# East New York Rezoning Proposal Chapter 15: Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Climate Change

## A. INTRODUCTION

As noted in the *City Environmental Quality Review* (CEQR) *Technical Manual*, increased concentrations of greenhouse gases (GHGs) are changing the global climate, resulting in wide-ranging effects on the environment, including rising sea levels, increases in temperature, and changes in precipitation levels. Although this is occurring on a global scale, the environmental effects of climate change are also likely to be felt at the local level. Through PlaNYC, New York City's long-term sustainability program, the City advances sustainability initiatives and goals to both greatly reduce GHG emissions and increase the City's resilience to climate change. The goal to reduce citywide GHG emissions to 30 percent below 2005 levels by 2030 was codified by Local Law 22 of 2008, known as the New York City Climate Protection Act (the "GHG reduction goal"). This goal was developed for the purpose of planning for an increase in population of almost one million residents while achieving significant greenhouse gas reductions. Subsequently, the City committed to an 80 percent reduction in greenhouse gases in by the year 2050 ("80 by 50"). On November 13, 2014, the City Council passed a bill to reduce citywide greenhouse gas emissions by 80 percent by 2050 (INT. 378) and it was adopted on December 14, 2014.

The contribution of a proposed project's GHG emissions to global GHG emissions is likely to be considered insignificant when measured against the scale and magnitude of global climate change. However, certain projects' contribution of GHG emissions still should be analyzed to determine their consistency with the City's GHG reduction goal, which is currently the most appropriate standard by which to analyze a project under CEQR. The GHG consistency assessment focuses on those projects that have the greatest potential to produce GHG emissions that may result in inconsistencies with the GHG reduction goal to a degree considered significant. The CEQR Technical Manual recommends that a GHG consistency assessment be conducted for any project resulting in 350,000 square feet (sf) or more of development, and other energy-intense projects.

The Proposed Actions are expected to facilitate the construction of new multi-unit residential buildings, commercial, community facility, and mixed-use buildings, as well as the conversion and/or enlargement of several existing buildings, which are expected to result in the development of approximately <u>9.08</u> million sf<u>on 81 projected development sites</u>. Therefore, a GHG consistency assessment is warranted. GHG emissions that would be generated as a result of the Proposed Actions are presented in this chapter, along with an assessment of the Proposed Actions' consistency with the citywide GHG reduction goal.

## **B. PRINCIPAL CONCLUSIONS**

It is estimated that the reasonable worst case development scenario (RWCDS) associated with the Proposed Actions would result in approximately  $\underline{68,093}$  total metric tons carbon dioxide equivalent (CO<sub>2</sub>e) of annual emissions from building operations and  $\underline{39,309}$  metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub>e emissions from mobile sources annually, for an annual total of approximately  $\underline{107,402}$  metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub>e emissions. This represents less than 0.22 percent of the City's overall 2013 GHG emissions of approximately 48.02 million metric tons. It should also be noted that the estimated GHG emissions for the Proposed Actions conservatively do not account for any energy efficiency measures that may be implemented by individual developments on projected development sites.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pursuant to CEQR, the GHG assessment is based on the total GHG emissions associated with a project, rather than the relative increment of a project's GHG emissions as compared to a No-Action scenario.

The Proposed Actions would advance New York City's GHG reduction goals by virtue of their nature and location. By revitalizing and reinforcing the rezoning area, which is served by 13 New York City Transit (NYCT) subway stations, ten local bus routes, and one commuter rail station, the Proposed Actions support transit-oriented development in New York City. Further, the new buildings facilitated by the Proposed Actions, which would replace existing structures or vacant lots, would be subject to the New York City Energy Conservation Code (NYCECC), which governs performance requirements of heating, ventilation, and air conditioning systems, as well as the exterior building envelope of new buildings. In compliance with this code, new development resulting from the Proposed Actions must meet standards for energy efficiency. Therefore, the Proposed Actions would be consistent with the applicable City's emissions reduction goals of transit-oriented development and construction of new resource- and energy-efficient buildings.

