NEW YORK CITY OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE TRIALS AND HEARINGS ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL BOARD

BOARD MEETING

Training Room 143, 12th Floor

100 Church Street, New York, New York

April 18, 2019

9:35 A.M. - 10:41 A.M.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Shamonda Graham - Department of Buildings

Joseph Gregory, Esq. - NYC Fire Department (FDNY)

Elizabeth Knauer, Esq. - Appointed Member

Madelynn Liguori, Esq. - Department of Sanitation (DSNY) Connor Martinez -- Mayor's Office of Appointments (MOA)

Jorge Martinez, Esq. - Department of Health & Mental Hygiene (DOHMH)

Russell Pecunies, Esq. - Department of Environmental Protection (DEP)

Tom Shpetner, Esq. - Appointed Member

Matthew Smith, Esq. - New York City Police Department (NYPD)

ALSO PRESENT:

Rachel Amar - Special Assistant to Commissioner, OATH Quiana Battle - Computer Service Technician, OATH

Nicholas Dietz, Esq. - Assistant General Counsel, OATH
Diana Haines, Esq. - Senior Counsel for Legislative
Affairs, OATH

Timothy Jones, Esq. - Assistant General Counsel, OATH Susan Kassapian, Esq. - Deputy Commissioner/Appeals, OATH

Tynia Richard, Esq. - Deputy Commissioner/General Counsel, OATH

Simone Salloum, Esq. - Senior Counsel, OATH

Peter Schulman, Esq. - Asst. Director for Appeals, OATH Frances Shine - Secretary to the Board, OATH

Amy Slifka, Esq. - Deputy Commissioner/Hearings Division, OATH

Thomas Southwick, Esq. - Supervising Attorney/Appeals, OATH

Olga Statz - Deputy General Counsel, OATH

April 18, 2019	Page 3	3
INDEX		
Tynia Richard, Esq.	4	
Simone Salloum, Esq.	4	
Thomas Shpetner, Esq.	7	
Elizabeth Knauer, Esq.	8	
Shamonda Graham, Esq.	9	
Nicholas Dietz, Esq.	10	
Russell Pecunies, Esq.	13	
Jorge Martinez, Esq.	16	
Amy Slifka Fed	20	

1	April 18, 2019
2	(The board meeting commenced at 9:35
3	a.m.)
4	TYNIA RICHARD, ESQ., CHAIR, DEPUTY
5	COMMISSIONER/GENERAL COUNSEL, OATH: Good
6	morning, everyone. I'd like to call to order the
7	meeting of the Environmental Control Board.
8	Today is April 18, 2019. And the first question,
9	is there a motion to adopt the minutes for the
10	February 28, 2019, meeting?
11	TOM SHPETNER
12	Jorge Martinez
13	
14	SHAMONDA GRAHAM, DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS
15	: I abstain.
16	TOM SHPETNER, ESQ., APPOINTED MEMBER:
17	Abstain.
18	JORGE MARTINEZ, ESQ., DEPARTMENT OF
19	HEALTH & MENTAL HYGIENE : Abstain.
20	MS. RICHARD: Okay. Abstain because you
21	were absent. Okay. So let me see. Alright. So
22	there's a motion, and all in favor? Okay. One,
23	two, three, four, five six in favor. Okay,
24	with three abstentions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Okay. So, next, we will move onto the second thing on the meeting agenda, which is introduction of a number of repeals of both ECB rules.

SIMONE SALLOUM, ESQ., SENIOR COUNSEL, Good morning. Simone Salloum, Senior OATH: Counsel with OATH. And today we have for you a rule proposal to repeal several penalty schedules related to the Sanitation Department. Sanitation Department is working on promulgating one penalty schedule with all most of the violations from the following penalty schedules: the Recycling and Sanitation Collection Rules Penalty Schedule, which is found, found in Section 3-120; the Sanitation Asbestos Rules Penalty Schedule, which is found in Section 3-121; the Sanitation Penalty Schedule, it's general one that's found in Section 3-122; the Vehicle and Traffic Law Penalty Schedule, which is found in Section 3-125. That relates to the abandonment of vehicles and the illegal placement of handbills on windshields or under windshield wipers. Any then we're also proposing to repeal

2.2

one provision from the Public Safety Graffiti

Penalty Schedule, which DSNY enforces. That's

the failure to remove graffiti. And then also

proposing to repeal one provision from the

Environmental Conservation Law Penalty Schedule

for the improper disposal of a lead acid battery.

