NEW YORK CITY OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE TRIALS AND HEARINGS ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL BOARD

BOARD MEETING

Conducted via Video Conferencing

April 30, 2020

9:36 A.M. - 10:14 A.M.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Shamonda Graham - Department of Buildings (DOB)
Joseph Gregory - New York City Fire Department (FDNY)
Joni Kletter, Esq. - Commissioner/Chief Administrative Law
Judge, OATH, Chair/Executive Director, OATH ECB
Elizabeth Knauer, Esq. - Appointed Member
Madelynn Liguori, Esq. - Department of Sanitation (DSNY)
Jorge Martinez, Esq. - Department of Health & Mental
Hygiene (DOHMH)
Russell Pecunies, Esq. - Department of Environmental

Russell Pecunies, Esq. - Department of Environmental Protection (DEP)

Thomas Shpetner, Esq. - Appointed Member
Matthew Smith, Esq. - New York City Police Department
(NYPD)

Jarrod Whittington - Appointed Member

ALSO PRESENT:

Rachel Amar - Special Assistant to Commissioner, OATH John Castelli - Deputy Commissioner for Legislative Affairs, OATH

Kelly Corso, Esq. - Assistant Commissioner for Hearings
 Division Adjudications, OATH

Nicholas Dietz, Esq. - Assistant General Counsel, OATH David Goldin, Esq. - Office of the Mayor (OOM)

Timothy Jones, Esq. - Assistant General Counsel, OATH
Susan Kassapian, Esq. - Deputy Commissioner/Appeals, OATH
Richard J. LaPlant - Office of Management and Budget (OMB)
Nancy Lin - Office of Management and Budget (OMB)
Svetlana Losilevich - Business Integrity Commission (BIC)
Vincent P. Maniscalco - Department of Transportation (DOT)
Yvonne Quintian - Office of Management and Budget (OMB)

Peter Schulman, Esq. - Asst. Director for Appeals, OATH
Amy Slifka, Esq. - Deputy Commissioner/Hearings Division,
OATH

Samuel Solomon, Esq. - Chief of Staff/Special Counsel, OATH

Thomas Southwick, Esq. - Supervising Attorney for Appeals, OATH

Olga Statz, Esq. - General Counsel, OATH

April 30, 2020	Page 3
INDEX	
Joni Kletter, Esq.	4
Nicholas Dietz, Esq.	4
Madelynn Liguori, Esq.	4
Jorge Martinez, Esq.	4
Elizabeth Knauer, Esq.	5
Thomas Shpetner, Esq.	5
Joseph Gregory	6
Matthew Smith, Esq.	6
Jarrod Whittington	6
Shamonda Graham	7
Russell Pecunies, Esq.	7
Peter Schulman, Esq.	7
Amy Slifka, Esq.	7
Olga Statz, Esq.	8
Kelly Corso, Esq.	31

1	April 30, 2020
2	(The board meeting commenced at 9:36
3	A.M.)
4	JONI KLETTER, ESQ., CHAIR,
5	COMMISSIONER/CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE,
6	OATH: So, good morning, everybody. Today is
7	April 30, 2020. Is there a motion to adopt the
8	minutes for the February 13, 2020, meeting?
9	JORGE MARTINEZ, ESQ., DEPARTMENT OF
10	HEALTH & MENTAL HYGIENE: Yes.
11	MADELYNN LIGUORI, ESQ., DEPARTMENT OF
12	SANITATION: Motion.
13	MS. KLETTER: Does anyone have any
14	corrections? Okay.
15	NICHOLAS DIETZ, ESQ., ASSISTANT GENERAL
16	COUNSEL, OATH: Sorry. Could I just get the
17	names for who's approving the minutes so I can
18	make a note?
19	MS. KLETTER: Yeah. So when we call for
20	a vote, to approve, I think people should state
21	their names.
22	MR. DIETZ: So, for the people who
23	approve the minutes, can you please state your
24	name and then say yes, no, or abstain?

1	April 30, 2020
2	MR. MARTINEZ: I abstain, because I
3	don't think I was at that meeting.
4	MR. DIETZ: Okay.
5	TOM SHPETNER, ESQ., APPOINTED MEMBER: I
6	also fall in that category.
7	MR. DIETZ: Who was that who just spoke?
8	MR. SHPETNER: Tom. This is Tom
9	Shpetner.
10	MR. DIETZ: Okay.
11	MR. MARTINEZ: I think I'm listed as
12	being a participant, even though I wasn't there.
13	MR. DIETZ: Okay. So, that's fine.
14	ELIZABETH KNAUER, ESQ., APPOINTED
15	MEMBER: I this is Elizabeth Knauer. I think
16	I need to abstain because, when I was looking
17	through the materials this morning, I could not
18	find the minutes. I didn't find the e-mail that
19	contained the
20	MS. KLETTER: They're actually on the
21	website. They're on the OATH website, because I
22	was [unintelligible]. Yeah.
23	MS. KNAUER: They're on the oh, okay.
24	I didn't realize that.

