

Table of Contents

Foreword.....	F-1
Executive Summary	S-1
1: Project Description	1-1
A. Introductory Note	1-1
B. Project Identification	1-1
C. Project Purpose and Need	1-3
Goals and Objectives of the Proposed Project	1-3
Project Background and Prior Environmental Review	1-3
D. Project Description.....	1-6
Description of the Project Site.....	1-6
Description of the Proposed Project	1-6
E. Required Public Approvals	1-11
Restrictive Declaration	1-13
F. Environmental Review	1-14
City Environmental Quality Review Process	1-14
Uniform Land Use Review Procedure	1-16
G. Framework for Analysis.....	1-16
Overview	1-16
Analytical Approach to the SEIS	1-17
2: Land Use, Zoning, and Public Policy	2-1
A. Introduction.....	2-1
Principal Conclusions.....	2-1
B. Summary of 1992 FEIS Findings.....	2-2
C. Methodology	2-3
D. Development History	2-4
Project Site	2-4
Study Area.....	2-4
E. Existing Conditions	2-7
Land Use	2-7
Zoning	2-12
Public Policy	2-16
F. The Future Without the Proposed Project	2-17
Land Use	2-17
Zoning	2-21
Public Policy	2-22
G. The Future With the Proposed Project	2-22
Land Use	2-22

Zoning.....	2-25
Public Policy.....	2-28
H. Future Conditions With the Miller Highway Relocation.....	2-29
3: Socioeconomic Conditions.....	3-1
A. Introduction	3-1
Principal Conclusions	3-2
B. Summary of 1992 FEIS Findings	3-3
C. Methodology.....	3-4
CEQR Overview.....	3-4
Determining Whether A Socioeconomic Assessment Is Appropriate.....	3-5
Analysis Framework.....	3-6
Study Area Definition.....	3-7
Data Sources.....	3-8
D. Preliminary Assessment	3-9
Indirect Residential Displacement	3-9
Direct Business and Institutional Displacement	3-25
Indirect Business and Institutional Displacement.....	3-29
Adverse Effects On Specific Industries	3-34
4: Community Facilities and Services	4-1
A. Introduction	4-1
B. Principal Conclusions.....	4-2
Public Schools	4-2
Libraries.....	4-2
Child Care Centers.....	4-3
Police and Fire Services.....	4-3
C. Summary of 1992 FEIS Findings	4-4
D. Preliminary Screening	4-4
Public Schools	4-5
Libraries.....	4-5
Child Care Centers.....	4-6
Health Care Facilities (Outpatient)	4-6
Police and Fire Services.....	4-6
New Residential Developments Expected in the Study Areas	4-7
E. Public Schools	4-8
Methodology.....	4-8
Existing Conditions	4-9
The Future Without the Proposed Project.....	4-11
The Future With the Proposed Project.....	4-15
F. Libraries.....	4-17
Methodology.....	4-17
Existing Conditions	4-18
The Future Without the Proposed Project.....	4-19
The Future With the Proposed Project.....	4-20
G. Child Care Centers.....	4-21
Methodology.....	4-21
Existing Conditions	4-22

The Future Without the Proposed Project	4-22
The Future With the Proposed Project	4-23
H. Police and Fire Services	4-23
Existing Conditions	4-24
The Future Without the Proposed Project	4-26
The Future With the Proposed Project	4-26
5: Open Space.....	5-1
A. Introduction.....	5-1
B. Principal Conclusions.....	5-2
C. Summary of 1992 FEIS Findings.....	5-3
D. Methodology	5-4
Direct Effects Analysis.....	5-4
Indirect Effects Analysis	5-4
E. Existing Conditions.....	5-8
Study Area Population	5-8
Study Area Open Space Inventory	5-10
Adequacy of Open Spaces.....	5-17
F. The Future Without the Proposed Project	5-19
Open Space User Population.....	5-19
Study Area Open Spaces	5-21
Adequacy of Open Spaces.....	5-23
G. The Future With the Proposed Project	5-24
Direct Effects Analysis.....	5-24
Indirect Effects Analysis	5-24
H. Future Conditions With the Miller Highway Relocation	5-31
6: Shadows	6-1
A. Introduction.....	6-1
Principal Conclusions.....	6-1
B. Summary of 1992 FEIS Findings.....	6-2
C. Methodology	6-2
Analysis Framework	6-3
Determination of Impact Significance	6-3
D. Screening Analysis.....	6-3
E. Assessment of Incremental Shadows	6-4
Hudson River Park	6-6
Riverside Park South.....	6-6
Parcel “O” Plaza.....	6-7
West End Towers Open Space	6-9
West End Towers Open Space – Western Plaza	6-10
Samuel N. Bennerson Playground.....	6-10
Amsterdam Houses Playground	6-10
Damrosch Park	6-11
P.S. 191 Playground	6-11
Hudson River.....	6-11
Proposed Riverside Center Open Space	6-12
F. Future Condition With the Miller Highway Relocation.....	6-12

7: Historic Resources	7-1
A. Introduction	7-1
Principal Conclusions	7-1
B. Summary of 1992 FEIS Findings	7-2
Archaeological Resources	7-2
Architectural Resources.....	7-2
C. Methodology.....	7-3
Archaeological Resources	7-3
Architectural Resources.....	7-4
D. Development History.....	7-5
Project Site.....	7-5
Study Area	7-6
E. Existing Conditions	7-7
Archaeological Resources	7-7
Architectural Resources.....	7-7
F. The Future Without the Proposed Project	7-9
Archaeological Resources	7-9
Architectural Resources.....	7-9
G. The Future With the Proposed Project.....	7-11
Project Site.....	7-11
Study Area	7-12
 8: Urban Design and Visual Resources	 8-1
A. Introduction	8-1
Principal Conclusions	8-1
B. Summary of 1992 FEIS Findings	8-4
C. Existing Conditions	8-5
Project Site.....	8-5
Primary Study Area	8-6
Secondary Study Area	8-10
D. The Future Without the Proposed Project	8-13
Project Site.....	8-14
Primary Study Area	8-15
Secondary Study Area	8-15
E. The Future With the Proposed Project.....	8-16
Project Site.....	8-16
Study Areas.....	8-21
F. Future Conditions With the Miller Highway Relocation.....	8-23
 9: Neighborhood Character.....	 9-1
A. Introduction	9-1
Principal Conclusions	9-1
B. Summary of 1992 FEIS Findings	9-2
C. Methodology.....	9-3
D. Existing Conditions	9-4
Project Site and Immediate Surroundings	9-4
Study Area	9-6
E. The Future Without the Proposed Project	9-12

