CORRECTED

NEW YORK CITY OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE TRIALS AND HEARINGS ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL BOARD

BOARD MEETING

VIA VIDEO CONFERENCE

100 Church Street, New York, New York

June 1, 2023

9:32 a.m. to 10:27 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Asim Rehman, Esq. - Commissioner/Chief Administrative Law Judge, OATH, Chair/Executive Director, OATH ECB

Shamonda Graham - Department of Buildings (DOB)

Elizabeth Knauer, Esq. - Appointed Member (Water)

Russell Pecunies, Esq. - Department of Environmental Protection (DEP)

Harminderpal Rana, Esq. - Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DOHMH)

Matthew Schneid, Esq. - Appointed Member (Real Estate)

Thomas D. Shpetner, Esq. - Appointed Member (Business)

Matthew Smith, Esq. - New York City Police Department (NYPD)

Douglas S. Swann - Appointed Member (Air)

Jarrod Whittington - Appointed Member (Noise)

ALSO PRESENT:

Rachel Amar - Senior Advisor to Commissioner, OATH

Sola Best, Esq. - Assistant General Counsel, OATH

John Castelli, Esq. - Deputy Commissioner for Legislative Affairs, OATH

Cindy Chen - Analyst, Office of Management and Budget (OMB)

Kelly Corso, Esq. - Assistant Commissioner/Hearings
 Division Adjudications, OATH

Eric Eisenberg - Member of Public

Brian Gatens - Member of Public

Yosef Gross - Legal Intern, OATH

Timothy Jones, Esq. - Senior Counsel, OATH

Edward Kiernan, Esq. - Special Counsel, Mayor's Office of Appointments (MOA)

David C. Kim, Esq. - Assistant General Counsel, OATH

Alexandra Lao - Legal Intern, OATH

Sidney Lee - Legal Intern, OATH

Hope Peraria - Legal Intern, OATH

Peter Schulman, Esq. - Deputy Commissioner/Appeals Division, OATH

Frances Shine - Secretary to the Board, OATH

Amy Slifka, Esq. - Deputy Commissioner/Hearings Division, OATH

Tom Southwick, Esq. - Assistant Commissioner/Appeals Division, OATH

Olga Statz, Esq. - Deputy Commissioner/General Counsel, OATH

Lisa Urban - Member of Public Andrew Woodle - Legal Intern, OATH

Page 4 June 1, 2023 INDEX Page Asim Rehman, Esq. 5 David C. Kim, Esq. 5 Elizabeth Knauer, Esq. Harminderpal Rana, Esq. 5 Joseph Gregory, Esq. 5 Russell Pecunies, Esq. 6 Matthew Schneid, Esq. 6 Thomas D. Shpetner, Esq. Matthew Smith, Esq. 6 Jarrod Whittington, Esq. 6 Peter Schulman, Esq. 24 Olga Statz, Esq. 25

31

Shamonda Graham

1	June 1, 2023
2	(The board meeting commenced at 9:32
3	a.m.)
4	ASIM REHMAN, ESQ., COMMISSIONER/CHIEF
5	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE, OATH, CHAIR/EXECUTIVE
6	DIRECTOR, OATH ECB: Thank you, everyone. I'd
7	like to call our June 1st Environmental Control
8	Board meeting to order. I hope you're all doing
9	well. And David from the GC Unit, can you please
10	do our roll call so we can verify quorum?
11	DAVID C. KIM, ESQ., ASSISTANT GENERAL
12	COUNSEL, OATH: Okay. Good morning, all. We
13	will start with Commissioner Asim Rehman.
14	MR. REHMAN: Present.
15	MR. KIM: Shamonda Graham? Thank you.
16	I can see you, yeah. Joseph Gregory?
17	JOSEPH GREGORY, ESQ., NEW YORK CITY FIRE
18	DEPARTMENT (FDNY): Present.
19	MR. KIM: Thank you. Elizabeth Knauer?
20	ELIZABETH KNAUER, ESQ., APPOINTED MEMBER
21	(WATER): Present.
22	MR. KIM: Thank you. Madelynn Liguori?
23	Harminderpal Rana?
24	HARMINDERPAL RANA, ESQ., DEPARTMENT OF

