APPENDIX M

IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED ACTIONS WITHOUT TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENTS

TRAFFIC AND PARKING

INTRODUCTION

The analysis described in Chapter 17, "Traffic and Parking," included several project-related improvements for the future with the Proposed Actions ("Build" condition) in 2015 and 2030. This appendix examines the same Build conditions for 2015 and 2030 but assumes that none of the project-related improvements would be implemented. Since the publication of the DEIS, the traffic studies contained in this appendix have been revised to provide detailed midday peak hour analyses and to reflect recently developed traffic information from the 125th Street Corridor Rezoning and Related Actions and East 125th Street Development background projects.

For the purpose of the future Build analysis without the project-related improvements, operating conditions of intersections within and bordering the Project Area (19 locations within the primary traffic study area) were analyzed. In this analysis, West 133rd Street between Broadway and Twelfth Avenue, West 132nd Street between Broadway and Marginal Street, West 131st Street between Broadway and Twelfth Avenue, and West 125th Street between Twelfth Avenue and Marginal Street would continue to operate as two-way, east—west streets. Several new traffic signals, incorporated into the Proposed Actions along Twelfth Avenue, Marginal Street, West 125th Street, West 130th Street, West 131st Street, and West 132nd Street, would not be installed, and signal timing/phasing adjustments for enhancing area traffic circulation would not be conducted. All project-generated traffic was routed to conform to the existing street network. In addition, traffic generated by the Harlem Piers project was also routed to conform to the existing two-way street network. Since traffic volumes and operating characteristics at the analysis locations outside of the Project Area (assessed in Chapter 17) would be the same absent the project-related improvements, they are not further discussed in this section.

PRINCIPAL CONCLUSIONS

As demonstrated in the analysis results, traffic conditions in the Build condition are generally worse without project-related improvements than with these improvements. The recommended improvements, which include typical mitigation measures such as signal timing changes, lane restriping, and intersection daylighting, and those listed below, would substantially improve overall network operations and circulation:

- Conversion of West 133rd Street between Broadway and Twelfth Avenue from two-way to one-way westbound;
- Conversion of West 132nd Street between Broadway and Marginal Street from two-way to one-way eastbound;
- Conversion of West 131st Street between Broadway and Twelfth Avenue from two-way to one-way westbound;

- Conversion of West 125th Street between Twelfth Avenue and Marginal Street from twoway to one-way westbound;
- Installation of traffic signals (and associated pavement markings) at several stop-controlled intersections, including Twelfth Avenue and West 131st Street, Twelfth Avenue and St. Clair Place/Riverside Drive, West 125th Street and St. Clair Place/West 129th Street, Marginal Street and West 133rd Street, Marginal Street and West 132nd Street, Marginal Street and St. Clair Place, and midblock locations along West 130th, West 131st, and West 132nd Streets between Broadway and Twelfth Avenue (2030); and,
- Reconfiguration of the intersection of Broadway and West 125th Street by aligning northbound and southbound left-turn movements and making other operational adjustments.

Analysis results show that, absent the traffic improvements, significant traffic impacts would occur at a number of intersections within and bordering the Project Area in both the 2015 and 2030 future analysis years, as listed below.

2015 AM Peak Hour (9 intersections)

- Marginal Street at West 133rd and West 132nd Streets
- Riverside Drive at St. Clair Place
- Twelfth Avenue at West 133rd, West 132nd, West 131st, and West 125th Streets
- Broadway at West 125th Street
- West 125th Street at West 129th Street/St. Clair Place

2015 Midday Peak Hour (7 intersections)

- Marginal Street at West 133rd Street and St. Clair Place
- Riverside Drive at St. Clair Place
- Twelfth Avenue at West 131st Street and West 125th Streets
- Broadway at West 125th Street
- West 125th Street at West 129th Street/St. Clair Place

2015 PM Peak Hour (10 intersections)

- Marginal Street at West 133rd and West 132nd Streets, and St. Clair Place
- Riverside Drive at St. Clair Place
- Twelfth Avenue at West 133rd, West 131st, and West 125th Streets
- Broadway at West 133rd (east intersection) and West 125th Streets
- West 125th Street at West 129th Street/St. Clair Place

2030 AM Peak Hour (11 intersections)

- Marginal Street at West 133rd and West 132nd Streets, and St. Clair Place
- Riverside Drive at St. Clair Place
- Twelfth Avenue at West 133rd, West 132nd, West 131st, and West 125th Streets, and St. Clair Place
- Broadway at West 125th Street
- West 125th Street at West 129th Street/St. Clair Place

2030 Midday Peak Hour (9 intersections)

• Marginal Street at West 133rd and West 132nd Streets, and St. Clair Place

- Riverside Drive at St. Clair Place
- Twelfth Avenue at West 133rd, West 131st, and West 125th Streets
- Broadway at West 125th Street
- West 125th Street at West 129th Street/St. Clair Place

2030 PM Peak Hour (11 intersections)

- Marginal Street at West 133rd and West 132nd Streets, and St. Clair Place
- Riverside Drive at St. Clair Place
- Twelfth Avenue at West 133rd, West 131st, and West 125th Streets
- Broadway at West 130th and West 125th Streets
- Broadway northbound and West 133rd Street
- West 125th Street at West 129th Street/St. Clair Place

These significant adverse traffic impacts would not occur with the Proposed Actions with project-related improvements. Potential measures to mitigate the significant adverse impacts identified above are presented at the end of this section.

2015 FUTURE WITH THE PROPOSED ACTIONS

The future 2015 Build condition, analyzed in this Appendix, reflects increases in traffic volumes that are part of the Proposed Actions and was developed by adding the 2015 reasonable worst-case transportation scenario project-generated trips to the 2015 future without the Proposed Actions ("No Build") traffic network, and adjusting for No Build trips that would be redistributed or not occur at all with the Proposed Actions. However, it does not incorporate the project-related improvements described in Chapter 17.

Figures M-1, M-2, and M-3 depict the 2015 project-generated traffic volumes within the primary study area for the weekday AM, midday, and PM peak hours, respectively. These volumes were superimposed onto the 2015 No Build network, after adjusting for No Build trips, to generate the future 2015 Build AM, midday, and PM peak hour traffic networks, as presented in Figures M-4, M-5, and M-6, respectively. Tables M-1 and M-2 compare the 2015 No Build and Build peak hour conditions for the analysis intersections. Based on the thresholds established in the *City Environmental Quality Review (CEQR) Technical Manual*, as described in Section B of Chapter 17, significantly impacted movements are denoted with a + sign in the tables and detailed below.

Twelfth Avenue and West 133rd Street

During the AM peak hour, the northbound left-turn movement would deteriorate from LOS E to LOS F, with delay increasing from 65.9 to 82.6 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 1.02 to 1.08. The westbound approach would continue to operate at LOS D, with delay increasing from 37.1 to 47.7 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.76 to 0.87.

During the PM peak hour, the northbound left-turn movement would deteriorate from LOS D to LOS E, with delay increasing from 44.2 to 79.9 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.94 to 1.07. The westbound approach would continue to operate at LOS F, with delay increasing from 177.1 to 278.3 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 1.30 to 1.53.

Twelfth Avenue and West 132nd Street

During the AM peak hour, the eastbound approach would continue to operate at LOS D, with delay increasing from 37.2 to 51.1 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.79 to 0.92.

Table M-1 Comparison of 2015 No Build and Build Conditions Signalized Intersection Level-of-Service Analysis

March Pearly March Mar																ed In	ters	ecti	on 1	_evel				Anal	ysis
No.		21	115 A					Build		20	015 N		_	eak l		Build		20	015 N					Build	
International Content Inte					u								<u>u</u>	Ln					-		u				_
We		Grp	V/C	(spv)		Grp	V/C	(spv)					LOS				LOS				LOS				
See Int									_		0.45	05.0	_		0.4-	25.2	_		0.00	20.2	_		0.00	07.0	_
Note 196																	<u>C</u>								
Weight 1.0 1	OD		0.4 <u>L</u>				∪. <u>¬∪</u>				0.00				0.01				0.0 <u>±</u>				0.0 <u>0</u>		
No																									
												30.5	<u>C</u>												
Set Int	NB											<u>27.2</u> 10.8	B												
The sign	SB												В										0.11	10.1	В
EB TR 7.9 7.2 7.0 1.7 0.9 1.1 0.4 1.7 0.4 2.4 2.5 1.7 0.4 2.4 0.5									D	Int.		23.2	<u>C</u>	Int.		<u>30.4</u>	<u>C</u>	Int.		81.7	F	Int.		134.9	F
WB TR 0.12 20.7 C									DΤ	lı TD	0.40	24.7	C	lı TD	0.40	26.4	C	lı TD	0 60	28.0	C	lı TD	0.60	31 0	C
SB LTR 0.49 13.8 S																									
No.										LTR	0.42	13.0	В				В								
Twelfth Avenue	SB		0.13				0.18			_	0.07				0.13				0.06				0.13		
EB ITR 0.26 13.4 B	Twe		venu				Stree		0	ши		10.0	<u>u</u>	11114		10.0	ᄁ	nit.		10.0	ט	nit.		21.0	
No. 1	EB	LTR	0.2 <u>6</u>	1 <u>3.4</u>	В	LTR	0.2 <u>6</u>	13. <u>4</u>																	
R 0.96 48.8 D R 1.11 95.0 F4 R 0.95 46.1 D R 1.14 103.8 E4 R 1.14 103.5 F4 F4 F4 F4 F4 F4 F4 F	WB																					_			
NB LTR 0.32 20.6 C																									-
Int. 28.7 C Int. 43.1 D Int. 26.6 C Int. 45.8 D Int. 49.8 D Int. 83.3 F	NB												C												
Broadway Northbound	SB		0.38		-		0.49			_	0.23				0.32				0.34				0.63		-
EB LT 0.21 17.4 B LT 0.35 19.2 B LT 0.25 17.9 B LT 0.27 18.2 B LT 0.28 17.0 18.0 B LT 0.31 19.5 B LT 0.31 19.5 B LT 0.35 13.7 B LT 0.36 40.9 D TR 0.94 52.5 D NB LT 0.56 13.9 B LT 0.59 14.4 B LT 0.44 12.2 B LT 0.55 13.7 B R 0.07 92 A R 0.33 14.0 B Int. 16.8 B Int	Bros		/ No				t 132			<u>int.</u>		<u> 26.6</u>	<u>U</u>	int.		<u>45.8</u>	ט	ınt.		49. <u>8</u>	ט	int.		გ <u>ვ.კ</u>	F
NB IR 0.51 24.3 C TR 0.40 22.1 C TR 0.47 23.4 C TR 0.56 13.9 B LT 0.59 13.0 B LT 0.59 B LT 0.59 13.0 B LT 0.59		•								LT	0.25	17.9	В	LT	0.27	18.2	В	LT	0.23	17.8	В	LT	0.32	19.5	В
R 0.11 9.6 A R 0.23 1.9 B R 0.08 9.4 A B 0.09 0.0	WB	TR	0.51	24.3	-	TR	0.40	22.1	С	ĪR	0.47	23.4	C	ĪR	0.47	23.5	C	TR	0.86	40.9		TR	0.94	52.5	
Int.	NB																								-
Broadway Southbound			0.11				0.23			_	<u>U.U8</u>			_	<u>U.27</u>		_		0.07				0.33		
MS	Broa		/ Soi				st 133			1114.		10.0		1115		10.0	<u> </u>	1116.		20.0				01.0	Ť
SB LTR 0.52 12.7 B LTR 0.58 13.6 B LTR 0.58 13.5 B LTR 0.58 13.6 B LTR 0.58 13.6 B LTR 0.58 13.0 B LTR 0.58 LTR												<u>18.1</u>	<u>B</u>				<u>B</u>								
Init. 14.6 B Init. 15.5 B Init. 14.0 B Init. 14.9 B Init. 18.2 B Init. 21.9 C					-																				
Broadway Northbound	SB		0.52				0.56			_	0.30			-	<u>U.43</u>		_		0.40				0.55		
WB	Broa		/ Nor				t 132		eet																
NB			0.40	20.5	С					L	0.23	<u>17.7</u>	B					L	0.31	18.7	В				-
Int.			0 41	11 7	В					ıт	0.35	11 1	R	_	-			ıт	0.57	13.6	R				
EB TR 0.61 27.9 C TR 0.78 35.6 D TR 0.32 20.7 C TR 0.54 25.2 C TR 0.46 22.9 C TR 0.62 27.1 C WB LT 0.06 15.8 B LT 0.07 15.9 B LT 0.04 15.6 B	110						0.10				0.00		B		<u> </u>				0.01				0.01		
WB LT 0.06 15.8 B LT 0.07 15.9 B LT 0.04 15.6 B LT 0.04 15.6 B LT 0.24 17.8 B SB LTR 0.51 12.9 B LTR 0.53 13.2 B LTR 0.41 11.7 B LTR 0.43 11.9 B LTR 0.43 11.9 B LTR 0.46 12.2 B Int. 17.0 B Int. 20.5 C Int. 13.8 B Int. 15.9 B Int. 15.2 B Int. 0.46 12.2 B Int. 15.9 B Int. 15.2 B Int. 0.46 12.2 B Int. 15.9 B Int. 15.2 B Int. 0.46 12.2 B Int. 15.9 B Int. 15.2 B Int. 0.46 12.2 B Int. 15.9 B Int. 15.2 B Int. 0.46 12.2 B Int. 15.9 B Int. 15.2 B Int. 0.46 12.2 B Int. 15.9 B Int. 15.2 B Int. 0.46 12.2 B Int. 15.9 B Int. 15.2 B Int. 0.46 12.3 B Int. 15.2 B Int. 15.2 B Int. 0.46 12.3 B Int. 15.2 Int. 1									_								_				_				
Int. 17.0 B Int. 20.5 C Int. 13.8 B Int. 15.9 B Int. 15.2 B Int. 17.4 B													<u>C</u>												_
Int. 17.0 B Int. 20.5 C Int. 13.8 B Int. 15.9 B Int. 15.2 B Int. 17.4 B										냚	0.41	11.7	B												
EB LTR 0.20 21.7 C LTR 0.17 21.3 C LTR 0.24 22.1 C LTR 0.21 21.8 C LTR 0.21 21.8 C LTR 0.28 22.9 C WB LT 0.11 20.6 C LT 0.15 21.1 C LT 0.10 20.5 C LT 0.10 20.5 C LT 0.16 21.3 C LT 0.17 21.5 C R 0.04 19.8 B R 0.04 19.8 B R 0.04 19.8 B R 0.02 19.6 B R 0.02 19.6 B R 0.04 19.8 B R 0.04 19.8 B LTR 0.37 8.8 A LTR 0.48 9.8 A LTR 0.48 9.8 A LTR 0.49 18.8 B LT 0.44 18.1 B LT 0.42 19.0 B LT 0.49 18.9 B LT 0.49 18.8 B		Int.		17.0	В	Int.					3		В		3							Int.		17.4	В
WB								21.2	_	lı TD	0.24	22.4	C	lito	0.24	21.0	C	lite	0.24	24.0	_	lı TD	0.00	22.0	C
R 0.04 19.8 B R 0.04 19.8 B R 0.04 19.8 B R 0.02 19.6 B R 0.04 19.8 B R 0.04 19.8 B NB LTR 0.37 8.8 A LTR 0.48 9.8 A LTR 0.30 8.1 A LTR 0.38 8.8 A LTR 0.47 9.6 A LTR 0.56 10.6 B SB LTR 0.50 9.9 A LTR 0.49 9.8 A LTR 0.37 8.7 A LTR 0.39 8.9 A LTR 0.42 9.1 A LTR 0.45 9.4 A Int. 10.6 B Int. 10.7 B Int. 11.4 B B Int. 10.7 B Int. 10.7 B Int. 10.7 B Int. 11.4 B Int. 10.7 B Int. 11.4 B Int. 10.7 B Int. 11.4 B Int. 10.7 B Int. 10.7 B Int. 10.7 B Int. 11.4 B Int. 10.7 B Int. 10.8 Int. 10.8 B Int. 10.8 Int. 10.8 I													C				C								
SB LTR 0.50 9.9 A LTR 0.49 9.8 A LTR 0.37 8.7 A LTR 0.39 8.9 A LTR 0.42 9.1 A LTR 0.45 9.4 A Int. 10.6 B Int. 10.8 B Int. 10.1 B Int. 10.1 B Int. 10.1 B Int. 10.7 B Int. 10.7 B Int. 11.4 B	'''																								-
Int. 10.6 B Int. 10.8 B Int. 10.1 B Int. 10.1 B Int. 10.1 B Int. 10.7 B Int. 11.4 B													A				A								
Broadway @ West 130th Street	SB		U.5U				0.49				<u>U.3/</u>				<u>u.39</u>				U.42				0.45		
EB LR 0.25 25.6 C LR 0.47 30.6 C LR 0.19 24.5 C LR 0.50 31.6 C LR 0.31 26.5 C LR 0.55 32.5 C NB LT 0.26 5.7 A LT 0.29 5.8 A T 0.21 5.4 A T 0.24 5.6 A LT 0.32 6.0 A LT 0.34 6.1 A SB LT 0.37 6.3 A LT 0.37 6.3 A T 0.29 5.9 A T 0.31 6.0 A LT 0.32 6.0 A LT 0.35 6.2 A Int. 7.2 A Int. 8.4 A Int. 6.8 A Int. 8.7 A Int. 7.5 A Int. 9.0 B LT 0.42 19.0 B LT 0.43 19.3 B LT 0.41 18.7 B LT 0.42 18.9 B LT 0.54 21.1 C LT 0.57 21.8 C R 0.20 16.1 B R 0.23 16.7 B R 0.18 15.9 B R 0.04 17.8 B LT 0.48 19.2 B LT 0.49 18.8 B LT 0.49 18.8 B LT 0.54 19.7 B LT 0.48 19.2 B LT 0.60 17.7 B LT 0.54 17.9 B LT 0.54 18.0 B LT 0.54 18.0 B LT 0.54 18.3 B LT 0.59 C Int. 91.5 C Notes: L: Left Turn; T: Through; R: Right Turn; Deft.: Defacto Left Turn; Intersection	Broa		/ @ V				t	10.0		11111		10.1	브	1116.		14.1	브	nit.		10.1		mit.		11.7	
SB LT 0.37 6.3 A LT 0.37 6.3 A LT 0.37 6.3 A LT 0.37 6.3 A LT 0.38 6.2 A Int. 0.88 A Int. 0.87 A Int. 0.88 A Int. 0.87 A Int. 0.88 A Int. 0.87 A Int. 0.88 A Int. 0.88 A Int. 0.88 A Int. 0.88 Int. 0.87 A Int. 0.88 Int. 0.88 A Int. 0.88 A Int. 0.88 Int. 0.89 Int.	EB	LR	0.25	25.6	С	LR	0.47						<u>C</u>												
Int. 7.2 A Int. 8.4 A Int. 6.8 A Int. 8.7 A Int. 7.5 A Int. 9.0 A														‡	0.24	<u>5.6</u>									
Broadway @ West 129th Street	36		0.37				0.37				<u>J.29</u>				<u>v.3 1</u>				0.32				0.33		
R 0.20 16.1 B R 0.23 16.7 B R 0.18 15.9 B R 0.19 16.2 B R 0.27 17.1 B R 0.32 18.1 B R 0.19 16.2 B R 0.27 17.1 B R 0.32 18.1 B R 0.28 R 0.27 17.1 B R 0.32 18.1 B R 0.28 R 0.27 17.1 B R 0.32 18.1 B R 0.28 R 0.27 17.1 B R 0.32 18.1 B R 0.32 18.1 B R 0.32 18.1 B R 0.32 18.1 B R 0.48 19.2 R 17.1 19.1 R 18.1 19.1 18.1 19.1		dway		Vest 1	29th	Stree											_								
NB DefL 0.61 34.9 C	WB																								
T 0.48 19.2 B	NB																								
Int. 19.1 B Int. 18.4 B Int. 18.0 B Int. 18.0 B Int. 18.0 B Int. 20.9 C Int. 21.5 C Notes: L: Left Turn; T: Through; R: Right Turn; DefL: Defacto Left Turn; Int.: Intersection	'''					-'	٠. ٢ <u>٧</u>	. 5.5	_	=			=	==	<u> </u>	<u>u</u>	=	- '	2.55	_5.5	J	-'	5.70	_0.0	٦
Notes: L: Left Turn; T: Through; R: Right Turn; DefL: Defacto Left Turn; Int.: Intersection	SB		0.60				0.61				0.44				<u>0.48</u>				0.49				0.54		
	Note		-				ough.				I · Do				· Into			ınt.		2 <u>0.9</u>	Ü	int.		21. <u>5</u>	Ü
	Note	·.																ant A	<u>dve</u> rse	Traffic	: Impa	act			

Table M-1 (Continued) Comparison of 2015 No Build and Build Conditions Signalized Intersection Level-of-Service Analysis

												L.	<u>ngn</u>	anz	cu III	ittis	CCH	UII I	<i>7</i> C Y CI	-01-	301	1100	Allai	yoro
			Αl	/I Pea	ak Ho	ur					Mido	lay P	eak l	lour					PΝ	/I Pea	ık Ho	ur		
	20	015 N	lo Buil	d		2015	Build		2	015 N	lo Buil	d		2015	Build		20	015 N	lo Buil	ld		2015	Build	
	Ln		Delay		Ln		Delay		Ln		Delay		L		Delay		Ln		Delay		Ln		Delay	
Int.	Grp	V/C	(spv)	LOS	Grp	V/C	(spv)	LOS	Grp	V/C	(spv)	LOS	Grp	V/C	(spv)	LOS	Grp	V/C	(spv)	LOS	Grp	V/C	(spv)	LOS
Broa	idway	y @ V	Vest 1.	25th	Stree	et																		
EB	_		2 <u>75.6</u>	F	L		2 <u>39.6</u>	F	L	0.56		D	L	=	<u>47.1</u>	D+			45.1	D	L		50.8	D±
			3 <u>4.3</u>	С	TR	0. <u>85</u>		D	IR	0.87	39.7	D	IR	0.90		D	TR		84.9	E	TR		<u>101.4</u>	
WB		=		F	L		=	F+	<u> </u>	0.81	<u>77.6</u>		<u> </u>	0.85		ʱ	L	-	1 <u>40.8</u>		L_	1.04		
l ND			<u>57.7</u>	Ē	TR		99.6	F+	<u>IR</u>	0.73		<u>C</u>			40.7	D	TR		44.9	D	TR	1.05		Ē+
NB	_			C	L	0.49		С	.≒	0.40		흔		0.43		<u>C</u>	I T	0.60	36.7	D	L	0.63		ט
			31.6 43.8	D	R	0.49	31.8 52.0	C D+		0.47	31.5 49.5	늗			31.9 62.4	<u>C</u> E+		0.91	50.5 4 <u>3.4</u>	D D	LT R	0.92	52.4 56.3	E+
SB		=	=	_	ı				= =			늗					ı	_		_	ı			C+
J OD		0.62 43.8 D R 0.70 52.0 D+ R 0.68 49.5 D R 0.76 62.4 E+ R 0.61 43.4 D R 0.74 56.3 E+ 0.38 30.6 C L 0.40 31.1 C L 0.35 30.4 C L 0.42 31.7 C L 0.36 30.1 C L 0.43 31.3 C 1.04 76.6 E LTR 1.10 100.1 F+ LTR 0.79 40.9 D LTR 0.87 47.7 D+ LTR 0.86 45.9 D LTR 0.98 64.0 E+																						
	Int.																							
Note	s:	L	: Left T	urn;	T: Thr	ough;	R: Righ	nt Turi		L: Def	facto Le	eft Tu	100	.: Inte	rsectio	n								\neg
							; spv: S																	
		4	 Signif 	icant .	Adver	se Tra	affic Imp	act																

Table M-2 Comparison of 2015 No Build and Build Conditions **Unsignalized Intersection Level-of-Service Analysis**