Project Site and Immediate Surroundings	9-13
Study Area.....	9-13
F. The Future With the Proposed Project	9-17
Project Site and Immediate Surroundings	9-17
Study Area.....	9-19
10: Natural Resources.....	10-1
A. Introduction.....	10-1
Principal Conclusions.....	10-1
B. Summary of 1992 FEIS Findings.....	10-4
C. Methodology	10-4
Assessment of Existing and Future No Action Conditions	10-5
Assessment of Impacts from Proposed Project	10-6
Regulatory Context	10-7
D. Existing Conditions.....	10-8
Groundwater.....	10-8
Floodplains and Wetlands	10-9
Terrestrial Resources.....	10-10
Aquatic Resources.....	10-11
Rare, Special Concern, Threatened and Endangered Species	10-17
Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat.....	10-18
E. The Future Without the Proposed Project	10-19
No Build Scenario 1	10-19
No Build Scenario 2	10-20
Impacts from Aquatic Resource Improvement Projects for Both No Build Scenarios ..	10-21
F. The Future With the Proposed Project	10-22
Groundwater.....	10-22
Floodplains	10-23
Wetlands.....	10-24
Terrestrial Resources.....	10-25
Aquatic Resources.....	10-27
Rare, Special Concern, Threatened and Endangered Species	10-28
Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat.....	10-28
G. References.....	10-28
11: Hazardous Materials	11-1
A. Introduction.....	11-1
B. Principal Conclusions.....	11-2
C. Summary of 1992 FEIS Findings.....	11-3
D. Existing Conditions	11-3
Subsurface Conditions.....	11-3
Recent Site Uses and Regulatory Database Listings.....	11-4
Investigation Findings.....	11-4
Summary of Findings and Existing Protective Measures.....	11-7
E. The Future Without the Proposed Project	11-8
F. The Future With the Proposed Project	11-9

12: Waterfront Revitalization Program	12-1
A. Introduction	12-1
Principal Conclusions	12-2
B. Background.....	12-3
C. Methodology.....	12-3
D. Existing Conditions	12-4
E. The Future Without the Proposed Project	12-4
F. The Future With the Proposed Project.....	12-4
G. Consistency of the Proposed Project With the WRP	12-5
13: Infrastructure	13-1
A. Introduction	13-1
Principal Conclusions	13-1
B. Summary of 1992 FEIS Findings	13-2
C. Methodology.....	13-2
D. Existing Conditions	13-4
Water Supply	13-4
Wastewater System.....	13-4
Sanitary Sewage and Stormwater	13-5
E. The Future Without the Proposed Project	13-7
No Build Scenario 1.....	13-8
No Build Scenario 2.....	13-10
F. The Future With the Proposed Project.....	13-11
Water Supply	13-11
Sanitary Sewage and Stormwater	13-13
14: Solid Waste and Sanitation Services	14-1
A. Introduction	14-1
Principal Conclusions	14-1
B. Summary of 1992 FEIS Findings	14-1
C. Methodology.....	14-2
D. Existing Conditions	14-2
Description of Solid Waste and Sanitation Services	14-2
Solid Waste Generation	14-3
E. The Future Without the Proposed Project	14-3
Description of Solid Waste and Sanitation Services	14-3
Solid Waste Generation	14-4
F. The Future With the Proposed Project.....	14-6
Description of Solid Waste and Sanitation Services	14-6
Solid Waste Generation	14-6
15: Energy	15-1
A. Introduction	15-1
Principal Conclusions	15-1
B. Summary of 1992 FEIS Findings	15-1
C. Methodology.....	15-2
D. Existing Conditions	15-2
Energy Initiatives.....	15-3

Existing On-Site Energy Consumption	15-4
E. The Future Without the Proposed Project	15-4
Future On-Site Energy Consumption	15-4
F. The Future With the Proposed Project	15-6
16: Traffic and Parking	16-1
A. Introduction	16-1
Principal Conclusions	16-2
B. Summary of 1992 FEIS Findings	16-2
Parking	16-4
C. Methodology	16-4
D. Existing Conditions	16-5
Vehicular Traffic	16-5
Capacity Analysis	16-7
Parking	16-16
E. The Future Without the Proposed Project	16-20
Vehicular Traffic	16-20
Parking	16-27
F. The Future With the Proposed Project	16-29
Access and Circulation	16-29
Transportation Planning Assumption and Demand Forecasts	16-33
Vehicular Traffic	16-33
Vehicle Trip Assignment and Capacity Analysis	16-38
Traffic Safety	16-47
Parking	16-50
G. Future Conditions With the Miller Highway Relocation	16-54
17: Transit and Pedestrians	17-1
A. Introduction	17-1
Principal Conclusions	17-1
B. Summary of 1992 FEIS Findings	17-3
Subway Stations	17-3
Subway Line Haul	17-3
Local Bus	17-3
Pedestrians	17-4
C. Methodology	17-4
Subway	17-5
Bus Service	17-7
Pedestrians	17-7
D. Existing Conditions	17-9
Data Collection	17-9
Buses	17-15
Pedestrians	17-17
E. The Future Without the Proposed Project	17-23
Subway	17-23
Subway Line Haul	17-24
Pedestrians	17-24
F. The Future With the Proposed Project	17-31

