		1
1		
2		
3	TRANSCRIPT OF THE	
4	NEW YORK CITY	
5	TAXI & LIMOUSINE COMMISSION	
6		
7		
8		
9	10:30 a.m.	
10	Thursday, March 5, 2015	
11	33 Beaver Street	
12	COMMISSION HEARING ROOM, 19TH FLOOR	
13	BOROUGH OF MANHATTAN	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	STENO-KATH REPORTING SERVICES, LTD.	
23	139 MAMARONECK AVENUE MAMARONECK, NEW YORK 10543	
24	212.95.DEPOS (953.3767) * 914.381.2061 FACSIMILE: 914.722.0816	
25	EMAIL: Stenokath@verizon.net	

		2
1		
2	HEARING CONVENED AT 10:30 A.M.	
3		
4	COMMISSIONERS PRESENT:	
5	Meera Joshi, Chair	
6		
7	ALSO PRESENT:	
8	Chris Wilson, General Counsel	
9	Joe Roth	
10	Rodney Stiles	
11	Charles Furrey	
12 13	Staff	
14	The Public	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	1	

	3
1	
2	SPEAKERS ON DRIVER AND VEHICLE OWNER RULE REFORM
3	Natasha Avanessians, on behalf of6 Tweeps Phillips
4 5	Michael O'Laughlin9
6	Peter Mazer 15
7	Bhairavi Desai
8	Zubin Soleimany
9	Sofia Akhtar 30
10	Javaid Tariq 34
11	Kevin Fullington
12	Arthur Goldstein40
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
2 <i>3</i> 24	
25	

Proceedings

CHAIR JOSHI: Good morning, everyone. The time now is 10:30 and today is a Public Hearing on proposed rules that would change yellow taxi retirement life cycle, black car retirement life cycle, and reduce some of the barriers to re-entry for former TLC-licensed drivers. We've received some written comment already, especially on the retirement piece. And so I'm interested today to hear some of the further live testimony on why people advocate for a number other than that put in the proposed rule, and the proposed rule number was 7 years.

And I want to just take a moment to explain how the staff came up with a uniform 7-year proposal. One, we would not retroactively change the retirements of vehicles that are on the road today. And today those retirements range from 3 to 7 years. And a fact that I think some people might find surprising is that about 55 percent of taxis that are on the road today are operating under a 7-year retirement cycle. And that's because there are various

Proceedings

TLC rules and local law rules that allow an extension of the vehicle's life cycle from 3 years to 5 years and from 5 years to 7 years. So for a variety of reasons, owners, operators qualify for those different exemptions and extensions. And so as a result, today we have about 55 percent of taxis that are operating with a 7-year retirement.

So in looking to get parity
throughout the industry, we didn't want to
diminish the existing retirement ages of
drivers -- owners, which are 7 years so we
proposed a universal 7-year retirement. Only
about 10 percent of all medallions are
operating on a 3-year retirement. And the
difference, actually, between independent
medallion mileage and corporate medallion
mileage on an annual basis is about
17 percent. Independent medallions drive
about 17 percent less a year than corporate
medallions.

But I have -- like I mentioned before, we've gotten a variety of comments on

Proceedings

that 7-year proposal and lots advocating for a number different than 7 years. So I am interested in hearing those different viewpoints. And there will not be a vote today. The purpose of today's hearing is to get your feedback, which is why we propose rules and have hearings, also they're required under CAPA. But nonetheless, so I'm excited to hear the testimony that we're about to receive today. And we'll continue to deliberate on the final form of these rules, which, of course, will be republished before there's any vote.

So thank you very much. And with that, I'm going to let Chris Wilson start with the speaker list.

MR. WILSON: Thank you. And the first speaker is Tweeps Phillips.

MS. AVANESSIANS: So good morning,
Chair Joshi and Commissioners. On behalf of
the Committee for Taxi Safety, we want to
thank the Commission for hosting this hearing
on the universal retirement plan.

With regards to the plan, we believe

Taxi and Limousine Commission Meeting March 5, 2015

Proceedings

that 5 years is an appropriate length of time for vehicle retirement.

MALE SPEAKER: Natasha, I'm sorry.
Can you identify yourself?

MS. AVANESSIANS: Oh, I'm sorry.

Natasha Avanessians. I'm testifying on
behalf of Tweeps Phillips. She's stuck in
traffic.

CHAIR JOSHI: On behalf of CTS?

MS. AVANESSIANS: Yes. With regards
to the universal retirement plan, we believe
that 5 years is an appropriate length of time
for vehicle retirement. Our passengers
should not need to accept anything less than
the most technologically advanced and safest
vehicles available.

If you recall, one of our primary concerns regarding the Taxi of Tomorrow plan is that we were locked into a single manufacturer for a 10-year period of time, missing out on all of the advances in technology for more fuel-efficient vehicles. We think a 7-year universal retirement plan will be a disadvantage to both the public and

Proceedings

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to the industry by not allowing for more timely adoption of vehicles that could provide better fuel efficiency, improve the environment of New York City, as well as help increase the income of drivers. In the spirit of compromise, we strongly suggest that 5 years would make more sense for the public and our drivers. Although we acknowledge that there have previously been extensions of retirement dates for hybrid and accessible vehicles, we are now seeing technology move at a more rapid pace, and for the for-hire industry should more regularly avail itself -- more regularly avail itself of the best products so we can offer the public the best riding experience possible.

Unfortunately, this policy does not go far enough. Street-hail livery vehicles and liveries still have no retirement requirement. Again passengers in the outer boroughs are being treated like second-class citizens. They're being denied the opportunity to ride in regularly retired vehicles in those service models. We have

Proceedings

already seen Uber's intention to enter the livery market. It is not hard to imagine that they will exploit the lack of retirement requirements to thwart all meaningful attempts to exploit the current disparity in vehicle retirements and to subvert the Commissions Accessibility program.

Thank you again on this opportunity to testify.

MR. WILSON: Thank you. And the next speaker is Michael O'Laughlin.

MR. O'LAUGHLIN: Good morning.

CHAIR JOSHI: Good morning.

MR. O'LAUGHLIN: Michael O'Laughlin for Cab Riders United.

We are a passenger voice for improving the safety, the quality and the environmental impact of the taxi and for-hire vehicle industry of New York. And for all those reasons, we oppose the proposal to extend the retirement age for yellow taxis to 7 years and for black cars to infinity and beyond. We urge you to level the playing field instead by improving standards rather

Proceedings

than lowering them. We urge you to consider the merits of a 3-year retirement schedule.

Now, TLC rules traditionally limit --

CHAIR JOSHI: I'm sorry. Would that be for all sectors, 3 years?

MR. O'LAUGHLIN: That would be a good start for conversation. TLC Rules traditionally limit speakers to only 3 minutes but for business I hope to accomplish today, I would prefer to go on and on for 7 minutes or perhaps forever.

CHAIR JOSHI: Or 7 years.

MR. O'LAUGHLIN: Is that a problem?

If so, I understand that there are competing interests and other values that need to be weighed in the balance and I'll try to restrict myself to the allotted time.

There are so many reasons the proposed idea is a terrible idea for passengers, so let's get started. Number one, the facts. Vehicles deteriorate over the time especially given the very hard use that taxis and for-hire vehicles get.

Number two, math. Under the proposed

Proceedings

rule, yellow taxis would be allowed to be on the streets for up to 7 years and half a million miles of potholes, fender benders, puking passengers and apparently snowstorms and a lot of salt before they are finally retired and replaced.

Excuse me. My pages are out of order. I left a page in the back of the room, please.

Number three, passenger opinion.

Passengers get what this rule would mean and they really dislike it. There are a couple of voices we've heard in the last 24 hours.

Tim: Some of the cabs I get into have holes in the floors and sound like they haven't put oil in the engine since the car was purchased now. S. Grant: I remember the horrible clunker cabs before the law changed. I don't want to go back. Constance: Great. We're paying more now and getting smelly, dirty and old rattling cars. It's a disgrace and a safety hazard for the public and seat belts rarely work in the older cars. And I could go on, but perhaps most poignantly, Ollie:

Proceedings

2.4

Because my 5-year-old has to cross the street and breathe the air in the city. I need to keep him safe.

Number four, safety and Vision Zero.

Like transportation alternatives, we are gravely concerned about the safety implications of the proposed rules. Brake, steering and other vehicle systems deteriorate over time. Also, newer vehicles have improved safety standards.

Number five, environmental and health. We also joined with our allies at the American Lung Association of the Northeast, the New York League of Conservation Voters and the Natural Resources Defense Council, in urging you to please pause and reconsider the health and environmental impacts of the proposed rule changes. Specific concerns include smog, soot pollution and greenhouse gas emissions. The proposed rules appears to directly contradict the intention of the Mayor and the City Council to achieve 80-percent reductions in greenhouse gas —

Proceedings

CHAIR JOSHI: I just want to pause.

Our rules would not alleviate the requirement that three times a year you would have to pass our emissions testing. So if you don't pass the emissions testing, whether you're one year old, two years old or three years old or even seven years old, you can't be on the street as a yellow taxicab.

MR. O'LAUGHLIN: But we believe that the proposed rules would slow the adoption of minimal-emissions and zero-emission vehicles.

Wheelchair accessibility. Like the Taxis For All Campaign, we urge the TLC to describe how the proposed rule change might affect its commitment to 50 percent yellow wheelchair taxi accessibility by the year 2020, and towards 100 percent accessibility at a swift and steady pace for the industry overall including black cars and other for-hire vehicles. Also, as you know from past testimony, we are gravely concerned about the quality and the durability of some of the safety conversions. And you may remember when I played a recording of that

Proceedings

2.4

rattling bucket of bolts. That was in fact a brand-new newly converted wheelchair-accessible vehicle. Without meaningful standards, one could argue that some of the wheelchair-accessible vehicles on the road today should actually have earlier retirement ages instead of later retirement ages.

Reason number seven, the drivers.

Passengers have a particular relationship with the drivers. We literally put our life in their hands. The safety of the drivers is important to us, the quality of their work experience is important to us. We believe that older vehicles are inferior in both of the those regards.

And the last part is I know we all understand that various parts of the industry are under pressure right now and things are influx, but for drivers concerned about the quality of their vehicles, I don't understand why lowering the standards would incent a driver to stay in a vehicle that might, for example, be painted yellow instead of going

Proceedings

to work in a different part of the industry that has the ability to get a newer car.

