Note: The Office Adaptive Reuse report published (Dec 2022) on the website below represents the final findings of the task force. This PowerPoint is from a task force meeting leading up to that publication. It was for discussion purposes only and does not necessarily represent the views of the task force or the Department of City Planning. These slides have been modified minorly. <u>https://www.nyc.gov/site/planning/plans/office-reuse-task-force/office-reuse-task-force.page</u>

OFFICE ADAPTIVE REUSE TASK FORCE MEETING 3

19 October 2022





Task force members







Dan Garodnick Commissioner, CPC Director, DCP

Kim Darga Acting Deputy Commissioner, HPD



Wendy Wan Director of Architecture, DOB



Cecilia Kushner Chief Strategy Officer, EDC



James Colgate Partner, BCLP



Denis Johnston EVP, 32BJ



Basha Gerhards SVP, REBNY



Nicole LaRusso Senior Director of Research, CBRE



Wendi Shafran Principal, FXCollaborative Architects



Gary Rodney Head of Affordable Housing, Tishman Speyer



Cea Weaver Campaign Coordinator, Housing Justice for All



Perris Straughter Director of Land Use at the City Council







Achieve a common understanding of regulations governing adaptive reuse



Catalog potential regulatory changes for consideration



Establish a path forward and priority research areas







- 1. Welcome, introductions, task force reminders (10 mins)
- 2. Regulations governing office-to-residential conversion (55 min)
- 3. Regulations governing other types of office conversion (20 min)
- 4. Future sessions & priority research topics (5 min)





Scope

 Council-mandated task force to "study options and make recommendations for converting vacant or commercially unviable office space to other potential uses" (Local Law 43 - 2022)

Membership

- 12 members
- Supported by agency staff and consultant analysis

Duration

• Anticipated to end Dec 2022

Deliverable

Public report outlining recommendations







Sessions

20 July	 Kickoff and alignment on goals 	
22 Sep	Types of office buildings at riskPhysical considerations in conversion	
19 Oct	 Regulatory factors limiting reuse 	→ Legislative requirement (Local Law 43 – 2022):
16 Nov	 Financial feasibility of conversion Mixed-income housing viability Property tax impacts 	"Any zoning or other regulatory provisions that currently impede the conversion of commercial office buildings to other uses such as housing"
7 Dec	Recommendations	



Regulations governing office-to-residential conversion

- Existing regulations
- Potential eligibility criteria changes
- Potential program benefit changes

Existing regulations

A and







NYC has regulations for residential buildings (e.g., ZR 20-00)

Some of those standards are hard for an office-to-residential conversion to meet

Alternative standards exist to provide a feasible conversion pathway for some buildings (e.g., ZR 15-00)

Many office-to-residential conversions have used those alternative pathways

hard for office conversions **Current office** building example Too big for residential Max floor-area-ratio for standard residential is 10 No rear yard Need 30ft in standard zoning

Normal residential standards (ZR 20-00) are often



Path 1

C

Ž

 \mathbf{m}

FICE

Ш

Comply with standards set for a newly constructed residential building (ZR 20-00)

Path 2

Follow (lenient) zoning designed to allow older buildings to convert (ZR 15-00/MDL)

Other niche pathways*

Path A

Comply with standards set for a newly constructed residential building

Path B

Follow MDL non-zoning regulations. Limited to pre-1977 non-residential occupancy



Zoning

Code

* Path 3 – Follow (lenient) zoning for "non-conforming" uses to become "conforming" (ZR 52-31). Non-conforming = Uses that were established prior to modern zoning (or a zoning change), and are no longer permitted by the current district regulations Path 4 - Follow (lenient) zoning enabling pre-1962 buildings in C districts to convert full floor area (up to 12 FAR; ZR 34-222/35-31). Path 4 is similar to Path 2 but less lenient



Zoning Code



UILDING

 \mathbf{m}

DFFICE

OFFICE

Follow (lenient) zoning designed to allow older buildings to convert (ZR 15-00/MDL)*