Subway	17-32
Subway Line Haul	17-34
Buses.....	17-34
Pedestrians	17-36
G. Future Conditions With the Miller Highway Relocation.....	17-42
18: Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions	18-1
A. Air Quality.....	18-1
Introduction	18-1
Summary of 1992 FEIS Findings	18-4
Pollutants for Analysis.....	18-5
Air Quality Standards, Regulations and Benchmarks.....	18-7
Methodology for Predicting Pollutant Concentrations	18-12
Existing Conditions	18-27
Future Without the Proposed Project.....	18-29
Future With the Proposed Project.....	18-30
1-Hour NO ₂ National Ambient Air Quality Standard.....	18-42
1-Hour SO ₂ National Ambient Air Quality Standard	18-51
B. Greenhouse Gas Analysis.....	18-57
Introduction	18-57
Summary of 1992 FEIS Findings	18-58
Analysis Approach.....	18-58
Pollutants of Concern	18-58
Policy, Regulations, Standards, and Benchmarks.....	18-59
Methodology.....	18-62
Probable Emissions from the Proposed Project	18-67
Project Elements That Would Reduce GHG Emissions	18-68
Conclusion	18-70
19: Noise	19-1
A. Introduction	19-1
Principal Conclusions	19-1
B. Summary of 1992 FEIS Findings	19-2
C. Noise Fundamentals	19-2
“A”-Weighted Sound Level (dBA).....	19-2
Ability to Perceive Changes in Noise Levels	19-2
D. Noise Standards and Criteria	19-4
New York City Noise Control Code.....	19-4
New York CEQR Noise Criteria	19-4
E. Impact Definition.....	19-4
F. Noise Prediction Methodology	19-6
General Methodology	19-6
Traffic Noise Model (TNM).....	19-6
CADNA	19-6
Analysis Procedure	19-7
G. Existing Conditions	19-7
Site Description	19-7
Selection of Noise Receptor Locations.....	19-7

Noise Monitoring	19-8
Equipment Used During Noise Monitoring	19-8
Results of Baseline Measurements.....	19-8
H. The Future Without the Proposed Project	19-10
I. The Future With the Proposed Project	19-11
J. Building Attenuation for Project Buildings	19-12
K. Noise Levels At Open Space Areas	19-14
L. Mechanical Equipment.....	19-14
20: Construction.....	20-1
A. Introduction.....	20-1
Principal Conclusions.....	20-1
B. Summary of 1992 FEIS Findings.....	20-7
C. Construction of Below-Grade Space and Buildings.....	20-8
Introduction	20-8
Construction Overview	20-8
Abatement and Demolition	20-10
Excavation, Foundations, and Below-Grade Construction	20-11
Above-Grade Building Construction.....	20-16
General Construction Practices	20-17
Number of Construction Workers and Material Deliveries	20-21
D. Future Without the Proposed Project	20-22
E. Future With the Proposed Project	20-22
Land Use and Neighborhood Character	20-22
Historic Resources.....	20-23
Socioeconomic Conditions.....	20-24
Hazardous Materials.....	20-25
Traffic and Transportation.....	20-26
Parking	20-33
Transit	20-33
Pedestrians.....	20-35
Air Quality.....	20-35
Noise and Vibration	20-50
Determining Existing Noise Levels	20-55
Public Health.....	20-67
Rodent Control	20-68
21: Public Health.....	21-1
A. Introduction.....	21-1
Principal Conclusions.....	21-1
B. Summary of 1992 FEIS Findings.....	21-2
C. Methodology	21-3
D. Summary of Air and Noise Pollution Sources from the Proposed Project.....	21-4
Construction	21-4
Project Operations	21-5
E. Air Quality Pollutants of Concern and Related Health Effects.....	21-5
Particulate Matter	21-6
Pm2.5-Related Health Effects	21-7

F.	Air Quality and Noise Regulations and Standards	21-11
	Air Quality	21-11
	Noise	21-12
G.	Determining the Significance of Public Health Impacts	21-12
	Air Quality	21-13
	Noise	21-14
H.	The Future With the Proposed Project.....	21-14
	Air Quality	21-14
	Noise.....	21-17
	Hazardous Materials	21-18
	Rodent Control	21-18
22:	Mitigation.....	22-1
A.	Introduction	22-1
B.	Community Facilities	22-1
	Child Care Facilities	22-1
C.	Open Space	22-2
D.	Traffic	22-3
	Overview	22-3
	Proposed Mitigation Measures	22-4
	Proposed Implementation Schedule for Traffic Mitigation Measures.....	22-22
	Effects of Proposed Pedestrian Mitigation	22-23
E.	Transit and Pedestrians.....	22-24
	Overview	22-24
	Bus Service	22-24
	Pedestrians	22-25
F.	Air Quality.....	22-28
	Effects of Proposed Traffic Mitigation Measures.....	22-28
G.	Noise.....	22-30
	Effects of Proposed Traffic Mitigation Measures.....	22-30
H.	Construction	22-31
	Traffic	22-31
	Noise.....	22-32
23:	Alternatives.....	23-1
A.	Introduction	23-1
	Principal Conclusions	23-1
B.	No Action Alternative	23-5
	Description.....	23-5
	No Action Alternative Compared With the Proposed Project	23-7
C.	Lesser Density Alternative	23-19
	Description.....	23-19
	Lesser Density Alternative Compared With the Proposed Project.....	23-22
D.	No Unmitigated Significant Adverse Impact Alternative.....	23-37
	Description.....	23-37
	No Unmitigated Significant Adverse Impact Alternative Compared With the Proposed Project.....	23-37
E.	Cogeneration Energy Supply Alternative.....	23-38

Description	23-38
Feasibility Study Summary	23-39
24: Unavoidable Significant Adverse Impacts.....	24-1
A. Introduction.....	24-1
B. Open Space	24-1
C. Traffic.....	24-2
D. Construction	24-2
Noise	24-2
25: Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments of Resources.....	25-1
26: Growth-Inducing Aspects of the Proposed Project	26-1
A. Introduction.....	26-1
B. Potential Growth in Off-Site Uses	26-1
27: Responses to Comments On the DSEIS.....	27-1
A. Introduction.....	27-1
B. List of Organizations and Individuals Who Commented On the Draft	
C. Comments and Responses On the Draft Supplementary Environmental Impact Statement (DSEIS)	27-5
28: Modifications to the Proposed Project.....	28-1
A. Introduction.....	28-1
B. Amended Application – Inclusionary Housing Program	28-1
Socioeconomic Conditions.....	28-2
Community Facilities	28-2
C. Proposed Design Modifications	28-3
Description of the Proposed Design Modifications.....	28-3
D. Analyses	28-5
Open Space.....	28-5
Shadows	28-7
Urban Design and Visual Resources	28-7
Neighborhood Character	28-9
Waterfront Revitalization Program	28-10
Traffic.....	28-10
E. Conclusions.....	28-11

