

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: BATTERY PARK CITY

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	2 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	37 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: World Financial Greenmarket Thursday, application for a street activity permit on Thursdays from April 5, 2012 to December 20, 2012 on South End Avenue from Liberty Street to Albany Street during the hours of 6:00 AM to 7:00 PM

WHEREAS: The applicant has applied for a street activity permit for Thursdays from April 5, 2012 to December 20, 2012 on South End Avenue from Liberty Street to Albany Street; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has applied for the permitted use of curbside parking lane on the E/S of South End Avenue between Liberty and Albany Streets; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has noted that November 22, 2012 will be rescheduled to November 21, 2012 for Thanksgiving; now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 does not oppose the proposed street activity permit submitted by World Financial Greenmarket Thursday to close a curb lane on South End Avenue from Liberty Street to Albany Street during the hours of 6:00 AM to 7:00 PM on Thursdays from April 5, 2012 to December 20, 2012 subject to the following conditions:

1. The Lower Manhattan Construction Command Center reviews the application and determines that it is compatible with nearby construction activity that is expected to be simultaneously underway, and
2. Traffic control agents are deployed as needed to ensure that there is no significant adverse impact from the event on traffic flow, and
3. Clean-up will be coordinated with the appropriate City Agencies, and
4. Bands and persons with megaphones are not situated along the route such that they disturb residents, and
5. Pedestrian and vehicular traffic in and out of all garages downtown remain open at all times.



THE CITY OF NEW YORK
OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
BOROUGH OF MANHATTAN

SCOTT M. STRINGER
BOROUGH PRESIDENT

**MANHATTAN BOROUGH BOARD RESOLUTION
REGARDING STALLED CONSTRUCTION SITES**

Whereas, there were 646 stalled construction sites in New York as of July 2011; and

Whereas, 37 percent of the sites surveyed were identified as containing litter, with over half of these sites having fencing in disrepair or vandalized, and half of the sites having sidewalk obstructions that leave eight feet or less of sidewalk space; and

Whereas, these unfinished structures are not only eyesores but also targets for vandals and squatters; and

Whereas, these sites pose safety, economic and quality of life concerns for residents and property owners alike.

Therefore be it resolved that the City should pass legislation allowing stalled construction sites to become publicly accessible on a temporary basis, when appropriate, for such uses as food vending, parking, public art, performance and recreation space; and

Therefore be it further resolved that when interim uses are not possible the City should launch art programs to beautify sheds through grants provided to community groups, BIDS and non-profits; and

Therefore be it further resolved that where possible, the City should work with property owners to expand narrowed sidewalks created by construction fencing and scaffolding.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 8 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 37 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 40 Wall Street, application for a restaurant liquor license for Restaurant 40, LLC
d/b/a Trump Bar & Grill

WHEREAS: The applicant, Restaurant 40, LLC d/b/a Trump Bar & Grill, is applying for a restaurant liquor license; and

WHEREAS: The hours of operation to which the applicant has agreed are 6:30 AM until 10 p.m. for food service and noon to 10 p.m. for bar service; and

WHEREAS: The total area of the restaurant is approximately 14,500 square feet with a public assembly capacity of 285; and

WHEREAS: There will be background music using a stereo and CD player; and

WHEREAS: The applicant intends to apply for a sidewalk café license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a cabaret license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are not buildings used primarily as schools, churches, synagogues or other places of worship within 200 feet of this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has represented that there are not three or more establishments with on-premises liquor licenses within 500' of this establishment; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 *opposes* the granting of a restaurant liquor license to 40 Wall Street *unless* the applicant complies with the limitations and conditions set for above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 8 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 37 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Street permit application by the Council on the Environment Inc.

WHEREAS: The applicant has applied for a street activity permit on Tuesdays starting January 3, 2012 – December 18, 2012, West Broadway between Barclay Street and Park Place during the hours of 6 AM to 7 PM; and

WHEREAS: The Financial District Committee of CB#1 met with Cathy Chambers from the Council on the Environment Inc. (Greenmarket) and discussed the need for one or more additional Greenmarkets east of Broadway in CB#1, and suggested various sites including the plaza at 100 William Street, Chase Plaza, and stalled construction sites such as the one at Greenwich and Thames Street, and Ms. Chambers said that she would continue her search for a viable site; now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 does not oppose the proposed street activity permit as submitted above by the Council on the Environment Inc., subject to the following conditions:

1. The Lower Manhattan Construction Command Center reviews the application and determines that it is compatible with nearby construction activity that is expected to be simultaneously underway; and
2. Traffic control agents are deployed as needed to ensure that there is no significant adverse impact from the event on traffic flow.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: HOUSING

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBER VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	37 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Disposition of Civic Center Buildings

WHEREAS: Three City-owned office buildings located at 22 Reade Street, 49-51 Chambers Street, and 346 Broadway would be disposed by sole source through the NYC Economic Development Corporation (EDC), and

WHEREAS: These properties account for approximately 669,903 square feet of development space for which EDC would issue RFPs as a part of a ULURP process, and

WHEREAS: Lower Manhattan suffers from severe classroom overcrowding which has resulted in long school waiting lists and necessitated the Department of Education to propose massive public school catchment area rezoning, and

WHEREAS: The City's need for affordable housing has been long documented and as Lower Manhattan is densely built and needs such housing for singles, families with children and seniors wishing to age in place and it is unlikely that the City will find other space in Community District 1 to address these needs, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board One urges the City not to pursue the public disposition of these properties without collaborating with the residents of Lower Manhattan and local elected officials to determine the feasibility of these properties for such community benefit.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 41 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 77 Reade Street, application for temporary signage

WHEREAS: The application arises from a warning of a potential violation from LPC for two sales banners on this new building, and

WHEREAS: The banners are 15' by 25' and are set back from the front façade on the east and west lot line walls of Chambers Street, and

WHEREAS: The banners were installed to help market the building in the summer of 2011, and

WHEREAS: The design is simple, the message tasteful, the fabric is of good quality, and

WHEREAS: The Committee – having approved the design was pleased with how the new building fits into the historic district, and

WHEREAS: The Committee noted the issue LPC will have is the potential permanent nature of the signage, and

WHEREAS: The Committee was sympathetic to help – but needed reassurance that the signage would be temporary, and

WHEREAS: The Committee suggested approving the temporary signage on the condition it was removed by December 31, 2012 – which the applicant agreed to do, and

WHEREAS: The applicant also referred to a staff level application to build an ADA style service entrance ramp on the Reade Street side of this through building, and

WHEREAS: The Committee noted an appropriate design of steel painted plate with a simple black painted railing, used regularly throughout the Historic District and considered it appropriate, and

WHEREAS: One Committee member was concerned that the in-side walk tree planting might cause an issue – the applicant agreed to ensure the space between the ramp and the tree met DOB code, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve the application for temporary signage for the period to December 31, 2012 and the staff level approval be given for the service ramp.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 41 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 155 Franklin Street, application for alteration of penthouse facade

WHEREAS: The application is to replace the roof of the building, add structural load bearing beams to support the penthouse which was built in the 1990's when the building was converted to residential units, and

WHEREAS: The penthouse is 2,200' on a roof of 6735' and is not visible from the street, and

WHEREAS: The structure is too heavy for the existing roof and this extra load has damaged the parapet wall, and

WHEREAS: The parapet wall be rebuilt in kind, the whole roof will be removed and appropriate load bearing structural additions will be made to provide support to the penthouse, and

WHEREAS: The poorly built and rotting penthouse will be rebuilt using very high quality materials of stone, stucco, copper, aluminum windows, doors with clear glass, and

WHEREAS: The penthouse roof will include a new copper extended cornice, and

WHEREAS: A new wooden arbor and roof plantings will be added – with minimal visibility from the street, and

WHEREAS: The Committee felt the design was a great improvement to the existing penthouse,

WHEREAS: The Committee commended the applicant for adding the appropriate load bearing roof and repairs to the parapet walls, and

WHEREAS: The Committee liked the use of high quality materials – particularly the TECU copper product which will weather as old copper does to create a rich and appropriate patina, and

WHEREAS: A neighbor whose lot line windows look onto the roof garden and penthouse felt the design was not appropriate – the applicant said they were mindful of the neighbors issues in the design and the code 8' set back from the lot line wall was met – the Committee respectively disagreed with the neighbor and reminded them of the challenge of lot line windows – they asked that the applicant share the design with the neighbor – which they agreed to do and that the neighbor consider attending the LPC hearing, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve the application.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 41 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 52 Lispenard Street, application for temporary signage