			Al	M Pe	ak Ho	our					Midd	lav P	eak I	lour					PI	/I Pea	ak Ho	our		
	2	015 N	lo Bui				Build		2	2015 N	lo Buil	d		2015	Build		2	015 N	lo Buil				Build	
	Ln		Delay		Ln		Delay		Ln		Delay		Ln		Delay		Ln		Delay		Ln		Delay	
Int.	Grp	V/C	(spv)	LOS	Grp	V/C	(spv)	LOS	Grp	V/C	(spv)	LOS	Grp	V/C	(spv)	LOS	Grp	V/C	(spv)	LOS	Grp	V/C	(spv)	LOS
	,		et @ V																					
WB	_		10.6	В	L		10.6	В	L	0.16	<u>10.3</u>	B E	Ī	0.15	10.2	<u>В</u> Е ±		0.20		В			10.6	В
SB			101.8		Т		1 <u>31.8</u>	⊦+	⊥	0.96	45.2	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1.02	60.6	<u> </u>	Τ	1.1 <u>5</u>	95.9	F	T	1. <u>20</u>	<u>115.3</u>	⊦+
		Stree	et @ V		132n	d Stre																	40.0	_
WB		-	9.7	<u>A</u> F	L	-	9.9	A	녍	=	9.6	A	ĮĖ	≘	9.7	A	L	-	<u>9.9</u> 7 <u>0.4</u>	<u>A</u> F	L	-	10.6	В
28	LT T	-	82.1 8.2	A	LT T	_	116.2 8.3	F + A	부	Ξ	20.1	<u>~</u>	₩	=	24./	<u>~</u>	LT T	-	7 <u>0.4</u> 10. <u>0</u>	В	LT T	-	1 <u>11.1</u> 10.7	F+ B
	Int.	-	68.3	F	Int.	-	95.7	F		Ξ	9.6 20.1 8.3 17.0		西西西	Ξ	9.7 24.7 8.4 20.5	<u>A</u> <u>C</u> A	Int.	-	5 <u>2.1</u>	F	Int.	-	78.9	F
Mare		Stre	et @ S			ace	<u>50.1</u>		шь		17.0		11114		20.0	<u> </u>	mit.		0 <u>2.1</u>		mit.		10.0	
				A	Ĺ	0.19	9.9	Α	L	0.22	10.1	В	lι	0.23	10.2	В	lι	0.29	10.6	В	lι	0.29	10.6	В
			42.4	Ε	T	0.95		Ε	Ī	0.22 0.86	10.1 30.4	<u>В</u> D	Ī	0.90	10.2 35.1	<u>В</u> Е+			103.2	F	T		129.0	F+
Twe	lfth A	Avenu	ıe @ l	Vest	131s	t Stre	et																	
		0.01		Α		0.01		Α		0.02	<u>7.5</u>	Α	LT	0.03		<u>A</u> A		0.02		Α		0.02		Α
_		0.15		В		0.12		В	LI	0.05	10.0	Α		0.04	10.0			0.07		В			12.0	В
		0.33				0.74		F+			<u>24.6</u>	<u>A</u> <u>C</u> B	LTR	0.70	67.0	<u>E±</u>		0.86						
		0.02		С		0.02	16.6	С	LTR	0.19	<u>14.5</u>	<u>B</u>	LTR	0.21	15.3	C	LTR	0.05	19.2	С	LTR	0.05	20.2	С
		Avenu	ue @ 5			lace	40.0	_	-		40.4	_			40.7	_			44.0	_			44.5	_
EB	T R	-	10.0 14.7	A B	T R	-	10.2 16.2	B C	투	E E	10.4 14.5	튬	\	≘	10.7 15.8	<u>B</u> C	R	-	11. <u>2</u> 13. <u>0</u>	B B	T R	-	11. <u>5</u> 14. <u>1</u>	B B
SB		-	9.1	А	L	-	9.2	A	프	≘	<u>14.5</u>	<u>D</u>	프	=	9.0	<u>~</u>	ı	-	13. <u>u</u> 8.9	A	L	-	8.9	A
OD	Int.	_	13.6	В	Int.	_	14.8	В		Ξ	8.8 13.4	<u>B</u> <u>B</u> <u>A</u> B	I R L Int.	Ξ	8.9 14.5	A B	Int.	_	12.3	В	Int.	_	13.2	В
Rive		e Driv	re @ S			ace	11.0		1115.		10.1	_=_	11115		11.0				12.0				10.2	
		0.13					7.9	Α	LTR	0.13	<u>7.7</u>	Α	lltr	0.15	7.8	Α	LTR	0.18	7.9	Α	lltr	0.21	8.0	Α
		0.42		D			49.2				31.9	<u>A</u> <u>D</u> D	LI	0.41	37.7	DZ			33.6	D			40.5	
	Т	0.39	32.5	D	Т			E+	I	0.29	31.9 28.5	D	I	0.33	37.7 34.2	D+	Т	0.25	32.6	D	Т	0.31	40.6	E+
					st 12	9th S	treet/S	St. Cla		lace <u>*</u>			•								•			
WB			1 <u>28.0</u>		L		2493	F <u>±</u>		80.0	67.0	E D E C	L	5.00	7490 9249 === 24.7	E±	L		1 <u>242</u>	F	L	=	=	F±
			4 <u>7.4</u>	E			2301	Ε±	Ŗ	0.76	32.2	₫	₽	20.6	9249	E+	R		4 <u>66.9</u>	F			<u>73643</u>	
EB			92.8	F	L	==	2 <u>3.5</u>	E± C	늗	0.02	32.2 61.2 19.7	느		==	<u>=</u>	E± C	L		47.0	F	L	==	60.4	F
	R	0.68	22.1	С	ΙK	0.68	23.5	C	l K	0.61	19.7	C	ıκ	0.68	24./	C	ı K	0.83	47.2	Е	R	0.87	bU.4	F+

Notes:

- L: Left Turn; T: Through; R: Right Turn; Int.: Intersection V/C: Volume to Capacity; spv: Seconds per Vehicle; LOS: Level of Service + Significant Adverse Traffic Impact

^{*} The unsignalized intersection analysis procedure, which assumes random arrival, breaks down with high major or minor street volumes and reports exaggerated levels of stop delays. As such, its results are not necessarily indicative of actual conditions, where gaps created by adjacent signalized intersections often provide additional throughput capacity and result in lower stop

Twelfth Avenue and West 125th Street

During the AM peak hour, the westbound right-turn movement would deteriorate from LOS D to LOS F, with delay increasing from 48.8 to 95.0 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.96 to 1.11.

<u>During the midday peak hour, the westbound right-turn movement would deteriorate from LOS D to LOS F, with delay increasing from 46.1 to 103.8 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.95 to 1.14.</u>

During the PM peak hour, the westbound right-turn movement would continue to operate at LOS F, with delay increasing from 100.5 to 195.3 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 1.14 to 1.36.

Broadway Northbound and West 133rd Street

During the PM peak hour, the westbound approach would continue to operate at LOS D, with delay increasing from 40.9 to 52.5 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.86 to 0.94.

Broadway and West 125th Street

During the AM peak hour, the northbound right movement would continue to operate at LOS D, with delay increasing from $\underline{43.8}$ to $\underline{52.0}$ seconds and v/c ratio increasing from $\underline{0.62}$ to $\underline{0.70}$. The southbound left-through-right movement would deteriorate from LOS E to LOS F, with delay increasing from $\underline{76.6}$ seconds to $\underline{100.1}$ seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 1.04 to $\underline{1.10}$. The westbound left-turn movement would continue to operate at LOS F, with delay increasing from $\underline{159.2}$ to $\underline{206.0}$ seconds and v/c ratio increasing from $\underline{1.15}$ to $\underline{1.27}$, and the westbound through-right movement would deteriorate from LOS \underline{E} to LOS F, with delay increasing from $\underline{57.7}$ to $\underline{99.6}$ and v/c ratio increasing from $\underline{0.99}$ to $\underline{1.12}$.

During the midday peak hour, the northbound right-turn movement would deteriorate from LOS D to LOS E, with delay increasing from 49.5 to 62.4 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.68 to 0.78. The southbound left-through-right movement would continue to operate at LOS D, with delay increasing from 40.9 to 47.7 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.79 to 0.87. The eastbound left-turn movement would continue to operate at LOS D, with delay increasing from 37.1 to 47.1 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.56 to 0.65. The westbound left-turn movement would deteriorate from LOS E to LOS F, with delay increasing from 77.6 to 86.0 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.81 to 0.85.

During the PM peak hour, the northbound right-turn movement would deteriorate from LOS D to LOS E, with delay increasing from <u>43.4</u> to <u>56.3</u> seconds and v/c ratio increasing from <u>0.61</u> to <u>0.74</u>. The southbound left-through-right movement would deteriorate from LOS D to LOS E, with delay increasing from <u>45.9</u> to <u>64.0</u> seconds and v/c ratio increasing from <u>0.86</u> to <u>0.98</u>. The eastbound left-turn movement would continue to operate at LOS D, with delay increasing from <u>45.1</u> to <u>50.8</u> seconds and v/c ratio increasing from <u>0.55</u> to <u>0.57</u>, and the eastbound through-right movement would continue to operate at LOS F, with delay increasing from <u>84.9</u> to <u>101.4</u> seconds and v/c ratio increasing from <u>1.08</u> to <u>1.13</u>. The westbound through-right movement would deteriorate from LOS D to LOS E, with delay increasing from <u>44.9</u> to <u>75.6</u> seconds and v/c ratio increasing from <u>0.92</u> to <u>1.05</u>.

Marginal Street and West 133rd Street

During the AM peak hour, the southbound approach would continue to operate at LOS F, with delay increasing from $\underline{101.8}$ to $\underline{131.8}$ seconds and v/c ratio increasing from $\underline{1.15}$ to $\underline{1.23}$.

<u>During the midday peak hour, the southbound through movement would deteriorate from LOS E to LOS F, with delay increasing 45.2 to 60.6 and v/c ratio increasing from 0.96 to 1.02.</u>

During the PM peak hour, the southbound through movement would continue to operate at LOS F, with delay increasing 95.9 to 115.3 and v/c ratio increasing from 1.15 to 1.20.

Marginal Street and West 132nd Street

During the AM peak hour, the southbound left-through movement would continue to operate at LOS F, with delay increasing from 82.1 to 116.2 seconds.

During the PM peak hour, the southbound left-through movement would continue to operate at LOS F, with delay increasing from <u>70.4</u> to <u>111.1</u> seconds.

Marginal Street and St. Clair Place

<u>During the midday peak hour, the southbound through movement would deteriorate from LOS D</u> to LOS E, with delay increasing from 30.4 to 35.1 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.86 to 0.90.

During the PM peak hour, the southbound through movement would continue to operate at LOS F, with delay increasing from 103.2 to 129.0 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 1.15 to 1.22.

Twelfth Avenue and 131st Street

During the AM peak hour, the westbound approach would deteriorate from LOS D to LOS F, with delay increasing from 30.3 to 78.8 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.33 to 0.74.

<u>During the midday peak hour, the westbound approach would deteriorate from LOS C to LOS F,</u> with delay increasing from 24.6 to 67.0 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.26 to 0.70.

During the PM peak hour, the westbound approach would continue to operate at LOS F, with delay increasing from 85.7 to 313.7 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.86 to 1.49.

Riverside Drive and St. Clair Place

During the AM peak hour, the southbound left-through movement would deteriorate from LOS D to LOS E, with delay increasing from 34.2 to 49.2 seconds and v/c ratio decreasing from 0.42 to 0.55. The southbound through movement would deteriorate from LOS D to LOS E, with delay increasing from 32.5 to 45.2 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.39 to 0.50.

During the midday peak hour, the southbound left-through movement would deteriorate from LOS D to LOS E, with delay increasing from 31.9 to 37.7 seconds and v/c ratio decreasing from 0.37 to 0.41. The southbound through movement would deteriorate from LOS D to LOS E, with delay increasing from 28.5 to 34.2 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.29 to 0.33.

During the PM peak hour, the southbound left-through movement would deteriorate from LOS D to LOS E, with delay increasing from $\underline{33.6}$ to $\underline{40.5}$ seconds and v/c ratio decreasing from 0.40 to $\underline{0.36}$. The southbound through movement would deteriorate from LOS D to LOS E, with delay increasing from $\underline{32.6}$ to $\underline{40.6}$ seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.25 to $\underline{0.31}$.

West 125th Street and West 129th Street/St. Clair Place

During the AM peak hour, the eastbound left-turn movement would continue to operate at LOS F. The westbound left-turn movement would continue to operate at LOS F, with delay increasing from 128.0 to 2,493.0 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.17 to 2.00. The westbound right-

turn movement would continue to operate at LOS F, with delay increasing from 47.4 to 2,301.0 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.83 to 5.77.

During the midday peak hour, the eastbound left-turn movement would continue to operate at LOS F. The westbound left-turn movement would continue to operate at LOS F, with delay increasing from 67.0 to 7,490.0 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.08 to 5.00. The westbound right movement would deteriorate from LOS D to LOS F, with delay increasing from 32.2 to 9,249.0 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.76 to 20.6.

During the PM peak hour, the eastbound left-turn movement would continue to operate at LOS F, and the eastbound right movement would deteriorate from LOS E to LOS F, with delay increasing from 47.2 to 60.4 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.83 to 0.87. The westbound left-turn movement would continue to operate at LOS F, and the westbound right movement would continue to operate at LOS F, with delay increasing from 466.9 to 73,643.0 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 1.94 to 159.3.

SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS

Without the proposed project improvements, the Proposed Actions would result in significant adverse impacts at the following intersections:

AM Peak Hour

- Twelfth Avenue and West 133rd Street
- Twelfth Avenue and West 132nd Street
- Twelfth Avenue and West 125th Street
- Broadway and West 125th Street
- Marginal Street and West 133rd Street (unsignalized)
- Marginal Street and West 132nd Street (unsignalized)
- Twelfth Avenue and West 131st Street (unsignalized)
- West 125th and West 129th Street/St. Clair Place (unsignalized)
- Riverside Drive and St. Clair Place (unsignalized)

Midday Peak Hour

- Twelfth Avenue and West 125th Street
- Broadway and West 125th Street
- Marginal Street and West 133rd Street (unsignalized)
- Marginal Street and St. Clair Place (unsignalized)
- Twelfth Avenue and West 131st Street (unsignalized)
- West 125th and West 129th Street/St. Clair Place (unsignalized)
- Riverside Drive and St. Clair Place (*unsignalized*)

PM Peak Hour

- Twelfth Avenue and West 133rd Street
- Twelfth Avenue and West 125th Street
- Broadway Northbound and West 133rd Street
- Broadway and West 125th Street
- Marginal Street and West 133rd Street (unsignalized)
- Marginal Street and West 132nd Street (*unsignalized*)

- Marginal Street and St. Clair Place (unsignalized)
- Twelfth Avenue and West 131st Street (unsignalized)
- West 125th and West 129th Street/St. Clair Place (unsignalized)
- Riverside Drive and St. Clair Place (unsignalized)

With the proposed project improvements, no significant adverse impacts would result at any of the above intersections.

PARKING SUPPLY AND UTILIZATION

ON-STREET PARKING

As shown in Table 17-26, 57 on-street spaces would be displaced due to geometric modifications associated with the proposed transportation improvements. The expected on-street parking utilization in the 2015 Build condition without the proposed transportation improvements is summarized in Table M-3.

Within ¼ mile of the Project Area, on-street parking would be 87, 93, and 88 percent utilized during the morning, midday, and evening periods, respectively, in the 2015 Build condition (compared to 80, 83, and 80 percent utilization for the same time periods under the 2015 No Build condition). Spaces available in the 2015 No Build condition would be 36, 62, and 39 percent utilized in the 2015 Build condition during the morning, midday, and evening periods, respectively. Since these utilization levels exceed 50 percent, a larger ½-mile on-street area was evaluated. Overall, within a ½-mile, on-street parking would be 78, 86, and 86 percent utilized during the morning, midday, and evening periods, respectively, in the 2015 Build condition. Spaces available in the 2015 No Build condition would be 12, 23, and 18 percent utilized in the 2015 Build condition during the morning, midday, and evening periods, respectively. Since less than half of the on-street parking capacity available in the 2015 No Build condition would be utilized in the Build condition, no significant adverse impacts to on-street parking conditions would occur.

OFF-STREET PARKING

Since off-street parking conditions would be the same in the Proposed Actions with or without transportation improvements, the analysis results are the same as those presented in Chapter 17.

2030 FUTURE WITH THE PROPOSED ACTIONS

The future 2030 Build condition reflects increases in traffic volumes that are part of the Proposed Actions and was developed by adding the 2030 reasonable worst-case transportation scenario project-generated trips to the 2030 No Build traffic network, and adjusting for No Build trips that would be redistributed or not occur at all with the Proposed Actions. However, it does not incorporate the project-related improvements described in Chapter 17.

Figures M-7, M8, and M-9 depict the 2030 project-generated traffic volumes within the primary study area for the weekday AM, midday, and PM peak hours, respectively. These volumes were superimposed onto the 2030 No Build network, after adjusting for No Build trips, to generate the future 2030 Build AM, midday, and PM peak hour traffic networks, as presented in Figures M-10, M-11, and M-12, respectively.

Table M-<u>3</u> 2015 Build On-Street Parking Utilization Summary

2015 Dullu Oli-Sti	cet I al Kille	g Cunzanon	. Summar y
2015 Build	AM	MD	PM
1/4-Mile Radius			
Capacity	1847	1847	1847
Spaces Removed due to Geometric Modifications	0	0	0
Effective 2015 Build Capacity	1847	1847	1847
2015 No Build Demand	1471	1524	1480
Subdistrict B and "Other Area" Demand	136	201	142
2015 Build Demand	1607	1725	1622
Remaining Spaces	240	122	225
Utilization	87%	93%	88%
% No Build Availability Utilized in Build Condition	36%	62%	39%
1/2-Mile Radius			
Capacity	4783	4783	4783
Spaces Removed due to Geometric Modifications	0	0	0
Effective 2015 Build Capacity	4783	4783	4783
2015 No Build Demand	3613	3903	3982
Subdistrict B and "Other Area" Demand	136	201	142
2015 Build Demand	3749	4104	4124
Remaining Spaces	1034	679	659
Utilization	78%	86%	86%
% No Build Availability Utilized in Build Condition	12%	23%	18%
Note: See Appendix H for detailed parking accumulat	ion analysis.		

Tables M-4 and M-5 compare the 2030 No Build and Build peak hour conditions for the analysis intersections. Based on the thresholds established in the *CEQR Technical Manual*, as described in Section B of Chapter 17, significantly impacted movements are denoted with a + sign in the tables and detailed below.

Twelfth Avenue and West 133rd Street

During the AM peak hour, the northbound left-turn movement would continue to operate at LOS F, with delay increasing from 94.3 to 149.5 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 1.11 to 1.25. The westbound left-through-right movement would deteriorate from LOS D to LOS F, with delay increasing from 40.5 to 81.1 seconds of delay and v/c ratio increasing from 0.80 to 1.04.

<u>During the midday peak hour, the westbound approach would deteriorate from LOS C to LOS D, with delay increasing from 31.6 to 51.9 and v/c ratio increasing from 0.65 to 0.91.</u>

During the PM peak hour, the northbound left-turn movement would deteriorate from LOS E to LOS F, with delay increasing from 60.1 to 173.6 seconds of delay and v/c ratio increasing from 1.01 to 1.31, and the northbound left-through-right movement would deteriorate from LOS C to LOS E, with delay increasing from 24.1 to 57.4 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.76 to 1.00. The westbound approach would continue to operate at LOS F, with delay increasing from 206.1 to 390.9 and v/c ratio increasing from 1.36 to 1.78.

Table M-4 Comparison of 2030 No Build and Build Conditions Signalized Intersection Level-of-Service Analysis

													_		ed In	ters	ecti	on I	Level				Anal	ysis
	21	130 F	AN Io Buil		ak Ho		Build		21	13U M	Midd o Buil	_			Build		2	030 F	PN lo Buil	/I Pea	k Ho		Build	
	Ln		Delay	u	Ln		Delay		Ln	-	o Buii Delay		Ln		Delay		Ln		Delay		Ln	∠∪30	Delay	
Int.			(spv)	LOS																		V/C		
	ſ		et @ W					_		0	05.5	_		0.50	05.0	_		0.0-	00.0	_		0.00	00.0	_
	LT Int.	0.50	26.5 14.7 20.1 re @ W	С В С	LT Int.	0.53	26.5 15.2 20.2	С В <u>С</u>			25.5 15.2 19.4	<u>C</u> <u>B</u> B			25.8 15.7 19.8	<u>С</u> В В			29.0 18.1 22.7	С <u>В</u> С		-	29.6 20.3 24.1	С С С
WB NB	LTR L LTR LTR	0.80 1.11 0.21	40.5 94.3 11.2 10.5	D F B	LTR L LTR LTR	1.04 1.25 0.41	81.1 149.5 13.7 10.5	F + B B		0.85 0.20	32.8 11.0 10.2	<u>C</u> <u>B</u> <u>B</u>		0.96 0.24	51.9 49.7 11.4 10.2	<u>D</u> B B	L LTR LTR	1.01 0.76	206.1 60.1 24.1 10.1	E C B	L LTR LTR	1.31 1.00	390.9 173.6 57.4 10.1	F+ E+ B
Two	Int.	voni	55.4 re @ W	E /ost 1	Int.	l Stra	85.1	F	<u>Int.</u>		<u>25.9</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>Int.</u>		39.6	D	Int.		96.8	F	Int.		211.3	F
EB WB NB	LTR LTR LTR	0.81 0.12 0.52	39.0 20.8	D C B	LTR LTR LTR	1.41 0.19 0.64	225.1 21.5	C B	LTR LTR	0.09	20.4 13.3		LTR LTR DefL	0.15 0.51 0.29	27.4 21.0 14.2 14.7 10.6 17.3		LTR LTR	0.12	29.9 20.7 19.1 9.8 21.1	C B	LTR LTR	0.45 <u>0.85</u>	34.7 25.8 23.5 10.3	C C C B
Twe		venu	ie @ W			Stree		•																
NB SB	L T R LTR LT Int.	0.16 0.78 1.03 0.34 0.42	26.1 68.0 20.8 22.9 35.4	B B C E C C D	L T R LTR LT Int.	0.17 0.78 1.44 0.57 0.47	13.5 25.9 229.0 25.3 24.5 92.2	B C F+ C C F	L E LTR	0.18 0.66 1.01 0.31	14.5 13.6 21.2 61.4 20.4 19.9 31.9		I R LTR	0.16 0.66 1.28 0.38	14.6 13.4 21.2 161.6 21.5 20.4 66.8	<u>B</u> C	L T R LTR	0.30 0.89 1.23 0.45	15.8 16.0 35.1 135.7 22.7 22.8 63.7	В <u>Д</u> F	L T R LTR	0.24 0.93 1.49 0.52	16.7 14.9 40.3 250.0 24.0 29.3 105.4	C C
			thbou i 17.6	ndo@ Bl			r d Stre 19.8	et B	Ιιτ	ი 27	18.2	В	Ιιτ	ი 31	18.9	В	lт	0.26	18.3	В	ıт	0.61	28.3	С
WB NB	TR LT R Int.	0.53 0.59 0.12	24.9 14.5 9.8 17.3	C B A B	TR LT R Int.	0.64 0.65 0.37	28.1 15.5 15.7 19.2	C B B	IR LI	0.49	23.9 12.5		IR LI	0.52 0.62	24.7 14.8 15.1 17.5		TR LT	0.93	50.9 21.1	D C A C	TR LT	0.97 0.99	60.0 40.4 15.9 43.3	E+ D B D
			<i>Ithbou</i> 18.1	nd @ B			rd Stre 19.2		ТР	0 14	18.2	<u>B</u>	ТР	0 17	18.5	В	Ιтр	0 10	17.8	В	TD	U 33	19.3	В
WB	LT	0.44		С	LT	0.59	23.8 16.0 18.0	C B B	LI	0.43		CIB B	LI	0.57	23.7 12.6 16.0	CIB B	LT	0.78	30.4 12.6 19.0	С	LT	0.92	44.0 13.7 25.0	D B C
			thbou								4= 0	_			40.0	_			40.0	_				_
NB	LT Int.	0.43	20.7 12.0 14.2		LT Int.	0.55	20.0 13.5 14.9	C B B			17.8 11.3 12.7	<u>B</u> B B	_	-	19.6 12.8 14.4	<u>В</u> В В			19.0 14.3 15.1	B B B			20.8 18.1 18.6	C B B
EB WB SB	TR LT LTR Int.	0.63 0.06 0.55	1thbou 28.7 15.8 13.3 17.4	C B B	TR LT LTR Int.	0.71 0.18 0.64	32.0 17.0	C B B		0.34 0.04 0.44	21.0 15.6 12.0 14.1	C B B B	LT	0.08	25.3 16.0 12.7 16.4	<u>C</u> <u>B</u> B B	LT	0.04	23.5 15.6 12.2 15.5	C B B	LT	0.08	31.5 15.9 12.7 19.5	C B B
			Vest 1 3 21.8				25.6	С	lı TD	U 2E	22.3	C	l TD	U SE	24 0	C	l TD	U 22	21.9	С	I TD	0.34	2/1	С
WB NB SB	LT R LTR LTR Int.	0.13 0.04 0.40 0.54	20.8 19.8 9.0 10.3 10.9	C B A B	LT R LTR LTR Int.	0.19 0.04 0.54 0.61		C B B	LIR LIR	0.11	20.7 19.6 8.3		LIR	0.18			LT R LTR	0.17 0.04	21.6 19.8 10.0	С В <u>В</u>	LT R LTR	0.41 0.04 0.81	25.7 19.8 16.6 10.2 15.4	C B B
			Vest 1 3 26.0	30th			32.0	C	ΙP	U 2U	24.6	<u>C</u>	ΙP	0 50	33.6	C	ΙP	ሀ 3ላ	27.0	C I	ΙÞ	1 50	268.3	F≠
NB SB	LT LT Int.	0.28 0.40	5.8 6.5 7.4	A A A	LT LT Int.	0.32 0.42	6.0	A A A	LI	0.20 0.23 0.31	5.5	<u>A</u> A A	LI	0.35 0.35	5.7	<u>A</u> A A	LT	0.35 0.35	6.2	A A A	LT		6.4	A A E
			Vest 12				20.6	_	lı -	0.44	10.2	Р	lı -	0.45	10.6	Р	lı-	0.50	22.2	C	1 =	0.64	22.2	C
	R DefL	0.22 0.70	19.5 16.4 43.5 19.7		R	0.27	20.6 17.4 20.1	В	R	0.19	19.2 16.1 18.0	<u>B</u> B B	R	0.24	19.6 17.0 18.7	<u>B</u> B B	R	0.27	22.2 17.1 25.3	В	R	0.36	23.2 19.1 28.4	C B C
SB Notes:	TR Int.	0.64	18.5 <u>20.1</u> : Left Tur	B C n; T: Th	Int.	R: Righ		B efL: De	Int. facto Le	eft Turn;	Int.: Inter	B	Int.		<u>20.2</u> <u>19.5</u>	<u>С</u> В	Int.	0.52	19.3 22.0	B C	TR Int.	0.74	23.9 25.0	C C
<u> </u>		١	//C: Volun	ne to C	apacity	; spv: Se	econds pe	r Vehi	cle; LO	S: Level	of Service	e; + Si	gnificar	t Adve	se Traffic	Impac	t							

