2017 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS

## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

## Total <br> Injury and Violence

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2015-2017

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN22: Percentage of students who experienced physical dating violence (being physically hurt on purpose by someone they were dating or going out with [counting such things as being hit, slammed into something, or injured with an object or weapon] one or more times during the 12 months before the survey, among students who dated or went out with someone during the 12 months before the survey)
$10.5 \quad 12.0 \quad 10.0 \quad$ No linear change Not available ${ }^{\S} \quad$ No change

QN23: Percentage of students who were bullied on school property (ever during the 12 months
before the survey)

| 11.2 | 11.7 | 13.9 | 14.8 | 15.5 | Increased, 2009-2017 Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN24: Percentage of students who were electronically bullied (counting being bullied through texting, Instagram, Facebook, or other social media, ever during the 12 months before the survey)

| 11.0 | 10.8 | 12.1 | 13.3 | Increased, 2011-2017 Not available No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN25: Percentage of students who felt sad or hopeless (almost every day for $>=2$ weeks in a row so that they stopped doing some usual activities, ever during the 12 months before the survey)

| 33.1 | 32.5 | 32.2 | 32.3 | 30.2 | 28.3 | 26.9 | 27.4 | 29.4 | 31.6 | Decreased, 1999-2017 | Decreased, 1999-2013 <br> Increased, 2013-2017 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report


*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

## Total <br> Sexual Behaviors

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNIUDIMP: Percentage of students who used an IUD (such as Mirena or ParaGard) or implant (such as Implanon or Nexplanon) before last sexual intercourse (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)
2.0 1.7 4.3 Increased, 2013-2017 Not available ${ }^{\S} \quad$ Increased

[^0]$5.4 \quad 4.9 \quad 4.3 \quad$ No linear change Not available No change

[^1]| 17.0 | 19.0 | 22.4 | No linear change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report

| Total <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors <br> Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\text {s O O O }}$, 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. In addition, beginning in 2017, new, slightly different ranges were used to calculate biologically implausible responses to height and weight questions.
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report



[^2]6.3 5.1 No linear change Not available No change
*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report


QN87: Percentage of students who had ever been told by a doctor or nurse that they had asthma

| 20.5 | 22.1 | 22.2 | 23.0 | 25.4 | 24.2 | 23.9 | Increased, 2005-2017 No quadratic change | No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN88: Percentage of students who got 8 or more hours of sleep (on an average school night)
25.2 22.6 No linear change Not available No change
*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

## Male <br> Injury and Violence

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2015-2017

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | 2017

QN22: Percentage of students who experienced physical dating violence (being physically hurt on purpose by someone they were dating or going out with [counting such things as being hit, slammed into something, or injured with an object or weapon] one or more times during the 12 months before the survey, among students who dated or went out with someone during the 12 months before the survey)
10.4 11.1 9.7 No linear change Not available ${ }^{\S}$ No change

QN23: Percentage of students who were bullied on school property (ever during the 12 months
before the survey)

| 11.1 | 11.5 | 12.4 | 11.7 | 14.4 | Increased, 2009-2017 | Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Increased

QN24: Percentage of students who were electronically bullied (counting being bullied through texting, Instagram, Facebook, or other social media, ever during the 12 months before the survey)

| 8.9 | 8.8 | 8.9 | 11.6 | Increased, 2011-2017 | Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN25: Percentage of students who felt sad or hopeless (almost every day for $>=2$ weeks in a row so that they stopped doing some usual activities, ever during the 12 months before the survey)

| 25.8 | 24.8 | 24.9 | 24.3 | 20.6 | 21.5 | 20.8 | 20.9 | 21.2 | 24.4 | Decreased, 1999-2017 | Decreased, 1999-2013 <br> Increased, 2013-2017 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

