 86:22 87:22 instructed 76:14 launched 5:25 look 38:15 39:21 41:7 judgements 59:2 instructions 89:24 LAUVIENSKA 2:7 51:6,7 72:6 80:10 judges 41:11,18 42:6 looked 37:3 instructors 29:17 law 7:9 50:10 60:16,18,19 59:18 60:16,18,20,21 insufficient 64:20 60:20 looking 31:9 36:3,4 45:16 70:15 76:5,7 78:21 79:9 insulted 68:2 lawful 68:22 79:5 79:11,20,24 integrity 29:12 looks 20:23 57:21 lawvers 68:6 iudgment 36:13.14 **intended** 59:19 66:23 lavoffs 10:9,14 look-back 38:6.14 judicial 50:11 67:13 lead 66:19 **loophole** 43:20 July 44:16,25 **intends** 70:18 leader 15:7 60:9 lose 64:7 jump 31:11 72:25 intensive 81:14 **leadership** 14:14 15:24 losing 23:6 iumps 31:15 intent 70:5 84:12 leading 5:11 13:23 lost 64:2,12 **June** 44:14 **intention** 56:15 58:8 League 22:17,22 30:22 **lot** 20:22 31:3 55:16 79:3 iury 63:2 interest 6:3 learn 26:7 37:7 81:13 84:14 justice 4:24 45:6,6 82:19 **interested** 3:12 12:14 learned 45:16 **Lou** 13:16 92:16 learning 43:24 K M **interesting** 13:17 64:6 leave 70:4 91:4 kangaroo 72:25 interpreted 41:12 leaving 56:13 88:17 Madness 14:20 Karen 37:18 **Interruption** 40:23 41:2 legacy 15:4 main 55:5 Karsan 5:15 41:5 legal 15:17 56:13 **major** 47:15 **Kay** 7:16 **introduce** 3:14,16 legislative 56:18 59:13 majority 8:23 Kay's 7:12 **introducing** 49:13 50:14 lend 46:12 **making** 16:16 **keep** 30:5 **invite** 12:14 **lenient** 83:23 man 38:16 keeping 25:10 involved 4:6 88:11 90:18 **letting** 43:13 management 4:2 kid 24:22 **in-house** 7:18 let's 19:21 60:14 62:13 mandate 51:9 **kind** 9:15 17:2,3 24:19 ironic 61:3 87:10 mandated 55:21 65:14 67:22 76:24 38:24 70:14,15 78:20 irrelevant 77:16 level 12:7 16:23 53:9 60:9 Mandating 28:22 80:13 84:11 85:14,23 **Island** 66:13 **March** 14:20.21 44:2 license 10:23 11:4.13 86:17 89:4 90:11 issue 9:9 11:17,18 12:18 30:5 34:8.8 36:20.24 Mark 2:8 3:17.22 4:13 kinds 35:11 12:19 25:8 41:20 47:17 38:17 44:18 market 12:2 **Klein** 7:14 57:25 58:5,6,16 59:10 **licensed** 46:24 47:3 marriage 92:14 **know** 3:4 4:20 5:5 6:10 63:14 64:17 65:12,19 licensing 2:11 18:15 35:2 **MARTIN** 92:7,21 6:15,21 9:11 11:9,11,16 67:23 71:6 75:12 76:9 **liking** 63:14 material 8:12 70:25 11:19 12:4 13:20 17:15 76:25 77:15 78:23 limit 34:13 47:14 72:14 77:14 90:19 17:15,18 22:24 23:17 **limited** 65:22 80:11 84:21 85:7 **Matt** 13:7.8.11.14.17 24:8,23 25:24 36:10,24 issued 25:22 26:6 36:15 **limiting** 45:17 14:15 15:9,12,24 17:19 37:5,21 56:20 57:15 **limits** 46:7 47:21 49:12 68:22 88:4 matter 35:8 45:25 70:21 62:20,23 63:3,20 64:6,9 Limousine 1:3 3:17 26:25 issues 12:21 36:8 53:20 71:18 77:8 86:8 92:15 65:2 68:15 72:21,23 63:18 72:16 78:6 80:23 92:11 92:17 73:2,14 75:25 76:3 77:3 87:11 89:5,7 Limousine's 43:17 Matt's 14:4,9 15:4 77:11 78:3,18,24 79:6 **issuing** 49:2,21 50:20,23 line 14:22 38:25 maximize 65:21 79:24 80:13 81:10.16 Mayor 5:5,8 10:5,8 52:12 65:10,16 73:12 lines 55:2 82:2 83:24.25 84:3.9.19 75:5 76:18 link 29:5 **Mayor's** 5:24 7:13 10:4 85:3,5,6,10,18,20,21 item 90:8 **liquid** 62:14 11:6 90:8 items 5:4 19:8 listened 79:15 Mazer 2:15 46:15,16,18