Thank you for your time.

MR. WILSON: Thank you.

The next speaker is Peter Mazer.

MR. MAZER: Good morning. My name is Peter Mazer and I'm General Counsel to the Metropolitan Taxicab Board of Trade, an association representing the owners of more than 5,500 licensed medallion taxicabs, the agents who operate these cabs, brokers and licensed taximeter businesses who service the taxicab industry.

We also provide services to many of the drivers who operate MTBOT member cabs, including a number of the nearly 700 licensed drivers who had their TLC licenses revoked over the last two years under the critical driver program. On behalf of these hardworking men and women, we say thank you since once these proposed rules were to pass, you will provide many of these former licensees a bona fide opportunity to return to this industry and provide service to the

Proceedings

public.

2.4

For many years, any licensee who was revoked could reapply after one year. There never was any guarantee that a license would be reissued, and indeed, the burden was always upon the applicant to demonstrate that he or she was qualified to receive a license. But then, on June 16, 2011 in the Bronx, on a hot sweltering day in the Bronx courthouse, the Commission voted to change that long-standing practice, and many licensees are now barred for a minimum of two or even three years if their license were to be revoked.

There are a variety of reasons why a license may be revoked. Some are serious, such as convictions for serious felonies. But in recent years, we have seen a dramatic increase in the number of licenses that are being revoked, particularly for drivers who have accumulated points on their DMV licenses. This increase seems to be largely attributable to better coordination of records between TLC and DMV. Nearly 300

Proceedings

2.4

taxicab drivers and over 400 livery drivers have been revoked for DMV points since the beginning of 2013. The past four years, drivers such as these have had virtually no hope of ever returning to this profession even though many of them currently have good driving records and were revoked for DMV points they were accumulated years ago, sometimes even for points received in their private cars.

By reinstating the 1-year ban on licensure and establishing criteria under which applicants who have good driving records can return to this industry in less than three years, the Commission is reinstating its long-standing practice of properly disciplining drivers who have received DMV points at some point in their career, but are also creating an opportunity for these drivers to gain re-entry into the industry after a sufficient period of demonstrated rehabilitation and good driving practices. Of course the TLC will continue to review driving and criminal records of

Proceedings

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

every licensee and can deny licenses where the public interest requires. If a license is granted, these individuals will have to successfully complete a probationary period for a year.

The proposed rules retain the 3-year re-licensure ban for several categories of revocations. We recommend that the Commission also revisit that and look at these licensing bans to determine if they continue to be necessary to protect the public. There may be instances, for example, where a licensee was revoked simply for failing to appear at a hearing. In such cases, a 3-year ban may not be appropriate or necessary. Ideally, I urge you to look at each applicant, including applicants who have been previously held TLC licensees, that their case be considered on a case-by-case basis rather than relying on an arbitrary time period.

The remainder of the package, from eliminating an archaic and unenforceable double-shifting requirement to extending the

Proceedings

life of certain vehicles that continue to meet the TLC's rigorous inspection requirements, are common sense reforms which will eliminate unnecessary requirements that are unrelated to public safety and which unnecessarily hinder the operation of businesses or place one business at a competitive disadvantage vis-a-vis other businesses. Changes relating to the processing of license renewals will also make that entire process more user-friendly.

So I know you're not taking a vote today. We do urge the Commission to approve all of these rule proposals and to also take a fresh look at the existing 2- and 3-year re-licensure bans that still exist on the rules and whether or not some of those could be lifted as well.

But thank you for your consideration. If you have any questions, I'd be happy to answer them. Thank you.

MR. WILSON: Thank you.

And the next speaker is Bhairavi Desai.

Proceedings

MS. DESAI: Good morning. Before my time starts, may I please ask you a quick question? I'm sure many of us are thinking about it. Since the other commissioners are not here, how would they be informed of our testimonies?

CHAIR JOSHI: A good point. One, live stream. Some of them are able -- those that have the time can watch right now as the hearing is going on. Two, the transcripts are available within two or three days so we send them the transcripts as well as those transcripts appear. All of the commissioners get copies of your notes -- of your written comments. And if there's a consensus that there needs to be extended live testimony, we of course will do that and extend the hearing for another date when more of them can be in attendance.

MS. DESAI: Okay. Thank you. I have copies (handing). So thank you for that.

So good morning. My name is Bhairavi Desai. I'm the Executive Director of the New York Taxi Workers Alliance. There is so much

Proceedings

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

on the table right now. I'm going to try to cover as much of it as possible.

As far as the vehicle retirement rules are concerned, we absolutely oppose the idea that a fleet vehicle could be extended to 7 years. Fleet vehicle and an individual-operated vehicle are not the same. The level of maintenance that's performed on these vehicles is simply not the same. level of control that the driver behind the wheel has is not the same. It's an individual vehicle. If you're driver-owned vehicle operator or an owner/operator, you have control over maintenance of that vehicle. And even if you're driving -- even if there's a 17-percent mileage difference, as you had said, but the level of maintenance that you perform is much greater than the level of maintenance that we see performed at fleets. But the simple logic of the fact that the shifts are 5:00 to 5:00, and 5:00 to 5:00, most of these cars, they get in and out. There may be a few exceptions among some of the fleet, but for the vast majority

Proceedings

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of them, you know, they're not maintained properly. It's drivers that are taking that risk on the road, the passengers that are taking that risk on the road, the drivers will lose a competitive edge when you have mechanical problems. If your car breaks down, not every fleet gives you credit. Jet, for example, their policy is for every hour that you -- you have to rack up 12 hours of the car breaking down before you're compensated. And if it breaks down for half an hour, that's not counted because it's not close enough to the hour. So there's no -there's no real incentive for the fleets at the moment to properly maintain those vehicles. And you know, the fact is for drivers, it even says in the TLC rule book now, for drivers, if the car's not good, they're supposed to just walk away. Well, not everybody will have that option if you need to go to work that day. And so drivers should not forced into a position where they need to choose between safety and earning a living. And I believe that's been the theme

Proceedings

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of this administration, particularly under Vision Zero. And by reducing these retirement requirements and not having them at all for the black car and the livery industry I think is a complete disservice to the Vision Zero agenda.

As far as the licensing rules are concerned, we want to really thank you for putting this on the table. You have before you our very specific comments. Very generally though, what I would like to say is we must create an environment where drivers' right to a livelihood is respected. are too many ways in which drivers have to jump through hoops in order to keep their license. Not only are they held to the strictest point requirement of any motorist in New York State, I mean, it's beyond the CDL requirements, the commercial drivers license requirements, that New York State There are even some disparities in how has. the TLC itself looks at points when it's issued by NYPD versus when it's issued by a TLC officer, which one of my colleagues will

Proceedings

speak to more.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There's real administrative barriers that are created particularly around how the defensive driving course is weighed. And so if you miss it by a couple of days, that should not be a reason for why you're stripped of your livelihood for three years, even one year. So the rule on the defensive driving course, this is an opportunity to really fix it so the benefit -- it's the one, only one, way that drivers have to protect themselves if they have gotten any points. And as we have shared with you, Commissioner Joshi, and as my colleague will testify more to this, the vast majority of the summonses that drivers receive, and I believe the NYPD can verify this, are traffic device violations, violations that are not deemed to be hazardous by the TLC itself, had the TLC issued that summons, or by the State of New York if the DMV were to adjudicate that summons or if the DMV were to adjudicate it for a CDL.

And so we would like to see that same

Proceedings

parity. We don't -- let's focus on the safety rules. We're not looking to change that. But in order to focus and make that focus more clear, we must remove all the other barriers, the administrative barriers as well as the weight given to nonhazardous violations which is the vast -- which is the major reason why the majority of drivers end up having their licenses revoked.

The very last point. On the licensing, on the renewal issue, there has to be a grace period, 60 days is simply not enough. We thank you for at least looking to extend it beyond 30 but it's simply not enough. There should be a criteria created where -- many times, you know, whether it's people have an emergency, all sorts of reasons, why you may not be able to meet that requirement in time. Years ago it used to be TLC had a 6-month grace period. Over the past 15 years, we keep reducing the amount of time that drivers have to simply renew a license. They're not working when that license is expired, first of all, so there's

Proceedings

no loss to the city. And I'll wrap up.

There's no loss to the city. They're simply not working. All we're saying is don't put them back -- don't put those who have been at the front of the line onto the back of the line by forcing them to become new applicants where they're subjected to much higher fees and a much longer process. People have a right to maintain that license especially when they've maintained good records. Do not let an administrative matter outweigh the right of working people to maintain their livelihood.

Thank you.

MR. WILSON: Thank you.

CHAIR JOSHI: I just want to respond to one point. We did receive your comments and we'll review them in more detail, but we received them recently. In terms of the TLC's ability to acknowledge some points for purposes of suspension and revocation, some CD points and not acknowledge others, we are very limited in that regard. We did an exercise with our own PV points and we've

Proceedings

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

pulled out those that are not safety-related and we've only assigned points now to those that are. So our PV process mirrors our Vision Zero agendas. So they're much more aligned. We don't have the power to do that with the CD because that's the state and the local law as written currently doesn't distinguish between types of CD points. just says when you get to a certain amount of points you must revoke or suspend. So we -in here, I've already demonstrated that we think that points should be assigned to truly safety-related violations. And so I think that sort of demonstrates how we feel about the CD point system as well. Happy to work with everyone, but I think that that negotiation takes place at the City Council level as opposed to the TLC because there is a limited amount of leeway that we have in terms of disregarding certain CD points and accounting -- using other CD points for suspension or revocation. But I'm glad you raised it because there are categories of points that we ourselves, if they were PV,

Proceedings

would not appear on your record as a point.

2.4

MS. DESAI: Well, perhaps the TLC could consider in terms of settlements to weigh those points differently if that would be within your scope. But we will definitely continue to pursue this with the City Council and we would absolutely appreciate your support on that. Thank you.

MR. WILSON: Thank you.

And the next speaker is Zubin Soleimany.