Path B

Follow MDL non-zoning regulations. Limited to pre-1977 non-residential occupancy





Zoning

Flexibility afforded to eligible buildings:

- More flexible light, air, and yard requirements via MDL 277
- More flexibility around the location of residences within a building relative to other uses
- Ability to use <u>FAR that already exists</u> in the building regardless of the underlying zoning*

Code

Flexibility afforded to eligible buildings:

- Minor relief related to window sizes and egress
- Variance from DOB commissioner in limited cases**

* MDL 12 FAR limit applies except where buildings are built prior to the 1968 code (permit issued prior to Dec. 1969) ** See, for example, TPPN #9/93

Example: Light, air, and yard requirements less strict under ZR15/MDL









Building criteria required to access Path 2: (must meet all criteria)

- Located in diagram's blue area
- Built before 1962 (or 1977 in Financial District)*
- In a zoning district where residential is allowed





PLANNING

Building criteria to access Path B

Non-residential occupancy before 1977

* Or 1997 if located in a Special Mixed Use District.

** Manhattan Community Districts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 (except for Special Clinton District). Brooklyn Community Districts 1, 2, 6, and 8. Queens Community Districts 1 and 2. Any Special Mixed Use Districts. St. George, Downtown Jamaica Special, Coney Island Special Districts

Permissibility of office-to-residential conversion under zoning

Easiest

- = More permissible conversion zoning for pre-1977 buildings
 - = More permissible conversion zoning for pre-1962 buildings
 - = Most conversions must meet new residential building zoning standards
 - = Special permits or additional requirements to convert*
 - = No residential permitted (Most M-districts, parks, etc.)

Hardest

*Parts of Chinatown, Garment District M1-6D area, Flatiron, Special Hudson Square District Note: Additional conversion paths exist in MX districts and for non-complying or non-conforming buildings looking to become conforming and complying. The map does not reflect recent changes resulting from the SoHoNoHo rezoning.

In some red areas, residential may be allowed under special circumstances, for example under a New York State General Project Plan that overrides local zoning.





Potential regulatory changes



<u>Change the eligibility criteria</u> to the most permissive conversion regulations?

<u>Change the flexibility afforded</u> to eligible buildings?



Eligibility criteria changes

Allow more buildings access to permissive conversion regulations



- Existing 1961/1968/1977 cut-offs should become a single cut-off age that is less conservative
- Rolling basis approach (e.g., "45 years or older") as alternative to fixed-year approach (e.g., "1977")
- Some building age cutoff is important to ensure buildings are built in good faith
- $\circ~$ Don't add complexity or additional criteria
- $\circ~$ Any expansion of eligibility should include expansion of exemption from MDL 12 FAR cap
- 2. Consider expanding geographical applicability of ZR 15-00
- 3. Review Midtown M-districts that currently don't allow residential*



Building threshold analysis

Office space by decade built







Source NYCDCP analysis of <u>PLUTO</u>. Chart only includes "office area" located in the Article 1 Chapter 5 geography that is located in a zoning district that allows residential as-of-right. Only include buildings in the following <u>classes</u>: "O2" thru "O9" and "RB"/"RC"

Propensity for office-to-residential conversion increases with building age



Built 1900 to 1940



 $\approx 6\%$ of office buildings built 1900 to 1940 were converted to residential/hotel between 2010 and 2020

Built 1950 to 1980



 $\approx 2\%$ of office buildings built 1950 to 1980 were converted to residential/hotel between 2010 and 2020

Built after 1980



<1% of office buildings built after 1980 were converted to residential/hotel between 2010 and 2020

Source: DCP analysis of DOB permit data Note: Findings are shown in % of sqft. Similar results if you calculate in terms of absolute building. Findings are similar for office-to-hotel Physical, regulatory, and financial constraints mean few examples of post-1970 offices converting

