Appendix A.3

Land Use

FOR INTERNAL USE ONLY	WRP No.
Date Received:	DOS No.

NEW YORK CITY WATERFRONT REVITALIZATION PROGRAM Consistency Assessment Form

Proposed actions that are subject to CEQR, ULURP or other local, state or federal discretionary review procedures, and that are within New York City's Coastal Zone, must be reviewed and assessed for their consistency with the <u>New York City Waterfront Revitalization Program</u> (WRP) which has been approved as part of the State's Coastal Management Program.

This form is intended to assist an applicant in certifying that the proposed activity is consistent with the WRP. It should be completed when the local, state, or federal application is prepared. The completed form and accompanying information will be used by the New York State Department of State, the New York City Department of City Planning, or other city or state agencies in their review of the applicant's certification of consistency.

Project site owner (if different than above):

B. PROPOSED ACTIVITY

A. APPLICANT INFORMATION

If more space is needed, include as an attachment.

I. Brief description of activity

The Department of City Planning (DCP) proposes a zoning text amendment to establish a City Planning Commission Special Permit for new hotel developments within M1 (light manufacturing) districts citywide. The proposed text amendment would apply to all M1 districts, excluding MX or paired M1/R districts, except for M1 districts that include airport property and non-residential areas adjacent to airports and M1 districts with existing hotel Special Permit provisions. The CPC Special permit would be required for transient accommodations including hotels, motels, and boatels.

2. Purpose of activity

As New York City's population and employment numbers hit record highs, competition for land is growing and light manufacturing zoning districts (M1 zones) have emerged as one of the City's last reservoirs of build-able land. The proposed action would ensure that hotel development does not occur on sites that should remain available for other sector growth and that they locate only on appropriate sites that allow for more balanced neighborhood growth.

ī

C. PI	ROJECT LOCATION
Во	brough: All Boroughs Tax Block/Lot(s): Many blocks and lots
Sti	reet Address: n/a
Na	ame of water body (if located on the waterfront):
	EQUIRED ACTIONS OR APPROVALS all that apply.
City A	Actions/Approvals/Funding
C i	ty Planning Commission City Map Amendment
B c [[pard of Standards and Appeals
O : [[[ther City Approvals Legislation
State	Actions/Approvals/Funding
]]]	State permit or license, specify Agency: Permit type and number: Funding for Construction, specify: Funding of a Program, specify: Other, explain:
Feder	al Actions/Approvals/Funding
]]]	Federal permit or license, specify Agency: Permit type and number: Funding for Construction, specify: Funding of a Program, specify: Other, explain:
la thia l	poing reviewed in conjunction with a loint Application for Permits?

E. LOCATION QUESTIONS

١.	Does the project require a waterfront site?	Yes	☐ No
2.	Would the action result in a physical alteration to a waterfront site, including land along the shoreline, land under water or coastal waters?	Tes Yes	✓ No
3.	Is the project located on publicly owned land or receiving public assistance?	Yes	☐ No
4.	Is the project located within a FEMA 1% annual chance floodplain? (6.2)	☐ Yes	✓ No
5.	Is the project located within a FEMA 0.2% annual chance floodplain? (6.2)	Yes	✓ No
6.	Is the project located adjacent to or within a special area designation? See <u>Maps – Part III</u> of the NYC WRP. If so, check appropriate boxes below and evaluate policies noted in parentheses as part of WRP Policy Assessment (Section F).	✓ Yes	□ No
	✓ Significant Maritime and Industrial Area (SMIA) (2.1)		
	✓ Special Natural Waterfront Area (SNWA) (4.1)		
	✓ Priority Maritime Activity Zone (PMAZ) (3.5)		
	Recognized Ecological Complex (REC) (4.4)		
	☐ West Shore Ecologically Sensitive Maritime and Industrial Area (ESMIA) (2.2, 4.2)		

F. WRP POLICY ASSESSMENT

Review the project or action for consistency with the WRP policies. For each policy, check Promote, Hinder or Not Applicable (N/A). For more information about consistency review process and determination, see **Part I** of the <u>NYC Waterfront Revitalization Program</u>. When assessing each policy, review the full policy language, including all sub-policies, contained within **Part II** of the WRP. The relevance of each applicable policy may vary depending upon the project type and where it is located (i.e. if it is located within one of the special area designations).

For those policies checked Promote or Hinder, provide a written statement on a separate page that assesses the effects of the proposed activity on the relevant policies or standards. If the project or action promotes a policy, explain how the action would be consistent with the goals of the policy. If it hinders a policy, consideration should be given toward any practical means of altering or modifying the project to eliminate the hindrance. Policies that would be advanced by the project should be balanced against those that would be hindered by the project. If reasonable modifications to eliminate the hindrance are not possible, consideration should be given as to whether the hindrance is of such a degree as to be substantial, and if so, those adverse effects should be mitigated to the extent practicable.