So, like I mentioned, DSNY is proposing a related rule with a penalty schedule that incorporates most of the violations from the above-referenced penalty schedules, except for the violations that already have fixed penalties in the Administrative Code. They are not going to incorporate those ones, since the penalties are already fixed in the Administrative Code.

They're only going to incorporate violations in which there are a range of penalties in the Administrative Code.

Does anyone have any questions?

MS. RICHARD: Okay. Alright. There being no questions, is there a motion to approve? Okay, there's a motion. And all in favor? One, two, three, four, five, six -- seven. And those opposed? Okay. Alright, thank you very kindly.

2

1

MS. SALLOUM: Thank you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MS. RICHARD: Alright. Next on the

agenda, yes, the continued discussion regarding

the Board Panel composition.

So, as you all will recall, this has been a matter on our agenda for a number of The matter arose because of the fact meetings. that we are missing a number of appointed members on the Board, so that the composition of the Board having three members, with two appointed members on each panel, was becoming a bit difficult to manage, because the panel members were being used constantly, and -- the appointed members, that is, on the panels.

And so there was a vote to temporarily reduce the number of panel members to two from three. And we've done that, consistently revoting each month. And I think the desire was to have all of the appointed members weigh in, and Mr. Shpetner hasn't been here for a while. So --

Right. I had conflicts, MR. SHPETNER: so I apologize for not being available. I think the process is working fine, but I would

1	April 18, 2019
2	appreciate an update on what we're doing with the
3	three vacancies, because I think that's really
4	kind of, you know, the, the elephant in the room.
5	MS. RICHARD: I know there is an update.
6	I don't have one, specifically. Except that I
7	know that the Appointments Committee is moving
8	forward. I knew that I know that they're
9	moving forward with, with candidates. I cannot
10	tell you what stage they're in right now.
11	MR. SHPETNER: Alright. So I don't know
12	so is there a question pending for me? I mean
13	I, I'm not sure I understand what you need.
14	MS. RICHARD: Well, the question is, do
15	we continue with the two panel, a two-member
16	panel?
17	MR. SHPETNER: I don't think we have an
18	alternative until we have
19	MS. RICHARD: Until you have additional
20	members.
21	MR. SHPETNER: more appointees, which
22	was the basis of my prior questions.
23	ELIZABETH KNAUER, ESQ., APPOINTED
24	MEMBER: So I, I'll make a motion that we

1	April 18, 2019
2	continue with the two-member panel, at least
3	until the new appointees are appointed.
4	MS. RICHARD: Yes.
5	MS. KNAUER: The new candidates are
6	appointed.
7	MS. RICHARD: Yes. Alright. Yes?
8	NICHOLAS DIETZ, ESQ. ASSISTANT GENERAL
9	COUNSEL, OATH : Should she state her, shouldn't
10	she state her name?
11	MS. RICHARD: Elizabeth Knauer. If you
12	could just state your name.
13	MS. KNAUER: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm
14	Elizabeth Knauer, Appointed Member.
15	MS. RICHARD: Okay. Alright, so all in
16	favor of maintaining the two-member panels? And
17	this is, again, a temporary vote going forward.
18	Is that something that we'll take up at the next
19	meeting?
20	MS. KNAUER: I was my motion was to
21	continue it until the, until
22	MS. RICHARD: The next meeting?
23	MS. KNAUER: we have three no.
24	Until we have

1	April 18, 2019
2	MS. RICHARD: Oh, until.
3	MS. KNAUER: three new appointments.
4	MS. RICHARD: Okay. So, everybody,
5	alright, is that, is that understood? Until we
6	have three new panel until we have three mew-
7	new members on the Board, appointed members on
8	the Board. Is that what you're saying?
9	Elizabeth?
10	MS. KNAUER: That was my suggestion.
11	MS. RICHARD: Okay.
12	MS. KNAUER: That was my motion.
13	MS. GRAHAM: I have a question.
14	Shamonda Graham, Department of Buildings. Is the
15	Com-, the Appointment Committee, are they moving
16	forward with three members, or do we if they have
17	all three? Or is it two, one?
18	MS. RICHARD: I cannot tell you if they
19	I can't. But let me
20	MS. GRAHAM: Okay.
21	MS. RICHARD: let me promise to do
22	this. Let me promise to send you an update
23	MS. GRAHAM: No problem.
24	MS. RICHARD: after the meeting.