	Dona
1	June 1, 2023
2	HEALTH & MENTAL HYGIENE (DOHMH): Present
3	MR. KIM: Thank you. Russell Pecunies?
4	RUSSELL PECUNIES, ESQ., DEPARTMENT OF
5	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION (DEP): Here.
6	MR. KIM: Thanks. Matthew Schneid?
7	MATTHEW SCHNEID, ESQ., APPOINTED MEMBER
8	(REAL ESTATE): Present.
9	MR. KIM: Thomas Shpetner?
10	THOMAS D. SHPETNER, ESQ., APPOINTED
11	MEMBER (BUSINESS): Present.
12	MR. KIM: Thank you. Matthew Smith?
13	MATTHEW SMITH, ESQ., NEW YORK CITY
14	POLICE DEPARTMENT (NYPD): Present.
15	MR. KIM: Thank you. Douglas Swann?
16	Jarrod Whittington?
17	JARROD WHITTINGTON, APPOINTED MEMBER
18	(NOISE): Present.
19	MR. KIM: Thank you. We have a quorum,
20	10 out of 12.
21	MR. REHMAN: Thank you very much.
22	Before we start, I just want to acknowledge that
23	we have a number of members of various groups who
24	are here observing today, including OATH's, a few

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

June 1, 2023

of summer -- OATH's summer legal interns, so they can see a little bit about the business that we engage in, as well as some other members of the public. We welcome everyone to our public ECB meetings.

Well, I'd like to start with a motion to adopt the minutes of the April 2023 meeting. Before I request the motion, I just want to clarify that a copy of the minutes was initially circulated and then, yesterday, David Kim from our GC Unit circulated an updated version of the minutes that included edits that I had proposed, and that was at about 5:00 p.m. yesterday, and the edits were flagged for convenience in track I just want to make sure that folks have had the opportunity to review those changes, since that's the version that we will be voting on adopting. And, if it passes, then they will be, for the record, adopted in a clean version, without those track change notations.

Does anyone have -- was anyone unable to review those edits that were circulated yesterday at 5:00 p.m.? And does anyone have any concerns

June 1, 2023

they'd like to raise about the edits that were circulated yesterday, or the minutes, generally?

Any other corrections? Okay, I'd like to ask for a motion to adopt the minutes of the April 20, 2023, meeting. I see a motion from Shamonda. A second? Tom, thank you. GC?

MR. KIM: Okay. Are there any objections to the motion approving the minutes of the April 20, 2023, ECB Meeting? Hearing none, seeing none, the motion is approved unanimously.

MR. REHMAN: Thank you, David. I'll now turn it over to Russ Pecunies from DEP to walk us through several requests for cease and desist orders from the DEP. Russ?

MR. PECUNIES: Yeah, thank you. So, for this month's meeting, DEP is asking the Board to approve three requests for cease and desist orders.

We'll do the noise one first. This
pertains to 3420 Broadway in Manhattan. The
respondent's name is -- corporate name is Solo
Nosotros, LLC. I believe they do business as Bar
and Billiards or Billiards and Bar. I've seen it

2.4

June 1, 2023

online both ways. This location has been the subject of 311 noise complaints since about a year ago.

The original violation was issued on June 17th of last year for excessive noise in the form of music, as measured in the complainant's apartment in violation of section 24-231(a) of the Noise Code. And the respondent defaulted on that violation and is still in default as to that violation.

A second summons was then issued in November. That summons, the respondent originally defaulted on. They have just recently rescheduled that one to a date in October of this year.

The third one was issued, based on an inspection in February of this year. They had a hearing date in April that they defaulted on.

And that violation continues to be in default.

And, finally, they were inspected again on, on May 6th, so a little over three weeks ago. And they were again in violation, with a hearing date for the latest summons scheduled for August

1 June 1, 2023 2 22nd. Based on the repeated violations, the 3 4 failure to respond to these violations, and the 5 continued complaints regarding loud music from this location, at this point, the Department is 6 7 requesting that the Board issue an order to cease 8 and desist. 9 MR. REHMAN: Thank you, Russ. Does any -- we should go through these one by one. So --10 11 MR. PECUNIES: Yeah, yeah. 12 MR. REHMAN: So, does anyone have any 13 questions for DEP regarding the presentation, or 14 the materials? Okay. I'd like to ask for a 15 motion to approve the request for a cease and 16 desist as related to Solo Nosotros, LLC. 17 MR. GREGORY: Motion. 18 MR. REHMAN: I see a motion from Joseph. 19 A second? I see a second from Harminderpal. let's go for a vote. David? 20 21 MR. KIM: Okay. Are there any 22 objections to approving the cease and desist 23 Seeing none, hearing none, the motion is 24 approved, approved unanimously.

