

Decennial Census Data

2020 and 2010

For New York City's
59 Community Districts

September 2021

NYC
PLANNING




Table of Contents

The Bronx.....	1
Brooklyn.....	15
Manhattan	35
Queens.....	49
Staten Island	65

The Bronx

New York City

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	8,175,133	100.00	8,804,190	100.00	629,057	7.7	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	1,768,111	21.6	1,740,142	19.8	-27,969	-1.6	-1.8
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	8,175,133	100.0	8,804,190	100.0	629,057	7.7	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	2,336,076	28.6	2,490,350	28.3	154,274	6.6	-0.3
White non-Hispanic	2,722,904	33.3	2,719,856	30.9	-3,048	-0.1	-2.4
Black non-Hispanic	1,861,295	22.8	1,776,891	20.2	-84,404	-4.5	-2.6
Asian non-Hispanic	1,028,119	12.6	1,373,502	15.6	345,383	33.6	3.0
Some other race, non-Hispanic	78,063	1.0	143,632	1.6	65,569	84.0	0.6
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	148,676	1.8	299,959	3.4	151,283	101.8	1.6
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	3,371,062	100.0	3,618,635	100.0	247,573	7.3	0.0
Occupied housing units	3,109,784	92.2	3,370,448	93.1	260,664	8.4	0.9
Vacant housing units	261,278	7.8	248,187	6.9	-13,091	-5.0	-0.9

The Bronx

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	1,385,108	100.00	1,472,654	100.00	87,546	6.3	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	368,196	26.6	349,579	23.7	-18,617	-5.1	-2.9
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	1,385,108	100.0	1,472,654	100.0	87,546	6.3	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	741,413	53.5	806,463	54.8	65,050	8.8	1.3
White non-Hispanic	151,209	10.9	130,796	8.9	-20,413	-13.5	-2.0
Black non-Hispanic	416,695	30.1	419,393	28.5	2,698	0.6	-1.6
Asian non-Hispanic	47,335	3.4	67,766	4.6	20,431	43.2	1.2
Some other race, non-Hispanic	12,494	0.9	19,866	1.3	7,372	59.0	0.4
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	15,962	1.2	28,370	1.9	12,408	77.7	0.7
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	511,896	100.0	547,030	100.0	35,134	6.9	0.0
Occupied housing units	483,449	94.4	522,450	95.5	39,001	8.1	1.1
Vacant housing units	28,447	5.6	24,580	4.5	-3,867	-13.6	-1.1

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Bronx Community District 1

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	91,497	100.00	100,929	100.00	9,432	10.3	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	27,904	30.5	27,218	27	-686	-2.5	-3.5
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	91,497	100.0	100,929	100.0	9,432	10.3	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	64,887	70.9	67,216	66.6	2,329	3.6	-4.3
White non-Hispanic	1,428	1.6	2,556	2.5	1,128	79.0	0.9
Black non-Hispanic	23,680	25.9	27,765	27.5	4,085	17.3	1.6
Asian non-Hispanic	525	0.6	909	0.9	384	73.1	0.3
Some other race, non-Hispanic	410	0.4	936	0.9	526	128.3	0.5
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	567	0.6	1,547	1.5	980	172.8	0.9
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	31,623	100.0	36,896	100.0	5,273	16.7	0.0
Occupied housing units	29,900	94.6	35,495	96.2	5,595	18.7	1.6
Vacant housing units	1,723	5.4	1,401	3.8	-322	-18.7	-1.6

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Bronx Community District 2

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	52,246	100.00	54,454	100.00	2,208	4.2	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	15,787	30.2	14,652	26.9	-1,135	-7.2	-3.3
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	52,246	100.0	54,454	100.0	2,208	4.2	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	39,089	74.8	38,895	71.4	-194	-0.5	-3.4
White non-Hispanic	694	1.3	941	1.7	247	35.6	0.4
Black non-Hispanic	11,536	22.1	13,025	23.9	1,489	12.9	1.8
Asian non-Hispanic	331	0.6	383	0.7	52	15.7	0.1
Some other race, non-Hispanic	246	0.5	507	0.9	261	106.1	0.4
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	350	0.7	703	1.3	353	100.9	0.6
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	17,859	100.0	19,240	100.0	1,381	7.7	0.0
Occupied housing units	16,550	92.7	18,381	95.5	1,831	11.1	2.8
Vacant housing units	1,309	7.3	859	4.5	-450	-34.4	-2.8

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Bronx Community District 3

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	79,762	100.00	93,755	100.00	13,993	17.5	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	25,136	31.5	25,748	27.5	612	2.4	-4.0
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	79,762	100.0	93,755	100.0	13,993	17.5	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	46,013	57.7	52,646	56.2	6,633	14.4	-1.5
White non-Hispanic	918	1.2	1,538	1.6	620	67.5	0.4
Black non-Hispanic	31,387	39.4	36,599	39.0	5,212	16.6	-0.4
Asian non-Hispanic	358	0.4	518	0.6	160	44.7	0.2
Some other race, non-Hispanic	451	0.6	882	0.9	431	95.6	0.3
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	635	0.8	1,572	1.7	937	147.6	0.9
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	28,182	100.0	34,360	100.0	6,178	21.9	0.0
Occupied housing units	26,560	94.2	32,668	95.1	6,108	23.0	0.9
Vacant housing units	1,622	5.8	1,692	4.9	70	4.3	-0.9

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Bronx Community District 4

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	146,441	100.00	150,436	100.00	3,995	2.7	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	42,624	29.1	37,858	25.2	-4,766	-11.2	-3.9
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	146,441	100.0	150,436	100.0	3,995	2.7	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	92,338	63.1	97,804	65.0	5,466	5.9	1.9
White non-Hispanic	2,193	1.5	3,240	2.2	1,047	47.7	0.7
Black non-Hispanic	47,344	32.3	43,227	28.7	-4,117	-8.7	-3.6
Asian non-Hispanic	2,232	1.5	2,596	1.7	364	16.3	0.2
Some other race, non-Hispanic	952	0.7	1,460	1.0	508	53.4	0.3
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	1,382	0.9	2,109	1.4	727	52.6	0.5
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	51,652	100.0	54,022	100.0	2,370	4.6	0.0
Occupied housing units	49,285	95.4	52,006	96.3	2,721	5.5	0.9
Vacant housing units	2,367	4.6	2,016	3.7	-351	-14.8	-0.9

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Bronx Community District 5

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	128,200	100.00	132,584	100.00	4,384	3.4	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	38,328	29.9	34,523	26	-3,805	-9.9	-3.9
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	128,200	100.0	132,584	100.0	4,384	3.4	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	85,600	66.8	90,730	68.4	5,130	6.0	1.6
White non-Hispanic	1,791	1.4	1,991	1.5	200	11.2	0.1
Black non-Hispanic	36,795	28.7	34,598	26.1	-2,197	-6.0	-2.6
Asian non-Hispanic	1,902	1.5	1,888	1.4	-14	-0.7	-0.1
Some other race, non-Hispanic	991	0.8	1,536	1.2	545	55.0	0.4
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	1,121	0.9	1,841	1.4	720	64.2	0.5
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	43,460	100.0	45,814	100.0	2,354	5.4	0.0
Occupied housing units	40,946	94.2	44,332	96.8	3,386	8.3	2.6
Vacant housing units	2,514	5.8	1,482	3.2	-1,032	-41.1	-2.6

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Bronx Community District 6

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	83,268	100.00	89,216	100.00	5,948	7.1	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	25,466	30.6	23,194	26	-2,272	-8.9	-4.6
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	83,268	100.0	89,216	100.0	5,948	7.1	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	53,449	64.2	56,181	63.0	2,732	5.1	-1.2
White non-Hispanic	6,219	7.5	5,653	6.3	-566	-9.1	-1.2
Black non-Hispanic	21,548	25.9	23,765	26.6	2,217	10.3	0.7
Asian non-Hispanic	930	1.1	1,148	1.3	218	23.4	0.2
Some other race, non-Hispanic	447	0.5	936	1.0	489	109.4	0.5
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	675	0.8	1,533	1.7	858	127.1	0.9
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	29,291	100.0	32,821	100.0	3,530	12.1	0.0
Occupied housing units	27,455	93.7	30,906	94.2	3,451	12.6	0.5
Vacant housing units	1,836	6.3	1,915	5.8	79	4.3	-0.5

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Bronx Community District 7

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	139,286	100.00	146,813	100.00	7,527	5.4	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	38,098	27.4	34,554	23.5	-3,544	-9.3	-3.9
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	139,286	100.0	146,813	100.0	7,527	5.4	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	90,979	65.3	99,985	68.1	9,006	9.9	2.8
White non-Hispanic	10,023	7.2	8,260	5.6	-1,763	-17.6	-1.6
Black non-Hispanic	26,165	18.8	24,456	16.7	-1,709	-6.5	-2.1
Asian non-Hispanic	9,156	6.6	10,021	6.8	865	9.4	0.2
Some other race, non-Hispanic	1,293	0.9	1,948	1.3	655	50.7	0.4
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	1,670	1.2	2,143	1.5	473	28.3	0.3
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	50,161	100.0	53,180	100.0	3,019	6.0	0.0
Occupied housing units	47,564	94.8	50,996	95.9	3,432	7.2	1.1
Vacant housing units	2,597	5.2	2,184	4.1	-413	-15.9	-1.1

