NEW YORK CITY OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE TRIALS AND HEARINGS ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL BOARD

BOARD MEETING
PUBLIC SESSION

Training Room 143, 12th Floor

100 Church Street, New York, New York

July 27, 2017

9:17 A.M. to 9:43 A.M.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Fidel F. Del Valle, Esq. - Chair, Chief Administrative Law Judge, Commissioner, OATH

Shamonda Graham - Department of Buildings (DOB)

Joseph Gregory, Esq. - Fire Department (FDNY)

Elizabeth Knauer, Esq. - Appointed Member

Madelynn Liguori, Esq. - Department of Sanitation (DSNY)

Russell Pecunies, Esq. - Department of Environmental Protection (DEP)

Matthew Smith, Esq. - NYC Police Department (NYPD)

Jorge Martinez, Esq. - Dept. of Health & Mental Hygiene
(DOHMH)

Indi Savitala - Appointed Member Debra Scotto - Appointed Member

ALSO PRESENT:

Rachel Amar - Special Assistant to the Commissioner, OATH Sabrina Ball - Intern, OATH

Quiana Battle - Intern, OATH

Ralph Bernard - Fire Department (FDNY)

Kelly Corso, Esq. - Assist. Director of Adjudications, OATH

Jordan Dewbre - Intern, OATH

Kelly Garson - Intern, OATH

Ari Goldberg - Intern, OATH

Lillian Grinnell - Intern, OATH

Diana Haines, Esq. - Assistant General Counsel, OATH

Julie Hong - Intern, OATH

Susan Kassapian, Esq. - Deputy Commissioner, Hearings Division,

OATH

Kelsie Kelly - Fire Department (FDNY)

Hani Kfouri - Office of Management and Budget, OMB

Mark Leeds - Special Senior Counsel, OATH

Mariko Matsuyoshi - OATH

Christina McGinnis - Intern, OATH

Ashford Morgan - Computer Service Technician, OATH

Leemor Peled - Business Integrity Commission (BIC)

Mimi Polubinski - Intern, OATH

Reachelle Ramirez - Intern, OATH

Tynia Richard - Deputy Commissioner/General Counsel, OATH

Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 256 West 38th Street, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10018

Tom Salama - Intern, Oath

Simone Salloum, Esq. - Senior Counsel, OATH

Peter Schulman, Esq. - Assist. Director of

Adjudications, OATH

Carmena Schwecke, Esq. - Assistant Commissioner,

Hearings Division, OATH

Frances Shine - Secretary to the Board, OATH

Amy Slifka, Esq. - Deputy Commissioner, Hearings Division, OATH

Thomas Southwick, Esq. - Supervising Attorney, Appeals, OATH

Olga Statz, Esq. - Assist. Commissioner/Deputy General Counsel, OATH

Sierra Meehan Strassberg - Intern, OATH

Rachel Walker - Fire Department (FDNY)

Rong Yang - Intern, OATH

July 27, 2017		Page	4
]	INDEX	Page	
Fidel F. Del Valle, Esq.		5	
Amy Slifka, Esq.		6	
Joseph Gregory, Esq.		12	
Shamonda Graham		13	
Russell Pecunies, Esq.		14	
Kelly Corso, Esq.		16	
Jorge Martinez, Esg.		19	

1	July 27, 2017
2	(The Board Meeting commenced at 9:17
3	A.M.)
4	MR. FIDEL F. DEL VALLE, ESQ.,
5	CHAIRPERSON, COMMISSIONER & CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE
6	LAW JUDGE, OATH: Is there a motion to accept the
7	minutes of the last meeting?
8	MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER, ESQ., APPOINTED
9	MEMBER: I abstain.
10	MS. SHAMONDA GRAHAM, DEPARTMENT OF
11	BUILDINGS: Motion. I was there.
12	MR. DEL VALLE: Alright. It's they're
13	accepted with one abstention. I thought you were
14	making a motion to amend them. Our first order of
15	business is our quarterly presentation of stats
16	by Deputy Commissioner Slifka. Oh, by the way, we
17	normally have a backup recorder going at the same
18	time that we are conducting a meeting. Somehow it
19	managed to get locked into somebody's desk who is
20	on vacation. So that if you speak, speak a little
21	louder than you normally would do so so the mic
22	on the camera can pick up your voice.
23	MS. AMY SLIFKA, ESQ., DEPUTY
24	COMMISSIONER/HEARINGS DIVISION, OATH: Okav, good

2.1

2.2

morning everyone. A full house here today. Okay, most of the Board Members are aware of what the quarterly report is about. But for some of these —— the new Member, new people here, the quarterly report provides information for the tribunal for the quarter. And this is the second quarter of 2017. So we look at summonses received. We look at a comparison of the second quarter 2016 to the second quarter 2017 of decisions rendered, summonses received, and summonses heard. We also look at the percentage of in violation versus dismissal rates for each agency and the most commonly issued summonses by each agency, and the number of appeals. So let's begin.