2 MR. REHMAN: Thank you.

3 MR. PECUNIES: Alright.

MR. REHMAN: Russ, which one would you like to do next?

MR. PECUNIES: Yeah, thank you. So the second one we'll do is the air one for 98

Montague Street in Brooklyn.

MR. REHMAN: Yeah.

MR. PECUNIES: The respondent here is 98 Montague, LLC. This location has a boiler, which they use to provide heat and hot water to the building. The permit, the Air Code permit on this boiler has been expired since 2019. They were issued a summons in July of 2021, which they stipulated to in December of 2021, but did not come into compliance. So they were given another summons in October of last year, which they stipulated to in March. But, again, they have, still have not come into compliance by renewing the permit.

So, at this point, since the issuance of two summonses has not caused them to come into compliance, the Department is requesting that the

Board issue a cease and desist as a means of getting them to come into compliance, since the issuance of another summons at this point would seem to be, if not counterproductive, not effective.

MR. REHMAN: Thank you, Russ. And any questions for Russ? Elizabeth.

MS. KNAUER: Thank you. I'm, I'm just wondering why -- like what's the issue? Why aren't they just seeking renewal of the permit? Is there, is this, is this a No. 4 boiler? Or that they, that it can't be renewed? Or is there some --

MR. PECUNIES: No, they are actually permitted to use natural gas with a No. 2 backup. So they're not subject to the, to the impending ban of No. 4. I, I really have -- I don't think we have any idea why they have just stipulated to these two summonses and not done anything to fix the problem, which is why, you know, we're asking for a cease and desist order, since this will really, you know, make it obvious to them that, you know, if, if they're, for some reason,

looking to just pay the fines and not renew the permit, this will make it clear to them that they have to renew the permit.

MR. REHMAN: Russ, thank you. So, in reading this, I looked at it and I was trying to look at it with, with very fresh eyes. And hearing what you laid out makes sense. But, but let me ask a question that maybe, it may be just an obvious question, but better for you to respond to it than me make assumptions.

The last time that DEP was on the scene and saw that they were operating something that was in violation was in October. We know that they have not renewed their permit. But what is our basis for believing that they're still operating that device, that there's actually something that they need to cease and desist?

Because there hasn't been -- I'm not necessarily suggesting that DEP do another inspection, but it's been now, you know, more than eight months since someone, or, or seven months since someone from DEP was there to actually witness that.

Okay, well, you know, the other

2.4

possibility in the realm of possibilities is they'd shut down operations and they wouldn't need the permit because they're not doing anything. So how do we know that there's something still happening that one needs to cease and desist?

MR. PECUNIES: Well, I mean, this is a significant building and these are significant boilers. These are 12,600 BTU each boilers. I, I believe it's a big building. I don't think the building has just like gone away.

MR. REHMAN: Yes.

MR. PECUNIES: I, I, I, you know, I mean we, we could do another inspection. But, I mean, they just stipulated to a violation in March.

There's, there's --

MR. REHMAN: Yeah.

MR. PECUNIES: -- no reason to believe, at this point, that the equipment is not still there and that it's not still working. And, in any case, they could, if, if they have, for some reason, replaced this equipment, then they can show that at the cease and desist hearing and the

June 1, 2023

2

cease and desist order would, would, would go away since the equipment in question would no

3 4

longer be there.

5

MR. REHMAN: Thank you, Russ. That's

6

very helpful and that, that addresses my

7

this is not a, it's not a request as much as it's

question. It, it also just had me wondering, and

8

9 a, it's a suggestion. In thinking about how we

10

document these things, having you on the call

11

here is clearly helpful to flush out some of

12

these details. But looking at the papers alone,

13

when I look at the affidavit or statement, the

14

sworn statement of Alyssa Preston, you know,

15 16

violation, it, it just, it lands a little too

paragraph number 4, respondent continues to be in

17

much as a conclusion. And if you, if you and

18

your team think that there are ways to add a

19

little more verbiage to that to kind of explain

20 21 why there's a basis for saying it's ongoing, that

22

really, I think, for you and your team.

23

relaying it as a suggestion, as someone who is

might be helpful. It may not be necessary.