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Bronx Community District 8

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	101,731	100.00	106,924	100.00	5,193	5.1	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	20,522	20.2	20,729	19.4	207	1.0	-0.8
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	101,731	100.0	106,924	100.0	5,193	5.1	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	44,156	43.4	52,317	48.9	8,161	18.5	5.5
White non-Hispanic	39,190	38.5	34,097	31.9	-5,093	-13.0	-6.6
Black non-Hispanic	11,434	11.2	11,247	10.5	-187	-1.6	-0.7
Asian non-Hispanic	5,022	4.9	5,329	5.0	307	6.1	0.1
Some other race, non-Hispanic	564	0.6	1,279	1.2	715	126.8	0.6
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	1,365	1.3	2,655	2.5	1,290	94.5	1.2
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	44,164	100.0	45,144	100.0	980	2.2	0.0
Occupied housing units	41,335	93.6	42,569	94.3	1,234	3.0	0.7
Vacant housing units	2,829	6.4	2,575	5.7	-254	-9.0	-0.7

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Bronx Community District 9

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	172,298	100.00	188,249	100.00	15,951	9.3	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	45,780	26.6	45,395	24.1	-385	-0.8	-2.5
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	172,298	100.0	188,249	100.0	15,951	9.3	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	99,351	57.7	103,696	55.1	4,345	4.4	-2.6
White non-Hispanic	4,749	2.8	4,859	2.6	110	2.3	-0.2
Black non-Hispanic	53,070	30.8	50,351	26.7	-2,719	-5.1	-4.1
Asian non-Hispanic	9,866	5.7	21,305	11.3	11,439	115.9	5.6
Some other race, non-Hispanic	2,630	1.5	3,958	2.1	1,328	50.5	0.6
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,632	1.5	4,080	2.2	1,448	55.0	0.7
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	64,604	100.0	68,576	100.0	3,972	6.1	0.0
Occupied housing units	61,610	95.4	66,029	96.3	4,419	7.2	0.9
Vacant housing units	2,994	4.6	2,547	3.7	-447	-14.9	-0.9

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Bronx Community District 10

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	120,392	100.00	130,763	100.00	10,371	8.6	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	24,252	20.1	24,874	19	622	2.6	-1.1
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	120,392	100.0	130,763	100.0	10,371	8.6	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	44,065	36.6	53,710	41.1	9,645	21.9	4.5
White non-Hispanic	41,554	34.5	32,278	24.7	-9,276	-22.3	-9.8
Black non-Hispanic	26,713	22.2	31,215	23.9	4,502	16.9	1.7
Asian non-Hispanic	5,497	4.6	9,142	7.0	3,645	66.3	2.4
Some other race, non-Hispanic	1,029	0.9	1,655	1.3	626	60.8	0.4
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	1,534	1.3	2,763	2.1	1,229	80.1	0.8
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	52,511	100.0	54,738	100.0	2,227	4.2	0.0
Occupied housing units	49,820	94.9	52,169	95.3	2,349	4.7	0.4
Vacant housing units	2,691	5.1	2,569	4.7	-122	-4.5	-0.4

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Bronx Community District 11

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	113,232	100.00	119,666	100.00	6,434	5.7	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	26,014	23	25,881	21.6	-133	-0.5	-1.4
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	113,232	100.0	119,666	100.0	6,434	5.7	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	47,838	42.2	53,788	44.9	5,950	12.4	2.7
White non-Hispanic	31,037	27.4	26,285	22.0	-4,752	-15.3	-5.4
Black non-Hispanic	22,981	20.3	23,567	19.7	586	2.5	-0.6
Asian non-Hispanic	8,671	7.7	11,154	9.3	2,483	28.6	1.6
Some other race, non-Hispanic	1,114	1.0	1,822	1.5	708	63.6	0.5
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	1,591	1.4	3,050	2.5	1,459	91.7	1.1
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	43,691	100.0	44,864	100.0	1,173	2.7	0.0
Occupied housing units	41,256	94.4	42,686	95.1	1,430	3.5	0.7
Vacant housing units	2,435	5.6	2,178	4.9	-257	-10.6	-0.7

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Bronx Community District 12

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	152,344	100.00	163,489	100.00	11,145	7.3	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	39,509	25.9	36,764	22.5	-2,745	-6.9	-3.4
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	152,344	100.0	163,489	100.0	11,145	7.3	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	34,910	22.9	44,168	27.0	9,258	26.5	4.1
White non-Hispanic	10,869	7.1	9,347	5.7	-1,522	-14.0	-1.4
Black non-Hispanic	99,083	65.0	98,995	60.6	-88	-0.1	-4.4
Asian non-Hispanic	2,872	1.9	3,440	2.1	568	19.8	0.2
Some other race, non-Hispanic	2,112	1.4	3,008	1.8	896	42.4	0.4
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,498	1.6	4,531	2.8	2,033	81.4	1.2
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	57,351	100.0	60,856	100.0	3,505	6.1	0.0
Occupied housing units	53,720	93.7	57,603	94.7	3,883	7.2	1.0
Vacant housing units	3,631	6.3	3,253	5.3	-378	-10.4	-1.0

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Brooklyn

New York City

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	8,175,133	100.00	8,804,190	100.00	629,057	7.7	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	1,768,111	21.6	1,740,142	19.8	-27,969	-1.6	-1.8
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	8,175,133	100.0	8,804,190	100.0	629,057	7.7	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	2,336,076	28.6	2,490,350	28.3	154,274	6.6	-0.3
White non-Hispanic	2,722,904	33.3	2,719,856	30.9	-3,048	-0.1	-2.4
Black non-Hispanic	1,861,295	22.8	1,776,891	20.2	-84,404	-4.5	-2.6
Asian non-Hispanic	1,028,119	12.6	1,373,502	15.6	345,383	33.6	3.0
Some other race, non-Hispanic	78,063	1.0	143,632	1.6	65,569	84.0	0.6
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	148,676	1.8	299,959	3.4	151,283	101.8	1.6
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	3,371,062	100.0	3,618,635	100.0	247,573	7.3	0.0
Occupied housing units	3,109,784	92.2	3,370,448	93.1	260,664	8.4	0.9
Vacant housing units	261,278	7.8	248,187	6.9	-13,091	-5.0	-0.9

Brooklyn

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	2,504,700	100.00	2,736,074	100.00	231,374	9.2	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	594,378	23.7	595,703	21.8	1,325	0.2	-1.9
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	2,504,700	100.0	2,736,074	100.0	231,374	9.2	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	496,285	19.8	516,426	18.9	20,141	4.1	-0.9
White non-Hispanic	893,306	35.7	968,427	35.4	75,121	8.4	-0.3
Black non-Hispanic	799,066	31.9	729,696	26.7	-69,370	-8.7	-5.2
Asian non-Hispanic	260,129	10.4	370,776	13.6	110,647	42.5	3.2
Some other race, non-Hispanic	15,904	0.6	37,579	1.4	21,675	136.3	0.8
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	40,010	1.6	113,170	4.1	73,160	182.9	2.5
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	1,000,293	100.0	1,077,654	100.0	77,361	7.7	0.0
Occupied housing units	916,856	91.7	1,009,804	93.7	92,948	10.1	2.0
Vacant housing units	83,437	8.3	67,850	6.3	-15,587	-18.7	-2.0

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Brooklyn Community District 1

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	173,083	100.00	204,125	100.00	31,042	17.9	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	41,264	23.8	44,725	21.9	3,461	8.4	-1.9
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	173,083	100.0	204,125	100.0	31,042	17.9	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	47,008	27.2	45,476	22.3	-1,532	-3.3	-4.9
White non-Hispanic	105,311	60.8	124,282	60.9	18,971	18.0	0.1
Black non-Hispanic	8,982	5.2	10,692	5.2	1,710	19.0	0.0
Asian non-Hispanic	8,711	5.0	13,631	6.7	4,920	56.5	1.7
Some other race, non-Hispanic	859	0.5	2,956	1.4	2,097	244.1	0.9
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,212	1.3	7,088	3.5	4,876	220.4	2.2
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	72,105	100.0	89,974	100.0	17,869	24.8	0.0
Occupied housing units	65,842	91.3	83,353	92.6	17,511	26.6	1.3
Vacant housing units	6,263	8.7	6,621	7.4	358	5.7	-1.3

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Brooklyn Community District 2

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	99,617	100.00	130,021	100.00	30,404	30.5	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	15,019	15.1	20,037	15.4	5,018	33.4	0.3
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	99,617	100.0	130,021	100.0	30,404	30.5	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	14,171	14.2	17,036	13.1	2,865	20.2	-1.1
White non-Hispanic	45,970	46.1	62,700	48.2	16,730	36.4	2.1
Black non-Hispanic	27,921	28.0	25,029	19.2	-2,892	-10.4	-8.8
Asian non-Hispanic	7,792	7.8	16,669	12.8	8,877	113.9	5.0
Some other race, non-Hispanic	633	0.6	1,380	1.1	747	118.0	0.5
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	3,130	3.1	7,207	5.5	4,077	130.3	2.4
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	50,753	100.0	66,998	100.0	16,245	32.0	0.0
Occupied housing units	45,322	89.3	61,221	91.4	15,899	35.1	2.1
Vacant housing units	5,431	10.7	5,777	8.6	346	6.4	-2.1