MR. SOLEIMANY: Good morning, Chair
Joshi, Commissioners. Zubin Soleimany with
the New York Taxi Workers Alliance. Just
following up more on what Bhairavi just
mentioned, I know that you are constrained by
Council law. Just to provide some background
to how this has been affecting the drivers
who have either been revoked recently under
CDP or are currently facing revocation under
CDP regardless of whether the underlying
violations were hazardous or not. So we're
talking about the most common three types of
violations were seen on abstracts, being

Proceedings

disobey traffic device, so for making a right turn on Vanderbilt during rush hour, those sorts of things, improper turn. We got 94 drivers who we looked at their entire abstracts that were considered for CD purposes. Out of those drivers, if you removed the points that are not considered to be point-bearing or hazardous by TLC, 75 of those drivers would not have been revoked, 31 of those drivers would not have even been suspended and 10 of those drivers would've had no points at all for PVB purposes, meaning all of those tickets were for improper turn or disobey traffic device.

So just as we go forward -- and we commend the Commission for also looking into rules that in terms of TLC Rules, look into the underlying violations for the 2-year ban -- we're looking forward to seeing a rule that would really, especially in terms of re-entry, look to a driver's ability to demonstrate that safe record. And specifically as that, I'm not sure if the proposed re-entry rule is not bound by any

Proceedings

local law. If maybe for the purposes of that rule, the Commission could only look to the hazardous violations under 54-13(a)(3). It would be a shame if you had a driver who was in a situation where it had been three years, or even four or five years for some of our guys, since they'd had a hazardous moving violation, but since then they had received two obstruct-intersection tickets last year, they would be out for another three years. I think maybe that would be something we could work on.

CHAIR JOSHI: Thank you.

MR. SOLEIMANY: Thank you for your time.

MR. WILSON: Thank you.

The next speaker is Sofia Akhtar.

MS. AKHTAR: Good morning,

Commissioners. My name is Sofia. I'm at benefit manager at New York Taxi Workers
Alliance. We serve like about 200 or more than 200 drivers on a weekly basis. So we are quite familiar with the critical drivers program. We have serious concerns the way

Proceedings

the TLC counts the 6-hour driving course points. I don't know if the Commissioner has the information, like usually a driver should have a 6-hour defensive driving course before the summons — they get the summons. Or if they have the course, it should have like at least two or three violations that it gives point reduction. When a person gets a CDP summons, critical drivers program, it says, like, from the conviction with the (inaudible) from the violation date going back 15 months. And if you, like — well, if you have a 6-hour defensive driving class from the recent —

CHAIR JOSHI: If you don't mind speaking a little more into the microphone.

MS. AKHTAR: So the violation from (inaudible) going back 15 months, if you have a 6-hour defensive driving course, it should reduce the points. But the way TLC counts the points, if there is no conviction or violation that the DDC class covers, you are not going to get point reduction. It's a serious issue when it's like people get

Proceedings

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

suspension cases and revocation cases. have, like, recently two members, like Mr. Singh Joman (phonetic) and (inaudible). They got tickets in 2012. One of the 2012 tickets got quilty recently, 2014. TLC sent them CDP summons. They took classes from 2012 till 2014, two classes, 6-hour defensive driving class. DMV gave them point reduction, 4-point reduction. But the problem, the way TLC counts it, like, the classes were off a month and 15 days for both gentlemen and they were given two options, settlement or they have to be suspended for 30 days. Now, settlement is like one has to pay \$1,000 within two months, so it's a big problem for the drivers.

It becomes more brutal when we're talking about revocation cases. I can present to you one particular gentleman that I cannot get out of my mind. He got his license, TLC license, in 1986. From 1986 till 2010, he never got one summons, TLC and DMV. I can give TLC his hack number, 432123, and you can check, not a single summons. But

Proceedings

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

unfortunately, in end of 2011 and 2012, he got a few summons, DMV summons, and they were found guilty. He had 10 points. And then he had a 6-hour defensive driving class, but the way the TLC counts, like, he was revoked. He gave 20-plus --

CHAIR JOSHI: Were his DMV points reduced as a result of --

MS. AKHTAR: Yes, because from violation date going back 18 months, those violations were covered. TLC, on the other hand, from violation date going back 15 months. I can give example that makes more -- would make more sense. So what we are proposing is like say my conviction date is March 10, 2015, like TLC would go back 15 months, going to be October 1, 2013. I took the class April 1, 2014 and then, like, I had other two convictions in December and June. My class was in April. If I go from that class going back 15 months, those convictions doesn't come in. DMV's giving me point reduction. The point is, like, from the recent conviction date, going back 15 months,

Proceedings

if the conviction -- that class counts, we should be given point reduction. If you don't agree with that though, it doesn't make sense. DMV does give it. But then one should be given a chance to take the 6-hour defensive driving class again. TLC has to keep in mind, when DMV sees a person has 10 or more points, they give them suspension. It depends on the judge though. But if you get a suspension, you are not going to be revoked at DMV, Department of Motor Vehicles. So that's the point. Thank you so much.

CHAIR JOSHI: Thank you.

MR. WILSON: Thank you.

The next speaker is Javaid Tariq.

MR. TARIQ: Hello. Good morning. My name is Javaid Tariq and I'm also a veteran cab driver for the last 20 years. I just wanted to explain my experience with the Taxi and Limousine Commission, that how hard and how difficult this job is. It's considered second highest stressful job in America, not only one police department. It's about 10 or 11 agencies always looking for cab drivers to

Proceedings

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

give them summons. And I am seeing with my own experience, last 20 years a lot of my other colleagues who never had any kind of a summons, suddenly, last couple years they are getting so many summons, bombarded by police department, especially near the Penn Station That's why by myself I also don't want to go to Penn Station area to pick up any passengers because this is a trap over there. The cops are just standing there giving them summons. And suddenly, those people who are very experienced driving cabs last 20 years, suddenly in one year they got five, six, seven summons and got the points and TLC (inaudible). Does not mean they are bad drivers. They are experienced professional drivers working as a profession as a cab driver and making living for themselves. But the TLC has to look at it, that the whole police department (inaudible) able to make a cab driver to be just giving them summons as of making revenue or just making them target to give them summons.

So I'm very thankful to the

1 Proceedings 2 Commission that you are creating to reenter back to consider that the driver can get 3 license back because that is their 4 Instead of revocation, if there 5 livelihood. 6 is a possibility for six months or some 7 fines, that they can get back their license and keep working, because the older drivers 8 are very professional, they have more 9 experience, and suddenly they get revoked. 10 This is not fair for them. I'm thankful for 11 12 you that you are looking towards giving the 13 license back and being a little bit softer. Thank you very much. 14 15 MR. WILSON: Thank you. 16 The next speaker is Lamarana Bah. 17 (No response.) MR. WILSON: No? 18 19 (No response.) 20 MR. WILSON: The next speaker is 21 Kevin Fullington. MR. FULLINGTON: Hello. I'm Kevin 22 23 Fullington from the Law Firm of Herrick, Feinstein. We are counsel to Nissan North 2.4 25 America. Chair Joshi, esteemed staff members

Proceedings

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of the TLC, thank you for the opportunity to provide testimony on the proposed rules before you today.

In particular, we will provide feedback on the provision of the rules that would allow for a uniform 7-year life cycle for all taxis. We are fully supportive of creating a uniform system whereby all taxis will have the same maximum life cycle. Simplifying the life cycle rule and providing parity represents sound public policy. We recommend, however, that in the interest of medallion holders, drivers and the public, a more conservative approach be taken at this time, and we are happy to stand arm in arm with our friends at the Committee for Taxi Safety and call for the setting of a uniform life cycle at 5 years. Our reasoning is two-fold. First, aged and heavily used taxis provide a lesser ride experience and are more likely to force medallion holders to incur increased maintenance costs. Second, a 7-year life cycle may conflict with the City's commitment to reach a 50-percent

Proceedings

wheelchair-accessible fleet by the end of the year 2020.

The continued satisfaction of taxi customers is of utmost importance to both

Nissan and the industry as a whole. And in fact, it's vital to the ability of the industry to compete with people like Uber.

With any vehicle, including taxis, age and mileage are key determinants of annual maintenance costs, wear level of exterior and interior materials, and overall vehicle appearance.

As the members of this Commission are aware, the average taxi travels 70,000 miles per year; meaning, at the end of its fifth year in use, an average taxi will have traveled more than 350,000 miles. At the end of its seventh year, an average taxi will have traveled 490,000 miles, nearly half a million miles in stop-and-go traffic, heavy-duty use in the city. Given this mileage and use, it should come as no surprise that it becomes more likely that taxis reaching such mileage and use may need

Proceedings

to incur increased maintenance costs to maintain such aged taxis, an impact that will be felt by medallion holders, taxi drivers and passengers alike.

Further, a taxi's life cycle impacts how quickly vehicle enhancements will improve the taxi fleet. For example, even if significant improvements become available in the interim, the safety technologies and gas mileage we see in today's models will remain in the fleet beyond the year 2021 if a uniform 7-year life cycle is established. Given these concerns and the general goal of ensuring a strong taxi fleet continues to support the city, it is the recommendation of Nissan that the uniform life cycle for taxis be set at 5 instead of 7 years.

A separate but important reason to pause before setting the uniform life cycle at 7 years is this decision's impact on the city's commitment to reach a 50-percent WAV fleet by the end of the year 2020. If vehicles are allowed to stay on the road for 7 years, then vehicles are turning over a lot

Proceedings

less often. While the city will eventually get to 50 percent, it will take longer than it would have otherwise.

For the foregoing reasons, Nissan respectfully recommends that this Commission implement a uniform 5-year life cycle for all taxis. Thank you for your time.

MR. WILSON: Thank you. I'd just like to point out that we're very much aware of our commitment to accessibility and we wouldn't have proposed a retirement -- a retirement life for vehicles that would preclude us from meeting the requirements that we get to 50 percent by 2020. So I think we actually can even with a 7-year vehicle. And remember that these are vehicles to be placed into service in the future, not vehicles that will be going out of service and being converted to accessible vehicles.

And the next speaker is Arthur Goldstein.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Good morning. Arthur Goldstein, Davidoff Hutcher & Citron,

Proceedings

2.4

representing the Taxicab Service Association.

I'd just like to comment that members of the

Taxicab Service Association participated in a

combination of phone calls and some e-mails

yesterday, so we're in the process of

coalescing around the lifespan being 5 years

with some of our, what I'll call unity

partners, that we've been working very

closely with on several issues over the last

number of months.