24

maybe not looking at these type of documents

1	June 1, 2023
2	every day to how it reads. Because it, it raised
3	that question for me. Well, how do we know that
4	respondent continues to be in violation?
5	And so, you know, I share that with you
6	only as a suggestion in case you and your team
7	think that there might be additional language to
8	use,
9	MR. PECUNIES: Sure. We'll, we'll
10	MR. REHMAN: again, [unintelligible]
11	[00:14:48].
12	MR. PECUNIES: we'll take a look at
13	that and, and we'll take a look at maybe the
14	possibility of, of doing some kind of an
15	inspection before we, we ask for the cease and
16	desist order, to confirm that the equipment is
17	still there and still in use.
18	These, these affidavits are sort of a,
19	it's a standard affidavit that's been in use for
20	probably 20 years. So we
21	MR. REHMAN: Yeah.
22	MR. PECUNIES: we, we, we can
23	certainly take another look at it.
24	MR. REHMAN: Yeah. And, again, I'm

2.4

June 1, 2023

being very light with this suggestion. I, I don't want to imply, from where I sit or on behalf of the Board, that DEP needs to use the resources to send out its inspectors every time it wants to do one of these things. But if there's any way of, of just, as I was trying to do, looking at the affidavit with fresh eyes to see how can we make the language a little stronger about the continuing violation. You know, please con-, please take it under advisement --

MR. PECUNIES: Sure.

MR. REHMAN: -- and I'll defer to DEP on that. Otherwise, I have no other questions.

Does anyone else have any questions with respect to the cease and desist order request on 98

Montague, LLC? Elizabeth?

MS. KNAUER: I'm sorry. Just, just one more question. In the, when they did stipulate to the, I think you said the first violation, did they, did that stipulation include an agreement that they would renew the permit by a certain date?

1	June 1, 2023
2	MR. PECUNIES: Stipulations on this
3	section, on 123, include an order from the judge
4	that they comply, compliance within 30 days. So
5	it, it's part of the stipulation, yes.
6	MS. KNAUER: Alright. And so,
7	obviously, that 30 days has passed. I, I just
8	found it curious that, then, the I think you
9	said that there was another, a hearing on a, on
10	a, on a subsequent summons that was adjourned
11	until October?
12	MR. PECUNIES: No, that's the, that was
13	the previous, on the noise one.
14	MS. KNAUER: Oh, okay. Sorry, sorry.
15	They're I'm sorry about that.
16	MR. PECUNIES: Yeah.
17	MS. KNAUER: Okay, great.
18	MR. PECUNIES: No, but they, on this
19	one, on Montague, so they have stipulated twice,
20	once last year and once in March. And, as part
21	of both of those stipulations, they were ordered
22	to comply within 30 days.
23	MS. KNAUER: Okay, okay. Thank you.
24	MR. PECUNIES: Mm-hmm.

MR. REHMAN: Any other questions or comments? Okay, do I have a motion to vote on the request for a cease and desist regarding 98 Montague, LLC? I see Jarrod. And a second? A second from Harminderpal. And David?

MR. KIM: Okay. Are there any objections to approving the cease and desist order? Seeing none, hearing none, the motion is approved unanimously.

MR. REHMAN: Russ?

MR. PECUNIES: Yeah.

MR. REHMAN: The third?

[CROSSTALK] [00:17:45]

MR. REHMAN: -- the respondent?

MR. PECUNIES: Alright, yeah. So this one, this one is a similar situation. The respondent here is Columbia University. The premises is 600 W. 113th Street. They have a boiler that has been out of compliance since, technically, since 2008.

There have apparently been a number of exchanges of paperwork between engineers and DEP over the years, which have not resulted in them

receiving the certificate of operation that's required for this equipment. This is a 10.5 million BTU boiler, so it's a, it, it, it's a, it's a big one. And they have more recently been given two summonses. One was issued in October of 2021. The second one was issued in September of last year, and they have defaulted on both of them, but paid the default penalty on both of them. So we know that they got them, because they paid the penalty.

The, the most recent one was paid after they defaulted on March 1st of this year. And, again, this is another situation where we're not sure that the issuance of another summons would be productive, given that they've been given two summonses, that they've responded to both of them, paid both of them. Both of them included orders to comply within 30 days. And so, at this point, we feel that the most productive course would be for them to get a cease and desist order, which would require them to demonstrate to a hearing officer that they had come into compliance.