WHEREAS: 52 Lispenard Street is located in the East Tribeca Historic District, which, as described in its designation report “ has a distinct and special character within the larger Tribeca area defined by its many block fronts of ornate store and loft buildings which reflect the district's role as the center for dry goods and related businesses in New York City....The many store and loft buildings, which now define this district, were characterized by nineteenth-century critics as palatial and substantial, enabling New York "to vie with the greatest continental cities of Europe...These buildings have trabeated cast-iron storefronts, many of which retain such historic elements as paneled and glazed wood doors, wood-framed transoms, show windows, roll-down shutters, and stepped vaults. The upper facades are faced with high-quality materials, such as marble, sandstone, brick, or cast iron, and terminated by prominent cornices.” And,

WHEREAS: 52 Lispenard consists of 2 stories that remain of an originally 5 storey building designed by the prominent New York firm of D. & J. Jardine, ”it was erected in 1867-68 and was damaged in a fire in the early 1900’s. The remaining façade retains elements suggesting the Italianate and Second Empire styles including the cast-iron paneled end piers and metal cornice of the first-story storefront and segmentally-arched openings with ornamental hoods at the second story, which is faced in sandstone and now painted. (The storefront infill appears to date from 1937.) Additional surviving historic elements include the two-over-two wood sash windows at the second story,” and

WHEREAS: “Formerly 54 Lispenard” which has been combined with and now shares the address of 52 Lispenard was designed by Isaac F. Duckworth, “an architect responsible for many buildings in the district, and was erected in 1866-68. Manufactured by the Architectural Iron Works foundry, the cast-iron facade is identical to those at 38 and 44 Lispenard Street and 315-317 Church Street. It exhibits the Second Empire style in its superimposed tiers of paneled end piers, Composite pilasters framing flat-arched openings with curved corners, and intermediate cornices, and in its bracketed terminal cornice with an arched central pediment bearing the inscription "ERECTED 1867." The storefront is virtually intact”, and

WHEREAS: These buildings both in whole and part are excellent examples of the style that is typical of the district and contribute to the historic character of the neighborhood, and

WHEREAS: Whether the new design incorporates the 2 story façade fragment or not, it should be sensitive to its context in terms of architectural vocabulary, materials palette and color rendition. The proposed design, of dun-colored terra cotta block, does not reference or pay tribute in any way to the original building or its similar

counterparts next door or elsewhere on the block, nor does it propose anything original in the way of a quality of design that might stand on its own as a modernist contribution to a block with great bones. It will simply detract from an otherwise almost completely intact historic streetscape, and

WHEREAS: Decisions have been made in the past by the Landmarks Preservation Commission not to extend protection to blocks or portions of blocks that are deemed “too far gone” to be worth saving, this particular block of Lispenard Street is unique in the fact that other owners have gone to remarkable lengths to preserve their neighborhood, and this effort has led to the excellent restoration of many buildings on this block in the last decade and even the past few years. This effort should be rewarded with extra vigilance on the part of those charged with care and oversight of preservation in the historic districts, and

WHEREAS: The proposal to restore the 2 storey building to its original 5 at the street wall and then to construct an additional 2 stories on both buildings to be set back from the street wall would make both buildings 7 stories in total, and this proposed addition may be visible from the street (there was no mockup at the time of this hearing) and will make these buildings taller than other buildings of same vintage and architectural type on the street, and it is our committee’s policy not to approve additions over 1 story unless they are completely invisible from the street, which needs to be demonstrated with a mockup, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 Manhattan recommends that Landmarks Preservation Commission reject this application.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 41 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Governors Island Park and Public Space

WHEREAS: This application covers proposals for those parts of Governors Island covered by Landmarks designation and not approved previously, and

WHEREAS: The historic district comprises roughly the northern half of the island, and

WHEREAS: It includes what is now called Liggett Terrace, as well as the Parade Ground and the South Battery, and

WHEREAS: A total re-imagination of Liggett Terrace is part of a sweeping parkland design that includes Hammock Terrace, a 30-acre plot that will flow out of the new Liggett Terrace but is not part of the historic district, and

WHEREAS: Liggett Terrace will be radically changed by the ambitious biomorphic garden landscape proposed by West 8 Urban Design and Matthews Neilsen, which is quite intense in plan, and it is unclear how it will relate in elevation with the McKim, Mead and White structures, and

WHEREAS: The Landmarks Preservation Commission might take a close look at the new Liggett Terrace's very contemporary, bold and imaginative aspect, and

WHEREAS: The wayfaring signage devised by Pentagram of modified Agency font, as well as the picnic tables, bike racks and other park furniture are all pitch-perfect, and

WHEREAS: The park lamps are brilliant, a clever and refreshing change from everything else under the sun that has been tried for urban outdoor illumination, and

WHEREAS: The Parade Ground will simply be regarded, and

WHEREAS: The South Battery will be transformed from asphalt to a welcoming planted triangle, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1, Manhattan recommends that the Landmarks Preservation approve this application.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: NEW BUSINESS

BOARD VOTE: 37 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Request for an amendment to the Capital Plan for more school seats in CB1

WHEREAS: The New York City Department of Education is currently operating under a Five-Year Capital Plan that expires in year 2014; and

WHEREAS: The Capital Plan does not call for anymore school seats for downtown schools; and

WHEREAS: A record amount of residential building has created record income, mansion and real estate taxes flooding into downtown, yet the plan proposes smaller spending and no new school construction; and

WHEREAS: The New York City Department of Health recently released their Annual Vital Statistics Summary for 2010, which stated that births in CB1 were 1086, up from 970 in 2009, a much higher increase than expected;¹ and

WHEREAS: CB1's birth rate of 17.8 per 1000 is much higher than the overall Manhattan rate of 12.3; and

WHEREAS: Once Peck Slip School opens, there will be around 450 Kindergarten slots in all of CB1, and they come from the cohort of 760 kids who were born in CB1 in 2006; and

WHEREAS: There is also a shortage of middle school and high school seats in CB1 as the students in our overcrowded primary schools grow older; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board 1 requests that the New York City Department of Education amend its Five-Year Capital Plan to include additional school seats for Lower Manhattan.

¹ Greene, Carolyn. *SUMMARY OF VITAL STATISTICS 2010 THE CITY OF NEW YORK PREGNANCY OUTCOMES*. Rep. New York City: Y Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2011.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: PLANNING & COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 37 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Request for the Army Corp. of Engineers to Expediently Conduct a Study about the Feasibility of Installing Storm Surge Barriers to Protect New York City

WHEREAS: In 2008, Mayor Bloomberg convened the New York City Panel on Climate Change (NPCC) composed of leading scientists, social scientists, academics and risk management experts to advise the City on climate change. The NPCC projects that by mid-century, New York City's average temperatures will rise by three to five degrees Fahrenheit and sea levels could rise by more than two feet. By the end of the century, the city's climate may be more similar to North Carolina's than present-day New York City and sea levels could rise by as much as four and a half feet¹; and

WHEREAS: On December 16th, 2011, David Bragdon, Chairperson of the New York City Long-Term Planning and Sustainability Office, stated at a New York City Council Oversight Hearing of the Environmental Protection Committee that his agency would commence a study of storm surge barriers;² and

WHEREAS: "Relative sea level has been rising inexorably in New York City over the past 140 years at an average rate of 0.27 m (10.7 in.) per century due to both geologic subsidence and the warming trend in the twentieth century There is little doubt that New York City will be exposed to major coastal flooding within the next several decades as sea level rises and storms may become more frequent and severe."³ and

WHEREAS: The flooding caused by such a surge - which happened in the 19th century - would be calamitous, particularly to those living within several blocks of the Hudson River⁴; and

WHEREAS: According to one hurricane expert who participated in the drafting of a significant U.S. Army Corps of Engineers study of storms and evacuation in New York City,

¹ From testimony by David Bragdon, Director, Mayor's Office of Long-Term Planning and Sustainability, before the City Council Committees on Environmental Protection and Waterfronts, Dec. 16, 2011

² Kreuzer, Terese L. "A Tide of Concern Is Rising Risk of Storm Surges." *Downtown Express*. Community Media LLC, 4 Jan. 2012. Web. 6 Jan. 2012. <<http://www.downtownexpress.com/?p=5935>>.

³ Hill, Douglas. "Must New York City Have Its Own Katrina?" *Leadership and Management in Engineering* 8.3 (2008): 132-38. Print.