## Male <br> Tobacco Use


"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

## Male <br> Sexual Behaviors

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from 2015-2017

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QN59: Percentage of students who ever had sexual intercourse |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 47.0 | 53.2 | 56.6 | 55.3 | 52.3 | 51.6 | 45.2 | 43.9 | 36.3 | 30.4 | 30.5 | Decreased, 1997-2017 | No change, 1997-2007 <br> Decreased, 2007-2017 | No change |

QN60: Percentage of students who had sexual intercourse for the first time before age 13 years

| 15.6 | 15.5 | 19.5 | 18.2 | 17.1 | 15.5 | 13.5 | 10.5 | 8.9 | 7.5 | 7.0 | Decreased, 1997-2017 | No change, 1997-2007 <br> Decreased, 2007-2017 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN61: Percentage of students who had sexual intercourse with four or more persons during their life

| 22.6 | 23.9 | 28.4 | 26.5 | 24.0 | 23.4 | 21.5 | 18.2 | 15.4 | 11.4 | 10.1 | Decreased, 1997-2017 | No change, 1997-2007 <br> Decreased, 2007-2017 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN62: Percentage of students who were currently sexually active (had sexual intercourse with at
least one person, during the 3 months before the survey)

| 30.4 | 33.9 | 38.9 | 37.1 | 29.5 | 32.4 | 30.1 | 27.8 | 22.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report

| Male |
| :--- |
| Sexual Behaviors |

Health Risk Behavior and Percentages
*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

## Male <br> Sexual Behaviors

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNIUDIMP: Percentage of students who used an IUD (such as Mirena or ParaGard) or implant (such as Implanon or Nexplanon) before last sexual intercourse (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)
1.5 $0.9 \quad 2.8 \quad$ No linear change $\quad$ Not available ${ }^{\S} \quad$ Increased

[^3]$2.9 \quad 4.0 \quad 2.9 \quad$ No linear change Not available No change

[^4]13.1 $16.4 \quad 18.3 \quad$ No linear change Not available No change
*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report


*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
sOverweight and obese prevalence estimates for 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. In addition, beginning in 2017, new, slightly different ranges were used to calculate biologically implausible responses to height and weight questions.
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${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report

| Male <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2015-2017 ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| QN77: Percentage of students who did not drink milk (during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23.3 | 21.3 | 27.6 | Increased, 2013-2017 | Not available ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | Increased |
| QNMILK1: Percentage of students who drank one or more glasses per day of milk (counting the milk they drank in a glass or cup, from a carton, or with cereal and counting the half pint of milk served at school as equal to one glass, during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31.0 | 28.5 | 28.9 | No linear change | Not available | No change |
| QNMILK2: Percentage of students who drank two or more glasses per day of milk (counting the milk they drank in a glass or cup, from a carton, or with cereal and counting the half pint of milk served at school as equal to one glass, during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19.2 | 15.9 | 16.6 | No linear change | Not available | No change |

QNMILK3: Percentage of students who drank three or more glasses per day of milk (counting the
milk they drank in a glass or cup, from a carton, or with cereal and counting the half pint of milk milk they drank in a glass or cup, from a carton, or with cereal and counting
served at school as equal to one glass, during the 7 days before the survey)
$9.2 \quad 7.4 \quad$ 9.0 No linear change Not available No change
*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report

Male
Physical Activity

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2015-2017

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

| Male <br> Site-Added |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2015-2017 ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 |  |  |  |
| QNWATER1: Percentage of students who drank a bottle or glass of plain water one or more times per day (counting tap, bottled, and unflavored sparkling water, during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 66.0 | 68.8 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\S}$ | No change |
| QNWATER2: Percentage of students who drank a bottle or glass of plain water two or more times per day (counting tap, bottled, and unflavored sparkling water, during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60.6 | 62.6 | No linear change | Not available | No change |
| QNWATER3: Percentage of students who drank a bottle or glass of plain water three or more times per day (counting tap, bottled, and unflavored sparkling water, during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 47.2 | 51.7 | Increased, 2015-2017 | Not available | No change |
| QN90: Percentage of students who live outside of Manhattan borough of New York |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 89.0 | 90.5 | 90.3 | 91.7 | 89.9 | 90.8 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report