1 June 1, 2023 MR. REHMAN: Any questions for Russ? 2 Harminderpal? You're muted. 3 4 MR. RANA: Sorry. Counsel, I just had a 5 question on the cover page of the memos for all three of them. There is a, the last paragraph 6 7 references special hearings. Is that, would that 8 be pursuant to language in the order itself? Or 9 is that based on a separate statutory provision? MR. PECUNIES: The, the order itself 10 11 would, would be the order to appear at the 12 hearing. So that would have a date and time for 13 them to appear in front of the hearing officer. 14 MR. RANA: Right. So it's the order 15 itself that allows them the opportunity to be 16 heard at this, what you're describing as a 17 special hearing. Is that right? 18 MR. PECUNIES: Yes. Correct. 19 MR. RANA: Okay, just wanted to clarify 20 that. Thank you. 21 MR. PECUNIES: Yep. 22 MR. RANA: That's it. 23 MR. REHMAN: Any other questions for

Russ, just to pick up on my earlier

24

Russ?

comment, you're, you're adding -- what you've since added was this idea that, in response to Elizabeth's question, that the stipulation says that, within 30 days, X action should happen.

That might be the type of thing that could be useful additional language to the affidavit, that they stipulated that they would do X. X has not yet happened. That would, I think, allow -- it would give a little more heft to the, the request. Again, just a suggestion if you think that --

[CROSSTALK] [00:21:47]

MR. PECUNIES: Yeah, sure, we can do that. I, I, I would point out that in the, in the summary of the violation history, under the compliance column, --

MR. REHMAN: Yes.

MR. PECUNIES: -- it, it, it does indicate within 30 days. But, yeah.

MR. REHMAN: Yes, I see that.

MR. PECUNIES: We, we'll take a look at adding some language to the, to the affidavit, sure.

MR. REHMAN: Good. Any other questions or comments for Russ with respect to respondent, Trustees of Columbia University? Can we have a motion to vote on the request for a cease and desist regarding respondent, Trustees of Columbia University? I see a motion from Shamonda. A second? I see a second from Joseph. Thank you. David, let's move to a vote.

MR. KIM: Okay. Are there any objections to approving the cease and desist order? Hearing none, seeing none, the motion is approved unanimously.

MR. REHMAN: Thank you. And thank you, Russ, --

MR. PECUNIES: Alright, thanks.

MR. REHMAN: -- for working us through the details of those matters.

MR. PECUNIES: Thank, thank you very much. Thank you.

MR. REHMAN: Yep. I'd like to request a motion to go into Executive Session. I see a motion from Matt. I see a second from Tom.

24 David?

2.4

MR. KIM: Are there any objections to the Board's going into Executive Session at this time? Seeing none, hearing none, we can now enter Executive Session.

MR. REHMAN: Okay. For those who are new to the ECB process, the Board members are going to go into Executive Session, and other members will be held, I believe, in a waiting room until we return.

PETER SCHULMAN, ESQ., DEPUTY

COMMISSIONER/APPEALS DIVISION, OATH: Before we do, I just wanted to note that Doug Swann has joined the meeting and he was present for all of the votes. Just for the record. And I'll also just note that, post-Executive Session, the only thing on the agenda is picking the next date for the Board meeting, for anybody who is going into the waiting room.

MR. REHMAN: There is an additional item not on the formal agenda. General Counsel, Olga Statz, is going to be providing some information regarding the Open Meetings Law, as well, after we return from Executive Session.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. SCHULMAN: Oh, I apologize. Sorry.

MR. REHMAN: No worries.

[OFF THE RECORD]

[ON THE RECORD]

MR. REHMAN: Okay, we are now back in Public Session for our June 1, 2023, ECB meeting. The next item on the agenda is with the General Counsel, Olga, Olga Statz, to discuss the Open Meetings Law. Olga?

OLGA STATZ, ESQ., DEPUTY COMMISSIONER/ GENERAL COUNSEL, OATH: Hi. Hi, everybody. It's nice to see everyone again. I just have a few I want to keep you up to date on certain movements in the Open Meetings Law that are going to be relevant for us going forward.

Governor Hochul amended certain portions of the Open Meetings Law to make permanent certain of the changes that were instituted during the pandemic. And I just want to go through a few of those so that you know, going forward, what the, what the meetings are going to look like.

So, essentially, the changes, the

24

June 1, 2023

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

changes create essentially three situations. (1)We're allowed to continue meeting as we did before the pandemic, meaning everyone can gather together in the, in a space open to the public, in-person, so we can proceed as if nothing had happened in is-, in terms of the pandemic.

(2) This is where it starts to get different. We're entitled, through video conferencing, to meet in separate locations that are open to the public, as long as we're connected through video conferencing. So, for example, let's say half of, half of the Board members can meet in Manhattan and another half can meet in Long Island City, as long as they meet in, in, in two of OATH's public spaces that allow for the presence of members of the public and they're connected by video conferencing. So this, now, this change has been made permanent.