The rezoning area is located beyond the 100- and 500-year flood zones, and therefore is not susceptible to storm surge and coastal flooding. It is also located beyond the 100- and 500-year projections developed by the New York City Panel on Climate Change (NPCC) for the 2020s and 2050s. Accordingly, an assessment of the effects of climate change on the Proposed Actions is not warranted.

## C. RECOGNIZED GREENHOUSE GASES

GHGs are those gaseous constituents of the atmosphere, both natural and anthropogenic, that absorb and emit radiation at specific wavelengths within the spectrum of infrared radiation emitted by the Earth's surface, the atmosphere, and clouds. This property causes the general warming of the Earth's atmosphere, or the "greenhouse effect." Some GHGs, such as carbon dioxide, occur naturally and are emitted into the atmosphere through natural processes and human activities. The principal GHGs emitted as a result of human activities are described below.

# Carbon Dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>)

Carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ) enters the atmosphere via the combustion of fossil fuels (oil, natural gas, and coal), solid waste, trees, and wood products, and also as a result of other chemical reactions (e.g., manufacture of cement).  $CO_2$  is also removed from the atmosphere (or "sequestered") when it is absorbed by plants as part of the biological carbon cycle. Although not the GHG with the strongest effect per molecule,  $CO_2$  is by far the most abundant and, therefore, the most influential GHG.

# Methane (CH<sub>4</sub>)

Methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) is emitted during the production and transport of coal, natural gas, and oil. Methane emissions also result from livestock and other agricultural practices, as well as by the decay of organic waste in municipal solid waste landfills. Methane, in addition to nitrous oxide (noted below), play an important role since the removal processes for these compounds are limited and they have a relatively high impact on global climate change as compared to an equal quantity of CO<sub>2</sub>.

# Nitrous Oxide (N₂O)

Nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) is emitted during agricultural and industrial activities, as well as during the combustion of fossil fuels and solid waste.

## **Fluorinated Gases**

Hydrofluorocarbons, perfluorocarbons, and sulfur hexafluoride are powerful synthetic greenhouse gases that are emitted from a variety of industrial processes. Fluorinated gases are sometimes used as substitutes for ozone-

depleting substances (e.g., chlorofluorocarbons [CFCs], hydrochlorofluorocarbons [HCFCs], and halons). These gases are typically emitted in smaller quantities. However, because they are potent greenhouse gases, they are sometimes referred to as High Global Warming Potential gases (High GWP gases).

The CEQR Technical Manual lists six GHGs that could potentially be included in the scope of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS):  $CO_2$ ,  $N_2O$ , methane, Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), Perfluorocarbons (PFCs), and Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF<sub>6</sub>). This analysis focused on  $CO_2$ ,  $N_2O$ , and methane as there are no significant direct or indirect sources of HFCs, PFCs, or SF<sub>6</sub> associated with the Proposed Actions.

GHGs differ in their ability to trap heat. To compare emissions of GHGs, compilers use a weighting factor called a Global Warming Potential (GWP), where the heat-trapping ability of one metric ton (1,000 kilograms (kg)) of  $CO_2$  is taken as the standard, and emissions are expressed in terms of  $CO_2$  equivalents ( $CO_2$ e), but can also be expressed in terms of carbon equivalents. The GWPs for the main GHGs are presented in Table 15-1.

