```
0001
1
 2
 4
     NYC TAXI AND LIMOUSINE COMMISSION
 5
               PUBLIC MEETING
 6 held on Thursday, February 8, 2007
 7
              40 Rector Street
 8
                  5th Floor
 9
              New York, New York
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
2.4
25
0002
1
     Public Meeting convened at 9:50 a.m:
 2
     PRESENT:
 3
 4
    MATTHEW W. DAUS, COMMISSIONER/CHAIR
 5
    ELIAS AROUT, COMMISSIONER
 6
    NOACH DEAR, COMMISSIONER
 7
   HARRY GIANNOULIS, COMMISSIONER
    IRIS WEINSHALL, COMMISSIONER
 8
    HOWARD R. VARGAS, COMMISSIONER
 9
   EDWARD GONZALES, COMMISSIONER
10
11
   LAUVIENSKA POLANCO, COMMISSIONER
12
    CHARLES FRASER, GENERAL COUNSEL
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
0003
            CHAIRMAN DAUS: Good morning. Sorry
     to keep everybody waiting. We are going to be
    working from the revised agenda that was
```

revised on February 5th for today's meeting. 5 The first item is the Chair's report, 6 and it starts off with some good news. First of all, a round of congratulations to a few 8 people on our Board. 9 First and foremost, Iris Weinshall, 10 our Commissioner of DOT, is being appointed 11 the Vice Chancellor for the City University of 12 New York. Congratulations, Iris 13 COMM. WEINSHALL: Thank you. 14 (Applause.) 15 CHAIRMAN DAUS: The bad news is that 16 she is leaving the DOT and the administration 17 where she has done a fantastic job. The good 18 news is, especially for CUNY alumni, I think 19 we have a majority on this Board of CUNY 20 alumni, Lauvie and I think Howard are John Jay 21 graduates; myself, Iris and Noach are Brooklyn 22 College graduates. So even though I think we 23 all collectively believe CUNY is in great 24 shape, it is going to be in even better shape 25 as Iris goes to manage an over \$3 billion 0004 1 construction budget. And certainly it is 2. going to enhance the value of our degrees, so, 3 thank you, in advance, Iris, and 4 congratulations. 5 And the good news is that Iris will 6 be staying with us on the Commission. 7 believe her departure date is in April from 8 DOT, but she will be staying with us on the 9 Commission as a Commissioner Member, and we 10 are thankful for your continuing expertise. 11 You have been a tremendous support for us at 12 the TLC. I don't think we could have done 95 13 percent of what we have done over the last couple of years with you, and so, I thank you 14 for your counsel and your guidance and your 15 16 supervision and all the support you have given 17 18 COMM. WEINSHALL: Thank you, Matt. 19 CHAIRMAN DAUS: You are very 20 welcome. 21 And also we have a new Commissioner 22 that is recently inked. The Mayor just signed 23 her letter the other day, and the Council 24 approved her last week. Lauvie or Lauvienska 25 Polanco, she likes to be called Lauvie. 0005 1 Commissioner Polanco, congratulations and 2 welcome. 3 Commissioner Polanco is taking 4 Stanley Michael's seat. Remember Stanley was 5 representing Manhattan. She is the Manhattan representative, a Council appointee. She is 7 also a lawyer. It is nice to have another lawyer on the Commission.

9 COMM. WEINSHALL: I am not too sure 10 about that. 11 CHAIRMAN DAUS: Well, speaking as a 12 lawyer, I guess I am a little biased in that, 13 but also it is nice to have another woman on 14 the Commission. 15 COMM. WEINSHALL: Exactly. 16 CHAIRMAN DAUS: And somebody who 17 knows the neighborhoods. Lauvie, in addition 18 to her work with the Supreme Court where she 19 works full time as a principal court clerk, 20 also has worked in a law firm and at the civil 21 court, so she brings a breadth of judicial 22 experience to us. But also, in terms of her 23 role as a Manhattan representative, is very, 24 very involved in the community, whether it be 25 Bar Associations or neighborhood groups, 0006 1 including a neighborhood advisory board, 2 something that we both know with our 3 background at the Community Development 4 Agency, where he understands the needs of the 5 people, not just in Washington Heights but in 6 all of Manhattan for some of her work that she 7 has been doing. 8 So that will, I think, be a 9 tremendous value, and I would like to welcome 10 you. We were able to get you, on short 11 notice, your badge done, thanks to Lou Tazzi. 12 Congratulations and welcome. 13 COMM. POLANCO: Thanks. 14 (Applause.) 15 CHAIRMAN DAUS: More good news, the 16 technology enhancement testing program is 17 going very well so far. We have live beta testing continuing which started on December 18 19 18th. Of the four vendors that have been 20 authorized, they have collectively put 110 21 systems on the road. We also have proposed 22 rules and regulations that will be considered 23 at our March meeting, which the Commissioners 24 have already received copies of and will be 25 briefly thoroughly on. 0007 1 We put an extra long comment period 2 by publishing it well in advance of the date 3 of the hearing so that people can digest them. 4 They are voluminous and they are mostly 5 technical, and it basically implements a lot of things that are required in accordance with 6 7 our contract and a lot of technical aspects of 8 the program. 9 So we would also welcome your 10 comments in advance, so if you can get them to 11 us even before the due date, we would 12 appreciate that. We will be having the public

13

hearing on March 8th.

The rule review and update project, we have a revised RFP that will be released soon; hopefully, before the end of February. So we will keep you posted on that.

Another reminder, just really to mark your calendars and we will be providing you with more details over the next month, but just to remind everybody this is the 100th anniversary of the motorized metered taxicab in New York City, and we will celebrating that with what we call Taxi '07 and Taxi Week. We will be focusing events around the New York

International Auto Show and there will be a lot of things going on in the city which we will talk about, but if you could just mark your calendars, it will start on Friday, April 6th through Sunday April 15th, we will be celebrating Taxi Week. The first time ever in the City of New York.

Part of that celebration will include unveiling the cab that will be consistent with the Garden in Transit project. I spoke about the flower of murals that will be painted on the cabs by children across the city. To that end, there is another event coming up if anybody is interested in throwing some jeans on and doing some painting over the next couple of weekends, there is going to be a 24-hour Garden in Transit paint-a-thon beginning Saturday, February 24th at 10:00 a.m., and it will end at 10:00 a.m. on Sunday, the next day, February 25th. So if you want more details, you want to volunteer or suggest someone to volunteer, call 311.

There will be opening ceremonies at 9:30 a.m. on Saturday the 24th, and a closing ceremony at 9:30 a.m. on Sunday, the next day

when we end.

2.1

Also, two of our staff members are missing, they are down in Florida at a National Mobility Equipment Dealers Association Conference learning more about wheelchair accessibility. This is the trade organization that basically provides dealers with information about new products. As we all know, we have a medallion sale coming up with 150 more accessible medallions to be sold. We want to make sure that in accordance with the specifications the Commissioners passed over the last month or two, that we get every opportunity to get every manufacturer who might be interested in getting us good quality products as New York City taxicabs interested in what we are doing. So not only are they down there to spread the word about

19 what we are doing and what our needs are, but 20 also to gather important information about 21 these vehicles that are being manufactured so 22 that we can understand what will work best in 23 New York City as a taxicab.

2.4

25

1

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

0011 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

2.0

21 22

2.3

Also, for those who haven't used it yet, we have, thanks to our Constituent 0010

Management Office, Public Affairs Office and our MIS Department, we have on our website now a TLC e-mail subscription center. A lot of people used to get mailings from us about when we are having Commission meetings and industry notices in the mail, and it was time consuming for us to get it out and sometimes things didn't get where they were supposed to in time.

Well, most people have computers now, and the best way, I think, to get apprised of what is going on at the TLC, including Commission meeting agendas, updates, industry notices, is to sign up for our subscription center e-mail list.

If you go on our website, which is www.nyc.gov/taxi, go to the left-hand side of the home page, and if you click on the e-mail subscription center, you will enter some basic information and you will be able to get any information that you want. You will be able to choose from a variety of different types of information. And what will happen is you will automatically get an e-mail when we are having a Commission meeting and we send our agenda

out and you will have the information at your fingertips. So, anyone who is interested in signing up, please take advantage of the opportunity.

In terms of upcoming meetings, our next meeting will be on Thursday, March 8th. We will have three rule makings that will be put forward for a public hearing, and potentially Commission action. Like I said earlier, we will have the taxicab technology customer service project rules. We will also have a clean-air and a accessible vehicle retirement incentives that are enacted in accordance with some local laws that were signed by the Mayor and passed by the City Council before the end of last year. And that's something that we actually need to move on because I think we have to get that out there and comply with the law.

And, also, at the last Commission meeting we had a presentation about for-hire vehicle markings, inspections and posting of credentials. And that's something that we

2.4 actually were able to pull our rules together, 25 thanks to the hard work of Chuck Fraser and 0012 1 his staff. And they have been published. 2 we will be having a public hearing on the 3 items that were discussed during the presentation last month. 5 Also, another save the date item, 6 there is going to be our Annual Driver 7 Recognition Ceremony that will be held on 8 Thursday, March 29th at the Rooftop Gardens at 9 620 Fifth Avenue. That is a facility operated 10 by Tishman-Speyer and Top of the Rock, where 11 we had last year's ceremony. And we will be 12 taking time out of our busy schedules, all the 13 Commissioners are invited, and we are 14 basically going to be honoring those cab 15 drivers that go above and beyond. Every 16 single day we have cab drivers that go above 17 and beyond the call of duty, doing the right 18 thing. We don't hear of every single story, 19 and sometimes in the news we will hear of some 20 stories, but there are so many of them, we are 21 choosing just a few of the many, many good 2.2 hard-working people that do the right thing 23 day-in and day-out. 24 Each year we are honoring them and 25 giving them their due, giving them some nice 0013 1 prizes and some gifts, but also having some great food at a great location. So many 3 thanks to all of you. 4 And on that note, many of you may 5 have read in the papers or seen on TV over the 6 last day or two that we have another cabby who 7 did a heroic deed and act of integrity, Mr. 8 Osman Chaudry. I was informed that he is 9 here today. He is here today. 10 (Applause). CHAIRMAN DAUS: 11 Osman, 12 congratulations. 13 For those of you who haven't been 14 reading the papers over the last day or two, 15 Osman actually found \$300,000 worth of diamond 16 rings in the back of his cab, spent a 17 tremendous amount of time basically tracking 18 down the owners and doing the right thing. 19 And we thank you for that. And we, actually, 20 when we found out that you were going to be 21 here, Alan was able to throw together just a 22 quick award which I would like to give you 23 right now: 24 Congratulations. 25 MR. CHAUDRY: Thank you. 0014 The best tip that 1 CHAIRMAN DAUS:

you can ever get is just basically doing the

3 right thing. That's all anyone ever expects of you, and you did it. Congratulations. 5 MR. CHAUDRY: Thank you, everyone. (Applause.) 6 7 CHAIRMAN DAUS: Thanks for joining 8 us. And, Osman, we would ask that you mark 9 the date on your calendar for March 29th. 10 would love to have you join us at our ceremony 11 again. Thank you. 12 That concludes my report. 13 questions, comments, concerns, issues? 14 COMM. DEAR: Two things. One, I 15 just would appreciate it, I know that they 16 e-mailed this. I didn't have a chance even to 17 download it. I wasn't near a computer for over 24 hours already. Just in the future, 18 19 when stuff comes on at the Commission, I would 20 just like to get it a little earlier so we can 21 know what is going on and just to review it, 22 so we have a chance to discuss it 23 intelligently. So in the future, please, if 24 you have it sent to us rather than last minute 25 to e-mail it. 0015 1 And the other thing is, just as a 2. comment, I am not going to talk about it, I 3 just notice more and more people are rumbling about it, this report that is our there 5 supposedly by the Design and Trust. I call it 6 the Communist Manifesto or the Cuban Plan. 7 But this is something that I would like that 8 this stuff should be shared with us before the 9 public gets, so I know what is going on and 10 not to be confronted with it. And also I am 11 concerned that the report that is put out by 12 that group, if they somehow represent us, I 13 have some big questions about them because it 14 is not the way we think here in the United 15 States of America. 16 And I think I have some grave concern about that report. Even though it is a 17 18 preliminary report, I have some very serious problems with it. The fact that we are 19 20 associated with it, because our name is all 21 over it, I want to really disassociate 2.2 ourselves with that report. 23 COMM. AROUT: Excuse me for 24 interrupting, I haven't seen anything like 25 that. Can I get a report on that? 0016 1 COMM. DEAR: The Chairman will get 2 it to you. That's how I got it. 3 COMM. AROUT: I would like to read 4 it, that's all. CHAIRMAN DAUS: Sure. We can get it 6 to you. Basically what happened is the Design