So number one, I'm glad that there's no vote today so there's further time for us to have dialogue with the members of the black-car industry and the livery-car industry. But certainly some of the issues raised by the Committee on Taxi Safety and just now by Mr. Fullington, our issues that are leading us to believe that a 5-year lifespan would be much better for the industry.

As the conversations ensue over the next days, and dare I say weeks, we'll come back with a more precise statement. Thank you very much.

Proceedings

MR. WILSON: Thank you.

license.

One last call for Lamarana Bah.

MR. BAH: Good morning, Chair Lady.

My name is Lamarana Bah. I'm a TLC driver.

I'm just want to talk about a revocation

case. For my own case -- I'm talking about

my own case -- I got some summons before I

got a TLC license. Most of my summons I get

that was given to me for the revocation, I

get all those summons before I get a TLC

CHAIR JOSHI: So today's hearing is about specific rules. But, actually, Charlie Furrey can meet with you right after this hearing is over and talk to you about the specifics of your case to ensure that the proper procedure was followed. But if you have comments on the overall rule that was proposed which would allow former TLC drivers to reenter earlier than the current rules do, we'd be happy to hear those. No?

MR. BAH: No. Thank you.

CHAIR JOSHI: Well, then make sure you find Charlie or he'll find you so you can

		43
1	Proceedings	
2	discuss your case.	
3	MR. BAH: Thank you.	
4	CHAIR JOSHI: And that concludes	
5	today's hearing. The time now is 11:10.	
6	Thank you, everybody, for coming out in this	
7	poor weather.	
8	(Time noted: 11:10 a.m.)	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	44
1	
2	CERTIFICATE
3	
4	STATE OF NEW YORK)
5) ss.: COUNTY OF RICHMOND)
6	
7	I, DANIELLE CAVANAGH, a Notary Public
8	within and for the State of New York, do
9	hereby certify:
10	I reported the proceedings in the
11	within-entitled matter, and that the within
12	transcript is a true record of such
13	proceedings.
14	I further certify that I am not
15	related to any of the parties to this action
16	by blood or marriage and that I am in no way
17	interested in the outcome of this matter.
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
19	set my hand this 8th day of March 2015.
20	
21	DANIELLE CAVANAGH
22	DANIELLE CAVANAGA
23	
24	
25	

Α
a.m (3) 1:9 2:2 43:8
ability (4) 15:3 26:21 29:22 38:7
ability (4) 10.0 20.21 29.22 30.7
able (3) 20:9 25:19 35:21
absolutely (2) 21:5 28:8
abstracts (2) 28:25 29:6
accept (1) 7:15
accessibility (5) 9:8 13:13,17,18
40:11
accessible (2) 8:12 40:20
accomplish (1) 10:10
accounting (1) 27:22
accumulated (2) 16:22 17:9
achieve (1) 12:24
acknowledge (3) 8:10 26:21,23
action (1) 44:15
adjudicate (2) 24:22,23
administration (1) 23:2
administrative (3) 24:3 25:6 26:1
adoption (2) 8:3 13:11
advanced (1) 7:16
advances (1) 7:22
advocate (1) 4:12
advocating (1) 6:2
affect (1) 13:16
age (2) 9:22 38:9
aged (2) 37:20 39:3
agencies (1) 34:25
agenda (1) 23:7
agendas (1) 27:5
agents (1) 15:12
ages (3) 5:13 14:8,9
ago (2) 17:9 25:20
agree (1) 34:4
air (1) 12:3
Akhtar (5) 3:8 30:18,19 31:18
33:10
aligned (1) 27:6
alike (1) 39:5
alleviate (1) 13:3
Alliance (3) 20:25 28:15 30:22
allies (1) 12:13
allotted (1) 10:18
allow (3) 5:2 37:7 42:20
allowed (2) 11:2 39:24
allowing (1) 8:2
alternatives (1) 12:6
America (2) 34:23 36:25
American (1) 12:14
amount (3) 25:22 27:10,20
annual (2) 5:20 38:10
answer (1) 19:22
apparently (1) 11:5
appear (3) 18:15 20:14 28:2
appearance (1) 38:13
appears (1) 12:22
applicant (2) 16:7 18:18
(-) · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

applicants (3) 17:14 18:18 26:7 appreciate (1) 28:8 approach (1) 37:15 appropriate (3) 7:2,13 18:16 **approve (1)** 19:14 **April (2)** 33:19,21 arbitrary (1) 18:21 archaic (1) 18:24 area (2) 35:8,9 **argue (1)** 14:5 arm (2) 37:16,16 Arthur (3) 3:11 40:22,24 assigned (2) 27:3,13 association (4) 12:14 15:10 41:2,4 attempts (1) 9:6 attendance (1) 20:20 attributable (1) 16:24 avail (2) 8:15,15 available (3) 7:17 20:12 39:9 Avanessians (5) 3:3 6:20 7:6,7,11 **AVENUE (1)** 1:22 average (3) 38:15,17,19 aware (2) 38:15 40:10

В back (16) 11:9,20 26:5,6 31:13,19 33:11,13,17,22,25 36:3,4,7,13 41:24 **background (1)** 28:18 bad (1) 35:16 **Bah (6)** 36:16 42:3,4,5,23 43:3 **balance (1)** 10:17 ban (4) 17:12 18:8,16 29:20 bans (2) 18:11 19:17 barred (1) 16:13 barriers (4) 4:7 24:3 25:6,6 basis (3) 5:20 18:21 30:23 Beaver (1) 1:11 beginning (1) 17:4 behalf (5) 3:3 6:21 7:8,10 15:20 believe (7) 6:25 7:12 13:10 14:15 22:25 24:17 41:19 belts (1) 11:23 benders (1) 11:4 benefit (2) 24:11 30:21 best (2) 8:16,17 better (3) 8:4 16:24 41:20 beyond (4) 9:24 23:19 25:15 39:12 Bhairavi (4) 3:6 19:24 20:23 28:16 **big (1)** 32:16 bit (1) 36:13 black (4) 4:5 9:23 13:20 23:5 **black-car (1)** 41:15 **blood (1)** 44:16 **Board (1)** 15:9 **bolts (1)** 14:2

bombarded (1) 35:6

bona (1) 15:24 **book (1)** 22:18 **BOROUGH (1)** 1:13 boroughs (1) 8:22 bound (1) 29:25 Brake (1) 12:8 **brand-new (1)** 14:3 breaking (1) 22:11 breaks (2) 22:7,12 breathe (1) 12:3 brokers (1) 15:12 Bronx (2) 16:9,10 brutal (1) 32:18 bucket (1) 14:2 burden (1) 16:6 business (2) 10:10 19:8 businesses (3) 15:13 19:8,10

C

C (2) 44:2,2 cab (5) 9:16 34:19,25 35:18,22 **cabs (5)** 11:15,19 15:12,16 35:13 call (3) 37:18 41:8 42:3 calls (1) 41:5 Campaign (1) 13:14 **CAPA (1)** 6:9 car (6) 4:5 11:17 15:3 22:7,11 23:5 car's (1) 22:19 career (1) 17:20 cars (6) 9:23 11:22,24 13:20 17:11 21:23 case (6) 18:20 42:7,7,8,17 43:2 case-by-case (1) 18:20 cases (4) 18:16 32:2,2,19 categories (2) 18:8 27:24 **CAVANAGH (2)** 44:7,21 **CD (7)** 26:23 27:7,9,16,21,22 29:6 CDL (2) 23:20 24:24 **CDP (4)** 28:21,22 31:9 32:7 certain (3) 19:2 27:10,21 certainly (1) 41:16 **certify (2)** 44:9,14 Chair (20) 2:5 4:2 6:21 7:10 9:14 10:5,13 13:2 20:8 26:17 28:13 30:14 31:16 33:8 34:14 36:25 42:4,13,24 43:4 **chance (1)** 34:6 **change (5)** 4:4,18 13:15 16:11 25:3 changed (1) 11:19 **changes (2)** 12:20 19:10 Charles (1) 2:11 Charlie (2) 42:14,25 check (1) 32:25 choose (1) 22:24 Chris (2) 2:8 6:16 citizens (1) 8:23 Citron (1) 40:25

city (11) 1:4 8:5 12:3,24 26:2,3 27:18 28:7 38:22 39:16 40:2 city's (2) 37:25 39:22 class (9) 31:14,23 32:9 33:5,19,21 33:22 34:2,7 classes (3) 32:7,8,12 clear (1) 25:5 close (1) 22:14 closely (1) 41:10 clunker (1) 11:19 coalescing (1) 41:7 colleague (1) 24:15 colleagues (2) 23:25 35:4 combination (1) 41:5 come (3) 33:23 38:23 41:23 coming (1) 43:6 commend (1) 29:17 comment (2) 4:8 41:3 comments (5) 5:25 20:16 23:11 26:18 42:19 commercial (1) 23:20 Commission (13) 1:5,12 6:23 16:11 17:16 18:10 19:14 29:17 30:3 34:21 36:2 38:14 40:6 Commissioner (2) 24:14 31:3 commissioners (6) 2:4 6:21 20:5 20:14 28:14 30:20 Commissions (1) 9:8 commitment (4) 13:16 37:25 39:22 Committee (3) 6:22 37:17 41:17 common (2) 19:4 28:24 compensated (1) 22:12 compete (1) 38:8 competing (1) 10:15 competitive (2) 19:9 22:6 complete (2) 18:5 23:6 compromise (1) 8:7 concerned (5) 12:7 13:22 14:21 21:5 23:9 concerns (4) 7:19 12:20 30:25 39:14 concludes (1) 43:4 conflict (1) 37:24 consensus (1) 20:16 **Conservation (1)** 12:16 conservative (1) 37:15 consider (3) 10:2 28:4 36:3 consideration (1) 19:20 considered (4) 18:20 29:6,8 34:22 Constance (1) 11:20 constrained (1) 28:17 continue (5) 6:11 17:24 18:12 19:2 28:7 continued (1) 38:4 continues (1) 39:15 **contradict (1)** 12:23

control (2) 21:11,15 **CONVENED (1)** 2:2 conversation (1) 10:8 conversations (1) 41:22 **conversions (1)** 13:24 converted (2) 14:3 40:20 conviction (5) 31:11,22 33:16,25 convictions (3) 16:18 33:20,22 coordination (1) 16:24 copies (2) 20:15,22 cops (1) 35:11 corporate (2) 5:19,22 costs (3) 37:23 38:11 39:2 Council (5) 12:17,24 27:18 28:7,18 counsel (3) 2:8 15:8 36:24 counted (1) 22:13 counts (5) 31:2,21 32:11 33:6 34:2 **COUNTY (1)** 44:5 couple (3) 11:13 24:6 35:5 course (9) 6:13 17:24 20:18 24:5 24:10 31:2,5,7,20 courthouse (1) 16:10 cover (1) 21:3 covered (1) 33:12 covers (1) 31:23 create (1) 23:13 created (2) 24:4 25:16 creating (3) 17:20 36:2 37:9 credit (1) 22:8 criminal (1) 17:25 criteria (2) 17:13 25:16 critical (3) 15:19 30:24 31:10 cross (1) 12:2 CTS (1) 7:10 current (2) 9:6 42:21 currently (3) 17:7 27:8 28:21 **customers (1)** 38:5 cycle (14) 4:5,6,25 5:3 37:7,10,11 37:19,24 39:6,13,17,20 40:7