But I think, and the third thing that, that has happened, and this is the most unusual thing, and the thing that I want to, to emphasize, is there a possibility under this law for a minority of the Board members to appear by

2.4

June 1, 2023

remote means from a location that is not open to the public, meaning their homes or their offices. If those, those Board members can show ex-, what, what's called in the statute extraordinary circumstances that would require them to participate in a remote location that is not open to the public.

Now, the, the statute sets out a few of the, of the events that they consider extraordinary circumstances. They include disability, illness, caregiving, and other unexpected events that would prevent an individual from appearing. So that means.

However, one thing that's important to note is that, although that member who has been granted that waiver for that instance to appear by remote means in a private location, that person can fully participate and vote during the meeting, that person's presence does not count toward the quorum. So it, so the, the quorums have to be -- so there have to be enough members of the Board to form a quorum in public locations, either one public location or several

June 1, 2023

public locations, and, then, that other individual will be allowed to participate by remote means. So, no matter what happens, that person will not be counted toward the quorum.

Let's see. What else is there? Oh, another thing. Teleconferencing is not permitted at all under this law. So that means that, at all times when remote means are being used, the Board members have to be on video. They have to be seen and identifiable throughout the entire meeting. So no one can simply call in any, any longer. There has to be a video, proof of the person's identity.

And then, the other things that we have to pay attention to, and this is more for, for OATH internal staff, is that the notice of a meeting has to set out clearly both the, the actual physical locations and the call-in information or the, the, the contact information for the video call. And, also, the minutes have to reflect which Board members appeared remotely and which Board members appeared in person.

And the other, the other thing that we

have to keep in mind, and one of the main reasons

I wanted to have just a few minutes to give, to,

to catch, to catch you up on this, is that in

order to avail ourselves of the possibility of,

of Board members appearing remotely from private

locations, we, the Board would have to pass a

resolution saying that that is allowed. So the

statute itself does not give us that direct

authority. We have to pass a resolution.

So, before the next meeting, one of the things that we're going to do is we're going to circulate a resolution for your review that, then, allows you, that, that will allow the Board to, to, to avail itself of all of the different methodologies that are set out in the law.

I'm happy to answer any questions. But when I, when I send the resolution, I'm probably going to write something up, also, for you guys. Happy to answer questions now, though, if you have --

MR. REHMAN: Olga, thank you for that overview. Tom?

MR. SHPETNER: Just a quick one, and

1	June 1, 2023
2	it's really procedural, not substantive. But are
3	we able to just like agree to it, seriatim, as a
4	Board? Or do we have to reconvene? I mean, I
5	don't, just don't know substantively, do you have
6	in other words, could, you know, I've never
7	encountered such a, a question. But would we
8	need to have a, a non-regular meeting or can we
9	just all sign off on it?
10	MS. STATZ: Well, you we have to pass
11	that res-, we would have to pass that resolution
12	during a meeting. How-, so
13	MR. SHPETNER: I understand. Okay.
14	Okay, that was you answered my question.
15	MS. STATZ: So
16	MR. SHPETNER: We can't
17	MS. STATZ: the only
18	MR. SHPETNER: Okay.
19	MS. STATZ: I'm sorry, go ahead, Tom. I
20	interrupted you.
21	MR. SHPETNER: You answered my question.
22	I, my question was whether or not, if we all read
23	the resolution, whether or not we could, in a
24	seriatim fashion, agree to yeah, you answered

June 1, 2023

2

3

my question. I understand exactly what we're, what we're doing. Thank you. That's perfect.

MS. STATZ: Yeah. So what -- but you do

4

5 bring up an important point that I want to ma-,

6

that I want to make. So, at our next meeting,

7

yourselves of the meeting from a remote location, 8

9

because the resolution would not have been

10

passed. So that's the only thing that someone

not -- none of you would be able to, to avail

11

would not be able to do until the resolution is

12

passed. However, we could technically meet, half

13

of us in Manhattan, half of us in Long Island

14

City, as long as we met in a public place. That,

15

we can already do under the law. But we have to,

16

as, as you noted, we have to be in a meeting to,

17

to pass that resolution.

18

Any other questions?

19

MR. REHMAN: Shamonda?

20

SHAMONDA GRAHAM, DEPARTMENT OF BUILDINGS

21

(DOB): Can you circulate the law? I know I can

22

find it, but I'm sure you have it. Can you just

23

circulate it so we can through it before the

2.4

resolution?

MS. STATZ: Oh, absolutely.

MS. GRAHAM: Thank you.