*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report

## Male <br> Site-Added

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2015-2017 ${ }^{\dagger}$

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN108: Percentage of students who always used a condom when they had sexual intercourse (during the 3 months before the survey, among students who have had sexual intercourse and who have had sexual intercourse during the 3 months before the survey)

| 53.8 | 57.5 | 48.3 | 37.6 | Decreased, 2011-2017 | Not available ${ }^{\S} \quad$ Decreased |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN110: Percentage of students who used or whose partner used Emergency Contraception (the
"Morning-After Pill") after the last time they had sexual intercourse (among students who have had
sexual intercourse)
7.5 8.9 11.9 Increased, 2013-2017 Not available No change

QN111: Percentage of students who have been pregnant or gotten someone pregnant (during the 12 months before the survey)

| 5.4 | 7.3 | 2.1 | 2.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

2.3 Decreased, 2009-2017

Not available
No change

QN114: Percentage of students who ate vegetables one or more times per day (such as green salad, carrots, green beans, or other vegetables, not counting potatoes, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 29.1 | 32.4 | 32.5 | 28.6 | 28.5 | 30.9 | No linear change No quadratic change No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report

## Male <br> Site-Added

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

QN115: Percentage of students who did not drink a bottle or glass of plain water (counting tap,
bottled, and unflavored sparkling water, during the 7 days before the survey)
7.2 8.2 No linear change Not available ${ }^{\S}$ No change

QN116: Percentage of students who drank other sugar-sweetened drinks (such as sports drinks, energy drinks, fruit punch, fruit-flavored drinks, or sugar-sweetened teas, not including diet or sugar free drinks, one or more times during the 7 days before the survey)

| 75.0 | 72.9 | 71.9 | No linear change Not available No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN118: Percentage of students who usually walk or bike all the way to school in an average week
when they are in school
$22.5 \quad 20.0 \quad 21.4$
28.7 Increased, 2011-2017

Not available
Increased

QN120: Percentage of students who reported that the last time they had seen a doctor or nurse, they had been asked about their sexual history (such as if they had had sex, the number of sex partners they had had, or the gender of their sex partners)
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report


*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

## Female <br> Injury and Violence

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2015-2017

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN22: Percentage of students who experienced physical dating violence (being physically hurt on purpose by someone they were dating or going out with [counting such things as being hit, slammed into something, or injured with an object or weapon] one or more times during the 12 months before the survey, among students who dated or went out with someone during the 12 months before the survey)

| 10.3 | 12.5 | 9.3 | No linear change | Not available | Decreased |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN23: Percentage of students who were bullied on school property (ever during the 12 months
before the survey)

| 11.2 | 11.8 | 15.5 | 17.9 | 16.2 | Increased, 2009-2017 | Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

No change

QN24: Percentage of students who were electronically bullied (counting being bullied through texting, Instagram, Facebook, or other social media, ever during the 12 months before the survey)

| 12.8 | 12.8 | 15.2 | 14.7 | No linear change | Not available | No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN25: Percentage of students who felt sad or hopeless (almost every day for $>=2$ weeks in a row so that they stopped doing some usual activities, ever during the 12 months before the survey)

| 40.3 | 39.6 | 39.3 | 40.3 | 39.1 | 34.4 | 33.0 | 33.9 | 37.7 | 38.6 | Decreased, 1999-2017 | Decreased, 1999-2011 <br> Increased, 2011-2017 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report


*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report


"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report


*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report


*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2017 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS

## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

## Female

Sexual Behaviors

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNIUDIMP: Percentage of students who used an IUD (such as Mirena or ParaGard) or implant (such as Implanon or Nexplanon) before last sexual intercourse (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)
2.7 2.3 5.3 No linear change Not available ${ }^{\S}$ Increased