Trust put a report out, which is very

preliminary that we hadn't even seen yet. As part of their process, I think they shared it 9 10 with some industry members, and we didn't feel 11 that it was in a final form and we didn't 12 actually comment or do anything on the report. 13 It was basically just their ideas and, 14 actually, I think you knew about it before I 15 even did at some point. 16 So if you are interested, we will get 17 it to all the Commissioners. 18 COMM. DEAR: I said you gave me a 19 And I read it. 20 CHAIRMAN DAUS: But what I am saying 21 is a lot of the members of the industry, 22 because this is an independent organization, I mean, they have a committee of people that are 2.3 24 in the industry that they are working with. 25 So even before things get to my doorstep and 0017 1 our doorstep, they are getting preliminary 2 comments from the industry. 3 Which, with all due respect to them, 4 quite frankly, you are damned if you, you are do damned if you don't. If they didn't 5 6 basically go out to the industry beforehand 7 and gave us a report of their thoughts without 8 getting their feedback and before delivering 9 it to us, we would be criticizing them for not 10 listening to the industry. 11 COMM. DEAR: But the fact --12 CHAIRMAN DAUS: I know you may 13 disagree with some of the concepts that are in 14 there, Commissioner, and I am not saying that 15 anybody here agrees with them. They are 16 preliminary, we hadn't seen it, and I think 17 what they did basically is they gave it to the 18 industry before to get their input before they 19 presented it to us, which is the right thing 20 to do. 21 COMM. DEAR: My comment is when they 22 to us and we wanted to work with them to 23 design a car, that was the idea. I have no 24 problem with that. 25 But once they went off on a tangent, 0018 1 they had a political motive. This is a 2 horrible political statement on our behalf, 3 because they are using our imprimatur, they 4 are using our name, and we are giving them 5 credence to it. And I want to tell you, I 6 myself want to distance myself from that. 7 This is the worst form of any time of report 8 anyone can do. I think it is the Communist 9 Manifesto. I call this Karl Marx written by 10 some children, people who believe in Karl Marx because it should not even be seen. 11 12 Our name should be removed

13 immediately from it and they should be told if 14 you want to stick to what you are supposed to 15 do, don't start becoming a political someone 16 for somebody, a political person to act for 17 somebody. Someone put them up to this and I 18 am fuming about it. 19 CHAIRMAN DAUS: All right, if the 2.0 Commissioners want to see the preliminary 21 draft, which we haven't even commented on, I 22 am happy to give it to you if you want to see 23 it. But just so you understand our 24 motivations, I don't want to waste the 25 Commissioners' time with a first of probably 0019 1 ten different drafts before we even would put 2 our name on it. That's why it was not sent to 3 you folks. 4 And, quite frankly, I do believe that 5 they did the right thing. Whether you agree 6 or disagree with their ideas, by the Design 7 Trust actually going out to the industry 8 first, that's what they agreed to. There are 9 industry members on their Board, so by the industry calling us and letting us know that 10 11 this was happening first, I don't really have 12 a problem with that. 13 And, again, our name is not on it 14 We have not seen is, we have not agreed 15 with anything that is in it. In fact, Andy 16 has been having meetings since the report came 17 out to express our issues and our thoughts, 18 and it is by no means final. 19 COMM. DEAR: Who from the industry 20 is on the Board? I believe Michael 21 CHAIRMAN DAUS: 22 Levine is, there's several drivers. 23 COMM. DEAR: Everybody is shaking 24 their heads no. 25 CHAIRMAN DAUS: He was a participant 0020 1 in the panel discussions. 2 AUDIENCE MEMBER: He is not on the 3 Board. 4 CHAIRMAN DAUS: No, but he is a 5 participant in the Design Trust activities. 6 AUDIENCE MEMBER: But he is not on 7 the Board. 8 CHAIRMAN DAUS: Well, there are 9 industry people that are being obviously put 10 in touch with them; is that correct? 11 Obviously this went to the industry, 12 that's how Commissioner Dear first heard about 13 it. The industry contacted him to let him 14 know that they are upset about it. 15 So they are doing their job. You 16 know, with all due respect, Commissioner Dear, 17 I understand your concerns about the subject

```
18
     matter, but the process is transparent, it is
19
     good. I can't tell you, of the ten years I
20
     have been involved with this agency, the
21
     biggest complaint I get from the industry is:
22
     You don't listen to us. You don't consult
2.3
     with us.
24
             Now we have this outside group that
2.5
     is actually consulting with them, and then,
0021
     oh, we don't like what they said. This is
 1
 2
             What are they doing?
     crazy.
 3
             COMM. DEAR:
                           They are going beyond
 4
     their scope. They were supposed to talk about
 5
     a car. They are not supposed to talk about
 6
     the industry. That's starting to redefine
 7
     this industry, again, to use those words, the
 8
     vernacular, in the Communist system is not
 9
     what we are here about. This is the United
10
     States of America.
11
             COMM. AROUT:
                           Mr. Chairman, I
12
     apologize if I interrupted like that, but I
13
     think he made a point, send us a copy of it
14
     and let it go from there. We will discuss it
15
     at a further date. Thank you.
16
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
                              Okay, very good.
17
             Item three on the agenda --
18
             COMM. VARGAS:
                             Mr. Chairman, may I
19
     ask you a question?
2.0
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
                              Sure.
21
             COMM. VARGAS:
                               As it relates to
22
     the revised RFP for the rule change, is that
23
     possibly something you can share with me and
24
     possibly the rest of the Commission that might
25
     be of interest, because I certainly would like
0022
     to have a little input as it relates to when
 1
 2
     we do decide to change some of the rules and
 3
     how we end up implementing that process,
 4
     whether we go with an outside firm or
 5
     something like that. I would like to see
 6
     that.
 7
             CHAIRMAN DAUS: Okay, if we can
     share it with you, we will. Chuck is going to
 8
 9
     make sure it is consistent with the
10
     Procurement Policy Board Rules.
11
             MR. SALKIN:
                           I think you are asking
12
     two different questions. One is, do you want
13
     to see the RFP before it goes out? And, two,
14
     as part of the process of redoing the rules,
15
     you want to make sure you are consulted and
16
     involved. Those are the two things I heard
17
     you say.
18
             COMM. VARGAS:
                             Correct, those two
19
2.0
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
                              We will check on
21
     that.
22
             MR. SALKIN:
                            The RFP piece, we will
```

```
23
     check and make sure, but certainly on the
24
     piece of rewriting the rules, all of the
25
     Commissioners are going to have to be involved
0023
1
     and instrumental in making sure that happens.
 2
             COMM. VARGAS: But the RFP is a
 3
     public document that gets sent to everyone, so
 4
     why wouldn't that be shared with us?
             MR. SALKIN: If you are asking to see
 5
 6
     it before it goes out or not. That is what
 7
     they have to make sure of.
 8
             COMM. VARGAS:
                             So it hasn't gone out
 9
     yet?
10
             CHAIRMAN DAUS: No.
11
             COMM. VARGAS:
                             Okay.
12
                              Well, there was one
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
13
     that went out. We revised it and we are
14
     issuing a second one to clarify some issues.
15
             COMM. WEINSHALL:
                                I think the issue
16
     is, Commissioner Vargas, there are certain
17
     rules regarding the PPB and who can see an RFP
18
    before it goes out, and I think counsel is
     just going to check. I think we are
19
     considered like staff and we can see it, but I
20
2.1
     think that we should just have -- because we
     don't want to jeopardize the RFP.
22
23
             COMM. VARGAS:
                             Certainly, okay.
24
             COMM. DEAR: On the issue of the
25
     rules, rule changes, I concur with my
0024
 1
     colleague Commissioner Vargas. It is about
 2
     time we have rule changes, it comes to us in
 3
     its final version after the discussion. I
 4
     think we should be part of that discussion.
 5
     Maybe part of the preliminary. Not the RFP, I
 6
     am talking about rule changes.
 7
             COMM. WEINSHALL:
                                I understand that,
 8
     but let's understand what is going on here.
 9
     The TLC has something like 3,300 rules, okay.
10
     3,300 rules, I would dare say that probably
11
     there is no one alive who even knows the
12
     significance of all 3,300 rules.
13
             The purpose of this is to streamline
14
     those rules and make them more understandable,
15
     more relevant so the public can understand it,
16
     the drivers can understand it, and we, as
17
     Commissioners, can understand it without
18
     having a team of lawyers to explain it to us.
19
             So I think that you are way ahead
20
     already. I think we need to identify the firm
21
     that is going to be able to develop these new
22
     rules for us, and, clearly, there will be
23
     discussions, not only with the Commissioners
24
     but with the industry and with the riding
25
     public and the drivers to really figure out
0025
     what rules make sense here. So this is not
```

```
going to be done in a vacuum.
 3
             COMM. DEAR: No problem, thank you.
 4
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
                              Thank you.
 5
             Any other questions?
 6
             (No response.)
 7
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
                              Okay, item two on
 8
     the agenda is the adoption of the minutes from
 9
     the January 11, 2007 Commission meeting.
10
             Do we have any questions, comments,
11
     changes?
12
             (No response.)
13
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
                              Okay, do I have a
14
    motion to adopt?
15
             COMM. WEINSHALL:
                                So moved.
16
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
                              Okay, a second?
17
             COMM. VARGAS:
                             Second.
18
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
                              All in favor?
19
             (Chorus of "Ayes.")
20
             CHAIRMAN DAUS: It's unanimous, thank
21
    you.
22
             Item three, we have a staff
     presentation today. It is going to be about
23
     advertising on TLC licensed vehicles. It is
24
25
     going to be delivered by Eric Kim. We are
0026
1
     going to be reviewing, just to give you a
 2
     little bit of background on this, you know, I
 3
    have been on the Commission now since I think
 4
     2001, and we kind of looked at the make-up of
 5
     the Commission now compared to when the last
 6
     time we, as a policy matter and as a Board,
 7
     looked at advertising. And there are only two
 8
     of the sitting Commissioners, not including
 9
     myself, I was counsel at the time, who
10
     actually dealt with the issue of advertising
11
     and made any type significant policy
12
     statement.
13
             So we basically have had a major
14
     change in personnel, which I think is
15
     important to maybe take a fresh look, an
16
     objective look at the whole concept of
17
     advertising. And I just want to reiterate
     that this is not, if some people are confused,
18
19
     a public hearing. This is not any official
20
     consideration of any pilot program requests.
2.1
             This is simply an educational session
22
     for the Commissioners to bring them up to
23
     speed on the history of the advertising issue,
24
     the rules and regulations and laws that led up
25
     to it, as well as to just give them a
0027
 1
     sampling, an overview of some of the pilot
 2
     programs which have not even been considered
 3
    by me at this point. They are still at a
     staff level.
 5
             So with respect to the information
     that was sent the other night, we didn't wait
```

and sit back and send it at the last second because we felt that that was what we wanted to do. We had made the decision, because of the light agenda in February and the heavy agenda in March, that this would be a good time to consider this issue since it has been kicking around for a while.