TABLE 15-1
Global Warming Potential for Primary Greenhouse Gases

Greenhouse Gas	Common sources	Global Warming Potential
CO <sub>2</sub> - Carbon Dioxide	Fossil fuel combustion, forest clearing, cement production	1
CH <sub>4</sub> - Methane	Landfills, production and distribution of natural gas and petroleum, anaerobic digestion, rice cultivation, fossil fuel combustion	21
N₂O - Nitrous Oxide	Fossil fuel combustion, fertilizers, nylon production, manure	310
HFCs - Hydrofluorocarbons	Refrigeration gases, aluminum smelting, semiconductor manufacturing	140-11,700*
PFCs - Perfluorocarbons	Aluminum production, semiconductor manufacturing	6,500-9,200*
SF <sub>6</sub> - Sulfur Hexafluoride	Electrical transmissions and distribution systems, circuit breakers, magnesium production	23,900

Notes: Since the Second Assessment Report (SAR) was published in 1995, the International Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) has published updated GWP values in its Third Assessment Report (TAR) and Fourth Assessment Report (AR4) that reflect new information on atmospheric lifetimes of greenhouse gases and an improved calculation of the radiative forcing of CO<sub>2</sub>. However, GWP values from the SAR are still used by international convention to maintain consistency in GHG reporting, including by the United States when reporting under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change.

# D. METHODOLOGY

## **Greenhouse Gas Emissions**

New York City determined that consideration of GHG emissions is appropriate under CEQR for at least certain projects for several reasons: (a) greenhouse gas emission levels may be directly affected by a project's effect on energy use; (b) the U.S. Supreme Court has upheld the determination that carbon dioxide, one of the main greenhouse gases, is an air pollutant, subject to regulation as defined by the Clean Air Act; and (c) Local Law 22 of 2008 codified PlaNYC's citywide GHG emissions reduction goal of 30 percent below 2005 levels by 2030; moreover, the City has also adopted a longer-term goal of reducing emissions to 80 percent below 2005 levels by 2050, and has published a study evaluating the potential for achieving that goal. In accordance with the CEQR Technical Manual, the GHG consistency assessment focuses on proposed actions that would result in development of 350,000 sf or greater and other energy-intense projects. As previously stated, the Proposed Actions are projected to result in approximately 9.08 million sf of residential, commercial, industrial, community facility and mixed use development at 81 projected development sites spread throughout the 190-block proposed rezoning area.

A project's GHG emissions can generally be assessed in two steps: the first would be to estimate the GHG emissions resulting from the Proposed Actions and the second would be to examine the Proposed Actions in terms of the

The GWPs of HFCs and PFCs vary depending on the specific compound emitted. A full list of these GWPs is available in Table ES-1 of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's *Inventory of Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990-2008*, available at: <a href="http://epa.gov/climatechange/emissions/usinventoryreport.html">http://epa.gov/climatechange/emissions/usinventoryreport.html</a>.

qualitative goals for reducing GHG emissions, as defined in the *CEQR Technical Manual*. A project's emissions are estimated with respect to the following main emissions sources: on-site operational emissions (direct and indirect); mobile source emissions (direct and indirect); and, when applicable, construction emissions and emissions from solid waste management. After the emissions are estimated, the source of GHG emissions are examined in terms of goals for reducing GHG emissions using qualitative considerations. As defined in the *CEQR Technical Manual*, the qualitative goals that should be assessed, as relevant to the Proposed Actions are: (1) pursuing transit-oriented development; (2) generating clean, renewable power; (3) constructing new resource- and energy-efficient buildings and/or improving the efficiency of existing buildings; and (4) encouraging sustainable transportation.

Operational emissions and mobile source emissions were considered for this analysis. Pursuant to *CEQR Technical Manual* methodology, the assessment is based on the total GHG emissions associated with the Proposed Actions, rather than the relative increment of the Proposed Actions' GHG emissions as compared to the No-Action condition. Given the lack of specific construction sequences and data for the projected development sites, and as the construction phase is not likely to be a significant part of total emissions resulting from the Proposed Actions, emissions associated with construction of the Proposed Actions have been described qualitatively based on other similar analyses for large building construction. Similarly, because the Proposed Actions are not expected to fundamentally change the City's solid waste management system, an estimate of emissions from solid waste management is not warranted.