⁴ http://www.nyc.gov/html/oem/html/hazards/storms_hurricanehistory.shtml

a Category 3 hurricane could cause 30-foot storm surges, flood hundreds of miles of the city's coast and force the evacuation of over 2.5 million residents⁵⁶; and

WHEREAS: Storm surge barriers have been built in London and Rotterdam and are being built in Venice to protect those cities, and could be protective of New York City; and

WHEREAS: "Combined economic and physical damage losses from subway tunnel flooding under a 100-year storm surge were estimated at \$58 billion at current sea levels and \$84 billion with four feet of sea-level rise, assuming a linear recovery and an estimated subway outage time of three to four weeks. Direct physical damage alone was estimated at \$10 billion for the former and \$16 billion for the latter⁷"; and

WHEREAS: New York City needs to prevent being flooded; and

WHEREAS: A study of storm surge barriers and an appropriate response would appear to be prudent; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 requests that the Army Corp. of Engineers expeditiously conduct a study about the feasibility of installing storm surge barriers and taking other action to protect New York City; and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 calls upon Mayor Bloomberg, Senator Schumer, Senator Gillibrand, Congressman Nadler, Speaker Silver, Borough President Stringer, Senator Squadron, Assembly Member Glick, and Council Member Chin to support such a study; and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 urges that such a study include consideration of the environmental and ecological impact of storm surge barriers and whatever other measures the Army Corp might study.

⁶ From Preliminary Report on New York City Emergency Response and Evacuation Plans in the Event of a Weather-Related Emergency issued by the New York State Assembly Standing Committee on Corporations, Authorities, and Commissions on Sept. 15, 2005. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers study cited dated from 1993.

⁷ Federal Transit Administration Research, "Flooded Bus Barns and Buckled Rails: Public Transportation and Climate Change Adaptation" (August 2011, FTA Report No. 0001, Prepared by FTA Office of Budget and Policy).

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: PLANNING & COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 37 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Request for the NYC Department of Transportation to install an audible traffic signal at the intersection of Greenwich and Duane Streets, and to include audible traffic signals in other new transportation plans and projects

WHEREAS: Access to traffic and signal information is an important feature of accessible sidewalks and street crossings for pedestrians who have vision impairments; and

WHEREAS: There are some situations in which the information provided by an accessible pedestrian signal is necessary for independent and safe crossing;⁸ and

WHEREAS: Accessible pedestrian signals (APS) that provide audible information coinciding with visual pedestrian signals let pedestrians who are blind know precisely when the WALK interval begins; and

WHEREAS: Audible signals can also provide directional guidance, which is particularly useful at non-perpendicular intersections and at wide multi-lane crossings; and

WHEREAS: The NYC Department of Transportation has announced plans to install a traffic signal at the busy intersection of Duane and Greenwich Streets; now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 requests that the New York City Department of Transportation include an accessible pedestrian signal in its plans to install a traffic signal at the intersection of Greenwich and Duane Streets; and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 request that the New York City Department of Transportation include an accessible pedestrian signal in future traffic signal installations.

⁸ United States. U.S. Access Board. *Accessible Pedestrian Signals*. By Billie L. Bentzen and Lee S. Tabor. Washington, DC (1331 F St., NW, Ste. 1000, Washington 20004-1111): U.S. Access Board, 1998. Print.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: PLANNING & COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 35 In Favor 1 Opposed 1 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Approval of the Manhattan Borough Board's resolution on stalled construction sites

WHEREAS: The Manhattan Borough President requested that Community Board 1 consider the attached resolution on stalled construction sites; and

WHEREAS: The Borough Board resolution calls on the City to pass legislation allowing stalled construction sites to become publically accessible on a temporary basis, when appropriate; now

BE IT
THEREFORE
RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 supports the Manhattan Borough Board resolution on stalled construction sites.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: QUALITY OF LIFE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	2 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	2 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	38 In Favor	0 Opposed	3 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Community Board 1's support for the Manhattan Borough Board's resolution on the NYPD's 'Stop and Frisk' program

WHEREAS: On January 19th 2011, the Quality of Life Committee of Community Board 1 considered and debated the attached resolution from the Manhattan Borough President; now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board 1 supports the attached resolution from the Manhattan Borough Board calling on the NYPD to take steps to reform its stop and frisk program.



THE CITY OF NEW YORK
OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
BOROUGH OF MANHATTAN

SCOTT M. STRINGER
BOROUGH PRESIDENT

**MANHATTAN BOROUGH BOARD RESOLUTION
REGARDING NYPD'S STOP AND FRISK PROGRAM**

WHEREAS, the New York City Police Department is on pace to execute 700,000 stops in 2011, more than double the number of stops that occurred in 2003; and

WHEREAS, 85% of those stopped last year were black and Latino; and

WHEREAS, only 7% of stops result in arrest, a figure consistent across racial groups; and

WHEREAS, no gun is found in 99.8% of stops; and

WHEREAS, many of these stops are not based on reasonable suspicion that a crime has or is taking place, but instead rely on dubious grounds such as "furtive movement", which was recently banned as a basis for stops in Philadelphia; and

WHEREAS, one out of seven arrests in New York City are for low-level marijuana possession, costing the City \$75 million a year in police and court costs; and

WHEREAS, many youth are charged with these low-level offenses, which do not carry jail time, but can compromise their chances at securing financial aid, accessing public housing, obtaining gainful employment, and enlisting in the military; and

WHEREAS, in 2000, the United States Civil Rights Commission concluded that the NYPD stop and frisk program amounted to racial profiling; and

WHEREAS, the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York found, in August 2011, that serious questions remain about racial disparities in current stop and frisk practices; about the constitutionality of thousands of stops that do not result in arrest; and about the role quotas may play in driving the four-fold increase in stops over the last decade; and

WHEREAS, the current stop and frisk practice is creating a deep layer of distrust between police and the city's black and Latino neighborhoods that makes solving crime harder, not easier;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that that the NYPD must take steps to reform stop and frisk immediately—by increasing the accountability for precinct commanders through CompStat; providing new training at the Policy Academy to make stops more constitutional and less confrontational; and by exploring proven alternatives to stop and frisk, like the “call-in” approach pioneered by John Jay Professor David Kennedy, which has reduced violent crime by up to 60% in cities such as Boston, Chicago, and Los Angeles; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the United States Department of Justice should launch an investigation into how stop and frisk is used in New York to determine whether racial profiling remains a problem and, if so, whether the Department of Justice should appoint a special monitor; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that legislation pending before the State Legislature should be passed making possessing small amounts of marijuana in “public view” a violation, rather than a misdemeanor.



THE CITY OF NEW YORK
OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
BOROUGH OF MANHATTAN

SCOTT M. STRINGER
BOROUGH PRESIDENT

Stop and Frisk in New York City: Facts and Figures

WHAT IS STOP AND FRISK?

The New York City Police Department's "Stop, Question, and Frisk" program (more commonly known as "Stop and Frisk") is designed (according to the NYPD) to get guns off the streets.

STOP: An officer can only stop an individual when he has reasonable suspicion that an individual is committing, has committed, or is about to commit a crime.

- Note that the NYPD relies on "Furtive Movement" as a catch-all excuse for suspicionless stops. In a federal settlement entered into by the City of Philadelphia this past summer, the Police Department agreed to prohibit stops based on "Furtive Movement" alone.

FRISK: An officer can only frisk an individual when he reasonably suspects that he is in danger of physical injury, i.e. armed and dangerous.

THE NUMBERS

2004: **315,483** stops

2010: **601,055** stops

2011: On track to exceed **700,000** stops

In **93%** of cases no arrests are made.

In **99.8%** of cases, no gun is recovered.

HISTORY OF RACIAL PROFILING

53% African American

32% Hispanic

7% White

Uniform arrest rate: 7%

In 2000, the **U.S. Commission on Civil Rights**, a bipartisan, independent commission charged with investigating, reporting on, and making recommendations concerning civil rights issues, evaluated the City's stop, question, and frisk program as part of a broader investigation into the NYPD's policies. It concluded:

While the mayor and the police commissioner attributed these disparities to suspects' profiles as reported by crime victims, this claim is belied by police testimony that the Street Crime Unit and other specialized units root out crime by scouring neighborhoods and making stops with no complaints and no victim. They simply stop who they think they should stop.

This conclusion echoed a report from then NY Attorney General Eliot Spitzer in 1999.

COSTS TO TAXPAYERS

- 1 out of 7 arrests in the City are for low-level marijuana possession. Taken together, these arrests (and the subsequent prosecution of offenders) costs the City **\$75 million** a year.
- Many youth are charged with these low-level offenses, which generally do not carry jail time, but can compromise their chances at securing financial aid for college, public housing, gainful employment, and enlisting in the military.
- More importantly, the ineffective, racially discriminatory use of Stop and Frisk creates a culture of distrust between communities and the cops, making New Yorkers less safe.