MS. STATZ: I'll, I'll circulate the law. When I send the resolution around, I'll send the copy of the statute, as well.

MS. GRAHAM: Thank you so much.

MS. STATZ: No problem.

MR. REHMAN: Matthew Schneid?

MR. SCHNEID: Hi, thanks. So what is a, a public place for this purpose? If, if I open up my law firm, for example, and say we're having a meeting in the conference room and anyone could attend, is that "public?"

MS. STATZ: You know, that's, that's a good question. It's only -- I'm, I'm, I'm presuming. I would have to do more looking into it. I'm presuming that the off-, your, your, your office would have to be open to the general public. You would have to, your office location would have to be po- post- posted in our public notice. And, then, anybody off the street would be able to walk into your office. That is what my unders-, from my understanding of looking at

2 this, that's what a public space is.

So, for us, for OATH, I'm figuring that our all-around room that we have at 100 Church or a, a large space, because we, I know we have some big conference rooms in LIC. Maybe something like that could be used, because any member of the public could come in. But I would, I would hesitate, unless -- I mean, I guess if you, if you're willing to have people come in off the street in your law office, I suppose we could, if push came to shove, we could say that's a public space, but I don't think that that's what they were intending.

MR. SCHNEID: Got it.

MR. REHMAN: Olga, I have a few questions. Thank you for the overview. What is the effective date of these changes that you walked us through?

MS. STATZ: I think it's Ju-, I'm not -- I don't remember exactly anymore. I think it's June 19, June 18.

MR. REHMAN: Okay. So it would be in force by the time of our next meeting?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

2 MS. STATZ: Yes.

MR. REHMAN: Okay.

MS. STATZ: So this was, this was -this is our last hooray this, this way. So,
yeah, so maybe we should have brought some
streamers or anything, something like that, yeah.

MR. REHMAN: You know, there's a related aspect to this, which I'm sure many of you are familiar with, which are, there's the law on the books and then there are the various mayoral and qubernatorial executive, emergency executive orders that have acted to suspend those laws. And we recently saw, on the federal level, the federal health agencies discontinue the extension of the emergency orders as to COVID. And so, you know, while I can't say for sure, I think we should work under the assumption, or plan under the assumption, just so we're planning ahead, that between now and the next meeting something may, of course, be lifted. I don't know, I can't But if things are lifted at the mayoral and say. gubernatorial levels, then, then, of course, we'd be operating under the rules that Olga talked

June 1, 2023

this way?

2

about for the next meeting.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

2.4

or if we were meeting at two of OATH's public locations where there would be video conferencing, but those would be open to the public, would we still need to publish some kind of video link? Under what circumstances would we have to publish people can join by phone or video

Olga, my next question is, you made

reference to video links being made available.

If, if we were just meeting at 100 Church Street

MS. STATZ: Well, the way I'm, the way I think that the law would work is, if we all gather at 100 Church in-person and, and if this is open to the public, we would not have to publish a video link, because --

MR. REHMAN: Okay.

MS. STATZ: -- people would just show up at 100 Church and it would be like it was prepandemic. However, I would, I would think that if we, if we meet at 100 Church and in LIC, we would have to be connected by video conference, just to be able to meet. And once we're, once

June 1, 2023

there's video conferencing involved, then the public has to be involved, has to be connected to the video conferencing as well. That's the way I see that it would work. Because the whole point of this is to make sure that however we're meeting, the public has an opportunity to be present.

MR. REHMAN: Right. Okay. And, and, of course, that's something where, if there's additional legal work the team needs to do on that, you know, please feel free. I could see that argument going both ways. I could see that if it's two public locations and the only thing connecting them is video, that that's a closed video circuit. But, but again, I haven't read the rules. You have and, and we'll defer to your, your guidance on that.

MS. STATZ: But the, the thing is that Board members have to be seen and heard --

MR. REHMAN: Yeah.

MS. STATZ: -- throughout. All Board members have to be seen and heard throughout the, the entirety of the meeting. So I would be hard

1	June 1, 2023
2	pressed to see how a, a member of the public, if,
3	if they're in one room I, I guess they're, if
4	they're in the room, they would be able to see
5	what
6	MR. REHMAN: Right.
7	MS. STATZ: we are seeing.
8	MR. REHMAN: Yes. And that's what
9	MS. STATZ: I am mm-hmm.
10	MR. REHMAN: And that's what we would
11	also need to start preparing for on OATH's end.
12	To make sure, for example, at LIC, just to pick
13	an office, we have a conference room that would
14	allow for projection on the screen of everyone
15	sitting at 100 Church. You'd need to have kind
16	of cross visibility.
17	MS. STATZ: We, we, I think we have that
18	already.
19	MR. REHMAN: Yeah, good.
20	MS. STATZ: It's but Peter knows more
21	about that stuff than I do. But
22	MR. REHMAN: Yeah, so we can plan for
23	that offline. But, but it's
24	MS. STATZ: Yeah.