[^5]| 8.3 | 5.6 | 5.5 | No linear change Not available No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^6]| 21.6 | 21.3 | $25.2 \quad$ No linear change Not available No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report


*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\text {s O O O }}$, 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. In addition, beginning in 2017, new, slightly different ranges were used to calculate biologically implausible responses to height and weight questions.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report


"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report



QNMILK3: Percentage of students who drank three or more glasses per day of milk (counting the
milk they drank in a glass or cup, from a carton, or with cereal and counting the half pint of milk milk they drank in a glass or cup, from a carton, or with cereal and counting
served at school as equal to one glass, during the 7 days before the survey)
3.5 2.9 No linear change Not available No change
*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report


"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report


*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report


*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report


*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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Trend Analysis Report
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report


[^8]
## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report



QN25: Percentage of students who felt sad or hopeless (almost every day for $>=2$ weeks in a row so that they stopped doing some usual activities, ever during the 12 months before the survey)

| 28.4 | 32.0 | 31.1 | 24.9 | 26.3 | 27.1 | 25.6 | 22.3 | 29.7 | 29.4 | No linear change | No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report

| White* <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |
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## New York City High School Survey
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

## White*

Sexual Behaviors

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change
Quadratic Change
Change from 2015-2017

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNDUALBC: Percentage of students who used both a condom during last sexual intercourse and birth control pills; an IUD (such as Mirena or ParaGard) or implant (such as Implanon or
Nexplanon); or a shot (such as Depo-Provera), patch (such as OrthoEvra), or birth control ring (such as NuvaRing) before last sexual intercourse (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)
$9.2 \quad 8.3 \quad 9.3 \quad$ No linear change $\quad$ Not available ${ }^{\text {II }}$ No change

QNBCNONE: Percentage of students who did not use any method to prevent pregnancy during last sexual intercourse (among students who were currently sexually active)

| 5.4 | 17.3 | 5.2 | 10.3 | 10.5 | 10.1 | 9.5 | 11.7 | No linear change $\quad$ No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^18]
## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report


"Non-Hispanic.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Noased on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\text {s B Based on }} \mathrm{t}$-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{4}$ 'Overweight and obese prevalence estimates for 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. In addition, beginning in 2017, new, slightly different ranges were used to calculate biologically implausible responses to height and weight questions.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report



QNMILK3: Percentage of students who drank three or more glasses per day of milk (counting the
milk they drank in a glass or cup, from a carton, or with cereal and counting the half pint of milk
served at school as equal to one glass, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 7.8 | 4.7 | $7.1 \quad$ No linear change Not available No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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Trend Analysis Report
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report



QN114: Percentage of students who ate vegetables one or more times per day (such as green salad, carrots, green beans, or other vegetables, not counting potatoes, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 37.8 | 41.8 | 41.5 | 37.9 | 35.5 | 39.1 | No linear change No quadratic change No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN115: Percentage of students who did not drink a bottle or glass of plain water (counting tap, bottled, and unflavored sparkling water, during the 7 days before the survey)
5.5 4.2 No linear change Not available No change
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## Trend Analysis Report
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

## Black* <br> Injury and Violence

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$
Quadratic Change
Change from 2015-2017

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN16: Percentage of students who were threatened or injured with a weapon on school property (such as a gun, knife, or club, one or more times during the 12 months before the survey)

| 8.4 | 10.2 | 11.1 | 9.5 | 7.6 | 6.9 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 6.6 | 6.0 | 7.9 | Decreased, 1997-2017 No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN17: Percentage of students who were in a physical fight (one or more times during the 12 months
before the survey)

| 38.6 | 39.7 | 43.5 | 41.2 | 37.9 | 37.9 | 36.3 | 33.1 | 31.0 | 27.3 | 28.8 | Decreased, 1997-2017 | No change, 1997-2005 <br> Decreased, 2005-2017 | No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN21: Percentage of students who experienced sexual dating violence (being forced by someone
they were dating or going out with to do sexual things [counting such things as kissing, touching, or being physically forced to have sexual intercourse] that they did not want to, one or more times
during the 12 months before the survey, among students who dated or went out with someone during the 12 months before the survey)