Table M-4 (Continued)
Comparison of 2030 No Build and Build Conditions
Signalized Intersection Level-of-Service Analysis

													0										1 11141	•
			Al	M Pea	ak Ho	ur					Midd	lay P	eak l	lour					PΝ	/ Pea	ık Ho	ur		
	20	030 N	o Bui	ld		2030	Build		20	030 N	lo Buil	d		2030	Build		20	030 N	o Bui	p		2030	Build	
	Ln		Delay		Ln		Delay		Ln		Delay		Ln		Delay		Ln		Delay		Ln		Delay	
Int.	Grp	V/C	(spv)	LOS	Grp	V/C	(spv)	LOS	Grp	V/C	(spv)	LOS	Grp	V/C	(spv)	LOS	Grp	V/C	(spv)	LOS	Grp	V/C	(spv)	LOS
Broa	adwa	y @ V	Vest 1	25th -	Stree	t																		
EB	L	1.76	415.5	F	L	1.42	278.7	F	L	0.64	43.8	D	L	0.85	80.7	E+	L	0.41	31.2	С	L	0.44	34.4	C
	TR	0.89	<u>42.5</u>	D	TR	1.04	74.2	E±	IR	1.04		E	IR	<u>1.14</u>	108.8	E±	TR	1.16	112.9	F	TR	1.26	154.9	F+
WB		=	235.1	F	L	-	=		_	-		E	L	-	140.8	E+	L	=	167.5	F	L	=	167.5	F
	TR	1.05	<u>74.0</u>	Е	TR	<u>1.38</u>	<u>208.3</u>	F+	IR	0.78	<u>33.5</u>	C	IR	<u>1.01</u>	<u>63.6</u>	E+	I	0.65	<u>27.4</u>	C		0.70		C
l				_				_				_	١.			_	<u>R</u>	-	32.7	<u>C</u>	_	=		<u>E</u>
NB	_		33.5	C	L		36.0	D	<u>.</u>	0.43		Č		0.48		<u>c</u>	L			ם	. —	0.74		D
		0.52		С	LT		33.2	С	C LT 0.51 32.2 C LT 0.55 33.1 C LT 0.97 62.1 E LT 1.01 70.7 E+															
0.0			47.7	D	R	=	116.5		Ė	=		Ä		-		Ēŧ	ĸ	=		_	ĸ			
SB	_	L 0.40 31.1 C L 0.47 <u>32.5</u> C <u>L 0.37 30.7 C L 0.48 32.9 C</u> L <u>0.39 31.5</u> C L <u>0.66 38.2</u> D																						
		1.11		F		<u>1.23</u>		++	=	0.85		₽	_	<u>1.02</u>		탿		0.97	62.6	Ē		<u>1.19</u>		
	Int.		85.1	Ŀ	Int.		<u>138.3</u>	F	Int.		<u>50.3</u>	D	Int.		<u>73.3</u>	E	Int.		<u>65.5</u>	E	Int.		92.7	F
Notes	s:	L: Left Turn; T: Through; R: Right Turn; DefL: Defacto Left Turn; Int.: Intersection V/C: Volume to Capacity; spv: Seconds per Vehicle; LOS: Level of Service; + Significant Adverse Traffic Impact																						
I		V	'/C: Vol	lume to	Capa	icity; s	pv: Sec	onds p	er Ve	hicle;	LOS: Le	vel of	Service	ce: + S	significa	nt Adv	erse 1	raffic	Impact					

Table M-<u>5</u> Comparison of 2030 No Build and Build Conditions Unsignalized Intersection Level-of-Service Analysis

													_		tu III	ters	eci	IOII	Level				Alla	lysis
			Al	M Pe	ak Ho						Midd		eak I								ak H			
	20	030 N	lo Buil	d		2030	Build		2	2030 I	No Buil	d			Build		2	030 1	lo Bui	d		2030	Build	
	Ln		Delay		Ln		Delay		Ln		Delay		Ln		Delay		Ln		Delay		Ln		Delay	
-	_		(spv)		_			LOS	Grp	V/C	(spv)	LOS	Grp	V/C	(spv)	LOS	Grp	V/C	(spv)	LOS	Grp	V/C	(spv)	LOS
			et @ V														1							
WB			10.8	В	L	0.24		В	Ē	0.17	<u>10.3</u>	<u>В</u> Е	L		<u>10.5</u>	<u>B</u>			10.6	В	L		11.0	В
SB			122.4	F	T		265.6	F+	I	1.02	60.0	<u> </u>	L	1.09	80.4	E+	T	1.22	125.9	F	T	1.27	145.7	++
	,	Stre	et @ V			d Stre		_			0.7				0.0				400				40.0	_
WB		-	9.2	A F	L	-	10.1	В	Ţ	= =	<u>9.7</u> 23.8	A	LI	=	9.8 34.7	<u>A</u> D +	LT	-	10.0 96.5	≜ F		-	10.8	В
SB		-	96.1 8.3		LT T	-	265.8 8.5	F+		Ξ		<u>~</u>	l씆	Ξ			LT TR	-	96.5 10.5	В	LT T	-	161.8	F+ B
	TR Int.	-	<u>0.3</u> 79.5	A F	Int.	-	<u>0.5</u> 221.5	A F	Int.	Ξ	<u>8.4</u> 19.8		$\frac{\overline{\underline{I}}}{\text{Int.}}$	≡	<u>8.7</u> 27.7	<u>A</u> D	Int.	-	70.2	F	Int.	-	<u>11.8</u> 111.4	F
Marc		Stro	et @ S			200	221.5	-	ши		13.0	<u> </u>	ши		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	IIIL.		10.2		IIIt.		111.4	-
SB	,	0.20		A	111 - 16		10.2	В	l i	0.24	10.2	R	Li	0.28	10.5	В	L	ი 31	10.8	В	ΙL	0 34	11.0	В
OD			55.8	F	Ť	1.03	62.2	F+	Ī	0.92	10.2 37.7	<u>B</u> E	<u> </u>	0.97	47.4	DZ	Ť		128.5	F	Ī		199.0	
Twe			ue @ V					•	_=_	2122	2	_=_	_=_	2181		_	•			•	· ·			•
		0.01		Α		0.01	8.3	Α	LT	0.03	7.5	Α	LT	0.03	7.7	Α	LT	0.02	7.8	Α	LT	0.03	7.9	Α
SB	LT	0.18	11.8	В	LR	0.15		В		0.06	10.2	В	LR	0.08		В			12.1	В			12.4	В
WB	LTR	0.44	40.8	Ε	LTR	1.33	268.9	F+	LTR	0.30	28.2	D	LTR	2.63	917.1	Ēŧ	LTR	0.94	105.9	F	LTR	1.62	354.8	F+
EB	LTR	0.03	20.3	С	LTR	0.03	22.8	С	LTR	0.22	15.5	С	LTR	0.42	31.0	D+	LTR	0.05	19.9	С	LTR	80.08	26.4	D
Twe	lfth A	Avenu	ue @ V	Vest				thbo	und .	Right	Turn													
SB			<u>11.9</u>	В		0.08	<u>11.9</u>	В	<u>R</u>	0.06	<u>10.9</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>R</u>	0.14	<u>13.7</u>	<u>B</u>	R	0.08	<u>11.7</u>	В	R	0.08	<u>11.8</u>	В
		Avent	ue @ S			ace											ii.							
EB	Τ	-	10.4	В	T	-	<u>11.7</u>	В	Ī	Ξ	10.9		I R L	Ξ	11.9	<u>B</u>	Т	-	11.9	В	Τ	-	<u>12.8</u>	В
NB	R	-	<u>16.8</u>	C	R	-	30.7	D+	R	Ξ	<u>16.9</u>	Ċ	<u>R</u>	= =	<u>21.3</u>	Ċ	R	-	<u>14.4</u>	В	R	-	<u>16.8</u>	C
SB	.L	-	9.2	Α	.L	-	9.6	Α	<u>. L</u>	=	9.0	A	I. <u>≒</u>	≡	9.2	A	L.	-	9.0	Α	L.	-	9.2	Α
	Int.		15.3	C	Int.		26.2	D	<u>Int.</u>		<u>15.3</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>Int.</u>		<u>18.6</u>	<u>C</u>	Int.		<u>13.5</u>	В	Int.		<u>15.3</u>	С
		e <i>Dri</i> n 0.14	/e @ S			ace 0.24	8.1	۸	lı TD	0 1 1	7.0	^	li TD	0 17	7.0	٨	l TD	0.19	8.0	Α	што	0.22	0.4	Α
			7.0 41.6	E	LT		186.0	Α.	_	0.14 0.45	<u>7.8</u> 39.4	#	ᇤ	0.17 0.55		<u>A</u> E ±			6.0 41.4	E			53.8	F+
SD		=	39.0	Ē	T		161.6	F+	불	0.45	34.6	A E D	불	0.33	50.8	Ë.		0.30		Ē	l T	0.40		F+
Rive			<u>оо.о</u> /e @ Т				101.0			0.00	<u>07.0</u>		1 =	<u>U.71</u>	00.1		•	0.00	00.0	_	٠.	0.40	<u> </u>	
WB	L	-	8.4	A	L	-	8.6	Α	ΙL	-	8.2	Α	ΙL	-			L	_	8.4	Α	L	_	8.5	Α
NB	R	-	7.4	Α	R	-	7.6	Α	R	=	7.2	A	R	=			R	-	7.3	Α	R	-	7.4	Α
SB	L																							
	Т	-	9.0	Α	Т	-	9.2	Α	I	=	9.0	Α	Ī	=			Т	-	8.4	Α	Т	-	8.5	Α
	Int.		9.3	Α	Int.		9.3	Α	Int.		9.0	Α	Int.				Int.		9.1	Α	Int.		9.2	Α
			treet @		st 12	9th St	reet/S		ir Pla															
EB			<u>401.7</u>	F	L		===	F <u>+</u>	L		<u>117.5</u>	<u>E</u> <u>C</u> <u>E</u>	L R	===	= <u>==</u> 396.4	<u>- +</u>	L			F	L			<u>- +</u>
			26.7	₫	R	74.5	34,277			0.69	23.5	<u>C</u>	R	<u>1.77</u>	<u>396.4</u>				74.8	F	R		===	<u>- +</u>
WB			208.0	F	L			F+	Ē	0.13	96.9	늘	Ī	==	===	E+	L		12119	F	L			≢
\vdash			73.3	F	R			D		0.86	<u>46.1</u>	<u>E</u>	R	==	=	E ±	R	2.29	621.2	F	R			F <u>±</u>
Notes	s:		L: Left T								tion LOS: Le	vel of	Servi	ъ. т с	ianifica	nt Adv	erce	Traffic	Impact					
I											hich ass										inor st	reet_vo	lumes a	and
I		_	reports	exago	gerate	d levels	s of stop	delay	s. As	such.	its result	s are i	not ne	cessa	rily indic	ative	of act	ual co	nditions					
L			adjacer	nt sign	alized	interse	ections	often p	rovide	e addit	ional thre	oughp	ut cap	acity a	nd resu	ılt in k	wer s	stop de	elays.					

Twelfth Avenue and West 132nd Street

During the AM peak hour, the eastbound approach would deteriorate from LOS D to LOS F, with delay increasing from 39.0 to 225.1 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.81 to 1.41.

Twelfth Avenue and West 125th Street

During the AM peak hour, the westbound right-turn movement would deteriorate from LOS E to LOS F, with delay increasing from 68.0 to 229.0 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 1.03 to 1.44.

<u>During the midday peak hour, the westbound right-turn movement would deteriorate from LOS E to LOS F, with delay increasing from 61.4 to 161.6 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 1.01 to 1.28.</u>

During the PM peak hour, the westbound right-turn movement would continue to operate at LOS F, with delay increasing from 135.7 to 250.0 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 1.23 to 1.49.

Broadway Northbound and West 133rd Street

During the PM peak hour, the westbound approach would deteriorate from LOS D to LOS E, with delay increasing from 50.9 to 60.0 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.93 to 0.97.

Broadway and West 130th Street

During the PM peak hour, the eastbound approach would deteriorate from LOS C to LOS F, with delay increasing from 27.0 to 268.3 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.34 to 1.50.

Broadway and West 125th Street

During the AM peak hour, the northbound right-turn movement would deteriorate from LOS D to LOS F, with delay increasing from 47.7 to 116.5 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.67 to 1.02. The southbound left-through-right movement would continue to operate at LOS F, with delay increasing from 101.4 to 141.5 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 1.11 to 1.21. The eastbound through-right movement would continue to operate at LOS D, with delay increasing from 42.5 to 48.8 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.89 to 0.94. The westbound left-turn movement would continue to operate at LOS F, with delay increasing from 235.1 to 357.0 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 1.35 to 1.63, and the westbound through-right movement would deteriorate from LOS E to LOS F, with delay increasing from 74.0 to 195.6 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 1.05 to 1.35.

During the midday peak hour, the northbound right-turn movement would deteriorate from LOS D to LOS F, with delay increasing from 54.4 to 81.9 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.73 to 0.90. The southbound left-through-right movement would deteriorate from LOS D to LOS E, with delay increasing from 44.9 to 73.1 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.85 to 1.02. The eastbound left-turn movement would deteriorate from LOS D to LOS F, with delay increasing from 43.8 to 80.7 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.64 to 0.85, and the eastbound through-right movement would deteriorate from LOS E to LOS F, with delay increasing from 73.3 to 108.8 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 1.04 to 1.14. The westbound left-turn movement would continue to operate at LOS F with delay increasing from 136.4 to 140.8 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 1.02 to 1.04, and the westbound through-right movement would deteriorate from LOS C to LOS E, with delay increasing from 33.5 to 63.6 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.78 to 1.01.

During the PM peak hour, the northbound left-through movement would continue to operate at LOS E, with delay increasing from 62.1 to 70.7 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.97 to

1.01, and the northbound right-turn movement would deteriorate from LOS D to LOS F, with delay increasing from $\underline{47.2}$ to $\underline{115.8}$ seconds and v/c ratio increasing from $\underline{0.67}$ to $\underline{1.02}$. The southbound left-through-right movement would deteriorate from LOS $\underline{\underline{E}}$ to LOS F, with delay increasing from $\underline{62.6}$ to $\underline{135.8}$ seconds and v/c ratio increasing from $\underline{0.97}$ to $\underline{1.19}$. The eastbound through-right movement would continue to operate at LOS F, with delay increasing from $\underline{112.9}$ to $\underline{154.9}$ seconds and v/c ratio increasing from $\underline{1.16}$ to $\underline{1.26}$. The westbound $\underline{\text{right-turn}}$ movement would deteriorate from LOS $\underline{\underline{C}}$ to LOS $\underline{\underline{F}}$, with delay increasing from $\underline{32.7}$ to $\underline{83.3}$ seconds and v/c ratio increasing from $\underline{0.60}$ to $\underline{0.98}$.

Marginal Street and West 133rd Street

During the AM peak hour, the southbound approach would continue to operate at LOS F, with delay increasing from 122.4 to 265.6 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 1.20 to 1.54.

<u>During the midday peak hour, the southbound approach would continue to operate at LOS F, with delay increasing from 60.0 to 80.4 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 1.02 to 1.09.</u>

During the PM peak hour, the southbound approach would continue to operate at LOS F, with delay increasing from 125.9 to 145.7 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 1.22 to 1.27.

Marginal Street and West 132nd Street

During the AM peak hour, the southbound left-through movement would continue to operate at LOS F, with delay increasing from <u>96.1</u> to <u>265.8</u> seconds.

<u>During the midday peak hour, the southbound approach would deteriorate from LOS C to LOS D, with delay increasing from 23.8 to 34.7 seconds.</u>

During the PM peak hour, the southbound left-through movement would continue to operate at LOS F, with delay increasing from $\underline{96.5}$ to $\underline{161.8}$ seconds.

Marginal Street and St. Clair Place

During the AM peak hour, the southbound through movement would <u>continue to operate at LOS</u> F, with delay increasing from $\underline{55.8}$ to $\underline{62.2}$ seconds and v/c ratio increasing from $\underline{1.01}$ to $\underline{1.03}$.

<u>During the midday peak hour, the southbound through movement would continue to operate at LOS E, with delay increasing from 37.7 to 47.4 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.92 to 0.97.</u>

During the PM peak hour, the southbound through movement would continue to operate at LOS F, with delay increasing from 128.5 to 199.0 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 1.22 to 1.39.

Twelfth Avenue and West 131st Street

During the AM peak hour, the westbound approach would deteriorate from LOS E to LOS F, with delay increasing from $\underline{40.8}$ to 268.9 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from $\underline{0.44}$ to 1.33.

During the midday peak hour, the westbound approach would deteriorate from LOS D to LOS F, with delay increasing from 28.2 to 917.1 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.30 to 2.63. At the same time, the eastbound approach would deteriorate from LOS C to LOS D with delay increasing from 15.5 to 31.0 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.22 to 0.42.

During the PM peak hour, the westbound approach would continue to operate at LOS F, with delay increasing from 105.9 to 354.8 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.94 to 1.62.

Twelfth Avenue and St. Clair Place

During the AM peak hour, the northbound approach would deteriorate from LOS C to LOS D, with delay increasing from 16.8 to 30.7 seconds.

Riverside Drive and St. Clair Place

During the AM peak hour, the southbound left-through movement would deteriorate from LOS E to LOS F, with delay increasing from $\underline{41.6}$ to $\underline{186.0}$ seconds and v/c ratio increasing from $\underline{0.49}$ to $\underline{1.04}$, and the southbound through movement would deteriorate from LOS E to LOS F, with delay increasing from $\underline{39.0}$ to $\underline{161.6}$ seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.46 to $\underline{0.96}$.

During the midday peak hour, the southbound left-through movement would deteriorate from LOS E to LOS F, with delay increasing from 39.4 to 56.8 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.45 to 0.55, and the southbound through movement would deteriorate from LOS D to LOS F, with delay increasing from 34.6 to 50.1 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.36 to 0.47.

During the PM peak hour, the southbound left-through movement would deteriorate from LOS E to LOS F, with delay increasing from $\underline{41.4}$ to $\underline{53.8}$ seconds and v/c ratio $\underline{\text{decreasing from 0.47 to}}$ $\underline{0.46}$, and the southbound through movement would deteriorate from LOS E to LOS F, with delay increasing from $\underline{39.0}$ to $\underline{53.1}$ seconds and v/c ratio increasing from $\underline{0.30}$ to $\underline{0.40}$.

West 125th Street and West 129th Street/St. Clair Place

During the AM peak hour, the eastbound right-turn movement would deteriorate from LOS \underline{D} to LOS F, with delay increasing from $\underline{26.7}$ to $\underline{34,277.0}$ seconds and v/c ratio increasing from $\underline{0.75}$ to $\underline{74.5}$. In addition, the eastbound and westbound left-turn movements would further deteriorate within LOS F.

During the midday peak hour, the eastbound right-turn movement would deteriorate from LOS C to LOS F, with delay increasing from 23.5 to 396.4 seconds and v/c ratio increasing from 0.69 to 1.77. In addition, the eastbound left-turn and westbound left- and right-turn movements would further deteriorate within LOS F.

During the PM peak hour, <u>both the eastbound and westbound approaches would deteriorate further within LOS F.</u>

SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS

Without the proposed project improvements, the Proposed Actions would result in significant adverse impacts on the follow intersections:

AM Peak Hour

- Twelfth Avenue and West 133rd Street
- Twelfth Avenue and West 132nd Street
- Twelfth Avenue and West 125th Street
- Broadway and West 125th Street
- Marginal Street and West 133rd Street (unsignalized)
- Marginal Street and West 132nd Street (*unsignalized*)
- Marginal Street and St. Clair Place (unsignalized)
- Twelfth Avenue and West 131st Street (unsignalized)
- Twelfth Avenue and St. Clair Place (unsignalized)

- Riverside Drive and St. Clair Place (unsignalized)
- West 125th Street and West 129th Street/St. Clair Place (unsignalized)

Midday Peak Hour

- Twelfth Avenue and West 133rd Street
- Twelfth Avenue and West 125th Street
- Broadway and West 125th Street
- Marginal Street and West 133rd Street (unsignalized)
- Marginal Street and West 132nd Street (unsignalized)
- Marginal Street and St. Clair Place (unsignalized)
- Twelfth Avenue and West 131st Street (unsignalized)
- Riverside Drive and St. Clair Place (unsignalized)
- West 125th Street and West 129th Street/St. Clair Place (unsignalized)

PM Peak Hour

- Twelfth Avenue and West 133rd Street
- Twelfth Avenue and West 125th Street
- Broadway NB and West 133rd Street
- Broadway and West 130th Street
- Broadway and West 125th Street
- Marginal Street and West 133rd Street (unsignalized)
- Marginal Street and West 132nd Street (unsignalized)
- Marginal Street and St. Clair Place (unsignalized)
- Twelfth Avenue and West 131st Street (unsignalized)
- Riverside Drive and St. Clair Place (unsignalized)
- West 125th Street and West 129th Street/St. Clair Place (unsignalized)

With the proposed project improvements, no significant adverse impacts would result at any of the above intersections.

