

**Appendix 5**  
**Air Quality**

## A. AIR QUALITY ANALYSIS RESULTS

### INDIVIDUAL HEAT AND HOT WATER SYSTEMS

A summary of the results of the refined dispersion modeling analysis (Tier 1 and Tier 2) of development and enlargement sites is presented in Table 5-1 of this appendix for the Proposed Action and Table 5-2 for the Proposed Action with the Potential CPC Modifications. The tables presents, for emissions from each development and enlargement site, the existing properties and/or proposed site(s) affected thereby, the maximum predicted concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub>, and the type(s) of restrictions for the proposed (E) designation for these constituents. It also presents the maximum annual frequencies and annual average frequencies greater than 2 µg/m<sup>3</sup>. Consistent with the refined analysis, the maximum predicted concentrations presented assume application of the proposed restrictions.

As shown in the table, the results of the analysis determined that maximum concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub> would be less than the annual and 1-hour NAAQS for all sites for which a refined modeling analysis was performed (excluding sites that would be restricted by an (E) designation to utilize Con Edison steam and potential enlargement sites that would be subject to DOB Code restrictions through (E) designations). The air quality modeling analysis also determined the highest predicted increase in PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations. The maximum projected PM<sub>2.5</sub> increments from the Proposed Action would be less than the applicable interim guidance criteria of 0.3 µg/m<sup>3</sup> on an annual basis and 5 µg/m<sup>3</sup> on a 24-hour average basis.

At 44 12 projected and potential sites (excluding sites that would be restricted by an (E) designation to utilize Con Edison steam and potential enlargement sites that would be subject to DOB restrictions), the maximum 24-hour average PM<sub>2.5</sub> incremental concentration was predicted to exceed the interim guidance criterion of 2 µg/m<sup>3</sup>. Therefore, the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration increments with the Proposed Action were compared to the 24-hour average interim guidance criterion of 2 µg/m<sup>3</sup> for discrete receptor locations (see Chapter 14, Section D., Air Quality Standards, Regulations Benchmarks, for a description of the City's PM<sub>2.5</sub> interim guidance criteria). An assessment of the magnitude, duration, frequency, and extent of the increments at locations where exposure above the 2 µg/m<sup>3</sup> threshold averaged over a 24-hour period could occur follows.

*Projected Development Site 2* - The maximum 24-hour average incremental PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration of 3.6 µg/m<sup>3</sup> from Projected Development Site 2 was predicted on the south façade of an existing transient hotel at 246 Spring Street at a height of 336 feet. At the location where the maximum 24-hour average concentration was predicted, the maximum annual frequency of concentrations greater than 2 µg/m<sup>3</sup> was twice per year, with the average frequency of once per year, over five years. At the same elevation, on the south and west façades of the building, there were locations with incremental concentrations exceeding 2 µg/m<sup>3</sup>. At these locations, 24-hour average incremental concentrations from Projected Development Site 2 were predicted to exceed

## Hudson Square Rezoning FEIS

---

2  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  at a maximum frequency ranging from one to two times per year, with an average frequency of less than two times per year. One other floor on this building was found to have locations with incremental concentrations exceeding 2  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ , on the south façade at a height of 326 feet. At these locations, 24-hour average incremental concentrations from Projected Development Site 2 were predicted to exceed 2  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  at a maximum frequency of once per year, with an average frequency of less than once per year.

~~Projected Development Site 11 - The maximum 24-hour average incremental  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  concentration of 2.4  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  from Projected Development Site 11 was predicted on the north façade of Projected Development Site 10 at a height of 110 feet. At the location where the maximum 24-hour average concentration was predicted, the maximum annual frequency of concentrations greater than 2  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  was twice per year, with the average frequency of less than once per year, over five years. At the same elevation, on the north and east façades of the building, there were locations with incremental concentrations exceeding 2  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ . At these locations, 24-hour average incremental concentrations from Projected Development Site 11 were predicted to exceed 2  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  at a maximum frequency of once per year, with an average frequency of less than once per year. One other building was found to have a location with incremental concentrations exceeding 2  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ , on the west façade of Projected Development Site 3 at a height of 110 feet. At this location, 24-hour average incremental concentration from Projected Development Site 11 was predicted to exceed 2  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  at a maximum frequency of once per year, with an average frequency of less than once per year.~~

*Projected Development Site 12* - The maximum 24-hour average incremental  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  concentration of 3.0  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  from Projected Development Site 12 was predicted on the south façade of Projected Development Site 3 at a height of 184 feet. At the location where the maximum 24-hour average concentration was predicted, the maximum annual frequency of concentrations greater than 2  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  was once per year, with the average frequency of less than once per year, over five years. At the same elevation, on the south façades of the building, there were locations with incremental concentrations exceeding 2  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ . At these locations, 24-hour average incremental concentrations from Projected Development Site 2 were predicted to exceed 2  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  at a maximum frequency of once per year, with an average frequency of less than two times per year. One other building was found to have a location with incremental concentrations exceeding 2  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ , on the east façade of Projected Development Site 10 at a height of 184 feet. At this location, 24-hour average incremental concentration from Projected Development Site 12 was predicted to exceed 2  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  at a maximum frequency of once per year, with an average frequency of less than once per year.

*Projected Development Site 15* - The maximum 24-hour average incremental  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  concentration of ~~2.6~~ 2.2  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  from Projected Development Site 15 was predicted on the south façade of an existing commercial building at 119 Varick Street at a height of 129 feet. At the location where the maximum 24-hour average concentration was predicted, the maximum annual frequency of concentrations greater than 2  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  was ~~three times~~ once per year, with the average frequency of less than ~~twice~~ once per year, over five years. ~~At the same elevation, on the south façade of the building, there were locations with incremental concentrations exceeding 2  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ . At these locations, 24-hour average incremental concentrations from Projected Development Site 15 were predicted to exceed 2  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  at a maximum frequency ranging from one to two times per year, with an average frequency of less than once per year.~~

*Projected Development Site 16* - The maximum 24-hour average incremental  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  concentration of 2.8  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  from Projected Development Site 16 was predicted on the east façade

## Appendix 5: Proposed Text for Sites Requiring Air Quality (E) Designations

---

of Projected Enlargement Site 2 at a height of 198 feet. At the location where the maximum 24-hour average concentration was predicted, the maximum annual frequency of concentrations greater than  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  was three times per year, with the average frequency of less than once per year, over five years. At the same elevation, on the east façade of the building, there was a location with incremental concentrations exceeding  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ . At this location, 24-hour average incremental concentrations from Projected Development Site 16 were predicted to exceed  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  at a maximum frequency ranging from once per year, with an average frequency of less than once per year. One other floor on this building was found to have a location with incremental concentrations exceeding  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ , on the east façade at a height of 188 feet. At this location, 24-hour average incremental concentrations from Projected Development Site 16 were predicted to exceed  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  at a maximum frequency of twice per year, with an average frequency of less than once per year.

*Potential Development Site 20* - The maximum 24-hour average incremental  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  concentration of  $3.3 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  from Potential Development Site 20 was predicted on the east façade of Projected Development Site 19 at a height of 110 feet. At the location where the maximum 24-hour average concentration was predicted, the maximum annual frequency of concentrations greater than  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  was twice per year, with the average frequency of once per year, over five years. At the same elevation, on the north and east façades of the building, there were locations with incremental concentrations exceeding  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ . At these locations, 24-hour average incremental concentrations from Potential Development Site 20 were predicted to exceed  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  at a maximum frequency of once per year, with an average frequency of less than once per year.

