CORRECTED

NEW YORK CITY OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE TRIALS AND HEARINGS ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL BOARD

BOARD MEETING

VIA VIDEO CONFERENCE

100 Church Street, New York, New York

August 4, 2022

9:34 a.m. to 9:55 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Elizabeth Knauer, Esq. - Appointed Member (Water)

Madelynn Liguori, Esq. - Department of Sanitation (DSNY)

Russell Pecunies, Esq. - Department of Environmental Protection (DEP)

Matthew Schneid, Esq. - Appointed Member (Real Estate)

Thomas D. Shpetner, Esq. - Appointed Member (Business)

Matthew Smith, Esq. - New York City Police Department (NYPD)

Douglas S. Swann - Appointed Member (Air)
Jarrod Whittington - Appointed Member (Noise)

ALSO PRESENT:

Cindy Chen - Analyst, Office of Management and Budget (OMB)

Kelly Corso, Esq. - Assistant Commissioner/Hearings
 Division Adjudications, OATH

Timothy Jones, Esq. - Senior Counsel, OATH

David Kim, Esq. - Assistant General Counsel, OATH
Peter Schulman, Esq. - Assistant Commissioner for Appeals,

Frances Shine - Secretary to the Board, OATH

Amy Slifka, Esq. - Deputy Commissioner/Hearings Division,

OATH

Samuel Solomon, Esq. - Chief of Staff/Special Counsel, OATH

Olga Statz, Esq. - Deputy Commissioner/General Counsel, OATH

Joy A. Thompson, Esq. - Assistant General Counsel, OATH

INDEX

	Page	
Olga Statz, Esq.	4	
David Kim, Esq.	4	
Joy Thompson, Esq.	4	
Shamonda Graham	5	
Joseph Gregory, Esq.	5	
Elizabeth Knauer, Esq.	5	
Madelynn Liguori, Esq.	5	
Russell Pecunies, Esq.	5	
Matthew Schneid, Esq.	5	
Thomas Shpetner, Esq.	6	
Matthew Smith, Esq.	6	
Douglas Swann, Esq.	6	
Jarrod Whittington, Esq.	7	
Kelly Corso, Esq.	13	
Peter Schulman, Esq.	14	

1	August 4, 2022
2	(The board meeting commenced at 9:55
3	a.m.)
4	OLGA STATZ, ESQ., DEPUTY COMMISSIONER /
5	GENERAL COUNSEL, OATH, DESIGNEE FOR ASIM REHMAN,
6	ESQ., COMMISSIONER/CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW
7	JUDGE, OATH, CHAIR/EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, OATH ECB:
8	Good morning, everyone. Nice to see you all
9	again. Before we start the meeting, I'd like to
10	introduce you to David Kim, the newest member of
11	GC. He's in a little, I see him in a little
12	square. Do you want to wave, David?
13	DAVID KIM, ESQ ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL,
14	OATH: Hello. Nice meeting you. I'm the new
15	Assistant General Counsel. This is really good, a
16	pleasure to meet all of you. I look forward to
17	working with you. Thank you.
18	MS. STATZ: Thank you so much. And, so, I'm
19	now going to turn things over to Joy to ask her to,
20	for a roll call.
21	JOY A. THOMPSON, ESQ., ASSISTANT GENERAL
22	COUNSEL, OATH: Good morning, all. We will start
23	with Deputy Commissioner Olga Statz.
24	MS. STATZ: Here. Present.
25	MS. THOMAS: Present?

1	August 4, 2022
2	MS. STATZ: Yes.
3	MS. THOMPSON: Shamonda Graham. I see
4	you on the screen.
5	SHAMONDA GRAHAM - DEPARTMENT OF
6	BUILDINGS (DOB): Here.
7	MS. THOMPSON: Hi. Joseph Gregory.
8	JOSEPH GREGORY, ESQ., NEW YORK CITY FIRE
9	DEPARTMENT (FDNY): Present.
10	MS. THOMPSON: Elizabeth Knauer.
11	ELIZABETH KNAUER, ESQ., APPOINTED MEMBER
12	(WATER): Present.
13	MS. THOMPSON: Madelynn Liguori?
14	MADELYNN LIGUORI, ESQ., DEPARTMENT OF
15	SANITATION (DSNY): Present.
16	MS. THOMPSON: I believe that Jorge
17	Martinez is out today. Russ Pecunies, are you
18	dialing in?
19	RUSSELL PECUNIES, ESQ., DEPARTMENT OF
20	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION (DEP): Yeah, I'm
21	present. I'm here.
22	MS. THOMPSON: Thank you. Matthew
23	Schneid?
24	MATTHEW SCHNEID, ESQ., APPOINTED MEMBER