PARKING SUPPLY AND UTILIZATION

ON-STREET PARKING

As shown in Table 17- $\underline{39}$, 67 on-street spaces would be displaced due to geometric modifications associated with the proposed transportation improvements. The expected on-street parking utilization in the 2030 Build conditions without the proposed transportation improvements is summarized in Table M- $\underline{6}$.

Within ¼ mile of the Project Area, on-street parking would be 93, 100, and 94 percent utilized during the morning, midday, and evening periods, respectively, in the 2030 Build condition (compared to 86, 89, and 86 percent utilization for the same time periods under the 2030 No Build condition). Spaces available in the 2030 No Build condition would be 52, 99, and 56 percent utilized in the 2030 Build condition during the morning, midday, and evening periods, respectively. Since these utilization levels exceed 50 percent, a larger ½-mile on-street area was evaluated. Overall, on-street parking would be 84, 92, and 93 percent utilized during the morning, midday, and evening periods, respectively, in the 2030 Build condition.

Table M-<u>6</u> 2030 Build On-Street Parking Utilization Summary

2030 Build On-Str	eet Parking	Uunzanon	Summary									
2030 Build	AM	MD	PM									
1/4-Mile Radius												
Capacity	1847	1847	1847									
Spaces Removed due to Geometric Modifications	0	0	0									
Effective 2030 Build Capacity	1847	1847	1847									
2030 No Build Demand	1586	1643	1595									
Subdistrict B and "Other Area" Demand	136	201	142									
2030 Build Demand	1722	1844	1737									
Remaining Spaces	125	3	110									
Utilization	93%	100%	94%									
% No Build Availability Utilized in Build Condition	52%	99%	56%									
1/2-Mile Radius												
Capacity	4783	4783	4783									
Spaces Removed due to Geometric Modifications	0	0	0									
Effective 2030 Build Capacity	4783	4783	4783									
2030 No Build Demand	3893	4207	4291									
Subdistrict B and "Other Area" Demand	136	201	142									
2030 Build Demand 136 201 142 4039 4408 4433												
Remaining Spaces 754 375 350												
Utilization 84% 92% 93%												
% No Build Availability Utilized in Build Condition												
Note: See Appendix H for detailed parking accumulati	lote: See Appendix H for detailed parking accumulation analysis.											

Spaces available in the 2030 No Build condition would be 15, 35, and 29 percent utilized in the 2030 Build condition during the morning, midday, and evening periods, respectively. Since less than half of the on-street parking capacity available in the 2030 No Build condition would be utilized in the Build condition, no significant adverse impacts to on-street parking conditions would occur.

OFF-STREET PARKING

Since off-street parking conditions would be the same under the Proposed Actions with or without transportation improvements, the analysis results are the same as those presented in Chapter 17.

2015 MITIGATION

All of the 9 intersections during the AM peak hour, 7 intersections during the midday peak hour, and 10 intersections during the PM peak hour identified to result in significant adverse traffic impacts with the Proposed Actions without proposed project improvements could be fully mitigated with standard traffic engineering measures. In the DEIS, the significant adverse impacts identified for the Broadway and West 125th Street intersection were disclosed as unmitigatable absent the full range of transportation improvements assumed for the Proposed Actions. These improvements include the redirection of existing and future traffic in combination with a physical reconfiguration of the Broadway and West 125th Street intersection, which would be possible with the conversion of West 131st, West 132nd, and West 133rd Streets between Broadway and Twelfth Avenue from two-way to one-way operation, as described in Chapter 17 for the future Build condition with proposed project improvements. However, since the publication of the DEIS, a further examination of alternative operational options revealed that there would be measures that could be applied to fully mitigate the projected significant adverse impacts at this intersection. These and other

recommended measures to mitigate impacts at all Project Area intersections are described below and summarized in Tables M-7 to M-12.

Table M-<u>7</u> Comparison of 2015 No Build, Build, and Mitigated Build AM Peak Hour Conditions Signalized Intersection Level-of-Service Analysis

	20	15 No	o Build	i		2015	Build		20	15 Mi	tigatio	n	
			k Hou				k Hou	r			k Hou		
	Lane		Delay		Lane		Delay		Lane		Delay		
Intersection		V/C	(spv)	LOS		V/C	(spv)	LOS		V/C	(spv)	LOS	Changes
Twelfth Avei	nue @	West	133rd	Stree	et								
Westbound	LTR	0.76	37.1	D	LTR	0.87	47.7	D+	LTR	0.85	43.5	D	Restripe NB as one 16' left turn lane and one 14.1'
Northbound	L		65.9	Ε	L		82.6	F+	L		36.5	D	left-through-right shared lane. Shift 1 second from
			11.0	В	LTR		12.2	В			13.8	В	NS to WB and shift 20 seconds from NS to new NE
Southbound	LTR	0.15	10.4	В	LTR	0.15	10.4	В		0.27	24.2	С	exclusive signal phase.
	Int.		42.1	D	Int.		50.1	D	Int.		32.9	С	
Twelfth Ave									1				ı
Eastbound				D	LTR			D+	LTR			D	Shift 2 seconds from NS to EW
Westbound	LTR			С			21.0	С	LTR			В	
Northbound	LTR			В			15.0	В			16.6	В	
Southbound	LTR	0.13		В	LTR	0.18	10.7	В		0.19	11.8	В	
	Int.		21.1	С	Int.		25.9	С	Int.		24.0	С	
Twelfth Ave						0.00	10.1	D	LITO	0.07	40.5	_	Destrice MD expresses to manifely 0.1 TD 0.4 D
Eastbound				В	LTR	- =	13. <u>4</u>	В	LTR			B B	Restripe WB approach to provide 2 LTR & 1 R
Westbound	L T	0.15	1 <u>3.0</u> 2 <u>3.8</u>	B C	L T	0.10	13. <u>2</u> 23.3	B C	LTR	U. <u>0U</u>	1 <u>8.2</u>	Ь	
	R	0. <u>73</u>		D	R	1.11		F+	R	0.89	38.8	D	
Northbound	LTR		20.6	C	LTR	0.42		C			22.2	C	
Southbound	LT		22.1	Č	LT		24.8	Č	LT		24.8	Č	
Codtribodria	Int.	0.00	28.7	Č	Int.	0.40	4 <u>3.1</u>	Ď	Int.	0.40	23.5	Č	
Broadway @		125tl											
Eastbound	L		275.6		L	1.33	239.6	F	L	0.75	55.7	Е	Davlight SB. EB and WB approaches.
	ĪŔ	0.79	34.3	<u>E</u> <u>C</u>	ĪŔ	0.85		<u>E</u> <u>D</u>	Ī	0.58	26.8	C	Restripe EB from 1 L & 2 TR to 1 L, 2 T & 1 R.
	_			_				_	R	0.51	29.8	C	Restripe WB from 1 L & 2 TR to 1 L, 2 T & 1 R.
Westbound	L	1.15	159.2	Ε	L	1.27	206.0	F+	L	0.96	95.8	Ē	Restripe SB from 1 L & 2 LTR to 1 L & 3 LTR.
	TR	0.99	57.7	E E	ĪR	1.12	99.6	F+	Ī	0.69	29.5	C	Transfer 2 seconds from SB only phase to NB only
									R	0.85	52.6	D	phase.
Northbound	L	0.46		C	L	0.49		C	L	0.45	30.8	C	
	<u>II</u> <u>R</u>	0.48		C	LT	0.49		C	<u>LT</u>	0.45		C	
			43.8	D	<u>L</u> <u>LT</u> <u>B</u>		52.0	(의(리) (의(土)	네티얼[네티엄] 네	0.63			
Southbound	<u>L</u>		30.6	<u>C</u>	<u>L</u> LTR	0.40		<u>C</u>	L	0.44		<u>C</u>	
	<u>LTR</u>	1.04	<u>76.6</u>	CICICICIMIE		<u>1.10</u>	100.1	<u>E</u> ±	LTR	0.82	41.1		
	<u>Int.</u>		<u>64.6</u>	E	Int.		<u>80.4</u>	E	Int.		<u>37.5</u>	D	
Notes:	es: = Left Turn; T = Through; R = Right Turn; DefL = Defacto Left Turn; Int. = Intersection												
L = Left Tur	n: I = T	nroug	n: R = l	Kiaht T	Turn: De	etL = [Jetacto	Lett T	urn: Int.	= Inte	ersectio	n	

L = Left Turn; T = Through; R = Right Turn; DefL = Defacto Left Turn; Int. = Intersection V/C = Volume to Capacity; LOS = Level of Service; + indicates movements with significant impacts in Build condition

Table M-8 Comparison of 2015 No Build, Build, and Mitigated Build Midday Peak Hour Conditions Signalized Intersection Level-of-Service Analysis

			Build			2015					tigatio		
			eak Ho	ur			eak Ho	our			eak Ho	_	
Intersection	Lane Group		Delay (spv)	LOS	Lane		Delay (spy)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c	Delay (spv)	LOS	Changes
Twelfth Ave							(- -/				(-1-7		-
Westbound	LTR	0.6	30.5	С	LTR	0.8	41.6	D	LTR	0.7 9	38.6	D	Restripe NB as one 16' left turn lane and one 14.1' left-through-right shared lane. Shift 1 second from
Northbound	L	0.7 9	27.2	С	L	0.8 7	35.0	С	L	0.7 4	24.3	С	NS to WB and shift 20 seconds from NS to new NB exclusive signal phase.
	LTR	0.1 8	10.8	В	LTR	0.2 0	11.1	В	LTR	0.2 5	12.2	В	TVB exclusive signal phase.
Southbound	LTR	0.1 2	10.1	В	LTR	0.1 2	10.2	В	LTR	0.2 1	23.5	С	
	Int.		23.2	С	Int.		30.4	С	Int.		27.2	С	
Twelfth Ave	nue @	West	125th	Stree	et								
Eastbound Westbound			14.1 13.3	B B	LTR L		14.2 13.1	B B		0.29 0.35	10.1 10.7		Restripe WB approach to provide 2 LTR & 1 R. Shift 7 seconds from NS to EW phase.
			19.9 46.1	B D	T R		19.6 103.8	B F+	R	0.97	45.8	D	·
Northbound	LTR	0.29	20.1	С	LTR	0.35	20.9	С	LTR	0.43	27.4	С	
Southbound		0.23	19.5	В	LT	0.32	21.1	С	LT	0.41		С	
	Int.		26.6	С	Int.		45.8	D	Int.		25.0	С	
Broadway @								_			o	_	lp
Eastbound			37.1 39.7	D	L TR		47.1 42.9	D+ D	L T		34.7 28.3		Daylight SB, EB and WB approaches. Restripe EB from 1 L & 2 TR to 1 L, 2 T & 1 R.
	IK	0.07	39.7	D	IK	0.90	42.9	D	R		44.3		Restripe WB from 1 L & 2 TR to 1 L, 2 T & 1 R.
Westbound	L	0.81	77.6	Е	L	0.85	86.0	F+	Ĺ		50.3		Restripe SB from 1 L & 2 LTR to 1 L & 3 LTR.
11001000110			31.6	Ċ	TR		40.7	D	Ť		27.8		Transfer 1 second from EW phase to SB only
									R		43.8		phase and transfer 1 second from EW phase to NB
Northbound			31.6	С	L		32.3	С	L		31.0		only phase.
			31.5	С	LT		31.9	C	LT		31.1	С	
Southbound	R L		49.5 30.4	D	R L		62.4 31.7	E+ C	R L		49.3 30.5	D C	
Southbourid			30.4 40.9	D	LTR		31.7 47.7	D+			31.5	C	
	Int.	5.13	37.7	D	Int.	0.07	42.9	D	Int.	0.07	33.1	Č	
Notos:													

Notes:

L = Left Turn; T = Through; R = Right Turn; DefL = Defacto Left Turn; Int. = Intersection

V/C = Volume to Capacity; LOS = Level of Service; + indicates movements with significant impacts in Build condition

Table M-9 Comparison of 2015 No Build, Build, and Mitigated Build PM Peak Hour Conditions Signalized Intersection Level-of-Service Analysis

	20	15 No	Build	,		015	Build		-	_	igatio		
	_		k Hou				k Hou				k Hou		
	Lane		Delay		Lane		Delay	_	Lane		Delay	_	
Intersection		V/C	(snv)	LOS		v/c	(snv)	LOS		v/c	(snv)	LOS	Changes
Twelfth Ave							(- -/				(/		<u>-</u>
Westbound						1.53	278.3	F+	LTR	1.14	108.3	F	Restripe NB as one 16' left turn lane and one 14.1'
Northbound			44.2	D	L		79.9	E+	L		41.7		left-through-right shared lane. Shift 23 seconds from
	LTR	0.71	21.6	С	LTR	0.82	28.4	С	LTR	0.90	37.8		NS to new NB exclusive signal phase. Daylight WB
Southbound	LTR	0.10	10.0	В	LTR	0.11	10.1	В	LTR	0.21	24.9		approach.
	Int.		81.7	F	Int.		134.9	F	Int.		64.1	Ε	
Twelfth Avei				h Stre	eet								
Eastbound				В	LTR			В	LTR	0. <u>40</u>	1 <u>5.0</u>		Restripe WB approach to provide 2 LTR & 1 R
Westbound			1 <u>5.3</u>	В	L		1 <u>4.1</u>	В	LTR	0. <u>72</u>	21.5	<u>C</u>	
	Т		<u>30.6</u>	С	T		2 <u>7.5</u>	С					
	R		100.5		R		195.3		R		84.3	F	
Northbound		0.42		С			23.4	С	LTR			С	
Southbound		0.34		С	LT	0.63	32.2	Č	LT	0.63	32.2	С	
	Int.		49. <u>8</u>	D	Int.		8 <u>3.3</u>	F	Int.		3 <u>8.8</u>	D	
Broadway N								_				_	
Eastbound		0.23		В	LT		19.5	В	LT		18.3	В	Transfer 1 second from NB phase to EW phase.
Westbound		0.86		D	TR		52.5	D+			33.7	С	
Northbound		0.78		В			24.0 14.0	С			26.6 15.1	С	
	R Int.	0.07	9.2 25.3	A C	R	0.33	31.3	B C	R Int.	0.35	27.5	B C	
5		4054			Int.		31.3	C	IIIL.		21.5	C	
Broadway @				_		0 E7	E0 0	р.		0.20	24.4	0	Doublight CD, ED and WD approaches
Eastbound	ĪR		45.1 84.9	<u>D</u> E	<u>L</u> IR	1 12	<u>50.8</u> 101.4	<u>D+</u> F+			31.1 29.2	C	Daylight SB, EB and WB approaches. Restripe EB from 1 L & 2 TR to 1 L, 2 T & 1 R.
	TK	1.00	04.9	드	TK	1.13	101.4	<u></u>	一		<u>29.2</u> 55.6	E	Restripe WB from 1 L & 2 TR to 1 L, 2 T & 1 R.
Westbound	L	1 04	140.8	F		1 04	140.8	F	₩		61.3	Ē	Restripe SB from 1 L & 2 LTR to 1 L & 3 LTR.
westbound	ĪŘ	0.92		<u>E</u> D	<u>L</u> IR	1.05	75.6	<u>E</u> E+	🗦		29.0		Transfer 2 seconds from SB only phase to NB only
	1111	0.02		=	117	1.00	10.0	==	🗦	0.71	39.9	품	phase.
Northbound	l i	0.60	36.7	D	1	0.63	38 1	D		0.58	34.4	CIDICIDIC	prinade.
	빌딩		50.5	Ē	ᆈᅜᆁᄙᆈ	0.92	52.4	Ē	LĒ			Ď	
	R		43.4	D	R	0.74		Ē+	R		42.2	D	
Southbound			30.1	С	L		31.3	С	l		33.8	С	
	LĪR		45.9		LĪR		64.0				37.9	D	
	Int.		56.9	E	Int.		71.5	Ε	Int.		37.3	D	
Notes:													
I = Left Tur	n· T = 7	Chroud	ıh·R=	Right	Turn I	∩efl =	Defac	to I e	ft Turn	Int =	Interes	ction	1

L = Left Turn; T = Through; R = Right Turn; DefL = Defacto Left Turn; Int. = Intersection
V/C = Volume to Capacity; LOS = Level of Service; + indicates movements with significant impacts in Build condition

Table M-<u>10</u> Comparison of 2015 No Build, Build, and Mitigat<u>ed Build</u> AM Peak Hour Conditions Unsignalized Intersection Level-of-Service Analysis

	20	015 No	Build		2	2015	Build				igation		Ecction Level-or-Set vice Analysis
	Α	M Pea	k Hour			1 Pea	k Hour				k Hour		
Intersection	Lane	V/C	Delay (spv)		Lane Group	V/C	Delay (spy)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c	Delay (spv)	LOS	Changes
Marginal Str							(- -/				(- /		-
Westbound	L		10.6	В	L	0.19	10.6	В	L	0.41	31.1	С	Change Intersection Control from Unsignalized
Southbound	Т	1. <u>15</u>	<u>101.8</u>	F	Т	1. <u>23</u>	1 <u>31.8</u>	F+	T Int.	0. <u>93</u>	30.1 30.2	C	to Signalized
Marginal Str	eet @	West	132nd	Stree	t								
Westbound	L	-	9.7	Α	L	-	9.9	Α	L	0.10	21.1	С	Change Intersection Control from Unsignalized
Southbound	LT	-	82.1	F	LT	-	116.2	F+	LT	0.7 <u>8</u>	1 <u>9.5</u>	В	to Signalized
	T	-	8. <u>2</u>	A	T	-	8. <u>3</u>	A		-	-	-	
	Int.	a. a.	6 <u>8.3</u>	F	Int.		<u>95.7</u>	F	Int.		1 <u>9.6</u>	В	
Marginal Str Southbound	eet @ .	0.18		e A	lι	0.19	9.9	Α	L	0.10	9.6	Α	Change Intersection Control from Unsignalized
Souli iboui iu	T		9.9 42.4	Ē	l T		9.9 44.1	Ē	LT		9.0 12. <u>9</u>	В	to Signalized
		0.5 <u>±</u>	<u> 14.1</u>	=	l '	0.5 <u>0</u>	11.1	_	Int.	0.4 <u>L</u>	12.6	В	to dignatized
Twelfth Avei	nue @	West	131st S	Stree	t								
Northbound	LT	0.01	7.8	Α	LT	0.01		Α	LTR	0.58	15.4	В	Change Intersection Control from Unsignalized
Southbound	LT		10.8	В	LR		10.8	В		0.34		В	to Signalized
Westbound	LTR	0.33		D			78.8	F+	LTR	0.24		C	
Eastbound	LTR	0.02	16.4	С	LTR	0.02	16.6	С	LTR Int.	0.01	19.5 15.2	B B	
Twelfth Aver	nuo @	St CI	air Dla	20	J.				IIIL.		13.2	Ь	
Eastbound	T	- -	10.0	A	lτ	_	10.2	В	Т	0.27	23.1	С	Change Intersection Control from Unsignalized
Northbound	R	_	14.7	В	Ŕ	_	16.2	Č	Ŕ		40.9	Ď	to Signalized
Southbound	L	-	9.1	Α	L	-	9.2	Α	L	0.08		С	
	Int.		13.6	В	Int.		14.8	В	Int.		3 <u>7.0</u>	D	
Riverside Dr													
Eastbound		0.13		Α		0.18	7.9	Α.	LTR	0. <u>68</u>	<u>9.7</u>	Α	Change Intersection Control from Unsignalized
Southbound	LT T		34. <u>2</u>	D D	LT T		<u>49.2</u>	<u>E+</u> E+	LT	0.37	35.5	D	to Signalized
	I	0.39	32. <u>5</u>	D	'	U. <u>5U</u>	<u>45.2</u>	<u>E+</u>	Int.		14.0	В	
West 125th S	Street	@ We	st 120t	h Str	eet/St (Clair I	Place*		1116.		13.0		
Westbound	L		1 <u>28.0</u>	F	L		2493	F±	L	0.01	14.0	В	Change Intersection Control from Unsignalized
	R	0.83		E	R	5.77	2301	F+	R	0.45	19. <u>5</u>	В	to Signalized
Eastbound	L	0.02	92.8	F	L	=	=	F+	L	0.00	13.9	В	_
	R	0.6 <u>8</u>	2 <u>2.1</u>	С	R	0.6 <u>8</u>	2 <u>3.5</u>	С	R	0.7 <u>1</u>		C	
Northbound	T				T				T	0.7 <u>6</u>		С	
Southbound	T				T				T Int.	0. <u>31</u>	16.8	B C	
									IIIT.		2 <u>3.2</u>	U	

Notes:

L = Left Turn; T = Through; R = Right Turn; DefL = Defacto Left Turn; Int. = Intersection

V/C = Volume to Capacity; LOS = Level of Service; --- = No results given in HCS; + indicates movements with significant impacts in Build condition; *= The unsignalized intersection analysis procedure, which assumes random arrival, breaks down with high major or minor street volumes and reports exaggerated levels of stop delays. As such, its results are not necessarily indicative of actual conditions, where gaps created by adjacent signalized intersections often provide additional throughput capacity and result in lower stop delays.

Table M-11 Comparison of 2015 No Build, Build, and Mitigated Build Midday Peak Hour Conditions **Unsignalized Intersection Level-of-Service Analysis**

								<u>Oi</u>					section Level-of-Service Analysis
			Build			2015 I					igation		
	Mid	day P	eak Ho	ur			eak Ho	ur		_	eak Ho	_	
	Lane		Delay		Lane		Delay		Lane		Delay		01
Intersection						V/C	(spv)	LOS	Group	V/C	(spv)	LOS	Changes
Marginal Str	eet @			Stree	t								
Westbound	L		10.3	В	L		10.2	В	L	0.31		С	Change Intersection Control from Unsignalized
Southbound	Т	0.96	45.2	Е	T	1.02	60.6	F+	T	0.68	13.6	В	to Signalized
									Int.		15.6	В	
Marginal Str	eet @	West											
Westbound	L	-	9.6	Α	L	-	9.7	Α	L		21.2	С	Change Intersection Control from Unsignalized
Southbound	LT	-	20.1	C	LT	-	24.7	C	LT	0.62	15.3	В	to Signalized
	T	-	8.3	Α	T	-	8.4	Α				_	
	Int.		17.0	С	Int.		20.5	С	Int.		15.6	В	
Marginal Str							40.0	_			40.0	_	
Southbound	L		10.1	В	L		10.2	В	L	0.24		В	Change Intersection Control from Unsignalized
	Т	0.86	30.4	D	Т	0.90	35.1	E+	LT	0.40		В	to Signalized
									Int.		11.8	В	
Twelfth Avei						0.00	7 0			0.50	45.0	_	lote and total and the control of th
Northbound	LT	0.02		Α	LT	0.03	7.6	Α		0.56		В	Change Intersection Control from Unsignalized
Southbound Westbound	LT LTR	0.05	10.0	A C	LR LTR	0.04	10.0 67.0	A F +	LTR LTR	0.13	23.1	B C	to Signalized
Eastbound	LTR			B			15.3	C C		0.28		C	
Eastbourid	LIK	0.19	14.5	В	LIK	0.21	15.3	C	Int.	0.10	15.6	В	
Twelfth Avei	nuo @	S+ C1	air Dla						1111.		13.0		
Eastbound	T	JI. UI	10.4	В	Т	_	10.7	В	Т	0.33	23.9	С	Change Intersection Control from Unsignalized
Northbound	Ŕ	_	14.5	В	Ŕ	_	15.8	C	Ŕ	0.81	36.5	Ď	to Signalized
Southbound	Ĺ	_	8.8	Ā	L	_	8.9	Ă	Ĺ	0.07		Č	to digitalized
o o a ti i o a i i a	Int.		13.4	В	Int.		14.5	В	Int.	0.0.	33.3	Č	
Riverside Dr		St. Cla		:e									<u>I</u>
Eastbound		0.13		Α	LTR	0.15	7.8	Α	LTR	0.70	10.3	В	Change Intersection Control from Unsignalized
Southbound	LT	0.37	31.9	D	LT	0.41	37.7	E+	LT	0.28	34.3	С	to Signalized
	Т	0.29	28.5	D	Т	0.33	34.2	D+					, and the second
									Int.		13.5	В	
West 125th S	Street	@ We:	st 129t	h Str	eet/St. (Clair I	Place*						•
Westbound	L	0.08	67.0	F	L	5.00	7490	F+	L	0.01	10.8	В	Change Intersection Control from Unsignalized
	R		32.2	D	R	20.6	9249	F+	R		16.4	В	to Signalized
Eastbound	L		61.2	F	L			F+	L		10.8	В	
	R	0.61	19.7	С	R	0.68	24.7	С	R		42.6	D	
Northbound	Т				T				Т		27.3	С	
Southbound	Т				Т				T	0.42	21.8	С	
									Int.		26.9	С	
Notoc:													

L = Left Turn; T = Through; R = Right Turn; DefL = Defacto Left Turn; Int. = Intersection
V/C = Volume to Capacity; LOS = Level of Service; --- = No results given in HCS; + indicates movements with significant impacts in Build condition;

^{*=} The unsignalized intersection analysis procedure, which assumes random arrival, breaks down with high major or minor street volumes and reports exaggerated levels of stop delays. As such, its results are not necessarily indicative of actual conditions, where gaps created by adjacent signalized intersections often provide additional throughput capacity and result in lower stop delays.