Example where MDL/zoning was a clear conversion barrier

767 Third Ave 40-story office tower

- **Built 1980** (so not eligible for most permissible conversion zoning)
- 30% vacant
- Architecturally very suitable for residential conversion according to Gensler
- Zoning/MDL cited as one key barrier to conversion by building owner
- Owner pursuing office renovation instead





0	Building age thresholds		Cumulative eligible space for that threshold	Around 4% (7m sqft) of eligible office space	
Current zoning -{ regulation	At least 45 years old in FiDi. At least 61 elsewhere	Pre-1977 in FiDi Pre-1962 elsewhere	196 m	converted to residential between 2010 and 2020	





Table: Additional eligible space if the build year threshold was changed

Current		Building age thresholds		Cumulative eligible space for that threshold	Cumulative % increase vs. existing regulations
Current zoning regulation	{	At least 45 years old in FiDi. At least 61 elsewhere	Pre-1977 in FiDi Pre-1962 elsewhere	196 m	n/a
j	Γ	At least 45 years old	Pre-1977	255 m	+30%
Alternatives		At least 40 years old	Pre-1982	268 m	+37%
for	-	At least 35 years old	Pre-1987	300 m	+53%
consideration		At least 30 years old	Pre-1992	312 m	+59%
	L	At least 25 years old	Pre-1997	316 m	+62%



Source NYCDCP analysis of PLUTO. Chart only includes "office area" located in the Article 1 Chapter 5 geography that is located in a zoning district that allows residential as-PLANNING of-right. Only include buildings in the following <u>classes</u>: "O2" thru "O9" and "RB"/"RC"

Program changes

Increasing leniency of most lenient conversion regulations

What we have heard from practitioners so far:

- 1. Expand beyond standard housing to other types of accommodation*
- 2. Re-examine roof open-space requirements
- 3. Consider adding relief from parking requirements (applicable outside Manhattan/LIC)
- 4. Consider possible changes to light & air requirements in MDL
- 5. General clean-up of State MDL language to aid clarity of interpretation

= **Zoning** change required

= **MDL** change required

Regulations governing other types of office conversions



Reuse of entire building



Most common reuse

Office-to-middle school (75 Morton St)



Office-to-lab (345 Park Ave S)



Partial reuse

PLANNING



Office-to-daycare (40 Wall St - 2 floors)







Open call for other ideas

Office-to-hotel

• Extend flexibility offered to office-to-residential conversions to office-to-hotel conversions

Office-to-lab

Necessary rooftop mechanical equipment may not be able to fit within zoning allowances*

Office-to-daycare

• Childcare beyond the ground floor may require special FDNY/DOB/DOHMH safety approvals

Others?

Next steps



Sessions

	20 July	 Kickoff and alignment on goals
	22 Sep	Types of office buildings at riskPhysical considerations in conversion
	19 Oct	 Regulatory factors limiting reuse
Next session 📏	16 Nov	 Financial feasibility of conversion Property tax impacts Mixed-income housing viability
	7 Dec	Recommendations



Appendix

Detailed office building age and conversion rate analysis

Office space & converted office space (by decade office was originally constructed)









Large office buildings converted between 2010 and 2020 (by year originally built)





Source: DCP analysis of DOB permit data

Note: Findings are similar if you calculate in sqft terms (instead of number of buildings). Finds are similar if you isolate office-to-res or office-to-hotel
Regulations could be suppressing conversion of younger buildings but likely also architectural and financial factors



Conversion rate from office-to-residential/hotel (by decade office was originally constructed)



prest

Appendix

Article 1 Chapter 5 geographic applicability

Manhattan Community Districts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 (except for Special Clinton District).

Brooklyn Community Districts 1, 2, 6, and 8

Queens Community Districts 1 and 2.

St. George, Downtown Jamaica Special, Coney Island Special Districts

Any Special Mixed Use Districts.





