NEW YORK CITY

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE TRIALS AND HEARINGS

ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL BOARD

BOARD MEETING

Training Room 143, 12th Floor 100 Church Street, New York, New York August 27, 2015 9:26 A.M. to 10:00 A.M.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Lt. Dan Albano, Esq. - Police Department Robert Carver, Esq. - Citizen Member Fidel F. Del Valle, Esq. - Chair, OATH Joseph Gregory, Esq. - Fire Department **Renaldo Hylton - Exec. Dir., Dept. of Buildings** Elizabeth Knauer, Esq. - Citizen Member Madelynn Liguori, Esq. - Dept. of Sanitation Jorge Martinez, Esq. - Dept. of Health and Mental Hygiene **Russell Pecunies, Esq. - Dept. of Environmental Protection** Thomas D. Shpetner, Esq. - Citizen Member

ALSO PRESENT:

Jim Macron, Esq. - Counsel to the Board, OATH/ECB Frances Shine - Secretary to the Board, OATH/ECB Rachel Amar - Special Assistant to the Commissioner, OATH James Armstrong, Esq. - Law School Fellow, OATH Helaine Balsam, Esq. - Deputy General Counsel, OATH Denis Brogan, Esq. - Assist. General Counsel, OATH John Burns, Esq. - First Deputy Commissioner, OATH John Castelli, Esq. - Assist. Commissioner for Legislative Affairs, OATH Vanessa Caughman - Computer Service Technician, OATH Kelly Corso, Esq. - Assist. Director of Adjudications, ECB Fana Garrick - Public Affairs Assistant, OATH David Goldin, Esq. - Administrative Justice Coordinator, Mayor's Office Shamonda Graham - Department of Transportation Vivienne Kahng, Esq. - Staff Attorney, Appeals, OATH/ECB Mark H. Leeds, Esq. - Special Senior Counsel, ECB Nancy Lin - Office of Management & Budget Maria Marchiano, Esq. - Sr. Counsel/Assistant Commissioner, OATH Carol Moran, Esq. - Deputy Commissioner of Health and Consumer Hearings and Taxi, OATH Doris Stewart - Department of Transportation Peter Schulman, Esq. - Deputy Supervising Attorney, Appeals, ECB Amy Slifka, Esq. - Deputy Commissioner, ECB Thomas Southwick, Esq. - Supervising Attorney, Appeals, ECB Ari Wax, Esq. - Assist. Commissioner of Administrative Policy & Enforcement, DOB

August 27, 2015	Page
	INDEX
Fidel F. Del Valle, Esq.	4
Renaldo Hylton	4
Lt. Dan Albano, Esq.	5
Elizabeth Knauer, Esq.	11
Helaine Balsam, Esq.	13
Jorge Martinez, Esq.	17
Russell Pecunies, Esq.	19
Kelly Corso, Esq.	22

3

1	Page 4 August 27, 2015
2	(The Board Meeting commenced at 9:26
3	A.M.)
4	MR. FIDEL F. DEL VALLE, ESQ.,
5	CHAIRPERSON, COMMISSIONER & CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE
6	LAW JUDGE, OATH: Good morning.
7	ALL: Good morning.
8	CHAIR DEL VALLE: This is a meeting of
9	the Environmental Control Board and I'm calling
10	it to order at this time. Is there a motion to
11	adopt the minutes of the July 30th meeting? And
12	no corrections I assume? So the minutes are
13	adopted. The Buildings Department has got a
14	pretty full agenda today. And I'll ask Renaldo
15	Hylton to brief us.
16	MR. RENALDO HYLTON, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR,
17	DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS: Thank you. Good
18	morning.
19	ALL: Good morning.
20	MR. HYLTON: The Department of Buildings
21	has three different proposals today. And I'll
22	just go over I'll start with the first to
23	amend the penalty schedule with respect to
24	mitigated penalties after default and correction,