Table M-<u>12</u>
Comparison of 2015 No Build, Build, and Mitigat<u>ed Build</u> PM Peak Hour Conditions
Unsignalized Intersection Level-of-Service Analysis

	2	015 N	Build		2	2015	Build		20	15 Mit	tigatio	n	•
	Р	M Pea	k Hour		PN	I Pea	k Hou	•			k Hou		
	Lane		Delay		Lane		Delay		Lane		Delay		
Intersection	Group	V/C	(spv)	LOS	Group	V/C	(spv)	LOS	Group	V/C	(spv)	LOS	Changes
Marginal Stre	eet @												
Westbound	L	0.20		В			10.6	В	L		31.3	С	Change Intersection Control from Unsignalized
Southbound	Т	1.1 <u>5</u>	95.9	F	T	1. <u>20</u>	<u>115.3</u>	F+	Т	0.9 <u>6</u>	33.5	С	to Signalized
									Int.		33.2	С	
Marginal Street Westbound		west 1					10.6	В	L	0.19	22.4	С	Change Intersection Control from
Southbound	L LT	-	<u>9.9</u> 7 <u>0.4</u>	<u>A</u> F	L LT	-	111.1	F+	LT			C	Unsignalized to Signalized
Southbound	T		10. <u>4</u>	В	T	-	10. <u>7</u>	В	LI	0.0 <u>0</u>	2 <u>3.5</u>	-	Onsignalized to Signalized
	Int.	_	52.1	F	Int.	_	78.9	F	Int.	_	23.5	C	
Marginal Stre		St Cla			IIIC.		10.0		IIIC.		20.0		
Southbound	L		10.6	В	L	0.29	10.6	В	L	0.15	10.1	В	Change Intersection Control from
	Ť		103.2	F	T		129.0	F+	LT	0.63		В	Unsignalized to Signalized
						_			Int.		14.8	В	
Twelfth Aven	ue @	West	131st S	treet									
Northbound	LT	0.02	7.8	Α		0.02		Α		0.8 <u>1</u>		С	Change Intersection Control from
Southbound	LT	0.07	11.9	В			12.0	В		0.1 <u>9</u>		В	Unsignalized to Signalized
Westbound	LTR	0.86	85.7	F			313.7				25. <u>6</u>	С	
Eastbound	LTR	0.05	19.2	С	LTR	0.05	20.2	С		0.03		В	
T 101 A		04 04	. 5						Int.		20. <u>5</u>	С	
Twelfth Aven Eastbound	nue @ ⊤	St. Cla	11. <u>2</u>	е В	Т		11. <u>5</u>	В	Т	0.42	25.5	С	Change Intersection Control from
Northbound	R	-	13. <u>2</u>	В	R	-	14.1	В	R		32. <u>1</u>	C	Unsignalized to Signalized
Southbound	Ĺ	-	8.9	A	Ĺ	-	8.9	A	L	0.05	31.9	Ċ	Orisignalized to Signalized
Coduibodila	Int.		12.3	В	Int.		13.2	В	Int.	0.00	29.9	Č	
Riverside Dri		St. Cla					· · · · <u>=</u>						I
Eastbound	LTR	0.18	7.9	Α	LTR	0.21	8.0	Α	LTR	0.66	9. <u>4</u>	Α	Change Intersection Control from
Southbound	LT	0.40	3 <u>3.6</u>	D	LT	0.36	40.5	E+	LT	0.21	33.4	С	Unsignalized to Signalized
	Т	0.25	32.6	D	Т	0.31	40.6	E+					
									Int.		1 <u>2.1</u>	В	
West 125th S						lair P	lace*						1
Westbound	L	1. <u>75</u>		F	L	=	_=	F±	L		14.2	В	Change Intersection Control from
Castle a	R	1. <u>94</u>	4 <u>66.9</u>	F	R	<u>159</u>	-	E±	R	0.72		С	Unsignalized to Signalized
Eastbound	L	0.02	47.0	F	L		<u>===</u> 60.4	F F+	L	0.01	14.0	В	
Northbound	R T	0.83	4 <u>7.2</u>	Ε	R T	0.8 <u>7</u>	<u>0U.4</u>		R T	0.59 0. <u>92</u>	24.2 35.6	С	
Southbound	T				+				Ť	0. <u>92</u>	19.3	<u>D</u> B	
Southbound	'				'				Int.	U. <u>J.I.</u>	28.5	C	

Notes

Twelfth Avenue and West 133rd Street

During the AM peak hour, restriping northbound Twelfth Avenue as one 16-foot left-turn lane and one 14-foot left-through-right shared lane and shifting 1 second of green time from Twelfth Avenue to West 133rd Street would be necessary. In addition, shifting 20 seconds of green time from Twelfth Avenue to create a new signal phase for its northbound approach would be necessary. With these changes, the northbound left-turn lane would improve from LOS F (82.6 seconds of delay, 1.08 v/c ratio) to LOS D (36.5 seconds of delay, 0.88 v/c ratio). The southbound approach would improve within LOS D (47.7 seconds of delay, 0.87 v/c ratio to 43.5 seconds of delay, 0.85 v/c ratio).

During the PM peak hour, restriping northbound Twelfth Avenue as one 16-foot left-turn lane and one 14-foot left-through-right shared lane and daylighting the westbound approach would be

L = Left Turn; T = Through; R = Right Turn; DefL = Defacto Left Turn; Int. = Intersection

V/C = Volume to Capacity; LOS = Level of Service; --- = No results given in HCS; + indicates movements with significant impacts in Build condition:

^{*=} The unsignalized intersection analysis procedure, which assumes random arrival, breaks down with high major or minor street volumes and reports exaggerated levels of stop delays. As such, its results are not necessarily indicative of actual conditions, where gaps created by adjacent signalized intersections often provide additional throughput capacity and result in lower stop delays.

necessary. In addition, shifting 23 seconds of green time from Twelfth Avenue to create a new signal phase for its northbound approach would be necessary. With these changes, the northbound left-turn lane would improve from LOS E (79.9 seconds of delay, 1.07 v/c ratio) to LOS D (41.7 seconds of delay, 0.92 v/c ratio). The westbound approach would improve within LOS F (from 278.3 seconds of delay, 1.53 v/c ratio to 108.3 seconds of delay, 1.14 v/c ratio).

Twelfth Avenue and West 132nd Street

During the AM peak hour, shifting 2 seconds of green time from Twelfth Avenue to West 132nd Street would improve the eastbound approach within LOS D (from 51.1 seconds of delay, 0.92 v/c ratio to 41.9 seconds of delay, 0.86 v/c ratio).

Twelfth Avenue and West 125th Street

During the AM peak hour, restriping the westbound approach to provide two left-through-right and one right turn lanes would improve the westbound right-turn lane from LOS F (95.0 seconds of delay, 1.11 v/c ratio) to LOS D (38.8 seconds of delay, 0.89 v/c ratio).

During the midday peak hour, restriping the westbound approach to provide two left-through-right and one right turn lanes, and shifting 7 seconds of green time from Twelfth Avenue to West 125th Street would be necessary. With these changes the westbound right-turn lane would improve from LOS F (103.8 seconds of delay, 1.14 v/c ratio) to LOS D (45.8 seconds of delay, 0.97 v/c ratio).

During the PM peak hour, restriping the westbound approach to provide two left-through-right and one right turn lanes would improve the westbound right-turn lane within LOS F (from 195.3 seconds of delay, 1.36 v/c ratio to 84.3 seconds of delay, 1.09 v/c ratio).

Broadway Northbound and West 133rd Street

During the PM peak hour, a transfer of 1 second of green time from Broadway to West 133rd Street would improve the westbound approach from LOS D (52.5 seconds of delay, 0.94 v/c ratio) to LOS C (33.7 seconds of delay, 0.80 v/c ratio).

Broadway and West 125th Street

The recommended mitigation measures at this intersection involve daylighting the southbound, eastbound, and westbound approaches, restriping the southbound approach to provide a third through lane, and restriping the eastbound and westbound approaches to provide exclusive right-turn lanes. In addition, shifting 2 seconds of green time from the southbound only to the northbound only phase during the AM and PM peak hours, and shifting 1 second of green time from the east-west to the northbound only phase during the midday peak hour would be required.

During the AM peak hour, the above would improve the westbound left-turn lane within LOS F (from 206.0 seconds of delay, 1.27 v/c ratio to 95.8 seconds of delay, 0.96 v/c ratio). The westbound through-right lane group would improve from LOS F (99.6 seconds of delay, 1.12 v/c ratio) to LOS C for the through lanes (29.5 seconds of delay, 0.69 v/c ratio) and LOS D for the right-turn lane (52.6 seconds of delay, 0.85 v/c ratio). The northbound right-turn lane would improve within LOS D (from 52.0 seconds of delay, 0.70 v/c ratio to 43.5 seconds of delay, 0.63 v/c ratio) and the southbound left-through-right lane group would improve from LOS F (100.1 seconds of delay, 1.10 v/c ratio) to LOS D (41.1 seconds of delay and 0.82 v/c ratio).

<u>During the midday peak hour, the above would improve the eastbound left-turn lane from LOS</u> D (47.1 seconds of delay, 0.65 v/c ratio) to LOS C (34.7 seconds of delay, 0.50 v/c ratio). The

westbound left-turn lane would improve from LOS F (86.0 seconds of delay, 0.85 v/c ratio) to LOS D (50.3 seconds of delay, 0.65 v/c ratio). The northbound right-turn lane would improve from LOS E (62.4 seconds of delay, 0.78 v/c ratio) to LOS D (49.3 seconds of delay, 0.68 v/c ratio) and the southbound left-through-right lane group would improve from LOS D (47.7 seconds of delay, 0.87 v/c ratio) to LOS C (31.5 seconds of delay and 0.57 v/c ratio).

During the PM peak hour, the above would improve the eastbound left-turn lane from LOS D (50.8 seconds of delay, 0.57 v/c ratio) to LOS C (31.1 seconds of delay, 0.38 v/c ratio). The eastbound through-right lane group would improve from LOS F (101.4 seconds of delay, 1.13 v/c ratio) to LOS C for the through lanes (29.2 seconds of delay, 0.68 v/c ratio) and LOS E for the right-turn lane (55.6 seconds of delay, 0.87 v/c ratio). The westbound through-right lane group would improve from LOS E (75.6 seconds of delay, 1.05 v/c ratio) to LOS C for the through lanes (29.0 seconds of delay, 0.68 v/c ratio) and LOS D for the right-turn lane (39.9 seconds of delay, 0.71 v/c ratio). The northbound right-turn lane would improve from LOS E (56.3 seconds of delay, 0.74 v/c ratio) to LOS D (42.2 seconds of delay, 0.62 v/c ratio) and the southbound left-through-right lane group would improve from LOS E (64.0 seconds of delay, 0.98 v/c ratio) to LOS D (37.9 seconds of delay and 0.73 v/c ratio).

By signalizing the intersections listed below, the projected significant adverse impacts at these unsignalized intersections would be fully mitigated, with all movements operating at acceptable levels of service.

- Marginal Street and West 133rd Street
- Marginal Street and West 132nd Street
- Marginal Street and St. Clair Place
- Twelfth Avenue and West 131st Street
- Twelfth Avenue and St. Clair Place
- Riverside Drive and St. Clair Place
- West 125th and West 129th Street/St. Clair Place

2030 MITIGATION

All of the 11 intersections during the PM peak hour, 9 intersections during the midday peak hour, and 11 intersections during the PM peak hour identified to result in significant adverse traffic impacts with the Proposed Actions without proposed project improvements could be fully mitigated with standard traffic engineering measures. In the DEIS, the significant adverse impacts identified for the Broadway and West 125th Street intersection were disclosed as unmitigatable absent the full range of transportation improvements assumed for the Proposed Actions. These improvements include the redirection of existing and future traffic in combination with a physical reconfiguration of the Broadway and West 125th Street intersection, which would be possible with the conversion of West 131st, West 132nd, and West 133rd Streets between Broadway and Twelfth Avenue from two-way to one-way operation, as described in Chapter 17 for the future Build condition with proposed project improvements. However, since the publication of the DEIS, a further examination of alternative operational options revealed that there would be measures that could be applied to fully mitigate the projected significant adverse impacts at this intersection. These and other recommended measures to mitigate impacts at all Project Area intersections are described below and summarized in Tables M-13 to M-18.

Table M-13 Comparison of 2030 No Build, Build, and Mitigated Build AM Peak Hour Conditions Signalized Intersection Level-of-Service Analysis

			Build k Hour			2030 E /I Pea	Build k Hour				igation k Hour		
ľ	Lane		Delay		Lane		Delay		Lane	1	Delay		
Intersection	Group	V/C		LOS	Group	V/C		LOS	Group	V/C	(spv)	LOS	Changes
Twelfth Aver	nue @ V	Vest 1	33rd S	treet									
Westbound	LTR	0.80	40.5	D	LTR	1.04	81.1	F+	LTR	0.49	25.0	С	Daylighting WB approach. Shift centerline
Northbound	L	1.11	94.3	F	L		149.5	F+	L	1.04	66.2	Ε	to create 2 10-foot WB LTR lanes. Restripe
	LTR	0.21	11.2	В	LTR	0.41	13.7	В	LTR	0.44	14.2	В	NB as one 16' left turn lane and one 14.1'
Southbound	LTR	0.16	10.5	В	LTR	0.16	10.5	В	LTR	0.16	10.5	В	left-through-right shared lane.
	Int.		55.4	E	Int.		85.1	F	Int.		36.9	D	
Twelfth Aver			32nd S 39.0		LTR	4 44	205.4		LITO	0.00	20.5	_	Chiff and adding Character and and and and
Eastbound Westbound	LTR	0.81	20.8	D C	LTR	1.41 0.19	225.1 21.5	F+ C	LTR LTR	0.86 0.19	38.5 21.5	D C	Shift centerline 6' to north side and restripe EB to provide 2 LTR with 10' each
Northbound	LTR	0.12	20.6 14.4	В	LTR	0.19	16.5	В	LTR	0.19	21.5 16.4	В	EB to provide 2 LTR with 10 each
Southbound	LTR	0.14	10.4	В	LTR	0.07	11.7	В	LTR	0.03	11.6	В	
Codtribodria	Int.	0.14	21.7	Č	Int.	0.21	91.8	F	Int.	0.21	24.0	Č	
Twelfth Aver	nue @ V	Vest 1		treet									<u> </u>
Eastbound		0.27	13.5	В	LTR	0.28	13.6	В	LTR	0.27	11.9	В	Restripe WB to provide 1L, 2TR, 1R.
Westbound	L	0.16	13.3	В	L	0.17	13.5	В	L	0.16	11.7	В	Transfer 3 seconds from NS phase to EW
	T	0.78	26.1	С	T	0.78	25.9	С	TR	0.60	16.2	В	phase.
	R	1.03	68.0	Ε	R	1.44	229.0	F+	R	1.02	64.4	Ε	
Northbound	LTR	0.34	20.8	С	LTR	0.57	25.3	C	LTR	0.63	29.1	С	
Southbound	LT	0.42	22.9	С	LT	0.47	24.5	Č	LT	0.53	28.4	С	
5	Int.	105/1	35.4	D	Int.		92.2	F	Int.		29.7	С	
Broadway @ Eastbound	vvest 1			_		1 12	270 7	_	1 1	1.42	280.7	_	Davlight SB. EB and WB approaches.
Eastbound	IR	1.76 0.89	415.5 42.5	<u>E</u> <u>D</u>	<u>L</u> IR	1.42	278.7 74.2	<u>E</u> E+		0.57	29.3	듣	Restripe SB from 1 L & 2 LTR to 1 L & 3
	112	0.03	42.0	ш	117	1.04	14.2	==	₽	0.64	16.1	Ħ	LTR.
Westbound	L	1.35	235.1	F	L	1.60	343.3	F+		1.23	186.2	F	Shift centerline 2'-9" to north side and
	<u>L</u> IR	1.05	74.0	E E	<u>L</u> IR	1.38	208.3	DZ	₹	0.97	55.2	Ē	restripe EB approach from 1 L & 2 TR to 1 L.
				_						0.65	20.2	티오토토토	2T&1R.
Northbound		0.50	33.5	C		0.58	36.0	D	Ī	0.46	27.8	С	Restripe WB from 1 L & 2 TR to 1 L, 2 T & 1
	LI	0.52	32.2	C	LI	0.56	33.2	C	LI	0.46	26.7	C	<u>R.</u>
	<u>R</u>	0.67	47.7	D	<u>R</u>	1.02	116.5	<u>E+</u>	<u>R</u>	0.68	43.4	D	Restrict parking on WB receiving leg.
Southbound	. <u>L</u>	0.40	31.1	Ē	. <u> </u>	0.47	32.5	<u>C</u>	. ⊑_	0.52	<u>35.4</u>	D	Transfer 4 seconds from EW phase to NB
	LIK	1.11	101.4		LIK	1.23	151.2			0.92	<u>49.1</u>	D D	only phase. Transfer 2 seconds from SB only
	<u>Int.</u>		<u>85.1</u>	드	<u>Int.</u>		<u>138.3</u>	드	<u>Int.</u>		<u>51.1</u>	ט	phase to NB only phase. Modify signal phasing to allow for EB right-turn movement
													during NB only phase and allow for WB right-
													turn movement during SB only phase.
Notes:													

otes:
L = Left Turn; T = Through; R = Right Turn; DefL = Defacto Left Turn; Int. = Intersection
V/C = Volume to Capacity; LOS = Level of Service; + indicates movements with significant impacts in Build condition

Table M-14 Comparison of 2030 No Build, Build, and Mitigated Build Midday Peak Hour Conditions Signalized Intersection Level-of-Service Analysis

								<u>0</u>	ıgnaı	ızeu	шш	SCCL	<u>ion Levei-oi-Service Analysis</u>
		2030 N	lo Build	i		2030	Build		2	030 M	itigatio	n	
	Mi	dday F	eak Ho	our	Mic	dday F	eak Ho	ur	Mi	dday F	Peak Ho	ur	
Intersection	Lane Grou		Delay		Lane		Delay		Lane		Delay		Changes
	р	V/C	(spv)		Group	V/C	(spv)	LOS	Group	V/C	(spv)	LOS	Changes
Twelfth Ave					LLTD	0.04	54.0	ъ.	LITO	0.40	040	_	ID - Patrice MD Otalia talia
Westbound		0.65	31.6	С	LTR	0.91	51.9	D+	LTR	0.43	24.0	С	Daylighting WB approach. Shift centerline
Northbound	L LTR	0.85 0.20	32.8 11.0	C B	L LTR	0.96 0.24	49.7 11.4	D B	L L	0.79 0.25	26.1 11.6	C B	to create 2 10-foot WB LTR lanes. Restripe NB as one 16' left turn lane and
Southbound	LTR	0.20	10.2	В	LTR	0.24	10.2	В	LTR	0.25	10.2	В	one 14.1' left-through-right shared lane.
Souli bouriu	Int.	0.12	25.9	C	Int.	0.13	39.6	D	Int.	0.13	21.2	C	one 14.1 len-mough-ngm shared lane.
Twelfth Ave		Wost			IIIL.		33.0		IIIL.		21.2	0	
Eastbound		0.43	25.2	C	LTR	0.53	27.4	С	LTR	0.32	22.7	С	Shift centerline 6' to north side and
Westbound		0.43	20.4	Č	LTR	0.33	21.0	Č	LTR	0.32	21.0	Č	restripe EB to provide 2 LTR with 10' each
Northbound	LTR	0.45	13.3	В	DefL	0.51	14.2	В	LTR	0.51	14.2	В	l compo EB to provide E ETT with To coon
				_	TR	0.29	14.7	В				_	
Southbound	LTR	0.08	9.9	Α	LTR	0.15	10.6	В	DefL	0.29	14.5	В	
									TR	0.15	10.6	В	
	Int.		15.9	В	Int.		17.3	В	Int.		16.2	В	
Twelfth Avenue @ West 125th Street													
Eastbound	LTR	0.37	14.5	В	LTR	0.37	14.6	В	LTR	0.28	6.9	Α	Restripe WB to provide 1L, 2TR, 1R.
Westbound	L	0.18	13.6	В	L	0.16	13.4	В	L	0.12	6.3	Α	Transfer 14 seconds from NS phase to
	Т	0.66	21.2	С	T	0.66	21.2	С	TR	0.27	6.8	Α	EW phase.
N	R	1.01	61.4	E	R	1.28	161.6	F+	R	1.04	59.3	E	
Northbound Southbound	LTR LT	0.31 0.25	20.4 19.9	C B	LTR LT	0.38 0.28	21.5 20.4	C	LTR LT	0.64 0.54	39.1	D	
Southbound	Int.	0.25	31.9	C	Int.	0.20	66.8	F	Int.	0.54	38.9 30.0	D C	
Broadway @		12E4h		C	IIIL.		00.0		IIIL.		30.0	U	
Eastbound	L	0.64	43.8	D	lι	0.85	80.7	F+	ΙL	0.56	38.3	D	Daylight SB, EB and WB approaches.
Lastbourid	TR	1.04	73.3	Ē	TR	1.14	108.8	F+	Ϊ́τ	0.53	27.1	C	Restripe SB from 1 L & 2 LTR to 1 L & 3
	111	1.04	70.0	_	111	1.17	100.0		Ŕ	0.77	23.5	Č	LTR.
Westbound	L	1.02	136.4	F	L	1.04	140.8	F+	Ĺ	0.65	48.8	Ď	Shift centerline 2'-9" to north side and
	TR	0.78	33.5	C	TR	1.01	63.6	E+	T	0.64	29.6	C	restripe EB approach from 1 L & 2 TR to 1
									R	0.48	12.8	В	L, 2 T & 1 R.
Northbound	L	0.43	32.3	С	L	0.48	33.5	С	L	0.44	30.9	С	Restripe WB from 1 L & 2 TR to 1 L, 2 T &
	LT	0.51	32.2	С	LT	0.55	33.1	С	LT	0.52	31.0	С	1 R. Restrict parking on WB receiving leg.
	R	0.73	54.4	D	R	0.90	81.9	F+	R	0.74	53.4	D	Transfer 2 seconds from EW phase to NE
Southbound	. L_	0.37	30.7	C	. L_	0.48	32.9	С	. <u>L</u>	0.48	32.9	С	only phase. Modify signal phasing to allow
	LTR	0.85	44.9	D	LTR	1.02	73.1	E+	LTR	0.69	35.0	С	for EB right-turn movement during NB only
1	Int.		50.3	D	Int.		73.3	Е	Int.		30.7	С	phase and allow for WB right-turn
Notes:					<u> </u>								movement during SB only phase.