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Brooklyn Community District 3

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	152,985	100.00	174,960	100.00	21,975	14.4	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	39,096	25.6	36,035	20.6	-3,061	-7.8	-5.0
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	152,985	100.0	174,960	100.0	21,975	14.4	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	30,488	19.9	32,788	18.7	2,300	7.5	-1.2
White non-Hispanic	16,634	10.9	46,592	26.6	29,958	180.1	15.7
Black non-Hispanic	98,780	64.6	76,676	43.8	-22,104	-22.4	-20.8
Asian non-Hispanic	3,458	2.3	7,988	4.6	4,530	131.0	2.3
Some other race, non-Hispanic	986	0.6	2,542	1.5	1,556	157.8	0.9
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,639	1.7	8,374	4.8	5,735	217.3	3.1
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	65,614	100.0	72,904	100.0	7,290	11.1	0.0
Occupied housing units	58,239	88.8	67,225	92.2	8,986	15.4	3.4
Vacant housing units	7,375	11.2	5,679	7.8	-1,696	-23.0	-3.4

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Brooklyn Community District 4

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	112,634	100.00	120,747	100.00	8,113	7.2	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	28,650	25.4	20,348	16.9	-8,302	-29.0	-8.5
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	112,634	100.0	120,747	100.0	8,113	7.2	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	73,616	65.4	61,627	51.0	-11,989	-16.3	-14.4
White non-Hispanic	9,564	8.5	27,883	23.1	18,319	191.5	14.6
Black non-Hispanic	22,688	20.1	18,163	15.0	-4,525	-19.9	-5.1
Asian non-Hispanic	4,776	4.2	7,402	6.1	2,626	55.0	1.9
Some other race, non-Hispanic	821	0.7	1,584	1.3	763	92.9	0.6
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	1,169	1.0	4,088	3.4	2,919	249.7	2.4
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	39,992	100.0	47,292	100.0	7,300	18.3	0.0
Occupied housing units	36,507	91.3	44,036	93.1	7,529	20.6	1.8
Vacant housing units	3,485	8.7	3,256	6.9	-229	-6.6	-1.8

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Brooklyn Community District 5

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	182,896	100.00	200,293	100.00	17,397	9.5	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	51,903	28.4	48,268	24.1	-3,635	-7.0	-4.3
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	182,896	100.0	200,293	100.0	17,397	9.5	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	67,090	36.7	73,681	36.8	6,591	9.8	0.1
White non-Hispanic	6,237	3.4	6,341	3.2	104	1.7	-0.2
Black non-Hispanic	94,316	51.6	92,108	46.0	-2,208	-2.3	-5.6
Asian non-Hispanic	8,970	4.9	14,772	7.4	5,802	64.7	2.5
Some other race, non-Hispanic	3,378	1.8	4,469	2.2	1,091	32.3	0.4
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,905	1.6	8,922	4.5	6,017	207.1	2.9
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	65,612	100.0	72,381	100.0	6,769	10.3	0.0
Occupied housing units	60,390	92.0	68,174	94.2	7,784	12.9	2.2
Vacant housing units	5,222	8.0	4,207	5.8	-1,015	-19.4	-2.2

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Brooklyn Community District 6

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	104,709	100.00	113,933	100.00	9,224	8.8	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	19,181	18.3	23,278	20.4	4,097	21.4	2.1
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	104,709	100.0	113,933	100.0	9,224	8.8	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	19,042	18.2	18,136	15.9	-906	-4.8	-2.3
White non-Hispanic	65,691	62.7	69,749	61.2	4,058	6.2	-1.5
Black non-Hispanic	10,967	10.5	10,285	9.0	-682	-6.2	-1.5
Asian non-Hispanic	5,533	5.3	8,372	7.3	2,839	51.3	2.0
Some other race, non-Hispanic	590	0.6	967	0.8	377	63.9	0.2
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,886	2.8	6,424	5.6	3,538	122.6	2.8
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	50,268	100.0	53,365	100.0	3,097	6.2	0.0
Occupied housing units	47,188	93.9	49,623	93.0	2,435	5.2	-0.9
Vacant housing units	3,080	6.1	3,742	7.0	662	21.5	0.9

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Brooklyn Community District 7

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	126,230	100.00	133,230	100.00	7,000	5.5	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	28,316	22.4	30,146	22.6	1,830	6.5	0.2
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	126,230	100.0	133,230	100.0	7,000	5.5	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	57,375	45.5	52,310	39.3	-5,065	-8.8	-6.2
White non-Hispanic	29,464	23.3	31,915	24.0	2,451	8.3	0.7
Black non-Hispanic	3,688	2.9	4,209	3.2	521	14.1	0.3
Asian non-Hispanic	33,288	26.4	40,415	30.3	7,127	21.4	3.9
Some other race, non-Hispanic	645	0.5	1,120	0.8	475	73.6	0.3
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	1,770	1.4	3,261	2.4	1,491	84.2	1.0
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	42,506	100.0	45,683	100.0	3,177	7.5	0.0
Occupied housing units	40,043	94.2	43,079	94.3	3,036	7.6	0.1
Vacant housing units	2,463	5.8	2,604	5.7	141	5.7	-0.1

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Brooklyn Community District 8

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	96,317	100.00	108,259	100.00	11,942	12.4	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	20,724	21.5	18,521	17.1	-2,203	-10.6	-4.4
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	96,317	100.0	108,259	100.0	11,942	12.4	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	11,498	11.9	14,131	13.1	2,633	22.9	1.2
White non-Hispanic	16,056	16.7	31,077	28.7	15,021	93.6	12.0
Black non-Hispanic	63,111	65.5	49,705	45.9	-13,406	-21.2	-19.6
Asian non-Hispanic	2,712	2.8	5,451	5.0	2,739	101.0	2.2
Some other race, non-Hispanic	636	0.7	1,467	1.4	831	130.7	0.7
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,304	2.4	6,428	5.9	4,124	179.0	3.5
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	43,351	100.0	49,525	100.0	6,174	14.2	0.0
Occupied housing units	39,852	91.9	46,222	93.3	6,370	16.0	1.4
Vacant housing units	3,499	8.1	3,303	6.7	-196	-5.6	-1.4

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Brooklyn Community District 9

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	98,429	100.00	102,000	100.00	3,571	3.6	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	22,580	22.9	19,983	19.6	-2,597	-11.5	-3.3
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	98,429	100.0	102,000	100.0	3,571	3.6	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	9,157	9.3	10,478	10.3	1,321	14.4	1.0
White non-Hispanic	18,825	19.1	28,532	28.0	9,707	51.6	8.9
Black non-Hispanic	66,958	68.0	52,807	51.8	-14,151	-21.1	-16.2
Asian non-Hispanic	1,214	1.2	2,640	2.6	1,426	117.5	1.4
Some other race, non-Hispanic	604	0.6	2,279	2.2	1,675	277.3	1.6
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	1,671	1.7	5,264	5.2	3,593	215.0	3.5
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	38,710	100.0	41,694	100.0	2,984	7.7	0.0
Occupied housing units	36,831	95.1	39,338	94.3	2,507	6.8	-0.8
Vacant housing units	1,879	4.9	2,356	5.7	477	25.4	0.8

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Brooklyn Community District 10

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	124,491	100.00	136,071	100.00	11,580	9.3	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	24,276	19.5	27,530	20.2	3,254	13.4	0.7
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	124,491	100.0	136,071	100.0	11,580	9.3	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	17,868	14.4	23,233	17.1	5,365	30.0	2.7
White non-Hispanic	76,537	61.5	69,293	50.9	-7,244	-9.5	-10.6
Black non-Hispanic	1,757	1.4	2,356	1.7	599	34.1	0.3
Asian non-Hispanic	25,743	20.7	36,619	26.9	10,876	42.2	6.2
Some other race, non-Hispanic	449	0.4	1,039	0.8	590	131.4	0.4
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,137	1.7	3,531	2.6	1,394	65.2	0.9
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	57,750	100.0	55,768	100.0	-1,982	-3.4	0.0
Occupied housing units	51,035	88.4	52,460	94.1	1,425	2.8	5.7
Vacant housing units	6,715	11.6	3,308	5.9	-3,407	-50.7	-5.7

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Brooklyn Community District 11