Page 5 1 August 27, 2015 2 certification of correction. So, the Department of Buildings seeks to 3 4 amend the ECB penalty schedule to allow reduction 5 in its default penalty amount if and when a respondent files an acceptable certificate of 6 7 correction with the Department. We believe, as 8 you know, default penalties are calculated at 9 five times standard defaults -- standard penalties. And so -- and with the strict default 10 11 reopening rules, we believe that this would kind 12 of empower respondents a little bit more to -- or 13 encourage respondents a bit more to correct, 14 leading to increased public safety in exchange 15 for half that penalty. And that is the first 16 request. Any questions? 17 LT. DAN ALBANO, ESQ., POLICE DEPARTMENT: How long after they've defaulted can they correct 18 19 and have the penalty reduced? Is there a time 20 period? 21 MR. HYLTON: Respondents can correct a

violation at any time after its issued. So, after default they can correct. What's happening though, the defaulted -- the penalty section is

-	Page 6
1	August 27, 2015
2	the penalty is being administered by ECB and
3	corrections is being administered by Buildings.
4	And so when a respondent defaults, they normally
5	don't even to certify a correction, they don't
6	have to go to ECB at all. They come to the
7	Department of Buildings. What we're trying to do
8	is to empower or give them an incentive to
9	correct.
10	LT. ALBANO: Gotcha.
11	MR. HYLTON: And increase public safety
12	awareness. Also help the City to correct to
13	collect on a default debt.
14	LT. ALBANO: Okay.
15	CHAIR DEL VALLE: That question was for
16	the record that question was from Lt. Albano.
17	LT. ALBANO: Thank you. Sorry.
18	CHAIR DEL VALLE: That's okay. Are there
19	any other questions? Is there a motion to go
20	forward with publication? It's unanimously
21	approved with one abstention.
22	MR. HYLTON: One abstention. Thank you.
23	My second proposal to the Board involves just
24	some amendments to our penalty schedule, kind of

Page 7 1 August 27, 2015 2 a clean-up of the penalty schedule. What we found -- that we found some duplicative charge, which 3 4 we are asking to delete the charge of use of 5 support scaffold without a user's certificate. We 6 find that it's already captured in another 7 charge. So, we're going to ask that that be 8 deleted from our penalty schedule. 9 The second is the addition of our Class 10 2, which is a major violation of BC 3314.4.5.8. 11 Which really requires a worker on a supported 12 scaffold or on a scaffold to show that they're 13 qualified -- two things: by training and by 14 carrying the card around. It's like a driver's 15 license. So you have to go through a driver's ed 16 class. That's one requirement. Then the next 17 thing is to have your driver's license on you at 18 all times. The same thing for those people who 19 you see climbing on scaffolds and working on 20 scaffolds. And this addition of a Class 2, it is 21 a Class 1 offense if you don't have the training, 22 right, unqualified. But we are allowing a Class 23 2: if you have had the training but you don't 24 meet the other requirement, which is you're

1

2

3

4

5

August 27, 2015

driving without a license kind of thing. You're working and not having that card on you. And so that's the second proposal that we're asking to add that Class 2 charge to that.

CHAIR DEL VALLE: Any questions? 6 7 Third. Another one, we're MR. HYLTON: 8 asking to amend an infraction, which failed to 9 provide pedestrian protection on sidewalks, to 10 add the 2014 code section that was inadvertently 11 left off when I did a couple months ago; we did a 12 general amendment to the penalty schedule to add 13 the 2014 code sections. On this particular 14 infraction, we inadvertently left off the 2014 15 code reference. We're also asking to amend a 16 Class 1 infraction, B107, which talks about new 17 buildings or open lots occupied without a valid 18 certificate of occupancy. And as written 19 currently, that particular section -- 118.2 20 refers to buildings that were built in accordance with the 2008 code. That was an oversight. We 21 2.2 oversee all other buildings. And so we're asking 23 that the section be changed to 118.1, which would 24 cover all buildings that are occupied without a

	Page 9
1	August 27, 2015
2	valid C of O.
3	And we are also asking to amend an
4	infraction code for failing to obey a vacate
5	order. We believe that we think that it could
6	be interpreted that that section that we cited
7	207.4 may be interpreted as not being a Charging
8	section. So we're amending to a 28-201.1, which
9	is failure to obey the Commissioner's Order and
10	just change the language a little bit to make it
11	very clear to respondents that they're disobeying
12	an Order of the Commissioner. Any questions?
13	There is no changes to penalties at all. This is
14	a clean-up.
15	CHAIR DEL VALLE: Is there a motion?
16	It's approved.
17	MR. HYLTON: The main reason why we're
18	here today is, as you know, there's a recent
19	outbreak of Legionnaire's Disease in the South
20	Bronx. And the City has enacted a new local law
21	in order to hold owners of buildings responsible
22	for maintaining their cooling towers, their
23	evaporating condensers and so on and fluid
24	coolers. Whatever that means, ask a mechanical

1

2

engineer.