knowledge 29:18,22

listings 15:3

46:20 48:12,13 54:21

Non-availability 52:20 **older** 36:3 55:16 58:19,23 59:11 21:10,11 41:3 42:17 non-disputed 81:25 61:16 73:9 85:24 59:3,7 63:11 67:20 omissions 72:17 noon 90:9 once 34:24 36:19 41:23 **Mazer's** 60:3 68:14,18 69:3,3,18 notable 8:2 52:11 78:12 84:25 mean 38:20 41:13 55:20 71:22 89:9 90:24 91:12 64:5 77:20 78:5 79:7 motor 5:14 27:11 28:12 Notary 92:8 85:11 86:2 note 13:10.24 29:10 37:20 ones 16:13 86:8 80:16,20,22 81:14,16 81:18 82:12 83:6 89:19 move 26:15 42:11 85:12 noted 40:9 91:15 online 85:13 86:3,5 meaning 36:18 55:6 89:12 90:21,22 91:6 **notice** 37:9 47:22 48:21 **operate** 23:22 36:23 meant 17:21 moving 5:7 13:2 52:2 53:2.7.7.10.14 53:25 54:15 medallion 47:3 **MP** 3:22 54:9,11 58:13 70:13 **operates** 23:23 54:8 Media 5:24 6:19 MTBOT 47:11 85:14,15,25 operation 11:5 medical 44:13 45:14,19 Mullings 2:17 73:14,16 notices 54:4 **operational** 85:17,19 73:20,23 74:3,7,15,18 notification 84:11 meet 19:17 24:14 27:22 86:11 operationally 58:18 meeting 11:16 12:24 17:5 75:11.18 77:19.20 notified 53:13 operations 7:13 14:17.25 18:2.11 23:4.17 90:25 78:11 **November** 1:12 92:12.19 91:2.13 Mutual 22:17,22 30:22 **NTSI** 28:9.15 84:19 member 2:15 4:5 7:3 MV200 5:17 **number** 7:16 8:5 9:25 operators 43:21 32:23 43:12,14 46:25 10:20 22:5,11 32:13 **opportunity** 22:21 32:24 N members 3:11 12:15 33:13 34:9 41:22 43:6 32:21 46:19 N 2:1 92:1 45:17 47:12 52:4,7,13 **Opposed** 18:8,23 19:12 mention 48:6 name 22:24 26:18 37:18 52:21 54:16 61:25 73:8 21:14 42:14 89:15 mentioned 74:25 81:2 91:10 43:15 46:20 61:16,19 merely 50:7 Nassau 13:20 numbers 20:23.24 **option** 65:9 order 19:22 23:19 37:23 merger 32:11 National 28:9 numerated 20:19 mergers 3:25 **nature** 63:16 numerous 4:7 20:20 45:21 meter 47:4 **organization** 20:18 87:8 nearly 47:7 0 Metropolitan 2:15 46:21 necessarily 35:9 81:14 organizations 4:7,8 middle 31:11 necessary 50:24 70:23 O 92:1 original 45:2 midvear 10:6 71:3 82:21 90:20 oath 47:23 49:10 67:22 originally 21:20 Mildness 14:21 necessities 45:19 77:13 outcome 46:2 92:17 miles 24:15 40:3 necessity 52:9 obligated 79:9 **outline** 90:14 milestones 7:25 need 10:12 20:21 27:10 obligations 79:12 outlined 90:13 million 15:22 17:16 27:25 28:7 29:22 33:20 obtain 49:2 70:10,18 outset 32:8 mimic 56:16 59:19 70:5 49:3 57:3 58:17 66:2,7 obviously 6:2 34:22 35:10 outstanding 19:7 mind 83:21 66:21,21 72:23 77:5 35:24 overnight 74:18 **mine** 3:8 78:3 82:4.22 83:5 84:20 occasionally 53:10 overturned 61:12 **minute** 65:25 needed 26:2 57:13 75:8 occupants 45:10 owe 24:2 owners 22:17,23 30:23 minutes 17:25,25 18:11 82:11 83:2 occurred 50:9 23:11,12,13,17,18 31:9 46:23 47:3 60:6 needing 45:14 October 3:19 8:16,20,21 31:10,10 43:4 90:9 needs 10:17 26:3 27:22 9:5,6,14 17:25 18:11 o'clock 3:7 MIS 20:6.22 27:23 28:14 57:6 71:7 odds 39:11 misconduct 43:22 offer 5:19 80:24 negative 65:2 missed 53:21 54:16 neither 12:5 51:19 55:22 P 2:1.1 offered 55:8 **missing** 78:3 never 13:21 15:24 49:22 **office** 5:24 6:19 7:13 pace 45:21 package 42:18 **mission** 10:13 13:25 15:19 32:10 53:13 67:24 model 14:5 new 1:3,14,14,24,24 2:14 **officer** 24:24,25 31:17 packages 42:22 paid 8:17,19,24 23:6 modernized 14:18 3:14 4:8 6:4,6 7:3,6 36:8 47:20 49:3,5,9,14 modest 11:11,13 **Palamik** 26:12 8:12 9:22,23 10:4 11:22 50:20 52:12 65:10,10 modify 43:5 11:24 15:18 16:23 65:16 66:7 69:25 73:12 **PANSY** 2:17 **moment** 3:14 74:9 17:10 24:11 26:22 28:6 73:23 76:18 77:3.6 paper 62:3,22 63:5 64:4 money 9:17 31:12 55:9 64:24,25 66:23 87:24 28:11 29:8,15 30:6 32:6 81:21 82:4 month 5:20 8:3,16 23:5,5 officers 65:23 66:13 **papers** 15:18 32:18 36:18 43:19 Paratransit 27:7 40:21.22 46:10 47:18 48:3 53:12 75:14 84:21 months 16:19 26:2 40:21 officer's 50:23 **Parking** 75:19 60:5 63:6 69:8,12 70:8 41:25 44:16 79:10 86:14,15 92:3,4,8 **offices** 51:15 Parks 76:19 Moore 2:14 43:11,13,15 official 29:8 56:12 part 7:12 10:18,19 13:3 newer 36:4 **oh** 38:16 39:17 71:6 83:23 46:14 newest 3:16 25:23 46:25 52:3 56:17 morning 3:2 18:15 22:19 news 10:3 okay 9:21 31:21 38:13 59:12 78:24 80:3 26:17 46:16,17,18 48:4 nice 23:8 26:8,9 86:16 participation 28:20 39:24 40:9 57:18 64:20 74:21 82:3 83:23 84:3 91:12 particular 22:2,6 32:9,14 Nissan 5:17