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	181,981	100.00	198,870	100.00	16,889	9.3	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	36,804	20.2	42,380	21.3	5,576	15.2	1.1
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	181,981	100.0	198,870	100.0	16,889	9.3	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	24,239	13.3	31,489	15.8	7,250	29.9	2.5
White non-Hispanic	90,741	49.9	72,496	36.5	-18,245	-20.1	-13.4
Black non-Hispanic	1,362	0.7	2,353	1.2	991	72.8	0.5
Asian non-Hispanic	62,901	34.6	86,067	43.3	23,166	36.8	8.7
Some other race, non-Hispanic	577	0.3	1,081	0.5	504	87.3	0.2
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,161	1.2	5,384	2.7	3,223	149.1	1.5
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	72,420	100.0	71,074	100.0	-1,346	-1.9	0.0
Occupied housing units	64,973	89.7	67,236	94.6	2,263	3.5	4.9
Vacant housing units	7,447	10.3	3,838	5.4	-3,609	-48.5	-4.9

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Brooklyn Community District 12

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	191,382	100.00	205,377	100.00	13,995	7.3	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	65,329	34.1	71,693	34.9	6,364	9.7	0.8
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	191,382	100.0	205,377	100.0	13,995	7.3	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	24,854	13.0	25,170	12.3	316	1.3	-0.7
White non-Hispanic	125,152	65.4	121,295	59.1	-3,857	-3.1	-6.3
Black non-Hispanic	4,338	2.3	4,794	2.3	456	10.5	0.0
Asian non-Hispanic	33,856	17.7	42,293	20.6	8,437	24.9	2.9
Some other race, non-Hispanic	847	0.4	6,275	3.1	5,428	640.9	2.7
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,335	1.2	5,550	2.7	3,215	137.7	1.5
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	61,101	100.0	62,782	100.0	1,681	2.8	0.0
Occupied housing units	56,154	91.9	58,552	93.3	2,398	4.3	1.4
Vacant housing units	4,947	8.1	4,230	6.7	-717	-14.5	-1.4

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Brooklyn Community District 13

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	104,278	100.00	108,905	100.00	4,627	4.4	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	19,385	18.6	20,282	18.6	897	4.6	0.0
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	104,278	100.0	108,905	100.0	4,627	4.4	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	17,423	16.7	17,508	16.1	85	0.5	-0.6
White non-Hispanic	59,405	57.0	56,345	51.7	-3,060	-5.2	-5.3
Black non-Hispanic	13,401	12.9	13,045	12.0	-356	-2.7	-0.9
Asian non-Hispanic	12,294	11.8	17,929	16.5	5,635	45.8	4.7
Some other race, non-Hispanic	453	0.4	894	0.8	441	97.4	0.4
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	1,302	1.2	3,184	2.9	1,882	144.5	1.7
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	46,298	100.0	46,672	100.0	374	0.8	0.0
Occupied housing units	43,555	94.1	44,531	95.4	976	2.2	1.3
Vacant housing units	2,743	5.9	2,141	4.6	-602	-21.9	-1.3

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Brooklyn Community District 14

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	160,664	100.00	164,568	100.00	3,904	2.4	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	40,031	24.9	36,180	22	-3,851	-9.6	-2.9
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	160,664	100.0	164,568	100.0	3,904	2.4	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	25,165	15.7	24,726	15.0	-439	-1.7	-0.7
White non-Hispanic	59,590	37.1	61,736	37.5	2,146	3.6	0.4
Black non-Hispanic	56,948	35.4	46,445	28.2	-10,503	-18.4	-7.2
Asian non-Hispanic	15,147	9.4	21,317	13.0	6,170	40.7	3.6
Some other race, non-Hispanic	1,131	0.7	2,332	1.4	1,201	106.2	0.7
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,683	1.7	8,012	4.9	5,329	198.6	3.2
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	60,417	100.0	62,254	100.0	1,837	3.0	0.0
Occupied housing units	56,852	94.1	59,355	95.3	2,503	4.4	1.2
Vacant housing units	3,565	5.9	2,899	4.7	-666	-18.7	-1.2

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Brooklyn Community District 15

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	159,650	100.00	169,632	100.00	9,982	6.3	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	33,531	21	36,851	21.7	3,320	9.9	0.7
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	159,650	100.0	169,632	100.0	9,982	6.3	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	13,029	8.2	15,688	9.2	2,659	20.4	1.0
White non-Hispanic	114,721	71.9	105,734	62.3	-8,987	-7.8	-9.6
Black non-Hispanic	5,298	3.3	6,649	3.9	1,351	25.5	0.6
Asian non-Hispanic	24,107	15.1	34,358	20.3	10,251	42.5	5.2
Some other race, non-Hispanic	428	0.3	1,296	0.8	868	202.8	0.5
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,067	1.3	5,907	3.5	3,840	185.8	2.2
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	70,595	100.0	67,322	100.0	-3,273	-4.6	0.0
Occupied housing units	61,760	87.5	63,097	93.7	1,337	2.2	6.2
Vacant housing units	8,835	12.5	4,225	6.3	-4,610	-52.2	-6.2

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Brooklyn Community District 16

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	86,468	100.00	98,506	100.00	12,038	13.9	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	25,831	29.9	24,686	25.1	-1,145	-4.4	-4.8
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	86,468	100.0	98,506	100.0	12,038	13.9	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	17,369	20.1	21,709	22.0	4,340	25.0	1.9
White non-Hispanic	858	1.0	3,347	3.4	2,489	290.1	2.4
Black non-Hispanic	65,930	76.2	66,418	67.4	488	0.7	-8.8
Asian non-Hispanic	604	0.7	1,331	1.4	727	120.4	0.7
Some other race, non-Hispanic	583	0.7	1,145	1.2	562	96.4	0.5
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	1,124	1.3	4,556	4.6	3,432	305.3	3.3
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	32,969	100.0	36,951	100.0	3,982	12.1	0.0
Occupied housing units	30,629	92.9	34,999	94.7	4,370	14.3	1.8
Vacant housing units	2,340	7.1	1,952	5.3	-388	-16.6	-1.8

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Brooklyn Community District 17

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	155,252	100.00	162,446	100.00	7,194	4.6	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	35,611	22.9	31,612	19.5	-3,999	-11.2	-3.4
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	155,252	100.0	162,446	100.0	7,194	4.6	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	10,536	6.8	13,313	8.2	2,777	26.4	1.4
White non-Hispanic	2,204	1.4	5,837	3.6	3,633	164.8	2.2
Black non-Hispanic	137,243	88.4	127,289	78.4	-9,954	-7.3	-10.0
Asian non-Hispanic	1,734	1.1	3,036	1.9	1,302	75.1	0.8
Some other race, non-Hispanic	1,057	0.7	2,301	1.4	1,244	117.7	0.7
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,478	1.6	10,670	6.6	8,192	330.6	5.0
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	59,098	100.0	62,636	100.0	3,538	6.0	0.0
Occupied housing units	55,571	94.0	58,768	93.8	3,197	5.8	-0.2
Vacant housing units	3,527	6.0	3,868	6.2	341	9.7	0.2

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Brooklyn Community District 18

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	193,543	100.00	204,095	100.00	10,552	5.5	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	46,830	24.2	43,137	21.1	-3,693	-7.9	-3.1
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	193,543	100.0	204,095	100.0	10,552	5.5	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	16,347	8.4	17,919	8.8	1,572	9.6	0.4
White non-Hispanic	50,277	26.0	43,259	21.2	-7,018	-14.0	-4.8
Black non-Hispanic	115,368	59.6	120,661	59.1	5,293	4.6	-0.5
Asian non-Hispanic	7,287	3.8	10,486	5.1	3,199	43.9	1.3
Some other race, non-Hispanic	1,227	0.6	2,452	1.2	1,225	99.8	0.6
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	3,037	1.6	9,318	4.6	6,281	206.8	3.0
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	70,634	100.0	72,361	100.0	1,727	2.4	0.0
Occupied housing units	66,068	93.5	68,523	94.7	2,455	3.7	1.2
Vacant housing units	4,566	6.5	3,838	5.3	-728	-15.9	-1.2

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Manhattan

New York City

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	8,175,133	100.00	8,804,190	100.00	629,057	7.7	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	1,768,111	21.6	1,740,142	19.8	-27,969	-1.6	-1.8
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	8,175,133	100.0	8,804,190	100.0	629,057	7.7	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	2,336,076	28.6	2,490,350	28.3	154,274	6.6	-0.3
White non-Hispanic	2,722,904	33.3	2,719,856	30.9	-3,048	-0.1	-2.4
Black non-Hispanic	1,861,295	22.8	1,776,891	20.2	-84,404	-4.5	-2.6
Asian non-Hispanic	1,028,119	12.6	1,373,502	15.6	345,383	33.6	3.0
Some other race, non-Hispanic	78,063	1.0	143,632	1.6	65,569	84.0	0.6
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	148,676	1.8	299,959	3.4	151,283	101.8	1.6
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	3,371,062	100.0	3,618,635	100.0	247,573	7.3	0.0
Occupied housing units	3,109,784	92.2	3,370,448	93.1	260,664	8.4	0.9
Vacant housing units	261,278	7.8	248,187	6.9	-13,091	-5.0	-0.9