So effective August 18, 2015 the Mayor 3 signed in law, Local Law 77, which basically 4 5 amended the building code, the administrative section of the building code to require the 6 7 registration of these towers; any time they're discontinued also, the inspection, testing and 8 9 cleaning, disinfecting and maintenance of all 10 cooling towers. In order to effectively enforce 11 that, the Department proposes three infractions 12 and associated penalties. Because of the severity 13 however, and because of discussions with City 14 Hall, we are just asking that the Board 15 promulgate these rules with a finding of special 16 need for early implementation. And Helaine will 17 address that after.

18 So the first infraction would be for 19 failure to register a cooling tower prior to 20 operation. And we are asking for a \$2,000 penalty 21 for that, with no opportunity to cure but the 22 opportunity for mitigation. Which means that if 23 they correct on time but prior to the hearing, 24 they would be having a half a penalty.

1	
-	

Page 11

1	Rugust 27, 2015
2	And second is if respondent failed to
3	notify of discontinued use or removal of the
4	cooling tower, which required them to do some
5	cleaning before they discontinue it. So it is a
6	major violation. However, the penalty there is
7	less it's much less. It's a \$800 penalty with
8	a opportunity to cure and mitigate at hearing.
9	And finally the building owners are
10	required by section 317.5 to file an annual
11	certification of the cooling tower inspection,
12	testing, cleaning, disinfecting and maintenance
13	as per the health code. And if they don't do
14	that, we're asking for a \$2,000 penalty with no
15	opportunity to cure or mitigation. Any questions?
16	MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER, ESQ., CITIZEN
17	MEMBER: Elizabeth Knauer, citizen member. I had
18	a question about the penalties in comparison to
19	what the maximums are under the local law, in
20	terms of the default penalties. If the local law
21	says that the maximum civil penalty would be
22	\$2,000 for a first violation. But we have but
23	there's
24	MR. HYLTON: The local law or the rule?

1	Page 12
	August 27, 2015
2	The local law just states that our maximum
3	penalties are \$10,000 dollars.
4	MS. KNAUER: I'm sorry, the code
5	provision.
6	MR. HYLTON: Okay. The code provision
7	says that these are major violations of the code.
8	And our major violations have a maximum penalty
9	in chapter two of our code of \$10,000.
10	MS. KNAUER: But there's a provision in
11	here and it's difficult for me to tell you which
12	section it is. But it
13	MR. HYLTON: Of the local law?
14	MS. KNAUER: The printout that we
15	received on page five. And if you look, there's a
16	section two, little i in the middle of that
17	page. It says: Any owner of a building who
18	violates any provision of this section or any of
19	the rules promulgated thereunder shall be liable
20	for a civil penalty of not more than \$2,000 for a
21	first violation and not more than 5,000 for a
22	second or subsequent violation.
23	MR. HYLTON: I think this local law,
24	what you're reading is this local law amends both

1	Page 13 August 27, 2015
2	the building code and the health code. And that
3	part you're reading is amending the health code.
4	Right?
5	MS. KNAUER: It seems to be the
6	provisions relating to inspections and testing.
7	MS. MADELYNN LIGUORI, ESQ.,:No, it's
8	under title 17.
9	MS. KNAUER: Okay.
10	MR. HYLTON: It's in both.
11	MS. KNAUER: Okay.
12	MR. HYLTON: It's in both, right. And
13	health is
14	MS. KNAUER: I see.
15	MR. HYLTON: Yeah, Health is a
16	they're doing their own recommended
17	MS. KNAUER: So the building code
18	provision is a general maximum?
19	MR. HYLTON: Right.
20	MS. KNAUER: Okay.
21	CHAIR DEL VALLE: We received a notice
22	from City Hall for the expedited process.
23	MR. HYLTON: Well, did we did we ask
24	for