D

32:8 33:5 34:7 definitely (1) 28:6 **deliberate (1)** 6:12 demonstrate (2) 16:7 29:23 demonstrated (2) 17:23 27:12 demonstrates (1) 27:15 denied (1) 8:23 deny (1) 18:2 department (4) 34:12,24 35:7,21 depends (1) 34:10 Desai (6) 3:6 19:25 20:2,21,24 28:3 describe (1) 13:15 detail (1) 26:19 deteriorate (2) 10:22 12:10 determinants (1) 38:10 determine (1) 18:11 device (3) 24:18 29:2,15 dialogue (1) 41:14 difference (2) 5:18 21:17 different (4) 5:6 6:3,4 15:2 differently (1) 28:5 difficult (1) 34:22 diminish (1) 5:13 directly (1) 12:22 **Director (1)** 20:24 dirty (1) 11:21 disadvantage (2) 7:25 19:9 disciplining (1) 17:18 discuss (1) 43:2 disgrace (1) 11:22 dislike (1) 11:13 disobey (2) 29:2,15 disparities (1) 23:22 **disparity (1)** 9:6 disregarding (1) 27:21 disservice (1) 23:6 distinguish (1) 27:9 **DMV (14)** 16:22,25 17:3,8,19 24:22 24:23 32:9,24 33:3,8 34:5,8,12 **DMV's (1)** 33:23 double-shifting (1) 18:25 dramatic (1) 16:19 drive (1) 5:21 driver (11) 3:2 14:24 15:20 21:11 30:5 31:4 34:19 35:19,22 36:3 42:5 driver's (1) 29:22 driver-owned (1) 21:13 drivers (44) 4:8 5:14 8:6,9 14:10 14:12,13,21 15:16,18 16:21 17:2 17:2,5,18,21 22:3,5,18,19,22 23:15,20 24:12,17 25:9,23 28:19 29:5,7,10,11,12 30:23,24 31:10 32:17 34:25 35:17,18 36:8 37:14 39:4 42:20 drivers' (1) 23:13

driving (15) 17:8,14,23,25 21:16 24:5,10 31:2,5,14,20 32:9 33:5	F	future (1) 40:19
34:7 35:13	F (1) 44:2	G
durability (1) 13:23	facing (1) 28:21	gain (1) 17:21
durability (1) 13.23	FACSIMILE (1) 1:24	gas (3) 12:21,25 39:10
Е	fact (5) 4:21 14:2 21:21 22:17 38:7	general (3) 2:8 15:8 39:
E (2) 44:2,2	facts (1) 10:22	generally (1) 23:12
e-mails (1) 41:5	failing (1) 18:15	gentleman (1) 32:20
earlier (2) 14:7 42:21	fair (1) 36:11	gentlemen (1) 32:13
earning (1) 22:24	familiar (1) 30:24	getting (2) 11:21 35:6
edge (1) 22:6	far (3) 8:19 21:4 23:8	give (6) 32:24 33:14 34:
efficiency (1) 8:4	feedback (2) 6:7 37:6	given (8) 10:23 25:7 32:
either (1) 28:20	feel (1) 27:15	38:22 39:14 42:10
eliminate (1) 19:5	fees (1) 26:8	gives (2) 22:8 31:8
eliminating (1) 18:24	Feinstein (1) 36:24	giving (4) 33:23 35:11,2
EMAIL (1) 1:24	felonies (1) 16:18	glad (2) 27:23 41:12
emergency (1) 25:18	felt (1) 39:4	go (9) 8:19 10:11 11:20,
emissions (3) 12:21 13:5,6	fender (1) 11:4 fide (1) 15:24	29:16 33:17,21 35:9
engine (1) 11:17	field (1) 9:25	goal (1) 39:14
enhancements (1) 39:7	fifth (1) 38:16	going (14) 6:16 14:25 2
ensue (1) 41:22	final (1) 6:12	31:12,19,24 33:11,13,
ensure (1) 42:17	finally (1) 11:6	34:11 40:19
ensuring (1) 39:15	find (3) 4:22 42:25,25	Goldstein (4) 3:11 40:23
enter (1) 9:2	fines (1) 36:7	good (19) 4:2 6:20 9:13
entire (2) 19:12 29:5	Firm (1) 36:23	15:7`17:7,14,23 20:2,8
environment (2) 8:5 23:13	first (3) 6:19 25:25 37:20	26:11 28:13 30:19 34:
environmental (3) 9:19 12:12,19	five (3) 12:12 30:7 35:14	42:4
especially (5) 4:9 10:23 26:10	fix (1) 24:11	gotten (2) 5:25 24:13
29:21 35:7	fleet (9) 21:6,7,25 22:8 38:2 39:8	grace (2) 25:13,21
established (1) 39:13	39:12,15,23	Grant (1) 11:18
establishing (1) 17:13	fleets (2) 21:21 22:15	granted (1) 18:4
esteemed (1) 36:25	FLOOR (1) 1:12	gravely (2) 12:7 13:22
eventually (1) 40:2	floors (1) 11:16	Great (1) 11:20
everybody (2) 22:21 43:6	focus (3) 25:2,4,5	greater (1) 21:19
example (5) 14:25 18:13 22:9	followed (1) 42:18	greenhouse (2) 12:21,2
33:14 39:8	following (1) 28:16	guarantee (1) 16:5
exceptions (1) 21:24	for-hire (4) 8:14 9:19 10:24 13:21	guilty (2) 32:6 33:4
excited (1) 6:10	force (1) 37:22	guys (1) 30:8
Excuse (1) 11:8	forced (1) 22:23	ļ —
Executive (1) 20:24	forcing (1) 26:7	<u>H</u>
exemptions (1) 5:7	foregoing (1) 40:5	hack (1) 32:24
exercise (1) 26:25	forever (1) 10:12	half (3) 11:3 22:12 38:20
exist (1) 19:17	form (1) 6:12	hand (2) 33:13 44:19
existing (2) 5:13 19:16	former (3) 4:7 15:23 42:20	handing (1) 20:22
experience (6) 8:17 14:15 34:20	forward (2) 29:16,20	hands (1) 14:13
35:3 36:10 37:21	found (1) 33:4	happy (4) 19:21 27:16 3
experienced (2) 35:13,17	four (3) 12:5 17:4 30:7	hard (3) 9:3 10:23 34:21
expired (1) 25:25	fresh (1) 19:16	hardworking (1) 15:21
explain (2) 4:16 34:20	friends (1) 37:17	hazard (1) 11:23
exploit (2) 9:4,6	front (1) 26:6	hazardous (5) 24:20 28
extend (3) 9:22 20:18 25:15	fuel (1) 8:4	30:4,8
extended (2) 20:17 21:6	fuel-efficient (1) 7:23	he'll (1) 42:25
extending (1) 18:25 extension (1) 5:3	Fullington (5) 3:10 36:21,22,23	health (2) 12:13,18
extension (1) 5.3 extensions (2) 5:7 8:11	41:18	hear (3) 4:10 6:10 42:22 heard (1) 11:14
exterior (1) 38:11	fully (1) 37:8	hearing (12) 1:12 2:2 4:
I CALCITOT LITTOU. II	Furrov (2) 2:11 /2:15	1 11Cailliu (12) . 2 2.2 4.

Furrey (2) 2:11 42:15

further (4) 4:11 39:6 41:13 44:14

2:8 15:8 39:14 l**)** 23:12 (1) 32:20 **(1)** 32:13 1:21 35:6 24 33:14 34:5,9 35:2,24 :23 25:7 32:13 34:3,6 14 42:10 2:8 31:8 3:23 35:11,22 36:12 23 41:12 10:11 11:20,25 22:22 17,21 35:9 14 6:16 14:25 20:11 21:2 24 33:11,13,18,22,25 **4)** 3:11 40:23,24,25 :2 6:20 9:13,14 10:7 14,23 20:2,8,23 22:19 3 30:19 34:17 40:24 5:25 24:13 5:13.21 :18 18:4 12:7 13:22 :20 21:19 **e (2)** 12:21,25 **1)** 16:5 2:6 33:4 Н 24 22:12 38:20 :13 44:19 20:22 4:13 9:21 27:16 37:16 42:22 10:23 34:21 **ig (1)** 15:21 1:23 **(5)** 24:20 28:23 29:9 25 2:13,18 0 6:10 42:22 **a (1)** 11:14 hearing (12) 1:12 2:2 4:4 6:4,6,23 18:15 20:11,18 42:13,16 43:5

hearings (1) 6:8 heavily (1) 37:20 heavy-duty (1) 38:22 held (2) 18:19 23:17 Hello (2) 34:17 36:22 help (1) 8:5 hereunto (1) 44:18 Herrick (1) 36:23 higher (1) 26:8 highest (1) 34:23 hinder (1) 19:7 holders (3) 37:14,22 39:4 holes (1) 11:15 hoops (1) 23:16 hope (2) 10:10 17:6 horrible (1) 11:18 hosting (1) 6:23 hot (1) 16:10 hour (4) 22:10,13,14 29:3 hours (2) 11:14 22:10 Hutcher (1) 40:25 hybrid (1) 8:11

idea (3) 10:20,20 21:6 Ideally (1) 18:17 **identify (1)** 7:5 imagine (1) 9:3 impact (3) 9:19 39:3,21 impacts (2) 12:19 39:6 **implement (1)** 40:7 implications (1) 12:8 importance (1) 38:5 important (3) 14:14,15 39:19 improper (2) 29:4,15 improve (2) 8:4 39:7 improved (1) 12:11 improvements (1) 39:9 improving (2) 9:18,25 inaudible (5) 31:12,19 32:4 35:16 35:21 incent (1) 14:23 incentive (1) 22:15 include (1) 12:20 including (4) 13:20 15:17 18:18 38:9 income (1) 8:6 increase (3) 8:6 16:20,23 increased (2) 37:23 39:2 incur (2) 37:22 39:2 independent (2) 5:18,21 individual (1) 21:13 individual-operated (1) 21:8 individuals (1) 18:4 industry (17) 5:12 8:2,14 9:20 13:19 14:19 15:2,14,25 17:15,22