Manhattan

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	1,585,873	100.00	1,694,251	100.00	108,378	6.8	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	234,435	14.8	232,511	13.7	-1,924	-0.8	-1.1
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	1,585,873	100.0	1,694,251	100.0	108,378	6.8	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	403,577	25.4	402,640	23.8	-937	-0.2	-1.6
White non-Hispanic	761,493	48.0	793,294	46.8	31,801	4.2	-1.2
Black non-Hispanic	205,340	12.9	199,592	11.8	-5,748	-2.8	-1.1
Asian non-Hispanic	177,624	11.2	219,624	13.0	42,000	23.6	1.8
Some other race, non-Hispanic	7,882	0.5	16,112	1.0	8,230	104.4	0.5
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	29,957	1.9	62,989	3.7	33,032	110.3	1.8
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	847,090	100.0	913,926	100.0	66,836	7.9	0.0
Occupied housing units	763,846	90.2	817,782	89.5	53,936	7.1	-0.7
Vacant housing units	83,244	9.8	96,144	10.5	12,900	15.5	0.7

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Manhattan Community District 1

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	60,978	100.00	78,390	100.00	17,412	28.6	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	7,969	13.1	12,775	16.3	4,806	60.3	3.2
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	60,978	100.0	78,390	100.0	17,412	28.6	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	4,847	7.9	6,914	8.8	2,067	42.6	0.9
White non-Hispanic	40,810	66.9	49,307	62.9	8,497	20.8	-4.0
Black non-Hispanic	2,595	4.3	2,964	3.8	369	14.2	-0.5
Asian non-Hispanic	10,603	17.4	14,263	18.2	3,660	34.5	0.8
Some other race, non-Hispanic	343	0.6	735	0.9	392	114.3	0.3
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	1,780	2.9	4,207	5.4	2,427	136.3	2.5
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	34,139	100.0	41,977	100.0	7,838	23.0	0.0
Occupied housing units	29,554	86.6	36,457	86.8	6,903	23.4	0.2
Vacant housing units	4,585	13.4	5,520	13.2	935	20.4	-0.2

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Manhattan Community District 2

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	90,016	100.00	92,445	100.00	2,429	2.7	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	7,936	8.8	8,587	9.3	651	8.2	0.5
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	90,016	100.0	92,445	100.0	2,429	2.7	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	5,593	6.2	7,303	7.9	1,710	30.6	1.7
White non-Hispanic	67,769	75.3	65,968	71.4	-1,801	-2.7	-3.9
Black non-Hispanic	1,650	1.8	2,271	2.5	621	37.6	0.7
Asian non-Hispanic	12,493	13.9	11,922	12.9	-571	-4.6	-1.0
Some other race, non-Hispanic	401	0.4	828	0.9	427	106.5	0.5
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,110	2.3	4,153	4.5	2,043	96.8	2.2
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	56,211	100.0	58,418	100.0	2,207	3.9	0.0
Occupied housing units	50,759	90.3	50,806	87.0	47	0.1	-3.3
Vacant housing units	5,452	9.7	7,612	13.0	2,160	39.6	3.3

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Manhattan Community District 3

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	163,277	100.00	163,141	100.00	-136	-0.1	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	22,152	13.6	18,955	11.6	-3,197	-14.4	-2.0
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	163,277	100.0	163,141	100.0	-136	-0.1	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	40,194	24.6	38,668	23.7	-1,526	-3.8	-0.9
White non-Hispanic	52,898	32.4	55,048	33.7	2,150	4.1	1.3
Black non-Hispanic	11,294	6.9	12,776	7.8	1,482	13.1	0.9
Asian non-Hispanic	55,138	33.8	50,188	30.8	-4,950	-9.0	-3.0
Some other race, non-Hispanic	717	0.4	1,425	0.9	708	98.7	0.5
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	3,036	1.9	5,036	3.1	2,000	65.9	1.2
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	75,975	100.0	82,589	100.0	6,614	8.7	0.0
Occupied housing units	72,099	94.9	76,335	92.4	4,236	5.9	-2.5
Vacant housing units	3,876	5.1	6,254	7.6	2,378	61.4	2.5

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Manhattan Community District 4

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	103,245	100.00	131,351	100.00	28,106	27.2	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	8,712	8.4	11,444	8.7	2,732	31.4	0.3
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	103,245	100.0	131,351	100.0	28,106	27.2	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	18,259	17.7	23,249	17.7	4,990	27.3	0.0
White non-Hispanic	62,037	60.1	69,509	52.9	7,472	12.0	-7.2
Black non-Hispanic	6,403	6.2	9,075	6.9	2,672	41.7	0.7
Asian non-Hispanic	13,556	13.1	22,933	17.5	9,377	69.2	4.4
Some other race, non-Hispanic	610	0.6	1,258	1.0	648	106.2	0.4
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,380	2.3	5,327	4.1	2,947	123.8	1.8
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	69,598	100.0	84,357	100.0	14,759	21.2	0.0
Occupied housing units	61,059	87.7	75,001	88.9	13,942	22.8	1.2
Vacant housing units	8,539	12.3	9,356	11.1	817	9.6	-1.2

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Manhattan Community District 5

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	51,673	100.00	63,600	100.00	11,927	23.1	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	3,716	7.2	5,982	9.4	2,266	61	2.2
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	51,673	100.0	63,600	100.0	11,927	23.1	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	3,973	7.7	7,912	12.4	3,939	99.1	4.7
White non-Hispanic	34,962	67.7	35,750	56.2	788	2.3	-11.5
Black non-Hispanic	2,123	4.1	4,768	7.5	2,645	124.6	3.4
Asian non-Hispanic	9,329	18.1	12,123	19.1	2,794	29.9	1.0
Some other race, non-Hispanic	217	0.4	632	1.0	415	191.2	0.6
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	1,069	2.1	2,415	3.8	1,346	125.9	1.7
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	36,550	100.0	42,323	100.0	5,773	15.8	0.0
Occupied housing units	29,821	81.6	33,230	78.5	3,409	11.4	-3.1
Vacant housing units	6,729	18.4	9,093	21.5	2,364	35.1	3.1

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Manhattan Community District 6

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	142,745	100.00	155,614	100.00	12,869	9.0	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	11,017	7.7	14,281	9.2	3,264	29.6	1.5
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	142,745	100.0	155,614	100.0	12,869	9.0	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	10,830	7.6	13,779	8.9	2,949	27.2	1.3
White non-Hispanic	103,667	72.6	101,605	65.3	-2,062	-2.0	-7.3
Black non-Hispanic	4,934	3.5	5,424	3.5	490	9.9	0.0
Asian non-Hispanic	19,864	13.9	27,293	17.5	7,429	37.4	3.6
Some other race, non-Hispanic	654	0.5	1,474	0.9	820	125.4	0.4
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,796	2	6,039	3.9	3,243	116	1.9
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	92,067	100.0	99,371	100.0	7,304	7.9	0.0
Occupied housing units	82,128	89.2	87,186	87.7	5,058	6.2	-1.5
Vacant housing units	9,939	10.8	12,185	12.3	2,246	22.6	1.5

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Manhattan Community District 7

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	209,084	100.00	222,129	100.00	13,045	6.2	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	30,997	14.8	32,905	14.8	1,908	6.2	0.0
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	209,084	100.0	222,129	100.0	13,045	6.2	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	31,347	15.0	32,844	14.8	1,497	4.8	-0.2
White non-Hispanic	140,850	67.4	139,967	63.0	-883	-0.6	-4.4
Black non-Hispanic	15,834	7.6	14,946	6.7	-888	-5.6	-0.9
Asian non-Hispanic	15,933	7.6	22,826	10.3	6,893	43.3	2.7
Some other race, non-Hispanic	947	0.5	2,163	1.0	1,216	128.4	0.5
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	4,173	2.0	9,383	4.2	5,210	124.9	2.2
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	120,694	100.0	126,397	100.0	5,703	4.7	0.0
Occupied housing units	109,058	90.4	110,770	87.6	1,712	1.6	-2.8
Vacant housing units	11,636	9.6	15,627	12.4	3,991	34.3	2.8

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Manhattan Community District 8

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	219,920	100.00	231,983	100.00	12,063	5.5	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	30,678	13.9	33,594	14.5	2,916	9.5	0.6
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	219,920	100.0	231,983	100.0	12,063	5.5	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	15,563	7.1	18,517	8.0	2,954	19.0	0.9
White non-Hispanic	173,711	79.0	170,406	73.5	-3,305	-1.9	-5.5
Black non-Hispanic	7,098	3.2	6,257	2.7	-841	-11.8	-0.5
Asian non-Hispanic	18,847	8.6	26,536	11.4	7,689	40.8	2.8
Some other race, non-Hispanic	833	0.4	1,789	0.8	956	114.8	0.4
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	3,868	1.8	8,478	3.7	4,610	119.2	1.9
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	136,751	100.0	138,922	100.0	2,171	1.6	0.0
Occupied housing units	120,193	87.9	122,565	88.2	2,372	2.0	0.3
Vacant housing units	16,558	12.1	16,357	11.8	-201	-1.2	-0.3

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Manhattan Community District 9