So I apologize for the late notice, but we only decided last week, pretty much, to add this to the agenda. And I was thinking Commissioner Giannoulis might want to have a copy, as he usually does, in advance of some of the proposals, so I said let me send it out to you in advance. I know you probably didn't have the time to read it because you only got the e-mails yesterday. But, again, you are not considering them today, you are not voting on them. You may not be considering them for several months.

We are basically at the stage now where we are doing fact-finding, and before I make my decision on whether to recommend approval or denial of all the five advertising related petitions, I wanted to get your input on the policy of advertising in general so that I could be more in lockstep with what you would like to do, but, even more importantly, if you have any good suggestions of follow-up issues that you would like the staff to research before I make my final decision and recommendation to you, that's the purpose of this.

So I would just recommend that if you haven't had the chance to read some of the pilot programs, they will be summarized in addition to a lot of the materials that Eric is going to present. I would encourage you to ask any questions and if you like us to do any follow-up on any particular matters, just let us know now or after the meeting, okay.

Eric?

MR. KIM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Good morning, Commissioners. As the Chairman mentioned, I have been asked to do a

presentation regarding advertising on TLC licensed vehicles. I will be talking about a brief overview of some of the proposals that we have received recently, and I will also be doing some more detailed on the advertising that is currently in use on TLC licensed vehicles, which is only on medallion rooftops.

First, let me just say that the proposals that we have received so far for advertising have all been in the form of pilot programs, and pilot programs are something

that the Commission adopted rules for last
March. They are Chapter 14 of our rules. The
rules set forth a very straightforward
evaluation and criteria and time line for
reviewing pilot programs that differ from what
is already approved in our rules.

We have received several proposals so far. Some of them relate to equipment, some of them have been brought before the Commission already, lithium ion batteries, cell phone testing, cellular networks, things like that. We have also received requests to do different types of advertising on TLC licensed vehicles. I will give you an

overview of some of those proposals, and, again, as the Chair mentioned, this is just going to be an overview. We are not asking the Commission to take formal action at this time, that will happen at a future Commission meeting and we will be presenting these again for that formal action.

So first I will describe the proposals we received for interior advertising on vehicles. There are several types. The picture you have in front of you now is from Clear Channel Taxi Media. They propose publication pockets in the rear seat of taxicabs. This is I think a picture of what they have in Boston, pockets along the partition with information that can be distributed to passengers.

Second, this is a proposal that we have received from Taylor Media to place decals on the interior of commuter vans, specifically on the seat backs and pillars. None of the stickers in this proposal would be on windows or anything like that.

I will talk now about some of the proposals we have received for the exterior of

vehicles. We have a proposal from Athlete to put advertising on the hubcaps of taxicabs. We have also received another proposal from Taylor Media to do additional commuter van advertising on the exterior, wraps, either full vehicle wraps or partial wraps, as you see in the picture in front of you now, just along parts of the vehicle, certain panels.

Finally, we have received multiple proposals to do advertising on the rear windows of vehicles, putting decals on the rear windows. I should not that there is an apparent conflict with the New York State Vehicle Traffic Law for these proposals. That law requires that anything that is placed on the front windshield or rear windshield of a

motor vehicle be approved by the Commissioner of New York State DMV. And as far as we know at this time, these proposals do not have such approval.

So that's an overview of some of the proposals we have received so far via pilot program request.

I will talk a little bit now about the advertising that is currently in use on 

1 2

2.1

TLC licensed vehicles, which is just on medallion rooftops. We have about 5,200 permits issued to medallion owners for rooftop advertising. The vast majority are issued to Clear Channel Taxi Media, they have about 5,000 of those permits. There are two other companies that currently have advertising, Astro Media and Adapt Media.

The advertising you see in front of you now is just one type. It is the sort of billboard, the most common type of advertising I think that you will see out there. The pictures you have, first on the left is a picture of the front view of the advertising unit, and on the right is more of a three-quarters view, but it is a very standard billboards type advertising, tent shape.

Next is another type of billboard type advertising, also static. This is a more rectangular unit. You will see this out there now as well.

The next picture we get into the digital advertising unit. These are a more recent development. This example is from Adapt Media, this is a full digital unit which

is basically all digital information.

And, finally, we have units that combine digital and static information as we have here in this picture.

Advertising on TLC licensed vehicles, the approval for it comes from several mechanisms. First, in the Administrative Code of the City of New York there are explicit provisions that allow for advertising on TLC licensed vehicles. They require that there be permits from the TLC for any exterior advertising. It sets a very clear fee of \$50 for the permit. It authorizes the Commission to establish rules about the content or size of the advertising, and also authorizes the Commission to revoke any advertising permits for content, and I am going to quote from the Administrative Code here, "that is offensive to public morals."

We also have rules on advertising that come from the Administrative Code based

on that. Taxicab Owners Rules, Section 135 requires that any interior or exterior advertising be approved by the Commission. Requires that the advertising be maintained in 

good condition. And I should not that there are no specifications in the rules for the unit size or standards. That's traditionally been done through separate legal agreements or memorandums of understanding. And I will talk a little bit about what those are and where we are with those.

We have FHV rules on advertising as well. They also require that any interior or exterior advertising on FHVs be approved by the Commission. They explicitly prohibit rooftop advertising on FHVs. Again, there are no rules on the standards or the size of the units.

Finally, we actually have rules for paratransit advertising that say very similar things, require that any interior or exterior advertising be approved by the Commission. And, again, there are no rules for the standards or the size of those units.

I mentioned that we have legal agreements or MOUs regarding advertising. And this is basically where the specifications for standards and size have been set forth. We have approval letters issued by the TLC to

various advertising companies indicating that a certain type of unit is approved for use. We have MOUs with advertising companies and the TLC authorizing generally limited pilot programs for different types of advertising units. And, again, specifying what type of unit is to be used, the different standards for it. And for some of these units, we actually have documentation of engineering review that was done by the City's Department of General Services, which is now the Department of Citywide Administrative Services.

In terms of the value added and the benefits from the advertising that is currently in use on medallion taxicabs, it comes in several different ways. First, for licensees, it is pretty straightforward and pretty direct. They get a benefit, they get a monthly revenue stream which varies. The revenue generally goes to medallion owners, agents, fleets. As far we know, there are no direct benefits that accrue to drivers for advertising right now.

For the City there is a permit fee.

2.0

2.1

2.4

That is, again, outlined in the Administrative 2 Code, of \$50. That is meant to cover 3 administrative expenses. And for the public there is the general cost or benefit that is 5 associated with any form of outdoor 6 advertising. There are, of course, other ways 7 -- I'm sorry? 8 COMM. GIANNOULIS: The more typical 9 one, the rooftop advertising, what are the 10 fees generally received by the owners? 11 MR. KIM: We don't have good 12 information on that. What we anticipate is 13 that in the future Commission meetings we may 14 have an opportunity for some of the 15 advertising companies that participate 16 testify, and we hope that they will be able to 17 answer those questions in more detail then. 18 As I was mentioning, this isn't the 19 only model. There are other ways to do 20 advertising. The City of Chicago presents an 21 interesting model, they just adopted rules and 22 specifications for advertising this past year, 23 2006. And they did several things when they 24 made that approval. 2.5 First, they tied approval of 0037 1 advertising on taxicabs to increased 2 requirements for accessible and clean-air 3 taxicabs. Second, they established a revenue 4 sharing model where they insured that drivers 5 got very explicit benefits for advertising, 6 and those come in the form of lower lease caps 7 for vehicles with advertising on them. 8 Finally, they set forth very clear standards 9 on the size of the advertising units, the 10 materials that can be used, and where 11 advertising can be placed on taxicabs. 12 I will talk a little bit about some 13 sort of emerging trends that we have seen on 14 taxicab rooftops. What I have here is a 15 picture of taxi top extensions. This is a 16 picture from Clear Channel Taxi Media's 17 website. The advertising extends a few inches 18 above the actual unit itself. 19 And we have a picture here of what we 2.0 call four-sided advertising. In the past, 21 advertising had generally been limited on 22 rooftops to the sides of the advertising unit. 23 More and more now we are seeing it on both the 24 front and the back as well of the advertising 25 unit. 0038 1 The Commission has obviously talked about advertising on TLC licensed vehicles before. I know we have many new Commissioners so I will try to give a brief context for some of those discussions. Some of the

Commissioners that are sitting now were part of those discussions. Hopefully, they can offer some input as well.

 First, on aesthetics and public value of advertising, concern had been raised in prior Commission discussions about the visual clutter that could be associated with advertising and that might come from it. The idea of TLC licensed vehicles being moving billboards and whether that was appropriate and to what level that was appropriate.

A related point, the use of the public domain, taxicabs, for private purposes. Taxicabs are licensed by the city and regulated by the city. Allowing them to be used for private purposes is a regulatory question, and is one the Commission was concerned about and wanted to think about. One of the Commissioners, in fact, suggested that we seek the input of the city's Municipal

Art Society to get some guidance about what their thoughts are on what the appropriate use of the public domain would be for advertising.

Thee was also extensive discussion about advertising on other TLC licensed vehicles. For a long time, medallion rooftops were the only form of advertising that were permitted at all, and referenced in our rules. So there were concerns, obviously, about whether other types TLC licensed vehicles should be permitted to have advertising, whether it was unfair if only medallions had rooftop advertising.

There were also concerns raised about whether allowing rooftop advertising specifically would blur the distinction between FHVs and medallion taxicabs. And, finally, there were concerns raised about the industry economics of advertising on TLC licensed vehicles. Was there a way to ensure that different segments of the industry -- owners and drivers -- were able to share in the benefits and revenue streams that came from advertising.

What we hope to have now is a

discussion amongst the Commissioners. I have outlined some sort of general discussion points and things to keep in mind. I am happy to answer any questions, but let me just go through some of the key things we would like the Commission to keep in mind and consider as you are talking about this issue today.

First, for medallion rooftop advertising, the safety issues associated with it, what kind of standards should be in place,

should they be done via rules, should they 11 12 continue to be done through legal agreements 13 or MOUs. 14 The aesthetics of advertising. What 15 is the balance that the Commission should 16 strike on that question. Content, what level 17 of regulation should we have in terms of 18 content. 19 The public value offered by 20 advertising. What is that? Is it 21 appropriate? 22 And the industry economics, are the 23 different segments of the industry benefiting 24 the way that we think they should be 25 benefiting from advertising? 0041 1 And, specifically, on the other types 2 of advertising proposals that we have 3 received, questions about what types of 4 vehicles should be permitted and allowed to 5 have advertising on them. Whether the review 6 for advertising proposals should be done 7 through the pilot program process, as has been 8 proposed so far, or through separate 9 rule-making action entirely, which can be done 10 as well. 11 And, again, the questions of safety, 12 aesthetics, content, public value and industry 13 economics for advertising. That concludes the presentation for 14 15 today. We hope the Commission will have an 16 active discussion. I would be happy to answer 17 any questions that Commissioners may have. 18 COMM. GIANNOULIS: I just have a 19 quick question because I am missing a page 20 here. The taxi technology, the new equipment, are there some of the cars on the street 21 22 already? 23 CHAIRMAN DAUS: Yes. There are 110 24 cars on the street already. 25 COMM. GIANNOULIS: They have 0042 1 advertising? 2 CHAIRMAN DAUS: Yes. 3 COMM. GIANNOULIS: But it is not in 4 this presentation? 5 MR. KIM: It is not covered in this 6 presentation. This was discussion advertising 7 that's currently in use and permitted. Those are still in testing stages, and those related 8 9 mainly to the interiors. I focused on the 10 exterior advertising for this presentation. 11 CHAIRMAN DAUS: I believe the 12 Commission, when we voted on the last set of 13 rules, had specifically authorized advertising 14 as a method to offset cost of these systems.