23:6 38:6,8 41:15,16,21

inferior (1) 14:16 infinity (1) 9:23 influx (1) 14:21 **information (1)** 31:4 informed (1) 20:6 **inspection (1)** 19:3 instances (1) 18:13 intention (2) 9:2 12:23 interest (2) 18:3 37:13 interested (3) 4:10 6:4 44:17 interests (1) 10:16 interim (1) 39:10 interior (1) 38:12 issue (2) 25:12 31:25 issued (3) 23:24,24 24:21 issues (3) 41:10,16,18

Javaid (3) 3:9 34:16,18
Jet (1) 22:9
job (2) 34:22,23
Joe (1) 2:9
joined (1) 12:13
Joman (1) 32:4
Joshi (20) 2:5 4:2 6:21 7:10 9:14
10:5,13 13:2 20:8 24:15 26:17
28:14 30:14 31:16 33:8 34:14
36:25 42:13,24 43:4
judge (1) 34:10
jump (1) 23:16
June (2) 16:9 33:20

keep (5) 12:4 23:16 25:22 34:8 36:8 Kevin (3) 3:10 36:21,22 key (1) 38:10 kind (1) 35:4 know (8) 13:21 14:18 19:13 22:2 22:17 25:17 28:17 31:3

L

lack (1) 9:4 Lady (1) 42:4 Lamarana (3) 36:16 42:3,5 largely (1) 16:23 law (6) 5:2 11:19 27:8 28:18 30:2 36:23 leading (1) 41:19 League (1) 12:15 leeway (1) 27:20 left (1) 11:9 length (2) 7:2,13 lesser (1) 37:21 let's (2) 10:21 25:2 level (7) 9:24 21:9,11,18,20 27:19 38:11 license (18) 16:5,8,14,17 18:3 19:11 23:17,21 25:24,25 26:10 32:22,22 36:4,7,13 42:9,12 licensed (3) 15:11,13,17 licensee (3) 16:3 18:2,14 licensees (3) 15:24 16:12 18:19 licenses (5) 15:18 16:20,23 18:2 licensing (3) 18:11 23:8 25:12 licensure (1) 17:13 life (16) 4:5,6 5:3 14:12 19:2 37:7 37:10,11,19,24 39:6,13,17,20 40:7.13 lifespan (2) 41:7,20 lifted (1) 19:19 limit (2) 10:4,9 limited (2) 26:24 27:20 Limousine (2) 1:5 34:21 line (2) 26:6,7 list (1) 6:17 literally (1) 14:12 little (2) 31:17 36:13 live (3) 4:11 20:9,17 livelihood (4) 23:14 24:8 26:14 liveries (1) 8:20 livery (4) 8:19 9:3 17:2 23:5 livery-car (1) 41:15 living (2) 22:25 35:19 local (3) 5:2 27:8 30:2 locked (1) 7:20 logic (1) 21:21 long-standing (2) 16:12 17:17 longer (2) 26:9 40:3 look (7) 18:10,17 19:16 29:18,22 30:3 35:20 looked (1) 29:5 looking (7) 5:11 25:3,14 29:17,20 34:25 36:12 looks (1) 23:23 lose (1) 22:6 loss (2) 26:2,3 lot (3) 11:6 35:3 39:25 lots (1) 6:2 lowering (2) 10:2 14:23 Lung (1) 12:14

М

maintain (4) 22:16 26:10,13 39:3 maintained (2) 22:2 26:11 maintenance (7) 21:9,15,18,20 37:23 38:11 39:2 major (1) 25:9 majority (3) 21:25 24:16 25:9 making (4) 29:2 35:19,23,23 MALE (1) 7:4 MAMARONECK (2) 1:22,23

(4) 00 04
manager (1) 30:21
MANHATTAN (1) 1:13
manufacturer (1) 7:21
March (3) 1:10 33:17 44:19
market (1) 9:3
marriage (1) 44:16
materials (1) 38:12
math (1) 10:25
matter (3) 26:12 44:11,17
maximum (1) 37:10
Mayor (1) 12:23
Mazer (4) 3:5 15:6,7,8
mean (3) 11:12 23:19 35:16
meaning (2) 29:14 38:16
meaningful (2) 9:5 14:5
mechanical (1) 22:7
medallion (6) 5:19,19 15:11 37:14
37:22 39:4
medallions (3) 5:16,21,23
Meera (1) 2:5
meet (3) 19:3 25:19 42:15
meeting (1) 40:14
member (1) 15:16
members (5) 32:3 36:25 38:14 41:3,14
men (1) 15:21
mentioned (2) 5:24 28:17
merits (1) 10:3 Metropolitan (1) 15:9
Michael (3) 3:4 9:12,15
microphone (1) 31:17
mileage (7) 5:19,20 21:17 38:10,23
38:25 39:11
miles (5) 11:4 38:15,18,20,21
million (2) 11:4 38:21
mind (3) 31:16 32:21 34:8
minimal-emissions (1) 13:12
minimum (1) 16:13
minutes (2) 10:10,12
mirrors (1) 27:4
missing (1) 7:22
models (2) 8:25 39:11
moment (2) 4:15 22:16
month (1) 32:12
months (10) 31:13,19 32:16 33:11
33:14,18,22,25 36:6 41:11
morning (12) 4:2 6:20 9:13,14 15:7
20:2,23 28:13 30:19 34:17 40:24
42:4
Motor (1) 34:12
motorist (1) 23:18
move (1) 8:13
moving (1) 30:8
MTBOT (1) 15:16
N

Ν

name (5) 15:7 20:23 30:20 34:18

42:5 Natasha (3) 3:3 7:4,7 **Natural (1)** 12:16 near (1) 35:7 nearly (3) 15:17 16:25 38:20 necessary (2) 18:12,17 need (6) 7:15 10:16 12:3 22:22,24 38:25 needs (1) 20:17 negotiation (1) 27:18 never (3) 16:5 32:23 35:4 new (14) 1:4,23 8:5 9:20 12:15 20:24 23:19,21 24:21 26:7 28:15 30:21 44:4,8 newer (2) 12:10 15:3 newly (1) 14:3 Nissan (4) 36:24 38:6 39:17 40:5 nonhazardous (1) 25:7 North (1) 36:24 **Northeast (1)** 12:15 **Notary (1)** 44:7 noted (1) 43:8 notes (1) 20:15 number (14) 4:12,14 6:3 10:21,25 11:11 12:5,12 14:10 15:17 16:20 32:24 41:11,12 NYPD (2) 23:24 24:17

O'Laughlin (8) 3:4 9:12,13,15,15 10:7,14 13:10 obstruct-intersection (1) 30:10 October (1) 33:18 offer (1) 8:16 officer (1) 23:25 **Oh (1)** 7:6 oil (1) 11:17 Okay (1) 20:21 old (5) 11:22 13:7,7,8,8 older (3) 11:24 14:16 36:8 Ollie (1) 11:25 once (1) 15:22 operate (2) 15:12,16 operating (3) 4:24 5:9,17 operation (1) 19:7 operator (1) 21:14 **operators (1)** 5:6 opinion (1) 11:11 opportunity (6) 8:24 9:9 15:24 17:20 24:10 37:2 oppose (2) 9:21 21:5 opposed (1) 27:19 option (1) 22:21 options (1) 32:13 order (3) 11:9 23:16 25:4 outcome (1) 44:17 outer (1) 8:21

outweigh (1) 26:12 overall (3) 13:20 38:12 42:19 **OWNER (1)** 3:2 owner/operator (1) 21:14 owners (3) 5:5,14 15:10

pace (2) 8:13 13:19

package (1) 18:23 **page (1)** 11:9 pages (1) 11:8 painted (1) 14:25 parity (3) 5:11 25:2 37:12 part (2) 14:18 15:2 participated (1) 41:4 particular (3) 14:11 32:20 37:5 particularly (3) 16:21 23:2 24:4 parties (1) 44:15 partners (1) 41:9 parts (1) 14:19 pass (3) 13:5,6 15:22 passenger (2) 9:17 11:11 passengers (9) 7:14 8:21 10:21 11:5,12 14:11 22:4 35:10 39:5 pause (3) 12:18 13:2 39:20 pay (1) 32:16 paying (1) 11:21 **Penn (2)** 35:7,9 **people (8)** 4:11,21 25:18 26:9,13 31:25 35:12 38:8 percent (9) 4:23 5:8,16,21,22 13:16,18 40:3,15 **perform (1)** 21:19 **performed (2)** 21:9,20 period (6) 7:21 17:22 18:5,22 25:13,21 person (2) 31:9 34:8 **Peter (3)** 3:5 15:6,8 **Phillips (3)** 3:3 6:19 7:8 phone (1) 41:5 phonetic (1) 32:4 pick (1) 35:9 piece (1) 4:9 place (2) 19:8 27:18 placed (1) 40:18 plan (5) 6:24,25 7:12,19,24 played (1) 13:25 playing (1) 9:24 please (3) 11:10 12:17 20:3 poignantly (1) 11:25 point (15) 17:19 20:8 23:18 25:11 26:18 27:16 28:2 31:9,24 32:9 33:23,24 34:3,13 40:10 point-bearing (1) 29:9 points (27) 16:22 17:3,9,10,19 23:23 24:13 26:21,23,25 27:3,9 27:11,13,21,22,25 28:5 29:8,13