1	April 30, 2020
2	MR. DIETZ: So we have three
3	abstentions?
4	MS. KNAUER: I abstain, because I didn't
5	have an opportunity to review them. I apologize.
6	MR. DIETZ: Okay.
7	MS. LIGUORI: Nicholas, this is Madelynn
8	Liguori from Sanitation. I vote in favor of
9	adoption.
10	MR. DIETZ: Okay. Others who are in
11	favor of adopting the minutes?
12	JOSEPH GREGORY, NEW YORK CITY FIRE
13	DEPARTMENT: Joseph Gregory from the Fire
14	Department. I approve the minutes.
15	MR. DIETZ: Okay, thanks.
16	MATTHEW SMITH, ESQ., NEW YORK CITY
17	POLICE DEPARTMENT: Matt Smith, NYPD, yes.
18	MR. DIETZ: Okay. Alright.
19	JARROD WHITTINGTON, APPOINTED MEMBER:
20	Jarrod Whittington, Appointed Member. Yes.
21	MR. DIETZ: Thanks. Okay, anyone else?
22	So far, I've got four names for yes, so we're
23	missing some people. Is Russ or Shamonda here?
24	RUSSELL PECUNIES, ESQ., DEPARTMENT OF

1	April 30, 2020
2	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION: I, I don't know why
3	this keeps muting. Can you hear me, Nick?
4	MR. DIETZ: Yes.
5	MR. PECUNIES: Nicholas? Yeah, okay.
6	MR. DIETZ: Yes.
7	MR. PECUNIES: Russ Pecunies from DEP
8	votes yes.
9	MR. DIETZ: Okay. So we've got five
10	yeses, I count. Is Douglas Swann here? No? And
11	Shamonda?
12	PETER SCHULMAN, ESQ., ASSISTANT DIRECTOR
13	FOR APPEALS, OATH: He isn't on yet.
14	MR. DIETZ: Right. And Shamonda is
15	still not here. So
16	AMY SLIFKA, ESQ., DEPUTY
17	COMMISSIONER/HEARING DIVISION, OATH: You, you
18	can't hear Shamonda. She said she's talking, but
19	you can't hear her.
20	MR. SCHULMAN: Shamonda, are you
21	SAMONDA GRAHAM, DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS:
22	Hello?
23	MR. DIETZ: Yes.
24	MS. SLIFKA: Oh, there you go. Okay.

1	Page April 30, 2020
2	We hear you.
3	MS. GRAHAM: Good morning, everybody.
4	Shamonda Graham, Department of Buildings. I vote
5	yes.
6	MR. DIETZ: Okay, thanks.
7	OLGA STATZ, ESQ., GENERAL COUNSEL, OATH:
8	Good morning, Shamonda.
9	MS. GRAHAM: Good morning.
10	MR. DIETZ: So I've got six yeses. Is,
11	is
12	MS. KLETTER: And how many abstentions
13	do you have?
14	MR. DIETZ: Three abstentions.
15	MS. KLETTER: Oh, good.
16	MR. DIETZ: So, Olga, that's sufficient?
17	OLGA STATZ, ESQ., GENERAL COUNSEL, OATH:
18	Yeah, we're good.
19	MR. DIETZ: Okay, thanks.
20	MS. KLETTER: Okay. So, moving on, the
21	next item on the agenda is OATH ECB's Proposed
22	Rule, an amendment permitting financial hardship
23	waivers for respondents facing restitution
24	penalties, by Nick Dietz.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

2 MR. DIETZ: Okay. Hi. My name is Nick I'm an Assistant General Counsel at OATH. 3 Dietz. 4 The rule we are proposing today would amend 48 5 RCNY 6-19(a) on filing an appeal, and would repeal part of 48 RCNY 6-19(b) on financial 6 7 This Proposed Rule has been certified hardship. 8 by the Law Department and the Mayor's Office of 9 Op- Operations.

The Rule would enable all respondents who are facing financial hardship to obtain financial hardship waivers when they appeal decisions of hearing officers.

So there are three types of sanctions a hearing officer can issue: penalty, which can be monetary or non-monetary, such as community service or the loss of a license; a fine, which is a monetary penalty; and restitution, which is payment to third party claimants.

Now, currently, if a respondent wants to appeal a ruling, they must first pay the full judgment. But, if the respondent can demonstrate financial hardship, they can obtain a waiver and appeal the decision without paying first.

2.1

However, as it stands now, this financial hardship exception only applies to respondents who are ordered to pay a fine or a penalty and does not apply to respondents who are ordered to pay restitution, even if they are suffering from financial hardship. So our Proposed Rule would repeal that exclusion so that responden-respondents who are ordered to pay restitution can also seek financial hardship waivers, just like respondents who are ordered to pay fines and penalties. That's the rule.

MS. KLETTER: Thank you. Is there, are there any questions?