1	August 4, 2022
2	(REAL ESTATE): Present. Hi.
3	MS. THOMPSON: Hi. Thomas Shpetner.
4	THOMAS SHPETNER, ESQ., APPOINTED MEMBER
5	(BUSINESS): Present. Excuse me. I was on mute.
6	MS. THOMPSON: Sure. No problem.
7	Matthew Smith?
8	MATTHEW SMITH, ESQ., NEW YORK CITY
9	POLICE DEPARTMENT (NYPD): Here.
10	MS. THOMPSON: Douglas Swann? I see
11	your name. Okay, I'm are you yeah, I'm
12	assuming you're present.
13	DOUGLAS S. SWANN, APPOINTED MEMBER
14	(AIR): Can you hear me? Can you hear me?
15	MS. THOMPSON: Yes, I do now. Yes,
16	thank you, Mr. Swann.
17	MR. SWANN: Yes, I'm sorry. I'm
18	present.
19	MS. STATZ: You're, you're, I can't, I
20	can't hear you very well, though, Doug, so.
21	MR. SWANN: I've been having this
22	problem. I don't know why. But I'm here.
23	MS. STATZ: Okay, now we hear you.
24	Okay.

1	August 4, 2022
2	MS. THOMPSON: Jarrod Whittington.
3	JARROD WHITTINGTON, APPOINTED MEMBER
4	(NOISE): Present.
5	MS. THOMPSON: We have a quorum, 11 out
6	of 12.
7	MS. STATZ: Wonderful. I'm going to ask
8	for a motion to adopt the minutes of the June 2nd
9	meeting. Thanks, Matt. Do I have a second?
10	Thanks, Madelynn. All in favor? Does any-,
11	first of all, does anyone have any corrections?
12	No corrections? All in favor of adopting the
13	minutes?
14	MS. GRAHAM: I abstain.
15	MS. STATZ: Okay. Can you, can you call
16	a vote, please, Joy?
17	MS. THOMPSON: Sure. Oh, sure. Are
18	there any I have, it seems that everyone has
19	voted to approve the minutes with one abstention
20	from Shamonda Graham, who was not at that
21	meeting. Are there any other objections or
22	abstentions from the Board members? Okay, the
23	motion is approved. The minutes are approved.
24	MS. STATZ: Thank you. The next item on

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

the agenda is the, the final rule for appearances and representations at hearings. When we first proposed this rule, we had some, some robust discussion. We went through everything and we've submitted it now for final approval. I'm going to give you some background, just so you can ref-, have your reco-, your recollections reflected.

The Environmental Control -- you guys voted on this, to approve the proposed version on April 7th of this year. The proposed version was published in The City Record. A public hearing was held on May 18th. And, unlike most of our rules, we actually had comments on this rule and people participated. And there were four oral comments, my notes say, and 11 written comments. And, and in response to Section 6 -- and in response to those comments, we made a change to, from the proposed rule to the final rule. final rule you have before you has a mild change in it, and it's in Rule 6-24(a)(4), because according, in the comments, it was raised, the fact was raised that pro se respondents might not have the technology to submit all of the evidence

2.3

August 4, 2022

electronically. So we only make it a requirement

for attorneys and representatives to submit the

evidence electronically. We don't require pro se

respondents to do so. Pro se respondents can

hand in their, their evidence in, in sheet form,

in paper form.

So that's the only, that's the only substantive change that was made to this rule. Are there any questions? I don't see any questions, so I'm going to ask for a motion --

MR. SCHNEID: Actually, I do have a question. I'm sorry.

MS. STATZ: Oh, sure.

MR. SCHNEID: Unfortunately, I missed the, the last meeting we had. But when it was first respondent, there was a question about the nature in which a respondent can engage their counsel, whether it had to be written, and the nature of that written agreement and submitting an original. And I think, subsequent to that, I assume we all did, but I got one of the responses sent directly to me where they were raising a point that we also initially discussed where

2.3

maybe the City would like, in terms of getting an original in. And I think what we discussed initially was that maybe there was a way that we were going to clarify the nature of the confirmation of the representation. And I don't know if that ever occurred.

MS. STATZ: Yeah. Actually, when, when you were at that meeting, what we did at that point was we decided that we were going to change the statement of baseme-, basis and purpose to make e-signatures possible.

MR. SCHNEID: Okay.

MS. STATZ: And since then, actually, I'm glad you brought it up, because I forgot to mention it. Since then, we tweaked the section itself to include e-signatures.

MR. SCHNEID: Okay.

MS. STATZ: So that's, so that the reference to e-signatures appears both in the statement of basis and purpose and in the, in the text itself, in the, in the final version.

MR. SCHNEID: Okay, great. Thank you.

2.3

MS. STATZ: No problem. Are there any other questions? Are there any other questions?

I don't see any questions, so I'm going to ask for a motion to approve the, the final rules.

Thanks, Madelynn. Do I have a second? Thanks, Matthew. Can you call for a vote, Jo- Joy?