1 April 18, 2019 2 MR. DIETZ: It was three. Nicholas Dietz, Assistant General Counsel, OATH. I'm not 3 4 sure if it's in the minutes, but I read somewhere 5 that there were three candidates, approximate three candidates are currently under review. 6 7 MS. RICHARD: Right. I can't promise 8 you that there are still three. 9 MR. DIETZ: Under review. 10 MS. RICHARD: Because things happen 11 during the process. 12 MR. DIETZ: Oh, I see. 13 MS. RICHARD: And sometimes people do 14 drop out. Right? One of the issues has been 15 that there are lots of -- there's investigatory 16 stuff, there's a lot of stuff involved in being a 17 Board member, and sometimes people do drop out. So I can't --18 MS. KNAUER: Well, I mean I'm making 19 20 that motion. We can always revisit it if we get

two more members and we feel like, at that point.

MS. RICHARD: Mm-hmm.

21

22

23

24

MS. KNAUER: I mean, so I, I'm just making, I'm making the motion that, at least for

1	April 18, 2019
2	the time being, until we have three new members,
3	that we continue with the one appointed member
4	per panel. And, obviously, we can revisit it at
5	some future point in time and go back to what it
6	was, based on the changed circumstances.
7	MS. RICHARD: Okay.
8	MS. GRAHAM: So, Shamonda Graham,
9	Department of Buildings. I would imagine that
10	it may be better for us to just make the motion
11	until we have new panel members, rather than
12	saying the term "three." So then, that way, we
13	don't have to wait until there's three in
14	totality. We just do, until there's someone new,
15	then we revisit.
16	MS. KNAUER: That's fine, too.
17	[CROSSTALK]
18	MR. SHPETNER: Oh, yeah, that's perfect.
19	MS. GRAHAM: It's the same thing.
20	MS. KNAUER: Yeah, it should amount to
21	the same thing, yes.
22	MR. SHPETNER: No, it's no, it's a
23	little, a little more flexible.
24	MS. GRAHAM : Yeah.Okay

2.2

MS. RICHARD: Okay, sure. Okay, that's the motion. All in favor of that motion? Okay. That's a unanimous vote. Alright, thank you.

Okay. Next on the agenda, Mr. Pecunies, DEP's requests for cease and desist orders.

RUSSELL PECUNIES, ESQ., DEPARTMENT OF
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION: Yes, thank you. Good
morning. Russell Pecunies with DEP Legal. So,
for this Board meeting, DEP is making one request
for a cease and desist order under the Sewer
Code.

This pertains to the Brooklyn Bridge
Marriott Hotel at 333 Adams Street in Brooklyn.

DEP Inspectors visited the hotel and issued an order to Marriott Hotel Services, Inc., on May 2nd of 2017, requiring them to install a large number of grease interceptors on various drains, all of them located specifically in the hotel's kosher kitchen. And when that was not complied with, another inspection was done and another order was issued. And, eventually, after a series of Commissioner's orders were issued,

2.2

summonses began to be issued in October of 2017.

Summonses were issued again in November of '17, January of '18. And then, in between January of April of '18, there was some response from Marriott, but they had still not complied with a number of the orders. And, so, additional summonses were issued in April of '18. And, as of September, there was still not full compliance, so an additional summons was issued in September. And, as of now, they still are not in compliance with five of the Commissioner's orders.

And based on that, the Department is requesting that the Board issue an order to cease and desist, requiring them to come into full compliance with all of the Commissioner's orders requiring the installation of grease interceptors on all of the drains and equipment in the kosher kitchen.

MS. KNAUER: I have a --

MR. PECUNIES: Yeah.