MR. MARTINEZ: Yeah. Just for my own information, what constitutes financial hardship?

MR. SCHULMAN: Do you want me to answer that?

MR. SHPETNER: I mean, I had a companion question, which is just, it, it just se- says whatever the ALJ says is a hardship, and they have the sole discretion inside the, the text of the rule. But do we have any, just to put some flesh on Jorge's question, do we have any, you

1 April 30, 2020 2 3 4 Like --5 MR. DIETZ: Yes. MS. STATZ: It's, it's --6 7 8 9 10 waiver? 11 12 13 14 Unit. So they --15 16 17 18 19 20

2.1

22

23

24

know, thematic texture about how that really -- a rubric for what an ALJ would, would consider? MR. SHPETNER: -- DUI payments, for example. Is that, per se, relevant or, you now, a, a way to make one more or less eligible for a MS. STATZ: It's, it's not the ALJs or the hearing officers who make the determination. It's actually from Peter's unit, the Appeals MR. SHPETNER: I thought the rule said something about the ALJ. I apologize. MS. STATZ: Yeah, it's, it's -- but the way it happens is that the Appeals Unit normally makes that determination and we ask, and the Appeals Unit -- and I'm going to ask Peter to give more details -- the Appeals Unit actually asks for proof of financial hardship. It's going to be a tax return, it can be proof of pub-, receiving public assistance or unemployment or

1 April 30, 2020 2 something like that, and an actual determination based on figures is made. So --3 4 MR. SHPETNER: So that's interesting, 5 because it's a little different than what the statute suggests. And it also, I'm questioning, 6 7 or just kicking it around because we're just here meeting, you know, do we need a bit of a rubric? 8 9 Is it, or is it just an opinion, you know? MS. STATZ: Please be clear. Tell me 10 11 what, what is it you're asking? 12 MR. SHPETNER: I just don't, I, I just 13 don't understand if we have like established 14 criteria that we routinely rely upon or are we 15 just looking at whatever we get submitted and 16 make our minds up? I, I just am curious about like if there's -- I, I just don't understand the 17 18 process, I suppose is, is a, is a higher level 19 way of saying it. 20 MR. MARTINEZ: Is there a written 2.1 quidance for --22 MR. SHPETNER: That's my question. 23 MR. MARTINEZ: -- Peter's unit to, you 24 know, this is what you do for someone who applies

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

for financial hardship? You have to, they have to submit X, Y and Z? You know, something written down, more for-formal.

MR. SCHULMAN: Right. So you do need to submit documentation. That is formal. But what that documentation is, is more open, but it is going to be something that shows an inability to pay, pending the appeal.

We generally would look at tax returns or, as Olga said, a documentation that shows somebody's on public assistance. There, there is no strict mathematical formula for it. It does depend on the type of fine that's being imposed, as well as the income. For a \$100.00 Sanitation ticket, somebody would have to show greater financial need than a Department of Buildings violation that imposes a \$1,000.00 penalty or \$10,000.00 or, in the case of illegal occupancy, \$50,000.00. So it is kind of a rolling thing. We, we do try to be fairly generous with it. But, if somebody asks for it, it is generally granted as long as they're submitting documentation that shows their own financial

2 hardship.

2.1

2.2

Most rejections happen because the respondent might be, say, a corporation and they submit individual tax returns. We would then ask for the corporation's tax returns. That's really the issue that we run into, is documentation that matches the individual. Right now, we --

MS. KLETTER: My understanding, Peter, is this rule is just about making our rules more consistent because, right now, there's sort of an arbitrary inconsistency right now. Yes.

MR. SCHULMAN: Right. So our rules allow financial hardship, the waiver, pending appeal of monetary penalties. You don't need to pay that in advance. But for DCA cases, restitution can be imposed. Restitution is different than a monetary penalty. That is money that goes towards the complainant in that case, right, the contractor who breached, who, who did shoddy work will need to pay back a complainant who initially filed that complaint with DCA. That can run into the hundreds of thousands of dollars.

2.1

We've had that, and that DCA contractor doesn't have that money to put up right away.

And the rule, as it stands right now, says restitution cannot be waived pending appeal. So they need to put it up. We cannot take an appeal if they don't put up hundreds of thousands of dollars. And we've run into several contractors who, therefore, cannot appeal. We want to be able to allow them to appeal. That's really the only change that's happening here is to allow more appeals than we've been getting.

MS. KNAUER: Can I ask a question? This is Elizabeth Knauer. Does this, so does this even apply to any violations that are returnable to the, you know, Environmental Control Board?

Or is it just DCA?

MR. SCHULMAN: Actually, no, it does not.

MS. STATZ: No, it does not.

MS. KNAUER: Then why, why are we, why do we need to vote on this rule change? Just because of the sort of coherent OATH rules now?