[^32]
## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report

## Black*

Injury and Violence


QN24: Percentage of students who were electronically bullied (counting being bullied through
texting, Instagram, Facebook, or other social media, ever during the 12 months before the survey)
10.3 8.9 11.1 11.8 Increased, 2011-2017 Not available No change

QN25: Percentage of students who felt sad or hopeless (almost every day for >=2 weeks in a row so that they stopped doing some usual activities, ever during the 12 months before the survey)

| 27.0 | 29.2 | 30.0 | 29.7 | 27.3 | 25.3 | 25.5 | 25.2 | 27.8 | 30.1 | No linear change | No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

## Black*

Sexual Behaviors

| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 |

QNIUDIMP: Percentage of students who used an IUD (such as Mirena or ParaGard) or implant
(such as Implanon or Nexplanon) before last sexual intercourse (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)

Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$
Quadratic Change
Change from 2015-2017 ${ }^{8}$

| 1.7 | 0.7 | 2.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNSHPARG: Percentage of students who used a shot (such as Depo-Provera), patch (such as OrthoEvra), or birth control ring (such as NuvaRing) before last sexual intercourse (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active))
$5.2 \quad 7.0 \quad$ 5.4 No linear change Not available No change

[^41]| 14.5 | 16.7 | 18.7 | No linear change Not available No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^42]2017 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS

## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report


[^43]
# New York City High School Survey 

## Trend Analysis Report


"Non-Hispanic.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Nosed on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\text {s B Based on }} \mathrm{t}$-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{9}$ 'Overweight and obese prevalence estimates for 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. In addition, beginning in 2017, new, slightly different ranges were used to calculate biologically implausible responses to height and weight questions.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report


[^44]
## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report



QNMILK3: Percentage of students who drank three or more glasses per day of milk (counting the
milk they drank in a glass or cup, from a carton, or with cereal and counting the half pint of milk
served at school as equal to one glass, during the 7 days before the survey)
$5.6 \quad 4.3 \quad 5.5 \quad$ No linear change Not available No change
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

## Black* <br> Site-Added
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report



[^55]2017 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS

## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report


*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2017 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS

## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

## Hispanic <br> Injury and Violence

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2015-2017

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | 2017

QN22: Percentage of students who experienced physical dating violence (being physically hurt on purpose by someone they were dating or going out with [counting such things as being hit, slammed into something, or injured with an object or weapon] one or more times during the 12 months before the survey, among students who dated or went out with someone during the 12 months before the survey)

| 10.7 | 12.9 | 10.5 | No linear change ${ }^{2}$ Not available ${ }^{\S} \quad$ No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN23: Percentage of students who were bullied on school property (ever during the 12 months
before the survey)
12.2 $12.7 \quad 14.0 \quad 14.0 \quad 14.9 \quad$ Increased, 2009-2017 Not available No change

QN24: Percentage of students who were electronically bullied (counting being bullied through texting, Instagram, Facebook, or other social media, ever during the 12 months before the survey)

| 11.1 | 11.2 | 11.3 | 13.1 | No linear change Not available No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN25: Percentage of students who felt sad or hopeless (almost every day for $>=2$ weeks in a row so that they stopped doing some usual activities, ever during the 12 months before the survey)

| 36.7 | 36.7 | 35.5 | 37.1 | 36.7 | 33.2 | 30.2 | 31.8 | 31.2 | 34.6 | Decreased, 1999-2017 | No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report