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A:	Zoning Text Amendments
Appendix B:	Comparison of the Incremental and Reduced Shadows Due to Relocation of Miller Highway
Appendix C:	Natural Resources
Appendix C-1:	Natural Resources Agency Correspondence
Appendix C-2:	Sources Cited in Natural Resources Chapter
Appendix D:	Coastal Assessment Form
Appendix E:	Traffic—Future Bus Routes
Appendix F:	Air Quality
Appendix G:	Construction
Appendix G-1:	Construction Workforce and Delivery Projections
Appendix G-2A:	Details of Construction Equipment Noise Emission Levels
Appendix G-2B:	Noise Contours Depicting Noise from Construction Activities
Appendix G-2C:	Summary Tables with Detailed Existing Noise Calculations
Appendix G-2D:	Construction Noise Analysis for Podium Approach
Appendix G-2E:	Construction Noise Analysis for Individual Basements Approach
Appendix G-3:	Potential Vibration Levels with 230 Feet
Appendix H:	Alternatives
Appendix H-1:	Alternatives—Lesser Density Traffic
Appendix H-2:	Alternatives—Cogen Feasibility Study
Appendix I:	Agency Correspondence
Appendix J:	Written Comments Received on the DSEIS (available on DCP’s Web site or on CD)

List of Tables

S-1	Riverside South Parcels As Built Compared With FEIS Program	S-3
S-2	Summary of Proposed Program	S-6
S-3	Comparison of FEIS Program with Proposed Program for Parcels L, M, and N	S-8
S-4	Maximum Floor Area Permitted By Proposed Zoning Approvals (Above Grade)	S-13
S-5	Reasonable Worst Case Development Scenarios.....	S-14
S-6	2018 Future with the Proposed Project: Open Space Ratios Summary	S-20
S-7	2018 Future with the Proposed Project: Summary of Movements/Intersections with Significant Adverse Impacts.....	S-42
S-8	Traffic Improvement Measures.....	S-43
S-9	Level of Service for Mitigated Conditions—AM, MD, and PM Peak Hours and Saturday MD Peak Hour	S-44
S-10	Traffic Analysis for Pedestrian Improvements	S-60
S-11	2018 Build Crosswalk Conditions with Mitigation	S-62
S-12	2018 Maximum Predicted 8-Hour Average No Build and Build CO Concentration with Traffic Mitigation	S-64
S-13	2018 Maximum Predicted 24-Hour Average No Build and Build PM ₁₀ Concentrations with Traffic Mitigation	S-64
S-14	2018 Maximum Predicted 24-Hour Average PM _{2.5} Concentrations with Traffic Mitigation.....	S-64
S-15	2018 Maximum Predicted Annual Average PM _{2.5} Concentrations with Traffic Mitigation.....	S-64
S-16	2018 Build Noise Levels with Traffic Mitigation Measures (in dBA)	S-65
S-17	Construction Traffic Mitigation Measures.....	S-67
S-18	2012 Peak Construction with Mitigation LOS Table.....	S-68
1-1	Riverside South Parcels As Built Compared With FEIS Program	1-5
1-2	Summary of Proposed Program	1-6
1-3	Comparison of FEIS Program with Proposed Program for Parcels L, M, and N	1-9
1-4	Maximum Floor Area Permitted by Proposed Zoning Approvals (Above Grade).....	1-18
1-5	Reasonable Worst Case Development Scenarios.....	1-18
2-1	Residential Developments Discussed in the Land Use Analysis	2-6
2-2	Zoning Districts in the Study Area	2-12
2-3	Projects Under Construction or Proposed Within and Adjacent to the ½-Mile Study Area.	2-19
3-1	Comparison of 1992 FEIS Program With Proposed Program for Parcels L, M, and N	3-7
3-2	1990 and 2000 Population Characteristics.....	3-10

3-3	Age Distribution as Percent of Total Population, 1990 and 2000.....	3-10
3-4	Income Characteristics	3-11
3-5	1999 Household Income Distribution	3-12
3-6	Housing Units and Vacancy	3-12
3-7	Housing Tenure	3-12
3-8	Housing Units Built 1990 to 2008.....	3-13
3-9	Housing Characteristics.....	3-14
3-10	Average Household Income for Renters in Small Buildings, Buildings With 5 or More Units, and All Renter-Occupied Buildings in Manhattan, 1999.....	3-17
3-11	Breakdown of Employment By Industry in the Study Area, 2000.....	3-28
4-1	Preliminary Screening Analysis Criteria	4-5
4-2	New Residential Development Expected in the Community Facility Study Areas	4-7
4-3	Public Elementary Schools Serving the Study Area Enrollment and Capacity Data, 2008-2009 School Year.....	4-9
4-4	Public Intermediate Schools Serving the Study Area Enrollment and Capacity Data, 2008-2009 School Year.....	4-10
4-5	Public High Schools Serving the Study Area.....	4-11
4-6	Projected New Housing Units and Estimated Number of Students Introduced in the Study Area: 2018 Future Without the Proposed Project	4-12
4-7	Estimated Public Elementary and Intermediate School Enrollment, Capacity, and Utilization: 2018 Future Without the Proposed Project	4-14
4-8	Estimated Number of Students Introduced in the Study Area: 2018 Future with the Proposed Project.....	4-15
4-9	Estimated Public Elementary School Enrollment, Capacity, and Utilization: 2018 Future with the Proposed Project	4-16
4-10	Estimated Public Intermediate and High School Enrollment, Capacity, and Utilization: 2018 Future with the Proposed Project	4-17
4-11	Public Libraries Serving the Study Area	4-18
4-12	Publicly Funded Child Care Facilities Serving the Study Area	4-22
4-13	Police Facilities Serving the Project Site	4-24
4-14	Fire and Hospital Facilities Serving the Project Site.....	4-25
5-1	2018 Future with the Proposed Project: Open Space Ratios Summary	5-2
5-2	Existing Population in Commercial and Residential Study Areas 2008 Estimate	5-9
5-3	Residential Population Age Distribution 2008 Estimate.....	5-10
5-4	Publicly Accessible Open Space Inventory.....	5-12
5-5	Existing Conditions: Commercial Study Area Open Space Guidelines and Ratios for Combined Residential and Worker Populations.....	5-17
5-6	Existing Conditions: Residential Study Area Adequacy of Open Space Resources....	5-17
5-7	2018 Future Without the Proposed Project: Residential Study Area Population By Age Group	5-21