So that is specifically authorized currently

15

16 under the rules. 17 COMM. GIANNOULIS: Yes, I just find 18 it strange that it is not in this 19 presentation. 20 COMM. WEINSHALL: Because it's a 21 whole different form of advertising and it is 22 still in the testing stage, right, Andy? 2.3 MR. SALKIN: It is still in the 24 testing stage. 25 COMM. GIANNOULIS: It's approved, 0043 1 though? 2 MR. SALKIN: The idea here is, we 3 got all these pilot proposals and we really 4 didn't know what to do with the pilot 5 proposals and we wanted to get some direction 6 from the Commission. On the passenger information 8 monitors, the Commission has already provided 9 clear direction, which was as far as media can 10 be used to offset the expenses, that that is 11 something the Commission thought was good --12 COMM. GIANNOULIS: I just think if 13 we are talking about educating Commissioners 14 who weren't necessarily here, the fact that we 15 talk about rooftop advertising and the fact 16 that we don't talk about that there is going 17 to be a huge screen in the back of a seat that 18 is going to be all about advertising is 19 disingenuous. 20 CHAIRMAN DAUS: I think it is a fair 21 point. I think it was just an unintentional 22 omission because it was something --23 COMM. GIANNOULIS: That's the 24 biggest new advertising thing that we are 25 doing in yellow cabs and it doesn't make it 0044 into the report. I just find that strange. 1 2 MR. SALKIN: One of the things we can 3 certainty add to future conversations, and 4 there is going to be another conversation to 5 talk about the PIMS and give an update on how 6 the PIMS are going to work. 7 COMM. GIANNOULIS: Part of my 8 question is, I guess, we have never received 9 any report as to what that advertising is. I 10 have been asking this question for like two 11 years at this point, but I still have no idea 12 what that advertising is. I have no idea what 13 is being advertised. I have no idea of the form it is being advertised in. 14 15 CHAIRMAN DAUS: We did show you, all 16 the Commissioners, the units. But we can 17 provide some more detailed information and 18 have a report on what exactly -- how much 19 advertising they are using and get some more 20 information for you.

21 I don't think the staff, in their 22 defense, are being disingenuous. With all due 23 respect, this may have been something that 24 they should have put in there, but I think, 25 like myself, I just automatically assumed that 0045 1 we all remember that we did this just last 2. year. 3 COMM. GIANNOULIS: I know that there 4 is rooftop advertising, I see it every day on 5 the street, but it's in the report. I just 6 find that a little weird. 7 COMM. WEINSHALL: I think also, 8 Harry, the Commission staff is being barraged 9 by different consultants who are coming in 10 and, beyond what we approved with the pilot 11 program, what is in the cabs, they are being 12 deluged every day by these consultants who 13 have different advertising ideas. And I think 14 intent of this presentation is to sort of put 15 it in some context. 16 Am I right, Andy? 17 COMM. GIANNOULIS: My simple point 18 is, and I think it is a good one, which is, if 19 we are talking about taking a look at these 20 proposals and taking a look at impact that 21 advertising is going to have and how much 22 advertising we are going to have in a vehicle, 23 we just put a big TV screen in the car, and it 2.4 is not in the report. I just find that to be 25 weird. 0046 1 CHAIRMAN DAUS: You are right. I 2 think it was an unintentional omission. 3 COMM. GIANNOULIS: Because, quite 4 honestly, that puts into question how much 5 advertising we want in a vehicle. We just put 6 a screen in --7 CHAIRMAN DAUS: Clearly, one of

CHAIRMAN DAUS: Clearly, one of these proposals, the one by Clear Channel, clearly interferes with what we authorized last year, because they are looking to put publication pockets in the back seat, which, if you look at this photo, would basically go on top of the screens. So, certainly, it's a relevant point.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMM. WEINSHALL: Quite frankly, if I had my way, I think we should let the experiment that is going on in the cabs sort of have their time. Andy is beginning to collect all this data and information, and we should sort of wait and see and move from there.

But, as I said, the staff here is being overwhelmed by all these requests, and I think that was the purpose.

Andy, maybe you want to talk about

0047 1 the testing period? MR. SALKIN: Sure. There is the 3 testing period that we are doing with the 4 passenger information monitors. There is a 5 roll-out process, and the thing there is the Commission spoke very clearly about using 6 7 media to offset cost. And one of the things 8 the Commission did by saying --9 COMM. GIANNOULIS: Actually, we 10 didn't, but I am not going to get into a fight 11 with you about that, Andy. 12 COMM. WEINSHALL: Yes, we did, 13 Harry. 14 COMM. GIANNOULIS: Okay, great. 15 The concern, again, MR. SALKIN: 16 with what we have here with the pilots is 17 exactly what is the Commission interested in 18 testing, what is the Commission interested in 19 trying on the rooftops as well --20 A lot of the rooftops have been done 21 through previous MOUs and agreements that 22 spoke to a very specific time, some over ten years ago. Is the Commission interested in 23 thinking up a policy that kind of sets a 2.4 25 standard and then allows standards to go 0048 forward? What is that standard going to be? 1 2. If someone want to do a new rooftop, 3 even though 5,200 vehicles have it, what's the 4 way that it gets approved? Does each proposal 5 have to get approved? If someone changes 6 their technology a little bit, do they have to 7 come and get that approved or is it just a 8 standard that the Commission says as long as 9 it meets the safety standard, then it can be 10 up there? 11 There is a lot of things that we 12 could use some guidance on and I think there 13 are a lot of things that we don't know all the 14 answers to, that perhaps we could have some 15 people come and share some of their expertise 16 exactly about how this works. And what we are 17 trying to get today is a sense from the 18 Commission, here is an example of some of the 19 pilots that we have gotten. You are all 20 familiar with the rooftops, what are your 21 thoughts about this? How much time should we 22 spend on this? Where should we go next? What 23 are some of the steps that you are thinking 24 about that we should be thinking about so that 25 we can make sure the education process is 0049

1 appropriate so that as decisions get made, that they reflect not only the needs of the

Commission but that of the public and the

industry.

3

CHAIRMAN DAUS: Commissioner 6 Gonzalez? 7 COMM. GONZALEZ: Two things. One, 8 as far as advertising in the back seat, I 9 think I share some of the sentiments of 10 Commissioner Giannoulis. I think the screen is probably adequate enough, given that we 11 12 also have maps and other information that is 13 TLC related. Anything else that you throw in 14 the back seat is probably overkill. 15 And, two, just so everyone is on the 16 same page, the only beneficiary currently of 17 advertising are the medallion owners? 18 MR. KIM: As far as we know 19 currently. That may not actually be the exact 20 case. We haven't seen copies of contracts, 21 things to that effect. So I would expect that 22 different members of the advertising industry 23 or taxicab industry would be able to share 24 additional information, possibly at future 25 Commission meetings. But as far as we know 0050 currently, the benefits accrue primarily to 1 2 medallion owners, fleets and agents. 3 CHAIRMAN DAUS: Right. 4 Historically, as Eric touched upon in his 5 presentation, former Commissioner McKeckney 6 (ph) had proposed to the Commission changes to 7 the rules that allowed taxi top advertising on 8 liveries and for-hire vehicles. The 9 Commission at the time rejected that, based 10 primarily on the fact that it might start 11 looking more like a yellow cab and people 12 might start hailing them. 13 And the only thing that was retained, 14 from what I recall, is there is an exemption 15 for Staten Island because there are not a lot 16 of yellow cabs in Staten Island. So basically 17 there are rooftop advertisements on the top of 18 liveries on Staten Island, but nowhere else in 19 the city. And the Commission had specifically 20 at that time rejected that plan, but left the 21 door open for other forms of advertising on 22 other parts of the car to be specified by the 23 Chair. 2.4 Since that time there has been very 25 little, if any other, interest for people that 0051 1 are serious coming forward with proposals 2 until we passed these pilot program rules. 3 Now we have a lot of people coming forward. 4 So I think that's why we are here today. Just 5 a little bit of historical context. I think 6 you were there at the time, Commission 7 Giannoulis and Commissioner Arout. 8 COMM. GIANNOULIS: I just have a quick question. Iris, you probably know a lot

or a decent amount about the whole issue of 10 11 billboards and highways and the arterial 12 thing, 400 feet and all that jazz? 13 COMM. WEINSHALL: Right. 14 COMM. GIANNOULIS: It's about 15 safety, right? Is it supposed to be about 16 safety? 17 COMM. WEINSHALL: The answer is yes. The DOT's position, both federal DOT, state 18 19 DOT and city DOT's position is there is so 20 much coming at people, you don't really want 21 them to be distracted when they are driving. 22 And that's why there are certain federal 23 guidelines about what type of advertising you 24 can put on highways and roads. Particularly in New York City with all the billboards and 25 0052 1 all of the advertising coming at people. 2 don't want them to be distracted. We want 3 them to focus on how they are getting from their destination. 5 We don't want motor vehicles to be 6 distracted, the drivers of motor vehicles to 7 be distracted. So, yeah, from my perspective, 8 where I sit, I think there is more than enough 9 advertising out there. There is so much 10 coming at people, and now, on top of that, the 11 city is going to be putting an enormous amount 12 of advertising on bus stop shelters and public 13 toilets and news stands, not to mention all of 14 the other stuff that goes up on pay phones and 15 all the billboards that go up, the legal ones 16 and the illegal ones. 17 From my perspective, we have enough 18 already out there. We don't need more. Now, of course, the advertising industry would 19 20 probably say what I am saying is blasphemy, 21 but... 22 COMM. GIANNOULIS: I was just 23 interested in that because I know that's an 24 issue I dealt with. 25 CHAIRMAN DAUS: Are those standards 0053 1 in DOT regs or contracts? No. What happens 2 COMM. WEINSHALL: 3 is --4 They cut funding. COMM. GIANNOULIS: 5 -- exactly. You COMM. WEINSHALL: 6 get federal funding. And the federal FHWA 7 determines everything. They even determine 8 what your signs have to be, what size they 9 have to be, what color they have to be. And 10 they determine what type of advertising can go 11 on the highways. 12 And as a matter of fact, on some of 13 the streets, some of the larger streets in the 14 city where we use a lot of federal money. So

15 it all disseminates from the FHWA, the federal 16 government. 17 COMM. GIANNOULIS: Matt, addressing 18 your question earlier, for me, I don't 19 particularly have a position. I am not 20 against pamphlets but I think we have to be 2.1 careful because I just think that there is 2.2 going to be a lot of advertising in the vehicle. And one of my concerns would be, 23 24 there is this -- I don't know where owners, 25 you know, owners always talk about the 0054 1 sanctity of the kind of yellow cab, which I actually think is probably true, especially 3 when it comes to tourists and stuff like that. But I have been in other cities, where a car 4 5 pulls up and you don't know if it is your cab 6 or you're getting pizza delivered. You really 7 don't know. 8 CHAIRMAN DAUS: Some actually do 9 both. 10 COMM. GIANNOULIS: I have been in Albany where I just stare at the car, and it 11 12 is like, is this a cab or is this a pizza delivery. 13 14 CHAIRMAN DAUS: In Hunter, the last 15 time I went skiing up there, many years ago, they had a pizza place that was a taxi that 16 17 delivered pizza. 18 COMM. GIANNOULIS: So I don't know 19 if that's an issue for us. A lot of tourist 20 in this city, they get into cabs, I assume 21 they are pretty comfortable knowing what a cab 22 is and it is pretty distinctive on the street, the yellow cab. 23 24 MR. SALKIN: You raise really 25 excellent points. And I think the question 0055 1 becomes: When does a cab no longer look like 2 a cab? Or when do you cross that line? 3 You also talked about the sanctity of the cab. And I think there is the passenger's 5 view of what that is. I also think there is the driver's view. Perhaps what we can do for 6 7 future Commission meetings is get some people Я to offer an opinion from the public's point of 9 view, here is what we feel cabs are and here is their place in the public realm, as well as 10 drivers who are both individual owners or 11 12 perhaps drivers who drive vehicles and get 13 some feedback. 14 COMM. GIANNOULIS: Are information 15 monitors prohibited in FHVs? 16 MR. SALKIN: Passenger information 17 monitors are regulated on the yellow vehicles,