WHAT BOROUGH PRESIDENT STRINGER HAS CALLED FOR

1. A Federal investigation into how stop and frisk is used in New York to determine whether racial profiling remains a problem and, if so, whether the Department of Justice should appoint a special monitor.
2. Increased accountability for precinct commanders for S&F through CompStat.
3. New training at the Academy to make stops more constitutional and less confrontational.
4. Pilot programs of the "call-in" approach pioneered by John Jay Professor David Kennedy, which has reduced violent crime by up to 60% in cities such as Boston, Chicago, and Los Angeles.
5. State legislation that will make possessing small amounts of marijuana in "public view" a violation, rather than a misdemeanor. This legislation, which has bipartisan support, is currently pending in Albany.
6. A coalition of forces working together to change the conversation about policing in our City. Many groups have been working at this issue for years. The Center for Constitutional Rights has an ongoing class action lawsuit against S&F in Federal Court.

The New York Civil Liberties Union publishes a “Know-Your-Rights” wallet-sized card with instructions about what to do when stopped (<http://www.nyclu.org/bustcard>). NAACP, Latino Justice, AALDEF, and many other groups have likewise been working to reform Stop and Frisk in NYC.

Together, we can and will make New York City a safer city by being both tough and smart on crime.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	40 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 89 South Street Seaport, application for a tavern beer license for Salmaan Enterprises Inc., d/b/a Seaport Beer #2

WHEREAS: Salmaan Enterprises Inc., d/b/a Seaport Beer #2 is applying for an on-premise tavern beer license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant agreed to limit the hours of service to 10:00 AM to 10:00 PM Sunday through Thursday, and 10:00 AM to 1:00AM Friday and Saturday; and

WHEREAS: The total area of the establishment is 60 square feet with a public assembly capacity of 4000; and

WHEREAS: There will be no music; and

WHEREAS: The applicant will not engage outside promoters, security personnel, or independent DJs; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for either a cabaret license or a sidewalk café license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has stated that there are not buildings used primarily as schools, churches, synagogues or other places of worship within 200 feet of the establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has stated that there are three or more establishments with on-premises liquor licenses within 500 feet of the establishment; now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 opposes the granting of an on-premise tavern beer license to Salmaan Enterprises Inc., d/b/a Seaport Beer #2 located at 89 South Street Seaport unless the applicant complies with the limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	40 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 89 South Street Seaport, application for a tavern beer license for Salmaan Enterprises Inc., d/b/a Seaport Beer #3

WHEREAS: Salmaan Enterprises Inc., d/b/a Seaport Beer #3 is applying for an on-premise tavern beer license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant agreed to limit the hours of service to 10:00 AM to 10:00 PM Sunday through Thursday, and 10:00 AM to 1:00AM Friday and Saturday; and

WHEREAS: The total area of the establishment is 60 square feet with a public assembly capacity of 4000; and

WHEREAS: There will be no music; and

WHEREAS: The applicant will not engage outside promoters, security personnel, or independent DJs; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for either a cabaret license or a sidewalk café license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has stated that there are not buildings used primarily as schools, churches, synagogues or other places of worship within 200 feet of the establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has stated that there are three or more establishments with on-premises liquor licenses within 500 feet of the establishment; now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 opposes the granting of an on-premise tavern beer license to Salmaan Enterprises Inc., d/b/a Seaport Beer #3 located at 89 South Street Seaport unless the applicant complies with the limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	40 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 21-23 Peck Slip, sidewalk café renewal application for IDG Seaport Corp, d/b/a Aqua Restaurant

WHEREAS: The applicant has applied for a renewal of the sidewalk café license for 5 tables and 10 seats; now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 approves the renewal of the sidewalk café license for IDG Seaport Corp, d/b/a Aqua Restaurant located at 21-23 Peck Slip.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 24, 2012

COMMITTEES OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA AND SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

Tribeca

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

PUBLIC MEMBERS: 3 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

Seaport

COMMITTEE VOTE: 0 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

PUBLIC MEMBERS: 0 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 41 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Downtown Independent Democrats, application for a street activity permit on Sunday, April 22, 2012 on Lafayette Street between Canal and Leonard Streets during the hours of 9:00 AM to 7:00 PM

WHEREAS: The applicant has applied for a street activity permit for Sunday, April 22, 2012 on Lafayette Street between Canal and Leonard Streets; and

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 does not oppose the proposed street activity permit submitted by the Tunnel to Towers RunWalk to close Vesey Street from West Street to North End Avenue and on North End Avenue from Vesey to Murray Streets during the hours of 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM on Sunday, September 30, 2012 subject to the following conditions:

1. The Lower Manhattan Construction Command Center reviews the application and determines that it is compatible with nearby construction activity that is expected to be simultaneously underway, and
2. Traffic control agents are deployed as needed to ensure that there is no significant adverse impact from the event on traffic flow, and
3. Clean-up will be coordinated with the appropriate City Agencies, and
4. Bands and persons with megaphones are not situated along the route such that they disturb residents, and
5. Pedestrian and vehicular traffic in and out of all garages downtown remain open at all times.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	8 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	3 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	41 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 85 West Broadway, application for alteration of an on-premise liquor license for Englos LLC d/b/a Plein Sud

WHEREAS: Englos LLC d/b/a Plein Sud is applying to alter an on-premise liquor license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant agreed to limit the hours of sidewalk café service to 9:00 AM to 11:00 PM Sunday through Wednesday, and 9:00 AM to 12:00AM Thursday through Saturday and limit the hours of lobby bar service to 12:00 PM to 4:00 AM seven days a week; and

WHEREAS: The total area of the sidewalk café is 224 square feet with 10 tables and 20 seats, and the hotel lobby bar is 1800 square feet with 20 tables and 47 seats; and

WHEREAS: There will be no music; and

WHEREAS: The applicant will not engage outside promoters, security personnel, or independent DJs; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a cabaret license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has stated that there are not buildings used primarily as schools, churches, synagogues or other places of worship within 200 feet of the establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has stated that there are three or more establishments with on-premises liquor licenses within 500 feet of the establishment; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 opposes the granting of an altered on-premise liquor license to Englos LLC d/b/a Plein Sud located at 85 West Broadway unless the applicant complies with the limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	7 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	1 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	3 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	41 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 85 West Broadway, sidewalk café application for Smyth Tribeca

WHEREAS: The applicant has applied for a sidewalk café license for 10 tables and 20 seats;
now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 approves the sidewalk café license for Smyth Tribeca located at 85 West
Broadway.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	9 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	3 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	41 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 130 West Broadway, application for a restaurant liquor license for WB Duane Japanese Partners, Inc. d/b/a TBD

WHEREAS: WB Duane Japanese Partners, Inc. d/b/a TBD is applying for a restaurant liquor license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant agreed to limit the hours of service to 11:30 AM to 1:00 AM Sunday through Thursday, and 11:30 AM to 2:00AM Friday and Saturday; and

WHEREAS: The total area of the establishment is 2000 square feet with 24 tables and 71 seats, and the bar area is 24 feet long with 12 stools; and

WHEREAS: There will be background music only; and

WHEREAS: The applicant will not engage outside promoters, security personnel, or independent DJs; and

WHEREAS: The applicant does not intend to apply for a cabaret license; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has stated that there are not buildings used primarily as schools, churches, synagogues or other places of worship within 200 feet of the establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has stated that there are three or more establishments with on-premises liquor licenses within 500 feet of the establishment; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 opposes the granting of an on-premise liquor license to WB Duane Japanese Partners, Inc. d/b/a TBD located at 130 West Broadway unless the applicant complies with the limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	2 In Favor	7 Opposed	0 Abstained	1 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	3 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	41 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 65 West Broadway, sidewalk café application for 65 West Broadway Restaurant LLC d/b/a Jean

WHEREAS: The applicant has applied for a sidewalk café license with 10 tables and 21 seats; and

WHEREAS: The applicant has applied for a sidewalk café license prior to opening the establishment to the public; and

WHEREAS: The community has not had an opportunity to evaluate the method of operations for this establishment; and

WHEREAS: The applicant is encouraged to reapply for a sidewalk café license sometime after the establishment opens to the public; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 *opposes* the sidewalk café license for 65 West Broadway Restaurant LLC d/b/a Jean located at 65 West Broadway until the Community Board has had an opportunity to review the method of operation.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	9 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	3 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	41 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 190A Duane Street, sidewalk café renewal application for Nonna Restaurant Corp, d/b/a Roc Restaurant

WHEREAS: The applicant has applied for a renewal of the sidewalk café license for 20 tables and 40 seats; now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 approves the renewal of the sidewalk café license for Nonna Restaurant Corp, d/b/a Roc Restaurant located at 190A Duane Street.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	8 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	3 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	41 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Request for the World Trade Center command to address the concerns of neighboring residents

