CORRECTED

NEW YORK CITY OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE TRIALS AND HEARINGS ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL BOARD

BOARD MEETING

VIA VIDEO CONFERENCE

100 Church Street, New York, New York

December 8, 2022

9:31 a.m. to 10:12 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Asim Rehman, Esq. - Commissioner/Chief Administrative Law Judge, OATH, Chair/Executive Director, OATH ECB

Shamonda Graham - Department of Buildings (DOB)

Elizabeth Knauer, Esq. - Appointed Member (Water)

Madelynn Liguori, Esq. - Department of Sanitation (DSNY)

Jorge Martinez, Esq. - Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DOHMH)

Russell Pecunies, Esq. - Department of Environmental Protection (DEP)

Matthew Schneid, Esq. - Appointed Member (Real Estate)
Thomas D. Shpetner, Esq. - Appointed Member (Business)

Matthew Smith, Esq. - New York City Police Department (NYPD)

Douglas S. Swann - Appointed Member (Air)
Jarrod Whittington - Appointed Member (Noise)

ALSO PRESENT:

Rachel Amar - Senior Advisor to Commissioner, OATH Cindy Chen - Analyst, Office of Management and Budget (OMB)

Svetlana Iosilevich, Esq. - Executive Agency Counsel, Business Integrity Commission (BIC)

Timothy Jones, Esq. - Senior Counsel, OATH

Frances Shine - Secretary to the Board, OATH

Tom Southwick, Esq. - Assistant Commissioner/Appeals

Division, OATH

Olga Statz, Esq. - Deputy Commissioner/General Counsel, OATH

December 8, 2022

INDEX

| | Page |
|------------------------|------|
| Asim Rehman, Esq. | 4 |
| David C. Kim, Esq. | 4 |
| Olga Statz, Esq. | 4 |
| Joseph Gregory, Esq. | 5 |
| Elizabeth Knauer, Esq. | 5 |
| Madelynn Liguori, Esq. | 5 |
| Jorge Martinez, Esq. | 5 |
| Russell Pecunies, Esq. | 5 |
| Matthew Schneid, Esq. | 5 |
| Matthew Smith, Esq. | 6 |
| Douglas Swann | 6 |
| Jarrod Whittington | 6 |
| Thomas Shpetner, Esq. | 6 |
| Peter Schulman, Esq. | 15 |
| Kelly Corso, Esq. | 20 |
| Shamonda Graham | 23 |

| 1 | December 8, 2022 |
|----|---|
| 2 | (The board meeting commenced at 9:31 |
| 3 | a.m.) |
| 4 | ASIM REHMAN, ESQ., COMMISSIONER/CHIEF |
| 5 | ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE, OATH, CHAIR/EXECUTIVE |
| 6 | DIRECTOR, OATH ECB: And I'd like to call the |
| 7 | December 8, 2022, ECB Meeting to order. Would |
| 8 | the GC Unit kindly conduct the roll? |
| 9 | DAVID C. KIM, ESQ ASSISTANT GENERAL |
| 10 | COUNSEL, OATH: Okay. Good morning, all. We'll |
| 11 | start with Commissioner Asim Rehman? |
| 12 | MR. REHMAN: Here. Present. |
| 13 | MR. KIM: Shamonda Graham? I can see |
| 14 | Shamonda. Shamonda Graham? |
| 15 | OLGA STATZ, ESQ., DEPUTY COMMISSIONER / |
| 16 | GENERAL COUNSEL, OATH: Shamonda, are you on |
| 17 | mute? |
| 18 | MR. KIM: I see Shamonda, but she |
| 19 | doesn't answer. |
| 20 | MS. STATZ: Let's skip over - let's do |
| 21 | her last and - |
| 22 | MR. KIM: Okay. |
| 23 | MS. STATZ: Okay. |
| 24 | MR. KIM: Joseph Gregory? |
| | |