I think, as far as if you go into the other

18

19

vehicles --

20 MR. KIM: The rules on FHVs require 21 that any interior or exterior advertising on 22 FHVs be approved by the Commission. It hasn't 23 been approved. 2.4 COMM. GIANNOULIS: There basically 25 is none? 0056 1 MR. KIM: There is none approved at 2 this time. 3 MR. SALKIN: And approval would be 4 based on the Commission standards, so then the 5 question is: Does the Commission want to 6 follow the same standards that were followed 7 in the taxi industry, which are pretty 8 stringent, the adoption of very stringent 9 credit card standards and information 10 standards, and requiring other information be 11 shared like the map and taxi information. 12 So there is a lot of different ways 13 to do this. I think one of Eric's points that 14 he was trying to make is what Chicago did is 15 they kind of said, "Yes, there is some 16 benefits to advertisement and it is not a bad 17 thing to do because it does add, but we should 18 get very specific goals tied to advertising." 19 And they chose to regulate it in a different 20 way than New York City does. 21 And perhaps that's something we can 2.2 also think about, if there were benefits that 23 you felt that were not being met or ways that, 24 perhaps, the advertising could offset that, 25 that's an interesting conversation as well. 0057 1 COMM. GIANNOULIS: The other thing 2 is, if we are in a situation where people were 3 having economic issues, you know, the 4

COMM. GIANNOULIS: The other thing is, if we are in a situation where people were having economic issues, you know, the passenger monitor part of it was to offset some of the fare hikes that we did and make sure that we kind of even things out. My view is that the industry is not in crisis where we have to make sure people are getting more income coming in.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMM. WEINSHALL: They would say they are in a crisis, but recognizing at the time -- we had a discussion here, recognizing at the time that this was a costly lift for them, we wanted to help the industry out. But I think beyond that, I don't know if we have to.

The one that I am concerned about the most, Eric showed it on the screen, is the company that wants to put advertising on hubcaps. From a transportation/traffic viewpoint and pedestrian viewpoint, the last thing you want a pedestrian to be doing is looking down and not looking up. That's why DOT doesn't allow advertising on the street, we don't want people to be looking down. We

25 want them to be looking up. 0058 1 COMM. GIANNOULIS: And the city, 2 putting aside the issue of like the street 3 furniture and whether the city wants to give 4 its seal of approval to a particular 5 commercial enterprise, they've never put 6 advertising on their vehicles; right? 7 COMM. WEINSHALL: No, we don't put 8 advertising on our vehicles. 9 MR. SALKIN: I think one thing that 10 is interesting about the contract that the 11 city DOT has for the street furniture is that 12 there is a tremendous benefit that the city 13 gets from that contract, which is very interesting to think about. But to me, having 14 15 bus stop shelters that are maintained and 16 clean and graffiti-free, especially in the 17 cold winter, is tremendous. And I always 18 thought that was a great benefit. So there is 19 a cost benefit there that is directly linked 20 that is interesting. Where in the yellow industry, I think 21 22 some could argue that ads for ads' sake, one of the things the Commission could think about 2.3 2.4 is: Is there a different reason for having 25 ads or is it just good for the economics of 0059 1 the industry, which the Commissioner was just 2 talking about. 3 Commissioner Dear? CHAIRMAN DAUS: COMM. DEAR: I have a few issues. 4 5 First of all, the concern that I have 6 is that we have regulations concerning rooftop 7 advertising, and now we are like rewriting the rules. When this Commission -- I wasn't here, 8 9 it was prior to my coming here -- so we are 10 starting to have discussions about what we are 11 doing with something that we approved. And my 12 concern is, because I hear the words and I 13 hear what is being written and how people are 14 talking, that we are talking about concern of 15 how much money, who is making money, is the 16 driver making money, this guy is making money? 17 Who are we to determine? This is a 18 free country, a country where you try to make 19 the best --20 COMM. WEINSHALL: No, but they are 21 in a regulated industry. 22 COMM. DEAR: Regulated in what way? 23 How we control their money and how much money 24 they are going to make? 25 COMM. WEINSHALL: No, but we 0060 regulate what goes on the car, what the car looks like. We regulate everything about this

3

car.

COMM. DEAR: You are right, but now 5 I am hearing from staff telling me that I 6 don't know who is making the money, who is paying what, and everything else. 8 I am not the IRS. I don't want to 9 know what they are making. If they can figure 10 out a way to make more money, good for them, 11 they are smart business people. 12 CHAIRMAN DAUS: But that is part of 13 the reason that we authorized it. 14 COMM. DEAR: But wait -- we are 15 benefitting. We are going to be selling 900 16 vehicles medallions and we are making a lot of 17 money from that. 18 COMM. WEINSHALL: No, 150. We sold 19 the 900. 20 COMM. DEAR: A total of 900 we sold. 21 MR. KIM: And we have 150 more. 22 COMM. DEAR: Right. And how much 23 did the city get from that? 24 COMM. WEINSHALL: A lot of money. 25 COMM. DEAR: A lot of money. Why 0061 1 did we get that money? 2. Come on, it is obviously because when 3 someone buys a medallion, he is thinking how I 4 can make my money back. So let's not start 5 nickel and diming these people, how much they 6 make, who makes what. And you are talking 7 about making drivers -- there is no one on 8 this Commission who has been advocating for 9 the drivers more than someone like myself. 10 And I am proud of it. But one second, the 11 drivers out there are doing well now, and, 12 obviously, they are doing well because the 13 Commission cared and tried to do things about 14 it. 15 And then also to remember, there are still 13,000 vehicles that are still owned by 16 17 individuals. So any advertisement, anything 18 else, it is still coming into his pocket. MR. KIM: 19 If I can just address two 20 points that the Commissioner raised. 21 COMM. DEAR: Yes. 22 MR. KIM: First, you mentioned that 2.3 we have rules on rooftop advertising. We have 24 very broad rules. We do not have rules that 25 specify standards or size of units, things 0062 1 like that. So the rules simply allow for it, 2 says that the Commission will issue permits 3 and that the Ad Code requires that the fee be 4 5 Second, the point that you made about why would we regulate revenue? I was merely 7 presenting an alternative model that Chicago uses. Chicago actually does obtain fairly

9 substantial and extensive information about 10 the revenue and the contracts that are 11 involved in advertising. They require, I 12 believe, that the city get copies of the 13 contracts between the advertiser and the 14 medallion owner. And I am sure that there is 15 a very specific revenue split. I was merely 16 indicating that that is one particular model. 17 CHAIRMAN DAUS: I think Eric and 18 staff were providing, not recommendations, but 19 merely just giving you information about how 20 other cities, and this Commission have made 21 policy decisions that if we are going to allow 22 advertising, like we did with the in-vehicle 23 passenger information monitors, we allowed 24 advertising, not because we thought it was the 25 first and foremost priority, but because we 0063 1 thought it could offset the costs that the 2 medallion owners would have to pay. 3 And I think that the prior rules that 4 this Commission and other cities have passed 5 had as a policy consideration, maybe not the foremost, but certainly one of them, that the 6 7 drivers and/or the owners are going to be able 8 to make some money off of this if we are going 9 to allow it. Whether we are for or against 10 advertising, you know, I think it's hard to 11 overlook the fact that this isn't like any 12 other business. The New York City taxicab, 13 like Commissioner Weinshall mentioned, is 14 highly regulated. It is part of the city's 15 landscape. It is known worldwide as an icon 16 of what New York City stands for and the way 17 it looks like ends up as trinkets in stores around the world and in the city. 18 19 I have on my desk all these little 20 matchbox cars of taxicabs and some of them 21 have rooftop advertisements on them. 22 COMM. DEAR: We didn't get any money 23 from that. 24 COMM. WEINSHALL: That is going to 25 change now with the New York City Marketing 0064 1 Office, and I think that is the whole point. 2 I have to agree with Matt and with 3 Harry, there is a visual aspect to this. And 4 he is right, is it a pizza company that is 5 coming to pick you up or is it a New York City 6 yellow cab that is coming to pick you up? And 7 that's a standard that we have to --8 COMM. GIANNOULIS: And we should be 9 careful saying whether we are going to allow 10 advertising. First, I am not 11 anti-advertising. I work with companies that 12 do advertising. None of us are 13 anti-advertising. From what I can see, we are pretty pro advertising, because at this point, other than for FHVs, there are rooftop units that are only there for advertising, and there is a passenger monitor, which according to Harry Giannoulis, is only there for advertising. That's pretty good.

2.1

We also approved two Commission meetings ago, the little tracking device for the cell phones. So these cars, Noach, are going around and generating -- I mean, I would like to get back to the passengers and all that stuff one day, but all we are talking

about at this point is how much these folks are going to generate. A pretty nice pocket of extra income. I just think we need to be careful that these vehicles don't become everything but cabs.

MR. SALKIN: If I can offer some comments that I think I've heard the Commission share which is perhaps something we should further explore, is the issue of: If there is advertising, how does the advertising relative to the overall public purpose of the taxicab, and what's the best way to make sure that works and perhaps setting standards within those guidelines. And I think that's something that needs to be explored.

Right now, for rooftop ads, just to give an example, if someone wants to do a rooftop, because there aren't clear regulations, they have to apply, in this case going forward there might have to be a pilot project or it might have to be something that they apply for, to get an MOU with the agency. It is not clear how we proceed. But who is to say that one rooftop isn't different from another. If you saw, even rooftops that look

the same, aren't really the same. You know, if it is four-sided advertising, two-sided advertising, advertising contained within the space.

COMM. GIANNOULIS: And I am certainly not anti -- I think the people who do the rooftop stuff do a pretty good job. You see them, they are clean, they are not dirty, they are all affixed steadily. I don't have a problem with that.

MR. SALKIN: The point I am raising is if there was a standard, and the standard had some thought about complementing the overall mission of the cab and making sure that the public piece is getting communicated clearly, but not compromising the advertising piece, perhaps that is something that also can be explored.