Okay. So it really doesn't really apply to

1	April 30, 2020
2	anything that would come before us. Because I
3	was a little confused. I had never heard of
4	restitution being ordered in an ECB case, so I, I
5	wa-, I was going to ask, when does that happen?
6	I thought there might be like an obscure number
7	of violations. Okay. So it, it really doesn't
8	apply to our
9	MS. STATZ: No, no.
10	MS. KLETTER: Okay.
11	MR. SCHULMAN: No.
12	MS. KLETTER: Yeah, any other questions?
13	Peter, were you going to say something?
14	MR. SCHULMAN: No. I'm good. Okay,
15	thank you.
16	MS. KLETTER: So I'm going to ask for a
17	motion to approve the rule.
18	MS. KNAUER: Motion.
19	MS. KLETTER: Thanks.
20	MR. DIETZ: Can I get names, please? So
21	I can
22	MS. KLETTER: So we'll call for a vote,
23	then, yeah, state your name and your vote,
24	please.

	Page 1
1	April 30, 2020
2	MR. MARTINEZ: Jorge, yes.
3	MR. DIETZ: Okay.
4	MS. KNAUER: Elizabeth Knauer, yes.
5	MR. DIETZ: Thanks.
6	MR. SHPETNER: Tom Shpetner, yes.
7	[CROSSTALK] [00:12:58] [09:48:58 A.M.]
8	MR. SHPETNER: Oh, sorry.
9	MS. LIGUORI: Madelynn Liguori, yes.
10	MS. GRAHAM: Shamonda Graham
11	MR. PECUNIES: Russ Pecunies, yes.
12	MR. DIETZ: Okay.
13	MS. GRAHAM: Shamonda Graham, yes.
14	MR. DIETZ: Okay.
15	MR. WHITTINGTON: Jarrod Whittington,
16	yes.
17	MR. DIETZ: Yes. So I've got seven
18	yeses. Is there every- anyone else?
19	MR. GREGORY: Joseph Gregory, yes, if
20	you didn't get.
21	MR. SHPETNER: And if you didn't get it,
22	Tom Shpetner, yes.
23	MR. DIETZ: Yeah, I have that. Okay.
24	So, then, that would be now we've got eight

	$\Gamma_{\alpha} \sim 10$
1	Page 18 April 30, 2020
2	yeses. And
3	MS. KLETTER: And I, and I vote yes,
4	Nick.
5	MR. DIETZ: And who's that? And no
6	do we have any abstentions? So that's no.
7	MS. STATZ: You got it? You're good?
8	MR. DIETZ: Oh, yes.
9	MS. STATZ: Okay.
10	MS. KLETTER: Okay. Do you want to
11	just, Nick, state the final number, please?
12	MR. DIETZ: Oh, okay. So we have, then,
13	nine votes in favor and none opposing and no
14	abstentions.
15	MS. KLETTER: Okay, thank you.
16	MR. DIETZ: Sure.
17	MS. KLETTER: The next item on the
18	agenda is DEP's request for Cease and Desist
19	Orders by Russell Pecunies.
20	MR. PECUNIES: Alright, thank you. Good
21	morning. Everybody can hear me?
22	MS. STATZ: Yep.
23	MR. PECUNIES: Yes? Okay, great.
24	Russell Pecunies, with the Bureau of Legal

2.1

2.2

Affairs at the Department of Environmental

Protection. For this Board meeting, DEP is

requesting that the Board approve 65 requests for

cease and desist orders.

In each of these 65 cases, the building owner was ordered by DEP to install the appropriate required backflow prevention devices on their property. In each of these cases, the building owner failed to comply with that order and was then issued a summons for failing to install. And, in each of these cases, that summons was adjudicated in violation and the premises is still not in compliance.

Based on that, DEP is asking the Board to approve these cease and desist orders. I would note, because of the unusual circumstances, I would just add that we are aware that OATH's clerical staff is not currently in the office and that the actual issuance and scheduling of these orders will have to wait for that to happen. So we're aware that these probably will not be scheduled until sometime during the summer, at least. [Unintelligible] [00:16:18] [09:52:18

2.1

A.M.] when OATH is able to physically issue the orders, that that can take place.

MS. KNAUER: Can I ask a question?

Elizabeth Knauer. So, if OATH is able to issue the orders at some point but the offices are still closed for hearings, what will be the process, then? There would be an order out there but the respondent wouldn't be able to [unintelligible] [00:16:57] [09:52:57 A.M.]