WHEREAS: In May 2011, the New York City Police Department converted horse stables on Varick Street adjacent to the 1st Precinct in Lower Manhattan into the new station house for the World Trade Center police command; and

WHEREAS: The new World Trade Center police command is in close proximity to residential buildings on Ericsson Place and N. Moore Street in Tribeca; and

WHEREAS: Neighboring residents have submitted numerous complaints to Community Board 1 about the World Trade Center police command, including:

- Police cars routinely parked in the cross-walk on the North West corner of N. Moore and Varick Streets, which creates a blind turn off of Varick Street
- Significantly increased traffic resulting from the presence of cruisers and personal vehicles on Varick and N. Moore Streets
- Double parking on the West side of Varick Street between Ericsson Place and N. Moore Street
- Police and personal vehicles routinely parked in front of the fire hydrants and in no placard zones, in the bus stop on Varick Street, on the Holland Tunnel rotary, blocking traffic on Ericsson Place in front of the 1st Precinct, and on sidewalks throughout the area
- Noise disturbances during shift changes
- Construction of an exterior staircase on the rear of the converted horse stables in an alley adjacent to the 1st Precinct and the placement of roof top lights on the converted horse stable building that shine directly into the windows of adjacent homes
- Noise on the staircase including officers congregating on the steps and smoking
- Rude and unpleasant behavior by officers towards residents in the neighborhood; now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board 1 respectfully requests that the World Trade Center command and New York City Police Department address the aforementioned concerns and take steps to improve the quality of life for residents in the neighborhood and enforce the traffic regulations that are already posted.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: NEW BUSINESS

BOARD VOTE: 41 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Determination on Adding Cancer, or a Certain Type of Cancer, to the List of WTC-Related Health Conditions Covered under the 9/11 Health and Compensation Act

WHEREAS: As early as 2009, the first peer-review medical journal study on this subject reported, "... we observed an unusual number of MM [multiple myeloma] cases in WTC responders under 45 years. This finding underscores the importance of maintaining surveillance for cancer and other emerging diseases in this highly exposed population..."¹ Multiple myeloma is when "plasma cells [which normally would help your body fight infection by producing proteins called antibodies] grow out of control in the bone marrow and form tumors in the areas of solid bone. The growth of these bone tumors makes it harder for the bone marrow to make healthy blood cells and platelets."²; and

WHEREAS: Cancer is not included in the list of "WTC-Related Health Conditions" covered under the diseases included in The James Zadroga 9/11 Health and Compensation Act of 2010 (Act) which was implemented in 2011; and

WHEREAS: In July 2011, the "First Periodic Review of Scientific and Medical Evidence Related to Cancer for the World Trade Center Health Program" was released by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) finding that "insufficient evidence existed at this time to propose a rule to add cancer, or a certain type of cancer, to the List of WTC Related Health Conditions."³ However,

*"...it is important to point out that the current absence of published scientific and medical findings demonstrating a causal association between the exposures resulting from the September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks and the occurrence of cancer in responders and survivors does not indicate evidence of the absence of a causal association..."*⁴ and

WHEREAS: The report also stated that, "It is expected that the second periodic review of cancer will be conducted in early to mid-2012 to capture any emerging findings

¹ Moline, Jacqueline, M., MD, MSc, "Multiple Myeloma in World Trade Center Responders: A Case Series," Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine., 2009; 51:896-902

² <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmedhealth/PMH0001609/>

³ <http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/topics/wtc/prc/prc-1.html>

⁴ <http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/topics/wtc/prc/prc-1.html>; p. 40

about exposures and cancer in responders and survivors affected by the September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks.”⁵ and

WHEREAS: On September 7, 2011, the NY Congressional delegation (including Congressman Nadler, Congresswoman Maloney, Congressman King, US Senator Gillibrand, US Senator Schumer) which sponsored the Act, petitioned Dr. John Howard, NIOSH Director and Administrator of the WTC Health Program, stating that,

“... an immediate review of new medical evidence showing increased cancer rates among firefighters who served at ground zero... indicated an elevated risk of melanoma, thyroid and prostate cancer, and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma among firefighters who served at ground zero, compared to the general population, and an overall increase in cancers among firefighters exposed to toxins at the WTC site, compared to firefighters who were not exposed to those toxins... [The Lancet, 09/03/11];” and

WHEREAS: On September 8, 2011, members of the WTC Health Program scientific review panel (Scientific/Technical Advisory Committee; WTCHP STAC) were named. The panel was established to review scientific and medical evidence and to make recommendations to the WTC Program Administrator; and

WHEREAS: On November 8-10, 2011, the WTC HP STAC met for the first time and received presentations on all related cancer research published up to that time; and

WHEREAS: On December 27, 2011, the Appellate Division of the New York State Supreme Court ruled that NYPD officer Frank Macri’s death was due to WTC-related cancer (diagnosed with lung cancer that metastasized to the brain, liver and bones) (WSJ/AP, December 28, 2011 and NY Post, by Dareh Gregorian, December 27, 2011); and

WHEREAS: According to the NYS Department of Health, “Cancer is a group of more than 100 different diseases that begin when abnormal cells in the body grow out of control. Normally, cells grow and divide to create new cells as they are needed to keep the body healthy. Sometimes this process of growing new cells does not work properly and cancer forms... Most cancers are named after the body part or cell where abnormal growth begins. ... Normal cells become cancer cells because of damage to DNA. DNA is in every cell and directs all its actions. In a normal cell, when DNA gets damaged, the cell either repairs the damage or it dies. In cancer cells, the damaged DNA is not fixed, but the cell does not die like it should. Instead, this cell goes on making new cells that the body does not need...One of every two men and one of every three women will be diagnosed with cancer at some time in their life. In New York State, nearly one in four deaths is due to cancer;”⁶ and

⁵ <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2011-07-27/pdf/2011-19000.pdf>

⁶ <http://www.health.ny.gov/diseases/cancer/>

WHEREAS: According to NIOSH's 2011 cancer report, "287 chemicals and chemical groups were identified by environmental sampling of the area around the WTC in NYC after 9/11..." Categories of these chemicals include asbestos and glass fibers, crystalline silica, various metals, volatile organic compounds, polychlorinated polycyclic compounds, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Some of the chemicals identified through environmental sampling are known to be human carcinogens or are reasonably anticipated to be human carcinogens ... have been associated ... with a number of different types of cancers, such as **lung cancer including mesothelioma; skin cancer; bladder cancer; hematopoietic cancers; testicular cancer; prostate cancer; and liver and biliary cancer;**⁷ and

WHEREAS: "An association between WTC exposure and cancer is biologically plausible, because some contaminants in the WTC dust, such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, polychlorinated biphenyls, and dioxins, are known carcinogens. Although some contaminants could cause cancer directly, WTC exposure could also trigger chronic inflammations, through microbial infections, autoimmune diseases, or other inflammatory disorders, all of which have been reported as factors in ontogenesis, both experimentally and epidemiologically. The prevalence of specific cancers (i.e., **prostate, thyroid, melanoma, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma**) associated with inflammation was also increased...";⁸ and

WHEREAS: The November 2011 FDNY presentation based on published medical articles states, "WTC exposure is a known cause of acute and chronic inflammatory illnesses (asthma, COPD, sinusitis, and GERD). Chronic inflammation in turn has been associated with various cancers (non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, prostate, thyroid, melanoma);"⁹ and

WHEREAS: Since cancer is not a covered WTC illness, victims may not report information about cancer or participate in WTC monitoring and treatment programs that do not treat the disease; and

WHEREAS: The most recent data on the New York State Department of Health (NYSDOH) Cancer Registry website is from 2008¹⁰ -- so there is a several year time lag between disease diagnoses, data collection and release; and

WHEREAS: There is an upcoming January 24, 2012 public teleconference meeting; and

WHEREAS: There is a public meeting in NYC on February 15-16, 2012; and

⁷ <http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/topics/wtc/prc/prc-1.html>; p. 39

⁸ "Zeig-Owens, et al., "Early assessment of cancer outcomes in New York City firefighters after the 9/11 attacks: an observational cohort study," *Lancet*, September 3, 2011, p. 904.

⁹ Prezant, David, "FDNY: World Trade Center Health Studies," presentation November 9, 2011 <http://www.cdc.gov/NIOSH/topics/wtc/stac/meetings/Nov2011.html>

¹⁰ <http://www.health.ny.gov/statistics/cancer/registry/>

WHEREAS: The WTC STAC will have until March 2, 2012 to consider the request to review the available information and provide advice to the Administrator¹¹; and

WHEREAS: People are suffering and are still waiting for care -- and advocates of the WTC community have been asking that cancer be included from the beginning; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 urges that the STAC strongly consider the emerging medical evidence of cancer among 9-11 responders and survivors, the scientific evidence for a biologically plausible link between cancers and WTC exposure and the experiences of those who responded so selflessly on September 11, 2011 and during the enormous, hazardous clean-up and rebuilding of the WTC.