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	110,193	100.00	110,458	100.00	265	0.2	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	19,170	17.4	15,110	13.7	-4,060	-21.2	-3.7
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	110,193	100.0	110,458	100.0	265	0.2	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	47,102	42.7	42,523	38.5	-4,579	-9.7	-4.2
White non-Hispanic	25,385	23.0	28,290	25.6	2,905	11.4	2.6
Black non-Hispanic	27,109	24.6	23,322	21.1	-3,787	-14.0	-3.5
Asian non-Hispanic	7,532	6.8	10,784	9.8	3,252	43.2	3.0
Some other race, non-Hispanic	711	0.6	1,308	1.2	597	84.0	0.6
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,354	2.1	4,231	3.8	1,877	79.7	1.7
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	42,973	100.0	44,900	100.0	1,927	4.5	0.0
Occupied housing units	39,856	92.7	41,325	92.0	1,469	3.7	-0.7
Vacant housing units	3,117	7.3	3,575	8.0	458	14.7	0.7

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Manhattan Community District 10

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	115,723	100.00	130,440	100.00	14,717	12.7	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	25,717	22.2	24,766	19	-951	-3.7	-3.2
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	115,723	100.0	130,440	100.0	14,717	12.7	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	25,692	22.2	30,904	23.7	5,212	20.3	1.5
White non-Hispanic	11,050	9.5	19,778	15.2	8,728	79.0	5.7
Black non-Hispanic	72,858	63.0	67,610	51.8	-5,248	-7.2	-11.2
Asian non-Hispanic	2,787	2.4	5,048	3.9	2,261	81.1	1.5
Some other race, non-Hispanic	764	0.7	1,492	1.1	728	95.3	0.4
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,572	2.2	5,608	4.3	3,036	118.0	2.1
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	55,513	100.0	61,629	100.0	6,116	11.0	0.0
Occupied housing units	49,670	89.5	57,720	93.7	8,050	16.2	4.2
Vacant housing units	5,843	10.5	3,909	6.3	-1,934	-33.1	-4.2

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Manhattan Community District 11

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	120,511	100.00	125,771	100.00	5,260	4.4	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	27,048	22.4	23,954	19	-3,094	-11.4	-3.4
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	120,511	100.0	125,771	100.0	5,260	4.4	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	59,290	49.2	56,743	45.1	-2,547	-4.3	-4.1
White non-Hispanic	14,442	12.0	18,865	15.0	4,423	30.6	3.0
Black non-Hispanic	37,590	31.2	35,311	28.1	-2,279	-6.1	-3.1
Asian non-Hispanic	6,654	5.5	9,825	7.8	3,171	47.7	2.3
Some other race, non-Hispanic	722	0.6	1,249	1.0	527	73.0	0.4
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	1,813	1.5	3,778	3.0	1,965	108.4	1.5
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	50,226	100.0	54,738	100.0	4,512	9.0	0.0
Occupied housing units	47,109	93.8	51,823	94.7	4,714	10.0	0.9
Vacant housing units	3,117	6.2	2,915	5.3	-202	-6.5	-0.9

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Manhattan Community District 12

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	190,020	100.00	180,206	100.00	-9,814	-5.2	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	37,307	19.6	28,290	15.7	-9,017	-24.2	-3.9
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	190,020	100.0	180,206	100.0	-9,814	-5.2	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	134,976	71.0	117,244	65.1	-17,732	-13.1	-5.9
White non-Hispanic	33,442	17.6	38,222	21.2	4,780	14.3	3.6
Black non-Hispanic	13,954	7.3	13,161	7.3	-793	-5.7	0.0
Asian non-Hispanic	4,794	2.5	5,751	3.2	957	20.0	0.7
Some other race, non-Hispanic	920	0.5	1,679	0.9	759	82.5	0.4
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	1,934	1.0	4,149	2.3	2,215	114.5	1.3
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	72,910	100.0	74,722	100.0	1,812	2.5	0.0
Occupied housing units	69,182	94.9	71,076	95.1	1,894	2.7	0.2
Vacant housing units	3,728	5.1	3,646	4.9	-82	-2.2	-0.2

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Queens

New York City

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	8,175,133	100.00	8,804,190	100.00	629,057	7.7	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	1,768,111	21.6	1,740,142	19.8	-27,969	-1.6	-1.8
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	8,175,133	100.0	8,804,190	100.0	629,057	7.7	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	2,336,076	28.6	2,490,350	28.3	154,274	6.6	-0.3
White non-Hispanic	2,722,904	33.3	2,719,856	30.9	-3,048	-0.1	-2.4
Black non-Hispanic	1,861,295	22.8	1,776,891	20.2	-84,404	-4.5	-2.6
Asian non-Hispanic	1,028,119	12.6	1,373,502	15.6	345,383	33.6	3.0
Some other race, non-Hispanic	78,063	1.0	143,632	1.6	65,569	84.0	0.6
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	148,676	1.8	299,959	3.4	151,283	101.8	1.6
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	3,371,062	100.0	3,618,635	100.0	247,573	7.3	0.0
Occupied housing units	3,109,784	92.2	3,370,448	93.1	260,664	8.4	0.9
Vacant housing units	261,278	7.8	248,187	6.9	-13,091	-5.0	-0.9

Queens

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	2,230,722	100.00	2,405,464	100.00	174,742	7.8	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	461,901	20.7	455,995	19	-5,906	-1.3	-1.7
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	2,230,722	100.0	2,405,464	100.0	174,742	7.8	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	613,750	27.5	667,861	27.8	54,111	8.8	0.3
White non-Hispanic	616,727	27.6	549,358	22.8	-67,369	-10.9	-4.8
Black non-Hispanic	395,881	17.7	381,375	15.9	-14,506	-3.7	-1.8
Asian non-Hispanic	508,334	22.8	656,583	27.3	148,249	29.2	4.5
Some other race, non-Hispanic	39,923	1.8	66,175	2.8	26,252	65.8	1.0
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	56,107	2.5	84,112	3.5	28,005	49.9	1.0
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	835,127	100.0	896,333	100.0	61,206	7.3	0.0
Occupied housing units	780,117	93.4	847,210	94.5	67,093	8.6	1.1
Vacant housing units	55,010	6.6	49,123	5.5	-5,887	-10.7	-1.1

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Queens Community District 1

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	191,105	100.00	196,803	100.00	5,698	3.0	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	29,857	15.6	27,312	13.9	-2,545	-8.5	-1.7
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	191,105	100.0	196,803	100.0	5,698	3.0	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	52,705	27.6	50,073	25.4	-2,632	-5.0	-2.2
White non-Hispanic	86,531	45.3	90,578	46.0	4,047	4.7	0.7
Black non-Hispanic	18,738	9.8	15,281	7.8	-3,457	-18.4	-2.0
Asian non-Hispanic	26,827	14.0	29,989	15.2	3,162	11.8	1.2
Some other race, non-Hispanic	2,542	1.3	3,037	1.5	495	19.5	0.2
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	3,762	2.0	7,845	4.0	4,083	108.5	2.0
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	83,019	100.0	90,547	100.0	7,528	9.1	0.0
Occupied housing units	76,633	92.3	85,697	94.6	9,064	11.8	2.3
Vacant housing units	6,386	7.7	4,850	5.4	-1,536	-24.1	-2.3

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Queens Community District 2

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	113,200	100.00	137,981	100.00	24,781	21.9	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	19,533	17.3	21,892	15.9	2,359	12.1	-1.4
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	113,200	100.0	137,981	100.0	24,781	21.9	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	39,207	34.6	40,659	29.5	1,452	3.7	-5.1
White non-Hispanic	32,470	28.7	39,290	28.5	6,820	21.0	-0.2
Black non-Hispanic	2,132	1.9	3,784	2.7	1,652	77.5	0.8
Asian non-Hispanic	36,239	32.0	48,826	35.4	12,587	34.7	3.4
Some other race, non-Hispanic	782	0.7	1,563	1.1	781	99.9	0.4
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,370	2.1	3,859	2.8	1,489	62.8	0.7
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	47,525	100.0	60,766	100.0	13,241	27.9	0.0
Occupied housing units	43,352	91.2	56,413	92.8	13,061	30.1	1.6
Vacant housing units	4,173	8.8	4,353	7.2	180	4.3	-1.6

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Queens Community District 3

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	171,576	100.00	179,134	100.00	7,558	4.4	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	37,739	22	37,590	21	-149	-0.4	-1.0
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	171,576	100.0	179,134	100.0	7,558	4.4	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	110,108	64.2	113,447	63.3	3,339	3.0	-0.9
White non-Hispanic	20,334	11.9	17,643	9.8	-2,691	-13.2	-2.1
Black non-Hispanic	10,683	6.2	8,690	4.9	-1,993	-18.7	-1.3
Asian non-Hispanic	26,996	15.7	34,456	19.2	7,460	27.6	3.5
Some other race, non-Hispanic	1,246	0.7	2,210	1.2	964	77.4	0.5
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,209	1.3	2,688	1.5	479	21.7	0.2
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	56,181	100.0	59,119	100.0	2,938	5.2	0.0
Occupied housing units	52,404	93.3	56,025	94.8	3,621	6.9	1.5
Vacant housing units	3,777	6.7	3,094	5.2	-683	-18.1	-1.5