"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

2017 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS

## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

## Hispanic <br> Tobacco Use

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2015-2017

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN33: Percentage of students who smoked more than 10 cigarettes per day (on the days they smoked during the 30 days before the survey, among students who currently smoked cigarettes)

| 4.3 | 2.5 | 3.8 | 6.9 | 8.3 | 8.2 | 6.2 | 11.1 | Increased, 2003-2017 | No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN35: Percentage of students who currently used an electronic vapor product (including
e-cigarettes, e-cigars, e-pipes, vape pipes, vaping pens, e-hookahs, and hookah pens [such as blu,
NJOY, Vuse, MarkTen, Logic, Vapin Plus, eGo, and Halo], on at least 1 day during the 30 days
before the survey)
19.1 19.5 No linear change Not available ${ }^{\S} \quad$ No change

QN38: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigars (cigars, cigarillos, or little cigars, on at
least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey)
8.2 6.1 6.3 Decreased, 2013-2017 Not available No change

QNTOB2: Percentage of students who currently smoked cigarettes or cigars (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey)
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report


*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report


*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

## Hispanic <br> Sexual Behaviors

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from 2015-2017

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QN59: Percentage of students who ever had sexual intercourse |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 55.1 | 52.4 | 56.2 | 51.7 | 51.4 | 53.1 | 47.2 | 46.2 | 38.1 | 33.5 | 34.5 | Decreased, 1997-2017 | No change, 1997-2007 Decreased, 2007-2017 | No change |

QN60: Percentage of students who had sexual intercourse for the first time before age 13 years

| 13.3 | 10.4 | 13.4 | 10.5 | 11.0 | 10.1 | 9.9 | 9.3 | 6.7 | 4.9 | 5.1 | Decreased, 1997-2017 | No change, 1997-2011 <br> Decreased, 2011-2017 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN61: Percentage of students who had sexual intercourse with four or more persons during their life

| 21.2 | 18.0 | 21.0 | 16.2 | 18.7 | 16.8 | 16.7 | 14.2 | 12.4 | 8.8 | 8.6 | Decreased, 1997-2017 | No change, 1997-2009 <br> Decreased, 2009-2017 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN62: Percentage of students who were currently sexually active (had sexual intercourse with at least one person, during the 3 months before the survey)

| 37.6 | 34.2 | 41.2 | 35.9 | 33.2 | 37.0 | 33.1 | 30.6 | 25.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

23.323 .7 Decreased, 1997-2017
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report

## Hispanic <br> Sexual Behaviors

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2015-2017

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | 2017

QN63: Percentage of students who drank alcohol or used drugs before last sexual intercourse (among students who were currently sexually active)

| 15.7 | 13.2 | 15.3 | 15.0 | 17.1 | 13.1 | 19.0 | 19.5 | 17.3 | 18.5 | 15.5 | Increased, 1997-2017 No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN64: Percentage of students who used a condom during last sexual intercourse (among students who were currently sexually active)

| 68.2 | 67.9 | 64.7 | 70.9 | 69.6 | 67.5 | 66.2 | 61.3 | 65.4 | 55.5 | 52.8 | Decreased, 1997-2017 | No change, 1997-2005 <br> Decreased, 2005-2017 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN85: Percentage of students who were ever tested for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) (not
counting tests done if they donated blood)

| 21.6 | 21.0 | 25.4 | 24.7 | 20.9 | No linear change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN65: Percentage of students who used birth control pills before last sexual intercourse (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)

| 6.2 | 8.7 | 5.9 | 6.7 | 6.5 | 5.8 | 8.1 | 8.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

No change, 1997-2007
Increased, 2007-2017

2017 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS

## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

## Hispanic

Sexual Behaviors

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNIUDIMP: Percentage of students who used an IUD (such as Mirena or ParaGard) or implant (such as Implanon or Nexplanon) before last sexual intercourse (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)
2.5 $2.0 \quad$ 5.1 No linear change $\quad$ Not available ${ }^{\S} \quad$ Increased

[^56]6.2 4.9 4.8 No linear change Not available No change

[^57]| 18.9 | 21.7 | 22.7 | No linear change Not available No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report