19 And, again, there is a lot of different models. I know some of the rooftop 20 manufacturers said: We have this great 21 22 rooftop but we know we are never going to get 23 it approved for New York even though it is 2.4 safer and better. 25 And the question is: Why is that? 0067 1 If it's better, then why don't we have it? 2 COMM. GIANNOULIS: Some of the stuff 3 is great. The digital unit, it looks great. 4 I just have a hard time not thinking that 5 there is not going to be a lot of people who 6 will get into car accidents staring at that 7 thing. We are talking about a lot of cars on 8 the street that we are going to be doing that 9 for. 10 CHAIRMAN DAUS: Any other 11 Commissioners have comments? 12 COMM. POLANCO: This is just 13 preliminary? I mean, this is not the only 14 pilot programs that we are going to see? 15 MR. KIM: Absolutely. 16 COMM. POLANCO: So we are going to 17 continue seeing this and we are going to 18 continue discussing this? 19 MR. KIM: Right. What we are hoping for at this point is for the Commissioners to 20 21 continue this discussion and provide us with 22 guidance, and also provide the public, this is 23 a public meeting, with some guidance about 24 what the Commissioners thoughts are about 25 advertising on TLC licensed vehicles. 0068 1 Considering some of the questions that we have 2 outlined here and some of the proposals we 3 have received and some of the things other 4 places do regarding advertising. 5 CHAIRMAN DAUS: That's a good point. 6 COMM. DEAR: Talk about direction, I 7 know that we have had some discussions 8 already, we did have before us once before, 9 the wheel advertisement, which I don't think 10 any of us were so keen about. If I am not mistaken either it was withdrawn or we turned 11 12 it down. I don't know what we did, but it 13 didn't go anywhere. 14 And I have no problems as they come 15 before us, as the requests come in, that's 16 because Harry Giannoulis and others had 17 concern of how we are doing pilot projects and 18 come before the Commission. I have no 19 problem, we should regulate, and that is one 20 of the concerns that was raised by staff, that 21 you are going to be inundated with all kinds 22 of proposals. 2.3 I said, "All right, that's fine." We

24 have already sort of made a policy because we 25 did allow for rooftop, we did allow for the 0069

inside TV with the new changes with the GPS and everything else. So it is obviously we have a direction. As you hear, we don't want to make this a pizzeria car, we don't want to make this an advertising car. So I think the direction is where it is and the only thing I think that we have to, just to make sure where we are going is in the sense of there is some policy issues which I raised, and I must say to the credit of the company that has now the rooftops, Clear Channel, when there is concern about some of the advertising, I am not the only other one, but when they had all these clubs which are not appropriate, that we thought were not really a public value, they didn't have to, but they automatically, when they took over control of the company, they took off those advertisements. 

And I am sure they lost some revenue on that. So obviously some of these companies are listening to us because on their own they are self-regulating. So right now, all the concerns we had, we haven't heard of more accidents and haven't had any public safety concerns, because as things are going on now,

things are going fine. So keep that in mind when you start to put in regulations that from the past and where we are right now as a Commission.

CHAIRMAN DAUS: Okay. Any other comments from Commissioners?

(No response.)

2.2

CHAIRMAN DAUS: Okay, just to give staff -- let me sum it up, and anyone just jump in and let me know if you think that I am missing something in terms of giving very specific clear guidance to the staff in terms of what the next steps are.

On one of the slides, I think Eric, describing the current and future, identified basically five policy goals: Safety, aesthetics, content, public value and industry economics. I think, from what I've heard, and I would certainly agree, first and foremost is safety. That is the number one policy consideration I think. We have had these rooftops tested by the Department of Citywide Administration Services engineers. We have also received private studies. There are people and standards in place in private legal

1 agreements with these companies and

2 administrative practices.

So not only is there a safety standard there, but if we can get even better and more safety standards, I think you can never be too safe. If there are ways to incorporate those into our rules. And whether that be doing some of the research with the FHWA or some other sources to specifically include, identify the safety issues, safety standards, and put them in our rules to make it clear to everyone. I think that would be helpful.

In terms of the aesthetics and also the public valuable component, I believe from what I've heard, it is not just a private company that has opened up. It is a New York City taxicab. It has an iconic status. It is known the world over. Commissioner Weinshall brought up a very good point about we have the chief marketing officer and the tourism bureau and this new merged entity that is looking at marketing the City of New York including yellow cabs. So to the extent that advertising is something that could appear and

does appear on a cab, how does that affect the ability for the city to market these cabs?

And that is something that is on their radar screen. I think we should seek guidance from them. There is a whole movement over the past couple of years to look at public space. As much as we may disagree with the Design Trust preliminary report, the whole exercise is a good one. A New York cab is part of New York City space. It is like a park bench, a park, wherever you go it is something that everybody sees and it has a quality of life component to it. So I think we should work with those partners and make sure that whatever comes out of this is tasteful and done the right way.

In terms of content, I would agree with Commissioner Dear that the companies have been very, very good, whether it was Medallion Taxi Media or Clear Channel, they kind of police themselves. The offensive to public moral standard is a very broad standard, it is in the Administrative Code. I guess I would just ask and recommend that counsel take a look at that. Are there ways that we can make

that even more specific in our rules, or do we have to change to law or is it fine the way it is?

MR. KIM: Just on that point, Chicago does have very specific guidelines in their rules about what they consider appropriate and inappropriate for advertising

8 content in general. 9 CHAIRMAN DAUS: I think that's a 10 great point, Eric. Only because advertisers, 11 in fairness to the industry and to them, they 12 want to make sure that they understand what 13 the standards are so that they don't break the 14 standards. When you have a broad standard, it 15 always leaves that question mark out there and 16 it is very confusing for them and it is 17 confusing for us. And the law of prior 18 restraint prohibits us from viewing any 19 advertisements, in accordance with supreme 20 court case law, before they are issued. 21 then that leaves the advertiser and the 22 medallion owner to the risk of, if I post 2.3 this, then there are going to be penalties and 24 I am going to have all sorts of problems if 25 the TLC exercises its right to take it off. 0074 1 I can only think of one instance 2

where we actually removed one, and two instances where we placed a phone call after there was an advertisement that was potentially offensive. And the companies were great about removing them. But I think, in fairness, Commissioner Dear, you have a very, very good point, and I think we should look into the legalities of that and just clarify

COMM. DEAR: I think we should make exclusive flower shops only advertising.

CHAIRMAN DAUS: Okay, last but not least, industry economics. Like was discussed here today, I think we should continue to look at some of the models that are out there, including Chicago.

If we do decide to do some type of authorization of advertising, it should be done in a way where we understand the economics. If that means going to medallion owners drivers and companies and asking to understand the economics, I think that's helpful because I think there is a component of that that's important.

25 0075 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

And certainly last but not least, I think the pilot program rules are very good in terms of showing us that there is an interest in advertising in all of our industries. I personally would prefer not having to deal with 20 pilot program proposals. I think it is clear and in the best interest of the TLC, the industry, and also the advertising industry, to have clear specifications and rules, as opposed to having to enter into legal agreements each time somebody wants to

11 12 put something on a cab. So I think the safety 13 standards, the aesthetics, how big, when and 14 where you can put it on, all should be 15 specified in our rules with clarity, instead 16 of MOUs. And for administrative convenience 17 purposes as well. 18 I think we should, as Commissioner 19 Weinshall suggested, take a look at what we 2.0 are doing with the passenger information 21 monitors and whatever preliminary feedback we 22 are getting from the in-vehicle advertising as 23 part of the technology program. 24 And the last thing also is equality. 25 Whatever we decide to do, I think it's 0076 1 important that we don't just favor one 2 industry over another. That whatever we do 3 for one industry, there has to be a very, very 4 good public policy reason for not doing it for 5 all of our regulated industries. 6 That's basically what I heard. Does 7 anybody disagree with that direction? 8 COMM. DEAR: Two things. 9 When you say industry economics, 10 again, I want to make it clear, I am not here 11 to regulate and to control how much each 12 person makes and how they make it and 13 everything else. I don't mind that we have a 14 broad perspective of things, but, again, I 15 don't want to go down that slippery slope. 16 What is scaring me is that report, I 17 guess. That is what has me crazed, which some 18 of you have, some don't have. But I don't 19 want to go in that direction and I don't want 20 to start touching money, economics. There are 21 countries that do those things when they don't 22 like something, all of a sudden, they 23 nationalize the industry. 24 CHAIRMAN DAUS: Well, information is 25 power. You don't mind having the information, 0077 1 do you? 2 I would personally like know how much 3 of the share is going to the owner, the driver 4 and the company. 5 COMM. DEAR: No. 6 CHAIRMAN DAUS: You don't care about 7 that? 8 COMM. DEAR: No, it is not my 9 business. They have a deal. We are going to 10 start checking maybe how much they pay for 11 their tires, how much they pay for their 12 gasoline and why they do that? No, no, no. 13 CHAIRMAN DAUS: No, they ask us to 14 do that when they want a fare increase. 15 COMM. DEAR: I am talking about how they do their business. That's between them. 16 17 We are in a country, it is a capitalist

```
18
     country. I don't think we changed our
19
     economic views yet. There are people that
20
     would like to.
21
             And the public value about going into
22
     other cars, I have very strong feelings about
23
     going into livery cars and all that stuff.
2.4
     More and more they are trying to look like
2.5
     yellow cabs. I want to protect that yellow
0078
1
     cab.
 2
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
                              Okay, that is duly
 3
    noted.
 4
             Andrew?
 5
             MR. SALKIN:
                           Based on the feedback
 6
     that I've gotten and direction from the Chair,
 7
     what we will try to do is on another
 8
     Commission meeting in the near future, we will
9
     invite people who are experts in these topics
10
     to share some opinions --
11
             COMM. AROUT:
                          Can I say something?
12
             CHAIRMAN DAUS: Yes, Commissioner
13
    Arout.
14
             COMM. AROUT:
                           I want to thank
15
     everyone here for putting this together, it
16
     wasn't easy. I have my feelings about
     everything, but I am listening to everything
17
18
     and my opinion will come up when the time
     comes. But I think it was very well done, and
19
2.0
     let's just take it from there.
21
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
                            I agree, excellent
22
     job, Andy, Eric. It is a good start.
23
             Okay, any other issues?
24
             (No response.)
25
             MR. KIM:
                        Thank you.
0079
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
                              Thank you, that was
1
 2
     very enlightening.
 3
             Item four on the agenda is base
 4
     licensing application review.
 5
             Sara, good morning.
 6
             MS. MEYERS:
                           Good morning.
 7
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
                              I know there are
8
     issues with Adams, so let's put that to the
9
     side and deal with the others first.
10
             MS. MEYERS:
                          With the exception of
11
    Adams, we have 18 base applications for your
12
     vote. Two new, 11 renewal. Two that are a
13
     renewal and an ownership change. One that is
14
     just an ownership change. And two that are
15
     relocations only.
16
             Does anyone have any questions?
17
             COMM. AROUT:
                           Yes. Dependable Car
18
     Service and the North Shore Car and Limo.
19
             MS. MEYERS:
                          Okay, do you have
20
     specific questions?
21
             COMM. AROUT: Yes. On Dependable
22
     Car Service, they were issued violations in
```

```
23
     '06, unlicensed dispatching unlicensed driver.
24
     Then our great enforcement people on Staten
25
     Island only in January gave out two more
0800
1
     summonses, operating while in suspension.
 2
             What are we doing about these people?
 3
             MS. MEYERS: What we have been doing
 4
     is we have been going through this process for
 5
     about a year and a half. We are coming to the
 6
     conclusion, but we have, in terms of the
 7
     business plan, having all the bases address
 8
     these violations specifically in their
 9
     business plans and how they are going to --
10
             COMM. AROUT:
                            Do we usually get a
11
     report after you make your inspections?
12
             MS. MEYERS:
                           After enforcement makes
13
     inspections?
14
             COMM. AROUT:
                            Yes.
15
             MS. MEYERS:
                           Enforcement makes
16
     inspections back to licensing.
17
             COMM. AROUT:
                            And you notify the
18
     bases about this?
19
             MS. MEYERS:
                           Yes.
20
             COMM. AROUT:
                            Is there a conclusion
2.1
     after your letters go out, come back to you
2.2
     and come back to us that it has been taken
23
     care of.
             MS. MEYERS:
                           Sometimes what we ask
24
2.5
     them to do is write an amendment to their
0081
     business plan to specifically address these
 1
 2
     issues.
 3
             COMM. AROUT:
                            Okay.
 4
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
                              And Deputy
 5
     Commissioner Mullin has been visiting every
 6
     single base in the City of New York. In
 7
     addition, Commissioner Arout, you get a
 8
     monthly report on the enforcement that she has
 9
     been doing.
10
             COMM. AROUT:
                            Commissioner Mullin
11
     has been very good. She gives a report every
12
     month which I ask for. This way if any car
13
     service on Staten Island comes to me or calls
14
     my house to say what are we doing about it, I
15
     can show them what they do.
16
             Just in January 2007, Dependable
17
     Brothers, with two summons, operating while on
     suspension. Golden Aztec, 17 summonses, one
18
     vehicle seized. Fox Hill Services got four
19
     summonses and a total of 27 summons were
20
21
     issues and one seized vehicle, which is very
22
     good.
           So I hope the other Boroughs get the
23
     same thing.
2.4
             COMM. DEAR: I want to add something.
25
     You just raised something, Commissioner, about
0082
```