| 1 | December 8, 2022 |
|----|--|
| 2 | JOSEPH GREGORY, ESQ., NEW YORK CITY FIRE |
| 3 | DEPARTMENT (FDNY): Present. I'm sorry. |
| 4 | MR. KIM: Elizabeth Knauer? I can see |
| 5 | Elizabeth Knauer. I think she is here. |
| 6 | [CROSSTALK] [09:32:01] [00:01:01] |
| 7 | MS. STATZ: Elizabeth. |
| 8 | MR. KIM: It's muted, yeah. |
| 9 | ELIZABETH KNAUER, ESQ., APPOINTED MEMBER |
| 10 | (WATER): Hi, I'm present. |
| 11 | MR. KIM: Okay, thank you. Madelynn |
| 12 | Liguori? |
| 13 | MADELYNN LIGUORI, ESQ., DEPARTMENT OF |
| 14 | SANITATION (DSNY): Present. |
| 15 | MR. KIM: Okay. Jorge Martinez? |
| 16 | JORGE MARTINEZ, ESQ., DEPARTMENT OF |
| 17 | HEALTH AND MENTAL HYGIENE (DOHMH): I'm here. |
| 18 | Good morning. |
| 19 | MR. KIM: Thank you. Russell Pecunies? |
| 20 | RUSSELL PECUNIES, ESQ., DEPARTMENT OF |
| 21 | ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION (DEP): Present. |
| 22 | MR. KIM: Matthew Schneid? |
| 23 | MATTHEW SCHNEID, ESQ., APPOINTED MEMBER |
| 24 | (REAL ESTATE): Present. |

| 1 | December 8, 2022 |
|----|---|
| 2 | MR. KIM: Thomas Sphetner? Matthew |
| 3 | Smith? |
| 4 | MATTHEW SMITH, ESQ., NEW YORK CITY |
| 5 | POLICE DEPARTMENT (NYPD): Present. |
| 6 | MR. KIM: Douglas Swann? |
| 7 | DOUGLAS S. SWANN, APPOINTED MEMBER |
| 8 | (AIR): I'm here. |
| 9 | MR. KIM: Thank you. Jarrod |
| 10 | Whittington? |
| 11 | JARROD WHITTINGTON, APPOINTED MEMBER |
| 12 | (NOISE): Present. |
| 13 | MR. KIM: Okay. I'll call Shamonda |
| 14 | Graham again. Shamonda Graham? Let me call |
| 15 | Thomas Shep- Shpetner? |
| 16 | THOMAS SHPETNER, ESQ., APPOINTED MEMBER |
| 17 | (BUSINESS): Present. |
| 18 | MR. KIM: Thank you. I think Shamonda |
| 19 | Graham is on this meeting, so we have a quorum, |
| 20 | 12 out of 12. |
| 21 | MR. REHMAN: Thank you. Let's proceed |
| 22 | with the first item of our agenda, which is the |
| 23 | adoption of the minutes for the October 13, 2022, |
| 24 | Board Meeting. I hope that everyone has had an |

December 8, 2022

opportunity to review them. Does anyone have any corrections or changes to those minutes? Hearing no corrections, I'd like to ask for a motion and a second to adopt the minutes of the October 13, 2022, meeting. I see a motion from Matt Smith. Is that a second from Madelynn? Thank you. Could the GC Unit please call for a vote?

MR. KIM: Okay. Are there any objections to the motion approving the minutes of the October 13, 2022, ECB Meeting? Hearing none, seeing none, the motion is approved unanimously.

MR. REHMAN: Thank you. Okay. We'll next turn the floor over to DEP Special - Senior Enforcement Counsel, Russ Pecunies, who is on the agenda with a request for a cease and desist order. Mr. Pecunies?

MR. PECUNIES: Yes, thank you. Thank
you. Good morning. First, I'd like to thank the
OATH staff for arranging to get this on the
agenda at the last minute. This was just sent to
me by our enforcement folks on Tuesday, so it's
great that we can get this in front of the Board
today instead of having to have this over to the

December 8, 2022

2

next Board meeting in February.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

So, DEP is asking the Board to issue an order to cease and desist against Orange Grove

restaurant located at 39 Grove Street in

Ventures, LLC. They are the operators of a

Manhattan. I believe the name of the

establishment is Emmett's. And DEP has received

complaints regarding odors and noise from the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

kitchen exhaust at this establishment. DEP first

inspected in July of this year, and there was a

finding of excessive noise from the kitchen

exhaust on July 13^{th} . A summons was issued, which

the respondent stipulated to in September and has

paid in full.