*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\text {s O O O }}$, 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. In addition, beginning in 2017, new, slightly different ranges were used to calculate biologically implausible responses to height and weight questions.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report


*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report

| Hispanic <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2015-2017 ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 |  |  |  |
| QN77: Percentage of students who did not drink milk (during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27.3 | 29.8 | 32.2 | Increased, 2013-2017 | Not available§ | No change |
| QNMILK1: Percentage of students who drank one or more glasses per day of milk (counting the milk they drank in a glass or cup, from a carton, or with cereal and counting the half pint of milk served at school as equal to one glass, during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24.6 | 21.5 | 21.7 | No linear change | Not available | No change |
| QNMILK2: Percentage of students who drank two or more glasses per day of milk (counting the milk they drank in a glass or cup, from a carton, or with cereal and counting the half pint of milk served at school as equal to one glass, during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14.9 | 12.0 | 11.7 | Decreased, 2013-2017 | Not available | No change |

[^58]| 7.5 | 5.9 | 5.7 | Decreased, 2013-2017 Not available No change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report

## Hispanic

Physical Activity

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2015-2017

"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report


QN87: Percentage of students who had ever been told by a doctor or nurse that they had asthma

| 23.4 | 26.0 | 27.3 | 27.5 | 29.6 | 28.2 | 28.0 | Increased, 2005-2017 | No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN88: Percentage of students who got 8 or more hours of sleep (on an average school night)
26.4 24.5 No linear change Not available No change
*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report


*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report

## Hispanic <br> Site-Added

| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change from } \\ 2015-2017{ }^{\dagger} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 |  |  |  |
| QN108: Percentage of students who always used a condom when they had sexual intercourse (during the 3 months before the survey, among students who have had sexual intercourse and who have had sexual intercourse during the 3 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48.5 | 48.0 | 41.8 | 32.4 | Decreased, 2011-2017 | Not available ${ }^{\S}$ | Decreased |
| QN110: Percentage of students who used or whose partner used Emergency Contraception (the "Morning-After Pill") after the last time they had sexual intercourse (among students who have had sexual intercourse) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.3 | 11.2 | 16.3 | No linear change | Not available | Increased |
| QN111: Percentage of students who have been pregnant or gotten someone pregnant (during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.3 | 6.4 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.9 | Decreased, 2009-2017 | Not available | No change |
| QN114: Percentage of students who ate vegetables one or more times per day (such as green salad, carrots, green beans, or other vegetables, not counting potatoes, during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23.6 | 22.7 | 23.7 | 21.4 | 20.3 | 21.5 | Decreased, 2007-2017 | No quadratic change | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## New York City High School Survey

## Trend Analysis Report

## Hispanic <br> Site-Added

| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 |  |  |  |

QN115: Percentage of students who did not drink a bottle or glass of plain water (counting tap,
bottled, and unflavored sparkling water, during the 7 days before the survey)
7.4 6.1 No linear change Not available ${ }^{\S}$ No change

QN116: Percentage of students who drank other sugar-sweetened drinks (such as sports drinks,
energy drinks, fruit punch, fruit-flavored drinks, or sugar-sweetened teas, not including diet or sugar free drinks, one or more times during the 7 days before the survey)
76.1 $74.2 \quad 72.2 \quad$ No linear change Not available No change

QN118: Percentage of students who usually walk or bike all the way to school in an average week
when they are in school
$19.9 \quad 17.1 \quad 18.5$
28.2 Increased, 2011-2017

Not available
Increased

QN120: Percentage of students who reported that the last time they had seen a doctor or nurse, they had been asked about their sexual history (such as if they had had sex, the number of sex partners they had had, or the gender of their sex partners)
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## New York City High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.