non-attendance 71:4

old 34:25

motion 18:3,4,18,20 19:9

P

62:11

4.1.1.00.4		11. 25.10	11.7.10.12
particularly 88:4	please 7:4 24:6 31:14	presumably 35:19	propose 11:7,10,13
parties 68:20 92:14	32:2 61:15	presumed 50:25	proposed 19:24 21:16 22:8 26:25 27:3 29:13
partition 31:12 Partnership 4:6	pleased 4:18 6:25 9:3 16:18 43:16 46:2	pretty 15:19 56:14 prevention 27:12,21	39:20 43:18 47:13,18
party 52:20 71:23 72:12	pleasure 4:12 11:16	29:11	47:21 50:4,6,9,21 51:19
79:13	pled 38:12 39:10	previously 38:22 47:11	proposers 90:19
pass 40:4 87:5	plethora 61:4 64:22	52:6	proposing 57:22
passenger 29:20 31:15	point 9:8,15 11:12,15,25	pre-hearing 54:5	prosecute 47:19
63:23,23,25	12:2 26:6 31:2 33:19	prices 8:8	prosecutor 60:10
passengers 27:24 31:7,20	35:6,16,20 42:4 55:5	prima 47:25 48:4,5,23	protect 45:22
45:10	58:14,20 67:6 71:21,21	49:25 50:3,3 59:10 62:2	prove 49:21,23 50:18
passes 78:12	75:12,22 77:21 82:13	primary 65:20	51:11 64:3,25 69:25
pay 25:17 86:5,5 88:7,8	82:15 83:6 85:13	priorities 85:19	77:4
paying 81:10	points 8:2 21:25 22:6	private 7:9	provide 11:25 29:23 46:3
pedestrians 45:11	25:4 30:4 34:6,23,25	pro 79:4	47:2 51:20
penalties 44:24 46:9	35:3,21 37:6,14 38:8,8	probably 23:6 35:14	provided 14:15 18:2
penalty 39:2 47:24	38:16 39:3,10,14 40:6	problem 33:6 38:3 39:8	provider 27:15 29:11
Penn 31:4,7	40:19 41:18,21,21,21	39:23	provides 47:22 48:21
people 6:3,10 12:8 15:8	41:22,22 58:11 79:19	problematic 80:2	providing 10:22 51:25
15:23 16:12 22:14	89:5	problems 33:15,15 82:13	proving 77:3
24:10 37:21 43:9 70:13	Polanco 2:7 34:2 65:7,12	85:23	public 1:4 3:1,12 4:1 5:1
80:16 82:6 83:2,8,17,21	69:17,20 74:25 76:23	procedurally 56:22	6:1,2,5,6,20 7:1 8:1 9:1
84:14 85:10 86:20 90:2	78:19 79:2 82:10 89:19	procedure 51:12 53:24	10:1 11:1 12:1,14 13:1
91:3 percent 8:17,18,19,20 9:5	police 24:24 31:16 36:8 76:19	54:14 57:8 70:6 72:10 procedures 46:7 48:16,16	14:1 15:1 16:1 17:1 18:1 19:1 20:1,9 21:1
9:6 52:16,18 67:19 73:7	Policy 7:7	51:6,20,23 72:23	21:22 22:1 23:1 24:1
73:10,15 74:2,3,10	portfolio 4:3	proceeded 38:19 45:21	25:1 26:1 27:1,4 28:1
percentage 73:18	portion 32:17	proceeding 67:22 69:2	29:1,4 30:1 31:1 32:1
percentages 73:3	posed 67:16	proceedings 37:23 53:19	33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1
perfectly 56:2	position 7:9 13:7 71:8	process 5:7,9 33:15 35:12	37:1 38:1 39:1 40:1,15
period 20:10 25:4,5,21	72:20	35:13 46:8 51:8 60:11	41:1 42:1 43:1 44:1
34:11 38:6,6,14 39:3,6	possibilities 29:7	63:6 69:9,9,13,14 84:10	45:1,22 46:1 47:1 48:1
39:11,14,15,22 40:17	possible 36:2,23 45:12	87:7,17,19 88:9,13	49:1 50:1 51:1 52:1
41:8 44:19	65:24 80:15	90:15	53:1 54:1 55:1 56:1
perjury 47:24	possibly 45:9 63:4 64:21	processing 84:25	57:1 58:1 59:1 60:1
person 39:9 54:24 63:12	84:10 Post 10:4.7	produce 77:2	61:1 62:1 63:1 64:1
64:11 67:3 68:24 81:6 81:10 84:24	Post 10:4,7 postponement 44:12	produced 14:16 producing 12:7	65:1 66:1 67:1 68:1 69:1 70:1 71:1 72:1
personal 24:10 60:9	45:15	professional 27:9,13	73:1 74:1 75:1 76:1
personally 33:16 47:6	postponements 43:19	29:21 30:6	77:1 78:1 79:1 80:1
personnel 6:23	45:18	professionals 33:10	81:1 82:1 83:1 84:1
persuade 56:3	power 62:25 75:23 76:6	program 12:3,6 27:2,7	85:1 86:1 87:1 88:1
Peter 2:15 46:15,20	powerful 6:3	28:6,18 29:12 31:6 35:4	89:1 90:1 91:1 92:8,12
petty 24:16	powers 60:17,19,25 68:21	40:19,19	published 20:9 21:21
ph 4:6,22 26:12	practical 85:23	programming 20:22	22:11 43:6
phone 81:16,21,24 82:3	practice 50:2 56:8,15,16	programs 7:7 27:19 29:3	pull 31:17
83:7,24	57:16,24 58:24 59:13	program's 27:4	pulling 31:6
phones 28:4	59:14,20	progress 3:9	pulls 31:16
pick 39:12	precedent 41:17 42:5	project 14:8 90:13,23 91:8	punishment 88:10
picked 81:9 piece 62:3,22 63:4 64:4	precisely 56:16 66:18 predecessor 13:7	91:8 projects 7:17	purge 33:8,20 purport 51:20
64:24,24 87:24	preparations 20:7	projects 7.17 proliferating 15:18	purpose 48:14 70:3 72:24
pilot 12:3,6	prepared 74:13	promulgated 22:10	84:8
place 7:21 14:10 23:21	presence 4:19	proof 48:2,5,10 49:19	purposes 70:12
33:12 64:8 72:16 75:9	present 18:16 19:7 39:20	50:3,8,16 51:9 55:17,18	pursued 46:2
plain 20:16	49:3 50:21 52:12 57:2	55:22 59:10 64:20	pushing 21:5
plan 10:6 27:6	57:10	properly 62:13	put 12:13 20:14 23:19
plaque 15:20	presentation 12:16	property 3:24 4:2	25:15 31:23 42:7 43:21
plea 74:8	presented 49:7	proposal 5:14,15,16	43:22 58:6 63:10 78:20
plead 38:5 39:19 86:5	presenter 18:14	12:23 21:21	84:22 88:7
pleading 35:13	president 3:22 37:19	proposals 5:9	putting 12:22
	1	1	1