*Potential Development Site 22* - The maximum 24-hour average incremental  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  concentration of  $3.6 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  from Potential Development Site 22 was predicted on the north façade of an existing transient hotel at 52 Watts Street at a height of 129 feet. At the location where the maximum 24-hour average concentration was predicted, the maximum annual frequency of concentrations greater than  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  was five times per year, with the average frequency of less than twice per year, over five years. At the same elevation, on the south façade of the building, there were locations with incremental concentrations exceeding  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ . At these locations, 24-hour average incremental concentrations from Potential Development Site 22 were predicted to exceed  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  at a maximum frequency ranging from one to six times per year, with an average frequency ranging from less than once per year to less than four times per year.

*Potential Development Site 23* - The maximum 24-hour average incremental  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  concentration of ~~2.4~~ 2.3  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  from Potential Development Site 23 was predicted on the west façade of an existing commercial building at 119 Varick Street at a height of 129 feet. At the location where the maximum 24-hour average concentration was predicted, the maximum annual frequency of concentrations greater than  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  was ~~twice~~ once per year, with the average frequency of less than once per year, over five years. At the same elevation, on the west façade of the building, there was a location with incremental concentrations exceeding  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ . At this location, 24-hour average incremental concentrations from Potential Development Site 23 were predicted to exceed  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  at a maximum frequency of once per year, with an average frequency of less than once per year. ~~One other building was found to have a location with incremental concentrations exceeding  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ , on the east façade of 250 Hudson Street at a height of 129 feet. At this location, 24-hour average incremental concentration from Potential Development Site 23 was predicted to exceed  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  at a maximum frequency of once per year, with an average frequency of less than once per year.~~

## Hudson Square Rezoning FEIS

---

*Projected Enlargement Site 2* - The maximum 24-hour average incremental PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration of ~~2.5~~ 2.1  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  from Projected Enlargement Site 2 was predicted on the ~~south~~ north façade of an existing hotel at 246 Spring Street at a height of 336 feet. At the location where the maximum 24-hour average concentration was predicted, the maximum annual frequency of concentrations greater than  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  was once per year, with the average frequency of less than once per year, over five years. ~~At the same elevation, on the north and east façades of the building, there were locations with incremental concentrations exceeding  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ . At these locations, 24-hour average incremental concentrations from Projected Enlargement Site 2 were predicted to exceed  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  at a maximum frequency of once per year, with an average frequency of less than once per year. One other floor on this building was found to have locations with incremental concentrations exceeding  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ , on the north and east façade at a height of 326 feet. At these locations, 24-hour average incremental concentrations from Projected Enlargement Site 2 were predicted to exceed  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  at a maximum frequency of twice per year, with an average frequency of less than once per year.~~

*Projected Enlargement Site 3* - The maximum 24-hour average incremental PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration of ~~2.8~~ 2.7  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  from Projected Enlargement Site 3 was predicted on the west façade of Potential Development Site 20 at a height of 103 feet. At the location where the maximum 24-hour average concentration was predicted, the maximum annual frequency of concentrations greater than  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  was once per year, with the average frequency of less than once per year, over five years. At the same elevation, on the west façade of the building, there were locations with incremental concentrations exceeding  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ . At these locations, 24-hour average incremental concentrations from Projected Development Site 2 were predicted to exceed  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  at a maximum frequency ranging from one to ~~six~~ two times per year, with an average frequency of less than ~~two times~~ once per year. ~~One other building was found to have locations with incremental concentrations exceeding  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ , on the north façade of Projected Development Site 19 at a height of 110 feet. At these locations, 24-hour average incremental concentrations from Projected Enlargement Site 3 were predicted to exceed  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  at a maximum frequency ranging from once to twice per year, with an average frequency of less than once per year.~~

*Potential Enlargement Site 5* - The maximum 24-hour average incremental PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration of ~~2.5~~  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  from Potential Enlargement Site 5 was predicted on the east façade of an existing commercial building at 161 Avenue of the Americas at a height of 90 feet. At the location where the maximum 24-hour average concentration was predicted, the maximum annual frequency of concentrations greater than  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  was once per year, with the average frequency of less than once per year, over five years. At the same elevation, on the east façade of the building, there were locations with incremental concentrations exceeding  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ . At these locations, the 24-hour average incremental concentrations from Potential Enlargement Site 5 were predicted to exceed  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  at a maximum frequency of once per year, with an average frequency of less than once per year. One other floor on this building was found to have locations with incremental concentrations exceeding  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ , on the east façade at a height of 100 feet. At these locations, 24-hour average incremental concentrations from Potential Enlargement Site 5 were predicted to exceed  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  at a maximum frequency ranging from once to twice per year, with an average frequency of less than once per year.

*Potential Enlargement Site 11* - The maximum 24-hour average incremental PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration of  $2.8 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  from Potential Enlargement Site 11 was predicted on the south façade of Projected Development Site 2 at a height of 110 feet. At the location where the maximum 24-hour average concentration was predicted, the maximum annual frequency of concentrations greater than  $2$

## Appendix 5: Proposed Text for Sites Requiring Air Quality (E) Designations

---

$\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  was once per year, with the average frequency of less than once per year, over five years. At the same elevation, on the south façade of the building, there was a location with incremental concentrations exceeding  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ . At this location, 24-hour average incremental concentrations from Potential Enlargement Site 11 were predicted to exceed  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  at a maximum frequency of once per year, with an average frequency of less than once per year.

*Potential Enlargement Site 13* - The maximum 24-hour average incremental  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  concentration of  $2.7 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  from Potential Enlargement Site 13 was predicted on the south façade of Projected Development Site 2 at a height of 90 feet. At the location where the maximum 24-hour average concentration was predicted, the maximum annual frequency of concentrations greater than  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  was twice per year, with the average frequency of less than once per year, over five years.

*Potential Enlargement Site 15* - The maximum 24-hour average incremental  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  concentration of  $2.3 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  from Projected Enlargement Site 15 was predicted on the south façade of Projected Development Site 19 at a height of 110 feet. At the location where the maximum 24-hour average concentration was predicted, the maximum annual frequency of concentrations greater than  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  was once per year, with the average frequency of less than once per year, over five years. At the same elevation, on the south façade of the building, there was a location with incremental concentrations exceeding  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ . At this location, 24-hour average incremental concentrations from Projected Development Site 2 were predicted to exceed  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  at a maximum frequency of once per year, with an average frequency of less than once per year.


### ADDITIONAL SOURCE ANALYSIS


A summary of the results of the refined dispersion modeling analysis of existing sources on affected development and enlargement sites are presented in Table 5-2 of this appendix. The table presents, for each affected development and enlargement site, the maximum predicted concentrations of  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ ,  $\text{NO}_2$  and  $\text{SO}_2$ , and the type(s) of restrictions for the proposed (E) designation for these constituents. Consistent with the refined analysis, the maximum predicted concentrations presented assume application of the proposed restrictions.

As shown in the table, the results of the analysis determined that maximum concentrations of  $\text{NO}_2$  would be less than the annual and 1-hour  $\text{NO}_2$  NAAQS and maximum concentrations of  $\text{SO}_2$  would be less than the 1-hour  $\text{SO}_2$  NAAQS for all sites for which a modeling analysis was performed due to existing sources.