31:3,21,22 33:4,8 34:9 35:15
police (3) 34:24 35:6,21
policy (3) 8:18 22:9 37:12
pollution (1) 12:21
poor (1) 43:7
position (1) 22:23
possibility (1) 36:6
possible (2) 8:17 21:3
potholes (1) 11:4
power (1) 27:6
practice (2) 16:12 17:17
practices (1) 17:24
precise (1) 41:24
preclude (1) 40:14
prefer (1) 10:11
present (3) 2:4,7 32:20
pressure (1) 14:20
previously (2) 8:10 18:19
primary (1) 7:18
private (1) 17:11
probationary (1) 18:5
problem (3) 10:14 32:11,17
problems (1) 22:7
procedure (1) 42:18
proceedings (42) 4:1 5:1 6:1 7:1
8:1 9:1 10:1 11:1 12:1 13:1 14:1
15:1 16:1 17:1 18:1 19:1 20:1
21:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1
27:1 28:1 29:1 30:1 31:1 32:1
33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1 37:1 38:1
39:1 40:1 41:1 42:1 43:1 44:10
44:13
process (4) 19:12 26:9 27:4 41:6
processing (1) 19:11
products (1) 8:16
profession (2) 17:6 35:18
professional (2) 35:17 36:9
program (4) 9:8 15:20 30:25 31:10
proper (1) 42:18
properly (3) 17:18 22:3,16
proposal (3) 4:17 6:2 9:21
proposals (1) 19:15
propose (1) 6:7
proposed (17) 4:4,13,13 5:15
10:20,25 12:8,19,22 13:11,15
15:22 18:7 29:25 37:3 40:12
42:20
proposing (1) 33:16
protect (2) 18:12 24:12
provide (8) 8:4 15:15,23,25 28:18
37:3,5,21
providing (1) 37:11
provision (1) 37:11
public (13) 2:13 4:3 7:25 8:9,17
11:23 16:2 18:3,13 19:6 37:12,14 44:7
puking (1) 11:5

pulled (1) 27:2 purchased (1) 11:17 purpose (1) 6:6 purposes (4) 26:22 29:7,13 30:2 pursue (1) 28:7 put (5) 4:12 11:16 14:12 26:4,5 putting (1) 23:10 PV (3) 26:25 27:4,25 PVB (1) 29:13

Q

qualified (1) 16:8 qualify (1) 5:6 quality (4) 9:18 13:23 14:14,22 question (1) 20:4 questions (1) 19:21 quick (1) 20:3 quickly (1) 39:7 quite (1) 30:24

R

R (1) 44:2 rack (1) 22:10 raised (2) 27:24 41:17 range (1) 4:20 rapid (1) 8:13 rarely (1) 11:24 rattling (2) 11:22 14:2 re-entry (4) 4:7 17:21 29:22,25 re-licensure (2) 18:8 19:17 reach (2) 37:25 39:22 reaching (1) 38:25 real (2) 22:15 24:3 really (4) 11:13 23:9 24:11 29:21 reapply (1) 16:4 reason (4) 14:10 24:7 25:9 39:19 reasoning (1) 37:19 reasons (6) 5:5 9:21 10:19 16:16 25:19 40:5 recall (1) 7:18 receive (4) 6:11 16:8 24:17 26:18 received (5) 4:8 17:10,19 26:20 30:9 recommend (2) 18:9 37:13 recommendation (1) 39:16 recommends (1) 40:6 reconsider (1) 12:18 record (3) 28:2 29:23 44:12 recording (1) 13:25 records (5) 16:25 17:8,15,25 26:11 reduce (2) 4:6 31:21 reduced (1) 33:9 reducing (2) 23:3 25:22 reduction (6) 31:9,24 32:10,10 33:24 34:3 reductions (1) 12:24 reenter (2) 36:2 42:21

REFORM (1) 3:2 reforms (1) 19:4 regard (1) 26:24 **regarding (1)** 7:19 regardless (1) 28:22 regards (3) 6:25 7:11 14:17 regularly (3) 8:14,15,24 rehabilitation (1) 17:23 reinstating (2) 17:12,17 reissued (1) 16:6 **related (1)** 44:15 relating (1) 19:10 relationship (1) 14:11 relying (1) 18:21 remain (1) 39:11 remainder (1) 18:23 remember (3) 11:18 13:25 40:17 remove (1) 25:5 removed (1) 29:8 renew (1) 25:23 renewal (1) 25:12 renewals (1) 19:11 replaced (1) 11:7 reported (1) 44:10 **REPORTING (1)** 1:22 representing (2) 15:10 41:2 represents (1) 37:12 republished (1) 6:13 required (1) 6:9 requirement (5) 8:21 13:3 18:25 23:18 25:20 requirements (7) 9:5 19:4,5 23:4 23:20,21 40:14 requires (1) 18:3 **Resources (1)** 12:16 respected (1) 23:14 respectfully (1) 40:6 respond (1) 26:17 response (2) 36:17,19 restrict (1) 10:18 result (2) 5:8 33:9 retain (1) 18:7 retired (2) 8:24 11:7 retirement (24) 4:5,6,9,24 5:10,13 5:15,17 6:24 7:3,12,14,24 8:11 8:20 9:4,22 10:3 14:8,8 21:4 23:4 40:12.13 retirements (3) 4:18,20 9:7 retroactively (1) 4:18 return (2) 15:24 17:15 returning (1) 17:6 revenue (1) 35:23 review (2) 17:25 26:19 revisit (1) 18:10 revocation (8) 26:22 27:23 28:21 32:2,19 36:5 42:6,10 revocations (1) 18:9

revoke (1) 27:11 revoked (14) 15:18 16:4,15,17,21 17:3.8 18:14 25:10 28:20 29:10 33:6 34:12 36:10 **RICHMOND (1)** 44:5 ride (2) 8:24 37:21 Riders (1) 9:16 riding (1) 8:17 right (8) 14:20 20:10 21:2 23:14 26:10,13 29:2 42:15 rigorous (1) 19:3 risk (2) 22:4,5 road (6) 4:19,23 14:7 22:4,5 39:24 Rodney (1) 2:10 room (2) 1:12 11:10 Roth (1) 2:9 rule (15) 3:2 4:13,13 11:2,12 12:19 13:15 19:15 22:18 24:9 29:20,25 30:3 37:11 42:19 rules (23) 4:4 5:2,2 6:8,13 10:4,8 12:8,22 13:3,11 15:22 18:7 19:18 21:5 23:8 25:3 29:18,18 37:3,6 42:14,21 rush (1) 29:3

S

S (1) 11:18 safe (2) 12:4 29:23 safest (1) 7:16 safety (14) 6:22 9:18 11:23 12:5,7 12:11 13:24 14:13 19:6 22:24 25:3 37:18 39:10 41:17 safety-related (2) 27:2,14 salt (1) 11:6 satisfaction (1) 38:4 saying (1) 26:4 says (3) 22:18 27:10 31:10 **schedule (1)** 10:3 scope (1) 28:6 seat (1) 11:23 second (2) 34:23 37:23 second-class (1) 8:22 sectors (1) 10:6 see (3) 21:20 24:25 39:11 seeing (3) 8:12 29:20 35:2 seen (3) 9:2 16:19 28:25 sees (1) 34:8 send (1) 20:13 sense (4) 8:8 19:4 33:15 34:5 sent (1) 32:6 separate (1) 39:19 serious (4) 16:17,18 30:25 31:25 serve (1) 30:22 service (7) 8:25 15:13,25 40:18,20 41:2,4 services (2) 1:22 15:15 set (2) 39:18 44:19

setting (2) 37:18 39:20 settlement (2) 32:14,15 settlements (1) 28:4 seven (3) 13:8 14:10 35:15 seventh (1) 38:19 shame (1) 30:5 **shared (1)** 24:14 shifts (1) 21:22 significant (1) 39:9 simple (1) 21:21 **Simplifying (1)** 37:11 simply (6) 18:14 21:10 25:13,15,23 26:3 Singh (1) 32:4 single (2) 7:20 32:25 situation (1) 30:6 six (2) 35:14 36:6 slow (1) 13:11 SLS (1) 22:8 smelly (1) 11:21 smog (1) 12:20 **snowstorms (1)** 11:5 Sofia (3) 3:8 30:18,20 softer (1) 36:13 Soleimany (5) 3:7 28:12,13,14 30:15 soot (1) 12:21 sorry (3) 7:4,6 10:5 sort (1) 27:15 sorts (2) 25:18 29:4 sound (2) 11:16 37:12 speak (1) 24:2 speaker (12) 6:17,19 7:4 9:12 15:6 19:24 28:11 30:18 34:16 36:16 36:20 40:22 speakers (2) 3:2 10:9 speaking (1) 31:17 specific (3) 12:20 23:11 42:14 specifically (1) 29:24 **specifics (1)** 42:17 **spirit (1)** 8:7 ss (1) 44:4 staff (3) 2:12 4:16 36:25 stand (1) 37:16 standards (4) 9:25 12:11 14:5,23 standing (1) 35:11 start (2) 6:16 10:8 started (1) 10:21 starts (1) 20:3 state (6) 23:19,21 24:21 27:7 44:4 44:8 statement (1) 41:24 Station (2) 35:7,9 stay (2) 14:24 39:24 steady (1) 13:19

steering (1) 12:9

STENO-KATH (1) 1:22

Stenokath@verizon.net (1) 1:24 Stiles (1) 2:10 stop-and-go (1) 38:21 stream (1) 20:9 street (3) 1:11 12:2 13:9 Street-hail (1) 8:19 streets (1) 11:3 stressful (1) 34:23 strictest (1) 23:18 **stripped (1)** 24:8 **strong (1)** 39:15 strongly (1) 8:7 stuck (1) 7:8 **subjected (1)** 26:8 subvert (1) 9:7 successfully (1) 18:5 suddenly (4) 35:5,12,14 36:10 **sufficient (1)** 17:22 suggest (1) 8:7 **summons (20)** 24:21,23 31:6,6,10 32:7,23,25 33:3,3 35:2,5,6,12,15 35:22,24 42:8,9,11 summonses (1) 24:16 support (2) 28:9 39:16 **supportive (1)** 37:8 supposed (1) 22:20 sure (3) 20:4 29:24 42:24 surprise (1) 38:24 surprising (1) 4:22 suspend (1) 27:11 suspended (2) 29:12 32:14 suspension (5) 26:22 27:23 32:2 34:9.11 sweltering (1) 16:10 swift (1) 13:19 system (2) 27:16 37:9 systems (1) 12:9