0	22:3,10 48:8	requests 53:2 70:7	rise 88:12
quality 14:14	record 13:15 68:7 81:5	require 10:14 57:9	risk 33:11
quanty 14:14 question 34:2 37:15 39:4	recordings 79:16	required 20:10 49:23	road 25:11
45:7 64:10,11 65:7	records 52:23 73:10	requirements 19:18 29:6	room 6:3 13:11 16:13
67:15,16 68:10 73:16	recruited 15:6	51:8	75:10 91:3
85:18 86:21	Rector 1:13 14:2	requires 71:21,22 72:13	root 67:14
questions 30:10 33:25	reduce 30:4 52:4 54:16	reschedule 86:4	rounding 6:25
54:18,21 64:7 65:5	60:24	rescheduled 44:9 52:2	routine 24:3
77:24	reduced 44:24	53:3,7,23	routinely 48:25 50:19
quicker 86:9	reduction 26:6	residential 4:4	64:16,19
quickly 35:10	refer 76:2	resolution 13:23 40:18,20	rubber 72:21 79:25
quite 15:11,19 33:12	refers 20:23	resolved 45:3	rule 12:4 19:23,24 20:8
48:20 62:5	reflected 66:9	resources 10:12 65:22	20:16,23,24 21:16 22:4
quorum 3:9	reforms 52:3,5	respect 57:23 59:6,15,15	22:9,10 25:5 26:3 27:3
quota 24:20,21	regard 48:8	70:6 82:23	28:4 34:10 41:4,16
	regarding 34:3 43:18	respects 43:6	42:17 47:21 48:8 50:9
R	47:12 89:24	respondent 46:5 49:22,22	50:21 56:17 59:19
R 2:1 92:1	regimen 86:18	50:16,17,20,22,25 51:2	61:20 66:23 70:4 78:12
raise 7:4	regulated 11:21 15:21	51:11,21 52:8,10,19	82:14 84:8 86:19 89:10
raised 11:2 47:13	regulations 28:2 30:9	53:5,13 56:22,24 57:3,6	89:13,17,20
Raising 7:5	43:18,19 45:17 46:3,10 related 92:13	57:14 58:7 59:3 63:11	rules 19:20,21,25 20:3,4
rapid 8:22		67:8 70:16,17 75:24	21:19,22 23:14 30:16
rapidly 3:23	relates 57:4 relating 10:18 53:20	78:7 85:16 respondents 47:6 48:25	32:10,15 33:7 36:18 38:11,19,21 39:18,20
rare 74:9	relatively 79:10 81:7	59:2 76:8	39:21 42:8,12,19,21,25
rarely 67:25	release 10:5	respondent's 51:13 66:4	42:25 43:3,6 47:14
rate 8:22 12:2 83:9	remain 51:9	76:10	48:14,19,19,21 49:18
rationale 52:3	remainder 4:9	response 12:17 18:9,24	50:6 51:7,19 59:5,19
RAY 2:16	remained 13:20	19:13 21:15,22 26:14	60:13 69:17 70:6,9,12
reach 50:11 reached 8:2	remains 9:4 49:20	42:15 48:11 54:22 86:3	72:24 75:23 76:4 82:22
read 88:15	remanded 53:12	89:11,16 91:11	86:14 87:4,13 88:20,25
ready 29:14 61:5,8	Remember 72:5	responses 49:18 90:18	rule-making 58:16
real 3:23 4:5 85:8,11 86:7	remind 82:18	restrictions 28:5	run 33:2,11 34:19 36:5
86:7	removes 40:19	result 51:18 88:6	running 6:22
reality 17:22 84:6	removing 21:23	retire 90:20	runs 34:8 35:2,3
realize 34:9	rent 25:17 88:8	retroactive 33:7,17	
really 9:15 13:6 14:12,22	reopened 59:5	reveal 72:4	S
16:9,12,13 17:13,13	rep 74:9	review 58:8	S 2:1
35:22 37:2 63:15 80:24	repeat 9:23 15:6	reviewed 10:20 44:24	sacrifice 33:5
82:8 83:25 86:16	replicate 51:5,19 58:24 59:14	revision 20:3,8 21:18	sadly 23:22
Realty 3:23		revoke 38:17	safe 25:10 26:7 29:23
reason 45:14,14 52:17,22	replicating 51:17,23 report 20:14	revoked 25:9 rewarded 27:8	40:15 safeguard 82:25
84:22	Reporter 92:7	rewrite 20:4	safely 15:22
reasonable 45:21	REPORTING 1:22	rewritten 20:25	safer 29:2
reasonably 70:23 71:2	reports 10:5,8	re-done 20:21	safety 27:5 28:9,10
reasons 44:13 83:11	represent 22:22	re-examined 88:21	sake 67:14
rebut 62:21 rebuttable 55:24	representation 47:2	re-writing 20:15	sales 4:3
rebuttal 58:19	representative 52:21,25	ride 55:8,9	saluted 25:2
received 20:10 22:12	53:4,6 54:11 85:16,25	rides 6:14 16:6	sanitation 63:14 75:13,14
25:11 43:7 44:19 61:4	representatives 51:25	riding 6:5 40:15	76:18 77:21 78:7 81:18
receives 53:13	53:17,23 54:3	right 3:6 12:11 31:24	88:3
reckless 62:12,16	represented 47:6 79:4	44:22 51:13 56:18 59:4	Sapone 2:12 22:16,19,24
recognition 15:10 16:25	representing 4:10 30:22	59:7 61:22 66:2,4 67:17	23:16,24 24:5,7
recognize 13:5	43:12 46:23 68:20	67:20 68:10,11,12,13	save 83:14
recognizes 26:24 63:7	reputations 32:20	68:14,17,18 69:3,10,13	saw 49:15 55:6 81:10,16
recommendation 18:17	request 19:5 45:18,25	69:14,15 78:18,25	81:20 83:6,10
19:2,15	52:19,23 54:5,6 67:21	80:18,22 81:2 83:3	saying 32:17,25 39:8
recommended 19:4	76:10,25 78:8 79:20	84:19 85:14,24 86:10	49:14 55:10,11,14
recommending 21:23	82:24 87:22	rights 63:6,9 82:16,17	59:12,18 78:11 81:12
	requesting 69:24	87:7,17,18,19 88:10,13	says 34:11 41:10 42:2