The air quality modeling analysis also determined the highest predicted increase in  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  concentrations. The maximum projected  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  increments from the Proposed Action would be less than the applicable interim guidance criteria of  $0.3 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  on an annual basis and  $5 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  on a 24-hour average basis. In addition,  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  incremental concentrations from existing sources were predicted to be less than  $2.0 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  for five of the seven development and enlargement sites analyzed. At the two enlargement sites where the maximum 24-hour average incremental  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  concentration was predicted to exceed  $2.0 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ , the maximum predicted concentration was no greater than  $2.2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ , and the maximum frequency was once per year over five years. Therefore, the magnitude, frequency and extent of these impacts are considered to be very low.


The results of the analysis of existing buildings determined that certain restrictions would be necessary for each of the ~~seven~~ six affected development and enlargement sites (Projected Development Sites 1, 4, ~~6~~, ~~16~~ and 19, Potential Development Site 24, and Enlargement Site 2) with respect to the placement of operable windows and air intakes. The affected areas of the sites are shown in **Figure 5-1** (Projected Developments Site 4 and 19), **Figure 5-2** (Projected Development Site 6 and



 Area where no operable windows or air intakes would be permitted  
 (North, east and south facades on Projected Development Site 4)  
 (North, east, and west facades on Projected Development Site 19)





 Area where no operable windows or air intakes would be permitted (North, east, and west facades on Projected Development Site 6 and Potential Development Site 24)

Projected Development Site 6  
and Potential Development Site 24  
Figure 5-2



Potential Development Site 24), **Figure 5-3** (Projected Enlargement Site 2 and ~~Projected Development Site 16~~), and **Figure 5-4** (Projected Development Site 1). An (E) designation would be assigned to these sites as part of the Proposed Action to enforce the restrictions on these projected and potential sites, as discussed in Section B of this appendix.

## **B. PROPOSED TEXT FOR SITES REQUIRING AIR QUALITY (E) DESIGNATIONS**

As discussed in Chapter 14, “Air Quality”, the stationary source analysis determined that at certain projected and potential development or enlargement sites, environmental requirements would be necessary to ensure that emissions from the sites’ heat and hot water systems would not result in a significant adverse impact and/or to ensure that emissions from nearby existing sources of emissions would not result in a significant adverse impact on the development site. At these sites, (E) designations (E-288) would be mapped as part of the Proposed Action to ensure that the developments would not result in any significant air quality impacts from heat and hot water systems emissions due to individual or groups of development sites.

To the extent permitted under Section 11-15 of the Zoning Resolution, the requirements of the (E) designations may be modified, or determined to be unnecessary, based on new information or technology, additional facts or updated standards that are relevant at the time the site is ultimately developed.

The requirements of the (E) designations resulting from the air quality analyses would be as follows:

### **PROPOSED ACTION**

#### **Projected Development Sites:**

Block ~~226~~227, Lots 63, 69, 70, 76, 80 † (Projected Development Site 1)

To preclude the potential for significant adverse air quality impacts from the heating and hot water systems boilers at 75 Varick Street, no operable windows or air intakes on the northern, western, and southern facades of Block ~~226~~227, Lot s 63, 69, 70, 76, 80 † would be permitted between a height of 265 feet and 290 feet above grade.

Block 491, Lot 3 (Projected Development Site 2)


Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 491, Lot 3 must ensure that the heating system boilers be fitted with low NO<sub>x</sub> (30 ppm) burners and fire only natural gas, and that the stack(s) shall be located at the highest rooftop of the building and at least 110 feet away from the lot line facing Dominick Street.


Block 579, Lots 60, 68, 70, 74 (Projected Development Site 3)


Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 579, Lots 60, 68, 70, and 74 must ensure that only utility steam from Con Edison is used for the heating system boilers.


Block 598, Lots 42, 48 (Projected Development Site 4)

To preclude the potential for significant adverse air quality impacts from the heating and hot water systems boilers at 345 Hudson Street, no operable windows or air intakes on the northern, eastern, and southern facades of Block 598, Lots 42, 48 would be permitted between a height of 255 feet and 300 feet above grade.



 Area where no operable windows or air intakes would be permitted (North, east, and south facades on Projected Enlargement Site 2)



 Area where no operable windows or intakes would be permitted (North, west and south facades on Projected Development Site 1)

## **Appendix 5: Proposed Text for Sites Requiring Air Quality (E) Designations**

---

Block 477, Lots 35, 42, 44, 76 (Projected Development Site 5)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 477, Lots 35, 42, 44, and 76 must ensure that the heating system boilers be fitted with low NO<sub>x</sub> (30 ppm) burners and only fire natural gas.

Block 580, Lot 52 (Projected Development Site 6)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 580, Lot 52 must ensure that only utility steam from Con Edison is used for the heating system boilers.

To preclude the potential for significant adverse air quality impacts from the heating and hot water systems boilers at 201 Varick Street, no operable windows or air intakes on the northern, eastern, and western facades of Block 580, Lot 52 would be permitted above a height of 160 feet above grade.

Block 597, Lot 10 (Projected Development Site 8)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 597, Lot 10 must ensure that only utility steam from Con Edison is used for the heating system boilers.

Block 579, Lot 5 (Projected Development Site 11)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 579, Lot 5 must ensure that the heating system boilers be fitted with low NO<sub>x</sub> (30 ppm) burners and only fire natural gas and that the stack(s) shall be located at the highest rooftop of the building and at least 55 feet away from the lot line facing Dominick Street.

Block 579, Lot 35 (Projected Development Site 12)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 579, Lot 35 must ensure that the heating system boilers be fitted with low NO<sub>x</sub> (30 ppm) burners and only fire natural gas, and that the stack(s) shall be located at the highest rooftop of the building and at least 100 feet away from the lot line facing Hudson Street and at least 135 feet away from the lot line facing Spring Street.

Block 580, Lot 11 (Projected Development Site 14)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 580, Lot 11 must ensure that only utility steam from Con Edison is used for the heating system boilers.

Block 578, Lot 75 (Projected Development Site 15)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 578, Lot 75 must ensure that the heating system boilers be fitted with low NO<sub>x</sub> (30 ppm) burners and only fire natural gas, and that the stack(s) shall be located at the highest rooftop of the building and at least 70 feet away from the lot line facing Dominick Street.

Block 505, Lot 14 (Projected Development Site 16)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 505, Lot 14 must ensure that the heating system boilers be fitted with low NO<sub>x</sub> (30 ppm) burners and fire only natural gas, and that the stack(s) shall be located at the highest rooftop of the building and at least 45 feet away from the lot line facing Varick Street.

~~To preclude the potential for significant adverse air quality impacts from the heating and hot water systems boilers at 233 Spring Street, no operable windows or air intakes on the~~

## Hudson Square Rezoning FEIS

---

~~northern, eastern, and southern facades of Block 505, Lot 14 would be permitted above a height of 170 feet above grade.~~

Block 491, Lot 7502 (Projected Development Site 18)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 491, Lot 7502 must ensure that only natural gas is used as fuel for the heating system boilers.

Block ~~598~~597, Lot ~~58~~39 (Projected Development Site 19)

To preclude the potential for significant adverse air quality impacts from the heating and hot water systems boilers at 345 Hudson Street, no operable windows or air intakes on the northern, eastern, and western facades of Block ~~598~~597, Lot ~~58~~39 would be permitted between a height of 265 feet and 280 feet above grade.