5-8	2018 Future Without the Proposed Project: Commercial Study Area Open Space Guidelines and Ratios for Combined Residential and Worker Populations	5-23
5-9	2018 Future Without the Proposed Project: Residential Study Area Adequacy of Open Space Resources	5-24
5-10	Open Space Reasonable Worst-Case Development Scenarios Commercial and Residential Study Areas.....	5-25
5-11	2018 Future with the Proposed Project: Residential Study Area Population By Age Group.....	5-25
5-12	2018 Future with the Proposed Project: Commercial Study Area Open Space Guidelines and Ratios for Combined Residential and Worker Populations	5-28
5-13	2018 Future with the Proposed Project: Residential Study Area Adequacy of Open Space Resources	5-28
10-1	DEP Water Quality Data for the West 42nd Street Sampling Station (2003–2007) .	10-13
10-2	Finfish Species with the Potential to Occur in the Vicinity of the Project Site	10-16
13-1	Monthly Average Actual Flows to the North River WPCP.....	13-6
13-2	Existing Stormwater and Sanitary Sewage Discharge Volumes from Project Site	13-7
13-3	Water Consumption/Sewage Generation Future Without the Proposed Project for No Build Scenario 1	13-9
13-4	No Build Scenario 1 Stormwater and Sanitary Sewage Discharge Volumes	13-10
13-5	Water Consumption/Sewage Generation Future Without the Proposed Project for No Build Scenario 2	13-10
13-6	No Build Scenario 2 Stormwater and Sanitary Sewage Discharge Volumes	13-11
13-7	Water Consumption/Sewage Generation Future With the Proposed Project for Build RWCDS 2.....	13-12
13-8	Incremental Total Water Demand and Sewage Generation (GPD)	13-12
13-9	Stormwater and Sanitary Sewage Discharge Volumes for the Proposed Project	13-15
14-1	Solid Waste Generation for No Build Scenario 1	14-4
14-2	Solid Waste Generation for No Build Scenario 2	14-5
14-3	Solid Waste Generation for the Proposed Project.....	14-6
14-4	Incremental Solid Waste Generation (Pounds Per Week)	14-7
15-1	Projected Energy Consumption—No Build Scenario 1.....	15-5
15-2	Projected Energy Consumption—No Build Scenario 2.....	15-5
15-3	Projected Energy Consumption Proposed Project	15-6
16-1	Intersection Level of Service Criteria	16-7
16-2	Existing LOS Table	16-9
16-3	2008 Existing Conditions Off-Street Parking Utilization	16-17
16-4	Weekday On-Site Accumulation	16-18
16-5	Saturday On-Site Accumulation	16-19
16-6	No Build LOS Table	16-22
16-7	2018 No Build Off-Street Parking Utilization (Weekday AM, Midday, Pre Theater, Overnight and Saturday Midday)	16-28

Riverside Center DSEIS

16-8	No Build Weekday On-Site Accumulation	16-30
16-9	No Build Saturday On-Site Accumulation	16-31
16-10	Riverside Center Transportation Planning Assumptions for RWCDs 3b - (Max Retail/Office) Analyzed for Traffic During the Weekday MD, PM and Sat MD Peak Hours	16-34
16-11	Riverside Center Traffic Demand Forecast Summary for RWCDs 3b (Max Retail/Office) Analyzed for Traffic During the Weekday MD, PM and Sat MD Peak Hours	16-35
16-12	Riverside Center Transportation Planning Assumptions for RWCDs 3d (Max Retail/Office) Analyzed for Traffic During the Weekday AM Peak Hour	16-36
16-13	Riverside Center Traffic Demand Forecast Summary for RWCDs 3d (Max Retail/Office) Analyzed for Traffic During the Weekday AM Peak Hour	16-37
16-14	Build LOS Table	16-39
16-15	Summary of Significantly Impacted Intersections	16-44
16-16	Annual Pedestrian and Bicyclist Injuries/Fatalities at Study Area Intersections, 2006-2008	16-48
16-17	Parking Peak Scenario / Weekday On-Site Accumulation	16-51
16-18	Parking Peak Scenario / Saturday On-Site Accumulation	16-52
16-19	2018 Build Off-Street Parking Utilization (Weekday AM, Midday, Pre-Theater, Overnight and Saturday Midday)	16-53
16-20	Relocated Highway LOS Table	16-55
17-1	Stairway Level of Service Definitions	17-6
17-2	Pedestrian Crosswalk/Corner Area and Sidewalk Levels of Service Descriptions	17-8
17-3	Average Weekday Entering Turnstile Counts	17-10
17-4	Existing Conditions at the 59th Street-Columbus Circle Subway Station	17-11
17-5	Existing Subway Line Haul Conditions	17-13
17-6	Existing Local Bus Conditions	17-14
17-7	Existing Sidewalk Conditions	17-16
17-8	Existing Crosswalk Conditions	17-20
17-9	Existing Corner Area Conditions	17-21
17-10	2018 No Build Conditions at the 59th Street-Columbus Circle Subway Station	17-22
17-11	2018 No Build Subway Line Haul Conditions	17-25
17-12	2018 No Build Local Bus Conditions	17-26
17-13	2018 No Build Sidewalk Conditions	17-27
17-14	2018 No Build Crosswalk Conditions	17-28
17-15	2018 No Build Corner Conditions	17-29
17-16	Transit Net Travel Demand Forecast for the Proposed Project (Person Trips)	17-30
17-17	Pedestrian Net Travel Demand Forecast for the Proposed Project (Person Trips)	17-32
17-18	2018 Build Conditions at the 59th Street-Columbus Circle Subway Station	17-32
17-19	2018 Build Subway Line Haul Conditions	17-33
17-20	2018 Build Local Bus Conditions	17-35