1	Page 14 August 27, 2015
2	CHAIR DEL VALLE: We received one. I
3	think you mentioned
4	MR. HYLTON: Oh, we're asking that it be
5	expedited because of our discussions with City
6	Hall.
7	CHAIR DEL VALLE: The City Hall has to
8	sign off on it.
9	MR. HYLTON: Okay. We'll bring that
10	back.
11	CHAIR DEL VALLE: I think it requires a
12	sign-off by the Mayor.
13	MR. HYLTON: Sure.
14	CHAIR DEL VALLE: I don't think we have
15	a problem with it; but crossing our T's and
16	dotting our I's.
17	MR. HYLTON: I understand.
18	CHAIR DEL VALLE: Any other questions?
19	MR. HYLTON: Thank you.
20	CHAIR DEL VALLE: Did we vote to
21	publish? No. Alright, it's a follow up. But are
22	we publishing yes? Is there a motion to
23	publish? Okay.
24	MR. HYLTON: Abstain.

1	Page 15 August 27, 2015
2	CHAIR DEL VALLE: The motion is
3	approved.
4	MS. BALSAM: Good morning, Helaine
5	Balsam, Deputy General Counsel for OATH. In
6	relation to the last proposal from Renaldo Hylton
7	of the Building Department, we did our best to
8	push through once the Mayor signed the law; we
9	actually drafted a proposed rule and sent it out
10	to the Law Department and the Mayor's Office of
11	Operations and not surprisingly got their
12	approval very quickly. And although you haven't
13	actually approved it yet, we published it; since
14	it's a proposed rule.
15	So it was published in the the
16	proposal was published in the City Record on
17	August 21st and the public hearing is scheduled
18	for September 21st. Since it's a proposed rule,
19	you can still comment like anybody else and we
20	can change it in any way that you feel is
21	warranted. But we felt in terms of pushing things
22	through and getting this done as soon as
23	possible, that we should go ahead and do that.
24	CHAIR DEL VALLE: Subject to your

Page 16 1 August 27, 2015 2 ratification. MS. BALSAM: Right. So, we have the 3 4 proposed rule. I don't know whether or not the 5 copy that you have actually had the date and time 6 of the hearing on it. The copy that was 7 downloaded for me does not. But the date is September 21st and that was what published --8 9 what was published in the City Record. 10 So we have the three different charges 11 that Renaldo just talked about. And just to 12 address your question, Elizabeth; under the 13 health code, the maximum penalty is \$2,000 for a 14 first offense. 15 MS. KNAUER: Can I ask one more question 16 about -- just about the actual implementation? So 17 for the inspection and testing, I guess there are levels of contamination that the Health 18 19 Department is going to set. How does that -- I 20 mean, if this -- if the penalty schedule is 21 finalized earlier than that happens, how does; 2.2 there just won't be enforcement of the inspection 23 or testing requirement until those -- until the 24 levels are promulgated by the Health Department;

1	Page 17
1	August 27, 2015
2	is that correct?
3	MR. JORGE MARTINEZ, ESQ., DEPARTMENT OF
4	HEALTH AND MENTAL HYGIENE: There's a
5	Commissioner's Order in place. So, the
6	Commissioner Order basically advises people that
7	they have to inspect their have their cooling
8	towers inspected.
9	MS. KNAUER: Just inspect visually
10	inspected?
11	MR. MARTINEZ: I believe so, yes.
12	MS. KNAUER: Okay. And then
13	MR. MARTINEZ: But that's in place
14	that's in place now.
15	MS. KNAUER: And then once the levels,
16	the thresholds are established, then the testing
17	requirement will kick in.
18	MR. MARTINEZ: Right. And we're working
19	on actual rules that we're going to promulgate
20	that will override the Commissioner's Order and
21	have a permanent rule in place covering that.
22	MS. BALSAM: That was Jorge Martinez,
23	just for the record. It's Jorge Martinez from the
24	Health Department. Yeah, and these if you look