Okay. So, to begin with we see that for Sanitation, there's been a decrease of five percent. DOB has been active this quarter.

There's an increase of 44 percent to 21,040. DOT, again, I guess this is a busy season for them: 45 percent increase. FDNY, a 16 percent increase.

DEP, a 16 percent increase. And Health, a 44 percent increase. For Parks, well, it's summertime, so there's been 124 percent increase

2.1

from 1,443 to 3,237. For NYPD, there's been a three percent decrease; although we expect to see an increase going forward because of the new CJRA cases we expect to be receiving. For DoITT, there's an 85 percent increase. For BIC, a five percent increase. And for Landmarks, a 15 percent increase from 13 to 15.

Now for the non-Board cases, because as you all know that the Tribunal now consists of Health violations, DCA violations and Vehicle for Hire, in addition to the Board cases, there was a five percent decrease. For DCA cases, a 14 percent increase. And for Vehicle for Hire, an eight percent increase. Okay.

So for summons received comparing the second quarter of 2016 with the second quarter of 2017, really overall with DSNY there's been a 20 percent decrease. For DOB, a 40 percent increase. DOT, you can see a 22 percent decrease. FDNY, a seven percent increase. Health, a 35 percent increase. NYPD, a seven percent increase. DEP, a 15 percent increase. Parks, a 31 percent increase. DoITT, five percent increase. BIC, 41

2.1

percent decrease. Landmarks, 35 percent decrease.

And overall, there's been a nine percent

decrease.

For summonses heard, for DSNY,

Sanitation, a two percent decrease. For DOB, a 19

percent increase. For Health, a four percent

increase. Fire, 22 percent increase. DOT, a 33

percent decrease. DEP, 11 percent increase. NYPD,

a 27 percent increase. Parks, an 18 percent

increase. DoITT, a 42 percent decrease. And BIC,

good for them, 100 percent increase. Look at

that. Landmarks, a 56 percent decrease. And

overall, again, there's been a five percent

increase.

So for decisions rendered, decrease with Sanitation of two percent. Increase with DOB of 19 percent. Health, a four percent increase.

FDNY, a 22 percent increase. DOT, a 33 percent decrease. DEP, 11 percent increase. NYPD, a 27 percent increase. Parks, an 18 percent increase.

DoITT is a decrease of 42 percent. BIC, everybody showed up, 100 percent, and there were decisions for each. Landmarks, a 56 percent decrease. And

2.1

2 overall it's a five percent increase.

Okay. So for the most part we're getting all the cases done; 71 percent done in less than ten days and 91 percent of the cases being heard and decided within 20 days. Okay. So comparing the dismissal rate with the second quarter 2016 and the second quarter rate 2017, pretty much the same: 58.3 percent were found in violation in 2016 and 55.6 percent were found in violation in 2017.

So comparing each agency, second quarter 2016 with the second quarter of 2017, again for DSNY it was 40 percent in violation in 2016. It went down a bit in 2017 to 35 percent. DOB, pretty much the same: 78 percent in violation; 77 percent in 2017. DOT, again for the small amount of change: 62 percent in violation in 2016; 58 percent in violation in 2017. Fire Department, amazing, 88 percent in 2016 and 88 percent in 2017 in violation. DEP, it's 84 percent again in 2016 and 84 percent in 2017. NYPD, it was 46 percent in violation in 2016. It is -- what is it? -- 33 percent I think. I don't have the

2.1

2.2

number -- oh, there -- 33 percent. I couldn't see it. And Health, it's 58 percent 2016 and 54 percent 2017 in violation. Parks, 54 percent in violation 2016 and 38 percent in 2017. DoITT, 36 percent in '16 and 35 percent in '17. And BIC, 75 percent in violation in 2016 and 75 percent dismissed in 2017. Landmarks, 75 percent in violation in 2016 and 67 percent in violation in 2017.

So, the decisions rendered after the hearing for the non-Board cases: 60.5 percent in violation in 2017 and 39.5 dismissal rate. For the DCA cases, it was 86.9 percent in violation; 13.1 dismissed. And for the Vehicle for Hire, 66.6 in violation and 33.4 dismissed.

So the most commonly issued summonses for DOT, it's failure to permanently restore the cut and failure to comply with terms and conditions of the permit and opening the street without a permit. DOB, it's failure to comply with the Commissioner's Order and unlawful acts; failure to comply with an Order of the Commissioner -- failure to comply with

2.1

2.2

Commissioner's Order by failing to file certificate of correction is the first one.

