## Zoning for Coastal Flood Resiliency Chapter 24: Unavoidable Significant Adverse Impacts

## A. INTRODUCTION

According to the 20<u>20</u>14 City Environmental Quality Review (CEQR) Technical Manual, unavoidable significant adverse impacts are those that would occur if a proposed project or action is implemented regardless of the mitigation employed, or if mitigation is infeasible.

As detailed in Chapter 1, "Project Description," the New York City Department of City Planning (DCP) is proposing a zoning text amendment to update the Special Regulations Applying in Flood Hazard Areas (Article VI, Chapter 4) of the New York City Zoning Resolution (ZR), which includes the "Flood Resilience Zoning Text" (the "2013 Flood Text") and "Special Regulations for Neighborhood Recovery" (the "2015 Recovery Text"). These temporary zoning rules were adopted on an emergency basis to remove zoning barriers that were hindering the reconstruction and retrofitting of buildings affected by Hurricane Sandy and to help ensure that new construction there would be more resilient. The 2013 Flood Text provisions are set to expire with the adoption of new and final Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs), which is anticipated to occur within the next few years. Applicability of the 2015 Recovery Text expired in July 2020. Therefore, DCP is proposing a citywide zoning text amendment, "Zoning for Coastal Flood Resiliency" (the "Proposed Action"), to improve upon and make permanent the relevant provisions of the existing temporary zoning rules of the 2013 Flood Text and 2015 Recovery Text. In addition, the Proposed Action includes special provisions to help facilitate the city's long-term recovery from the COVID-19 pandemic and its associated economic effects by providing more time for existing non-conforming uses to reopen and builders to undertake certain construction projects. The Proposed Action also includes updates to other sections of the ZR, including the Special Regulations Applying in the Waterfront Area (Article VI, Chapter 2) and provisions within various Special Purpose Districts. The Proposed Action would mostly affect New York City's current 1% annual and 0.2% annual chance floodplains. However, select provisions of the Proposed Action would be applicable citywide. To help the City prepare for or respond to other disasters, select provisions in the Proposed Action regarding power systems and other mechanical equipment, ramps and lifts, vulnerable populations, and disaster recovery rules, would be applicable citywide.

Due to the broad applicability of the Proposed Action, it is difficult to predict the sites where development would be facilitated. In addition, the Proposed Action is not in-and-of-itself expected to induce development where it would not otherwise have occurred absent the Proposed Action. Although the Proposed Action may allow developments and existing buildings to retrofit to resilient standards, the overall amount, type, and location of construction within the affected area is not anticipated to change. Owing to the generic nature of this action, there are no known or projected as-of-right development sites identified as part of the Proposed Action's Reasonable Worst-Case Development Scenario (RWCDS). To produce a reasonable analysis of the likely effects of the Proposed Action, 14 representative Prototypical Analysis Sites containing either new developments, infill, reconstructions, or retrofits of existing buildings in the city's 1% and 0.2% annual chance floodplains were identified to demonstrate the wide range of proposed regulations for sites that would be able to develop as-of-right in the future with the Proposed Action, as detailed further in **Chapter 1**.

## **B. PRINCIPAL CONCLUSIONS**

As described in Chapter 7, "Historic & Cultural Resources," Chapter 10, "Hazardous Materials," and Chapter 20, "Construction," the Proposed Action could result in significant adverse impacts with respect to historic architectural resources, archaeological resources, and hazardous materials. However, as presented in Chapter 21, "Mitigation," no practicable mitigation measures were identified that would reduce or eliminate these impacts. Therefore, the Proposed Action would result in the potential for unavoidable adverse impacts with respect to historic architectural resources, archaeological resources, and hazardous materials.