MS. KNAUER: Elizabeth Knauer, Appointed Member. So what is Marriott's position here? Do

1	April 18, 2019
2	they have a position as to
3	MR. PECUNIES: I, I am not because we
4	have a separate Legal Unit in the Bureau of
5	Wastewater Treatment that deals with enforcement
6	of the Sewer Code issues, I have not been privy
7	to whatever discussions there have been with the
8	Marriott.
9	Obviously, this has been going on for a
10	couple of years and they're still not in full
11	compliance. And it would seem like the Marriott
12	Hotel Group would have the resources to comply
13	with whatever they need to comply with.
14	MS. KNAUER: Well, that, that's why I'm
15	asking. It's not like some
16	MR. PECUNIES: Yeah. I'm not, I'm not
17	sure
18	MS. KNAUER: fly-by-night operation
19	that you might think
20	MR. PECUNIES: exactly what the, what
21	the discussions
22	MS. KNAUER: just because they can't
23	afford it.
24	MR. PECUNIES: have been. And it

does appear like they have done some work, but not everything that's required.

JORGE MARTINEZ, ESQ., DEPARTMENT OF

HEALTH & MENTAL HYGIENE: Jorge Martinez,

Department of Health. It looks like they don't

have any, or the, the appropriate number of

grease interceptors. Just a general question.

Is it an expensive piece of equipment to install?

I'm, I'm not sure why they wouldn't have this.

MR. PECUNIES: I mean it depends on the sizing. And I mean, but grease interceptors are required basically in all restaurants. Anything where they have cooking going on that involves frying or anything like that. Every mom and pop restaurant basically has to have a grease interceptor. So for a place like the Marriott not to be in full compliance, it does seem rather odd. But we have been issuing them paperwork and, apparently, have had some discussions with them and, and response from them. But after two years of trying to get them into full compliance, they're still not into full compliance.

MS. KNAUER: And, and --

1	April 18, 2019
2	MR. PECUNIES: This would certainly not
3	be an expense that would be more than a, you
4	know, rounding error on the Marriott's balance
5	sheet.
6	MS. KNAUER: Does the Appeals Unit have
7	any insight? Have they appealed any of these
8	summons any of the
9	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: No, not that I'm
10	aware of.
11	MS. KNAUER: violation, any of the
12	violations?
13	PETER SCHULMAN, ESQ. ASST. DIRECTOR FOR
14	APPEALS, OATH: No, they haven't.
15	MS. KNAUER: Hmm.
16	MR. PECUNIES: No, not to my knowledge.
17	MR. SHPETNER: Dirty.
18	MR. PECUNIES: that's this request.
19	MS. RICHARD: Okay. Is there a motion?
20	Alright. And all in favor? Okay. Alright. So
21	that's all in favor of the Sewer Code request.
22	The next one?
23	MR. PECUNIES: Okay. The next one is
24	under the Noise Code and the premises is 1285

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

East 23rd Street in Brooklyn. The owner of the building is an individual, Henry Steinmetz. This is a, a situation where there are, I believe, two air conditioning units on the roof of the building that are impacting a nearby apartment.

We issued three summonses last year. One of them was defaulted and the other two were found in violation after a hearing. receiving persistent complaints since the winter has ended from the complainant that the equipment is back in use. Apparently, it's used seasonally. It's back in use. We have been unable to arrange a inspection with the complainant that would have allowed us to issue another summons this year, so far. Although I believe I was told that there is a, an appointment with the complainant for next week. But because the Board only meets now every two months, we wanted to bring this request before the Board at this meeting, because, that way, we can get them hopefully into compliance before June, when the Board meets again. And, so, it's -- it would be two months sooner of getting it

2.2

into compliance.

Ideally, we would have inspected this again since it was brought back into operation in the spring, but we just haven't been able to make the appointment in time for this meeting. So we are requesting that the Board approve a, a cease and desist order for it at this, at this juncture.

MS. RICHARD: Okay. Alright. Is there a motion? Okay. And all in favor? Okay, that's a unanimous vote.

MR. PECUNIES: And, finally, we have for this meeting 49 requests for cease and desist orders for failure to comply with backflow prevention requirements. In each of these cases, the building owner has been — it has been determined that the premises requires the installation of backflow preventers. The building owner has been ordered to install them. A summons has been issued for failing to install them. And that summons has been adjudicated in violation. So, in each of these cases, the

21

2.2

23

24

MS. RICHARD: Okay. Any questions? Is there a motion? All in favor? Alright. It's a unanimous vote.