59:12,18 78:11 81:12 says 34:11 41:10 42:2

			-
55:6 57:18 64:8 69:8,10	show 56:23 57:14 59:2	sprang 13:21	75:23 76:6,10,12
69:12,13 70:18 72:8	66:8,8 71:7,8,22 73:10	spring 9:11	subpoenas 67:23 68:4
81:23	73:19 74:5 76:22 81:22	squad 74:18	76:2
Scanlon 2:16 76:3,4,9,16	showed 10:24 44:8,11	SS 92:3	subsequently 39:16
86:15	shows 48:19 56:24 57:3,6	staff 4:15 7:3 15:5 20:6,6	substantial 44:19
schedule 74:20	73:21,25 74:13,15 81:5	The state of the s	subtracts 57:17
		21:23 22:3,9 28:19	
scheduled 52:2	side 17:3	32:12 34:8 35:2 48:7	succeeded 12:7
schedules 3:5 90:10	sign 34:19 37:22 61:18	staff's 12:17 36:14	sudden 36:19 40:6
school 29:9 88:8	signed 20:11 22:14 26:12	stage 7:17 24:7 46:8	sufficient 50:13
scored 5:10	43:9 59:23 61:12 68:3	stamp 72:22	sufficiently 5:10
scoring 5:11	significant 10:9 49:24	stamps 79:25	suggested 38:4 87:16
screen 76:17	significantly 11:5	stand 13:15 85:10	suggestion 90:7
screened 60:21	silliness 72:18	standard 27:11	suggestions 12:18 88:19
screwed 83:25	simple 53:24 54:13 78:2	standards 14:18	89:23
se 79:4	simply 50:14 54:3 69:4	standing 17:6 41:17 42:5	suitably 15:14
seat 31:13 63:22,24 64:13	sit 85:10	STAR 1:22	SUITE 1:23
83:8	sitting 32:19 66:13 75:4	start 25:21	sum 34:5
second 38:24 51:12 61:15	83:9	started 3:6,8 14:8 16:22	summons 24:19 25:8,15
83:24	situation 38:18	25:19 87:8,9	25:22 26:5 41:13,14,20
section 32:14 47:18 48:20	six 5:20 16:19 24:12 34:5	starting 33:14	47:21,22,25 49:7,11,11
sector 7:9	37:6,14 38:8 39:2,10,13	starts 25:6 84:20	49:14,21,25 50:3,6,14
secured 29:4	40:6 41:20	State 28:11,23 29:16	50:15 55:6 56:3 57:25
see 4:16 8:23 10:21 14:15	six-hour 27:21	30:13,18 35:15 92:3,8	66:6 73:20,22 78:10
16:9,15 17:2,8,11 34:10	six-point 40:2	stated 48:24 50:7	81:7 84:11,22 88:3
61:21 74:17 80:6 85:22	skaters 24:17	statement 48:13 62:8	89:25
89:22	skills 29:22	states 25:5	summonses 25:12,14
seeking 72:12	slew 31:20	stating 87:3	34:5 52:13 75:6,14,18
seeks 61:24	slightly 87:10	Station 31:4,7	75:20 77:22,23,25
		stations 31:4	78:13 79:6 80:20 81:4
seemingly 43:22	slowly 4:24 smart 88:25		85:2,7 88:3
seen 34:21 74:17 79:15 83:11		statistics 15:3	
	solicit 6:2	statute 35:20 41:6,8,10	superb 4:17
selection 5:22	soliciting 6:7	41:24 42:2	superlative 15:5
send 85:15	solutions 12:9	steadily 8:6	supervised 56:8
senior 7:2,9	somebody 31:23 36:9,18	STEELE 2:11 18:15 19:5	support 16:20 21:9 23:7,9
sense 37:13 60:10 89:2	40:5 74:7 78:4 84:12	19:19	43:16 46:12
sensible 46:11	someone's 87:25	steep 11:9	supports 26:24
sent 53:3,10	soon 8:23 37:5	Stenotype 92:7	supposed 42:23 64:3
separate 36:25 42:21	sooner 85:20	stepping 86:17	70:13
86:7	sorry 24:5	steps 20:20	sure 5:5 6:16 14:7,9 31:8
September 13:24 44:7	sort 12:19	stick 21:7 79:14	40:14 45:20 58:22
serious 13:24	sound 23:24	stip 74:10	77:11
seriously 32:2	speak 22:21 37:22 43:10	stop 21:3 34:19	survey 6:8,9,13 10:24
served 13:11,17 33:4 47:8	43:13 69:24	story 44:21	28:17
service 6:20 10:22 11:25	SPEAKERS 2:10	straight 12:21	suspend 36:24
12:2,8 14:18 16:7 67:2	speaking 32:21,23 56:22	street 1:13,23 14:2 55:10	suspended 25:9 34:7
services 46:25	75:21 77:4 81:20,23	65:24 75:5 81:9	36:21 44:18
serving 4:9	82:3	streets 30:6 45:9	suspension 88:6
session 74:21,22 90:14,21	special 3:13 24:3	stressful 27:25	sustain 64:21
90:22 91:7	specialized 27:22 28:23	stretch 63:15	sworn 47:23 48:22 71:5
set 43:3 51:8 61:7,7,8	specializes 3:24	strictly 79:14	system 14:5 68:16,20
92:18	specific 20:23 62:7,10,17	strike 13:18,18,19	
sets 19:21	specifically 28:13,25 63:7	strong 7:21	T
seven 5:9 24:13 28:12	speech 32:17 80:3	strongly 88:24	T 92:1,1
31:10	speed 4:14 59:9 90:12	study 28:17	table 88:7
seven-hour 28:16,23	spell 78:14	study 26.17 stuff 16:11	take 14:21 16:11 23:9
29:13	spelled 71:14	subject 35:3 38:25 51:22	25:25 26:4 32:24 40:18
	spells 79:11	63:18 70:17,21	41:11,14,18,19 42:2,7
Severity XX: [1]	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	The state of the s	
severity 88:10		cubmit 5.18	58.2 62.2 62.22 72.20
shared 28:18	spend 24:11	submit 5:18	58:2 62:3 63:23 72:20 95:18 87:25
shared 28:18 shift 66:14 74:23 87:23	spend 24:11 spending 4:12	submitted 47:11 48:11	85:18 87:25
shared 28:18	spend 24:11		