## **Building Operational Emissions**

According to the *CEQR Technical Manual*, for projects such as a proposed rezoning action, where the action would result in construction on sites that are not under the control of an applicant and where details such as the specific fuel type to be used are unknown, annual GHG emissions should be estimated based on a project's anticipated future floor area. Table 18-3 of the *CEQR Technical Manual* provides the carbon intensities of New York City building types, which were used to calculate annual operations emissions of the Proposed Actions' RWCDS projected developments.

#### **Mobile Source Emissions**

The number of annual weekday vehicle trips by mode (cars, taxis, and trucks) that would be generated by the Proposed Actions was calculated using the transportation planning assumptions developed for the traffic analysis and presented in Chapter 13, "Transportation." The assumptions used in the calculation include average daily weekday and Saturday person trips and delivery trips by use, the percentage of vehicle trips by mode, and the average vehicle occupancy. To calculate annual totals, the number of trips on Sundays was assumed to be the same as on Saturdays. Average one-way trip distances as shown in Tables 18-6 and 18-7 of the CEQR Technical Manual were used in the calculations of annual vehicle miles traveled by cars and taxis. The average truck trip was assumed to be 38 miles as per the CEQR Technical Manual. Table 18-8 of the CEQR Technical Manual was used to determine the percentage of vehicle miles traveled by road type.

The projected annual vehicle miles traveled under the RWCDS for the Proposed Actions, forming the basis for the GHG emissions calculations from mobile sources, are summarized in Table 15-2. The mobile GHG emissions calculator was used to obtain an estimate of car, taxi, and truck GHG emissions attributable to the Proposed Actions.

TABLE 15-2
Proposed Actions' (RWCDS) Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled (miles per year)

Use	Passenger Vehicles	Taxis	Trucks	Total
Residential	30,597,641	860,276	4,752,545	36,210,462
Local Retail	2,190,764	862,749	3,379,493	6,433,006
Office	3,776,871	214,298	731,919	4,723,088
Medical Office	4,601,983	604,640	568,670	5,775,293
Light Industrial	1,214,536	74,861	915,419	2,204,816
Restaurant	1,574,137	493,964	3,217,840	5,285,941
Supermarket	356,992	621,118	216,183	1,194,293
Pre-K	268,308	0	168,605	436,913
Community center	406,449	203,051	943,160	1,552,660
House of Worship	68,196	32,458	319,009	419,663
PS/IS School (w/o Pre-K)	686,951	0	446,310	1,133,261
Total Increase in VMT	45,742,828	3,967,415	15,659,153	65,369,396

#### **Construction Emissions**

Consistent with common CEQR practice, emissions associated with construction under the RWCDS have not been estimated explicitly for the Proposed Actions, but analyses prepared for development projects in New York City<sup>2</sup> have shown that construction emissions (both direct and emissions embedded in the production of materials, including on-site construction equipment, delivery trucks, and upstream emissions from the production of steel, rebar, aluminum, and cement used for construction) would be equivalent to the total operational emissions from the operation of the buildings over approximately five to ten years. Annualized emissions associated with construction were found to represent approximately three to 7.6 percent of the overall annual emissions for such projects.

## **Emissions from Solid Waste Management**

The Proposed Actions would not change the City's solid waste management system. Therefore, pursuant to *CEQR Technical Manual* guidelines, GHG emissions from solid waste generation, transportation, treatment, and disposal are not quantified.

# **Climate Change**

According to the CEQR Technical Manual, depending on a project's sensitivity, location, and useful life, it may be appropriate to provide a qualitative discussion of the potential effects of climate change on a proposed project in environmental review. Rising sea levels and increases in storm surge and coastal flooding are the most immediate threats in New York City for which site-specific conditions can be assessed, and an analysis of climate change may be deemed warranted for projects at sites located within the 100- or 500-year flood zone. Based on the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) issued in December 2013, the rezoning area is located beyond the 100- and 500-year flood zones, and is also located beyond the NPCC 100-and 500-year projections for the 2020s and 2050s. Therefore, the rezoning area is not susceptible to storm surge and coastal flooding, and an assessment of climate change is not warranted.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Examples include GHG analyses prepared for the EISs for Riverside Center, the Domino Sugar Rezoning, and Western Rail Yard.