1	Page 18 August 27, 2015				
2	at these sections, these sections really pertain				
3					
	to the registration or the de-registration if you				
4	want to say it. And then the certifications				
5	actually aren't due until November. So there's				
6	some time.				
7	MS. KNAUER: Okay. By that time, the				
8	MS. BALSAM: Yeah.				
9	MS. KNAUER: Okay.				
10	MS. BALSAM: Any other questions?				
11	CHAIR DEL VALLE: Excuse me. I'll ask				
12	the Board to ratify publication of the proposed				
13	rules for the hearing. And I so move. Any				
14	questions?				
15	LT. ALBANO: No.				
16	CHAIR DEL VALLE: All in favor? I think				
17	it's unanimous. In connection with that, because				
18	there's a provision in the Charter that we can't				
19	vote on the proposed rules until three days after				
20	the hearing; next month's hearing is I believe				
21	scheduled for the 24th. If we move next month's				
22	hearing to the 25th, we will be able to vote on				
23	the proposed rules.				
24	MS. BALSAM: Final rule.				

1	Page 19 August 27, 2015
2	CHAIR DEL VALLE: Yes, the final rule is
3	what I meant. Because the hearing is scheduled
4	for the 21st. We need a three-day gap and to send
5	out the reports and transcripts to the Board
6	members. So, I would like to move that next
7	month's meeting back one day for that purpose, in
8	order to expedite this whole process. Doing so
9	will basically knock off two or three months out
10	of the process normally it takes to do a
11	rulemaking and everything will be set to go in
12	November when the rest of the mechanics involving
13	these cooling towers will be in place.
14	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES, ESQ., DEPARTMENT
15	OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION: So the meeting
16	would be moved from Thursday to Friday?
17	CHAIR DEL VALLE: Yeah. I hope that
18	doesn't ruin anybody's Fridays. And we'll be
19	sending out a notice to that effect, unless
20	anybody's got a particular problem with that. Oh,
21	you got you're planning your vacation? Oh,
22	sorry about that. You can send the Commissioner.
23	I mean, he can come over. Is there anything else

on that?

24

1	Page 20 August 27, 2015			
2	MS. BALSAM: No.			
3	CHAIR DEL VALLE: Okay. I will refrain			
4	from saying that's cool. DEP has some requests.			
5	MR. PECUNIES: Yep. Okay, good morning.			
6	Russell Pecunies, Assistant Counsel with			
7	Department of Environmental Protection. This			
8	month, DEP is requesting that the Board approve a			
9	cease and desist order under the noise control			
10	code. The respondent is T.D. Bank and the			
11	location is 1470 Second Avenue in Manhattan. The			
12	air conditioner at this location has been cited			
13	for being too loud on four occasions; most			
14	recently just a couple of weeks ago on August			
15	14th. Due to the repeated violations and			
16	continuing failure of the respondent to bring the			
17	equipment into compliance, the Department is			
18	requesting that the Board issue an order to cease			
19	and desist.			
20	CHAIR DEL VALLE: Any questions? Motion?			
21	Approved with one abstention.			
22	MR. PECUNIES: Yeah, thank you. The			
23	second matter is from the Bureau of Waste Water			
24	Treatment. The respondent here is PGB 38 LLC.			

1

2 This is a restaurant located at 1572 Second Avenue. The restaurant has been repeatedly issued 3 4 with Commissioner's Orders and notices of 5 violation for failing to install the proper grease interceptor equipment. Based on the 6 7 establishment's continuing failure to comply with those orders and with the notices of violation, 8 9 DEP is requesting that the Board issue an order to cease and desist. 10 11 CHAIR DEL VALLE: Motion? Approved with 12 one abstention. 13 MR. PECUNIES: And finally, DEP has 28 14 requests for cease and desist orders to building 15 owners who have failed to comply with 16 Commissioner's Orders to install backflow 17 prevention devices. In each of these cases, an 18 NOV has been issued for failing to comply with

19 the Order. And in each of these cases, respondent 20 has been adjudicated in violation and is still 21 not in compliance with the requirement. So based 22 on that in each of these 28 cases, DEP is asking 23 the Board to issue an order to cease and desist. 24 CHAIR DEL VALLE: Motion? Unanimously