### Potential Development Sites:

Block 597, Lot 46 (Potential Development Site 20)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 597, Lot 46 must ensure that the heating system boilers be fitted with low NO<sub>x</sub> (30 ppm) burners and only fire natural gas, and that the stack(s) shall be located at the highest rooftop of the building and at least 45 feet away from the lot line facing Greenwich Street and at least 95 feet away from the lot line facing Vandam Street.

Block 477, Lots 72, 73, 74, 75 (Potential Development Site 22)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 477, Lots 72, 73, 74, and 75 must ensure that the heating system boilers be fitted with low NO<sub>x</sub> (30 ppm) burners and only fire natural gas, and that the stack(s) shall be located at the highest rooftop of the building and at least 70 feet away from the lot line facing Avenue of Americas.

Block 578, Lots 77, 78, 79 (Potential Development Site 23)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 578, Lots 77, 78, and 79 must ensure that the heating system boilers be fitted with low NO<sub>x</sub> (30 ppm) burners and only fire natural gas, and that the stack(s) shall be located at the highest rooftop of the building and at least 65 feet away from the lot line facing Dominick Street, and at least 60 feet away from the lot line facing Varick Street.

Block 580, Lot 60 (Potential Development Site 24)

To preclude the potential for significant adverse air quality impacts from the heating and hot water systems boilers at 201 Varick Street, no operable windows or air intakes on the northern, eastern, and western facades of Block 580, Lot 60 would be permitted between a height of 160 feet and 260 feet above grade.

### Projected Enlargement Sites:

Block 579, Lot 47 (Projected Enlargement Site 1)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 579, Lot 47 must ensure that only natural gas is used as fuel for the heating system boilers.

Block 505, Lot 1 (Projected Enlargement Site 2)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 505, Lot 1 must ensure that the heating system boilers be fitted with low NO<sub>x</sub> (30 ppm) burners and only fire natural gas,



## Appendix 5: Proposed Text for Sites Requiring Air Quality (E) Designations

---

and that the stack(s) shall be located at the highest rooftop of the building and at least 170 feet away from the lot line facing Spring Street.

To preclude the potential for significant adverse air quality impacts from the heating and hot water systems boilers at the proposed No Action enlargement at 233 Spring Street 161 Avenue of the Americas (referred to as One SoHo Square), no operable windows or air intakes on the northern, eastern, and southern facades of Block 505, Lot 1 would be permitted between a height of ~~175 feet and 230~~245 to 320 feet above grade.

Block 597, Lot 45 (Projected Enlargement Site 3)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 597, Lot 45 must ensure that the heating system boilers be fitted with low NO<sub>x</sub> (30 ppm) burners and only fire natural gas, and that the stack(s) shall be located at the highest rooftop of the building and at least 70 feet away from the lot line facing Hudson Street and at least 45 feet away from the lot line facing Vandam Street.

### Potential Enlargement Sites:

~~Block 598, Lots 42 and 48 (Potential Enlargement Site 4)~~

~~Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 598, Lots 42 and 48 must ensure that fossil fuel-fired equipment meets applicable Department of Building Code provisions regarding the placement of exhausts to ensure they are equal to or taller than operable windows or air intakes on adjacent buildings.~~

Block 505, Lot 26 (Potential Enlargement Site 5)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 505, Lot 26 must ensure that the heating system boilers be fitted with low NO<sub>x</sub> (30 ppm) burners and only fire natural gas, and that the stack(s) shall be located at the highest rooftop of the building and at least 80 feet away from the lot line facing Spring Street.

Block 597, Lot 32 (Potential Enlargement Site 6)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 597, Lot 32 must ensure that fossil fuel-fired equipment meets applicable Department of Building Code provisions regarding the placement of exhausts to ensure they are equal to or taller than operable windows or air intakes on adjacent buildings.

Block 597, Lot 33 (Potential Enlargement Site 7)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 597, Lot 33 must ensure that fossil fuel-fired equipment meets applicable Department of Building Code provisions regarding the placement of exhausts to ensure they are equal to or taller than operable windows or air intakes on adjacent buildings.

Block 597, Lot 50 (Potential Enlargement Site 8)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 597, Lot 50 must ensure that fossil fuel-fired equipment meets applicable Department of Building Code provisions regarding the placement of exhausts to ensure they are equal to or taller than operable windows or air intakes on adjacent buildings.



## **Hudson Square Rezoning FEIS**

---

Block 597, Lot 52 (Potential Enlargement Site 9)

No operable lot line windows on the western facade of Block 597, Lot 52 would be permitted.

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 597, Lot 52 must ensure that fossil fuel-fired equipment meets applicable Department of Building Code provisions regarding the placement of exhausts to ensure they are equal to or taller than operable windows or air intakes on adjacent buildings.

Block 597, Lot 51 (Potential Enlargement Site 10)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 597, Lot 51 must ensure that the heating system boilers be fitted with low NO<sub>x</sub> (30 ppm) burners and only fire natural gas, and that the stack(s) shall be located at the highest rooftop of the building and at least 20 feet away from the lot line facing Hudson Street.

Block 491, Lot 1 (Potential Enlargement Site 11)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 491, Lot 1 must ensure that the heating system boilers be fitted with low NO<sub>x</sub> (30 ppm) burners and only fire natural gas, and that the stack(s) shall be located at the highest rooftop of the building and at least 45 feet away from the lot line facing Dominick.

Block 491, Lot 26 (Potential Enlargement Site 12)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 491, Lot 26 must ensure that only natural gas is used as fuel for the heating system boilers.

Block 491, Lot 27 (Potential Enlargement Site 13)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 491, Lot 27 must ensure that the heating system boilers be fitted with low NO<sub>x</sub> (30 ppm) burners and only fire natural gas, and that the stack(s) shall be located at the highest rooftop of the building and at least 25 feet away from the lot line facing Dominick Street.

Block 578, Lot 70 (Potential Enlargement Site 14)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 578, Lot 70 must ensure that the heating system boilers be fitted with low NO<sub>x</sub> (30 ppm) burners and only fire natural gas, and that the stack(s) shall be located at the highest rooftop of the building and at least 25 feet away from the lot line facing Hudson Street.

Block 597, Lot 37 (Potential Enlargement Site 15)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 597, Lot 37 must ensure that the heating system boilers be fitted with low NO<sub>x</sub> (30 ppm) burners and only fire natural gas.

No operable lot line windows on the eastern facade of Block 597, Lot 37 would be permitted.

## **PROPOSED ACTION WITH THE PROPOSED CPC MODIFICATIONS**

### **Projected Development Sites:**

Block ~~226~~227, Lots 63, 69, 70, 76, 80 ± (Projected Development Site 1)

## Appendix 5: Proposed Text for Sites Requiring Air Quality (E) Designations

---

To preclude the potential for significant adverse air quality impacts from the heating and hot water systems boilers at 75 Varick Street, no operable windows or air intakes on the northern, western, and southern facades of Block 226227, Lots 63, 69, 70, 76, 80 ± would be permitted between a height of 265 feet and 290 feet above grade.

Block 491, Lot 3 (Projected Development Site 2)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 491, Lot 3 must ensure that the heating system boilers be fitted with low NO<sub>x</sub> (30 ppm) burners and fire only natural gas, and that the stack(s) shall be located at the highest rooftop of the building and at least 110 feet away from the lot line facing Dominick Street.

Block 579, Lots 60, 68, 70, 74 (Projected Development Site 3)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 579, Lots 60, 68, 70, and 74 must ensure that only utility steam from Con Edison is used for the heating system boilers.