MR. PECUNIES: I, I can -- all cease and desist hearings right now, with OATH's hearing offices being closed, are going to be rescheduled until sometime, I'm assuming, in at least July or August. These will not be scheduled, I think it's safe to say, until OATH's hearing operations are able to do live hearings again. These are not going to be done as remote hearings. These will be live hearings that will take place in, you know, I don't know, August, September. But, but --

MS. KNAUER: So, and just to clarify, what you're saying is that the cease and desist orders will not be issued until the hearings can

1	Page 21 April 30, 2020
2	be scheduled?
3	MR. PECUNIES: Right. Correct.
4	MS. KNAUER: Okay, okay.
5	MR. PECUNIES: Yeah, because the order
6	has a date on it for them to pop in.
7	MS. KNAUER: Right.
8	MR. PECUNIES: So the, the order won't
9	be issued until there is a date that they will be
10	directed to come in, and OATH will be open for
11	live hearings at that time, whenever it is, so.
12	Yeah, but we basically, we, we just did not want
13	to skip a Board meeting and not have any orders
14	approved, even though we realize the orders will
15	not go out for, for some time.
16	MS. KLETTER: Any other questions? I'd
17	ask for a motion to approve the orders.
18	MS. GRAHAM: Motion.
19	MS. KLETTER: Thank you. Call for a
20	vote.
21	MR. MARTINEZ: Jorge Martinez, yes.
22	MR. GREGORY: Joseph Gregory
23	MS. KNAUER: Elizabeth Knauer, yes.
24	Sorry.

	Dara 7.
1	Page 22 April 30, 2020
2	MR. DIETZ: Yes. Okay, I think I missed
3	
4	MS. LIGUORI: Madelynn Liguori, yes.
5	MR. DIETZ: Okay.
6	MR. SMITH: Matt Smith, yes.
7	MR. DIETZ: Yes.
8	MS. GRAHAM: Shamonda Graham, yes.
9	MR. SHPETNER: Tom Shpetner, yes.
10	MR. WHITTINGTON: Jarrod
11	MR. PECUNIES: Russ
12	MR. WHITTINGTON: Whittington, yes.
13	MR. PECUNIES: Russ Pecunies, yes.
14	MS. KLETTER: Joni Kletter, yes.
15	MR. DIETZ: So I count one, two, three,
16	four, five I have eight yeses. I missed
17	MS. KLETTER: Any abstentions? Any
18	opposed?
19	MS. LIGUORI: Would, would it make sense
20	to maybe do a roll call of the Board members?
21	That way, we don't miss anyone.
22	MS. KNAUER: Yeah, because I think when
23	I spoke, someone spoke at the same time as me,
24	but I couldn't tell who it was.

	Page 23
1	April 30, 2020
2	MR. SHPETNER: I would agree. Or just
3	start with the noes and the abstentions, anyway,
4	because these are routine, typically.
5	MR. DIETZ: Oh, okay. I can read
6	through. So we have Joni Kletter was a yes;
7	Matthew Smith was a yes; Jorge Martinez was a
8	yes; Madelynn Liguori, yes; Russ Pecunies, yes;
9	Shamonda Graham, yes; Tom Shpetner, yes;
10	Elizabeth Knauer, yes.
11	MS. STATZ: Joseph Greg-, did you say
12	Joseph Gregory?
13	MR. DIETZ: Oh, no, I didn't. Sorry.
14	MR. GREGORY: Okay. Joseph Gregory is a
15	yes.
16	MR. WHITTINGTON: And Jarrod
17	Whittington, yes, also.
18	MR. DIETZ: Oh, sorry. Good thing we
19	did that. So I've got one, two, three, four,
20	five, six, seven, eight, nine, ten 10 yeses,
21	zero nos, zero abstentions.
22	MS. KLETTER: Thank you. Okay. I'm

going to ask for a motion to go into Executive

Session to discuss our new decisions in the cases

23

24

1	April 30, 2020
2	listed in the Judicial Report.
3	[MS. KNAUER INDICATES MOTION]
4	MS. KLETTER: Thank you.
5	MR. SCHULMAN: Okay. So, I'd ask that
6	people who are not employed by OATH can either go
7	out of the call or I can place you in a waiting
8	room, if you want to come back in when we're
9	done.
10	MS. STATZ: Good morning, Timothy.
11	[OFF THE RECORD]
12	[ON THE RECORD]
13	MS. KLETTER: Are there any additional
14	questions or comments? No? Okay. The date of
15	the next Board meeting is what, Peter?
16	MR. SHPETNER: Actually, I have a
17	question. And it's a softball. Like, I mean
18	just, how's it going? Like, this is weird, you
19	know.
20	MS. KLETTER: Sure. Yeah, well, first
21	of all, I want to say that I hope to meet you all
22	in person soon. I know, obviously, some of you
23	I've met. I haven't had a chance to meet with
24	all of you. But, fortunately, OATH has remained

2.1

2.2

fully operational during this time period. So we immediately contracted with a company called Court Call my first week that I started, which has allowed us to conduct our hearings remotely. And it's been extremely effective. So all parties can be at home and call into a hearing. It's recorded, there are virtual wait rooms. It makes the whole process very easy. I don't know if Amy is still on the call, but she can describe it in a little more detail.

And, for example, on Thursday there were over 250 telephonic hearings that were held in our Hearings Division.

MR. SHPETNER: That's pretty cool.

MS. KLETTER: So I've just been incredibly impressed with the team in terms of what we've been able to accomplish over the last six weeks.