	1 ag	C 101	
	I	I	1
talked 87:19,20	57:18 60:12 61:20 66:9	36:1 37:1 38:1,14 39:1	trips 8:17,24
talking 10:22 24:23 31:5	70:14,15 80:23 82:16	39:12,21 40:1 41:1 42:1	triteness 72:18
_	•	•	
88:5	85:17 88:5	43:1,23 44:1 45:1,17	true 15:2 40:8 58:25 59:9
target 21:7	think 7:20 8:11,22,25	46:1,19 47:1,2,5,7,8,19	72:9 79:8 92:10
TATC 19:23	9:21 11:17 12:19 13:16	48:1 49:1 50:1,5,6,15	truly 51:5,21
taught 29:15	16:18 21:7 23:11,14	51:1,5,10,19,24 52:1,14	truth 38:21 82:6
taxi 1:3 2:12,14 3:16 5:4	26:2 32:2 35:14 36:12	53:1,6,9,19,22,25 54:1	try 3:6 59:17
5:7 6:4,14,21 7:17 8:2	43:7 45:5 55:2,4,5	54:8 55:1 56:1 57:1	trying 34:3,10 40:14 75:2
8:17 13:18 14:8 22:17	58:14,16,17 63:15	58:1 59:1 60:1 61:1,5,7	77:4
22:22 24:12 26:20,22	66:18 77:6 79:22 80:9	62:1 63:1 64:1,18 65:1	turn 13:4 82:8
26:24 29:9,17 30:22	80:19 81:12 82:5,12,22	66:1 67:1 68:1 69:1	turvy 17:22
32:6 43:17 44:4 47:4	83:10,17 84:14,18,19	70:1 71:1 72:1 73:1	two 11:3 22:8 23:10,15
60:5 90:12,23 91:7	85:4,21 86:20 87:2	74:1 75:1 76:1 77:1	25:20 31:18 32:18 34:4
92:11	88:13 89:3 90:11	78:1 79:1 80:1 81:1	34:20,25 38:9 41:18
taxicab 2:15 8:5 46:22	thinking 38:13	82:1 83:1 84:1 85:1	42:21 43:7 45:2,7 47:15
taxicabs 6:22 46:24	third 37:5	86:1 87:1 88:1 89:1	52:10 56:23
			two-minute 22:25
taxis 6:15 8:6,15 12:3	thorough 89:4	90:1 91:1	
14:6,10	thought 42:6 65:8 68:11	TLC's 14:25 15:21 27:5	two-point 25:12,15 38:12
team 4:17 7:2,12,21	thoughts 12:13	38:21 47:9 51:14	39:19 41:9
16:15,17	thousands 85:7	today 3:11 9:23 10:3,6	two-thirds 8:24
technical 48:9	threatened 33:3	13:6 15:12 16:6 20:12	type 11:25 62:11,12,15,22
technologies 28:6	three 5:9,18 8:9 19:3,15	22:15 23:18 24:3,18	64:6,15,15,22
tell 6:24 7:20 10:2 24:22	19:20,21 21:21 23:12	34:7 43:2,10,14 52:4	types 56:21 63:16,18
69:21 82:6 86:12	23:15,16,18 25:12,20	84:25 88:19	
tells 79:22	31:18,22 34:4,6 36:9,15	today's 10:4 23:3	U
ten 3:6	36:18,24 42:3,3 43:9	tokens 15:11	umbrage 62:4
tension 13:22	57:2,8 63:25 79:10 83:8	told 3:3	unacceptable 9:15
tenure 14:5,9,15	throwing 31:11	Tomorrow 5:5,7 6:21	unacceptably 9:12,24
term 4:10	thrown 64:16,19	7:18 14:8 90:13,23 91:7	unanimous 18:10,25
terms 80:22	Thursday 1:12	tools 15:3 51:2	19:14 89:17
terrific 7:22	ticket 31:15 38:5,12	top 32:11	unanimously 3:18,19
testified 49:10 89:7	39:19 40:2	topsy 17:21	unbalanced 36:14
testifies 74:12	tickets 25:2 31:18,21,23	tort 64:17	unchanged 66:5
testify 20:12 22:15 26:13	36:9,15,19 65:23 66:15	total 8:19 21:25 35:6 42:4	uncommon 79:19
43:16 57:4 58:3,4 59:24	tied 74:22 75:3	tough 24:17	uncontested 58:3
74:8,14,15	time 1:15 4:13 9:13,17	TPEP 14:5	
			understand 9:17,24
testifying 8:25 51:16	12:25 14:2,12 19:17	track 5:21	30:19 31:8 33:5 34:3,20
54:25 73:5 74:5	23:9,11,12,22,23 24:11	Trade 2:16 46:22	39:7 40:16 62:9
testimony 12:20 40:9	27:20 30:3 33:8,11,12	traffic 24:25 28:2,9 31:6	understanding 69:20
47:15,20 50:24 57:7,12	33:22 34:10 37:3 41:19	31:11,19 37:18	73:6 80:17
		•	
58:5,21 66:25 68:6	41:19 44:10,15,19 46:7	train 31:10	undertake 43:23
70:10,17 72:6,7 73:9	58:2,4 65:14 71:16	trained 86:18	underwriting 4:2
74:10 77:5 87:14	77:12 80:14 83:14	training 27:11,16,19 29:2	unfair 33:9
text 20:17	90:11,17 91:15	29:9 86:13,17	unfortunately 75:13
thank 16:3,4,10 17:12,19	timely 53:6,18	transcript 56:18 59:12	uniform 75:15
18:5 19:19 22:19,20	times 9:10 10:7 13:18	68:8	unique 27:13 51:24
23:4 26:8,11,17,18	14:19 17:16 44:10	transcription 92:11	unison 18:7,22 19:11
28:19 30:8,11,19,20,25	49:20 67:8	transcripts 79:15	21:13 42:13 89:14 91:9
32:2,7,15 33:22 38:2	timing 46:8	transfer 9:21	units 4:4
40:9,11,24 42:10 46:13	tip 9:5	transit 5:15 13:18	unlicensed 55:8,13,14
46:14 59:22,25 60:2	tipping 9:3	transparency 14:24	unparalleled 14:25
65:3 74:24 75:11 88:22	TLC 3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1 7:1,8	treat 24:3	unprepared 52:14
88:24 89:18 90:5,6	7:16 8:1 9:1 10:1,10,25	tremendous 16:19	unscrupulous 43:21
91:14	11:1,21 12:1 13:1,9,16	trend 8:10,21	upset 60:11
Thanks 43:13 84:5	14:1,3,19 15:1,6 16:1	trial 37:20 72:5	urge 33:18
			U
Thanksgiving 26:9	17:1 18:1 19:1 20:1	trials 63:3	usage 8:15
thereto 3:7	21:1 22:1 23:1 24:1,8	tribunal 47:9 52:24 53:16	use 8:7 11:23 65:21
thing 6:24 15:7 42:6	25:1 26:1 27:1,16,17,22	53:25 54:2,7	usually 53:14
55:13,15 57:5 62:15	28:1,2,3,10,14,22,25	tribunals 47:2,5 48:17	utilize 51:15
	29:1,5,20,25 30:1 31:1	51:23,24 54:15	utterly 55:5
1 /8:2 X1:6 XX:1X			
78:2 81:6 88:18		•	
78:2 81:6 88:18 things 7:24 9:8 16:22,23	32:1 33:1 34:1,7,8 35:1	tried 12:2 63:2,3	