Notes:

L = Left Turn; T = Through; R = Right Turn; DefL = Defacto Left Turn; Int. = Intersection

V/C = Volume to Capacity; LOS = Level of Service; + indicates movements with significant impacts in Build condition

Table M-15 Comparison of 2030 No Build, Build, and Mitigated Build PM Peak Hour Conditions Signalized Intersection Level-of-Service Analysis

			o Build				Build		2	030 M	itigatior	1	Level-of-Service Analysis
		PM Pea	ak Hour			PM Pe	ak Hour	•		PM Pe	ak Hour	•	
Intersection			Delay (spv)		Lane Group	V/C	Delay (spv)	LOS	Lane Group	V/C	Delay (spv)	LOS	Changes
Twelfth Aven								_				_	I=
Westbound Northbound		1.36 1.01	206.1 60.1	F E	LTR L	1.78 1.31	390.9 173.6	F+ F+	LTR	1.01 0.97	64.2 45.0	E D	Daylighting WB approach. Shift centerline to create 2 10-foot WB
Northbourid	L LTR	0.76	24.1	C	LTR	1.00	57.4	E+	L LTR	0.97	45.0 42.7	D	LTR lanes. Restripe NB as one 16'
Southbound	LTR	0.70	10.1	В	LTR	0.11	10.1	В	LTR	0.30	7.8	A	left turn lane and one 14.1' left-
		0.11	10.1			0	10.1			0.10	7.0	,,	through-right shared lane. Shift 5
	Int.		96.8	F	Int.		211.3	F	Int.		48.9	D	seconds EW to NS
Twelfth Aven													
Eastbound		0.63	29.9	C	LTR	0.74	34.7	C	LTR	0.46	24.7	C	Shift centerline 6' to north side and
Westbound	LTR	0.12	20.7	С	LTR	0.45	25.8	С	LTR	0.45	25.8	С	restripe EB to provide 2 LTR with 10'
Northbound Southbound	LTR LTR	0.74 0.06	19.1 9.8	B A	LTR LTR	0.85 0.13	23.5 10.3	C B	LTR LTR	0.84 0.13	22.9 10.3	C B	each
Southbound	Int.	0.00	9.0 21.1	C	Int.	0.13	25.2	C	Int.	0.13	22.7	C	
Twelfth Aven		Vest 12			mic.		20.2		mit.		<i></i>		
Eastbound		0.45	15.8	В	LTR	0.51	16.7	В	LTR	0.48	16.1	В	Restripe WB to provide 1L, 2TR, 1R
Westbound	L	0.30	16.0	В	L	0.24	14.9	В	L	0.24	14.9	В	
	Т	0.89	35.1	D	Т	0.93	40.3	D	TR	0.77	22.7	С	
	R	1.23	135.7	F	R	1.49	250.0	F+	R	1.14	103.3	F	
Northbound	LTR	0.45	22.7	С	LTR	0.52	24.0	С	LTR	0.52	24.0	С	
Southbound	LT Int.	0.39	22.8 63.7	C E	LT Int.	0.56	29.3 105.4	C F	LT Int.	0.56	29.3 41.3	C D	
Broadway NI		cf 133			IIIL.		105.4	F	IIIL.		41.3	U	
Eastbound		0.26	18.3	В	LT	0.61	28.3	С	LT	0.54	23.1	С	Shift 2 second from NB to EW.
Westbound	TR	0.93	50.9	Ď	TR	0.97	60.0	É+	TR	0.92	47.4	Ď	Restrict NB parking access
Northbound		0.83	21.1	C	LT	0.99	40.4	D	LT	0.97	37.7	D	paramig access
	R	0.06	9.1	Α	R	0.37	15.9	В	R	0.40	18.7	В	
	Int.		29.6	С	Int.		43.3	D	Int.		38.0	D	
Broadway @				_				_				_	<u> </u>
Eastbound	LR	0.34	27.0	С	LR	1.50	268.3	F+	L LR	0.44	29.2	C	Daylight and restipe EB approach to
									R	0.64 0.48	34.2 32.0	C	provide 1L, 1LR shared and 1R.
Northbound	LT	0.35	6.2	Α	LT	0.39	6.4	Α	LT	0.40	6.4	A	
Southbound	LT	0.35	6.2	A	LT	0.38	6.4	A	LT	0.38	6.4	A	
	Int.		7.7	Α	Int.		69.2	Ε	Int.		12.6	В	
Broadway @	West 1	25th S											
Eastbound	Ē	0.41	31.2	<u>C</u> <u>E</u>	F	0.44	34.4	<u>C</u> <u>F+</u>	Ī	0.52	<u>44.6</u>	₫	Daylight SB, EB and WB
	<u>IR</u>	<u>1.16</u>	<u>112.9</u>	트	<u>IR</u>	1.26	<u>154.9</u>	<u>F+</u>	🛉	0.68	31.4	드	approaches.
Westbound		1.13	167.5	F		1.13	167.5	F		0.86 0.88	30.8 90.8	늗	Restripe SB from 1 L & 2 LTR to 1 L & 3 LTR.
Westbound	L R L	0.65	27.4	늗		0.70	28.7	<u>E</u> <u>C</u> <u>F+</u>		0.83	37.6	₽	Shift centerline 2'-9" to north side and
	Ŕ	0.60	32.7	č	Ŕ	0.98	83.3	F+	Ŕ	0.51	15.7	B	restripe EB approach from 1 L & 2
Northbound	L	0.64	38.4	D	L	0.74	43.4	<u>D</u> E+	L	0.54	30.0	C	TR to 1 L, 2 T & 1 R.
	ιĪ	0.97	62.1	Ē		1.01	70.7	E+		0.81	37.0	D	Restripe WB from 1 L & 2 TR to 1 L,
	R	0.67	<u>47.2</u>		<u>R</u> L	<u>1.02</u>	<u>115.8</u>	F+	<u>R</u> <u>L</u>	0.69	44.7		2T&1R.
Southbound	Ī	0.39	<u>31.5</u>	드		0.66	<u>38.2</u>	D		0.71	<u>42.5</u>	Ē	Restrict parking on WB receiving leg.
	LTR Int.	0.97	62.6 65.5	늘	LTR Int.	<u>1.19</u>	135.8 92.7	E± E	LTR Int.	0.92	<u>51.3</u> 38.7	<u>D</u> D	Transfer 4 seconds from EW phase to NB only phase. Transfer 2 seconds
	1111.		00.0	_	1111		34.1	╘	1111.		<u>50.7</u>	브	from SB only phase to NB only
													phase. Modify signal phasing to allow
													for EB right-turn movement during NB
													only phase and allow for WB right-
													turn movement during SB only phase.
Notes:													

Notes:

L = Left Turn; T = Through; R = Right Turn; DefL = Defacto Left Turn; Int. = Intersection

V/C = Volume to Capacity; LOS = Level of Service; + indicates movements with significant impacts in Build condition

Table M-16 Comparison of 2030 No Build, Build, and Mitigated Build AM Peak Hour Conditions **Unsignalized Intersection Level-of-Service Analysis**

								~-B-					I Level-of-Set vice Alialysis
			Build			2030 I					igation		
	ΑN	I Pea	k Hour	'	Al	/I Pea	k Hour			/ Pea	k Hour	'	
	Lane		Delay		Lane		Delay		Lane		Delay		
Intersection	Group	V/C	(spv)	LOS	Group	V/C	(spv)	LOS	Group	V/C	(spv)	LOS	Changes
Marginal Stre	et @ W	est 13	3rd St	reet									
Westbound	L	0.22	10.8	В	L	0.24	10.9	В	L	0.64	42.5	D	Change Intersection Control from
Southbound	T	1.20	122.4	F	T	1.54	265.6	F+	T	1.09	70.4	E	Unsignalized to Signalized
									Int.		66.6	<u>E</u>	
Marginal Stre		est 13											
Westbound	L		9.2	Α	L		10.1	В	L	0.14	21.6	С	Change Intersection Control from
Southbound	LT		96.1	F	LT		<u> 265.8</u>	F+	LT	0.99	39.8	D	Unsignalized to Signalized
	Т		<u>8.3</u>	Α	Т		<u>8.5</u>	Α					
	Int.		<u>79.5</u>	F	Int.		<u>221.5</u>	F	Int.		<u>39.1</u>	D	
Marginal Stre							40.0	_					lou i o i i
Southbound		0.20	9.9	A	L	0.23	10.2	В	L	0.12	9.8	Α	Change Intersection Control from
	Т	<u>1.01</u>	<u>55.8</u>	E	Т	1.03	62.2	F+	LT	0.52	<u>13.6</u>	В	Unsignalized to Signalized
									Int.		<u>13.2</u>	В	
Twelfth Aven						0.04	0.0			0.05	40.0	_	lote and late weather or at all form
Northbound Southbound	LT LT	0.01	7.8 11.8	A B	LT LR	0.01 0.15	8.3 12.1	A B		0.65	16.8	В	Change Intersection Control from
Westbound					LTR	1.33	268.9	F+	LTR LTR	0.53 0.34	15.0 24.0	B C	Unsignalized to Signalized
Eastbound		0.44 0.03	40.8 20.3	E C	LTR	0.03	200.9	C	LTR	0.01	24.0 19.5	В	
Easibouriu	LIK	0.03	20.3	C	LIK	0.03	22.0	C	Int.	0.01	16.9	В	
Twelfth Aven	uo @ \$1	Clai	r Diaco						IIIL.		10.0		
Eastbound	⊔e @ Si T	. Ciai	10.4	вΙ	Т		11.7	В	Т	0.36	25.8	С	Change Intersection Control from
Northbound	Ŕ		16.8	Č	Ŕ		30.7	D+	Ŕ	0.91	41.7	Ď	Unsignalized to Signalized
Southbound	ì		9.2	Ă	ï		9.6	Α	Ĺ	0.11	34.6	Č	Onsignalized to dignalized
Coulibouria	Int.		15.3	C	Int.		26.2	D	Int.	0.11	38.1	Ď	
Riverside Dri		Clair						_			20	_	L
Eastbound		0.14	7.8	Α	LTR	0.24	8.1	Α	LTR	0.82	13.6	В	Change Intersection Control from
Southbound	LT	0.49	41.6	Ē	LT	1.04	186.0	F+	LT	0.45	38.7	D	Unsignalized to Signalized
	T	0.46	39.0	Ē	T	0.96	161.6	F+					
									Int.		17.4	В	
West 125th S	treet @	West	129th	Stree	t/St. Cla	ir Plac	:e <u>*</u>						
Westbound			208.0	F	L			F±	L	0.02	13.5	В	Change Intersection Control from
	R	0.96	73.3	F	R	=	=	F±	R	0.48	19.4	В	Unsignalized to Signalized
Eastbound	L		401.7	F	L	=		- +	L	0.00	13.4	В	
	R	0.75	26.7	D	R	74.5	34,277	F+	R	<u>1.14</u>	113.8	F	
Northbound	Т				Т				Т	0.96	41.8	D	
Southbound	Т				Т				Т	0.32	<u>17.5</u>	В	
									Int.		<u>48.1</u>	D	

Notes:

L = Left Turn; T = Through; R = Right Turn; DefL = Defacto Left Turn; Int. = Intersection

V/C = Volume to Capacity; LOS = Level of Service; --- = No results given in HCS; + indicates movements with significant impacts in Build

^{* =} The unsignalized intersection analysis procedure, which assumes random arrival, breaks down with high major or minor street volumes and reports exaggerated levels of stop delays. As such, its results are not necessarily indicative of actual conditions, where gaps created by adjacent signalized intersections often provide additional throughput capacity and result in lower stop delays.

Table M-17 Comparison of 2030 No Build, Build, and Mitigated Build Midday Peak Hour Conditions **Unsignalized Intersection Level-of-Service Analysis**

	20	nan Ni	Build		•	2030	אוויים		20.	20 Mi4	igatior		
			eak Ho		_		eak Ho				ak Ho		
-	Lane	uay F	Delav	uı	Lane		Delay		Lane		Delav	uı	
Intersection	Group	v/c		LOS		V/C	(snv)	LOS	Group		(spv)	LOS	Changes
Marginal Stre						.,,	(66.)	_00	о. о ш р		(56.7)		
Westbound	L		10.3	В	•	0.19	10.5	В	L	0.39	30.7	С	Change Intersection Control from Unsignalized
Southbound	Ť	1.02	60.0	F	Ī		80.4	F+	Ť	0.72		B	to Signalized
									Int.		17.1	В	
Marginal Stre	eet @ l	West 1	132nd S	Street									
Westbound	L		9.7	Α	L		9.8	Α	L	0.13	21.4	С	Change Intersection Control from Unsignalized
Southbound	LT		23.8	С	LT		34.7	D+	LT	0.67	16.4	В	to Signalized
	T		8.4	Α	Т		8.7	Α				_	
	Int.		19.8	С	Int.		27.7	D	Int.		16.7	В	
Marginal Stre							40.5	_		0.00		_	Internal lateral results and the state of th
Southbound	L		10.2	В	L		10.5	B F+	L	0.29	11.4	В	Change Intersection Control from Unsignalized
	T	0.92	37.7	Е	ı	0.97	47.4	E+	LT Int.	0.43	12.4 12.2	B B	to Signalized
Twelfth Aver		14/004	121-4 0	44					IIIL.		12.2	ь	
Northbound	LT	0.03	7.5	A		0.03	7.7	Α	LTR	0.65	16.9	В	Change Intersection Control from Unsignalized
Southbound	ĽΤ	0.03	10.2	B			15.0	В		0.03	10.3	В	to Signalized
Westbound	LTR	0.30	28.2	D			917.1	F+		0.29	23.1	Č	to digitalizad
Eastbound			15.5	Č			31.0	D+		0.20		Č	
									Int.		17.0	В	
Twelfth Aver	nue @	St. Cla	air Plac	e									
Eastbound	Т		10.9	В	Т		11.9	В	Т		24.9	С	Change Intersection Control from Unsignalized
Northbound	R		16.9	С	R		21.3	С	R		42.5	D	to Signalized
Southbound	L		9.0	Α	L		9.2	Α	L	0.07	32.2	С	
	Int.		15.3	С	Int.		18.6	С	Int.		37.7	D	
Riverside Dr				-	LITO	0.47	7.0			0.70	40.0	_	laka a sa kata a sa ƙasar Sa a sa kata ƙasar Ila da a ƙasar Isa a ƙarar Isa a
Eastbound Southbound	LTR LT	0.14 0.45	7.8 39.4	A E		0.17	7.9 56.8	A F+	LTR LT	0.78 0.31	12.6 34.6	B C	Change Intersection Control from Unsignalized
Southbound	T	0.45	39.4 34.6	D			50.8	F+	LI	0.31	34.6	C	to Signalized
	'	0.30	34.0	D	'	0.47	50.1	FŦ	Int.		15.5	В	
West 125th S	Stroot (ന Wമ	t 120th	Stro	ot/St C	lair E	laco*		1116.		10.0		
Westbound	L	0.13	96.9	F	L			F+	L	0.01	10.9	В	Change Intersection Control from Unsignalized
	Ŕ	0.86	46.1	Ē	R			F+	Ŕ		17.6	D	to Signalized
Eastbound	Ĺ	0.03	117.5	F	L			- +	L	0.00	10.8	В	
	R	0.69	23.5	С	R	1.77	396.4	F+	R	0.86	37.2	В	
Northbound	Т				Т				Т	0.89	38.0	D	
Southbound	Т				Т				Т	0.43		C	
Nata a .									Int.		30.6	С	

L = Left Turn; T = Through; R = Right Turn; DefL = Defacto Left Turn; Int. = Intersection
V/C = Volume to Capacity; LOS = Level of Service; --- = No results given in HCS; + indicates movements with significant impacts in Build *= The unsignalized intersection analysis procedure, which assumes random arrival, breaks down with high major or minor street volumes and

reports exaggerated levels of stop delays. As such, its results are not necessarily indicative of actual conditions, where gaps created by adjacent signalized intersections often provide additional throughput capacity and result in lower stop delays.

Table M-18 Comparison of 2030 No Build, Build, and Mitigated Build PM Peak Hour Conditions Unsignalized Intersection Level-of-Service Analysis

								OII	-				ection Level-01-Service Analysis
			o Build				Build				igatio		
	P	M Pea	k Hour			/ Pea	k Hour	•			k Hou		
Intercetion	Lane	l	Delay		Lane		Delay		Lane		Delay	'l	Changes
Intersection						V/C	(spv)	LOS	Group	V/C	(spv)	LOS	Changes
Marginal Stre								_					1
Westbound		0.21	10.6	В	L		11.0	В	L		33.6	С	Change Intersection Control from Unsignalized
Southbound	Т	1.22	<u>125.9</u>	F	Т	<u>1.27</u>	<u>145.7</u>	F+	T	1.02	<u>47.8</u>	D	to Signalized
Manaina LOta	4@	14/	100	34					Int.		<u>45.8</u>	D	
Marginal Stre Westbound	eer @	vvest	132na 3 10.0		L		10.8	В	L	0.27	23.7	С	Change Intersection Control from Unsignalized
Southbound	LT		96.5	<u>A</u> F	LT		161.8	F+	LT	0.27	30.2	C	to Signalized
Southbound	T		10.5	В	T		11.8	В	L.	0.33	50.2		to Signalized
	Int.		70.2	F	Int.		111.4	F	Int.		29.7	С	
Marginal Stre		St. Cla						•	1116.				L
Southbound	L		10.8	В	l L	0.34	11.0	В	L	0.18	10.3	В	Change Intersection Control from Unsignalized
	T		128.5	F	T		199.0	F+	LT	0.72		В	to Signalized
	-			-	-			-	Int.		16.5	В	10 0.9
Twelfth Aver	nue @	West	131st S	treet									
Northbound	LT	0.02	7.8	Α	LT	0.03		Α	LTR	0.89	27.4	С	Change Intersection Control from Unsignalized
Southbound	LT	0.07	12.1	В	LR	0.05	12.4	В	LTR	0.19	10.8	В	to Signalized
Westbound	LTR	0.94	105.9	F	LTR		354.8	F+	LTR	0.56	28.5	С	
Eastbound	LTR	0.05	19.9	С	LTR	0.08	26.4	D	LTR	0.03	19.7	В	
	_								Int.		25.2	С	
Twelfth Aver				-			40.0	_		0.40	00.0	_	Observation Control from Haringelined
Eastbound	T		11.9	В	T R		<u>12.8</u>	B C	T	0.49		С	Change Intersection Control from Unsignalized
Northbound Southbound	R L		<u>14.4</u> 9.0	B A	L		<u>16.8</u> 9.2	A	R L	0.77 0.06	34.2 32.0	C	to Signalized
Southbound	Int.		9.0 13.5	В	Int.		9.2 15.3	C	Int.	0.00	31.6	C	
Riverside Dr		St Cla			IIIL.		10.0		IIIL.		91.0		
Eastbound		0.19	8.0	Α	LTR	0.22	8.1	Α	LTR	0.72	10.8	В	Change Intersection Control from Unsignalized
Southbound	LT	0.47	41.4	Ē	LT	0.46		F+	LT	0.23	33.6	Č	to Signalized
	T	0.30	39.0	Ē	T	0.40	53.1	F+					
				_					Int.		13.3	В	
West 125th S	Street				et/St. C	lair F	Place <u>*</u>						
Westbound	L		12,119	F	L			- <u>+</u> F <u>+</u> - <u>+</u>	L	0.04	15.5	В	Change Intersection Control from Unsignalized
	R	2.29	621.2	F	R	=	=	F <u>±</u>	R		40.2	D	to Signalized
Eastbound	L			F	L			<u>- +</u>	L	0.01	<u>15.1</u>	В	
	R	0.96	<u>74.8</u>	F	R	=	=	<u>- +</u>	R	0.93	<u>58.4</u>	트	
Northbound	T								T	0.95	38.9	₽	
Southbound	T								T	0.49	<u>17.8</u>		
									Int.		36.3	U	

Notes

Twelfth Avenue and West 133rd Street

During the AM peak hour, restriping northbound Twelfth Avenue as one 16-foot left-turn lane and one 14-foot left-through-right shared lane would be necessary. In addition, daylighting the West 133rd Street approach and shifting the centerline to provide two 10-foot westbound left-through-right shared lanes would be necessary. With these changes, the northbound left-turn movement would improve from LOS F (149.5 seconds of delay, 1.25 v/c ratio) to LOS E (66.2 seconds of delay, 1.04 v/c ratio). The westbound approach would improve from LOS F (81.1 seconds of delay, 1.04 v/c ratio) to LOS C (25.0 seconds of delay, 0.49 v/c ratio).

During the midday peak hour, restriping northbound Twelfth Avenue as one 16-foot left-turn lane and one 14-foot left-through-right shared lane would be necessary. In addition, daylighting the West 133rd Street approach and shifting the centerline to provide two 10-foot westbound

L = Left Turn; T = Through; R = Right Turn; DefL = Defacto Left Turn; Int. = Intersection

V/C = Volume to Capacity; LOS = Level of Service; --- = No results given in HCS; + indicates movements with significant impacts in Build condition:

^{*=} The unsignalized intersection analysis procedure, which assumes random arrival, breaks down with high major or minor street volumes and reports exaggerated levels of stop delays. As such, its results are not necessarily indicative of actual conditions, where gaps created by adjacent signalized intersections often provide additional throughput capacity and result in lower stop delays.

<u>left-through-right shared lanes would be necessary. With these changes, the westbound approach would improve from LOS D (51.9 seconds of delay, 0.91 v/c ratio) to LOS C (24.0 seconds of delay, 0.43 v/c ratio).</u>

During the PM peak hour, restriping northbound Twelfth Avenue as one 16-foot left-turn lane and one 14-foot left-through-right shared lane would be necessary. In addition, daylighting the West 133rd Street approach, shifting the westbound centerline to provide two 10-foot westbound left-through-right shared lanes, and shifting 5 seconds of green time from the eastbound/westbound phase to the northbound/southbound phase would be necessary. With these changes, the northbound left-turn movement would improve from LOS F (173.6 seconds of delay, 1.31 v/c ratio) to LOS D (45.0 seconds of delay, 0.97 v/c ratio), and the northbound left-through-right movement would improve from LOS E (57.4 seconds of delay, 1.00 v/c ratio) to LOS D (42.7 seconds of delay, 0.96 v/c ratio). The westbound approach would improve from LOS F (390.9 seconds of delay, 1.78 v/c ratio) to LOS E (64.2 seconds of delay, 1.01 v/c ratio).

Twelfth Avenue and West 132nd Street

During the AM peak hour, shifting the centerline 6 feet to the north and restriping eastbound West 132nd Street to provide two 10-foot left-through-right lanes would be necessary to improve the eastbound approach from LOS F (225.1 seconds of delay, 1.41 v/c ratio) to LOS D (38.5 seconds of delay, 0.86 v/c ratio).

During the MD and PM peak hours, the above restriping would not materially alter the intersection's operating levels.

Twelfth Avenue and West 125th Street

During the AM peak hour, restriping westbound West 125th Street to provide one left-turn lane, two through-right lanes, and one right-turn lane, along with shifting 3 seconds of green time from Twelfth Avenue to West 125th Street would be necessary. With these changes, the westbound right-turn lane would improve from LOS F (229.0 seconds of delay, 1.44 v/c ratio) to LOS E (64.4 seconds of delay, 1.02 v/c ratio).

During the midday peak hour, restriping the westbound West 125th Street approach to provide one left-turn lane, two through-right lanes, and one right-turn lane, as well as shifting 14 seconds of green time from Twelfth Avenue to West 125th Street, would improve the westbound right-turn lane from LOS F (161.6 seconds of delay, 1.28 v/c ratio) to LOS E (59.3 seconds of delay, 1.04 v/c ratio).

During the PM peak hour, restriping the westbound West 125th Street approach to provide one left-turn lane, two through-right lanes, and one right-turn lane would improve the westbound right-turn lane within LOS F (from 250.0 seconds of delay, 1.49 v/c ratio to 103.3 seconds of delay, 1.14 v/c ratio).