MR. SHPETNER: Can I slip in an anecdotal question? Sorry. I'm sorry to interrupt. But, just anecdotally, do you think the hearings are as, as good quality as they are in person? Because I, I just have been reading

2.1

April 30, 2020

and sensing myself, just like in person is just, you know, one percent better. So do you feel like there's any slippage, or am I just overthinking it?

MS. KLETTER: Well, is Amy on? I don't think we've had many complaints, honestly.

MR. SHPETNER: That's great.

MS. KLETTER: And I was surprised, myself, because I expected to hear complaints from the agencies.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ SHPETNER: Well, that was the premise of my question.

[CROSSTALK] [00:23:56] [10:05:56 A.M.]

MS. KLETTER: But the sound quality has been very good, and we can also offer webcam hearings as well, if needed. There's an opportunity in the Trials Division where we can do video hearings as well. We did have one call which involved interpreters, so that was a little bit more challenging, obviously, because there were eight people who were dialed in in a video conference with an interpreter, but they managed to, to get through the hearing and --

	Dago 2
1	Page 2' April 30, 2020
2	MR. SHPETNER: Okay, cool.
3	MS. KLETTER: and, again, I didn't
4	hear many complaints, which
5	MS. GRAHAM: Tom, can you hear me?
6	MR. SHPETNER: Yes.
7	MS. GRAHAM: Tom, can you hear me? So
8	this is Shamonda Graham from Department of
9	Buildings.
10	MR. SHPETNER: Hi, Shamonda.
11	MS. GRAHAM: Department of Buildings,
12	Department of Buildings has been participating in
13	these hearings, and I must say I am extremely
14	proud to be a part of this. I mean, it was
15	difficult in the very beginning stages, but, as
16	we picked up, it seems like even the respondents
17	are getting used to it.
18	The one thing that, that is a major
19	difference is, in some cases, the hearings take a
20	bit longer. It really depends on the technology
21	capabilities of the respondent, as well.
22	MR. SHPETNER: Right.
23	MS. GRAHAM: But, for the most part,
24	this is definitely, as far as I'm concerned,

2.1

certainly a foreseeable future, not, maybe not necessarily for all cases, but for cases where it makes sense to do so. Like, for me, high volume reps, this is definitely a way that we could go in coordinating and getting some of those heavy hitting respondents off the calendar and off to the side, maybe doing hearings, you know, non-stop, all day, opposed to being in the mix.

MR. SHPETNER: Yeah, so --

MS. GRAHAM: So, right now, the DOB really likes it.

MR. SHPETNER: Well, maybe, also, I've, you know, I've wondered about this, whether or not we could always have improved the forum a little bit for submissions that are done electronically, because they tend to wind up with these peculiar results where, you know, no one's, you know, participating directly. It's all via e-mail and things. So maybe somebody doesn't know to use the magic words in a Sanitation case, for example. So maybe this is a bridge to ameliorating that sort of, some of the things that I've not loved about that forum.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

MS. KNAUER: How are, how are, how are the hearings conducted where documentary evidence needs to be presented?

MS. GRAHAM: So, on, on the DOB end, evidence has -- can you hear me, Elizabeth? This is Shamonda.

MS. KNAUER: I can, yeah. Thank you.

MS. GRAHAM: So, so on the DOB end, evidence has somewhat always been an issue, because we basically have our evidence attached to the paper summonses. But the plan right now is, once we are fully mobile, the evidence will be in the system. So what's happening right now is, when the evidence is available and the respondent is capable of accessing it, we will send the evidence to the hearing officer via email, and I believe the hearing officer is facilitating getting it to the respondent so that they can see it. And then, once all parties agree that they can see it and they're okay with it, the evidence is submitted as we would normally submit.

So, I mean, right now the, there's an

2.1

2.2

operational hurdle, but I must say it's really on the DOB end that, the bottom line is we're moving to mobile summonses and, once that happens, all the evidence will be in an electronic state at the onset, which makes it very different and it makes us very much more capable to move the evidence around. But, right now, we are not having any major issues as it relates to evidence.

And then the great thing, I must say,
Commissioner, I want to thank OATH because, not
only considering the current environment for the
respondent which, as far as I'm concerned, we
just want compliance, they're also considering
that as it relates to the City agencies. So,
because of the current environment, you know,
we're not having a hard time getting adjournments
or tech- technological issues, for the respondent
as well. We just simply ask for the adjournment
and that kind of gives us time to gather
everything that we need to gather so we can go
back at it at the next hearing.