And then there are miscellaneous violations. NYPD, it's failure to yield and operation of a motorized scooter and vending in a bus stop. And Sanitation, it's failure to clean 18 inches into the street or a dirty sidewalk or a dirty area. DEP, it's failure to file your annual backflow test report or your backflow preventer or a noise mitigation plan. And for DOHMH, it's failure to eliminate rodent infestation, first signs; failure to eliminate conditions conducive to rodent infestation and failure to eliminate conditions conducive in pest -- garbage can spillage.

At FDNY, it's inspections and testing and it's permits and it's unwarranted, unnecessary alarms. And Landmarks, it's failure to have the appropriate approval, work without a permit, and there's different types of architectural parts. For DoITT, it's failure to provide working public pay telephone and operator service; failure to clean, and required sign is

2.1

2.2

missing. And BIC, it's your idling of your motor and vehicle engine idling for more than three minutes and failure to obtain ID cards or failure to register. And Parks, it's unlawful vending and unauthorized consumption and possession of alcoholic beverage and failure to comply with signs.

So overall for repeals, there have been 644 appeals from the enforcement agencies. And you can see where it falls, with DOB filing the most appeals. And for the appeals for Health and DCA and Vehicle for Hire, you can see that Vehicle for Hire, their agency has filed the most appeals. Back -- okay --

MR. JOSEPH GREGORY, ESQ., FIRE

DEPARTMENT (FDNY): I was just going to say,

going back I just want to make sure that it says

FYNY is when they --

MS. SLIFKA: Yeah, it's just a typo -- I missed it, sorry. It's FDNY.

MR. GREGORY: Okay.

MS. SLIFKA: Okay.

MS. SHAMONDA GRAHAM, DEPARTMENT OF

1	July 27, 2017
2	BUILDINGS (DOB): I just Shamonda Graham,
3	Department of Buildings. These are appeals just
4	by the agency or respondent? I know that comes
5	up. But they're combined, correct?
6	MS. SLIFKA: Yeah, these are it's
7	appeals, summonses by the enforcement agency.
8	MS. GRAHAM: So anything for the agency,
9	including respondent?
10	MS. SLIFKA: I have to check on that
11	because
12	MS. GRAHAM: Okay.
13	MS. SLIFKA: Yes, the answer is yes.
14	MS. GRAHAM: The only reason I'm asking
15	is because I don't want to present the picture
16	that we
17	MS. SLIFKA: I'm sorry, I didn't make it
18	clear. It's by both parties.
19	MS. GRAHAM: Okay.
20	MS. SLIFKA: Both parties. Yeah, I
21	didn't I didn't present it correctly. Okay.
22	Any other questions? No, don't
23	MS. GRAHAM: No, I don't have a
24	question.

	De we 1
1	Page 1 July 27, 2017
2	MS. SLIFKA: No, that's okay.
3	MS. GRAHAM: Just before the
4	MS. SLIFKA: Oh, we changed it.
5	MS. GRAHAM: No, before they're put
6	online, just some of the slides, change the
7	footers to reflect the actual quarter.
8	MS. SLIFKA: Oh, I thought
9	MS. GRAHAM: Because the months are
10	MS. SLIFKA: Okay, for sure, very good.
11	Good point.
12	MS. GRAHAM: I get them offline.
13	MS. SLIFKA: Okay, very good. Okay,
14	thank you.
15	MR. DEL VALLE: Thank you. DEP has some
16	requests for cease and desist orders.
17	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES, ESQ., DEPARTMENT
18	OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION (DEP): Okay. Good
19	morning, Russell Pecunies with DEP Legal Affairs.
20	This month DEP is requesting that the Board issue
21	one Cease and Desist Order under the Sewer Code.
22	This is for Ardian Corp. at 3307 Ditmars
23	Boulevard in Queens. It is a restaurant. They

were ordered to install grease traps on a wide

24

2.1

2.2

variety of sinks and drains after DEP did an inspection in December of 2015. They were then issued over pretty much all of 2016 and early 2017, a series of summonses for not complying with that order. They seem to have mostly been found in violation and paid all of those summonses but have still not complied with the requirements to install the necessary grease interceptors. And based on their continuing failure to do so, DEP is requesting that the Board issue an Order to Cease and Desist.

MR. DEL VALLE: Motion? Unanimous.

MR. PECUNIES: DEP also has 27 requests for Cease and Desist Orders for failure to install backflow prevention devices. In each of these cases, the building owner has been ordered to install the required device. They failed to do so and were then issued a summons for not doing so. The summons has been adjudicated in violation or they have defaulted on it. And they have still not complied with the Commissioner's Order to install the required devices. So in view of the continuing failure of the building owners to

2.1

2.2

comply in each of these cases, DEP is asking that the Board issue Orders to Cease and Desist.

MR. DEL VALLE: Motion? Unanimous.

MR. PECUNIES: Okay, thank you.