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Queens Community District 4

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	172,598	100.00	181,025	100.00	8,427	4.9	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	37,711	21.8	38,213	21.1	502	1.3	-0.7
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	172,598	100.0	181,025	100.0	8,427	4.9	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	90,301	52.3	93,972	51.9	3,671	4.1	-0.4
White non-Hispanic	12,343	7.2	9,329	5.2	-3,014	-24.4	-2.0
Black non-Hispanic	9,082	5.3	7,679	4.2	-1,403	-15.4	-1.1
Asian non-Hispanic	57,248	33.2	65,963	36.4	8,715	15.2	3.2
Some other race, non-Hispanic	1,090	0.6	1,692	0.9	602	55.2	0.3
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,534	1.5	2,390	1.3	-144	-5.7	-0.2
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	54,613	100.0	57,748	100.0	3,135	5.7	0.0
Occupied housing units	51,562	94.4	55,202	95.6	3,640	7.1	1.2
Vacant housing units	3,051	5.6	2,546	4.4	-505	-16.6	-1.2

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Queens Community District 5

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	169,190	100.00	178,178	100.00	8,988	5.3	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	36,481	21.6	33,591	18.9	-2,890	-7.9	-2.7
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	169,190	100.0	178,178	100.0	8,988	5.3	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	58,650	34.7	64,221	36.0	5,571	9.5	1.3
White non-Hispanic	92,814	54.9	85,642	48.1	-7,172	-7.7	-6.8
Black non-Hispanic	2,335	1.4	3,884	2.2	1,549	66.3	0.8
Asian non-Hispanic	13,026	7.7	19,241	10.8	6,215	47.7	3.1
Some other race, non-Hispanic	737	0.4	1,658	0.9	921	125.0	0.5
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	1,628	1.0	3,532	2.0	1,904	117.0	1.0
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	65,818	100.0	69,416	100.0	3,598	5.5	0.0
Occupied housing units	61,762	93.8	65,075	93.7	3,313	5.4	-0.1
Vacant housing units	4,056	6.2	4,341	6.3	285	7.0	0.1

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Queens Community District 6

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	113,257	100.00	120,955	100.00	7,698	6.8	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	17,890	15.8	20,995	17.4	3,105	17.4	1.6
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	113,257	100.0	120,955	100.0	7,698	6.8	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	15,436	13.6	19,946	16.5	4,510	29.2	2.9
White non-Hispanic	62,651	55.3	53,244	44.0	-9,407	-15.0	-11.3
Black non-Hispanic	2,823	2.5	3,490	2.9	667	23.6	0.4
Asian non-Hispanic	29,309	25.9	37,288	30.8	7,979	27.2	4.9
Some other race, non-Hispanic	633	0.6	1,637	1.4	1,004	158.6	0.8
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	2,405	2.1	5,350	4.4	2,945	122.5	2.3
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	55,250	100.0	56,695	100.0	1,445	2.6	0.0
Occupied housing units	52,195	94.5	53,485	94.3	1,290	2.5	-0.2
Vacant housing units	3,055	5.5	3,210	5.7	155	5.1	0.2

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Queens Community District 7

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	247,354	100.00	269,587	100.00	22,233	9.0	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	42,667	17.2	45,543	16.9	2,876	6.7	-0.3
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	247,354	100.0	269,587	100.0	22,233	9.0	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	41,164	16.6	48,845	18.1	7,681	18.7	1.5
White non-Hispanic	73,668	29.8	55,882	20.7	-17,786	-24.1	-9.1
Black non-Hispanic	5,512	2.2	5,412	2.0	-100	-1.8	-0.2
Asian non-Hispanic	122,012	49.3	154,404	57.3	32,392	26.5	8.0
Some other race, non-Hispanic	944	0.4	1,575	0.6	631	66.8	0.2
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	4,054	1.6	3,469	1.3	-585	-14.4	-0.3
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	95,475	100.0	102,836	100.0	7,361	7.7	0.0
Occupied housing units	89,245	93.5	97,059	94.4	7,814	8.8	0.9
Vacant housing units	6,230	6.5	5,777	5.6	-453	-7.3	-0.9

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Queens Community District 8

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	151,107	100.00	161,402	100.00	10,295	6.8	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	30,996	20.5	32,754	20.3	1,758	5.7	-0.2
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	151,107	100.0	161,402	100.0	10,295	6.8	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	25,621	17.0	29,486	18.3	3,865	15.1	1.3
White non-Hispanic	52,871	35.0	44,302	27.4	-8,569	-16.2	-7.6
Black non-Hispanic	19,107	12.6	18,682	11.6	-425	-2.2	-1.0
Asian non-Hispanic	47,039	31.1	60,413	37.4	13,374	28.4	6.3
Some other race, non-Hispanic	1,908	1.3	3,200	2.0	1,292	67.7	0.7
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	4,561	3.0	5,319	3.3	758	16.6	0.3
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	56,863	100.0	59,385	100.0	2,522	4.4	0.0
Occupied housing units	54,331	95.5	56,623	95.3	2,292	4.2	-0.2
Vacant housing units	2,532	4.5	2,762	4.7	230	9.1	0.2

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Queens Community District 9

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	143,317	100.00	152,839	100.00	9,522	6.6	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	33,974	23.7	31,566	20.7	-2,408	-7.1	-3.0
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	143,317	100.0	152,839	100.0	9,522	6.6	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	58,662	40.9	63,620	41.6	4,958	8.5	0.7
White non-Hispanic	28,029	19.6	23,074	15.1	-4,955	-17.7	-4.5
Black non-Hispanic	11,996	8.4	10,224	6.7	-1,772	-14.8	-1.7
Asian non-Hispanic	31,222	21.8	38,802	25.4	7,580	24.3	3.6
Some other race, non-Hispanic	6,846	4.8	9,768	6.4	2,922	42.7	1.6
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	6,562	4.6	7,351	4.8	789	12	0.2
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	47,999	100.0	50,877	100.0	2,878	6.0	0.0
Occupied housing units	44,810	93.4	48,117	94.6	3,307	7.4	1.2
Vacant housing units	3,189	6.6	2,760	5.4	-429	-13.5	-1.2

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Queens Community District 10

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	122,396	100.00	129,411	100.00	7,015	5.7	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	27,440	22.4	24,525	19	-2,915	-10.6	-3.4
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	122,396	100.0	129,411	100.0	7,015	5.7	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	28,295	23.1	30,587	23.6	2,292	8.1	0.5
White non-Hispanic	31,712	25.9	25,678	19.8	-6,034	-19.0	-6.1
Black non-Hispanic	20,990	17.1	17,971	13.9	-3,019	-14.4	-3.2
Asian non-Hispanic	22,199	18.1	27,838	21.5	5,639	25.4	3.4
Some other race, non-Hispanic	9,966	8.1	16,205	12.5	6,239	62.6	4.4
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	9,234	7.5	11,132	8.6	1,898	20.6	1.1
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	41,391	100.0	43,252	100.0	1,861	4.5	0.0
Occupied housing units	39,050	94.3	41,213	95.3	2,163	5.5	1.0
Vacant housing units	2,341	5.7	2,039	4.7	-302	-12.9	-1.0

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Queens Community District 11

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	116,431	100.00	122,211	100.00	5,780	5.0	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	22,091	19	21,612	17.7	-479	-2.2	-1.3
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	116,431	100.0	122,211	100.0	5,780	5.0	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	11,676	10.0	14,460	11.8	2,784	23.8	1.8
White non-Hispanic	54,690	47.0	41,967	34.3	-12,723	-23.3	-12.7
Black non-Hispanic	2,400	2.1	2,641	2.2	241	10.0	0.1
Asian non-Hispanic	45,763	39.3	59,980	49.1	14,217	31.1	9.8
Some other race, non-Hispanic	344	0.3	779	0.6	435	126.5	0.3
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	1,558	1.3	2,384	2.0	826	53.0	0.7
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	45,688	100.0	47,357	100.0	1,669	3.7	0.0
Occupied housing units	43,647	95.5	45,204	95.5	1,557	3.6	0.0
Vacant housing units	2,041	4.5	2,153	4.5	112	5.5	0.0

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Queens Community District 12

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	225,919	100.00	256,278	100.00	30,359	13.4	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	54,509	24.1	54,033	21.1	-476	-0.9	-3.0
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	225,919	100.0	256,278	100.0	30,359	13.4	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	39,126	17.3	46,287	18.1	7,161	18.3	0.8
White non-Hispanic	3,813	1.7	4,607	1.8	794	20.8	0.1
Black non-Hispanic	147,550	65.3	138,219	53.9	-9,331	-6.3	-11.4
Asian non-Hispanic	20,155	8.9	38,934	15.2	18,779	93.2	6.3
Some other race, non-Hispanic	7,694	3.4	13,450	5.2	5,756	74.8	1.8
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	7,581	3.4	14,781	5.8	7,200	95.0	2.4
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	76,426	100.0	84,092	100.0	7,666	10.0	0.0
Occupied housing units	70,647	92.4	79,827	94.9	9,180	13.0	2.5
Vacant housing units	5,779	7.6	4,265	5.1	-1,514	-26.2	-2.5

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Queens Community District 13