MS. KNAUER: And the respondents could

1	April 30, 2020
2	submit documentary evidence through e-mail to,
3	directly to the hearing officer and the
4	petitioning agency? Is that how it works?
5	KELLY CORSO, ESQ., ASSISTANT
6	COMMISSIONER FOR HEARINGS DIVISION ADJUDICATIONS,
7	OATH: Yes, Elizabeth. This is Kelly Corso.
8	That's correct. They can e-mail the evidence to
9	the hearing officer and the same, it will go the
10	same way as Shamonda, with the DOB evidence. The
11	parties will then all e-mail [unintelligible]
12	[00:28:51] [10:10:51 A.M.] and then it's uploaded
13	into our system.
14	[CROSSTALK] [00:28:59] [10:10:59 A.M.]
15	MS. KNAUER: Okay. So is there a
16	procedure for doing that a certain number of days
17	in advance of the hearing? Or does it just
18	happen right before the hearing or during the
19	hearing?
20	MS. CORSO: It happens during the
21	hearing.
22	MS. GRAHAM: During the hearing.
23	MR. SHPETNER: During the hearing.
24	MR. GREGORY: And this is

	Page 3
1	Page 3 April 30, 2020
2	MS. KNAUER: During the hearing.
3	MR. GREGORY: this is Joe Gregory
4	from the Fire Department. Just to let you know,
5	FDNY has been doing this for quite some time with
6	us. So we're kind of, I guess, are old hats at
7	it. It's just been expanded and it's been
8	working well for us before the, the pandemic and
9	it's wel-, working well now during the, the
10	crisis. So it, it's a
11	MR. SHPETNER: Oh, okay.
12	MR. GREGORY: it's a great system
13	that's in place.
14	MR. SHPETNER: So I'm glad we didn't
15	have to just adopt new technology on the fly.
16	That's cool. Okay, good.
17	MS. KLETTER: Great. I'm glad to hear
18	that the agencies are just able to work with us
19	on this as we adjust to this new reality.
20	MS. CORSO: Yes.
21	MR. SHPETNER: So are we going to kick
22	off Appeals pretty soon? Is that a natural
23	consequence of all these hearings?

MR. SCHULMAN: We, we've been doing, we,

24

	Pago
1	Page : April 30, 2020
2	we've still been doing appeals.
3	MR. SHPETNER: Oh, I haven't had,
4	haven't had to do one in a while. Maybe
5	[unintelligible] [00:30:10] [10:12:10 A.M.] or
6	whatever.
7	MR. SCHULMAN: Yeah, we, we did cut it
8	back to one panel a month right now. So I, I do
9	believe you're on for the panel in May, which
10	would be May 21st.
11	MR. SHPETNER: I'm here for months,
12	Peter. I just, you know.
13	MR. SCHULMAN: May 21st, you're on for
14	that one.
15	MR. SHPETNER: Alright. I, I
16	MS. KNAUER: Because I was on a penal
17	and I thought it worked perfectly.
18	MR. SHPETNER: Oh, good. Okay.
19	MR. SCHULMAN: Yeah. Well, the panel
20	that you were on, Elizabeth, some people were
21	still in the office and you did a phone
22	MS. KNAUER: That's correct.
23	MR. SCHULMAN: We did a panel last week
24	where it was Webex. So we'll be doing the panel