## E. PROJECTED GHG EMISSIONS FROM THE PROPOSED ACTIONS

# **Operational Emissions**

Table 15-3 displays the estimated GHG emissions associated with the operation emissions of the projected development sites resulting from the Proposed Actions under the RWCDS. As shown in the table, operational GHG emissions are estimated to be approximately <u>68,093</u> metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalents. This represents less than 0.14 percent of the City's overall 2013 GHG emissions of approximately 48.02 million metric tons.<sup>3</sup> It should be noted that the estimated GHG emissions for the Proposed Actions conservatively do not account for any energy efficiency measures that may be implemented by individual developments on projected development sites.

TABLE 15-3
Annual Operational Emissions – RWCDS

Building Type	CTM Carbon Intensity Rates [kg Carbon Dioxide	RWCDS Projected Sites Floor Area (sq. ft)	GHG Emissions (kg)	GHG Emissions (metric tons)
Commercial	9.43	1,283,989	12,108,016	<u>12,108</u>
Industrial	23.18	98,851	2,291,366	2,291
Institutional	11.42	614,842	7,021,496	7,021
Large Residential (>4 family)	6.59	<u>7,082,257</u>	46,672,074	<u>46,672</u>
Small Residential (1-4 family	4.52	0	0	0
Total Operation	<u>9,079,939</u>	<u>68,092,952</u>	<u>68,093</u>	

#### Notes:

Commercial = Office, Retail, Restaurant, Supermarket

Institutional = Medical Office, House of Worship, Community Center, Pre-K, PS/IS School

1 metric ton = 1,000 kg

## **Mobile Source Emissions**

The number of annual weekday motorized vehicle trips by mode (cars, taxis and trucks) that would be generated by the projected developments under the Proposed Actions was calculated using the transportation planning assumptions developed for the traffic analysis and presented in Chapter 13, "Transportation." The assumptions used in the calculation include average daily weekday and Saturday person trips and delivery trips by proposed use, the percentage of vehicle trips by mode, and the average vehicle occupancy. To calculate annual totals, the number of trips on Sundays was assumed to be the same as on Saturdays. As stated in Section D, "Methodology," above, annual vehicle miles traveled by cars, taxis, and trucks were calculated in accordance with CEQR Technical Manual guidelines. As presented in Table 15-2 above, it is estimated that the vehicle trips generated by the projected developments under the Proposed Actions would travel a total of 65,369,396 miles annually; annual passenger vehicle miles would total 45,742,828, annual taxi vehicle miles would total 3,967,415, and annual truck trip miles would total 15,659,153.

The mobile GHG emissions calculator was used to obtain an estimate of car, taxi, and truck GHG emissions attributable to the Proposed Actions. As shown Table 15-4, annual mobile source emissions related to the Proposed Actions would result in approximately <u>39,309.41</u> metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalents.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> City of New York, *Inventory of New York City Greenhouse Gas Emissions, November 2014,* by Cathy Pasion, Mikael Amar and Michael Delaney. Mayor's Office of Long-Term Planning and Sustainability, New York, 2014.

TABLE 15-4
Annual Mobile Source Emissions for 2030 – RWCDS

Carbon Dioxide Equivalent (CO₂e) Emissions (metric tons/year)				
Road type	Passenger Vehicles	Taxis	Trucks	Total
Local	<u>3,599.17</u>	<u>280.35</u>	<u>5,911.03</u>	<u>9,790.55</u>
Arterial	<u>6,940.62</u>	<u>538.78</u>	<u>10,325.48</u>	<u>17,804.88</u>
Interstate/Expressway	<u>4,662.64</u>	<u>355.74</u>	<u>6,695.60</u>	<u>11,713.98</u>
Total	<u>15,202.43</u>	<u>1,174.87</u>	<u>22,932.11</u>	<u>39,309.41</u>