¹¹ <http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/topics/wtc/stac/pdfs/STAC-Howard.PDF>, page 3, footnote 5; Dr Ward's October 5, 2011 letter; with a possible final date of April 2, 2012, should the WTC SHTAC ask for an additional 30 days of time to complete their review before the statutory deadline is reached.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: WTC REDEVELOPMENT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 8 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 41 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Fund the Lower Manhattan Construction Command Center through World Trade Center Peak Construction Period

WHEREAS: By resolution dated June 17, 2003, Community Board 1 (CB1) unanimously approved The Coordinated Construction Act for Lower Manhattan to coordinate and facilitate construction, reduce costs, and promote safety and fairness in connection with the rebuilding of Lower Manhattan following the terrorist attacks on the World Trade Center on September 11, 2001; and

WHEREAS: Since then, CB1 has unanimously supported and reiterated its support for the extension of the Lower Manhattan Construction Command Center (LMCCC) through the peak construction period pursuant to numerous resolutions (including September 27, 2011; March 22, 2011; January 25, 2011; June 22, 2010; December 15, 2009; June 19, 2007) and testimony at various hearings; and

WHEREAS: In November 2004, LMCCC was established by executive orders issued by New York Governor George E. Pataki and New York City Mayor Michael R. Bloomberg and charged with coordination and general oversight of all Lower Manhattan construction projects worth more than \$25 million south of Canal Street; and

WHEREAS: There are more than 50 large unfinished public and private projects, and infrastructure constructions projects in CB1 including the World Trade Center Site (12), Street Improvements (6), Transportation (4), Parks (8), Residential Growth (17), Hotels (9) and Other (3) and more are expected:

World Trade Center Site (12)

1. National September 11 Memorial & Museum at the World Trade Center
2. 1 World Trade Center Tower (Freedom Tower)
3. 2 World Trade Center Tower (200 Greenwich Street)
4. 3 World Trade Center Tower (175 Greenwich Street)
5. 4 World Trade Center Tower (150 Greenwich Street)
6. 5 World Trade Center Tower (130 Liberty Street)
7. World Trade Center South Bathtub / Vehicular Security Center
8. World Trade Center Transportation Hub
9. Performing Arts Center (removal of temporary PATH Station)

10. Liberty Park
11. East-West Connector with Winter Garden Extension
12. Restoration of Street Grid

Street Improvements (6)

1. Chambers Street Reconstruction (Chambers Street between West and Church Street)
2. Fulton Street Reconstruction (Fulton Street between Gold and South Street and Nassau Street between Maiden Lane and Spruce Street)
3. Houston Street Reconstruction (Houston Street between Bowery and FDR Drive)
4. Hudson Street Trunk Main Project (North Tribeca around the Holland Tunnel rotunda, Hudson Street and ancillary Streets)
5. Peck Slip Reconstruction (Peck Slip between Pearl Street and South Street)
6. 9A West Street Promenade (West Street between Albany and Vesey Street)

Transportation (4)

1. Battery Park Enhancements
2. Brooklyn Bridge Rehabilitation
3. Fulton Street Transit Center
4. World Trade Center Transportation Hub

Parks (8)

1. Battery Park Enhancements
2. Catherine, Montgomery, Rutgers Slips
3. Collect Pond Park
4. East River Waterfront
5. James Madison Plaza
6. Pearl Street Playground
7. Peck Slip Park
8. Pike-Allen Street Pedestrian Malls

Residential Growth (17)

1. 2 Allen Street
2. Battery Park City Sites 23 and 24
3. Beekman Tower (8 Spruce Street)
4. 371 Broadway
5. 99 Church Street
6. 137 Franklin Street
7. 254 Front Street
8. 56 Leonard Street

9. 67 Liberty Street
10. 113 Nassau/ 21 Ann Street
11. 19 Park Place
12. 57 Reade Street
13. 37 Warren Street
14. 111 Washington Street
15. 471 Washington Street
16. 50 West Street
17. 240 West Broadway

Hotels (9)

1. 99 Church Street
2. 30 Fletcher Street
3. 95 Henry Street
4. 24 John Street
5. 50 Trinity Place
6. 99 Washington Street
7. 111 Washington Street
8. 50 West Street
9. 217 Pearl Street

Other (3)

1. Battery Maritime Building
2. Fiterman Hall (30 West Broadway)
3. Pace University (180 Broadway); and

WHEREAS: These projects are located in all areas of CB1 from Tribeca to the South Street Seaport/Civic Center to Battery Park City to the Financial District/Greenwich South; and

WHEREAS: LMCCC is currently coordinating over 10,000 construction vehicles (fit-out/trades, general, concrete, steel, etc.) that come into the one square mile of CB1 to keep traffic moving for emergency fire and police vehicles, buses (MTA, tour, school, double-decker and commuter), taxis, black cars, etc; and

WHEREAS: LMCCC is critical to the protection of the quality of life of people living and working in Lower Manhattan who have been impacted over the past 10 ½ years, overseeing the logistical and environmental oversight of the numerous projects, working with over 25 government agencies in addition to the numerous private projects, and through related efforts including minimizing noise, dust and vibration impacts; and

WHEREAS: LMCCC runs a website called LowerManhattan.info where the latest information on each of these projects is posted (including schedules, completion dates, road closings, detours, work schedules) along with other construction news that is based on the information provided by the project managers. LMCCC also

provides a free Alerts Subscription e-mail with weekly updates and interacts with the different private and public projects to make sure that the impacted community is aware of upcoming construction related work; and

WHEREAS: There have been missed completion dates over the years, including the most recent announcement about the 9/11 National Memorial Museum, which has moved the peak construction years beyond 2012, and different components of the World Trade Center will likely open to the public in a phased-in process between 2013 and 2015 (and potentially beyond); and

WHEREAS: Previous funding for the LMCCC came from a variety of partners including the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey (PANYNJ), Federal Transportation Authority (FTA), Metropolitan Transportation Authority (MTA), and the New York State Department of Transportation (NYSDOT), and in kind services (e.g. inspectors and police officers), and all of these entities are now experiencing their own budget constraints; and

WHEREAS: On October 19, 2011, Governor Cuomo announced, “The Port Authority is best situated to oversee the orderly wind-down of the LMDC and these changes will consolidate responsibility within the Authority ...;”¹ and

WHEREAS: CB1 strongly believes that the appropriate downsizing of the LMDC should be independent of the LMCCC, which oversees the logistical and environmental oversight of numerous projects through peak construction; and

WHEREAS: Although the current executive order is only through 2013, CB1 has not had an update on the status of LMCCC funding for some time; and

WHEREAS: Since LMCCC opened it has been involved with the completion of 140 projects from 2005 through 2010 (see attachment); now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: The LMCCC needs to be fully functioning and funded by its funding partners—and independent as it was intended – by whatever means necessary so that the adverse effects of construction can be minimized during the years of peak construction; and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 urges Governor Andrew Cuomo and Mayor Bloomberg to ensure the continued funding of the LMCCC until the ongoing development and construction activity in Lower Manhattan is substantially completed so that we can continue to experience the positive momentum of the past several years.