Block 598, Lots 42, 48 (Projected Development Site 4)

To preclude the potential for significant adverse air quality impacts from the heating and hot water systems boilers at 345 Hudson Street, no operable windows or air intakes on the northern, eastern, and southern facades of Block 598, Lots 42, 48 would be permitted between a height of 255 feet and 300 feet above grade.

Block 477, Lots 35, 42, 44, 76 (Projected Development Site 5)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 477, Lots 35, 42, 44, and 76 must ensure that the heating system boilers be fitted with low NO<sub>x</sub> (30 ppm) burners and only fire natural gas.

Block 580, Lot 52 (Projected Development Site 6)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 580, Lot 52 must ensure that only utility steam from Con Edison is used for the heating system boilers.

To preclude the potential for significant adverse air quality impacts from the heating and hot water systems boilers at 201 Varick Street, no operable windows or air intakes on the northern, eastern, and western facades of Block 580, Lot 52 would be permitted above a height of 160 feet above grade.

Block 597, Lot 10 (Projected Development Site 8)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 597, Lot 10 must ensure that only utility steam from Con Edison is used for the heating system boilers.

Block 579, Lot 5 (Projected Development Site 11)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 579, Lot 5 must ensure that the heating system boilers be fitted with low NO<sub>x</sub> (30 ppm) burners and only fire natural gas and that the stack(s) shall be located at the highest rooftop of the building and at least 55 feet away from the lot line facing Dominick Street.

Block 579, Lot 35 (Projected Development Site 12)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 579, Lot 35 must ensure that the heating system boilers be fitted with low NO<sub>x</sub> (30 ppm) burners and only fire natural gas, and that the stack(s) shall be located at the highest rooftop of the building and at least 100

## **Hudson Square Rezoning FEIS**

---

feet away from the lot line facing Hudson Street and at least 135 feet away from the lot line facing Spring Street.

Block 580, Lot 11 (Projected Development Site 14)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 580, Lot 11 must ensure that only utility steam from Con Edison is used for the heating system boilers.

Block 578, Lot 75 (Projected Development Site 15)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 578, Lot 75 must ensure that the and only natural gas is used as fuel for the heating system boilers.

Block 505, Lot 14 (Projected Development Site 16)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 505, Lot 14 must ensure that the heating system boilers be fitted with low NO<sub>x</sub> (30 ppm) burners and fire only natural gas, and that the stack(s) shall be located at the highest rooftop of the building and at least 45 feet away from the lot line facing Varick Street.

Block 491, Lot 7502 (Projected Development Site 18)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 491, Lot 7502 must ensure that only natural gas is used as fuel for the heating system boilers.

Block ~~598~~597, Lot ~~583~~9 (Projected Development Site 19)

To preclude the potential for significant adverse air quality impacts from the heating and hot water systems boilers at 345 Hudson Street, no operable windows or air intakes on the northern, eastern, and western facades of ~~598~~597, Lot ~~583~~9 would be permitted between a height of 265 feet and 280 feet above grade.

### **Potential Development Sites:**

Block 597, Lot 46 (Potential Development Site 20)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 597, Lot 46 must ensure that the heating system boilers be fitted with low NO<sub>x</sub> (30 ppm) burners and only fire natural gas, and that the stack(s) shall be located at the highest rooftop of the building and at least 45 feet away from the lot line facing Greenwich Street and at least 95 feet away from the lot line facing Vandam Street.

Block 477, Lots 72, 73, 74, 75 (Potential Development Site 22)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 477, Lots 72, 73, 74, and 75 must ensure that the heating system boilers be fitted with low NO<sub>x</sub> (30 ppm) burners and only fire natural gas.

Block 578, Lots 77, 78, 79 (Potential Development Site 23)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 578, Lots 77, 78, and 79 must ensure that the heating system boilers be fitted with low NO<sub>x</sub> (30 ppm) burners and only fire natural gas, and that the stack(s) shall be located at the highest rooftop of the building, and at least 60 feet away from the lot line facing Varick Street.

Block 580, Lot 60 (Potential Development Site 24)

## Appendix 5: Proposed Text for Sites Requiring Air Quality (E) Designations

---

To preclude the potential for significant adverse air quality impacts from the heating and hot water systems boilers at 201 Varick Street, no operable windows or air intakes on the northern, eastern, and western facades of Block 580, Lot 60 would be permitted between a height of 160 feet and 260 feet above grade.

### **Projected Enlargement Sites:**

Block 579, Lot 47 (Projected Enlargement Site 1)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 579, Lot 47 must ensure that only natural gas is used as fuel for the heating system boilers.

Block 505, Lot 1 (Projected Enlargement Site 2)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 505, Lot 1 must ensure that the heating system boilers be fitted with low NO<sub>x</sub> (30 ppm) burners and only fire natural gas, and that the stack(s) shall be located at the highest rooftop of the building and at least 170 feet away from the lot line facing Spring Street.

To preclude the potential for significant adverse air quality impacts from heating and hot water systems boilers at the proposed No Action enlargement at 161 Avenue of the Americas (One SoHo Square), no operable windows or air intakes on the northern, eastern, and southern facades of Block 505, Lot 1 would be permitted between a height of 260 to 290 feet above grade.

Block 597, Lot 45 (Projected Enlargement Site 3)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 597, Lot 45 must ensure that the heating system boilers be fitted with low NO<sub>x</sub> (30 ppm) burners and only fire natural gas, and that the stack(s) shall be located at the highest rooftop of the building and at least 70 feet away from the lot line facing Hudson Street and at least 45 feet away from the lot line facing Vandam Street.

### **Potential Enlargement Sites:**

Block 505, Lot 26 (Potential Enlargement Site 5)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 505, Lot 26 must ensure that the heating system boilers be fitted with low NO<sub>x</sub> (30 ppm) burners and only fire natural gas, and that the stack(s) shall be located at the highest rooftop of the building and at least 80 feet away from the lot line facing Spring Street.

Block 597, Lot 32 (Potential Enlargement Site 6)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 597, Lot 32 must ensure that fossil fuel-fired equipment meets applicable Department of Building Code provisions regarding the placement of exhausts to ensure they are equal to or taller than operable windows or air intakes on adjacent buildings.

Block 597, Lot 33 (Potential Enlargement Site 7)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 597, Lot 33 must ensure that fossil fuel-fired equipment meets applicable Department of Building Code provisions regarding the placement of exhausts to ensure they are equal to or taller than operable windows or air intakes on adjacent buildings.

## **Hudson Square Rezoning FEIS**

---

### Block 597, Lot 50 (Potential Enlargement Site 8)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 597, Lot 50 must ensure that fossil fuel-fired equipment meets applicable Department of Building Code provisions regarding the placement of exhausts to ensure they are equal to or taller than operable windows or air intakes on adjacent buildings.

### Block 597, Lot 52 (Potential Enlargement Site 9)

No operable lot line windows on the western facade of Block 597, Lot 52 would be permitted.

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 597, Lot 52 must ensure that fossil fuel-fired equipment meets applicable Department of Building Code provisions regarding the placement of exhausts to ensure they are equal to or taller than operable windows or air intakes on adjacent buildings.

### Block 597, Lot 51 (Potential Enlargement Site 10)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 597, Lot 51 must ensure that the heating system boilers be fitted with low NO<sub>x</sub> (30 ppm) burners and only fire natural gas, and that the stack(s) shall be located at the highest rooftop of the building and at least 20 feet away from the lot line facing Hudson Street.