> **Geneva Worldwide, Inc.** 256 West 38th Street, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10018

Page 21

	Page 22				
1	August 27, 2015				
2	approved.				
3	MR. PECUNIES: Yes, thank you.				
4	CHAIR DEL VALLE: Thank you. With one				
5	abstention. Kelly Corso?				
6	MS. KELLY CORSO, ESQ., ASSISTANT				
7	DIRECTOR OF ADJUDICATIONS, ECB: Good morning.				
8	Kelly Corso, Assistant Director for Adjudications				
9	for ECB. We have 11 pre-sealing reports for				
10	today's Board; seven of them pertain to backflow				
11	violations, three pertain to air code violations				
12	and one pertains to a sewer code violation. In				
13	all of the cases, the hearing officers recommend				
14	that the C&D's either be discontinued or that no				
15	further action be required because of the				
16	establishment of compliance by the respondents at				
17	the hearings. Short and sweet today.				
18	CHAIR DEL VALLE: Is there a motion?				
19	Unanimously approved. Thank you. Is there a				
20	motion to retire to Executive Session? Approved.				
21	We will now retire to Executive Session.				
22	[OFF THE RECORD]				
23	[ON THE RECORD]				
24	CHAIR DEL VALLE: We're back on. Is				

1	Page 23 August 27, 2015				
2	there a motion to affirm the appeals decisions?				
3	It's unanimous and see you on the 25th.				
4	MS. BALSAM: The ECB rules just				
5	CHAIR DEL VALLE: ECB rules where did				
6	we miss that?				
7	MS. BALSAM: It's not in there.				
8	CHAIR DEL VALLE: Oh.				
9	MS. BALSAM: So, we the Commissioner				
10	had talked before about amending some ECB				
11	procedural rules to bring the Environmental				
12	Control Board in the Hearings Division. So that				
13	project is proceeding and we should have a				
14	presentation for you next month. So, there's been				
15	a lot of cutting and pasting. So, I just wanted				
16	to give you a heads up.				
17	CHAIR DEL VALLE: Yeah, this is a little				
18	in-house housekeeping. In the next couple of				
19	months, there will be an announcement, a very				
20	public announcement about a major reorganization				
21	of the Office of Administrative Trials and				
22	Hearings in the City of New York. And the				
23	mechanics involve essentially having to do with				
24	hearings that are a result of summonses being				

1	Page 24 August 27, 2015				
1 2					
	issued, as opposed to Trials Division stuff.				
3	There will be a lot of consolidation.				
4	Essentially what it distills down to is				
5	this. Over the last 30, 40 years there have been				
6	a lot of piecemeal changes in various tribunals				
7	in the City. And there's a lot of legacy flotsam				
8	attached with that stuff. Some of it is arcane.				
9	Some of it is stuff that just doesn't make sense				
10	anymore. I mean, it's kind of like having to				
11	serve notice by carrier pigeon kind of thing. We				
12	don't have carrier pigeons anymore. PETA made				
13	sure of that.				
14	So it's a major effort. It's going to be				
15	essentially a two-year effort to bring the				
16	process into the early 20th century. And maybe				
17	the next step will be to bring it into the 21st				
18	century. It sounds kind of silly but just as an				
19	example, we're dealing with some computer systems				
20	from the 1980's before there was the worldwide				
21	web. The telephone in my office is a zillion				
22	times more sophisticated than some of the stuff				
23	that is done on paper right now.				
24	So it's a lot of streamlining. A lot of				

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2 bringing things into line with the essential philosophy of the adjudicatory process has to be 3 4 impartial, unbiased, neutral. And in my seven or 5 eight months here so far, I have seen 6 particularly on that level that there is a 7 dramatic dissonance between the perception of the 8 people who work at the tribunals and the public 9 -- the perception of the tribunals.

This is an effort to bring those two things into sync; that is that it is mutual, that it is fair and impartial. I have no doubt that it is. But that is clearly not the public perception. And most attorneys will tell you that the perception of something being broken or improper or inappropriate is just as mortal a sin as actually it being broken. And we intend to deal with that. So that's what that's all about basically.