Appendix

Summary of Existing Regulation in MDL 277 (7)(b)(i)

DRAFT – Illustrative purposes only

Distance between the window and lot line less strict under ZR15/MDL







MULTIPLE DWELLING LAW SECTION 277 paragraph (7)(b)(i)

Minimum light and air standards for joint living-work quarters for artists or general residential portions of 7. our topic lofts or manufacturing and commercial buildings altered to residential use shall comply with the following: (a) Portions of such buildings which are occupied exclusively as joint living-work quarters for artists as permit-9 ted by local law shall comply with the following: application (i) The minimum size of a joint living-work quarters for artists shall be twelve hundred square feet of interior space, except as otherwise authorized by the zoning resolution of the city of New York, for units occupied for residential purposes on or before January first, nineteen hundred eighty-five. Not (ii) Joint living-work guarters for artists shall conform to the standards for light and ventilation of sections C26-1205.0 through and including section C26-1205.7 of the administrative code of the city of New York. (b) Portions of such buildings which are occupied exclusively as residential units as permitted by local law shall comply with the following: (i) Every dwelling unit shall have one or more windows: A. which open onto a street, a court with a dimension of fifteen feet perpendicular to the windows and one hundred square feet minimum area above a setback or a thirty foot rear yard; or B. for corner lots or lots within one hundred feet of a corner, where the minimum horizontal distance between such windows opening onto a rear yard and the rear lot line is at least twenty feet; or C. for interior lots, where the minimum horizontal distance between such windows opening onto a rear yard and any wall opposite such windows on the same or another zoning lot is at least twenty feet and not less than a distance equal to one-third of the total height of such wall above the sill height of such windows; but need not exceed forty feet; or

D for interior lots where the minimum horizontal distance between such windows opening onto a rear yard and any wall opposite such windows on the same or another zoning lot is at least fifteen feet and the minimum size of such dwelling unit is twelve hundred square feet; or

in no event shall the distance between such windows and the rear lot line be less than five feet; and

PLANNING

yards and courts may be existing or may be new in buildings seven stories or less in height.

(7)(b)(i) Every dwelling unit shall have one or more windows

ZONING RESOLUTION How is it different in ZR?

There is no window size/quantity requirements in ZR, but BC.



PLANNING

provider

(7)(b)(i) Every dwelling unit shall have one or more windows

A. which open onto a street, a court with a dimension of fifteen feet perpendicular to the windows and one hundred square feet minimum area above a setback or a thirty foot rear yard; or



ZONING RESOLUTION How is it different in ZR?

ZR generally requires legal windows along

- a street
- at least 1200sf court, with no dimensions less than 30'
- 30' rear yard*
- * (ZR provide a relief for shallow lots less than 90' in depth)





from the

B. for corner lots or lots within one hundred feet of a corner, where the minimum horizontal distance between such windows opening onto a rear yard and the rear lot line is at least twenty feet; or



ZONING RESOLUTION How is it different in ZR?

ZR requires 30' *

Floor plan

 * (ZR provide a relief for shallow lots less than 90' in depth)



for a series of the series of

PLANNING

C. for interior lots, where the minimum horizontal distance between such windows opening onto a rear yard and any wall opposite such windows on the same or another zoning lot is at least twenty feet and not less than a distance equal to one-third of the total height of such wall above the sill height of such windows; but need not exceed forty feet; or



Section



ZONING RESOLUTION How is it different in ZR?

ZR generally requires a 30' rear yards*. - if two or more building on the same zoning lot, generally a 60' distance (rear yard equivalent or minimum distance between buildings) is required. - if wall on another zoning lot, then standard rear yard rules apply.

* (ZR provide a relief for shallow lots less than 90' in depth)

46

port 1

D. for interior lots where the minimum horizontal distance between such windows opening onto a rear yard and any wall opposite such windows on the same or another zoning lot is at least fifteen feet and the minimum size of such dwelling unit is twelve hundred square feet; or





ZONING RESOLUTION How is it different in ZR?

A standard rear yard requirements (generally 30').



port

E. in no event shall the distance between such windows and the rear lot line be less than five feet; and







A standard rear yard requirements (generally 30').



por fr