Broadway Northbound and West 133rd Street

During the PM peak period, a 2-second shift of green time from northbound Broadway to westbound West 133rd Street, along with restricting parking on the west side of northbound Broadway would be necessary. With these changes, the westbound through-right movement would improve from LOS E (60.0 seconds of delay, 0.97 v/c ratio) to LOS D (47.4 seconds of delay, 0.92 v/c ratio).

Broadway and West 130th Street

During the PM peak hour, daylighting and restriping the eastbound approach of West 130th Street to provide one left-turn lane, one left-right shared lane, and one right-turn lane would improve the eastbound left-right movement from LOS F (268.3 seconds of delay, 1.50 v/c ratio) to a left-turn lane operating at LOS C (29.2 seconds of delay, 0.44 v/c ratio), a left-right lane operating at LOS C (34.2 seconds of delay, 0.64 v/c ratio), and a right-turn lane operating at LOS C (32.0 seconds of delay, 0.48 v/c ratio).

Broadway and West 125th Street

The recommended mitigation measures at this intersection involve daylighting the southbound, eastbound, and westbound approaches, restriping the southbound approach to provide a third through lane, restriping the eastbound and westbound approaches to provide exclusive right-turn lanes, shifting centerline by 2 feet 9 inches along the western leg of the intersection, restricting parking on the westbound receiving leg, and modifying the traffic signal to allow for the eastbound right-turn movement during the northbound only phase and the westbound right-turn movement during the southbound only phase. In addition, shifting 2 seconds of green time from the southbound only and 4 seconds of green time from the east-west to the northbound only phase during the AM and PM peak hours, and shifting 2 seconds of green time from the east-west to the northbound only phase during the midday peak hour would be required.

During the AM peak hour, the above would improve the eastbound through-right lane group from LOS E (74.2 seconds of delay, 1.04 v/c ratio) to LOS C for the through lanes (29.3 seconds of delay, 0.57 v/c ratio) and LOS B for the right-turn lane (16.1 seconds of delay, 0.64 v/c ratio). The westbound left-turn lane would improve within LOS F (from 343.3 seconds of delay, 1.60 v/c ratio to 186.2 seconds of delay, 1.23 v/c ratio). The westbound through-right lane group would improve from LOS F (208.3 seconds of delay, 1.38 v/c ratio) to LOS E for the through lanes (55.2 seconds of delay, 0.97 v/c ratio) and LOS C for the right-turn lane (20.2 seconds of delay, 0.65 v/c ratio). The northbound right-turn lane would improve from LOS F (116.5 seconds of delay, 1.02 v/c ratio) to LOS D (43.4 seconds of delay, 0.68 v/c ratio) and the southbound left-through-right lane group would improve from LOS F (151.2 seconds of delay, 1.23 v/c ratio) to LOS D (49.1 seconds of delay and 0.92 v/c ratio).

During the midday peak hour, the above would improve the eastbound left-turn lane from LOS F (80.7 seconds of delay, 0.85 v/c ratio) to LOS D (38.3 seconds of delay, 0.56 v/c ratio). The eastbound through-right lane group would improve from LOS F (108.8 seconds of delay, 1.14 v/c ratio) to LOS C for the through lanes (27.1 seconds of delay, 0.53 v/c ratio) and LOS C for the right-turn lane (23.5 seconds of delay, 0.77 v/c ratio). The westbound left-turn lane would improve from LOS F (140.8 seconds of delay, 1.04 v/c ratio) to LOS D (48.8 seconds of delay, 0.65 v/c ratio). The westbound through-right lane group would improve from LOS E (63.6 seconds of delay, 1.01 v/c ratio) to LOS C for the through lanes (29.6 seconds of delay, 0.64 v/c ratio) and LOS B for the right-turn lane (12.8 seconds of delay, 0.48 v/c ratio). The northbound right-turn lane would improve from LOS F (81.9 seconds of delay, 0.90 v/c ratio) to LOS D (53.4 seconds of delay, 0.74 v/c ratio) and the southbound left-through-right lane group would improve from LOS E (73.1 seconds of delay, 1.02 v/c ratio) to LOS C (35.0 seconds of delay and 0.69 v/c ratio).

During the PM peak hour, the above would improve the eastbound through-right lane group from LOS F (154.9 seconds of delay, 1.26 v/c ratio) to LOS C for the through lanes (31.4 seconds of delay, 0.68 v/c ratio) and LOS C for the right-turn lane (30.8 seconds of delay, 0.86

v/c ratio). The westbound right-turn lane would improve from LOS F (83.3 seconds of delay, 0.98 v/c ratio) to LOS B (15.7 seconds of delay, 0.51 v/c ratio). The northbound left-through lanes would improve from LOS E (70.7 seconds of delay, 1.01 v/c ratio) to LOS D (37.0 seconds of delay, 0.81 v/c ratio) and the northbound right-turn lane would improve from LOS F (115.8 seconds of delay, 1.02 v/c ratio) to LOS D (44.7 seconds of delay, 0.69 v/c ratio). The southbound left-through-right lane group would improve from LOS F (135.8 seconds of delay, 1.19 v/c ratio) to LOS D (51.3 seconds of delay and 0.92 v/c ratio).

Signalizing the intersections listed below would fully mitigate the projected significant adverse impacts at each of these intersections.

- Marginal Street and West 133rd Street
- Marginal Street and West 132nd Street
- Marginal Street and St. Clair Place
- Twelfth Avenue and West 131st Street
- Twelfth Avenue and St. Clair Place
- Riverside Drive and St. Clair Place
- West 125th and West 129th Street/St. Clair Place

TRANSIT AND PEDESTRIANS

INTRODUCTION

The future 2015 and 2030 scenarios without the project-related transportation improvements were evaluated to identify the potential impacts on transit and pedestrian facilities in the vicinity of the Project Area. Since the project-related improvements would only affect vehicular and pedestrian circulation, operating conditions at study area transit facilities would not be different from those described in Chapter 18, "Transit and Pedestrians," and, therefore, are not discussed further. Operating conditions of the affected pedestrian elements are presented below.

For the purpose of the future with the Proposed Actions (Build condition) analysis without the project-related improvements, operating conditions of the affected pedestrian elements were assessed. The key improvements affecting pedestrian circulation that were excluded from this analysis are:

- Installation of a traffic signal and crosswalk at the intersection of West 125th Street and West 129th Street/St. Clair Place. The new traffic signal would include a pedestrian-only phase. This crosswalk would improve pedestrian travel between the Project Area, the 125th Street No. 1 subway station, and the Morningside Heights campus to the south. The crosswalk would connect to the "central spine" of the Manhattanville university area.
- Installation of new traffic signals and crosswalks at midblock locations on West 130th, West 131st, and West 132nd Streets between Broadway and Twelfth Avenue to provide connection among different sections of the pedestrian pathway or "spine" spanning between West 125th/West 129th Street and West 132nd Street.
- Crosswalk widenings throughout the study area.

PRINCIPAL CONCLUSIONS

Analysis results show that no significant pedestrian impacts would be expected to occur with the Proposed Actions in the 2015 Build year, absent the above-described improvements. However, the Proposed Actions, when fully completed in 2030 and absent the project-related transportation improvements, would result in significant adverse pedestrian impacts at the following locations and peak periods:

- The west crosswalk of Broadway and West 125th Street in the AM, midday, and PM peak periods;
- The west crosswalk of Broadway and West 129th Street in the midday and PM peak periods; and,
- The west crosswalk of Broadway and West 130th Street in the midday and PM peak periods.

These significant adverse pedestrian impacts would not occur with the Proposed Actions with project-related improvements. Potential measures to mitigate the significant adverse impacts identified above are presented at the end of this section.

2015 FUTURE WITH THE PROPOSED ACTIONS

The future 2015 Build condition would include the completion of five Columbia University buildings between West 125th and West 131st Streets, in addition to the developments projected for Subdistricts B, C, and the Other Areas. Because the central pedestrian spine between Broadway and Twelfth Avenue from West 125th Street to West 133rd Street would not have been completed at this time, pedestrian circulation patterns under the future conditions with and without project-related improvements would be similar. Based on the criteria discussed in Chapter 18, the Proposed Actions without project-related improvements would not result in significant adverse sidewalk, corner, or crosswalk impacts in the 2015 Build condition, with all analysis locations operating at LOS C or better. Tables M-19, M-20, and M-21 illustrate projected operating levels for study area sidewalks. Service levels for corner reservoirs and crosswalks are presented in Tables M-22 and M-23, respectively.

Table M-19 2015 Build Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Sidewalks

2013 Bunu C			15-Minute		rage		oon
		Width	Two-Way		•		
Location	Sidewalk	(feet)	Volume	PFM	LOS	PFM	LOS
	AM Peak F	Period					
W.131st St between Twelfth Av and Broadway	North	11	28	0.2	Α	4.2	Α
(near Twelfth)	South	13	16	0.1	Α	4.1	Α
Broadway between W.132nd St and W.131st St	West	23	34	0.1	Α	4.1	Α
	East	23	64	0.2	Α	4.2	Α
Broadway between W.131st St and W.130th St	West	18	231	0.9	Α	4.9	Α
	East	17	142	0.6	Α	4.6	Α
W.131st St between Twelfth Av and Broadway	North	12	140	8.0	Α	4.8	Α
(near Broadway)	South	8	22	0.2	Α	4.2	Α
W.130th St between Twelfth Av and Broadway	North	10	69	0.5	Α	4.5	Α
(near Broadway)	South	15	98	0.4	Α	4.4	Α
Twelfth Av between W.131st/W.130th St and	West	19	10	0.0	Α	4.0	Α
W.125th St	East	16	58	0.2	Α	4.2	Α
W.130th St between Twelfth Av and Broadway	North	15	35	0.2	Α	4.2	Α
(near Twelfth)	South	13	44	0.2	Α	4.2	Α
W.125th St between Twelfth Av and W.129th St	North	14	259	1.2	Α	5.2	В
	South	14	32	0.2	Α	4.2	Α
Broadway between W.130th St and W.129th St	West	19	125	0.4	Α	4.4	Α
	East	15	80	0.4	Α	4.4	Α
Broadway between W.129th St and W.125th St	West	17	213	8.0	Α	4.8	Α
	East	13	174	0.9	Α	4.9	Α
W. 129th St between W. 125th Street and	North	15	15	0.1	Α	4.1	Α
Broadway	South	15	68	0.3	Α	4.3	Α
W.125th St between Broadway and Amsterdam	North	13	164	8.0	Α	4.8	Α
Av	South	7	235	2.2	Α	6.2	В
Broadway between W.125th St and Tiemann Pl	East	10	393	2.6	Α	6.6	В
	West	10	336	2.2	Α	6.2	В
W.125th St between W.129th St and Broadway	South	14	262	1.2	Α	5.2	В
	North	13	317	1.6	Α	5.6	В
Note: PFM = pedestrians per foot per minute							

Table M-20 2015 Build Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Sidewalks

2015 Build C	onatuon	: Peaest	rian LUS	Anary	/SIS 10	r Side	waik
		Effective Width	15-Minute Two-Way	Ave	rage	Plat	oon
Location	Sidewalk	(feet)	Volume	PFM	LOS	PFM	LOS
	Midday Peal	k Period					
W.131st St between Twelfth Av and Broadway	North	11	20	0.1	Α	4.1	Α
(near Twelfth)	South	13	12	0.1	Α	4.1	Α
Broadway between W.132nd St and W.131st St	West	23	30	0.1	Α	4.1	Α
	East	23	43	0.1	Α	4.1	Α
Broadway between W.131st St and W.130th St	West	18	213	8.0	Α	4.8	Α
	East	17	185	0.7	Α	4.7	Α
W.131st St between Twelfth Av and Broadway	North	12	88	0.5	Α	4.5	Α
(near Broadway)	South	8	20	0.2	Α	4.2	Α
W.130th St between Twelfth Av and Broadway	North	10	105	0.7	Α	4.7	Α
(near Broadway)	South	15	76	0.3	Α	4.3	Α
Twelfth Av between W.131st/W.130th St and	West	19	12	0.0	Α	4.0	Α
W.125th St	East	16	86	0.4	Α	4.4	Α
W.130th St between Twelfth Av and Broadway	North	15	12	0.1	Α	4.1	Α
(near Twelfth)	South	13	56	0.3	Α	4.3	Α
W.125th St between Twelfth Av and W.129th St	North	14	357	1.7	Α	5.7	В
	South	14	46	0.2	Α	4.2	Α
Broadway between W.130th St and W.129th St	West	19	90	0.3	Α	4.3	Α
	East	15	80	0.4	Α	4.4	Α
Broadway between W.129th St and W.125th St	West	17	177	0.7	Α	4.7	Α
	East	13	164	8.0	Α	4.8	Α
W. 129th St between W. 125th Street and	North	15	33	0.1	Α	4.1	Α
Broadway	South	15	50	0.2	Α	4.2	Α
W.125th St between Broadway and Amsterdam	North	13	150	0.8	Α	4.8	Α
Av	South	7	261	2.5	Α	6.5	В
Broadway between W.125th St and Tiemann Pl	East	10	314	2.1	Α	6.1	В
	West	10	370	2.5	Α .	6.5	B
W.125th St between W.129th St and Broadway	South	14	333	1.6	A	5.6	В
	North	13	144	0.7	Α	4.7	Α
Note: PFM = pedestrians per foot per minute							

Table M-21 2015 Build Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Sidewalks

2015 Build C			15-Minute	•	rage		oon
		Width	Two-Way				
Location	Sidewalk	(feet)	Volume	PFM	LOS	PFM	LOS
	PM Peak F	Period					
W.131st St between Twelfth Av and Broadway	North	11	27	0.2	Α	4.2	Α
(near Twelfth)	South	13	8	0.0	Α	4.0	Α
Broadway between W.132nd St and W.131st St	West	23	44	0.1	Α	4.1	Α
	East	23	66	0.2	Α	4.2	Α
Broadway between W.131st St and W.130th St	West	18	250	0.9	Α	4.9	Α
	East	17	182	0.7	Α	4.7	Α
W.131st St between Twelfth Av and Broadway	North	12	112	0.6	Α	4.6	Α
(near Broadway)	South	8	35	0.3	Α	4.3	Α
W.130th St between Twelfth Av and Broadway	North	10	105	0.7	Α	4.7	Α
(near Broadway)	South	15	97	0.4	Α	4.4	Α
Twelfth Av between W.131st/W.130th St and	West	19	20	0.1	Α	4.1	Α
W.125th St	East	16	107	0.4	Α	4.4	Α
W.130th St between Twelfth Av and Broadway	North	15	35	0.2	Α	4.2	Α
(near Twelfth)	South	13	44	0.2	Α	4.2	Α
W.125th St between Twelfth Av and W.129th St	North	14	341	1.6	Α	5.6	В
	South	14	32	0.2	Α	4.2	Α
Broadway between W.130th St and W.129th St	West	19	137	0.5	Α	4.5	Α
	East	15	102	0.5	Α	4.5	Α
Broadway between W.129th St and W.125th St	West	17	257	1.0	Α	5.0	В
	East	13	201	1.0	Α	5.0	В
W. 129th St between W. 125th Street and	North	15	55	0.2	Α	4.2	Α
Broadway	South	15	44	0.2	A	4.2	<u>A</u>
W.125th St between Broadway and Amsterdam	North	13	196	1.0	Α	5.0	В
Av	South	7	317	3.0	Α	7.0	С
Broadway between W.125th St and Tiemann Pl	East	10	373	2.5	Α	6.5	В
W 40511 OLL 1 W 40011 OL 15	West	10	439	2.9	A	6.9	В
W.125th St between W.129th St and Broadway	South	14	334	1.6	A	5.6	В
N . 551	North	13	138	0.7	Α	4.7	Α
Note: PFM = pedestrians per foot per minute							

Table M-22 2015 Build Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Corner Reservoirs

		AM Peal	k Period	Midday Pe	ak Period	PM Pea	k Period
Location	Corner	SFP	LOS	SFP	LOS	SFP	LOS
Broadway and W.131st St	Northeast	287.6	Α	266.7	Α	284.9	Α
	Southeast	285.6	Α	220.4	Α	249.7	Α
	Southwest	151.7	Α	158.3	Α	131.3	Α
	Northwest	233.0	Α	251.5	Α	202.1	Α
Broadway and W.130th St	Southwest	208.3	Α	197.5	Α	182.9	Α
-	Northwest	289.7	Α	274.0	Α	255.8	Α
Twelfth Av and W.125th St	Northeast	465.3	Α	357.9	Α	301.0	Α
	Southeast	1278.2	Α	1499.6	Α	979.9	Α
	Southwest	1240.2	Α	1082.7	Α	879.9	Α
	Northwest	1156.7	Α	1073.6	Α	723.3	Α
Broadway and W.129th St	Northeast	242.6	Α	193.0	Α	171.7	Α
	Southeast	187.4	Α	156.3	Α	161.9	Α
	Southwest	206.2	Α	228.6	Α	183.0	Α
	Northwest	361.7	Α	372.6	Α	312.4	Α
Broadway and W.125th St	Northeast	105.5	Α	81.0	Α	82.6	Α
	Southeast	78.1	Α	69.2	Α	74.6	Α
	Southwest	108.0	Α	116.7	Α	117.3	Α
	Northwest	105.8	Α	98.7	Α	89.3	Α
Note: SFP = square feet per p	edestrian						

Table M-23 2015 Build Condition: Pedestrian Crosswalk LOS Analysis

		Street	Crosswalk	C	ondition	ns with co	onflictin	g vehicle	es
		Width	Width	Al	М	Mid	day	Р	М
Location	Crosswalk	(feet)	(feet)	SFP	LOS	SFP	LOS	SFP	LOS
Broadway and W.131st St	North	102	11	292.4	Α	274.4	Α	235.8	Α
•	East	52	14	144.7	Α	114.8	Α	129.0	Α
	South	109	11	380.1	Α	270.7	Α	365.7	Α
	West	34	19	119.6	Α	130.9	Α	102.6	Α
Broadway and W.130th St	North	110	11	299.4	Α	151.9	Α	243.2	Α
	South	110	11	256.3	Α	153.4	Α	208.3	Α
	West	29	11	71.0	Α	75.0	Α	63.5	Α
Twelfth Av and W.125th St	North	110	12	642.6	Α	607.2	Α	403.6	Α
	East	70	12	548.6	Α	854.1	Α	345.5	Α
	South	134	11	1209.8	Α	1082.4	Α	979.6	Α
	West	70	12	424.5	Α	363.8	Α	272.6	Α
Broadway and W.129th St	North	110	11	283.2	Α	197.0	Α	196.3	Α
	East	50	15	119.1	Α	107.6	Α	107.1	Α
	South	115	15	292.9	Α	173.2	Α	208.8	Α
	West	30	15	104.0	Α	137.0	Α	90.7	Α
Broadway and W.125th St	North	118	17	97.2	Α	61.7	Α	85.3	Α
-	East	70	13	34.2	С	29.2	С	31.0	С
	South	118	14	79.5	Α	62.7	Α	78.2	Α
	West	70	19	36.0	С	41.4	В	33.1	С
Note: SFP = square feet per pedes	strian								

2030 FUTURE WITH THE PROPOSED ACTIONS

The future 2030 Build condition would include the completion of all Columbia University buildings between West 125th and West 134th Streets, in addition to the developments projected for Subdistricts B, C, and the Other Areas. Under the future conditions without project-related

improvements, access to the completed buildings would not realize the full benefit of the central pedestrian spine absent the controlled crossings at West 125th and West 129th Street/St. Clair Place, and at the midblock locations along West 130th, West 131st, and West 132nd Streets between Broadway and Twelfth Avenue. Instead, pedestrian flow is expected to be more concentrated along Broadway, particularly at and near West 125th Street. Based on the criteria discussed in Chapter 18, the Proposed Actions without project-related improvements would result in significant adverse pedestrian impacts in the 2030 Build condition at the following locations and peak periods:

- The west crosswalk of Broadway and West 125th Street in the AM, midday, and PM peak periods;
- The west crosswalk of Broadway and West 129th Street in the midday and PM peak periods; and,
- The west crosswalk of Broadway and West 130th Street in the midday and PM peak periods.

These significant adverse pedestrian impacts would not occur with the Proposed Actions with project-related improvements. Tables M-24, M-25, and M-26 illustrate projected operating levels for the study area sidewalks. Service levels for corner reservoirs and crosswalks are presented in Tables M-27 and M-28, respectively.

Table M-24 2030 Build Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Sidewalks

2030 Build C	onaition:			•			
		Effective Width	15-Minute Two-Way	Ave	rage	Plat	oon
Location	Sidewalk	(feet)	Volume	PFM	LOS	PFM	LOS
	AM Peak P	eriod					
Twelfth Av between W.134th St and W.133rd St	West	18	17	0.1	Α	4.1	Α
	East	16	24	0.1	Α	4.1	Α
W.133rd St between Twelfth Av and Broadway	North	6	19	0.2	Α	4.2	Α
(near Twelfth)	South	11	41	0.2	Α	4.2	A
Broadway between W.134th St and W.133rd St	West	25	151	0.4	Α	4.4	Α
	East	21	364	1.2	Α	5.2	В
Broadway between W.133rd St and W.132nd St	West	19	337	1.2	Α	5.2	В
	East	22	251	8.0	Α	4.8	Α
W. 133rd St between Twelfth Av and Broadway	North	16	16	0.1	Α	4.1	Α
(near Broadway)	South	6	70	0.8	A	4.8	Α
Twelfth Av between W.133rd St and W.132nd St	West	11	17	0.1	Α	4.1	Α
W 400 10(1) (T 10)	East	12	27	0.2	A	4.2	A
W.132nd St between Twelfth Av and Broadway	North	14	132	0.6	A	4.6	Α
(near Twelfth)	South	14	23	0.1	A	4.1	A
W.132nd St between Twelfth Av and Broadway	North	8	155	1.3	Α	5.3	В
(near Broadway)	South	9	53	0.4	Α	4.4	Α
Twelfth Av between W.132nd St and W.131st St	West	16	12	0.1	Α	4.1	Α
	East	16	124	0.5	Α	4.5	Α
W.131st St between Twelfth Av and Broadway	North	11	113	0.7	Α	4.7	Α
(near Twelfth)	South	13	44	0.2	Α	4.2	Α
Broadway between W.132nd St and W.131st St	West	23	379	1.1	Α	5.1	В
	East	23	222	0.6	Α	4.6	Α
Broadway between W.131st St and W.130th St	West	18	652	2.4	Α	6.4	В
	East	17	186	0.7	Α	4.7	Α
W.131st St between Twelfth Av and Broadway	North	12	136	8.0	Α	4.8	Α
(near Broadway)	South	8	40	0.3	Α	4.3	Α
W.130th St between Twelfth Av and Broadway	North	10	35	0.2	Α	4.2	Α
(near Broadway)	South	15	16	0.1	Α	4.1	Α
Twelfth Av between W.131st/W.130th St and	West	19	10	0.0	Α	4.0	Α
W.125th St	East	16	88	0.4	Α	4.4	Α
W.130th St between Twelfth Av and Broadway	North	15	35	0.2	Α	4.2	Α
(near Twelfth)	South	13	44	0.2	Α	4.2	Α
W.125th St between Twelfth Av and W.129th St	North	14	297	1.4	Α	5.4	В
	South	14	45	0.2	A	4.2	Α
Broadway between W.130th St and W.129th St	West	19	680	2.4	Α	6.4	В
	East	15	186	8.0	Α	4.8	Α
Broadway between W.129th St and W.125th St	West	17	685	2.7	Α	6.7	В
	East	13	263	1.3	Α	5.3	В
W. 129th St between W. 125th Street and	North	15	82	0.4	Α	4.4	Α
Broadway	South	15	11	0.0	Α	4.0	<u>A</u>
W.125th St between Broadway and Amsterdam	North	13	251	1.3	Α	5.3	В
Av	South	7	265	2.5	A	6.5	В
Broadway between W.125th St and Tiemann Pl	East	10	732	4.9	A	8.9	С
M 40511 011 1 M 40011 01 15	West	10	415	2.8	A	6.8	<u>B</u>
W.125th St between W.129th St and Broadway	South	14 12	285	1.4	A	5.4 5.5	В
Note DEM production () ()	North	13	302	1.5	Α	5.5	В
Note: PFM = pedestrians per foot per minute							