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	188,593	100.00	199,218	100.00	10,625	5.6	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	40,595	21.5	35,861	18	-4,734	-11.7	-3.5
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	188,593	100.0	199,218	100.0	10,625	5.6	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	22,337	11.8	24,911	12.5	2,574	11.5	0.7
White non-Hispanic	24,619	13.1	18,192	9.1	-6,427	-26.1	-4.0
Black non-Hispanic	104,035	55.2	102,711	51.6	-1,324	-1.3	-3.6
Asian non-Hispanic	27,689	14.7	36,954	18.5	9,265	33.5	3.8
Some other race, non-Hispanic	4,229	2.2	7,057	3.5	2,828	66.9	1.3
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	5,684	3.0	9,393	4.7	3,709	65.3	1.7
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	63,216	100.0	66,259	100.0	3,043	4.8	0.0
Occupied housing units	59,662	94.4	63,211	95.4	3,549	5.9	1.0
Vacant housing units	3,554	5.6	3,048	4.6	-506	-14.2	-1.0

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Queens Community District 14

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	114,978	100.00	124,173	100.00	9,195	8.0	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	30,697	26.7	30,502	24.6	-195	-0.6	-2.1
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	114,978	100.0	124,173	100.0	9,195	8.0	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	24,102	21.0	28,592	23.0	4,490	18.6	2.0
White non-Hispanic	40,459	35.2	40,183	32.4	-276	-0.7	-2.8
Black non-Hispanic	44,663	38.8	44,867	36.1	204	0.5	-2.7
Asian non-Hispanic	2,555	2.2	3,553	2.9	998	39.1	0.7
Some other race, non-Hispanic	1,249	1.1	2,355	1.9	1,106	88.6	0.8
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	1,950	1.7	4,623	3.7	2,673	137.1	2.0
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	45,250	100.0	47,975	100.0	2,725	6.0	0.0
Occupied housing units	40,428	89.3	44,054	91.8	3,626	9.0	2.5
Vacant housing units	4,822	10.7	3,921	8.2	-901	-18.7	-2.5

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Staten Island

New York City

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	8,175,133	100.00	8,804,190	100.00	629,057	7.7	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	1,768,111	21.6	1,740,142	19.8	-27,969	-1.6	-1.8
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	8,175,133	100.0	8,804,190	100.0	629,057	7.7	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	2,336,076	28.6	2,490,350	28.3	154,274	6.6	-0.3
White non-Hispanic	2,722,904	33.3	2,719,856	30.9	-3,048	-0.1	-2.4
Black non-Hispanic	1,861,295	22.8	1,776,891	20.2	-84,404	-4.5	-2.6
Asian non-Hispanic	1,028,119	12.6	1,373,502	15.6	345,383	33.6	3.0
Some other race, non-Hispanic	78,063	1.0	143,632	1.6	65,569	84.0	0.6
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	148,676	1.8	299,959	3.4	151,283	101.8	1.6
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	3,371,062	100.0	3,618,635	100.0	247,573	7.3	0.0
Occupied housing units	3,109,784	92.2	3,370,448	93.1	260,664	8.4	0.9
Vacant housing units	261,278	7.8	248,187	6.9	-13,091	-5.0	-0.9

Staten Island

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	468,730	100.00	495,747	100.00	27,017	5.8	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	109,201	23.3	106,354	21.5	-2,847	-2.6	-1.8
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	468,730	100.0	495,747	100.0	27,017	5.8	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	81,051	17.3	96,960	19.6	15,909	19.6	2.3
White non-Hispanic	300,169	64.0	277,981	56.1	-22,188	-7.4	-7.9
Black non-Hispanic	44,313	9.5	46,835	9.4	2,522	5.7	-0.1
Asian non-Hispanic	34,697	7.4	58,753	11.9	24,056	69.3	4.5
Some other race, non-Hispanic	1,860	0.4	3,900	0.8	2,040	109.7	0.4
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	6,640	1.4	11,318	2.3	4,678	70.5	0.9
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	176,656	100.0	183,692	100.0	7,036	4.0	0.0
Occupied housing units	165,516	93.7	173,202	94.3	7,686	4.6	0.6
Vacant housing units	11,140	6.3	10,490	5.7	-650	-5.8	-0.6

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Staten Island Community District 1

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	175,756	100.00	189,663	100.00	13,907	7.9	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	44,951	25.6	44,216	23.3	-735	-1.6	-2.3
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	175,756	100.0	189,663	100.0	13,907	7.9	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	50,055	28.5	58,955	31.1	8,900	17.8	2.6
White non-Hispanic	69,525	39.6	62,560	33.0	-6,965	-10.0	-6.6
Black non-Hispanic	38,620	22.0	40,093	21.1	1,473	3.8	-0.9
Asian non-Hispanic	13,111	7.5	20,632	10.9	7,521	57.4	3.4
Some other race, non-Hispanic	986	0.6	1,995	1.1	1,009	102.3	0.5
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	3,459	2.0	5,428	2.9	1,969	56.9	0.9
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	65,422	100.0	69,066	100.0	3,644	5.6	0.0
Occupied housing units	60,652	92.7	64,940	94.0	4,288	7.1	1.3
Vacant housing units	4,770	7.3	4,126	6.0	-644	-13.5	-1.3

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Staten Island Community District 2

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	132,003	100.00	140,795	100.00	8,792	6.7	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	27,850	21.1	28,715	20.4	865	3.1	-0.7
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	132,003	100.0	140,795	100.0	8,792	6.7	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	17,428	13.2	20,181	14.3	2,753	15.8	1.1
White non-Hispanic	93,318	70.7	85,970	61.1	-7,348	-7.9	-9.6
Black non-Hispanic	3,951	3.0	4,901	3.5	950	24.0	0.5
Asian non-Hispanic	14,961	11.3	25,887	18.4	10,926	73.0	7.1
Some other race, non-Hispanic	518	0.4	1,052	0.7	534	103.1	0.3
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	1,827	1.4	2,804	2.0	977	53.5	0.6
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	50,319	100.0	51,599	100.0	1,280	2.5	0.0
Occupied housing units	47,387	94.2	48,690	94.4	1,303	2.7	0.2
Vacant housing units	2,932	5.8	2,909	5.6	-23	-0.8	-0.2

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.

Staten Island Community District 3

	2010		2020		Change, 2010-2020		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Percentage Point
AGE							
Total population	160,209	100.00	164,723	100.00	4,514	2.8	0.0
Total persons under 18 years	36,132	22.6	33,271	20.2	-2,861	-7.9	-2.4
MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE RACE / HISPANIC ORIGIN							
Total population	160,209	100.0	164,723	100.0	4,514	2.8	0.0
Hispanic/Latino (of any race)	13,401	8.4	17,659	10.7	4,258	31.8	2.3
White non-Hispanic	136,851	85.4	129,182	78.4	-7,669	-5.6	-7.0
Black non-Hispanic	1,667	1.0	1,791	1.1	124	7.4	0.1
Asian non-Hispanic	6,619	4.1	12,212	7.4	5,593	84.5	3.3
Some other race, non-Hispanic	341	0.2	839	0.5	498	146.0	0.3
Non-Hispanic of two or more races	1,330	0.8	3,040	1.8	1,710	128.6	1.0
HOUSING OCCUPANCY							
Total housing units	60,584	100.0	62,782	100.0	2,198	3.6	0.0
Occupied housing units	57,250	94.5	59,404	94.6	2,154	3.8	0.1
Vacant housing units	3,334	5.5	3,378	5.4	44	1.3	-0.1

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 and 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary Files
Population Division, New York City Department of City Planning

Statement on Data Accuracy

Under Title 13 of the U.S. Code, the Census Bureau is legally bound to protect the privacy of individuals participating in the decennial census. To adhere to the law and to avoid the disclosure of information about individual respondents, the Census Bureau has historically applied a host of techniques, such as top- and bottom-coding, imputation, table- and cell-suppression, and data swapping. The Census Bureau is employing a new technique with the 2020 Census, referred to as the Disclosure Avoidance System (DAS), based on differential privacy. With this approach, the Census Bureau “infuses noise” systematically across census data and sets a quantified disclosure risk, referred to as the Privacy Loss Budget (PLB).

While the new DAS approach may diminish the risk of disclosure concerns, it comes at a cost to data accuracy. Consequently, 2020 Census data users should be aware that all sub-state counts, except for housing units (which are unaffected by the DAS), may be adjusted to protect the privacy of Census participants and may be subject to reduced accuracy. Because DAS noise infusion is randomized, it is impossible for data users to know the degree to which any individual statistic is altered. However, it is possible to say that in general the relative size of errors decreases as counts increase. Consequently, data users should have greater confidence in the accuracy of the data as counts get larger. Further, an evaluation of a Privacy-Protected Microdata File (PPMF), treated with a Disclosure Avoidance System like the one applied to 2020 redistricting data, showed that counts of 300 or more rarely have sizable errors (error beyond +/- 10% of the count). Therefore, while data users need to be cognizant of data accuracy limitations, they should have confidence in conclusions based on sizable counts, which are relatively unaffected by the Census Bureau’s latest disclosure avoidance method.