### Block 491, Lot 1 (Potential Enlargement Site 11)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 491, Lot 1 must ensure that the heating system boilers be fitted with low NO<sub>x</sub> (30 ppm) burners and only fire natural gas, and that the stack(s) shall be located at the highest rooftop of the building and at least 45 feet away from the lot line facing Dominick.

### Block 491, Lot 26 (Potential Enlargement Site 12)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 491, Lot 26 must ensure that only natural gas is used as fuel for the heating system boilers.

### Block 491, Lot 27 (Potential Enlargement Site 13)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 491, Lot 27 must ensure that the heating system boilers be fitted with low NO<sub>x</sub> (30 ppm) burners and only fire natural gas, and that the stack(s) shall be located at the highest rooftop of the building and at least 25 feet away from the lot line facing Dominick Street.

### Block 578, Lot 70 (Potential Enlargement Site 14)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 578, Lot 70 must ensure that the heating system boilers be fitted with low NO<sub>x</sub> (30 ppm) burners and only fire natural gas, and that the stack(s) shall be located at the highest rooftop of the building and at least 25 feet away from the lot line facing Hudson Street.

### Block 597, Lot 37 (Potential Enlargement Site 15)

Any new residential and/or commercial development on Block 597, Lot 37 must ensure that the heating system boilers be fitted with low NO<sub>x</sub> (30 ppm) burners and only fire natural gas.

No operable lot line windows on the eastern facade of Block 597, Lot 37 would be permitted.

**Table 5-1**  
**New and Enlarged Building Sources - Refined Modeling Results**<sup>1,2,3</sup>  
**Proposed Actions**

| Source   | Block | Lots           | Affected Site(s)                          | 24-Hour PM <sub>2.5</sub> Analysis<br>(Interim Guidance Criteria: 2 µg/m <sup>3</sup> ; 5 µg/m <sup>3</sup> not-to-exceed value) |  |   |  |                                       | Annual PM <sub>2.5</sub> Analysis<br>(Interim Guidance Criteria = 0.30 µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | Annual NO <sub>2</sub> Analysis (NAAQS = 100 µg/m <sup>3</sup> )                       | 1-Hour NO <sub>2</sub> Analysis (NAAQS = 188 µg/m <sup>3</sup> )          |  |
|--|-------|----------------|---|--|--|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|--|
|  |       |                |   | Analysis Approach <sup>5</sup>   | Maximum Predicted Concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | Maximum Annual Frequency >2 µg/m <sup>3</sup> | Annual Average Frequency >2 µg/m <sup>3</sup> (Over 5 Years) | Proposed (E) <sup>8</sup> Designation | Maximum Predicted Concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )                                       | Maximum Predicted Concentration including Background (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>6</sup> | Maximum Predicted Concentration including Background (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | Proposed Additional (E) Designation <sup>8</sup> |
| The values in this table are reflective of the implementation of the proposed (E) designations |       |                |   |  |  |   |  |                                       |  |  |   |  |
| Projected 2 <sup>4</sup>   | 491   | 3              | Trump Soho Hotel                          | Tier 2   | 3.6  | 2   | 1.0  | (a), (b)                              | 0.09   | 68.6   | 172.7   | (d)  |
| Projected 5  | 477   | 35, 42, 44, 76 | --  | Tier 1   | 1.96   | --  | --   | (a)                                   | 0.06   | 68.3   | 148.9   | (d)  |
| Projected 11   | 579   | 5              | <del>Projected 10, 12--</del>             | <del>Tier 2/Tier 4</del>   | <del>2.41.96</del>                                   | <del>2--</del>                                | <del>0.6--</del>   | (a), (b)                              | 0.07   | 68.4   | 163.2   | (d)  |
| Projected 12   | 579   | 35             | Projected 3, 10                           | Tier 2   | 3.0  | 1   | 0.6  | (a), (b)                              | 0.06   | 68.2   | 181.5   | (d)  |
| Projected 15   | 578   | 75             | Existing Commercial Building              | <del>Tier 2/Tier 4</del>   | <del>2.62.2</del>                                    | <del>31</del>                                 | <del>1.40.4</del>  | (a), (b)                              | 0.09   | 68.6   | 154.3   | (d)  |
| Projected 16   | 505   | 14             | Projected Enlargement 2                   | Tier 2   | 2.8  | 3   | 0.8  | (a), (b)                              | 0.10   | 68.7   | 184.9   | (d)  |
| Projected 18   | 491   | 7502           | --  | Tier 1   | 1.5  | --  | --   | (a)                                   | 0.05   | 68.2   | 184.3 <sup>7</sup>  | --   |
| Potential 20   | 597   | 46             | Projected 19                              | Tier 2   | 3.3  | 2   | 1.0  | (a), (b)                              | 0.10   | 68.7   | 176.5   | (d)  |
| Potential 22   | 477   | 72, 73, 74, 75 | Existing Hotel                            | Tier 2   | 3.6  | 6   | 3.4  | (a), (b)                              | 0.12   | 68.9   | 171.7   | (d)  |
| Potential 23   | 578   | 77, 78, 79     | Existing Commercial Buildings             | <del>Tier 2/Tier 4</del>   | <del>2.42.3</del>                                    | <del>21</del>                                 | <del>0.60.4</del>  | (a), (b)                              | 0.06   | 68.3   | 153.6   | (d)  |
| Proj. Enlargement 1 <sup>4</sup>   | 579   | 47             | --  | Tier 1   | 1.6  | --  | --   | (a)                                   | 0.07   | 68.3   | 162.0 <sup>7</sup>  | --   |
| Proj. Enlargement 2  | 505   | 1              | Trump Soho Hotel                          | <del>Tier 2/Tier 4</del>   | <del>2.52.1</del>                                    | <del>21</del>                                 | <del>0.80.2</del>  | (a), (b)                              | 0.05   | 68.2   | 153.5   | (d)  |
| Proj. Enlargement 3  | 597   | 45             | <del>Projected 19, Potential 20</del>     | <del>Tier 2/Tier 4</del>   | <del>2.82.7</del>                                    | <del>62</del>                                 | <del>1.80.6</del>  | (a), (b)                              | 0.08   | 68.5   | 185.3   | (d)  |
| Pot. Enlargement 5   | 505   | 26             | <del>Existing Commercial Building--</del> | <del>Tier 2/Tier 4</del>   | <del>2.51.8</del>                                    | <del>2--</del>                                | <del>0.8--</del>   | (a), (b)                              | 0.07   | 68.4   | 180.0   | (d)  |
| Pot. Enlargement 10  | 597   | 51             | Potential Enlargement 9                   | Tier 2   | 1.6  | --  | --   | (a), (b), (c)                         | 0.06   | 68.3   | 144.2   | (d)  |
| Pot. Enlargement 11  | 491   | 1              | Projected 2                               | Tier 2   | 2.8  | 1   | 0.4  | (a), (b)                              | 0.10   | 68.7   | 172.1   | (d)  |
| Pot. Enlargement 12  | 491   | 26             | --  | Tier 1   | 1.9  | --  | --   | (a)                                   | 0.02   | 67.9   | 169.8 <sup>7</sup>  | --   |
| Pot. Enlargement 13  | 491   | 27             | Projected 2                               | Tier 2   | 2.7  | 2   | 0.8  | (a), (b)                              | 0.07   | 68.4   | 142.6   | (d)  |
| Pot. Enlargement 14  | 578   | 70             | Projected 15                              | Tier 2   | 1.9  | --  | --   | (a), (b), (c)                         | 0.03   | 68.0   | 153.1   | (d)  |
| Pot. Enlargement 15  | 597   | 37             | Projected 19                              | Tier 2   | 2.3  | 1   | 0.4  | (a)                                   | 0.09   | 68.6   | 183.4   | (d)  |