MR. PECUNIES: Alright, thank you.

MS. RICHARD: Thank you. Alright. Next on the agenda are DEP's requests for pre-sealing reports. And Amy Slifka will come before the Thank you.

AMY SLIFKA, ESQ., DEPUTY COMMISSIONER/HEARINGS DIVISION, OATH: Okay, good morning. We have 16 pre-sealing reports for today's Board. Twelve of the reports involve backflow cases, four of the reports involve Noise Code cases.

So, for the backflow, in 11 of the backflow cases the hearing officers recommended no sealing or other action, based on Respondent's evidence of compliance presented at the hearings. In one of the backflow cases, the hearing officer rec- recommends that the C&D proceeding be discontinued because the Respondent established that it sold the cited premises.

2.2

Okay. Any questions? None.

MS. RICHARD: Okay.

MS. SLIFKA: You want to take a vote on that?

MS. RICHARD: Alright. So is there a motion to approve the pre-sealing reports? And all in favor? Okay. Alright, it's unanimous.

MS. SLIFKA: Okay. For the noise cases, there are four Noise Code cases. The four Noise Code cases involve noise from refrigeration, kitchen exhaust and boiler/burner equipment. In all of the cases, the Respondents presented evidence of work done on the site of the equipment to bring the equipment into compliance with the Noise Code. So, based on Respondent's evidence of compliance, the hearing officers agree with DEP's recommendation that the equipment remain unsealed if DEP's initial ins-, re-inspections and further inspections for a period of 180 days show no violations.

MS. RICHARD: Okay. Any question on those? _Okay? None? Okay. Is there a motion? And all in favor? Okay, another unanimous vote.

2 Thank you.

MS. RICHARD: Alright. So I think that we've gotten through the on record items. So is there a motion to go into the Executive Session to discuss the judicial report? Alright. All in favor of the motion? Alright.

[OFF THE RECORD]

[ON THE RECORD]

MS. RICHARD: Okay. And as to -well, we've had a motion to return to Public
Session. Okay. So we'll have a Board vote,
then, on the alternative decisions for the full
Board appeal.

All those in favor of denying the, the appeal, thus affirming the decision below that dismisses the two summonses and finds that Respondent, Owen Foster, did not unlawfully advertise occupancy of a dwelling unit that would violate New York State Multiple Dwelling Law, Section 4(8). All those in favor, please raise your hand. denying the appeal, affirming. Okay. So that's three votes in favor. And all those in favor of granting the appeal, thus reversing the

April 18, 2019
decision below, sustaining the two summonses and
finding that Respondent Owen Foster did
unlawfully advertise occupancy of a dwelling unit
that would violate New York State Multiple
Dwelling Law Section 4(8), please vote in favor.
One, two, three, four. Can I see? Okay. Okay,
that's five
MS. RICHARD: in favor.
MR. DIETZ: And one abstention.
MS. GRAHAM: One abstention.
MS. RICHARD: And one abstention. Thank
you.
MS. GRAHAM: Sure.
MS. RICHARD: Alright. Have, have all
attendees signed the attendance sheet? Okay.
Alright. Any further matters? Alright, then.
Is there a motion
MR. SHPETNER: Well, excuse me. I do
have one.
MS. RICHARD: Yeah.
MR. SHPETNER: Do we have an update on
what's happening with the head of the Agency?
MS. RICHARD: We do not. Okay? Is

1	April 18, 2019
2	there a motion to adjourn? Okay. Alright, thank
3	you, everyone. And our next meeting will be
4	what's the date?
5	MR. SHPETNER? June.
6	MR. PECUNIES: June something.
7	(The board meeting concluded at 10:41
8	a.m.)

Environmental Control Board, 4/18/2019 CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY

I, Gregory Woltman, certify that the foregoing transcript of Board Meeting of the Environmental Control Board on April 18, 2019, was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Certified By

Date: April 26, 2019

GENEVAWORLDWIDE, INC

256 West 38th Street - 10th Floor New York, NY 10018