# **Chapter 17: Public Health**

#### 17.1 Introduction

This chapter assesses the effect of the Proposed Actions on public health. As defined by the *City Environmental Quality Review* (CEQR) *Technical Manual*, public health is the organized effort of society to protect and improve the health and well-being of the population through monitoring; assessment and surveillance; health promotion; prevention of disease, injury, disorder, disability, and premature death; and reducing inequalities in health status. The goal of CEQR with respect to public health is to determine whether adverse impacts on human health may occur as a result of a proposed project and, if so, to identify measures to mitigate such effects.

As described in Chapter 1, "Project Description," the Jerome Avenue Rezoning consists of a series of land use actions (collectively, the "Proposed Actions") intended to facilitate the implementation of the objectives of the Jerome Avenue Neighborhood Plan (the "Plan"). The affected area comprises an approximately 92-block area primarily along Jerome Avenue and its east west commercial corridors in Bronx Community Districts (CDs) 4, 5, and 7 (the "rezoning area"). The rezoning area is generally bounded by 184th Street to the north and East 165th Street to the south, and also includes portions of 183rd Street, Burnside Avenue, Tremont Avenue, Mount Eden Avenue, 170th Street, Edward L. Grant Highway, and East 167th Street.

The *CEQR Technical Manual* states that a public health assessment is not necessary for most projects. Where no significant adverse unmitigated impacts are found in other CEQR analysis areas – such as air quality, water quality, hazardous materials, or noise – no public health analysis is warranted. If, however, an unmitigated adverse impact is identified in any of these other CEQR analysis areas, the lead agency may determine that a public health assessment is warranted for that specific technical area.

## **17.2** Principal Conclusions

The Proposed Actions would not result in significant adverse public health impacts. As described in the relevant analyses of this EIS, the Proposed Actions would not result in unmitigated significant adverse impacts in the following technical areas that contribute to public health: water quality, hazardous materials, operational noise, operational air quality, or construction air quality. However, as described in Chapter 19, "Construction", the Proposed Actions could result in unmitigated construction noise impacts

as defined by *CEQR Technical Manual*. As such, it was determined that a public health assessment as to construction noise was appropriate. The assessment was conducted, and for the reasons discussed below, it was determined that the construction noise impact would not generate a significant adverse public health impact.

## **17.3** Public Health Assessment–Construction Noise

As described in Chapter 19, "Construction," the *CEQR Technical Manual* divides construction duration into "short-term (less than two years) and long-term (two or more years)" and states that impacts resulting from short-term construction generally do not require detailed assessment. This has typically been interpreted to mean that construction noise would generally only have a significant impact on sensitive receptors only when the activity with the potential to create high noise levels (the "intensity") would occur continuously for two or more years (the "duration"). The *CEQR Technical Manual* states that the impact criteria for vehicular sources, using the No-Action noise level as the baseline, should be used for assessing construction noise impacts. Per the guidance of the *CEQR Technical Manual*, this study uses the following criteria to define a significant adverse noise impact from mobile and on-site construction activities:

- If the No-Action noise level is less than 60 dBA  $L_{eq}(1)$ , a five dBA  $L_{eq}(1)$  or greater increase would be considered significant.
- If the No-Action noise level is between 60 dBA  $L_{eq}(1)$  and 62 dBA  $L_{eq}(1)$ , a resultant  $L_{eq}(1)$  of 65 dBA or greater would be considered a significant increase.
- If the No-Action noise level is equal to or greater than 62 dBA L<sub>eq</sub>(1), or if the analysis period is a nighttime period (defined in the CEQR criteria as being between 10:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m.), the incremental significant impact threshold would be three dBA L<sub>eq</sub>(1).

Construction associated with the Proposed Actions would be required to follow the requirements of the New York City Noise Control Code (NYC Noise Code) for construction noise control measures. Specific noise control measures will be described in a noise mitigation plan required under the NYC Noise Code. These measures could include a variety of source and path controls. Even with these measures, the analysis presented in Chapter 19, "Construction," found that predicted noise levels due to construction-related activities would result in noise levels that may exceed the *CEQR Technical Manual* impact criteria during two or more consecutive years at receptors within and in the vicinity of the Project Area.

Chapter 17: Public Health

#### Assessment

The *CEQR Technical Manual* construction noise impacts thresholds are based on quality of life considerations and not on public health considerations. In terms of public health, significance is not determined based on the incremental change in noise level, but is based principally upon the magnitude of noise level and duration of exposure. As stated in Chapter 20 of the *CEQR Technical Manual*, chronic noise exposure may raise blood pressure and has been suggested to contribute to myocardial infarctions, as well as to interfere with language development in children; prolonged exposure to levels about 85 dBA will eventually harm hearing. In addition, episodic and unpredictable exposure to short-term impacts of noise at high decibel levels may also affect health. Accordingly, it is appropriate to evaluate magnitude of noise level and duration of exposure when examining public health.

Although the CEQR Technical Manual thresholds for significant adverse impacts are predicted to be exceeded at certain locations during construction, the magnitude and duration of these exceedances would not constitute a significant adverse public health impact. As discussed above, the CEQR Technical Manual noise thresholds are based on quality of life considerations and not on public health considerations. An impact found pursuant to a quality of life framework does not imply that an impact will exist when the analysis area is evaluated in terms of public health. The predicted absolute noise levels would be below the health-based noise threshold of 85 dBA at all at-grade receptors. Some receptors may experience absolute noise levels above 85 dBA at elevations above the first floor at the building façade — especially those receptors that are immediately adjacent to construction sites and above the height of site-perimeter noise barriers. However, outdoor terraces are not common within the rezoning area. As such, residents at these receptors would not experience exterior levels of construction noise. Because the buildings at these receptors would provide approximately 25 dBA window/wall attenuation, interior noise levels would be below the health-based noise threshold of 85 dBA. Accordingly, neither the magnitude nor the duration of the construction noise reaches the public health impact threshold. Since these are the appropriate criteria for the Public Health assessment, it follows that the Proposed Actions would not result in significant adverse public health impacts due to construction noise.