**Notes:**  
<sup>1</sup> SO<sub>2</sub> impacts were not analyzed since sites would be restricted to natural gas or Con Edison Steam under proposed (E) Designations.  
<sup>2</sup> Potential Enlargement Sites 4, 6, 7, 8, and 9 where the provisions of the existing DOB Code are more stringent than the contemplated (E) Designation requirements are not included in this table.  
<sup>3</sup> The values for development sites (Projected Development Sites 3, 6, 8, and 14) with Con Edison steam restrictions are not shown in this table because with the Con Edison steam restriction, there would be no impact.  
<sup>4</sup> Applicant Site  
<sup>5</sup> 24-Hour PM<sub>2.5</sub> Analysis Approach - Tier 1 approach is based on an annual energy consumption factor, which is then conservatively converted to a daily energy consumption rate assuming a 100 day heating season and includes emissions from the No Action scenario; Tier 2 approach is based on examination of the number of heating and cooling degree-days based on historical data available for New York City and excludes the incremental PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations associated with the No Action scenario.  
<sup>6</sup> The values shown for Annual NO<sub>2</sub> Analysis are reflective of the implementation of fuel restriction and/or stack location restriction only and without the use of low NO<sub>x</sub> burner.  
<sup>7</sup> The values shown for Development Site 18, Potential Enlargement 1, and Potential Enlargement 12 are reflective of the implementation of fuel restriction only and without the use of low NO<sub>x</sub> burner.  
<sup>8</sup> Proposed (E) Designations:  
 (a) Fuel Type Restriction (Natural Gas)  
 (b) Stack Location Restriction  
 (c) Prohibition on Operable Windows on Certain Lot Line(s) at Affected Site  
 (d) Low NO<sub>x</sub> (30 ppm) Burner Restriction



Table 5-2  
Existing Building Sources - Refined Modeling Results

| Source   | Source Block | Source Lot          | Affected Site            | Affected Site Maximum Development Height (feet) | Proposed (E) Designations - Elevations where Operable Window or Air Intake are not Permitted (feet) | 24-Hour PM <sub>2.5</sub> Analysis (Maximum Predicted Conc. (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ))<br><br><Interim Guidance Criteria: 2 µg/m <sup>3</sup> ; 5 µg/m <sup>3</sup> not-to-exceed value> | 24-Hour PM <sub>2.5</sub> Analysis Maximum Annual Frequency >2 µg/m <sup>3</sup> | 24-Hour PM <sub>2.5</sub> Analysis Annual Average Frequency >2 µg/m <sup>3</sup> (Over 5 Years) | Annual PM <sub>2.5</sub> Analysis Maximum Predicted Conc. (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )<br><br><Interim Guidance Criteria = 0.3 µg/m <sup>3</sup> > | 1-Hour NO <sub>2</sub> Analysis Maximum Predicted Conc. (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )<br><br><NAAQS = 188 µg/m <sup>3</sup> > | Annual NO <sub>2</sub> Analysis Maximum Predicted Conc. (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )<br><br><NAAQS = 100 µg/m <sup>3</sup> > | 1-Hour SO <sub>2</sub> Analysis Maximum Predicted Conc. (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )<br><br><NAAQS = 196 µg/m <sup>3</sup> > |
|--|--------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| The values shown in this table are with the implementation of the proposed (E) designations.                 |              |                     |                          |   |   |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Existing Source (75 Varick St)   | 226          | 1                   | Projected 1 <sup>1</sup> | 430   | 265 to 290 (North, West, and South Facades)   | 2.2   | 1  | 0.2   | 0.11   | 176.5  | 69.7   | 79.7   |
| Existing Source (345 Hudson St)  | 598          | 58                  | Projected 4 <sup>1</sup> | 320   | 255 to 300 (North, East, and South Facades)   | 2.1   | 1  | 0.2   | 0.05   | 156.9  | 68.6   | 79.6   |
| Existing Source (201 Varick St)  | 581          | 1                   | Projected 6              | 185   | 160 and up (North, East, and West Facades)  | 0.7   | -  | -   | 0.07   | 162.7  | 69.9   | 102.7  |
| Existing Source (233 Spring St)  | 505          | 36                  | Projected 16             | 185   | 170 and up (North, East, and South Facades)   | 0.7   | -  | -   | 0.07   | 124.6  | 68.2   | 124.1  |
| Existing Source (345 Hudson St)  | 598          | 58                  | Projected 19             | 320   | 265 to 280 (North, East, and West Facades)  | 1.6   | -  | -   | 0.04   | 154.5  | 68.4   | 79.3   |
| Existing Source (201 Varick St)  | 581          | 1                   | Potential 24             | 320   | 160 to 260 (North, East, and West Facades)  | 1.6   | -  | -   | 0.11   | 176.3  | 69.9   | 133.7  |
| <del>Existing Source No Action Enlargement (233 Spring St One SoHo Square (161 Avenue of the Americas)</del> | 505          | <del>31,35,36</del> | Projected Enlargement 2  | 320   | <del>175 to 230</del> 245 to 320 (North, East, and South Facades)                                   | <del>1.5</del> 1.4  | -  | -   | <del>0.13</del> 0.28   | <del>172.6</del> 187.9   | <del>68.6</del> 69.7   | <del>182.5</del> 194.2   |
| <b>Notes:</b><br><sup>1</sup> Applicant Site   |              |                     |                          |   |   |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |

**Table 5-3**  
**New and Enlarged Building Sources - Refined Modeling Results<sup>1,2,3</sup>**  
**Proposed Actions with the Potential CPC Modifications**