17-21	2018 Build Sidewalk Conditions	17-37
17-22	2018 Build Crosswalk Conditions	17-38
17-23	2018 Build Corner Conditions	17-39
17-24	2018 Build Corner Conditions	17-40
18a-1	National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS)	18-9
18a-2	Maximum Background Pollutant Concentrations for Mobile Source Analysis.....	18-16
18a-3	Mobile Source Analysis Intersection Locations	18-17
18a-4	Building 5 Domestic Water Heater Emissions	18-19
18a-5	Maximum Background Pollutant Concentrations for Stationary Source Analysis....	18-20
18a-6	Con Edison Plant Stack Parameters and Emission Rates (g/sec).....	18-24
18a-7	Stack, Building Parameters, and Pollutant Emission Rates of Existing and Future No Build Developments.....	18-27
18a-8	Representative Monitored Ambient Air Quality Data	18-28
18a-9	Maximum Predicted Existing 8-Hour Average CO Concentrations for 2008	18-28
18a-10	Maximum Predicted Future (2018) 8-Hour Average Carbon Monoxide No Build Concentrations	18-29
18a-11	Maximum Predicted Future (2018) No Build 24-Hour PM ₁₀ Concentrations	18-29
18a-12	Maximum Predicted Future (2018) 8-Hour Average No Build and Build CO Concentrations.....	18-30
18a-13	Maximum Predicted Future (2018) 24-Hour Average No Build and Build PM ₁₀ Concentrations.....	18-31
18a-14	Maximum Predicted Future (2018) 24-Hour Average PM _{2.5} Concentrations.....	18-31
18a-15	Maximum Predicted Future (2018) Annual Average PM _{2.5} Concentrations	18-31
18a-16	Future (2018) Maximum Modeled Pollutant Concentrations from Building 5 Domestic Hot Water Heater (in µg/m ³)	18-32
18a-17	Future (2018) Maximum Predicted PM _{2.5} Concentrations from Building 5 Domestic Hot Water Heater (in µg/m ³)	18-33
18a-18	Maximum Predicted Impacts from Industrial Sources	18-34
18a-19	Future (2018) Maximum Predicted Concentrations on the Proposed Project from the Con Edison 59th Street Station—Combustion Turbine Not Operating (in µg/m ³).....	18-35
18a-20	Future (2018) Maximum Predicted Concentrations on the Proposed Project from the Con Edison 59th Street Station—Combustion Turbine Operating (in µg/m ³).....	18-35
18a-21	Future Maximum Predicted PM _{2.5} Annual Average Increments on the Proposed Project from the Con Edison 59th Street Station—Combustion Turbine Not Operating (in µg/m ³).....	18-35
18a-22	Future Maximum Predicted PM _{2.5} Annual Average Increments on the Proposed Project from the Con Edison 59th Street Station—Combustion Turbine Operating (in µg/m ³).....	18-36

18a-23	Magnitude, Frequency and Location of 24-Hour PM _{2.5} Impacts > 2 µg/m ³ on the Proposed Project from the Con Edison 59th Street Station.....	18-36
18a-24	Future (2018) Maximum Predicted Concentrations on the Proposed Project from Other Sources (in µg/m ³).....	18-39
18a-25	Future (2018) Maximum Predicted PM _{2.5} Increments on the Proposed Project from Other Sources (IN µg/m ³).....	18-39
18a-26	Future (2018) Maximum Predicted Cumulative Concentrations on the Proposed Project (in µg/m ³).....	18-40
18a-27	Future Maximum Predicted Cumulative PM _{2.5} Annual Average Increments on the Proposed Project (in µg/m ³).....	18-41
18a-28	Future (2018) Maximum Predicted Concentrations from the Con Edison 59th Street Station On Developments Within 400 Feet of the Proposed Project (in µg/m ³).....	18-41
18a-29	Future Maximum Predicted PM _{2.5} Increments from the Con Edison 59th Street Station On Developments Within 400 Feet of the Proposed Project (in µg/m ³).....	18-43
18a-30	NO _x Source Contributions, 2005.....	18-43
18a-31	Monitored Community-Scale 1-Hour NO ₂ Levels in New York City (ppb)	18-45
18a-32	Future (2018) Maximum Predicted 1-Hour NO ₂ Concentration (ppb) at Proposed Project Buildings from the Background and Con Edison 59th Street Station.....	18-49
18a-33	Future (2018) Maximum Predicted 1-Hour NO ₂ Concentrations (ppb) Impact of Background, Con Edison 59th Street Station and Other Analyzed Sources at Proposed Project Buildings.....	18-50
18a-34	Future (2018) Maximum Predicted 1-Hour NO ₂ Concentration from Building 5 Domestic Hot Water Heater (ppb).....	18-50
18a-35	SO ₂ Source Contributions, 2005	18-52
18a-36	Monitored Community-Scale 1-Hour So ₂ Levels in New York City (ppb).....	18-53
18a-37	Future (2018) Maximum Predicted 1-Hour So ₂ Concentration (ppb) At Proposed Project Buildings from the Background and Con Edison 59th Street Station.....	18-56
18a-38	Future (2018) Maximum Predicted 1-Hour SO ₂ Concentrations (ppb) Impact of Background, Con Edison 59th Street Station and Other Analyzed Sources At Proposed Project Buildings.....	18-57
18b-1	Global Warming Potential (GWP) for Major GHGs.....	18-63
18b-2	GHG Emissions from Construction Activity and Material Use 2010-2017.....	18-68
18b-3	Summary of Annual Ghg Emissions	18-69
19-1	Common Noise Levels	19-3
19-2	Average Ability to Perceive Changes in Noise Levels	19-3
19-3	Noise Exposure Guidelines for Use in City Environmental Impact Review	19-4
19-4	Required Attenuation Values to Achieve Acceptable Interior Noise Levels	19-5
19-5	Noise Receptor Locations	19-8
19-6	Measured Existing Noise Levels (in dBA).....	19-9
19-7	Existing Noise Levels At Receptor 9	19-10