The next inspection was conducted on September 22nd, and that resulted in another finding of excessive noise from the kitchen exhaust, as well as a finding of odors in violation of 24-141 of the Air Pollution Control Code. The noise summons was returnable on November 15th, and the respondent defaulted. And the air summons was also returnable on November 15th, and respondent defaulted as to that, as

2.2

December 8, 2022 well.

The next inspection took place on November $2^{\rm nd}$, and that did not result in a noise summons, but did result in another odor related summons under the Air Code. That summons is scheduled to be heard on January $10^{\rm th}$.

And then, most recently, just a few days ago on November 30th, there was another inspection, which resulted in the issuance of summonses for both noise and odor. And those summonses are returnable on January 24th.

Because the, because the inspections have shown that the respondent has not corrected this condition and that there continues to be excessive noise and unlawful odors being generated by the kitchen exhaust at this location, DEP is asking that the Board issue an order to cease and desist, directing respondent to comply with both section 227 of the Noise Code and section 141 of the Air Code.

And I'll be happy to take any questions, if anyone has any.

MR. REHMAN: Does anyone have any

| 1 | December 8, 2022 |
|----|--|
| 2 | questions for Russ? I have a few, but let me |
| 3 | open the floor to others. |
| 4 | MR. SCHNEID: Yeah, I have a question. |
| 5 | MR. REHMAN: Go ahead, Matt. |
| 6 | MR. SCHNEID: I guess I wasn't |
| 7 | following. So they, they never appeared in court |
| 8 | at all? Is that what happened? It looks like |
| 9 | they appeared at least one time. Is that right? |
| 10 | MR. PECUNIES: Yeah, they appeared on |
| 11 | the first noise summons in September. They did |
| 12 | appear and stipulate, and they have paid that |
| 13 | summons, the fine on that summons. The |
| 14 | subsequent summonses, they have not. The ones |
| 15 | that were - well, the, the one air summons and |
| 16 | one air summons that were scheduled for November |
| 17 | $15^{ m th}$, they have not appeared for. And, then, the, |
| 18 | the summonses that were issued in November are |
| 19 | scheduled for, for next month. |
| 20 | MR. SCHNEID: And when they - |
| 21 | MR. REHMAN: Russ - I'm sorry, go ahead. |
| 22 | Go ahead, go ahead, Matt. |
| 23 | MR. SCHNEID: And when they came for the |
| 24 | first appearance, did they have an explanation or |

December 8, 2022

2

3

course of action they were going to take? Or they just agreed to the fine?

4

is no defense presented or any other evidence.

MR. PECUNIES: When you stipulate, there

5

They just accepted the stipulation offer.

7

MR. REHMAN: But, on that, and this,

8

9

first one, for the first event, it, it appears to

10

me, if I'm looking at the chronology correctly,

this was one of the questions I had. For the

11

in July, the, there was only one summons issued,

12

and that was a noise summons, as opposed to in

13

September where both air and noise were issued.

14

And in July, when the noise summons was issued

15

and there was a stipulation, it's a zero fine.

16

So they stipulated -

17

zer-, it's not a zero fine. Stipulation on a

No, no. It's not a

1819

Noise Code summons is for the, the guideline

MR. PECUNIES: No.

20

first offense penalty. It's not a, it's not a

21

zero stip for noise cases. Un- unless - there $\,$

22

are certain, well, I mean I, I could be wrong on

23

that. Hang on, because 227 actually can be

24

mitigated to zero. That is correct.

December 8, 2022

MR. REHMAN: So, I, I was looking at the attachments, which note that for, for that summons from July, 288440R, it says balance due, zero, and I was not sure -

MR. PECUNIES: Right.

MR. REHMAN: -- if that meant, in this case, there was an actual zero, zero penalty because it's a first offense. If you have that
[CROSSTALK] [09:41:44] [00:10:44]

MR. PECUNIES: But it, it indicates that it's paid in full.