some people who are absolutely, flaunting the

```
law, they don't care and they are thumbing
 3
     their nose at us.
 4
             Why do we have to approve them for?
 5
             COMM. AROUT:
                          That's my opinion.
 6
             COMM. DEAR: That's right. You have
 7
     a right to say no. I would agree with you.
 8
     If we get the other Commissioners to say no,
 9
     we will turn them down. I don't understand.
10
     Why can't we turn them down? Why is it people
11
     just flaunt themselves?
12
             COMM. AROUT:
                           These particular bases
13
     mostly are owned by one particular company.
14
             COMM. DEAR: I don't care.
15
             COMM. AROUT: This particular one,
16
     Dependable, just received their bases lately.
17
     But they are just flaunting. What do we do?
18
             COMM. DEAR: I am going to suggest
19
     that we vote no on this one. If you want to
20
     join me -- after you tell me what it's all
21
     about, I am not going to vote for something
22
     like that.
23
                           I think, though, that
             MS. MEYERS:
24
     we have said in the past that we have asked
25
     for the Commissioners to give recommendations
0083
1
     on how we could possibly make rule changes.
 2
             COMM. DEAR:
                          Why do we need rule
     changes when someone flaunts the law and
 3
 4
     continuously violates the law? Who says we
 5
    have to approve it? Why are you coming to us?
 6
     Are we just a rubber stamp?
 7
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
                              Commissioner Dear, I
 8
     think nobody feels as strongly about this as
 9
     all of us.
10
             COMM. DEAR: So let's vote no.
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
11
                             Our hands are tied
12
     legally.
13
             COMM. DEAR:
                           Who told you?
                                           Who
14
     said it?
15
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
                              We have had
16
     discussions with counsel --
17
             COMM. DEAR:
                          We have four attorneys
18
     on the Commission now, right.
19
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
                              How do they feel? I
     would love to hear how they feel.
20
2.1
             COMM. DEAR: I don't know. I want to
22
    hear.
           We have to approve it?
23
             CHAIRMAN DAUS: Especially since you
24
     are the individual who has been quoted in the
25
     Gene Freedman case as basically saying: We
0084
 1
     got sued, we get egg on our face.
 2
             If we were to deny these bases, and
 3
     weeks ago and months ago, we approved bases
     that have worse records, that's what is called
 5
    an instant Article 78. It is just like
    pouring the cocoa into the water.
```

```
7
             COMM. DEAR: You are mixing apples
8
     and oranges.
9
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
                             We are really not.
10
     This is a Commission of laws. And I think we
11
     set a bad example by violating our laws.
12
             COMM. DEAR: What laws? That's what
13
     I want to know.
14
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
                              Consistency.
15
     don't want to be arbitrary and capricious.
16
     When you treat one base one way and do
17
     something different for one --
18
             COMM. DEAR:
                          You mean to say that we
19
     have to approve somebody who consistently says
20
     they want to violate the law. I don't
21
     understand what's going on.
22
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
                              Chuck, do you want
23
     to address this issue?
24
             COMM. DEAR: Give it to me, the
25
    book.
0085
1
             CHAIRMAN DAUS: With all due
 2
     respect, Commissioner Dear --
 3
            COMM. DEAR: I had a professor who
 4
     told me: If you don't know the law, make it
 5
     up. But I don't want to make it up. I want
 6
     to know where it says chapter and verse.
 7
             Am I correct, Commissioner Vargas?
 8
             CHAIRMAN DAUS: Commissioner Dear,
9
     Chuck will address it. And I just want to
     note for the record that we have had this
10
11
     discussion at least several times on the
12
     record, and we will do it again.
13
             MR. FRASER:
                           Obviously, Commissioner
14
     Dear is correct that the grant or denial of a
15
    base license is discretionary. That's the
16
     whole reason that the Commission votes on it.
17
     However, the discretion should be exercised in
18
     a way that is consistent from case to case.
19
             As I said in our last meeting, my
20
     concern would be if we were to deny a base
21
     that has had some of these violations that are
22
     similar to another base that we did not deny,
23
     this would be subject to suit. The point
24
     being that when we began this enterprise,
25
     shortly before I started, January of '05, I
0086
1
     understand a strategy was stated that for one
 2
     renewal cycle, two years, we would do this
 3
     process requiring business plans. And that
 4
     when that cycle was finished, we would then
 5
     review their compliance with their business
 6
     plans, and then, at that stage, would then
 7
    begin denying the bases who had not complied
 8
    with their business plans. Sort of a one-time
 9
     grace period kind of thing I guess.
             I am not suggesting that Commissioner
10
    Dear's idea is wrong in the abstract. I am
11
```

```
12
     only suggesting that since we have approached
13
     it in a particular fashion for the last almost
14
     two years now, that to change that sort of
15
     almost at the end of that two-year renewal
16
     cycle would be subject to challenge.
                                           That is
17
     all that I am saying.
18
             COMM. DEAR:
                           You know, I am laughing
19
     because I am just thinking of the rigorous
20
     rules that you claim to have when someone
21
     comes in and they missed one day, we have to
22
     deny it, too bad, we can't flex the rules.
23
     Even though for a hundred years, this agency
24
     flexed its rules.
25
             And then, of course, just a few weeks
0087
 1
     ago, Judge Rucklesman (ph) makes a decision
     and says: Guys, you didn't have any
 3
     compassion here and you gotta give the guy his
 4
     license back as long as he passes the test and
 5
     all that stuff. Certain circumstances.
 6
             So I spoke to Commissioner Salkin, he
 7
     told me, "You only looked at one decision.
 8
     There were three other decisions the other
9
     way." You know what, we are a Commission, as
10
     you said, and I am going to take it by face
11
     value what you just said, and if someone wants
12
     to sue, so be it. Let's find out, let it go
13
     ahead. We did everything it with everything
14
     else. All of a sudden because you want it
15
     your way, so you don't want a suit. But
16
     everything else, you are allowed to be sued.
17
     How many people have been suing this agency?
18
             So I want to make a proposal on the
19
     ones that Commissioner Arout raises a flag on
20
     items, I make a motion to vote no on them.
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
2.1
                              Okay. Do we have a
22
     second to the motion?
23
             COMM. AROUT:
                            Second.
24
             MR. FRASER:
                            You want to be
25
     specific.
0088
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
1
                              Yes, which ones are
 2
     you talking about?
 3
             COMM. DEAR: Commissioner Arout, do
 4
     you want to say them again.
 5
             COMM. AROUT:
                            Dependable and Adams.
 6
             COMM. DEAR:
                           Dependable and Adams, I
 7
     vote no and Commissioner Arout has seconded
 8
     it.
 9
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
                              For Dependable and
10
     Adams only?
11
             MS. MEYERS:
                           Commissioner Arout
12
     mentioned another base, I didn't mention.
13
             CHAIRMAN DAUS: Are you coupling the
14
     motion together?
15
             COMM. AROUT:
                            No, Dependable only.
16
             COMM. DEAR:
                           Then we do the vote on
```

```
17
     the first one.
18
             CHAIRMAN DAUS: On Dependable?
19
             COMM. DEAR:
                          Yes.
20
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
                              Are you making a
21
    motion?
2.2
             COMM. DEAR:
                           I am making a motion.
23
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
                            Do we have a second?
2.4
             COMM. AROUT: Second.
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
2.5
                             All in favor?
0089
1
             (Indicating.)
 2
             CHAIRMAN DAUS: Opposed?
 3
             (Indicating.)
 4
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
                              It doesn't carry.
 5
             COMM. GIANNOULIS: I wasn't here for
 6
     the discussion, so I am abstaining.
 7
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
                             Okay, Commissioner
 8
     Giannoulis is abstaining from the vote.
9
             Let me just say this, instead of
10
     going back and forth over and over, I think we
11
    have had this discussion many, many times.
12
     think it is unfair, with all due respect to
13
     you, Commissioner Dear, because you are very
14
    passionate about this, I think it is unfair --
15
                          You should be
             COMM. DEAR:
16
     passionate too.
17
             CHAIRMAN DAUS: Can I please finish?
18
             I think it is very, very unfair to
19
     characterize the staff coming forward with
20
     recommendations to deny bases because they
    missed a deadline as saying we are being too
21
22
    rigid. Every single one of those bases has
23
    missed multiple deadlines, 13, 14, 15
24
     deadlines. So I think that that's something
25
     that is misplaced.
0090
             Number two, while I feel very
 1
 2
     strongly, as you do, that we need to do
 3
     something about these bases, I feel also very
 4
     strongly that we want to do something that is
 5
     consistent with what we have done in the past.
 6
     We laid out a game plan in which the
 7
     Commission, everybody with the exception
8
     Lauvie, who wasn't here, has voted for
9
     consistently over the last year and a half. I
10
    renew our call. I think staff needs to speed
11
    up the process of getting some standards in
12
    place for these bases.
13
             Commissioner Dear, I remember you
14
     were part of the process at the Council when
15
     we first started regulating the bases. There
16
    has been a lot of attention paid to off-street
17
    parking and a lot of he requirements to get a
18
     license. But one area that I think we need to
19
     look at, which you looked at in the yellow cab
20
     industry when you passed laws in the '90s
21
     about putting a point system in place for the
```