# **Summary**

The total projected GHG emissions from the projected developments under the Proposed Actions are shown in Table 15-5 below. The estimated total of 107,402 metric tons of GHG emissions is approximately 0.22 percent of New York City's 2013 annual total of 48.02 million metric tons. As noted above, the estimated operational GHG emissions for the Proposed Actions conservatively do not include any additional energy efficiency measures that may be implemented by individual developments on projected development sites. As described in Section D, "Methodology," above, construction emissions were not modeled explicitly, but are estimated to be equivalent to approximately five to ten years of operational emissions, including both direct energy and emissions embedded in materials (extraction, production, and transport). The Proposed Actions are not expected to change the City's solid waste management system, and therefore emissions associated with solid waste are not presented.

TABLE 15-5
Summary of Total Annual GHG Emissions from Projected
Developments Under the Proposed Actions

Emissions Source	CO₂e Emissions (metric tons)
Operations	<u>68,093</u>
Mobile Sources	<u>39,309</u>
Total	<u>107,402</u>

# **Consistency with the GHG Reduction Goal**

According to the CEQR Technical Manual, the assessment of consistency with the City GHG reduction goal should answer the following question: "Is the project consistent with the goal of reducing GHG emissions, specifically the attainment of the City's established GHG reduction goal of reducing citywide GHG emissions by 30 percent below 2005 levels by 2030?" To determine consistency with the City's overall GHG reduction goal, one is to assess consistency with the four major goals as cited in the CEQR Technical Manual, as relevant to the project:

- Pursue transit-oriented development;
- Generate clean renewable power through replacement of inefficient power plants with state-of-the-art technology and expanding the use of clean distributed generation (not applicable in the case of this Proposed Actions);
- Construct new resource- and energy-efficient buildings (including the use of sustainable construction materials and practices) and improve the efficiency of existing buildings; and
- Encourage sustainable transportation through improving public transit, improving the efficiency of private vehicles, and decreasing the carbon intensity of fuels.

The Proposed Actions show consistency with these goals in that:

- The proposed rezoning area is well served by public transportation. A total of 13 NYCT subway stations, ten local bus routes, and one commuter rail station are located within, or in close proximity to, the rezoning area. The Proposed Actions would allow increases in density along selected corridors to expand opportunities for affordable housing, as well as directing higher densities to areas that can accommodate future growth, such as those close to subway lines. The Proposed Actions would also map new commercial overlays and new mixeduse (MX) districts to incentivize mixed-use development, permit industrial uses to expand in select areas, facilitate active streetscapes, and encourage new retail development to support the anticipated residential development in the area. By revitalizing and reinforcing the rezoning area, which is well-served by transit facilities and services, the Proposed Actions support transit-oriented development in New York City.
- The Proposed Actions utilize the existing urban infrastructure and would facilitate the development of vacant and underutilized sites.
- By applying a new <u>Mandatory Inclusionary Housing (MIH)</u> Program to portions of the rezoning area, the
  Proposed Actions would provide affordable housing opportunities for New Yorkers and enhance the quality of
  life for residents and the community.
- The new buildings facilitated by the Proposed Actions, which would replace existing structures or vacant lots, would be subject to the NYCECC, which comprises the 2010 Energy Conservation Construction Codes of New York State (ECCCNYS) in addition to a series of local laws. The NYCECC governs performance requirements of heating, ventilation, and air conditioning systems, as well as the exterior building envelope of new buildings. In compliance with this code, new development resulting from the Proposed Actions must meet standards for energy efficiency.
- The Proposed Actions would not substantially involve energy-intensive uses such as data centers or web hosting facilities, nor would they remove a source of energy generation. For these reasons, the Proposed Actions would not result in a significant adverse impact on energy systems.