	Pag	e 102	
			10020 1.24
V	webpage 5:25 6:7	writing 65:23 66:15	10038 1:24
vacancy 4:20	website 15:2 16:8	89:24 90:4	11 44:2
vacate 59:8	week 5:6 24:12,14 35:5	written 22:12 38:22	11th 13:24
valid 45:13	36:5 37:5	42:12 43:8 47:11 73:20	11/18/10 3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1
value 26:24 28:18 83:16	weekly 35:2	73:23,24 82:14 89:13	7:1 8:1 9:1 10:1 11:1
vans 27:6	weeks 5:20 9:16 42:3	wrong 24:22 56:4	12:1 13:1 14:1 15:1
various 47:5	63:25	wrote 81:5,6	16:1 17:1 18:1 19:1 20:1 21:1 22:1 23:1
vehicle 5:16,17 9:23	week's 16:5	X	24:1 25:1 26:1 27:1
27:12,21 29:10 37:20	weird 17:3		28:1 29:1 30:1 31:1
55:8	welcome 32:18	x 1:2,6 55:7,7	32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1
vehicles 6:9 9:11 28:12	welcoming 16:10	Y	
45:10	well-taken 58:14		36:1 37:1 38:1 39:1 40:1 41:1 42:1 43:1
verification 45:19	went 37:3 38:9,12 39:9 87:20	yards 31:16	44:1 45:1 46:1 47:1
version 70:8	weren't 66:9	Yassky 2:6 3:2,22 7:6	48:1 49:1 50:1 51:1
video 87:14,20	we'll 3:13 38:16 90:25	14:24 15:17 17:19,24	52:1 53:1 54:1 55:1
view 89:5	we're 4:14 5:2 6:6 10:22	18:5,8,10,18,21,23,25	56:1 57:1 58:1 59:1
views 30:8	12:12 21:5 27:19 36:3	19:10,12,14,20 20:13	60:1 61:1 62:1 63:1
Vincent 2:12 22:16,24	37:7 40:13 42:22 51:22	21:12,14,16 22:16 23:14,21 24:2,5 26:11	64:1 65:1 66:1 67:1
violation 22:5 25:6 30:4			68:1 69:1 70:1 71:1
34:17,18 35:19,21,23	57:22 60:14,15,16 75:2 78:6 80:7	26:15 30:11,15,19,21 32:5 33:24 36:6 37:21	72:1 73:1 74:1 75:1
37:6,11 47:23 48:22	we've 17:9 28:18 58:18	38:20 39:24 40:8,24	76:1 77:1 78:1 79:1
50:4,13 55:4,18,19	wheel 24:12	41:3 42:10,14,16 43:11	80:1 81:1 82:1 83:1
63:20,21 67:11 69:24	wheel 24.12 wheelchairs 11:23 12:8	45:25 46:14,17,19	84:1 85:1 86:1 87:1
75:19 76:24 81:18	wheels 4:23	54:20,23 55:20 59:22	88:1 89:1 90:1 91:1
violations 22:2 36:3,17	WHEREOF 92:18	65:3,5,11,18 74:2,13,24	11:48 91:15
39:5,5 56:9 62:5,6	whoa 61:14,14	75:7,21 76:7 77:18	12 9:22 24:13
virtually 62:19 64:25	wide 70:4 71:9	78:17 79:18 80:8 81:11	14 13:12 16:6 32:25
67:24	wife 23:24 33:3 64:8 84:2	84:5,18 88:24 89:12,15	15 40:21
vital 25:23	wind 31:22	89:17 90:5 91:10,12	15-month 25:3,5,20 33:8
voices 6:11	wish 14:21 31:24 87:3	yeah 34:2 61:18 75:7	34:11 38:6 39:3,6,11,14
Vollo 2:12 26:16,17,19	witness 64:5 67:18,21	year 5:22 6:14 8:18 10:16	39:22 40:17 41:7
30:14,17,20	69:5,18 70:11,22,25	13:3 38:9 39:16	16 18:17 44:16
voluntarily 41:11,12,16	71:5,15,24 72:9,12,15	years 11:2 13:12 16:7	18 1:12 9:6 11:2 25:25
vote 6:8 12:12,20 18:10 18:25 19:14 89:17	77:9,17 81:3,8 82:15	17:5 23:10 25:20 27:15	41:25 92:12
vulnerable 24:19	83:7 92:18	32:25 33:4 34:6,20,25	18th 92:19
V1 5:16	witnesses 68:12,13,15,21	38:3 41:12 45:2,7 47:8	18-06(c) 47:18
V1 3.10	69:11,15 77:14 83:3	56:7,12 68:3 75:13	18-09(a)(4) 50:21 70:9
W	87:14	yielded 5:9	19 9:5 11:2
wait 9:10,13,16,20 85:10	wonderful 32:20	York 1:3,14,14,24,24	19th 44:7
waiting 14:19 31:17	Wonderland 17:4,21	2:14 4:8 6:6 10:4 24:11	1934 22:23
wallet 64:2,7,12	wont 59:17	26:22 28:11 29:8,16	1987 47:9
want 10:2,10 13:5 21:3	word 48:4,5,10 51:18	30:7 32:6 60:5 63:6	1996 47:9,10
23:2,4 29:25 32:8,17,24	55:17	69:8,13 92:3,4,8	
36:7 40:4 41:22 58:24	words 34:18 40:3 66:20	Yorkers 8:13 11:22,24	2
60:4 70:18 75:3,4 77:2	72:2 74:5 81:4	young 44:4	2 23:10
77:10,11 83:18 84:15	word-for 51:17		20 52:17 75:13 90:9
84:17 86:12,21,25 90:3	work 14:4 20:15,22 21:3	Z	2005 8:8
90:10	24:12 25:16 32:12	zero 42:4	2006 34:12
wanted 51:5 58:12	58:17		2007 25:12 36:25 37:12
wants 50:20	worked 7:14,16 9:12	\$	38:15 39:4 44:3
wars 13:19,21 17:9	working 7:15 11:20 12:9	\$3 10:15	2008 25:12 44:14,16,23
wasn't 67:2	works 27:17	<u> </u>	2009 8:21 9:6 28:15 39:4
waste 77:12	world 14:4,7 17:22 85:15	1	44:25
way 3:3 4:21 5:2 24:21	worried 38:10 79:17	1 20:5,5 37:2	2010 1:12 18:11 25:15
36:13,25 37:10 45:20	worry 87:16	1st 21:6,6 43:4	39:5 92:12,19
51:18 54:6,7 57:23 78:7	worth 16:5 81:11	1,000 4:4	2011 20:5,5
79:6 84:23 85:14 92:16	wouldn't 5:12 12:11	10 17:16 38:16 39:14	2015 4:10
ways 51:15	76:13	52:15 68:3 73:7,10,15	21 18:11
wealth 15:2 29:18	write 31:20 56:17 78:13	10:00 1:15	21st 18:2
Januar 15.2 27.10	writes 74:19,19	100 28:15 67:19 74:2,3	22 40:3
	writes 74:19,19	100 28:15 67:19 74:2,3	22 40:3