MR. DEL VALLE: Thank you. Kelly Corso will present the requests for pre-sealing reports.

MS. KELLY CORSO, ESQ., ASSISTANT

DIRECTOR FOR ADJUDICATIONS, OATH: Good morning.

I'm Kelly Corso, Assistant Director for

Adjudications at OATH's Hearing Division. And we have 13 pre-sealing reports for the Board today.

Twelve of these reports involve backflow violations and one involves the Noise Code violation. We also have one post-sealing report involving a Noise Code violation.

I'll cover the pre-sealing reports

first. For the 12 backflow pre-sealing reports,

in all of those cases the hearing officers

recommend no sealing or further action based on

the respondent's compliance presented at the

hearing. For the one Noise Code pre-sealing

report, this case involved noise from the

2.1

2.2

respondent's sound system. At the hearing the respondent presented evidence showing that the cited equipment had come into compliance after work done on it and it was now in compliance with the Noise Code. So the hearing office agreed with DEP's recommendation that the equipment remain unsealed after -- I'm sorry, remained unsealed if the initial re-inspection by DEP and further re-inspections by DEP for a period of 180 days show no violations.

For the post-sealing report, this involves a case, the respondent is Wise Espresso Bar Corporation and it's located at 3120 Coney Island Avenue in Brooklyn. This restaurant received summonses in 2014 and in 2016 for noise for its kitchen exhaust system at its restaurant. Decisions were issued following the hearings for those violations, which imposed penalties and ordered that the respondent comply with the Noise Code forthwith.

The respondent failed to comply and on June 30, 2016, DEP requested that the Board issue a Cease and Desist Order to the respondent. The

2.1

2.2

Board did issue the Cease and Desist Order and the respondent appeared at the first pre-sealing hearing on July 26, 2016. At that hearing, the hearing officer adjourned the case to September for a progress report for the respondent to come in and show what work it had done on its equipment to bring it into compliance. Respondent did not appear at that September hearing, so DEP sealed their equipment.

Respondent then appeared for a postsealing hearing on November 1st. And at that
time, the hearing officer adjourned the case for
DEP to inspect the equipment. DEP unsealed and
inspected the equipment on December 5th and
determined that it still did not comply with the
Noise Code. The equipment was resealed at that
time. From December 6, 2016 to July 18, 2016
[sic], the case was adjourned seven times for the
respondent to show that it had complied with the
Noise Code.

Finally, on July 18, 2017 at the final post-sealing hearing, the DEP representative stated that a recent inspection had showed that

2.1

2.2

the respondent had finally complied with the Noise Code and that the equipment should remain unsealed. The hearing officer agreed with DEP's recommendation and recommends that the equipment be unsealed -- remain unsealed as long as DEP's initial re-inspection and further re-inspections for a period of 180 days show no violations. Yes?

MR. JORGE MARTINEZ, ESQ., DEPARTMENT OF
HEALTH AND MENTAL HYGIENE (DOHMH): Jorge
Martinez, Department of Health. Why was the case
adjourned so many times, seven times you said?

MS. CORSO: It was adjourned so many times because the respondent was coming in to show compliance and the work done in order to get it into compliance and for DEP to go out and inspect each time to see whether or not it had come into compliance.

MR. DEL VALLE: Is that because prior inspections to see if they were in compliance somehow didn't happen? Or they failed and then they again asked to have it re-inspected?

MS. CORSO: I believe they failed a couple of times. But I also believe that there

2.1

2.2

were some issues with DEP and the respondent coordinating in terms of getting DEP out there to inspect the equipment, and that may have taken a few months' time to get that -- the inspections set up.

MR. DEL VALLE: Okay. Is that it?

MS. CORSO: Mm-hmm.

MR. DEL VALLE: Any other questions? Is there a motion? It's unanimous. Thank you. Is there a motion to go into Executive Session for a review of judicial cases? We will go into Executive Session. And I'll ask anyone who is not with OATH to kindly leave until we are out of Executive Session.

[OFF THE RECORD]

[ON THE RECORD]

MR. DEL VALLE: Before I ask for a motion to adjourn, I want to acknowledge and thank Appointed Member Savitala, whose -- today's his last meeting here with us. We unfortunately had him for a very short time. But he found us so fascinating that he's running off to California. And thank you very much. The Mayor's Office of

1	July 27, 2017
2	Appointments will now have to start trying to dig
3	up somebody else to draft. Is there a motion to
4	adjourn? We are adjourned. Thank you. By the way,
5	there's some goodies over here.
6	(The Board Meeting concluded at
7	approximately 9:43 A.M.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY

I, Fei Deng, certify that the foregoing transcript of Environmental Control Board on July 27, 2017 was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Certified By

Cer Ney

Date: August 3, 2017

GENEVAWORLDWIDE, INC

256 West 38th Street - 10th Floor New York, NY 10018