in May on Webex again. And I think, and the staff attorneys will join us on that. MS. SLIFKA: This is Amy. I just wanted to say that the remote hearings are going really well, actually. And I want to thank the agencie for being really helpful and making this all work so smoothly. MS. KLETTER: Okay. So is the next		
staff attorneys will join us on that. MS. SLIFKA: This is Amy. I just wanted to say that the remote hearings are going really well, actually. And I want to thank the agencial for being really helpful and making this all works so smoothly. MS. KLETTER: Okay. So is the next meeting for ECB June 11th? Because that's what have. Okay. MS. STATZ: Yes. MS. KLETTER: June 11th. I'm going to make a mot-, is there a motion to adjourn the meeting? Thank you. MS. GRAHAM: Motion. MS. KLETTER: Adjourned. Thanks so much, everybody. I hope to meet you soon. (The board meeting concluded at 10:14	1	April 30, 2020
MS. SLIFKA: This is Amy. I just wanted to say that the remote hearings are going really well, actually. And I want to thank the agencial for being really helpful and making this all works so smoothly. MS. KLETTER: Okay. So is the next meeting for ECB June 11th? Because that's what have. Okay. MS. STATZ: Yes. MS. KLETTER: June 11th. I'm going to make a mot-, is there a motion to adjourn the meeting? Thank you. MS. GRAHAM: Motion. MS. KLETTER: Adjourned. Thanks so much, everybody. I hope to meet you soon. (The board meeting concluded at 10:14	2	in May on Webex again. And I think, and the
to say that the remote hearings are going really well, actually. And I want to thank the agencie for being really helpful and making this all wo so smoothly. MS. KLETTER: Okay. So is the next meeting for ECB June 11th? Because that's what have. Okay. MS. STATZ: Yes. MS. KLETTER: June 11th. I'm going to make a mot-, is there a motion to adjourn the meeting? Thank you. MS. GRAHAM: Motion. MS. KLETTER: Adjourned. Thanks so much, everybody. I hope to meet you soon. (The board meeting concluded at 10:14	3	staff attorneys will join us on that.
well, actually. And I want to thank the agencies for being really helpful and making this all work so smoothly. MS. KLETTER: Okay. So is the next meeting for ECB June 11th? Because that's what have. Okay. MS. STATZ: Yes. MS. KLETTER: June 11th. I'm going to make a mot-, is there a motion to adjourn the meeting? Thank you. MS. GRAHAM: Motion. MS. KLETTER: Adjourned. Thanks so much, everybody. I hope to meet you soon. (The board meeting concluded at 10:14	4	MS. SLIFKA: This is Amy. I just wanted
for being really helpful and making this all works so smoothly. MS. KLETTER: Okay. So is the next meeting for ECB June 11th? Because that's what have. Okay. MS. STATZ: Yes. MS. KLETTER: June 11th. I'm going to make a mot-, is there a motion to adjourn the meeting? Thank you. MS. GRAHAM: Motion. MS. KLETTER: Adjourned. Thanks so much, everybody. I hope to meet you soon. (The board meeting concluded at 10:14	5	to say that the remote hearings are going really
8 so smoothly. 9 MS. KLETTER: Okay. So is the next 10 meeting for ECB June 11th? Because that's what 11 have. Okay. 12 MS. STATZ: Yes. 13 MS. KLETTER: June 11th. I'm going to 14 make a mot-, is there a motion to adjourn the 15 meeting? Thank you. 16 MS. GRAHAM: Motion. 17 MS. KLETTER: Adjourned. Thanks so 18 much, everybody. I hope to meet you soon. 19 (The board meeting concluded at 10:14	6	well, actually. And I want to thank the agencies
MS. KLETTER: Okay. So is the next meeting for ECB June 11th? Because that's what have. Okay. MS. STATZ: Yes. MS. KLETTER: June 11th. I'm going to make a mot-, is there a motion to adjourn the meeting? Thank you. MS. GRAHAM: Motion. MS. KLETTER: Adjourned. Thanks so much, everybody. I hope to meet you soon. (The board meeting concluded at 10:14	7	for being really helpful and making this all work
meeting for ECB June 11th? Because that's what have. Okay. MS. STATZ: Yes. MS. KLETTER: June 11th. I'm going to make a mot-, is there a motion to adjourn the meeting? Thank you. MS. GRAHAM: Motion. MS. KLETTER: Adjourned. Thanks so much, everybody. I hope to meet you soon. (The board meeting concluded at 10:14	8	so smoothly.
have. Okay. MS. STATZ: Yes. MS. KLETTER: June 11th. I'm going to make a mot-, is there a motion to adjourn the meeting? Thank you. MS. GRAHAM: Motion. MS. KLETTER: Adjourned. Thanks so much, everybody. I hope to meet you soon. (The board meeting concluded at 10:14	9	MS. KLETTER: Okay. So is the next
MS. STATZ: Yes. MS. KLETTER: June 11th. I'm going to make a mot-, is there a motion to adjourn the meeting? Thank you. MS. GRAHAM: Motion. MS. KLETTER: Adjourned. Thanks so much, everybody. I hope to meet you soon. (The board meeting concluded at 10:14	10	meeting for ECB June 11th? Because that's what I
MS. KLETTER: June 11th. I'm going to make a mot-, is there a motion to adjourn the meeting? Thank you. MS. GRAHAM: Motion. MS. KLETTER: Adjourned. Thanks so much, everybody. I hope to meet you soon. (The board meeting concluded at 10:14	11	have. Okay.
make a mot-, is there a motion to adjourn the meeting? Thank you. MS. GRAHAM: Motion. MS. KLETTER: Adjourned. Thanks so much, everybody. I hope to meet you soon. (The board meeting concluded at 10:14	12	MS. STATZ: Yes.
meeting? Thank you. MS. GRAHAM: Motion. MS. KLETTER: Adjourned. Thanks so much, everybody. I hope to meet you soon. (The board meeting concluded at 10:14	13	MS. KLETTER: June 11th. I'm going to
MS. GRAHAM: Motion. MS. KLETTER: Adjourned. Thanks so much, everybody. I hope to meet you soon. (The board meeting concluded at 10:14	14	make a mot-, is there a motion to adjourn the
MS. KLETTER: Adjourned. Thanks so much, everybody. I hope to meet you soon. (The board meeting concluded at 10:14	15	meeting? Thank you.
much, everybody. I hope to meet you soon. (The board meeting concluded at 10:14	16	MS. GRAHAM: Motion.
19 (The board meeting concluded at 10:14	17	MS. KLETTER: Adjourned. Thanks so
	18	much, everybody. I hope to meet you soon.
20 A.M.)	19	(The board meeting concluded at 10:14
	20	A.M.)

21

Environmental Control Board, 4/30/2020 CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY

I, Claudia Marques, certify that the foregoing transcript of Board Meeting of the Environmental Control Board on April 30, 2020, was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Certified By

Claudia Marques

Date: May 8, 2020

GENEVAWORLDWIDE, INC

256 West 38th Street - 10th Floor New York, NY 10018