1	Support and facilitate commercial and residential redevelopment in areas well-suited to such development.	V		
1.1	Encourage commercial and residential redevelopment in appropriate Coastal Zone areas.	V		
1.2	Encourage non-industrial development with uses and design features that enliven the waterfront and attract the public.			V
1.3	Encourage redevelopment in the Coastal Zone where public facilities and infrastructure are adequate or will be developed.			
1.4	In areas adjacent to SMIAs, ensure new residential development maximizes compatibility with existing adjacent maritime and industrial uses.			7
1.5	Integrate consideration of climate change and sea level rise into the planning and design of waterfront residential and commercial development, pursuant to WRP Policy 6.2.			V

		Promote	Promote Hinder	
2	Support water-dependent and industrial uses in New York City coastal areas that are well-suited to their continued operation.	7		
2.1	Promote water-dependent and industrial uses in Significant Maritime and Industrial Areas.	7		
2.2	Encourage a compatible relationship between working waterfront uses, upland development and natural resources within the Ecologically Sensitive Maritime and Industrial Area.			\
2.3	Encourage working waterfront uses at appropriate sites outside the Significant Maritime and Industrial Areas or Ecologically Sensitive Maritime Industrial Area.	/		
2.4	Provide infrastructure improvements necessary to support working waterfront uses.			V
2.5	Incorporate consideration of climate change and sea level rise into the planning and design of waterfront industrial development and infrastructure, pursuant to WRP Policy 6.2.			V
3	Promote use of New York City's waterways for commercial and recreational boating and water-dependent transportation.			V
3.1.	Support and encourage in-water recreational activities in suitable locations.			
3.2	Support and encourage recreational, educational and commercial boating in New York City's maritime centers.			
3.3	Minimize conflicts between recreational boating and commercial ship operations.			
3.4	Minimize impact of commercial and recreational boating activities on the aquatic environment and surrounding land and water uses.			
3.5	In Priority Marine Activity Zones, support the ongoing maintenance of maritime infrastructure for water-dependent uses.			
4	Protect and restore the quality and function of ecological systems within the New York City coastal area.			V
4.1	Protect and restore the ecological quality and component habitats and resources within the Special Natural Waterfront Areas.			
4.2	Protect and restore the ecological quality and component habitats and resources within the Ecologically Sensitive Maritime and Industrial Area.			
4.3	Protect designated Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitats.			
4.4	Identify, remediate and restore ecological functions within Recognized Ecological Complexes.			
4.5	Protect and restore tidal and freshwater wetlands.			
4.6	In addition to wetlands, seek opportunities to create a mosaic of habitats with high ecological value and function that provide environmental and societal benefits. Restoration should strive to incorporate multiple habitat characteristics to achieve the greatest ecological benefit at a single location.			
4.7	Protect vulnerable plant, fish and wildlife species, and rare ecological communities. Design and develop land and water uses to maximize their integration or compatibility with the identified ecological community.			
4.8	Maintain and protect living aquatic resources.			

		Promote	Hinder	N/A
5	Protect and improve water quality in the New York City coastal area.			V
5.1	Manage direct or indirect discharges to waterbodies.			
5.2	Protect the quality of New York City's waters by managing activities that generate nonpoint source pollution.			
5.3	Protect water quality when excavating or placing fill in navigable waters and in or near marshes, estuaries, tidal marshes, and wetlands.			
5.4	Protect the quality and quantity of groundwater, streams, and the sources of water for wetlands.			
5.5	Protect and improve water quality through cost-effective grey-infrastructure and in-water ecological strategies.			
6	Minimize loss of life, structures, infrastructure, and natural resources caused by flooding and erosion, and increase resilience to future conditions created by climate change.	V		
6.1	Minimize losses from flooding and erosion by employing non-structural and structural management measures appropriate to the site, the use of the property to be protected, and the surrounding area.	7		
6.2	Integrate consideration of the latest New York City projections of climate change and sea level rise (as published in New York City Panel on Climate Change 2015 Report, Chapter 2: Sea Level Rise and Coastal Storms) into the planning and design of projects in the city's Coastal Zone.	V		
6.3	Direct public funding for flood prevention or erosion control measures to those locations where the investment will yield significant public benefit.			/
6.4	Protect and preserve non-renewable sources of sand for beach nourishment.			/
7	Minimize environmental degradation and negative impacts on public health from solid waste, toxic pollutants, hazardous materials, and industrial materials that may pose risks to the environment and public health and safety.			V
7.1	Manage solid waste material, hazardous wastes, toxic pollutants, substances hazardous to the environment, and the unenclosed storage of industrial materials to protect public health, control pollution and prevent degradation of coastal ecosystems.			
7.2	Prevent and remediate discharge of petroleum products.			
7.3	Transport solid waste and hazardous materials and site solid and hazardous waste facilities in a manner that minimizes potential degradation of coastal resources.			
8	Provide public access to, from, and along New York City's coastal waters.			V
8.1	Preserve, protect, maintain, and enhance physical, visual and recreational access to the waterfront.			
8.2	Incorporate public access into new public and private development where compatible with proposed land use and coastal location.			
8.3	Provide visual access to the waterfront where physically practical.			
8.4	Preserve and develop waterfront open space and recreation on publicly owned land at suitable locations.			