MR. REHMAN: Okay.

MR. PECUNIES: So that, I think, indicate, would indicate that there was a, a penalty imposed.

MR. REHMAN: Thank you. The other question that I had was, in addi-, in the December 8th memo from DEP to the Board, there's a request for a cease and desist order, but there's also a que-, a request that the Board direct respondent to appear at a special hearing to show why its kitchen exhaust should not be sealed and that an additional penalty of \$875.00 times - for

December 8, 2022

not be sealed.

these requests.

2

two days, total \$1,750.00, should be, be imposed.

3

Is there any additional context you'd like to

4

provide on that second request?

5

standard language that's been in cease and desist

6 7

order requests forever. The, the main, the main

MR. PECUNIES: Yeah. That's the

8

thing here is that there be a cease and desist

9

hearing. And, at the cease and desist hearing,

10

they would need to show why the equipment should

11

MR. REHMAN: Understood.

12

MR. PECUNIES: The, the sanction

14

here with a cease and desist order is that, if

15

they default on the hearing, if they don't show

16

up for the cease and desist hearing or if they

17

fail to show that the condition has been

18

corrected, the equipment can be sealed. The,

1920

the, the piece about an additional penalty for

21

from the admini-, the enforcement section in the

two days, that is, again, language that it comes

2.2

Air Code. It's, it's, it's standard language for

23

But the judge, when, when the, when the

24

2.2

December 8, 2022

OATH hearing officer makes recommendations to the Board after the cease and desist hearing, normally, if the respondent has shown that the violating condition has been corrected, the hearing officer will recommend waiver of any additional penalty. And so, generally, there is no additional penalty beyond the ones that are imposed at the hearings on the summonses.

MR. REHMAN: Thank you. And, and -

MR. PECUNIES: Yeah, but that's just standard, you know, kind of boilerplate language. We, we even tried to take it out at one point, and I believe we were told that, because it's in the statute, that we need to keep it in.

MR. REHMAN: Thank you. And, and do we have any information right now, at this meeting, about how much the fine was for the first summons ending in 440R?

MR. PECUNIES: I think the first offense penalty for 227 is \$440.00, but I do not have a Noise Code penalty schedule in front of me. And because it's paid in full, ticket finder does not show, does not show the penalty that was imposed

because it's, it was paid, so it's now zero. But I believe the first offense penalty for 227 is, is, is \$440.00.

PETER SCHULMAN, ESQ., DEPUTY

COMMISSIONER/APPEALS DIVISION, OATH: So it, so it was stipulated to \$220.00.

MR. REHMAN: Thank you, Peter. I have no other questions. And -

MR. PECUNIES: Okay. So it's \$220.00? Thank you. Okay.

MR. REHMAN: Sure. Elizabeth?

MS. KNAUER: I was just wondering if the stipulation agreement included any agreement to undertake mitigation, like any physical measures?

And -

MR. PECUNIES: Well, the stipulation includes, because the judge - the, the hearing officer will then send them a decision and order reflecting the stipulation, and the stipulation, the, the decision and order from the judge includes an order to comply forthwith. So, since that stipulation, they have been under an order to comply forthwith.

December 8, 2022

2.2

In addition, the defaults in, in

November, the defaults on November 15th also
involved an order to comply forthwith. There

would not have been - again, because they
stipulated to it, they wouldn't have, there

wouldn't have been - it's not part of - it is
part of the stipulation agreement that they have
to come into compliance forthwith, but there's no
specific, you know, document that says they're
going to do specific things to comply.

MS. KNAUER: So, but, but I mean, is it standard practice that if someone is stipulating, that they've presented a proposal to the Department explaining how they intend to comply -

MR. PECUNIES: No, no.

MS. KNAUER: Okay.

MR. PECUNIES: No.

MR. REHMAN: Thanks, Russ.

MR. PECUNIES: No. To my knowledge, we have not been contacted by these folks or received, have, have - I don't think we, you know, we don't have any information from them about what they're doing or, you know, how they

2

December 8, 2022

3 4

to them, how they come into compliance. And, and

intend to come into compliance. That, that's up

we don't approve plans on how people get into

5

compliance. It's, it's up to them to figure out

6

how to do it and do it.