¹ <http://www.governor.ny.gov/press/10192011PortAuthorityAppointments>

	Legal Address	Start Date	Finish Date	Main Type	Const. Type	Total sq ft
1	95 Worth Street	01/01/2001	05/17/2005	Mixed- use	Conversion	364,721.00
2	2 Gold Street	03/01/2003	05/30/2005	Mixed- use	New	627,330.00
3	125 Water Street	06/02/2003	06/03/2005	Commercial	Renovation	463,664.00
4	80 Nassau Street	06/01/2003	06/30/2005	Residential	Renovation	39,267.00
5	256 West Street	03/01/2004	06/30/2005	Residential	Conversion	173,208.00
6	88 Laight Street	07/01/2004	06/30/2005	Residential	New	16,553.00
7	325 North End Avenue	02/01/2004	08/31/2005	Residential	New	356,483.00
8	20 River Terrace	03/01/2001	11/30/2005	Residential	New	356,264.00
9	10 Liberty Street	07/01/2002	12/31/2005	Residential	New	387,000.00
10	63 Wall Street	03/01/2004	12/31/2005	Residential	Renovation	390,531.00
11	83 Walker Street	06/01/2004	12/31/2005	Commercial	Demolition	0.00
12	10 Hanover Square	01/31/2005	01/31/2006	Residential	Conversion	487,404.00
13	320 Pearl Street	09/01/2005	03/01/2006	Commercial	New	27,356.00
14	1 Wall Street	05/31/2005	05/14/2006	Commercial	Renovation	1,165,659.00
15	130 Fulton Street	07/16/2005	05/19/2006	Residential	Conversion	56,789.00
16	7 World Trade Center	05/01/2002	05/23/2006	Commercial	New	1,636,000.00
17	71 Nassau Street	03/01/2006	05/31/2006	Residential	Conversion	75,525.00
18	24 Washington Street	06/01/2005	06/01/2006	Residential	Renovation	178,748.00
19	82 Beaver Street	12/01/2003	06/02/2006	Residential	Renovation	108,373.00
20	211 North End Avenue	01/01/2005	06/30/2006	Residential	New	278,141.00
21	15 Broad Street	05/10/2004	08/13/2006	Mixed- use	Conversion	808,770.00
22	181 Franklin Street	08/20/2004	08/21/2006	Commercial	Conversion	89,724.00
23	100 Maiden Lane	12/01/2003	09/08/2006	Mixed- use	Conversion	290,116.00
24	120 Liberty Street	06/01/2005	09/18/2006	Commercial	Renovation	57,945.00
25	Federal Hall	03/01/2005	10/29/2006	Institutional	New	47,300.00
26	NYU Downtown Hospital	08/01/2005	10/30/2006	Institutional	Renovation	1,086,781.00
27	1 Hudson Street	02/15/2006	11/30/2006	Residential	Renovation	26,531.00
28	146 Chambers Street	10/02/2006	11/30/2006	Mixed- use	New	11,136.00
29	161 Duane Street	10/02/2006	11/30/2006	Residential	Conversion	34,787.00
30	225 Rector Place	01/01/2005	12/31/2006	Residential	Conversion	279,276.00
31	6 York Street	01/01/2005	12/31/2006	Hotel	New	65,283.00
32	95 Wall Street	01/01/2005	12/31/2006	Residential	Conversion	356,000.00
33	246 Front Street	06/01/2005	12/31/2006	Residential	Conversion	54,940.00
34	105 Norfolk Street	09/01/2005	12/31/2006	Residential	New	36,891.00
35	431 Canal Street	10/13/2006	01/12/2007	Institutional	Renovation	375,000.00

36	30 West Street	01/10/2005	03/11/2007	Residential	New	344,863.00
37	Historic Front Street	11/01/2003	03/31/2007	Mixed- use	Renovation	136,000.00
38	130 William Street	04/01/2006	04/01/2007	Commercial	Renovation	107,124.00
39	88 Leonard Street	10/11/2004	04/13/2007	Residential	New	396,000.00
40	67 Wall Street	11/21/2005	04/13/2007	Commercial	Renovation	303,175.00
41	59 John Street	07/16/2005	05/01/2007	Residential	Conversion	97,798.00
42	60 Ann Street	05/01/2005	05/31/2007	Residential	Conversion	183,310.00
43	443 Greenwich Street	10/01/2006	07/12/2007	Mixed- use	Conversion	255,769.00
44	55 John Street	07/21/2005	07/22/2007	Institutional	Conversion	109,072.00
45	200 Chambers Street	02/10/2005	07/27/2007	Mixed- use	New	403,941.00
46	151 Hudson Street	10/13/2006	10/19/2007	Residential	Conversion	17,200.00
47	72 Wall Street / 70 Pine Street	05/01/2007	11/01/2007	Mixed- use	Renovation	1,243,624.00
48	217 Pearl Street	11/06/2007	11/16/2007	Hotel	New	435,000.00
49	89 Reade Street	12/08/2005	12/31/2007	Residential	Renovation	69,212.00
50	60 Beach Street	01/01/2006	12/31/2007	Mixed- use	Conversion	94,532.00
51	48 Wall Street	12/01/2005	01/11/2008	Commercial	Renovation	281,766.00
52	408 Greenwich Street	09/01/2006	01/29/2008	Mixed- use	Conversion	32,623.00
53	414 Washington Street	09/01/2006	01/29/2008	Mixed- use	New	23,351.00
54	415 Greenwich Street	03/01/2005	02/01/2008	Residential	Renovation	220,900.00
55	88 Greenwich Street	04/23/2007	02/08/2008	Residential	Conversion	470,177.00
56	100 Church Street	02/12/2006	02/13/2008	Commercial	Renovation	918,240.00
57	52 Thomas Street	06/01/2006	02/15/2008	Residential	Conversion	53,164.00
58	15 Gold Street	03/01/2006	03/31/2008	Hotel	New	71,539.00
59	37 Wall Street	08/01/2005	04/01/2008	Residential	Renovation	377,214.00
60	377 Greenwich Street	12/01/2004	04/30/2008	Hotel	Renovation	75,533.00
61	10 Barclay Street	11/29/2004	06/24/2008	Residential	New	558,540.00
62	147 Reade Street	07/01/2006	07/01/2008	Mixed- use	Renovation	90,310.00
63	415 Washington Street	10/01/2006	07/01/2008	Residential	New	45,000.00
64	14 Wall Street	07/14/2006	07/15/2008	Commercial	Renovation	147,000.00
65	99 Washington Street	10/12/2007	07/31/2008	Hotel	New	0.00
66	80 John Street	08/16/2006	09/04/2008	Mixed- use	Conversion	149,548.00
67	1 York Street	04/18/2006	09/15/2008	Commercial	New	41,547.00
68	125 Church Street	09/01/2006	09/30/2008	Mixed- use	New	144,330.00
69	55 Wall Street	05/01/2007	09/30/2008	Mixed- use	Conversion	188,275.00
70	28 Laight Street	10/16/2006	10/17/2008	Mixed- use	Conversion	98,488.00
71	125 Nassau Street	12/01/2004	10/31/2008	Hotel	Conversion	128,220.00
72	32 Laight Street	10/01/2006	10/31/2008	Residential	Conversion	16,200.00
73	90 William Street	10/01/2006	10/31/2008	Residential	Conversion	168,771.00
74	143 Reade Street	10/02/2006	10/31/2008	Mixed- use	Conversion	29,564.00

75	148 Chambers Street	03/01/2007	10/31/2008	Mixed- use	Conversion	12,631.00
76	56 Leonard Street	04/16/2007	10/31/2008	Residential	New	425,000.00
77	475 Greenwich Street	07/01/2006	11/03/2008	Residential	New	49,060.00
78	20 Exchange Place	10/02/2006	11/17/2008	Mixed- use	Conversion	786,942.00
79	270 Greenwich Street	12/29/2005	11/21/2008	Mixed- use	New	770,447.00
80	20 Maiden Lane	04/01/2007	12/01/2008	Commercial	New	80,000.00
81	145 Reade Street	12/01/2007	12/31/2008	Mixed- use	Renovation	8,792.00
82	20 Pine Street	07/11/2005	01/05/2009	Residential	Conversion	583,639.00
83	111 Fulton Street	06/08/2006	01/22/2009	Mixed- use	Conversion	223,110.00
84	85 West Broadway	08/01/2006	02/02/2009	Residential	New	88,661.00
85	99 Church Street	10/01/2007	02/13/2009	Hotel	New	683,000.00
86	2 River Terrace	01/02/2006	03/03/2009	Mixed- use	New	589,479.00
87	34 Leonard Street	03/13/2007	03/24/2009	Residential	New	88,724.00
88	371 Broadway	03/03/2008	03/31/2009	Residential	New	134,644.00
89	South Ferry Station	06/01/2006	04/01/2009	Infrastructure	New	1,201,232.00
90	39 Whitehall Street	04/07/2007	04/08/2009	Mixed- use	Conversion	169,061.00
91	70 Little West Street	07/31/2006	04/10/2009	Mixed- use	New	505,000.00
92	Chambers Street Station	12/11/2006	04/10/2009	Infrastructure	Renovation	576.00
93	111 Wall Street	05/12/2008	04/30/2009	Commercial	Renovation	990,205.00
94	New York Law School	09/15/2006	05/01/2009	Institutional	New	211,000.00
95	90 Washington Street	05/04/2007	05/05/2009	Residential	Renovation	325,514.00
96	201 Pearl Street	05/01/2007	05/29/2009	Residential	New	221,967.00
97	450 Washington Street	05/07/2007	05/29/2009	Residential	New	256,493.00
98	40 Worth Street	05/01/2007	05/31/2009	Commercial	Renovation	702,815.00
99	90 John Street	01/01/2008	05/31/2009	Mixed- use	Conversion	344,914.00
100	15 William Street	02/01/2006	06/29/2009	Mixed- use	New	530,168.00
101	45 John Street	01/01/2008	07/31/2009	Residential	Conversion	98,915.00
102	1 Rector Park	12/01/2007	08/31/2009	Residential	Conversion	219,703.00
103	139 Centre Street	11/14/2007	09/08/2009	Commercial	Conversion	160,000.00
104	50 Franklin Street	09/17/2007	09/28/2009	Residential	New	135,576.00
105	130 Duane Street	09/01/2007	09/30/2009	Hotel	New	17,452.00
106	67 Liberty Street	10/15/2007	10/31/2009	Residential	Renovation	46,244.00
107	155 Water Street	07/15/2009	12/04/2009	Residential	Conversion	50,955.00
108	70 Broad Street	05/01/2006	01/31/2010	Institutional	Conversion	19,478.00
109	25 Broadway - BMCCC	01/01/2008	01/31/2010	Commercial	New	126,000.00
110	130 Cedar Street	11/01/2007	03/01/2010	Commercial	New	206,069.00
111	40 Broad Street	06/01/2006	03/15/2010	Residential	Conversion	282,372.00
112	99 Hudson Street	05/30/2008	03/29/2010	Commercial	Renovation	133,010.00
113	75 Wall Street	01/01/2007	03/31/2010	Residential	Conversion	372,747.00