		Promot	e Hinder	N/A
8.5	Preserve the public interest in and use of lands and waters held in public trust by the State and City.			
8.6	Design waterfront public spaces to encourage the waterfront's identity and encourage stewardship.			
9	Protect scenic resources that contribute to the visual quality of the New York City coastal area.			Z
9.1	Protect and improve visual quality associated with New York City's urban context and the historic and working waterfront.			
9.2	Protect and enhance scenic values associated with natural resources.			
10	Protect, preserve, and enhance resources significant to the historical, archaeological, architectural, and cultural legacy of the New York City coastal area.			Z
10.1	Retain and preserve historic resources, and enhance resources significant to the coastal culture of New York City.			
10.2	Protect and preserve archaeological resources and artifacts.			
Water canno "The New Manag	pplicant or agent must certify that the proposed activity is consistent with New York City's appropriate the proposed activity is consistent with New York City's appropriate the proposed activity shall not be undertaken. If this certification can be made, complete this proposed activity complies with New York State's approved Coastal Management Program as expected York City's approved Local Waterfront Revitalization Program, pursuant to New York State's gement Program, and will be conducted in a manner consistent with such program." Stant/Agent's Name: Jennifer Gravel, NYC Department of City Planning	rtificati is Section pressed	ion on.	
	120 Broadway, 31st Floor, New York, NY			
	hone: 212-720-3300 Email: jgravel@planning.nyc.gov			
Applic	tant/Agent's Signature:		_	
Date:	April 17, 2018			

<u>Policy 1.1 Encourage commercial and residential redevelopment in appropriate</u> <u>Coastal Zone areas.</u>

The proposed action would require a discretionary approval process for transient accommodations including hotels, motels and boatels in existing M1 districts, allowing for the consideration of appropriateness of hotel development on a site-by-site basis. This action would limit the potential for hotel development to impede the growth and development of other, more well-serving uses, particularly in established mixed-use neighborhoods, including such neighborhoods in Coastal Zone areas. Though the proposed action would not necessarily promote more appropriate commercial and residential uses on these sites, the action is intended to provide more siting opportunities for these uses. Therefore, the proposed action would be consistent with this policy.

Policy 2.1 Promote water-dependent and industrial uses in Significant Maritime and Industrial Areas.

Industrial areas within the city's Coastal Zone contain a wide variety of industrial uses that support the local and regional economy, promote job growth and provide valuable services for local workers and businesses. This policy states that a project that maintains sufficient manufacturing zoning in Significant Maritime and Industrial Areas (SMIAs) to permit these essential industrial uses would be supportive of this policy. The proposed action would ensure that, where appropriate, potential development sites in manufacturing districts, both in and outside of SMIAs, would be preserved as development opportunities for industrial and manufacturing businesses. Therefore, the proposed action promotes and is consistent with this policy.

<u>Policy 2.3 Promote working waterfront uses at appropriate sites outside the Significant Maritime and Industrial Areas or Ecologically Sensitive Maritime Industrial Areas.</u>

See response to WRP Policy 2.1 above.

Policy 6.1: Minimize losses from flooding and erosion by employing non-structural and structural management measures appropriate to the site, the use of the property to be protected, and the surrounding area.

See response to WRP Policy 6.2 below.

Policy 6.2: Integrate consideration of the latest New York City projections of climate change and sea level rise (as published in New York City Panel on Climate Change 2015 Report, Chapter 2: Sea Level Rise and Coastal Storms) into the planning and design of projects in the City's Coastal Zone

The proposed action is applicable to M1 districts citywide (with some exceptions), and affects the other as-of-right districts across the city, many of which are located in the current flood zone and/or areas susceptible to sea level rise. Therefore, sites that are affected by the proposed action may be located in current or future flood zones. The proposed action establishes new restrictions on hotel development,

requiring a discretionary process for proposed hotel development in M1 districts. Therefore, future development applications for sites in the city's flood zone will be subject to further review to ensure that adaptive measures are incorporated where necessary. In addition, the proposed action would not induce development on sites that would not otherwise be developed with another uses, and therefore does not create further vulnerabilities to flooding and sea level rise. All developments would be subject to existing federal, state and local floodplain regulations. Finally, proposed action would not hinder the ability of future developments to incorporate adaptive measures to mitigate flood risk. Therefore, the proposed action is consistent with this policy.