MR. REHMAN: -- it sounds like the main, one of the main takeaways is we should all -- you know, things could happen. Again, the emergency orders are still in place. But we should all have the working assumption that our next meeting is going to be one where we should, particularly since we won't, wouldn't have passed the resolution, the starting assumption is going to be that we're all going to meet as an operating Board at 100 Church Street, and that if OATH is able to have a facility available that allows for the kind of cross projec- projection of the screens, we will notify members of the Board that, okay, we can also do it at our Long Island City office.

But, as we move to later in the agenda with picking possible dates, let's all assume the default, that that meeting is going to be at 100 Church. So, when you look at your calendars, please build in the travel time. And I, I, for one, am looking forward to sitting down with many of you in-person after doing it on the screens for all this time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Other comments or questions? These are, this is a really important development that's going to change the way that we, we meet.

Okay. Thank you very much for that overview, Olga. We look forward to receiving -oh, I see a question from -- so the questions, I see a hand raised from Brian Gatens, who's a, a non-, a non-Board member. This particular part of the discussion is not a public comment part of the meeting. But you are more than welcome, Mr. Gatens, to submit any information you have regarding this directly to OATH. You could do so through the OATH website, which has information on how to contact us, and we will take that under advisement as we move forward with this issue. And, as I was about to say, we look forward, Olga, to receiving the materials that you, you and your team circulate. Thank you.

Okay. Are there any other, before we move to selecting our next Board meeting, I'd like to ask the members of the Board if they have any other items for today's agenda? Okay.

256 West 38th Street, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10018

Let's now discuss possible dates for our

	Daga /
1	June 1, 2023
2	next Board meeting. David?
3	MR. KIM: Okay. We have two possible
4	dates, which are August 3, 2023, or August 10,
5	2023.
6	MR. REHMAN: One moment. Let's give
7	folks an opportunity to check their calendars.
8	MR. PECUNIES: Russ Pecunies with DEP.
9	Strongly prefer August 3rd to the 10th.
10	MR. REHMAN: Any other comments from
11	Board members on their availability and
12	preferences for those dates? Shamonda, was that
13	a hand?
14	MS. GRAHAM: I, I second the August 3rd
15	date.
16	MR. REHMAN: Sure. Is anyone currently
17	unavailable on the 3rd of August? Okay, David,
18	why don't we put down August 3rd for our next
19	meeting?
20	MR. KIM: Okay.
21	MR. REHMAN: Okay. Before a motion for
22	adjourn, I do see a question from a member of the
23	public about public comment during the ECB
24	meetings. I'm happy to clarify that the ECB

meetings are business meetings where the Board, itself, will conduct the business of the agenda. It's interactive between the members of the Board. It's not a public comment type of meeting, unless that is otherwise on the agenda. Thank you for the inquiry. I hope that's clarified it. But, of course, as I mentioned, members of the public are entitled and have the opportunity to submit any inquiries they have regarding ECB, or, or OATH's work generally, directly to OATH, and they could do that through a variety of means. The simplest one is through our, our website.

Okay. I'd like to request a motion to adjourn today's meeting. Matt, thank you. A second? A second from Elizabeth. David?

MR. KIM: Okay. Are there any objections to adjourning the meeting at this time? Hearing none and seeing none, the motion is approved unanimously.

MR. REHMAN: Thank you. And before we adjourn, I just want to wish everyone a safe and lovely summer season. I hope some of you get

1	June 1, 2023
2	some time off between now and when we see you
3	next. And we will see you all in August. And
4	take care, everyone. Thank you.
5	MS. STATZ: Okay.
6	MS. KNAUER: Take care, everyone.
7	MS. STATZ: Bye.
8	(The board meeting concluded at 10:27
9	a.m.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY

I, Claudia Marques, certify that the foregoing transcript of Board Meeting of the Environmental Control Board on June 1, 2023, was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Certified By

Claudia Marques

Date: June 8, 2023

GENEVAWORLDWIDE, INC

256 West 38th Street - 10th Floor

New York, NY 10018

Reviewed and corrected by OATH General Counsel
Office June 26, 2023.