26 27:15 27th 3:19 29 8:18 3 3,504 46:23 3-554(a) 48:20 30 19:6,16 25:16 30 43;20 10 33 23:10 34 8:20 39 8:17 4 4,000 8:11 4,000th 8:4 40 1:13 411 1:23 43 8:18 45 59:4 5 53 3:16 56047:7 66(6)(76) 12:6 631:224.5054 1:25 9 90 1:23 98 47:10 99 74:10		1 ago	e 103	
27th 3:19 29 8:18 3 3,500 46:23 3-54(a) 48:20 30 19:6,16 25:16 30-day 20:10 33 23:10 34 8:20 39 8:17 4 4,000 8:11 4,000th 8:4 40 1:13 411 1:23 43 8:18 45 59:4 5 50 31:16 500 47:7 6 607(f) 12:6 631,224,5054 1:25 9 90 1:23 98 47:10	26 27 15			1
3 3,500 46:23 3-54(a) 48:20 30 -99 (1:23 4 4,000 8:11 4,000 th 8:4 40 1:13 411 1:23 43 8:18 45 59:4 5 50 31:16 500 47:7 6 607(f) 12:6 631.224.5054 1:25 9 90 1:23 98 47:10	26 2/:15			
3,500 46:23 3-54(a) 48:20 30 19:6,16 25:16 30-day 20:10 33 23:10 34 8:20 39 8:17 4 4,000 8:11 4,000th 8:4 40 1:13 411 1:23 43 8:18 45 59:4 5 50 31:16 500 47:7 6 607(f) 12:6 631.224.5054 1:25 9 90 1:23 98 47:10	27th 3:19			
3,500 46:23 3-54(a) 48:20 30 19:6,16 25:16 30-day 20:10 34 8:20 39 8:17 4 4,000 8:11 4,000th 8:4 40 1:13 411 1:23 43 8:18 45 59:4 5 50 31:16 500 47:7 6 6007(f) 12:6 631.224.5054 1:25 9 90 1:23 98 47:10	29 8:18			
3,500 46:23 3-54(a) 48:20 30 19:6,16 25:16 30-day 20:10 34 8:20 39 8:17 4 4,000 8:11 4,000th 8:4 40 1:13 411 1:23 43 8:18 45 59:4 5 50 31:16 500 47:7 6 6007(f) 12:6 631.224.5054 1:25 9 90 1:23 98 47:10				
3-54(a) 48:20 30 19:6,16 25:16 30-day 20:10 33 23:10 34 8:20 39 8:17 4 4,000 8:11 4,000th 8:4 40 1:13 411 1:23 43 8:18 45 59:4 5 50 31:16 500 47:7 6 607(f) 12:6 631.224.5054 1:25 9 90 1:23 98 47:10				
30 19:6,16 25:16 30-day 20:10 33 23:10 34 8:20 39 8:17 4 4,000 8:11 4,000th 8:4 40 1:13 411 1:23 43 8:18 45 59:4 5 50 31:16 500 47:7 6 607(f) 12:6 631.224.5054 1:25 9 90 1:23 98 47:10	3,500 46:23			
30 19:6,16 25:16 30-day 20:10 33 23:10 34 8:20 39 8:17 4 4,000 8:11 4,000th 8:4 40 1:13 411 1:23 43 8:18 45 59:4 5 50 31:16 500 47:7 6 607(f) 12:6 631.224.5054 1:25 9 90 1:23 98 47:10	3-54(a) 48:20			
30-day 20:10 33 23:10 34 8:20 39 8:17 4 4,000 8:11 4,000th 8:4 40 1:13 411 1:23 43 8:18 45 59:4 5 50 31:16 500 47:7 6 607(f) 12:6 631.224.5054 1:25 9 90 1:23 98 47:10	30 19:6,16 25:16			
33 23:10 34 8:20 39 8:17 4 4,000 8:11 4,000th 8:4 40 1:13 411 1:23 43 8:18 45 59:4 5 50 31:16 500 47:7 6 607(f) 12:6 631.224.5054 1:25 9 90 1:23 98 47:10	30-day 20:10			
34 8:20 39 8:17 4 4,000 8:11 4,000th 8:4 40 1:13 411 1:23 43 8:18 45 59:4 5 50 31:16 500 47:7 6 607(f) 12:6 631.224.5054 1:25 9 90 1:23 98 47:10	33 23:10			
39 8:17 4 4,000 8:11 4,000th 8:4 40 1:13 411 1:23 43 8:18 45 59:4 5 50 31:16 500 47:7 6 607(f) 12:6 631.224.5054 1:25 9 90 1:23 98 47:10	34 8:20			
4 4,000 8:11 4,000th 8:4 40 1:13 411 1:23 43 8:18 45 59:4 5 50 31:16 500 47:7 6 607(f) 12:6 631.224.5054 1:25 9 90 1:23 98 47:10	39 8:17			
4,000 8:11 4,000th 8:4 40 1:13 411 1:23 43 8:18 45 59:4	65 6.17			
4,000 8:11 4,000th 8:4 40 1:13 411 1:23 43 8:18 45 59:4	4			
4,000th 8:4 40 1:13 411 1:23 43 8:18 45 59:4 5 50 31:16 500 47:7 6 607(f) 12:6 631.224.5054 1:25 9 90 1:23 98 47:10				
40 1:13 411 1:23 43 8:18 45 59:4 5 50 31:16 500 47:7 6 607(f) 12:6 631.224.5054 1:25 9 90 1:23 98 47:10	4,000 0.11 4,0004b 0.4			
411 1:23 43 8:18 45 59:4	4,000H 6:4			
43 8:18 45 59:4	40 1:15 411 1:22			
5 50 31:16 500 47:7 6 607(f) 12:6 631.224.5054 1:25 9 90 1:23 98 47:10				
5 50 31:16 500 47:7 6 607(f) 12:6 631.224.5054 1:25 9 90 1:23 98 47:10	43 8:18			
50 31:16 500 47:7 6 607(f) 12:6 631.224.5054 1:25 9 90 1:23 98 47:10	45 59:4			
50 31:16 500 47:7 6 607(f) 12:6 631.224.5054 1:25 9 90 1:23 98 47:10				
500 47:7 6 607(f) 12:6 631.224.5054 1:25 9 90 1:23 98 47:10				
6 607(f) 12:6 631.224.5054 1:25 9 90 1:23 98 47:10	50 31:16			
607(f) 12:6 631.224.5054 1:25 90 1:23 98 47:10	500 47:7			
607(f) 12:6 631.224.5054 1:25 90 1:23 98 47:10				
631.224.5054 1:25 90 1:23 98 47:10				
631.224.5054 1:25 90 1:23 98 47:10	607(f) 12:6			
90 1:23 98 47:10	631.224.5054 1:25			
90 1:23 98 47:10				
98 47:10	9			
98 47:10	90 1:23			
	98 47:10			
	<i>55 7</i> 20			
<u> </u>				
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>