114	25 Murray Street	09/18/2007	05/19/2010	Mixed- use	Renovation	145,797.00
115	200 West Street	12/01/2005	06/01/2010	Commercial	New	2,300,000.00
116	134 Duane Street	11/10/2009	08/17/2010	Residential	Renovation	38,700.00
117	55 Battery Place	07/07/2008	09/01/2010	Institutional	New	127,520.00
118	20 Mott Street	03/09/2009	09/13/2010	Mixed- use	New	18,960.00
119	370 Canal Street	02/01/2007	09/14/2010	Commercial	New	160,545.00
120	244 Front Street	04/02/2010	09/14/2010	Residential	Conversion	15,765.00
121	276 Water Street	09/30/2009	09/20/2010	Residential	Renovation	11,196.00
122	59 East Broadway	06/16/2009	10/30/2010	Mixed- use	Conversion	16,875.00
123	8 Stone Street	12/13/2007	11/08/2010	Commercial	New	273,360.00
124	49 Ann Street	10/01/2010	01/15/2011	Hotel	New	60,790.00
125	130 Liberty Street	09/15/2005	03/02/2011	Commercial	Demolition	1,415,086.00
126	200 North End Avenue	04/01/2008	04/29/2011	Residential	New	237,398.00
127	77 Reade Street	01/01/2009	05/01/2011	Residential	Conversion	77,540.00
128	300 North End Avenue	04/01/2008	05/16/2011	Residential	New	446,168.00
129	26 Broadway	03/01/2009	05/30/2011	Mixed- use	Renovation	860,889.00
130	123 Washington Street	05/01/2006	07/15/2011	Residential	New	390,000.00
131	40 Gold Street	04/25/2008	07/30/2011	Residential	New	67,270.00
132	180 Broadway	05/19/2011	08/22/2011	Mixed- use	New	122,020.00
133	National Sept 11 Mem. & Mus.	09/02/2008	09/09/2011	Institutional	New	1,299,500.00
134	156 Canal Street	02/01/2011	11/21/2011	Commercial	Renovation	2,014.00
135	31 Vestry Street	05/01/2008	11/22/2011	Residential	New	29,145.00
136	50 West Street	04/14/2008	11/30/2011	Mixed- use	New	580,000.00
137	Fiterman Hall	11/19/2010	12/11/2011	Institutional	New	390,000.00
138	52 Laight Street	01/01/2008	12/15/2011	Residential	New	56,832.00
139	471 Washington Street	11/01/2008	12/19/2011	Residential	New	29,123.00
140	95 West Broadway	11/15/2010	12/31/2011	Hotel	Renovation	364,721.00
						40,725,225.00

of Residential **60**
of Mixed-use **34**
of Commercial **25**
of Institutional **9**
of Infrastructure **2**
of Hotel **10**

Total # of Projects 140

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: WTC REDEVELOPMENT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 8 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 40 In Favor 0 Opposed 1 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: The National 9/11 Memorial and Museum

WHEREAS: Last year tremendous progress was made with the partial opening of the National 9/11 Memorial for the tenth anniversary; and

WHEREAS: The Memorial Plaza is still roughly one-quarter incomplete; and

WHEREAS: The goal of the National 9/11 Memorial Museum was to open September 11, 2012 on the 11th anniversary; and

WHEREAS: Recent reports in the media indicate that work on the National 9/11 Memorial Plaza and Museum has slowed down significantly as a result of funding differences between the Memorial and the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey (PANYNJ) so that the Museum's target opening has been delayed with no new target date; and

WHEREAS: The Memorial and the Museum are key elements of the Master Plan for rebuilding the World Trade Center Master site; and

WHEREAS: When it opens, The National September 11 Memorial Museum at the World Trade Center will bear solemn witness to the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001 and February 26, 1993; and

WHEREAS: An October 25, 2011 letter from former PANYNJ Executive Director Chris Ward to Governor Andrew Cuomo states, "we expect to beat by many months our original commitment date to open the 9/11 Museum by the 2nd Quarter 2013 and are pushing to have it open before the end of 2012;" and

WHEREAS: Our community has been under constant construction and there have been many missed opening deadlines since the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001; and

WHEREAS: The mission of the 9/11 Museum outweighs the financial disputes between the Memorial and the PANYNJ; now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 strongly urges that work continue on the Memorial Plaza and Museum while the National 9/11 Memorial and Museum, the PANYNJ, Mayor Bloomberg, NYS Governor Cuomo and NJ Governor Christie work together to resolve their financial differences so that the Memorial and Museum can be completed in a timely manner.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: WTC REDEVELOPMENT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 8 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 40 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

Re: Request to Add Four Key Survivor Population Medical Studies to the WTC Health Program Research Priorities to be funded under The James Zadroga 9/11 Health and Compensation Act

WHEREAS: The James Zadroga 9/11 Health and Compensation Act of 2010, includes funding for medical studies on the survivor population; and

WHEREAS: There have been many more medical studies on the responder population than the survivor population over the past decade and children have been understudied; and

WHEREAS: The WTC Health Program at the World Trade Center Environmental Health Center of Excellence (WTC EHC) at Bellevue has submitted proposals for funding for the following four studies of the survivor population:

1. **Pediatric Studies** - In depth evaluation of pediatric effects of exposure, including lung function, mental health or developmental and endocrine effects. Effects of exposures during the vulnerable growth period are completely unknown.
2. **Blood Bank** -Ability to save blood for DNA, RNA and protein analyses for future studies of susceptibility to diseases including lung and other cancers. These samples could be used by multiple investigators in pediatric and adult studies now and in the future.
3. **Disease Mechanisms** - We need more studies to understand the mechanisms producing the symptoms reported by patients in the WTC Health Program.
4. **Data Center Analytic Funding** - The Data Centers need additional funding for center-specific analyses that may be required quickly. Two examples of this are the question that has arisen about latency of symptom onset and a case series of cancers in programs with continued cohort recruitment, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 strongly supports the funding of these studies by the WTC EHC at Bellevue so that the environmental 9/11 health impact on children and adults who lived, attended school or worked in the area can be better understood.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 24, 2012

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: YOUTH & EDUCATION

COMMITTEE VOTE:	8 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	3 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	41 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 2011 NYC Parks Permit Rules Proposal

WHEREAS: In December, 2011, the New York City Department of Parks and Recreation (“NYC Parks”) issued a new proposed rules revision governing procedures and priorities to be employed in issuing seasonal use permits for approximately nine hundred sports fields citywide (the “2011 Permit Rules Proposal”); and

WHEREAS: The 2011 Permit Rules Proposal will address and begin to alleviate a number of longstanding community concerns arising from the permitting of sports fields; and

WHEREAS: Community concerns have included the concentration of permits in the hands of a relatively small number of adult and corporate recreation providers (so-called “lawyers’ leagues”); and

WHEREAS: Rapidly expanding and award winning school sports programs and affordable parent-run youth leagues have struggled to meet documented needs because of the chronic shortage of field space; and

WHEREAS: The 2011 Permit Rules Proposal will support family and youth recreation by beginning to address the over-permitting of corporate recreation providers; now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board One commends NYC Parks Commissioner Adrian Benape for reviewing the procedures to issue permits for sports fields; and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board One urges the adoption of the 2011 Permit Rules Proposal.