Table M-25 2030 Build Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Sidewalks

2030 Build C	ondition:	Pedest	rian LOS	<u>Anal</u>	ysis to		
		Effective Width	15-Minute Two-Way	Ave	rage	Plat	oon
Location	Sidewalk	(feet)	Volume	PFM	LOS	PFM	LOS
	Midday Peak						
Twelfth Av between W.134th St and W.133rd St	West	18	34	0.1	Α	4.1	Α
	East	16	30	0.1	Α	4.1	Α
W.133rd St between Twelfth Av and Broadway	North	6	37	0.4	Α	4.4	Α
(near Twelfth)	South	11	29	0.2	A	4.2	A
Broadway between W.134th St and W.133rd St	West	25	115	0.3	Α	4.3	Α
D 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	East	21	405	1.3	A	5.3	<u>B</u>
Broadway between W.133rd St and W.132nd St	West	19	248	0.9	A	4.9	A
IN 122rd Ct hat was True lifth Avenue of Dreadway	East	22	283	0.9	A 	4.9	A
W. 133rd St between Twelfth Av and Broadway (near Broadway)	North South	16 6	26 99	0.1 1.1		4.1 5.1	A B
Twelfth Av between W.133rd St and W.132nd St	West	11	25	0.2	A A	4.2	<u>Б</u>
TWEITH AV DELWEET W. 13314 St and W. 13214 St	East	12	29	0.2	A	4.2	A
W.132nd St between Twelfth Av and Broadway	North	14	101	0.5	A	4.5	A
(near Twelfth)	South	14	15	0.1	A	4.1	A
W.132nd St between Twelfth Av and Broadway	North	8	99	0.8	A	4.8	A
(near Broadway)	South	9	41	0.3	A	4.3	A
Twelfth Av between W.132nd St and W.131st St	West	16	10	0.0	A	4.0	A
	East	16	104	0.4	Α	4.4	Α
W.131st St between Twelfth Av and Broadway	North	11	136	0.8	Α	4.8	Α
(near Twelfth)	South	13	66	0.3	Α	4.3	Α
Broadway between W.132nd St and W.131st St	West	23	438	1.3	Α	5.3	В
	East	23	271	8.0	Α	4.8	Α
Broadway between W.131st St and W.130th St	West	18	705	2.6	Α	6.6	В
	East	17	232	0.9	Α	4.9	Α
W.131st St between Twelfth Av and Broadway	North	12	155	0.9	Α	4.9	Α
(near Broadway)	South	8	61	0.5	Α	4.5	Α
W.130th St between Twelfth Av and Broadway	North	10	32	0.2	Α	4.2	Α
(near Broadway)	South	15	12	0.1	Α	4.1	Α
Twelfth Av between W.131st/W.130th St and	West	19	12	0.0	Α	4.0	Α
W.125th St	East	16	168	0.7	A	4.7	A
W.130th St between Twelfth Av and Broadway	North	15	12	0.1	Α	4.1	Α
(near Twelfth)	South	13	56	0.3	Α	4.3	Α
W.125th St between Twelfth Av and W.129th St	North	14	333	1.6	Α	5.6	В
	South	14	71	0.3	Α	4.3	A
Broadway between W.130th St and W.129th St	West	19	754	2.6	A	6.6	В
December 1 105 1	East	15	247	1.1	A	5.1	<u>B</u>
Broadway between W.129th St and W.125th St	West	17 12	675	2.6	A	6.6	В
W. 129th St between W. 125th Street and	East	13 15	278 71	0.3	A 	5.4 4.3	<u>В</u> А
Broadway	North South	15	20	0.3 0.1		4.3 4.1	A
W.125th St between Broadway and Amsterdam	North	13	294	1.5	<u>А</u> А	5.5	A B
Av	South	7	326	3.1		7.1	С
Broadway between W.125th St and Tiemann PI	East	10	564	3.8	A 	7.1	C
5.5dd.ray between W. 120th of and Hemailli I	West	10	469	3.1	A	7.1	C
W.125th St between W.129th St and Broadway	South	14	281	1.3	A	5.3	В
	North	13	184	0.9	Α	4.9	Ā
Note: PFM = pedestrians per foot per minute							

Table M-26 2030 Build Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Sidewalks

2030 Build C				•			
		Effective Width	15-Minute Two-Way	Ave	rage	Plat	oon
Location	Sidewalk	(feet)	Volume	PFM	LOS	PFM	LOS
	PM Peak P	eriod					
Twelfth Av between W.134th St and W.133rd St	West	18	47	0.2	Α	4.2	Α
	East	16	53	0.2	Α	4.2	Α
W.133rd St between Twelfth Av and Broadway	North	6	34	0.4	Α	4.4	Α
(near Twelfth)	South	11	56	0.3	Α	4.3	Α
Broadway between W.134th St and W.133rd St	West	25	172	0.5	Α	4.5	A
	East	21	444	1.4	Α	5.4	В
Broadway between W.133rd St and W.132nd St	West	19	368	1.3	Α	5.3	В
	East	22	356	1.1	A	5.1	В
W. 133rd St between Twelfth Av and Broadway	North	16	29	0.1	Α	4.1	A
(near Broadway)	South	6	83	0.9	A	4.9	A
Twelfth Av between W.133rd St and W.132nd St	West	11	33	0.2	A	4.2	A
W 132nd St botwoon Twolfth Av and Broadway	<u>East</u>	12 14	39 135	0.2	A 	4.2	A A
W.132nd St between Twelfth Av and Broadway (near Twelfth)	North South	14	135	0.6		4.6 4.1	A
W.132nd St between Twelfth Av and Broadway	North	8	156	1.3	A	5.3	B
(near Broadway)	South	9	51	0.4	A	5.5 4.4	A
Twelfth Av between W.132nd St and W.131st St	West	 16	16	0.4		4.1	A
Twentin Av between W. 132nd St and W. 131st St	East	16	130	0.5	A	4.5	A
W.131st St between Twelfth Av and Broadway	North	11	113	0.7		4.7	A
(near Twelfth)	South	13	36	0.2	A	4.2	A
Broadway between W.132nd St and W.131st St	West	23	417	1.2	A	5.2	В
Bloadway between W. 10211a of and W. 1010f of	East	23	261	0.8	A	4.8	A
Broadway between W.131st St and W.130th St	West	18	683	2.5	A	6.5	В
2.000.00	East	17	226	0.9	Α	4.9	Ā
W.131st St between Twelfth Av and Broadway	North	12	137	0.8	Α	4.8	Α
(near Broadway)	South	8	31	0.3	Α	4.3	Α
W.130th St between Twelfth Av and Broadway	North	10	37	0.2	A	4.2	A
(near Broadway)	South	15	19	0.1	Α	4.1	Α
Twelfth Av between W.131st/W.130th St and	West	19	20	0.1	Α	4.1	Α
W.125th St	East	16	144	0.6	Α	4.6	Α
W.130th St between Twelfth Av and Broadway	North	15	35	0.2	Α	4.2	Α
(near Twelfth)	South	13	44	0.2	Α	4.2	Α
W.125th St between Twelfth Av and W.129th St	North	14	383	1.8	Α	5.8	В
	South	14	45	0.2	Α	4.2	Α
Broadway between W.130th St and W.129th St	West	19	736	2.6	Α	6.6	В
	East	15	238	1.1	Α	5.1	В
Broadway between W.129th St and W.125th St	West	17	755	3.0	Α	7.0	В
	East	13	300	1.5	Α	5.5	В
W. 129th St between W. 125th Street and	North	15	87	0.4	Α	4.4	Α
Broadway	South	15	13	0.1	Α	4.1	Α
W.125th St between Broadway and Amsterdam	North	13	295	1.5	Α	5.5	В
Av	South	7	371	3.5	Α	7.5	С
Broadway between W.125th St and Tiemann Pl	East	10	744	5.0	Α	9.0	С
W 40-11 04 4 4 10 10 4 1- 1	West	10	529	3.5	Α	7.5	C
W.125th St between W.129th St and Broadway	South North	14 13	304 157	1.4 0.8	A A	5.4 4.8	B A
Note: PFM = pedestrians per foot per minute							
The second second							

Table M-27 2030 Build Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Corner Reservoirs

	_	AM Peal	k Period	Midday Pe	ak Period	PM Peal	k Period
Location	Corner	SFP	LOS	SFP	LOS	SFP	LOS
Twelfth Av and W.133rd St	Northeast	1460.0	Α	1088.8	Α	756.9	Α
	Southeast	1012.3	Α	810.5	Α	521.2	Α
	Southwest	1470.8	Α	1034.7	Α	643.0	Α
	Northwest	3280.1	Α	2055.6	Α	1383.3	Α
Broadway and W.133rd St	Northeast	177.2	Α	200.9	Α	165.3	Α
	Southeast	235.7	Α	212.3	Α	195.0	Α
	Southwest	167.3	Α	203.8	Α	151.4	Α
	Northwest	188.8	Α	290.6	Α	178.3	Α
Twelfth Av and W.132nd St	Northeast	609.7	Α	775.0	Α	467.7	Α
	Southeast	630.1	Α	527.4	Α	479.0	Α
	Southwest	1186.3	Α	619.1	Α	1091.8	Α
	Northwest	1234.2	Α	976.9	Α	937.2	Α
Broadway and W.132nd St	Southwest	184.7	Α	172.2	Α	181.4	Α
	Northwest	168.8	Α	157.7	Α	155.0	Α
Broadway and W. 131st St	Northeast	277.0	Α	206.5	Α	233.3	Α
	Southeast	245.7	Α	197.0	Α	224.8	Α
	Southwest	63.8	Α	58.7	В	65.6	Α
	Northwest	113.3	Α	99.2	Α	97.1	Α
Broadway and W.130th St	Southwest	76.6	Α	71.7	Α	76.9	Α
	Northwest	111.2	Α	101.2	Α	98.6	Α
Twelfth Av and W.125th St	Northeast	299.2	Α	286.8	Α	222.0	Α
	Southeast	835.8	Α	1317.9	Α	937.2	Α
	Southwest	1240.2	Α	1082.7	Α	879.9	Α
	Northwest	1156.7	Α	1073.6	Α	723.3	Α
Broadway and W.129th St	Northeast	146.2	Α	109.5	Α	121.0	Α
	Southeast	121.5	Α	93.3	Α	108.8	Α
	Southwest	55.0	В	53.7	В	58.2	В
	Northwest	101.4	Α	86.7	Α	83.4	Α
Broadway and W.125th St	Northeast	81.7	Α	63.8	Α	68.1	Α
-	Southeast	62.6	Α	53.7	В	60.5	Α
	Southwest	40.9	В	42.4	В	47.8	В
	Northwest	50.0	В	41.9	В	30.4	С
Note: SFP = square feet per p	edestrian						

Table M-28 2030 Build Condition: Pedestrian Crosswalk LOS Analysis

		Street	Crosswalk			ns with co			
		Width	Width	ΑI	M	Mide	day	PI	VI
Location	Crosswalk	(feet)	(feet)	SFP	LOS	SFP	LOS	SFP	LOS
Twelfth Av and W.133rd St	North	60	10	1611.9	Α	998.6	Α	1337.3	Α
	East	38	18	1934.0	Α	2058.0	Α	901.2	Α
	South	60	10	345.9	Α	257.5	Α	180.2	Α
	West	30	13	1319.7	Α	839.4	Α	460.7	Α
Broadway and W.133rd St	North	103	10	61.6	Α	92.0	Α	64.1	Α
	East	30	24	146.7	Α	120.8	Α	105.5	Α
	South	103	11	131.4	Α	141.2	Α	151.4	Α
	West	38	17	104.6	Α	153.3	Α	101.1	Α
Twelfth Av and W.132nd St	North	60	13	502.4	Α	583.8	Α	424.6	Α
	East	30	16	451.5	Α	585.7	Α	329.2	Α
	South	60	13	697.1	Α	235.8	Α	523.3	Α
	West	30	14	1192.9	Α	707.8	Α	868.7	Α
Broadway and W.132nd St	North	102	13	797.1	Α	957.3	Α	730.0	Α
j	South	102	14	416.8	Α	246.3	Α	339.4	Α
	West	30	14	51.7	В	47.2	В	43.9	В
Broadway and W.131st St	North	102	11	430.2	Α	312.9	Α	312.2	Α
,	East	52	14	115.9	Α	94.1	Α	105.0	Α
	South	109	11	453.5	Α	396.3	Α	869.6	Α
	West	34	19	53.3	В	43.6	В	40.5	В
Broadway and W.130th St	North	110	11	1246.3	Α	665.5	Α	950.2	Α
,	South	110	11	296.5	Α	167.0	Α	286.3	Α
	West	29	11	25.1	С	19.1	D*	16.3	D*
Twelfth Av and W.125th St	North	110	12	618.1	Α	596.6	Α	396.6	Α
	East	70	12	240.0	Α	591.3	Α	316.7	Α
	South	134	11	1209.8	Α	1082.4	Α	979.6	Α
	West	70	12	424.5	Α	363.8	Α	272.6	Α
Broadway and W.129th St	North	110	11	173.2	Α	111.0	Α	124.6	Α
.,	East	50	15	72.9	Α	63.1	Α	69.4	Α
	South	115	15	197.0	Α	104.5	Α	142.1	Α
	West	30	15	24.4	C	19.0	D*	15.8	D*
Broadway and W.125th St	North	118	17	74.9	Ā	49.8	В	68.1	A
	East	70	13	28.4	С	27.6	С	26.9	С
	South	118	14	51.1	В	33.0	C	44.2	В
	West	70	19	14.98	E*	14.6	E*	11.2	E*
Note: SFP = square feet per pedes	strian. * denotes s	significant	adverse impact						

2030 MITIGATION

Each of the projected significant adverse crosswalk impacts identified above could be mitigated with crosswalk widening, as follows.

Broadway and West 130th Street—West Crosswalk

The significant adverse impacts projected for the midday and PM peak hours could be mitigated by widening this crosswalk 2 feet, from 11 feet to 13 feet.

Broadway and West 129th Street—West Crosswalk

The significant adverse impacts projected for the midday and PM peak hours could be mitigated by widening this crosswalk 3 feet, from 15 feet to 18 feet.

Broadway and West 125th Street—West Crosswalk

The significant adverse impacts projected for the AM, midday, and PM peak hours could be mitigated by widening this crosswalk 12 feet, from 19 feet to 31 feet.

AIR QUALITY

As presented in Chapter 19, "Air Quality," the analysis of air quality effects of the Proposed Actions with project-related transportation improvements showed that the maximum predicted carbon monoxide (CO) and particulate matter (PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$) concentrations would not result in any significant adverse air quality impacts. This section considers the effects on air quality of the Proposed Actions without the proposed transportation improvements.

This section consists of an analysis of potential mobile source air quality impacts at receptor sites 1 and 3 for CO, and the two sites analyzed for PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} for the Proposed Actions with improvements (receptor sites 2 and 3). The CO receptor sites were selected for analysis because they were the locations in the primary traffic study area that had the highest No Build and Build concentrations based upon the analyses of the Proposed Actions with proposed traffic improvements. At other locations, the No Build and Build concentrations were lower and/or the receptor sites were situated in the secondary study area, outside of where build traffic improvements were proposed.

The tables presented below illustrate the maximum predicted pollutant concentrations with the Proposed Actions without the proposed traffic improvements. Tables M-29 and M-30 summarize the maximum CO build concentrations with and without improvements for the 2015 and 2030 analysis years, respectively. Tables M-31 and M-32 show the results of the PM_{10} 24-hour analyses for the 2015 and 2030 analysis years, with and without the proposed traffic improvements, respectively. Tables M-33 and M-34 summarize the maximum 24-hour $PM_{2.5}$ increments for the 2015 and 2030 analysis years, with and without the proposed traffic improvements, respectively, while Tables M-35 and M-36 show the future maximum predicted 2015 and 2030 annual average $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations, respectively.

The values shown are the highest predicted concentrations for the analyzed receptor locations. The results show that without the proposed traffic improvements, future concentrations of pollutants with the Proposed Actions would be below the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) and would not result in any significant adverse air quality impacts using the *de minimis* criteria for CO impacts or PM_{2.5} interim guidance criteria.

Table M-29
Future (2015) Maximum Predicted 8-Hour Average Carbon Monoxide
Build with and without Improvements (parts per million)

			8-Hour Concentration (ppm)	
Receptor Site	Location	Time Period	Build with Improvements	Build without Improvements
1	Twelfth Avenue and West 133rd Street	PM	<u>3.8</u>	<u>3.7</u>
3	Broadway and West 125th Street	PM	<u>3.9</u>	<u>3.9</u>
Note:	ndard is 9 ppm.			

Table M-30
Future (2030) Maximum Predicted 8-Hour Average Carbon Monoxide
Build with and without Improvements (parts per million)

			8-Hour Concentration (ppm) (1)	
Receptor Site	Location	Time Period	Build with Improvements	Build without Improvements
1	Twelfth Avenue and West 133rd Street	PM	<u>3.8</u>	<u>3.7</u>
3	Broadway and West 125th Street	PM	<u>4.0</u>	<u>4.1</u>

 $\begin{array}{c} Table\ M\text{-}31 \\ Future\ (2015)\ Maximum\ Predicted\ 24\text{-}Hour\ PM_{10} \\ Build\ with\ and\ without\ Improvements\ (\mu g/m^3) \end{array}$

Receptor Site	Location	Build with Improvements	Build without Improvements			
2	Broadway and West 133rd Street	<u>67.61</u>	<u>67.22</u>			
3	Broadway and West 125th Street	<u>71.31</u>	<u>72.23</u>			
Note: National Ambient Air Quality Standards—24-hour, 150 μg/m ³ .						

 $\begin{array}{c} Table\ M\text{-}32 \\ Future\ (2030)\ Maximum\ Predicted\ 24\text{-}Hour\ PM_{10} \\ Build\ with\ and\ without\ Improvements\ (\mu g/m^3) \end{array}$

Receptor Site	Location	Build with Improvements	Build without Improvements				
2	Broadway and West 133rd Street	68.24	<u>68.27</u>				
3	Broadway and West 125th Street	72.18	73.20				
Note:							
National Ambient Air Quality Standards—24-hour, 150 μg/m ³ .							

Table M-33
Future (2015) Maximum Predicted 24-Hour PM_{2.5} Increment Build with and without Improvements (µg/m³)

Receptor Site	Location	Build with Improvements	Build without Improvements		
2	Broadway and West 133rd Street	0.09	-0.03		
3	Broadway and West 125th Street	0.01	<u>0.003</u>		
Note: PM _{2.5} interim guidance criteria—24-hour average, 5 μg/m ³ .					

Table M-34
Future (2030) Maximum Predicted 24-Hour PM_{2.5} Increment
Build with and without Improvements (ug/m³)

Receptor Site	Location	Build with Improvements	Build without Improvements		
2	Broadway and West 133rd Street	<u>0.09</u>	<u>-0.03</u>		
3	Broadway and West 125th Street	<u>0.03</u>	<u>0.03</u>		
Note: PM _{2.5} interim guidance criteria—24-hour average, 5 μg/m ³ .					

Table M-35 Future (2015) Maximum Predicted Annual Average $PM_{2.5}$ Increment Build with and without Improvements ($\mu g/m^3$)

Receptor Site	Location	Build with Improvements	Build without Improvements		
2	Broadway and West 133rd Street	<u>-0.001</u>	-0.002		
3	Broadway and West 125th Street	<u>-0.001</u>	0.003		
Note: PM _{2.5} interim guidance criteria—annual (neighborhood scale), 0.1 μg/m ³ .					

Table M-36 Future (2030) Maximum Predicted Annual Average PM_{2.5} Increment Build with and without Improvements (µg/m³)

Receptor Site	Location	Build with Improvements	Build without Improvements			
2	Broadway and West 133rd Street	<u>-0.001</u>	<u>0.005</u>			
3	Broadway and West 125th Street	0.00	<u>0.01</u>			
Note: PM _{2.5} interim guidance criteria—annual (neighborhood scale), 0.1 μg/m ³ .						

NOISE

In Chapter 20, "Noise," potential impacts are presented for the Proposed Actions with the transportation improvements proposed as part of the Proposed Actions. This section considers the effects on noise receptors of the Proposed Actions without the proposed transportation improvements. For this analysis, potential noise impacts are examined at receptor sites 6, 10, and 13. These three noise receptor sites were selected for analysis because they are the locations which, based upon the analyses of the Proposed Actions with the transportation improvements, have the largest incremental change in noise levels (i.e., comparing Build with No Build values).

Tables M-37 and M-38 show the results of the analyses for the 2015 and 2030 analysis years, both with and without the proposed transportation improvements.

Table M-37 2015 Build $L_{eq(1)}$ Noise Levels

		Time	No Without Build With Improvements Improveme	With Improvements			
Site	Location	Period		Build	Increase	Build	Increase
6	Twelfth Av. between	AM	75.4	<u>76.4</u>	<u>1.0</u>	75.8	0.4
	W. 131st & W. 132nd Sts	PM	<u>68.6</u>	<u>69.4</u>	<u>0.8</u>	68.7	<u>0.1</u>
10	W. 125th St. between Twelfth Av. & St. Clair Pl.	AM	<u>70.6</u>	73.6	3.0	69.4	<u>-1.2</u>
		PM	70.1	74.9	4.8	69.4	<u>-0.7</u>
13	Bway between Tiemann	AM	77.1	76.5	<u>-0.6</u>	77.2	<u>0.1</u>
	Pl. & W. 125th St.	PM	76.1	<u>75.8</u>	<u>-0.3</u>	76.4	0.3
Note: Noise levels in bold denotes values that exceed CEQR significant impact criteria.							

		Time	No Build	With Improvements		Without Improvements	
Site	Location	Period		Build	Increase	Build	Increase
6	Twelfth Av. between W. 131st & W. 132nd Sts	AM	75.7	<u>77.3</u>	<u>1.6</u>	<u>76.6</u>	0.9
		PM	68.1	<u>69.6</u>	1.5	69.4	1.3
10	W. 125th St. between Twelfth Av. & St. Clair Pl.	AM	<u>69.9</u>	<u>73.7</u>	<u>3.8</u>	<u>70.1</u>	0.2
		PM	69.8	<u>75.5</u>	5.7	69.4	-0.4
13	Bway between Tiemann	AM	77.5	77.1	<u>-0.4</u>	78.0	0.5
	Pl. & W. 125th St.	PM	76.2	76.4	0.2	76.9	0.7
Note: N	Note: Noise levels in bold denotes values that exceed CEQR significant impact criteria.						

At Site 6, the differences in noise levels, comparing the Build without improvements and the Build with improvements, would be relatively small (i.e., less than 1 dBA), and due to the differences in the traffic assignments for the two cases.

At Site 10, the differences in noise levels, comparing the Build with improvements and the Build without improvements, would be significant (i.e., more than 3 dBA). The differences are principally due to two factors: the Build without improvements does not contain the midblock traffic light on West 125th Street between Broadway and Twelfth Avenue, and differences in speed between the two cases. Build without traffic improvements noise levels are less than No Build values because of the decrease in speed that is due to project-generated traffic for the Build without traffic improvements case. This analysis shows that eliminating the midblock traffic light, which facilitates pedestrian crossings at this location, would eliminate the significant adverse noise impact at this location; however, it would result in a potentially unsafe and dangerous pedestrian condition.

At Site 13, the differences in noise levels, comparing the Build without improvements and the Build with improvements, would be relatively small (i.e., less than 1 dBA). These changes are principally due to changes in roadway geometry. (This location is the only location where there would be a significant geometry change due to proposed traffic improvements.)

In conclusion, with one exception, Build noise levels, both with and without the proposed transportation improvements, would be comparable. The exception would be Site 10, where the midblock crossing traffic light that is part of the transportation improvements proposed as part of the Proposed Actions would result in a significant adverse noise impact. For Build conditions without the traffic improvements (i.e., without the midblock traffic signal), no significant adverse noise impacts would occur at this location.