19-8	2018 No Build Noise Levels (in dBA)	19-11
19-9	2018 Build Noise Levels (in dBA)	19-12
19-10	Minimum Required Building Attenuation	19-13
20-1	Conceptual Construction Schedule	20-10
20-2	Construction Oversight in New York City	20-18
20-3	Number of Construction Workers and Delivery Trucks—Podium Approach.....	20-22
20-4	Number of Construction Workers and Delivery Trucks—Individual Basement Approach.....	20-21
20-5	Operational and Construction Traffic Comparison.....	20-28
20-6	2012 Peak Construction LOS Table	20-34
20-7	Parking Conditions During Peak Construction Period	20-34
20-8	Background Pollutant Concentrations	20-41
20-9	Mobile Source Analysis Intersection Locations	20-43
20-10	No Build (2012) Maximum Predicted 8-Hour Carbon Monoxide Concentrations (Parts Per Million)	20-44
20-11	Podium With K1 and K2 Maximum Predicted Total Concentrations for Construction Activities	20-46
20-12	Build (2012) Maximum Predicted 8-Hour Carbon Monoxide Concentrations (Parts Per Million)	20-48
20-13	Construction Equipment Noise Emission Levels (dBA)	20-55
20-14	Construction Noise Receptor Locations	20-57
20-15	Construction Noise Analysis Results for the Podium Approach Values in dBA	20-57
20-16	Construction Noise Analysis Results for the Individual Basements Approach Values in dBA.....	20-62
20-17	Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment	20-67
21-1	1997 and 2005 Hospitalization Rates Per 1,000 Persons (Aged 0-14 Years).....	21-10
22-1	2018 Future With the Proposed Project: Summary of Movements/Intersections With Significant Adverse Impacts	22-4
22-2	Traffic Improvement Measures.....	22-5
22-3	Level of Service for Mitigated Conditions—AM Peak Hour	22-6
22-4	Traffic Analysis for Pedestrian Improvements	22-23
22-5	2018 Build Crosswalk Conditions With Mitigation	22-26
22-6	2018 Maximum Predicted 8-Hour Average No Build and Build CO Concentration With Traffic Mitigation	22-29
22-7	2018 Maximum Predicted 24-Hour Average No Build and Build PM ₁₀ Concentrations With Traffic Mitigation	22-29
22-8	2018 Maximum Predicted 24-Hour Average PM _{2.5} Concentrations With Traffic Mitigation	22-29
22-9	2018 Maximum Predicted Annual Average PM _{2.5} Concentrations With Traffic Mitigation	22-29
22-10	2018 Build Noise Levels With Traffic Mitigation Measures (in dBA)	22-30

Riverside Center DSEIS

22-11	Construction Traffic Mitigation Measures	22-33
22-12	2012 Peak Construction with Mitigation LOS Table	22-34
23-1	Summary of No Action Alternative and Proposed Program	23-6
23-2	Comparison of 2018 No Action Alternative and Proposed Project Open Space Ratios.....	23-10
23-3	Vehicle Trip Generation Comparison No Action Alternative and the Proposed Project, 2018	23-15
23-4	Lesser Density Alternative Reasonable Worst Case Development Scenarios	23-20
23-5	Project Site Approximate Building Floors and Heights: Comparison of the Lesser Density Alternative and the Proposed Project	23-21
23-6	Comparison of Estimated Public Elementary, Intermediate, and High School Utilization, 2018 Lesser Density Alternative and Proposed Project	23-24
23-7	Comparison of Adequacy of Open Space Resources Lesser Density Alternative Compared With the Proposed Project, 2018	23-25
23-8	Vehicle Trip Generation Comparison— Lesser Density Alternative vs. Proposed Project	23-31
23-9	Summary of Significantly Impacted Intersections	23-32
23-10	Comparison of Significant Adverse Crosswalk Impacts for the Lesser Density Alternative and the Proposed Project	23-34
28-1	2018 Future With the Proposed Project With Modifications Open Space Ratios Summary	28-6
28-2	Proposed Project With Modifications 2018 Build LOS	28-11

List of Figures

	<i>following page</i>
S-1 Project Site.....	S-1
S-2 1992 Riverside South Project—Parcel Locations.....	S-3
S-3 Illustrative Aerial Rendering: Riverside Center (Proposed Project Buildings) and Riverside South Buildings to the North.....	S-3
S-4 Proposed Project Site Plan.....	S-5
S-5 Below Grade Program	S-7
S-6 Loading Dock, Garage Entrance and Auto Service Curb Cuts.....	S-7
S-7 Illustrative View of Proposed Project and Surrounding Buildings.....	S-7
S-8 Aerial View Looking West.....	S-8
S-9 Proposed Project Building Heights.....	S-8
S-10 Illustrative Elevation View from Riverside Boulevard.....	S-8
S-11 Proposed Open Space Program.....	S-8
S-12 View Looking West on 60th Street from West End Avenue.....	S-9
S-13 View Looking Southwest from Plaza	S-9
S-14 View Looking West along Water Scrim.....	S-9
S-15 Pedestrian Connections to Riverside Park South.....	S-9
S-16 No Build Scenario 1 Site Plan (1992 FEIS Program).....	S-15
1-1 Project Site.....	1-2
1-2 1992 Riverside South Project—Parcel Locations.....	1-4
1-3 Illustrative Aerial Rendering: Riverside Center (Proposed Project Buildings) and Riverside South Buildings to the North	1-4
1-4 Proposed Project Site Plan.....	1-6
1-5 Below-Grade Program	1-8
1-6 Loading Dock, Garage Entrance and Auto Service Curb Cuts.....	1-8
1-7 Illustrative View of Proposed Project and Surrounding Buildings.....	1-8
1-8 Aerial View Looking West.....	1-8
1-9 Proposed Project Building Heights.....	1-8
1-10 Illustrative Elevation View from Riverside Boulevard.....	1-8
1-11 Proposed Open Space Program.....	1-8
1-12 View Looking West on 60th Street from West End Avenue.....	1-10
1-13 View Looking Southwest from Plaza	1-10
1-14 View Looking West Along Water Scrim.....	1-10

Riverside Center DSEIS

1-15	Pedestrian Connections to Riverside Park South	1-10
1-16	No Build Scenario 1 Site Plan (1992 FEIS Program)	1-18
2-1	Existing Land Use	2-2
2-2	Residential Developments Discussed in the Land Use Analysis	2-6
2-3	Existing Zoning	2-12
2-4	No Build Projects	2-18
3-1	Socioeconomic Study Area	3-2
3-2	Existing Businesses on the Project Site.....	3-24
4-1	Public Schools	4-8
4-2	Public Libraries	4-20
4-3	Public Child Care and Head Start Facilities.....	4-24
4-4	Police, Fire, and Hospital Facilities.....	4-24
5-1	Open Space Resources	5-6
5-2	Proposed Project Site Plan	5-26
5-3	Pedestrian Connections to Riverside Park South	5-28
6-1	Maximum Shadow Impact Area—View North.....	6-4
6-2	Shadows—March 21/Sept. 21 – 8:00 AM EST	6-4
6-3	Shadows—March 21/Sept. 21 – 11:00 AM EST	6-4
6-4	Shadows—March 21/Sept. 21 – 12:00 PM EST	6-4
6-5	Shadows—March 21/Sept. 21 – 2:00 PM EST	6-4
6-6	Shadows—March 21/Sept. 21 – 4:00 PM EST	6-4
6-7	Shadows—May 6/August 6 – 7:00 AM EST	6-4
6-8	Shadows—May 6/August 6 – 9:00 AM EST	6-4
6-9	Shadows—May 6/August 6 – 11:00 PM EST.....	6-4
6-10	Shadows—May 6/August 6 – 1:00 PM EST.....	6-4
6-11	Shadows—May 6/August 6 – 3:00 PM EST.....	6-4
6-12	Shadows—May 6/August 6 – 5:00 PM EST.....	6-4
6-13	Shadows—June 21 – 6:00 AM EST.....	6-4
6-14	Shadows—June 21 – 8:00 AM EST.....	6-4
6-15	Shadows—June 21 – 10:00 AM EST.....	6-4
6-16	Shadows—June 21 – 12:00 PM EST	6-4
6-17	Shadows—June 21 – 2:00 PM EST	6-4
6-18	Shadows—June 21 – 4:00 PM EST	6-4
6-19	Shadows—June 21 – 6:00 PM EST	6-4
6-20	Shadows—December 21 – 9:00 AM EST	6-4
6-21	Shadows—December 21 – 11:00 AM EST	6-4
6-22	Shadows—December 21 – 12:00 PM EST	6-4
6-23	Shadows—December 21 – 1:30 PM EST	6-4
6-24	Shadows—December 21 – 2:45 PM EST	6-4