7

ask you, is the timeline for the sealing in this

MR. SWANN: Hi, Russ. I just wanted to

8

type of case, is this typical? Because it seems

9 10

to be pretty quick. And, if it is, is it because

11

of the stipulation?

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Normally, we wait until a MR. PECUNIES: third summons has been issued and with at least one having been adjudicated before we ask for a cease and desist order. That is by agreement with OATH staff for, basically forever, that cease and desist requests need three summonses. And since three have been issued and, on the noise side, two of them have been adjudicated, this is - we, we considered this timely to bring before the Board at this point.

And, again, it was just fortuitous that they brought this to my attention this week when we, we had a Board meeting today. Otherwise,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

December 8, 2022

this would have not been brought before the Board until February. And I think that it's important, also, to keep in mind that there is a complainant who, at least one complainant who is presumably being affected by these conditions on a daily basis.

MR. REHMAN: Other questions for DEP? I'd like to open it up for general Okav. discussion amongst the Board members on their views on DEP's request. Well, I would note that I think the, the question around timing here is, is, is an interesting one, because the summonses that are open right now are returnable for a hearing date on the 24th of January. That would possibly be just two weeks, arguably, before our next Board meeting. So, if we, if we grant the request, we grant the request. If we don't grant the request, at the next meeting we would know we may not have the decision, the OATH decision on that hearing if there, if the respondent does, in fact, appear, but we would definitely know if the respondent did appear or if there was another default. So, it looks like our, you know, our

December 8, 2022

for issuing it now.

2

3

options on the table here are to grant the

4

the next meeting. Elizabeth?

5

6 7

issuing the cease and desist now, just because it

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

request that was submitted or to hold over until MS. KNAUER: I, I, I definitely, I see that point. But I, I think I would advocate for

is affecting someone on a daily basis, apparently, and they will have an opportunity to come forward, you know, at a cease and desist hearing, as well, to, to prove that they're in compliance. So it, it's not that that's the we're, we're not, we're not voting to immediately seal the equipment. We're giving them another opportunity to - for due process. So I, I think that especially in the wintertime, if you're, especially with the odor issues, and if it's getting into somebody's apartment, that could be really unpleasant. So I, I would advocate for,

I agree. Particularly MR. REHMAN: since it's not a sealing directive, it's an opportunity for the parties to come forward. Other, other questions or views? Okay. I'd like

1 December 8, 2022 2 to offer a motion to approve the request from DEP and a second. I see a motion from Elizabeth. 3 4 And is that a second from Tom? Okay. Could the 5 GC Team kindly call for a vote on the DEP's 6 request for a cease and desist order? 7 MR. KIM: Okay. Are there any 8 objections to approving the cease and desist, 9 desist order? Seeing none, hearing none, the 10 motion is approved unanimously. 11 MR. REHMAN: Thank you very much, 12 everyone. Thank you, Mr. -MR. PECUNIES: Alright. Thank, thank 13 14 Thank you to everyone for reviewing this 15 on, on such short notice. 16 MR. REHMAN: You're welcome. 17 We'll proceed to the next agenda item, which is 18 the, which are the pre-sealing reports to be 19 presented by Kelly Corso from OATH. 20 KELLY CORSO, ESQ., ASSISTANT 21 COMMISSIONER/HEARINGS DIVISION ADJUDICATIONS, 2.2 Kelly Corso, Assistant Commissioner of 23 Adjudications for OATH. And we only have one

pre-sealing report today for the Board. We had

24

1 December 8, 2022 2 to pull a second one that was originally 3 distributed because there was an error in it. 4 that will be corrected and redistributed for the 5 next Board meeting. 6 The one that we are presenting to the 7 Board today is a backflow violation case. And in this case, the hearing officer did agree with 8 9 DEP's recommendation that the equipment not be sealed and there be no further action taken 10 11 because the respondent has complied with the 12 Water Code. The respondent presented evidence at 13 the last hearing that the premises now has the 14 required equipment installed. And that's it. 15 MR. REHMAN: Thank you, Ms. Corso. 16 Since two were circulated, could you just clarify 17 which is the one that's on the table? 18 MS. CORSO: Sure. The one that's on the 19 table - let me just get it up here. It is the 20 Carlos Betancourth [phonetic] case, and that's 21 cease and desist No. 2016-0202. 2.2 MR. REHMAN: Thank you very much.

> Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 256 West 38th Street, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10018

MR. REHMAN: Any questions for Ms.

MS. CORSO: Sure.

23

24

1 December 8, 2022 2 Corso? Any points of discussion? Okay. Do we 3 have a motion to approve and second the pre-4 sealing report? 5 MR. SCHNEID: Motion. MR. REHMAN: And I see a motion from 6 7 Matt, and I see a second from Madelynn. Will the GC Team kindly call a vote? 8 9 MR. KIM: Okay. Are there any 10 objections to approving the pre-sealing reports? 11 Seeing none, hearing none, the motion is approved 12 unanimously. 13 MR. REHMAN: Thank you. 14 MS. CORSO: Thank you. 15 MR. REHMAN: I'd like - thank you, Ms. 16 I'd like to ask for a motion to go into 17 executive session to discuss new decisions listed 18 in the judicial report. I see a motion from 19 Madelynn, a second from Elizabeth. GC, call for 20 a vote, please. 21 MR. KIM: Are there any objections to 2.2 the Board's going into executive session at this 23 time? Seeing none, hearing none, the motion is 24 approved.

1 December 8, 2022

2.2

[OFF THE RECORD]

[ON THE RECORD]

MR. REHMAN: Thank you. Before we move on to selecting dates for our next Board meeting, are there any other matters of business or any other questions for members of the Board? Seeing none, we can turn to selecting a date for our next meeting. Would someone from the GC Unit please propose a date?

MR. KIM: Okay. We have two options for the next Board meeting. The possible dates are February 2, 2023, or February 9, 2023.

MR. REHMAN: Does anyone have any concerns or scheduling issues with either of those dates, the $9^{\rm th}$ or the $2^{\rm nd}$ of February?

SHAMONDA GRAHAM, DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS (DOB): Shamonda Graham, DOB. I'm actually booked on the 9th, so the 2nd would be much appreciated.

MR. REHMAN: Any, any objections to the 2^{nd} ? Alright. Seeing agreement, let us put down the 2^{nd} in the minutes as our date for the next meeting.

| 1 | December 8, 2022 |
|----|--|
| 2 | Alright. May I have a motion to adjourn |
| 3 | today's meeting? I see a motion from Elizabeth |
| 4 | and a second from Tom. GC Unit, please? |
| 5 | MR. KIM: Are there any objections to |
| 6 | adjourning the meeting at this time? Seeing |
| 7 | none, hearing none, the motion is approved. |
| 8 | MR. REHMAN: Thank you very much. I |
| 9 | wish you all a happy holiday season and a warm |
| 10 | New Year. And I look forward to seeing you all |
| 11 | in 2023. Appreciate all that you bring to the |
| 12 | table. Thank you very much. |
| 13 | MR. GREGORY: Thank you. |
| 14 | MS. GRAHAM: Same to you. I miss you |
| 15 | guys. |
| 16 | MS. KNAUER: Thank you. |
| 17 | MR. GREGORY: Take care, everyone. |
| 18 | MS. LIGUORI: Thank you. |
| 19 | MR. REHMAN: Bye-bye. |
| 20 | (The board meeting concluded at 10:12 |
| 21 | a.m.) |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |

Environmental Control Board, 12/8/2022 CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY

I, Claudia Marques, certify that the foregoing transcript of Board Meeting of the Environmental Control Board on December 8, 2022, was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Certified By

Claudia Marques

Date: December 15, 2022

GENEVAWORLDWIDE, INC

256 West 38^{th} Street - 10^{th} Floor

office. December 23, 2022

New York, NY 10018

Reviewed and corrected by OATH General Counsel

Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 256 West 38th Street, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10018