22 drivers that was a little bit watered down from what we had passed here, was in some 23 24 people's viewpoint, a little bit more fair. 25 But there is a point system that was 0091 1 in the local law that you passed that we don't have in the local law now that we may have the 3 opportunity to do in our rules now, which has 4 been discussed in the past, so that bases have 5 the opportunity to know in advance if I got 6 more than X number of violations, that I will 7 be revoked. 8 And I think the most appropriate 9 exercise of our discretion is to be 10 consistent. So while as much as I agree with you, and I am equally frustrated, disturbed 11 12 aggravated, annoyed by the continued violation 13 of the law, I also believe that we should do 14 the smart thing and not open ourselves up to 15 lawsuits, and to bring this process to a 16 conclusion, get the staff to get those 17 recommendations as soon as possible. 18 So I would like to make a motion for 19 all of the bases. 2.0 COMM. DEAR: Point of order. 2.1 just had a vote that was 3, 3 and one 22 abstention. How does that --CHAIRMAN DAUS: 23 It doesn't carry. 2.4 Right, Chuck? 25 MR. FRASER: You need a majority. 0092 1 COMM. DEAR: So it doesn't carry 2 either way? 3 MR. FRASER: The motion to deny did 4 not carry. Nor is that an approval. 5 Rejection of a motion to deny is not an 6 approval. 7 COMM. GIANNOULIS: There was not an 8 actual motion to approve. 9 MR. FRASER: Not yet. 10 CHAIRMAN DAUS: I would like to, 11 with all due respect, make a motion. 12 I would like to make a motion that 13 all of the items on item four be approved, with the caveat that the following bases, 14 which include bases that Commissioner Arout 15 16 had referenced, submit their business plan and 17 that we send enforcement to visit each of 18 those bases immediately: 19 Dependable Brothers, Ivoire Car 20 Service, La Nueva, New Enricos, North Shore, 21 Skyway and Alpha. Of those bases, I recommend 22 all of them and the rest of the list be 23 approved, but the ones that I just singled out, that we immediately send enforcement to 25 them, we immediately require that they have a 0093

```
1
    business plan.
             And those are bases, for clarity,
 3
     that all have one or more convictions for
     dispatching unlicensed vehicles, which is a
 5
     danger to the public and we need to do
 6
     something about it. I also couple that motion
 7
     to say that the staff should work to come up
 8
     with a plan and a time line to come forward
 9
     with base licensing recommendations that
10
     Commissioners, and all of us, have deemed a
11
    priority.
12
             I would like to make that motion.
13
             COMM. AROUT: Before you make it, Mr.
14
     Chairman?
15
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
                              Yes.
16
                            I would appreciate if
             COMM. AROUT:
17
     you put in Fox Hill Car Service to that,
18
    please.
19
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
                              Where are they? I
20
     don't think they are on this agenda.
21
             COMM. AROUT:
                            I thought I had them
22
     in here.
23
             COMM. POLANCO:
                              Can you repeat again
24
     the bases, Commissioner?
25
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
                              Yes.
0094
1
             Dependable Brothers, Ivoire Car
 2
     Service --
 3
             COMM. AROUT:
                            I apologize. It is
 4
     North Shore Car Service.
 5
             CHAIRMAN DAUS: Yes, I had mentioned
 6
     that one.
 7
              -- La Nueva, New Enricos, North
8
     Shore, Skyway and Alpha.
9
             Now I am recommending that those
10
     bases be visited by enforcement, that they
11
     immediately submit business plans as to how
12
     they are going to stop the one or more -- with
13
     all due respect, some have a couple, and
14
     others have a lot of convictions for
15
     unlicensed activity. And we bring this
16
    practice to an end once and for all.
17
             But I do, consistent with our prior
18
     actions on the Commission, recommend that we
19
     approve these bases, but that we immediately
2.0
    have enforcement and licensing do their due
21
     diligence to get things done.
22
             Do I have a second?
                           Second.
23
             COMM. AROUT:
             CHAIRMAN DAUS: All in favor?
24
25
             COMM. DEAR: So we are approving? I
0095
1
     don't understand the motion.
 2
             CHAIRMAN DAUS: The motion is to
 3
     approve all the bases that listed under item
     four. And as part of that motion, in
     addition, enforcement will visit the seven
```

bases that I mentioned by name, which all have 7 one or more convictions for unlicensed 8 activity. And we are immediately going to 9 make sure that each and every one of them 10 submit a business plan. 11 And also that staff comes up with a time line for bringing a concrete 12 recommendation to change our rules and 13 14 specifications to accomplish the goals of all 15 of us, which is to have clear standards for 16 bases to meet, that if they do get a certain 17 amount of convictions and do do things wrong, 18 that over a period of time they will have 19 their licenses suspended and/or revoked. 2.0 COMM. GONZALEZ: Did we read into 21 the public record the names of all the bases 22 here? Because I don't think we did. 23 CHAIRMAN DAUS: I can read all of 24 the bases, if you want. 25 COMM. GONZALEZ: Because I don't 0096 1 think we did. 2 CHAIRMAN DAUS: We will do that. 3 These are the bases recommended for 4 approval: ABC International, Big R, Bay 5 Express, Cooperativa, Dependable, Ivoire, La 6 Nueva, Mex Express, New Enricos, North Shore, 7 Plaza Executive, Skyway, Unicar, Allen Car, 8 Alpha Trans, All American, DMD, St. Albans and 9 Adams Car Service. 10 And the ones that I believe 11 enforcement should visit and that they should 12 have a business plan all have one or more 13 convictions for unlicensed activity. That 14 includes: Dependable Brothers, Ivoire Car 15 Service, La Nueva Car Service, New Enricos Car 16 Service, North Shore Car and Limo Corp., Skyway Car Service and Alpha Trans. 17 18 And there was a motion and there was 19 a second. Any other discussion on the motion 20 or can we take a poll? COMM. DEAR: Yes. Are we going to 21 22 come back -- how fast are we coming back with 23 some sort of regulation. 24 CHAIRMAN DAUS: By the next meeting, 25 I am going to ask staff to come up with at 0097 1 least a time line, or before the next meeting 2 I will try to get --3 COMM. DEAR: And when does the time 4 period for the first round of cars that we are 5 going through end? 6 MS. MEYERS: As Chuck mentioned, we 7 did start this process in January '05, but the 8 business plan process --COMM. DEAR: 9 No. I am talking about 10 the first waive of approval so that we can go

11 through the second one. 12 MS. MEYERS: That's what I am 13 referencing. 14 CHAIRMAN DAUS: You know what I 15 think will be a good idea, that that motion 16 include a report from staff to the 17 Commissioners on what he is requesting and 18 what we intend to do. 19 COMM. DEAR: Just tell me, could you 20 answer? 21 MR. SALKIN: The question is a 22 little hard, it doesn't make sense. Because 23 what happened is the first time we started 24 doing this with the Commission, there was a 25 lot of back and forth with staff, there was a 0098 lot of "Give these bases a second chance." So 1 2 by the time we came up with a solid policy, it 3 was more into the spring, April, May. 4 So what we will do is we will look to 5 see when we have those business plans that we 6 can begin to hold people accountable to. And 7 the good news is we are caught up now so we 8 are not six months behind, eight months 9 behind. As the May bases come up, we are 10 reviewing May bases. So we will be doing this 11 very quickly and on time. For example, when 12 COMM. DEAR: 13 Dependable comes up again, whenever it has to 14 renew, it is two years now, and it's still 15 doing the same thing, what happens then? 16 MR. SALKIN: The idea is we will have 17 rules in place so that the by the time 18 Dependable comes up for renewal, they will 19 basically know that they have been following 20 the rules or they will know that they have not 21 been following the rules. And they will know 22 that if they have not been following the 23 rules, they know the penalties associated with 24 that, which if they are egregious enough and 25 clear, it will probably be revocation -- or 0099 1 actually, in this case, not renewal. 2 COMM. DEAR: And I just want to add, 3 when you do something, we should also look 4 into regulations as to how a car service 5 operates, like the questions I raised with 6 Adams. We shouldn't be approving car services 7 that are a front for something else. Just, 8 remember, every time you go on the Brooklyn 9 Bridge, you see Ari Havelstat (ph). That kid 10 was killed by a licensed base station by the 11 TLC. That's what I want you to know. 12 CHAIRMAN DAUS: I think, 13 Commissioner Arout, if it is okay with you, I 14 would like to add to that motion that you 15 seconded what Commissioner Dear has brought

up. I think some of the concerns that he 16 17 raised about Adams is something we should look 18 at. Whether we have the ability even legally to change some of those things. 19 20 And that's the question of: Do we 21 allow part-time bases that are travel agencies 22 during the day and at nighttime run a couple 2.3 of cars. 2.4 COMM. DEAR: No matter what they 25 are. 0100 1 CHAIRMAN DAUS: Signage issues. 2 Should bases be required to have signage on 3 the street, to kind of address all --4 MR. SALKIN: Can I request that 5 maybe the motion be restated? Because I would 6 say the requests that you are making to the 7 staff is getting a little convoluted. 8 CHAIRMAN DAUS: I think it's pretty 9 clear, Andy. We want a report --10 MR. SALKIN: You want a time line at 11 the next Commission meeting and you want us to 12 address these things that have been brought up at the Commission meetings. 13 CHAIRMAN DAUS: 14 We want a report 15 about what we think we can do and a time line. Okay. 16 MR. SALKIN: CHAIRMAN DAUS: 17 And, really, I leave 18 that to you guys to come up with. But some of the concerns that have 19 20 been raised is the issue with Adams Car 21 Service and the signage, the issue of how do 22 we hold bases accountable, consistent with 23 having clear and fair standards. And we kind 24 of leave it to the staff to come up with those recommendations, whether it is a consistent 25 0101 1 violator program, other type of -- we don't 2 want to interfere with the free flow of thought and your good sound recommendations. 3 4 But I think it's important that at this point 5 in time we are kind of reaching critical mass 6 with these things. 7 And I think it is important, because 8 I don't even know if we have the support to 9 get this motion across, quite frankly, because 10 everybody is a little bit angry, including 11 myself, without actually appending this to the 12 motion as well. I think we need to come up 13 with a plan. 14 MR. SALKIN: Can I ask a question to 15 general counsel? 16 Would these bases approval be 17 contingent on these other motions attached? 18 MR. FRASER: Well, only if that's 19 the way the motion is stated. I didn't 2.0 understand it to be stated that way, that the

```
approval of the bases, I wouldn't think, would
21
22
    be contingent.
23
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
                              For the sake of --
24
     instead of making four or five different
25
     motions, I would like to, if I think I have a
0102
1
     consensus, make all the motions at once.
 2.
             To approve the bases, number one.
 3
     The bases that I stated I think three times in
 4
     the record right now, maybe four times, that
 5
     have one or more convictions for unlicensed
 6
     activity, be given the immediate directive to
 7
     file a business plan. That Pansy and her
 8
     troops visit those bases immediately for
9
     appropriate enforcement to make sure that they
10
     are not endangering people's lives --
11
             MS. MEYERS:
                          Just to be clear, they
12
     already have submitted a business plan and
13
     enforcement has visited them all within the
14
    past two or three weeks.
15
             CHAIRMAN DAUS: I would like it to
16
    be done again.
17
             MS. MEYERS:
                           Okay.
18
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
                            And also that we
19
     approve all those bases, and that we also come
20
     up with a report or some type of time line or
21
     indication of what the staff believes that it
     is recommending that we do with the base
22
23
    process, or when it will be able to come up
24
     with some concrete plans.
25
             Do I have a second?
0103
                            Second.
1
             COMM. AROUT:
 2
             CHAIRMAN DAUS: All in favor?
 3
             (Chorus of "Ayes.")
 4
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
                              It's unanimous.
 5
     Okay, thank you.
 6
             Item five, a motion to close the
 7
    meeting.
 8
             COMM. DEAR:
                           Just for the record,
 9
     can I ask regarding car services, I don't know
10
     what you need. I know that Commissioner Arout
11
     gets some good attention. I love Pansy, she
12
     is doing a great job. I would like some
     attention in Brooklyn, the neighborhoods we
13
14
     are getting complaints where the illegals --
15
     straight plates I am talking about -- are
16
    picking up on a regular basis. When you call
17
     the car service, they send you straight
18
    plates.
19
             So I would like to see some real
20
     tough enforcement on that.
21
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
                              Okay, thank you.
22
             Andy, do you want to add anything?
23
             MR. SALKIN:
                          No.
24
             CHAIRMAN DAUS:
                              Okay, do I have a
25
    motion to close the meeting?
```

```
0104
            COMM. AROUT: I make a motion to
1
    close the meeting.
            CHAIRMAN DAUS: Okay, a second?
4
            COMM. POLANCO: Second.
5
            CHAIRMAN DAUS: All in favor?
6
            (Chorus of "Ayes.")
7
            CHAIRMAN DAUS: Okay, thank you.
8
   See you on March 8th.
9
            (Time noted: 11:30 a.m.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
2.4
25
0105
1
2
               CERTIFICATION
3
 4
5
               I, MARGARET EUSTACE, a Shorthand
 6
    Reporter and a Notary Public, do hereby
7
    certify that the foregoing is a true and
8
    accurate transcription of my stenographic
9
    notes.
               I further certify that I am not
10
11
    employed by nor related to any party to this
12
    action.
13
14
15
16
                                MARGARET EUSTACE,
17
                                Shorthand Reporter
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```