7-1	Archaeological Resources.....	7-2
7-2	1992 FEIS Affected Historic Resources	7-4
7-3	Architectural Resources	7-4
7-4	Project Site.....	7-8
7-5	Study Area	7-8
8-1	Urban Design and Visual Resources—Study Areas and Photo Key	8-2
8-2	Photographs of Project Site.....	8-6
8-3	Project Site and Study Area Views.....	8-6
8-4	Study Area Views	8-8
8-5	Study Area Views	8-8
8-6	Study Area Views	8-8
8-7	Study Area Views	8-8
8-8	Study Area Views	8-8
8-9	Study Area Views	8-10
8-10	Study Area Views	8-10
8-11	Study Area Views	8-10
8-12	Study Area Views	8-10
8-13	Study Area Views	8-12
8-14	Study Area Views	8-14
8-15	Study Area Views	8-14
8-16	No Build Scenario 1—Site Plan (1992 FEIS Program).....	8-14
8-17	No Build Scenario 1—View East along West 60th Street.....	8-14
8-18	No Build Scenario 1—View East along Continuation Line of West 60th Street	8-14
8-19	Aerial View Looking West	8-18
8-20	View Looking West on 60th Street from West End Avenue	8-18
8-21	View Looking West at Plaza from West 60th Street	8-18
8-22	View Looking Southwest From Plaza	8-18
8-23	View Looking West Along Water Scrim.....	8-18
8-24	View Looking East at Meadow.....	8-18
8-25	Proposed View West Along West 60th Street from Amsterdam to Project Site	8-20
8-26	South Elevation—Proposed View South from West 60th Street.....	8-20
8-27	Illustrative View of Proposed Project and Surrounding Buildings.....	8-22
8-28	View Looking South of West End Avenue from West 60th Street	8-23
10-1	Flood Plains	10-10
10-2	NWI Wetlands	10-10
10-3	NYSDEC Wetlands	10-10
10-4	Terrestrial Resources	10-10
11-1	Soil and Groundwater Sampling Locations	11-4
12-1	Coastal Zone Boundary	12-2

Riverside Center DSEIS

16-1	Traffic Study Area.....	16-4
16-2	2008 Existing Conditions—Weekday AM Peak Hour.....	16-6
16-3	2008 Existing Conditions—Weekday MD Peak Hour.....	16-6
16-4	2008 Existing Conditions—Weekday PM Peak Hour	16-6
16-5	2008 Existing Conditions—Weekday Sat MD Peak Hour.....	16-6
16-6	Existing Public Parking Lots Within ¼ Mile	16-16
16-7	On-Street Parking Regulations.....	16-18
16-8	No Build Conditions—Weekday AM Peak Hour	16-22
16-9	No Build Conditions—Weekday MD Peak Hour	16-22
16-10	No Build Conditions—Weekday PM Peak Hour.....	16-22
16-11	No Build Conditions—Weekday Sat MD Peak Hour	16-22
16-12	Future Roadway Plan	16-32
16-13	Trip Assignment Distribution.....	16-34
16-14	Increment Volumes—Weekday AM Peak Hour.....	16-34
16-15	Increment Volumes—Weekday MD Peak Hour.....	16-34
16-16	Increment Volumes—Weekday PM Peak Hour	16-34
16-17	Increment Volumes—Weekday Sat MD Peak Hour.....	16-34
16-18	Increment Volumes—Weekday AM Peak Hour.....	16-34
16-19	Increment Volumes—Weekday MD Peak Hour.....	16-34
16-20	Build Volumes—Weekday PM Peak Hour.....	16-34
16-21	Build Volumes—Weekday Sat MD Peak Hour	16-34
16-22	2018 Roadway Plan with Relocated Miller Highway	16-56
17-1	59th Street-Columbus Circle Subway Station.....	17-10
17-2	Local Bus Routes	17-12
17-3	Pedestrian Analysis Locations	17-12
18-1	Air Quality Mobile Source Analysis Sites	18-16
19-1	Noise Receptor Locations	19-8
20-1	Conceptual Construction Schedule	20-10
20-2	2012 Construction Increment Volumes—Weekday AM Peak Hour	20-28
20-3	2012 Construction Increment Volumes—Weekday PM Peak Hour.....	20-28
20-4	Riverside Center Peak (24-hour Average)—Construction PM _{2.5} Emissions Profile..	20-40
20-5	Riverside Center Annual (Moving 12-Month Average)—Construction PM _{2.5} Emissions Profile.....	20-40
20-6	Construction Noise Receptor Locations.....	20-56
23-1	Illustrative Lesser Density Alternative Buildings 1 and 2.....	23-22
23-2	Illustrative Lesser Density Alternative Buildings 3, 4, and 5.....	23-22
28-1	Proposed Project with Design Modifications: Site Plan.....	28-4
28-2	Proposed Project with Design Modifications: 59th Street Section	28-5
28-3	Proposed Project with Design Modifications: Riverside Boulevard Section.....	28-5

28-4	Proposed Project with Design Modifications: West 59th Street Elevations	28-5
		*