T (2) 44:2,2 table (2) 21:2 23:10 take (4) 4:15 19:15 34:6 40:3 taken (1) 37:15 takes (1) 27:18 talk (2) 42:6,16 talking (3) 28:24 32:19 42:7 target (1) 35:23 Tariq (4) 3:9 34:16,17,18 taxi (19) 1:5 4:5 6:22 7:19 9:19 13:17 20:25 28:15 30:21 34:20 37:17 38:4,15,17,19 39:4,8,15 41:17 taxi's (1) 39:6 taxicab (6) 13:9 15:9,14 17:2 41:2 taxicabs (1) 15:11 taximeter (1) 15:13

taxis (14) 4:23 5:9 9:22 10:24 11:2 13:14 37:8,9,20 38:9,25 39:3,17 technologically (1) 7:16 technologies (1) 39:10 technology (2) 7:23 8:13 terms (5) 26:20 27:21 28:4 29:18 29:21 terrible (1) 10:20 testify (2) 9:10 24:15 testifying (1) 7:7 testimonies (1) 20:7 testimony (5) 4:11 6:10 13:22 20:17 37:3 testing (2) 13:5,6 thank (35) 6:15,18,23 9:9,11 15:4,5 15:21 19:20,22,23 20:21,22 23:9 25:14 26:15,16 28:9,10 30:14,15 30:17 34:13,14,15 36:14,15 37:2 40:8,9 41:24 42:2,23 43:3,6 thankful (2) 35:25 36:11 theme (1) 22:25 they'd (1) 30:8 things (2) 14:20 29:4 think (8) 4:21 7:24 23:6 27:13,14 27:17 30:12 40:16 thinking (1) 20:4 three (11) 11:11 13:4,7 16:14 17:16 20:12 24:8 28:24 30:6,11 31:8 Thursday (1) 1:10 thwart (1) 9:5 tickets (4) 29:14 30:10 32:5,6 till (2) 32:8,23 **Tim (1)** 11:15 time (19) 4:3 7:2,13,21 10:18,23 12:10 15:4 18:22 20:3,10 25:20 25:23 30:16 37:16 40:8 41:13 43:5.8 timely (1) 8:3 times (2) 13:4 25:17 **TLC (36)** 5:2 10:4,8 13:14 15:18 16:25 17:24 18:19 22:18 23:23 23:25 24:20,20 25:21 27:19 28:3 29:9,18 31:2,21 32:6,11,22,23,24 33:6,12,17 34:7 35:15,20 37:2 42:5,9,11,20 TLC's (2) 19:3 26:21 TLC-licensed (1) 4:7 today (13) 4:3,10,19,20,24 5:8 6:6 6:11 10:11 14:7 19:14 37:4 41:13 today's (4) 6:6 39:11 42:13 43:5 Tomorrow (1) 7:19 Trade (1) 15:9 traditionally (2) 10:4,9 traffic (5) 7:9 24:18 29:2,15 38:21 transcript (2) 1:3 44:12

transcripts (3) 20:11,13,14 transportation (1) 12:6 trap (1) 35:10 traveled (2) 38:18,20 travels (1) 38:15 treated (1) 8:22 true (1) 44:12 truly (1) 27:13 try (2) 10:17 21:2 turn (3) 29:3,4,15 turning (1) 39:25 Tweeps (3) 3:3 6:19 7:8 two (13) 10:25 13:7 15:19 16:13 20:11,12 30:10 31:8 32:3,8,13,16 33:20 two-fold (1) 37:20 types (2) 27:9 28:24

Uber (1) 38:8 Uber's (1) 9:2 underlying (2) 28:22 29:19 understand (3) 10:15 14:19,22 unenforceable (1) 18:24 unfortunately (2) 8:18 33:2 uniform (8) 4:16 37:7,9,18 39:13 39:17,20 40:7 United (1) 9:16 unity (1) 41:8 universal (4) 5:15 6:24 7:12,24 unnecessarily (1) 19:7 unnecessary (1) 19:5 unrelated (1) 19:6 urge (5) 9:24 10:2 13:14 18:17 19:14 urging (1) 12:17 use (5) 10:23 38:17,22,23,25 user-friendly (1) 19:12 usually (1) 31:4 utmost (1) 38:5

values (1) 10:16 Vanderbilt (1) 29:3 variety (3) 5:5,25 16:16 various (2) 4:25 14:19 vast (3) 21:25 24:16 25:8 vehicle (19) 3:2 7:3,14 9:7,20 12:9 14:4,24 21:4,6,7,8,13,14,16 38:9 38:12 39:7 40:17 vehicle's (1) 5:3 vehicles (25) 4:19 7:17,23 8:3,12 8:19,25 10:22,24 12:10 13:12,21 14:6,16,22 19:2 21:10 22:17 34:12 39:24,25 40:13,18,19,21 verify (1) 24:18 versus (1) 23:24

veteran (1) 34:18 viewpoints (1) 6:5 violation (6) 30:9 31:12,18,23 33:11.13 violations (10) 24:19,19 25:8 27:14 28:23,25 29:19 30:4 31:8 33:12 virtually (1) 17:5 vis-a-vis (1) 19:9 Vision (4) 12:5 23:3,7 27:5 vital (1) 38:7 voice (1) 9:17 voices (1) 11:14 vote (4) 6:5,14 19:13 41:13 voted (1) 16:11 Voters (1) 12:16

W walk (1) 22:20 want (9) 4:15 5:12 6:22 11:20 13:2 23:9 26:17 35:8 42:6 wanted (1) 34:20 watch (1) 20:10 WAV (1) 39:22 way (6) 24:12 30:25 31:21 32:11 33:6 44:16 ways (1) 23:15 we'll (3) 6:11 26:19 41:23 we're (9) 6:10 11:20 25:3 26:4 28:23 29:20 32:18 40:10 41:6 we've (6) 4:8 5:25 11:14 26:25 27:3 41:9 wear (1) 38:11 weather (1) 43:7 weekly (1) 30:23 weeks (1) 41:23 weigh (1) 28:5 weighed (2) 10:17 24:5 weight (1) 25:7 wheel (1) 21:12 wheelchair (2) 13:13,17 wheelchair-accessible (3) 14:4,6 **WHEREOF (1)** 44:18 Wilson (15) 2:8 6:16,18 9:11 15:5 19:23 26:16 28:10 30:17 34:15 36:15.18.20 40:9 42:2 within-entitled (1) 44:11 WITNESS (1) 44:18 women (1) 15:21 work (6) 11:24 14:14 15:2 22:22 27:16 30:13 Workers (3) 20:25 28:15 30:21 working (6) 25:24 26:4,13 35:18 36:8 41:9 would've (1) 29:12 wouldn't (1) 40:12

wrap (1) 26:2 written (3) 4:8 20:15 27:8 X year (15) 5:22 13:4,7,17 16:4 18:6 24:9 30:10 35:14 38:3,16,17,19 39:12,23 years (41) 4:14,21 5:4,4,4,4,14 6:3 7:2,13 8:8 9:23 10:6,13 11:3 13:7 13:7,8 15:19 16:3,14,19 17:4,9 17:16 21:7 24:8 25:20,22 30:6,7 30:11 34:19 35:3,5,13 37:19 39:18,21,25 41:7 yellow (6) 4:5 9:22 11:2 13:9,16 14:25 yesterday (1) 41:6 York (13) 1:4,23 8:5 9:20 12:15 20:25 23:19,21 24:22 28:15 30:21 44:4,8 Z Zero (4) 12:5 23:3,7 27:5 zero-emission (1) 13:12 Zubin (3) 3:7 28:11,14	2010 (1) 32:23 2011 (2) 16:9 33:2 2012 (4) 32:5,5,8 33:2 2013 (2) 17:4 33:18 2014 (3) 32:6,8 33:19 2015 (3) 1:10 33:17 44:19 2020 (4) 13:18 38:3 39:23 40:15 2021 (1) 39:12 212.95.DEPOS (1) 1:23 24 (1) 11:14 28 (1) 3:7 3 3 (4) 4:20 5:3 10:6,9 3-year (5) 5:17 10:3 18:7,16 19:16 30 (3) 3:8 25:15 32:15 300 (1) 16:25 31 (1) 29:10 33 (1) 1:11 34 (1) 3:9 350,000 (1) 38:18 36 (1) 3:10 4 4-point (1) 32:10 40 (1) 3:11 400 (1) 17:2 432123 (1) 32:24 490,000 (1) 38:20	8 80-percent (1) 12:24 8th (1) 44:19 9 9 (1) 3:4 914.381.2061 (1) 1:23 914.722.0816 (1) 1:24 94 (1) 29:4 953.3767 (1) 1:23
1-year (1) 17:12 1,000 (1) 32:16 10 (6) 5:16 29:12 33:4,17 34:8,24 10-year (1) 7:21 10:30 (3) 1:9 2:2 4:3 100 (1) 13:18 10543 (1) 1:23 11 (1) 34:25 11:10 (2) 43:5,8 12 (1) 22:10 139 (1) 1:22 15 (9) 3:5 25:22 31:13,19 32:12 33:13,17,22,25 16 (1) 16:9 17 (2) 5:21,22 17-percent (1) 21:17 18 (1) 33:11 1986 (2) 32:22,22 19TH (1) 1:12 2 2- (1) 19:16 2-year (1) 29:19 20 (4) 3:6 34:19 35:3,13 20-plus (1) 33:7	5 (9) 1:10 5:4,4 7:2,13 8:8 37:19 39:18 41:7 5-year (2) 40:7 41:19 5-year-old (1) 12:2 5,500 (1) 15:11 5:00 (4) 21:22,22,22,23 50 (3) 13:16 40:3,15 50-percent (2) 37:25 39:22 54-13(a)(3) (1) 30:4 55 (2) 4:23 5:8 6 6 (1) 3:3 6-hour (7) 31:2,5,14,20 32:8 33:5 34:6 6-month (1) 25:21 60 (1) 25:13 7 7 (13) 4:14,21 5:4,14 6:3 9:23 10:11,13 11:3 21:7 39:18,21,25 7-year (10) 4:17,24 5:9,15 6:2 7:24 37:7,24 39:13 40:16 70,000 (1) 38:15 700 (1) 15:17	