[^0]:    QNSHPARG: Percentage of students who used a shot (such as Depo-Provera), patch (such as
    OrthoEvra), or birth control ring (such as NuvaRing) before last sexual intercourse (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active))

[^1]:    QNOTHHPL: Percentage of students who used birth control pills; an IUD (such as Mirena or
    ParaGard) or implant (such as Implanon or Nexplanon); or a shot (such as Depo-Provera), patch
    (such as OrthoEvra), or birth control ring (such as NuvaRing) before last sexual intercourse (to
    prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)

[^2]:    QNMILK3: Percentage of students who drank three or more glasses per day of milk (counting the milk they drank in a glass or cup, from a carton, or with cereal and counting the half pint of milk served at school as equal to one glass, during the 7 days before the survey)

[^3]:    QNSHPARG: Percentage of students who used a shot (such as Depo-Provera), patch (such as
    OrthoEvra), or birth control ring (such as NuvaRing) before last sexual intercourse (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active))

[^4]:    QNOTHHPL: Percentage of students who used birth control pills; an IUD (such as Mirena or
    ParaGard) or implant (such as Implanon or Nexplanon); or a shot (such as Depo-Provera), patch
    (such as OrthoEvra), or birth control ring (such as NuvaRing) before last sexual intercourse (to
    prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)

[^5]:    QNSHPARG: Percentage of students who used a shot (such as Depo-Provera), patch (such as OrthoEvra), or birth control ring (such as NuvaRing) before last sexual intercourse (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active))

[^6]:    QNOTHHPL: Percentage of students who used birth control pills; an IUD (such as Mirena or ParaGard) or implant (such as Implanon or Nexplanon); or a shot (such as Depo-Provera), patch
    (such as OrthoEvra), or birth control ring (such as NuvaRing) before last sexual intercourse (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)

[^7]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^8]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {8}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^9]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Non-Hispanic.
    §Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {II}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^10]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on $t$-test analysis, $p<0.05$.

[^11]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    §Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^12]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {8}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {in }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^13]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^14]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {T}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^15]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$

[^16]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {8}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^17]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^18]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    ${ }^{\text {s Based on }} \mathrm{t}$-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^19]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^20]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ 'Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^21]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {§ Based on }} \mathrm{t}$-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {II}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^22]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^23]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s Based on }} \mathrm{t}$-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^24]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ 'Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$

[^25]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^26]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {T }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^27]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^28]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^29]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Non-Hispanic.
    §Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {II}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^30]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {8}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^31]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {8}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^32]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Non-Hised on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {in }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^33]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^34]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ 'Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^35]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    sBased on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^36]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {T }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^37]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^38]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^39]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    sBased on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^40]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {8}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^41]:    QNOTHHPL: Percentage of students who used birth control pills; an IUD (such as Mirena or
    ParaGard) or implant (such as Implanon or Nexplanon); or a shot (such as Depo-Provera), patch
    (such as OrthoEvra), or birth control ring (such as NuvaRing) before last sexual intercourse (to
    prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)

[^42]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^43]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {T }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^44]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    §Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^45]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    §Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^46]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {II}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^47]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ 'Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^48]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^49]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ 'Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$

[^50]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {T }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^51]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {T }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^52]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {T }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^53]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {8}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^54]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^55]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^56]:    QNSHPARG: Percentage of students who used a shot (such as Depo-Provera), patch (such as OrthoEvra), or birth control ring (such as NuvaRing) before last sexual intercourse (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active))

[^57]:    QNOTHHPL: Percentage of students who used birth control pills; an IUD (such as Mirena or ParaGard) or implant (such as Implanon or Nexplanon); or a shot (such as Depo-Provera), patch
    (such as OrthoEvra), or birth control ring (such as NuvaRing) before last sexual intercourse (to prevent pregnancy, among students who were currently sexually active)

[^58]:    QNMILK3: Percentage of students who drank three or more glasses per day of milk (counting the milk they drank in a glass or cup, from a carton, or with cereal and counting the half pint of milk served at school as equal to one glass, during the 7 days before the survey)