20 MR. MARTINEZ: Jorge Martinez, 21 Department of Health again. These new changes, 22 are they -- do you think they will affect how we 23 function here, the Board functions or how the 24 appeals process functions? Can you give us a

1	Page 26 August 27, 2015				
2					
	heads up in any kind of way?				
3	CHAIR DEL VALLE: Not right away.				
4	Perhaps down the road. I'm talking about years				
5	down the road. There's mechanical procedures that				
6	tie for example the Health Department and the				
7	Buildings Department, particularly into a very				
8	arcane dance to get something done. And that's				
9	something that will be addressed further down the				
10	line. But that requires legislation for a lot of				
11	it. Because a lot of it is embedded in the				
12	Charter or the Ad code.				
13	It's in fact in some cases, as we found				
14	out recently with changing the venue of cases				
15	going to Consumer Affairs to OATH, which will				
16	happen sometime in September; we, much to				
17	everybody's surprise, we had to deal with some				
18	State laws regarding tobacco sales and how to				
19	deal with that. Because whomever wrote the State				
20	law back in whenever the heck they wrote it,				
21	didn't conceive of the hearing process being what				
22	it is.				
23	Part of the legacy stuff we're dealing				
24	with is when a lot of this stuff was conceived,				

Page 27 1 August 27, 2015 2 the volume of hearings was nothing approaching to what it is now. When this Board was conceived in 3 1967 -- it was created in '71 but it was 4 5 conceived in 1967; they were talking about we would be sitting around here having the 6 7 respondents come in front of us and having the hearings on the summonses issued, which was nuts 8 9 because we do 700,000 summonses a year. And 10 that's why we have hearing officers and all that 11 today. 12 So it's taking care of a lot of that 13 arcane stuff. The only thing we don't have right 14 now that we have to take care of that the hearing 15 officers have to wear powdered wigs. But I kind 16 of like the idea the older I get. But that's a 17 different problem. 18 MR. HYLTON: Commissioner, do you 19 foresee any changes to the -- what do you call 20 it, you know, the Charter? 21 CHAIR DEL VALLE: There might be changes 2.2 to the Charter but that's at a pay grade above 23 any of us here. 24 MR. HYLTON: Would any of that require

1	Page 28 August 27, 2015				
2	changes; would any of those changes be required				
3	before some of those changes that you talked				
4	about in the case				
5	CHAIR DEL VALLE: No, no. I mean, we are				
6	gauging our changes according to what we can do				
7	now and what would have to be done later. A lot				
8	of the changes that are coming down the line are				
9	tied to IT capability, computer programming				
10	capability.				
11	OATH has four computer systems that				
12	don't talk to each other very well. At the end of				
13	the exercise, we're going to have one. It				
14	interfaces with 12 different computer systems				
15	from other agencies. Some of them are very				
16	modern, very new. And some of them are ancient.				
17	Part of the process will involve streamlining all				
18	of that. We're going to be spending close to \$3				
19	million just on upgrading the computer systems				
20	and bringing them into line to one system just in				
21	OATH itself.				
22	MR. HYLTON: Alright.				
23	LT. ALBANO: It'll be a big help.				
24	CHAIR DEL VALLE: Hopefully, we will				

	Page 29
1	August 27, 2015
2	complete this before the oldest stuff becomes
3	obsolete and somebody has to do it all over
4	again. Next. Any other questions?
5	MR. HYLTON: Thank you.
6	CHAIR DEL VALLE: I mean, I'll have more
7	for you hopefully, we'll have more information
8	for you at the next meeting on this stuff. Is
9	there a motion to adjourn? We are adjourned.
10	MR. PECUNIES: Alright.
11	(The Board Meeting concluded at 10:00
12	A.M.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	August 27,	2015	Page	30
2				
3				
4				
5				

CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY

I, Lea Simmons, certify that the foregoing transcript of Environmental Control Board on August 27, 2015 was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Certified By

Date: August 28, 2015

GENEVAWORLDWIDE, INC

256 West 38th Street - 10th Floor

New York, NY 10018

Page 31