MS. THOMPSON: Yes. Okay, at this point I'm going to ask if there are any objections to the approval of OATH's final rule regarding appearances and representation at OATH hearings? Seeing no objections, hearing none, the motion is approved.

MS. STATZ: Thank you very much. The next rule that, the next final rule that we're introducing is the, the rule for the conduct of registered representatives and attorneys at OATH. Again, we proposed this, I believe, again, at the April 7th meeting and we had some discussion on that. The proposed version was published in The City Record. A public hearing was held on May 18th, and attorneys who reg- regularly practice at OATH presented their testimony and, and we received three written comments. And in light of

1 August 4, 2022 the comments that we've received, we did make one 2 amendment to the section that's, that states that 3 4 failure to appear on behalf of a respondent within a certain number of days constitutes 5 abandonment. 6 7 So we, we removed that, because we understood, from the comments that were made, 8 9 that there are ambiguities in attorney-client 10 relationships and it's not always, it's not 11 always that clear. And, so, we just removed that 12 particular section and we'll deal with those as, 13 as they come up, based on the totality of the 14 circumstances. So, that's the only substantive 15 change that we've made to, to that rule.

> And I'd like to know if there are any questions. I don't hear any questions. Do I have a motion?

> > MS. THOMPSON: Madelynn.

MS. STATZ: Madelynn. Thank you,

Madelynn. Second, do I have a second?

MR. GREGORY: Second.

MS. STATZ: Hi. Thank you, Joseph.

Thanks, Joe. Joy?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 256 West 38th Street, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10018

2.3

MS. THOMPSON: Okay. Okay. At this time, since, do we have any objections to the approval of OATH's final rule regarding the conduct of registered representatives and attorneys at OATH hearings? Seeing, hearing none, the motion is approved.

MS. STATZ: Thank you. Now, I'd like to turn things over to Kelly Corso, who is the Assistant Commissioner for Hearings, to do the, to discuss the pre-sealing reports. There you are.

KELLY CORSO, ESQ., ASSISTANT

COMMISSIONER/HEARINGS DIVISION ADJUDICATIONS,

OATH: Hi, everyone. Can you hear me? I'm

sorry. I'm having some problems with my video.

Okay.

MS. STATZ: Got it.

MS. CORSO: I'm getting the thumbs up from Joy, so I guess you can. So we only have four pre-sealing reports today for the Board.

And, in all of those, they involve backflow cases. And the hearing officers in all of them did agree with the DEP's recommendation of no

1	August 4, 2022
2	further action and no sealing because all of the
3	respondents presented evidence of compliance at
4	those hearings. And that's all we have.
5	MS. STATZ: Are there any questions? Do
6	I have a motion to approve pre-sealing reports?
7	Madelynn, thank you. Do I have a second? Thank
8	you, Elizabeth. Joy?
9	MS. THOMPSON: Sure. Are there any
10	objections to the approval of the four pre-
11	sealing reports? Okay. Seeing and hearing none,
12	the motion is approved.
13	MS. STATZ: Thank you. Now, I'd like
14	MS. THOMPSON: Thanks, everyone.
15	MS. STATZ: Thank you. Now, I'd like to
16	ask for a motion to go into Executive Session.
17	Matt. Second? Okay, thank you Joe.
18	PETER SCHULMAN, ESQ ASSISTANT
19	COMMISSIONER FOR APPEALS, OATH: I was going to
20	pause the recording and I'm going to move anybody
21	not employed by OATH
22	MS. STATZ: Who is 4-, who, who ends in
23	46? I, I
24	MR. SCHULMAN: That's, that's Russ.

2.3

[OFF THE RECORD]

[ON THE RECORD]

MS. STATZ: So, does anyone have any additional questions about anything? Okay. So let's see if we can come up with a date for our next meeting, which is going to be in October. The two dates that we're proposing are either the 13th or the 20th. Which works best for everyone? Shall we say the 13th?

MR. SHPETNER: No objection.

MS. STATZ: Okay. So, so let's just use the first one. Let's do the 13th. That'll be a Thursday. So, our next Board meeting will be Thursday, October 13.

Do I have, unless there's anything else, do I have a motion to adjourn the meeting?

Thanks, Matt. Do I have a second? Thanks,

Madelynn. Joy? I can't -- you're, you're muted.

I can't hear you.

MS. THOMPSON: Yes, I was trying to find my mouse. There's usually, we don't need a vote to adjourn, but are there any objections to adjourning the meeting at this time?

Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 256 West 38th Street, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10018

Environmental Control Board, 8/4/2022 CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY

I, Claudia Marques, certify that the foregoing transcript of Board Meeting of the Environmental Control Board on August 4, 2022, was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Certified By

Claudia Marques

Date: August 17, 2022

GENEVAWORLDWIDE, INC

256 West 38th Street - 10th Floor

New York, NY 10018

Reviewed and corrected by OATH General Counsel
Office. September 13, 2022