| Source   | Block | Lots           | Affected Site(s)              | 24-Hour PM <sub>2.5</sub> Analysis<br>(Interim Guidance Criteria: 2 µg/m <sup>3</sup> ; 5 µg/m <sup>3</sup> not-to-exceed value) |  |   |  | Annual PM <sub>2.5</sub> Analysis<br>(Interim Guidance Criteria = 0.30 µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | Annual NO <sub>2</sub> Analysis (NAAQS = 100 µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | 1-Hour NO <sub>2</sub> Analysis (NAAQS = 188 µg/m <sup>3</sup> )          | Proposed Additional (E) Designation <sup>8</sup> |   |
|--|-------|----------------|-------------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|---|
|  |       |                |                               | Analysis Approach <sup>5</sup>   | Maximum Predicted Concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | Maximum Annual Frequency >2 µg/m <sup>3</sup> | Annual Average Frequency >2 µg/m <sup>3</sup> (Over 5 Years) | Proposed (E) Designation <sup>8</sup>  | Maximum Predicted Concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )             | Maximum Predicted Concentration including Background (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) |  | Maximum Predicted Concentration including Background (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) |
| The values in this table are reflective of the implementation of the proposed (E) designations |       |                |                               |  |  |   |  |  |  |   |  |   |
| Projected 2 <sup>4</sup>   | 491   | 3              | Trump Soho Hotel, Projected 5 | Tier 2   | 3.7  | 2   | 1.0  | (a), (b)   | 0.09   | 68.6  | 173.9  | (d)   |
| Projected 5  | 477   | 35, 42, 44, 76 | --                            | Tier 1   | 1.8  | --  | --   | (a)  | 0.06   | 68.3  | 154.9  | (d)   |
| Projected 11   | 579   | 5              | --                            | Tier 2   | 1.96   | --  | --   | (a), (b)   | 0.07   | 68.4  | 163.2  | (d)   |
| Projected 12   | 579   | 35             | Projected 3, 15               | Tier 2   | 2.7  | 1   | 0.4  | (a), (b)   | 0.06   | 68.3  | 176.2  | (d)   |
| Projected 15   | 578   | 75             | --                            | Tier 2   | 1.9  | --  | --   | (a)  | 0.05   | 68.2  | 184.2 <sup>7</sup>                               | --  |
| Projected 16   | 505   | 14             | Projected Enlargement 2       | Tier 2   | 2.8  | 3   | 0.8  | (a), (b)   | 0.10   | 68.7  | 184.9  | (d)   |
| Projected 18   | 491   | 7502           | --                            | Tier 1   | 1.5  | --  | --   | (a)  | 0.05   | 68.2  | 184.3 <sup>7</sup>                               | --  |
| Potential 20   | 597   | 46             | Projected 19                  | Tier 2   | 3.3  | 2   | 1.0  | (a), (b)   | 0.10   | 68.7  | 176.5  | (d)   |
| Potential 22   | 477   | 72, 73, 74, 75 | Existing Hotel                | Tier 2   | 2.0  | 1   | 0.2  | (a)  | 0.08   | 68.5  | 164.1  | (d)   |
| Potential 23   | 578   | 77, 78, 79     | Projected 15                  | Tier 2   | 2.1  | 1   | 0.2  | (a), (b)   | 0.04   | 68.2  | 176.3  | (d)   |
| Proj. Enlargement 1 <sup>4</sup>   | 579   | 47             | Projected 3                   | Tier 2   | 2.5  | 1   | 0.2  | (a)  | 0.05   | 68.2  | 174.8 <sup>7</sup>                               | --  |
| Proj. Enlargement 2  | 505   | 1              | Trump Soho Hotel              | Tier 2   | 2.1  | 1   | 0.2  | (a), (b)   | 0.05   | 68.2  | 162.6  | (d)   |
| Proj. Enlargement 3  | 597   | 45             | Potential 20                  | Tier 2   | 2.7  | 2   | 0.6  | (a), (b)   | 0.08   | 68.5  | 185.3  | (d)   |
| Pot. Enlargement 5   | 505   | 26             | --                            | Tier 2   | 1.8  | --  | --   | (a), (b)   | 0.07   | 68.4  | 180.0  | (d)   |
| Pot. Enlargement 10  | 597   | 51             | Potential Enlargement 9       | Tier 2   | 1.6  | --  | --   | (a), (b), (c)  | 0.06   | 68.3  | 144.2  | (d)   |
| Pot. Enlargement 11  | 491   | 1              | Projected 2                   | Tier 2   | 2.8  | 1   | 0.4  | (a), (b)   | 0.10   | 68.7  | 172.1  | (d)   |
| Pot. Enlargement 12  | 491   | 26             | --                            | Tier 1   | 1.9  | --  | --   | (a)  | 0.02   | 67.9  | 169.8 <sup>7</sup>                               | --  |
| Pot. Enlargement 13  | 491   | 27             | Projected 2                   | Tier 2   | 2.7  | 2   | 0.8  | (a), (b)   | 0.07   | 68.4  | 142.6  | (d)   |
| Pot. Enlargement 14  | 578   | 70             | Projected 15                  | Tier 2   | 1.9  | --  | --   | (a), (b), (c)  | 0.03   | 68.0  | 153.1  | (d)   |
| Pot. Enlargement 15  | 597   | 37             | Projected 19                  | Tier 2   | 2.3  | 1   | 0.4  | (a)  | 0.09   | 68.6  | 183.4  | (d)   |

**Notes:**

<sup>1</sup> SO<sub>2</sub> impacts were not analyzed since sites would be restricted to natural gas or Con Edison Steam under proposed (E) Designations.

<sup>2</sup> Potential Enlargement Sites 6, 7, 8, and 9 where the provisions of the existing DOB Code are more stringent than the contemplated (E) Designation requirements are not included in this table.

<sup>3</sup> The values for development sites (Projected Development Sites 3, 6, 8, and 14) with Con Edison steam restrictions are not shown in this table because with the Con Edison steam restriction, there would be no impact.

<sup>4</sup> Applicant Site

<sup>5</sup> 24-Hour PM<sub>2.5</sub> Analysis Approach - Tier 1 approach is based on an annual energy consumption factor, which is then conservatively converted to a daily energy consumption rate assuming a 100 day heating season and includes emissions from the No Action scenario; Tier 2 approach is based on examination of the number of heating and cooling degree-days based on historical data available for New York City and excludes the incremental PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations associated with the No Action scenario.

<sup>6</sup> The values shown for Annual NO<sub>2</sub> Analysis are reflective of the implementation of fuel restriction and/or stack location restriction only and without the use of low NO<sub>x</sub> burner.

<sup>7</sup> The values shown for Development Site 18, Potential Enlargement 1, and Potential Enlargement 12 are reflective of the implementation of fuel restriction only and without the use of low NO<sub>x</sub> burner.

<sup>8</sup> Proposed (E) Designations:

- \_\_\_\_ (a) Fuel Type Restriction (Natural Gas)
- \_\_\_\_ (b) Stack Location Restriction
- \_\_\_\_ (c) Prohibition on Operable Windows on Certain Lot Line(s) at Affected Site
- \_\_\_\_ (d) Low NO<sub>x</sub> (30 ppm) Burner Restriction

**Table 5-4**  
**Existing Building Sources - Refined Modeling Results**  
**Proposed Actions with the Potential CPC Modifications**

| <u>Source</u>   | <u>Source Block</u> | <u>Source Lot</u> | <u>Affected Site</u>            | <u>Affected Site Maximum Development Height (feet)</u> | <u>Proposed (E) Designations - Elevations where Operable Window or Air Intake are not Permitted (feet)</u> | <u>24-Hour PM<sub>2.5</sub> Analysis (Maximum Predicted Conc. (µg/m3))</u><br><br><Interim Guidance Criteria: 2 µg/m3; 5 µg/m3 not-to-exceed value> | <u>24-Hour PM<sub>2.5</sub> Analysis Maximum Annual Frequency &gt;2 µg/m<sup>3</sup></u> | <u>24-Hour PM<sub>2.5</sub> Analysis Annual Average Frequency &gt;2 µg/m<sup>3</sup> (Over 5 Years)</u> | <u>Annual PM<sub>2.5</sub> Analysis Maximum Predicted Conc. (µg/m3)</u><br><br><Interim Guidance Criteria = 0.3 µg/m3> | <u>1-Hour NO<sub>2</sub> Analysis Maximum Predicted Conc. (µg/m3)</u><br><br><NAAQS = 188 µg/m3> | <u>Annual NO<sub>2</sub> Analysis Maximum Predicted Conc. (µg/m3)</u><br><br><NAAQS = 100 µg/m3> | <u>1-Hour SO<sub>2</sub> Analysis Maximum Predicted Conc. (µg/m3)</u><br><br><NAAQS = 196 µg/m3> |
|---|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| <b>The values shown in this table are with the implementation of the proposed (E) designations.</b> |                     |                   |                                 |  |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| <u>Existing Source (75 Varick St)</u>   | <u>226</u>          | <u>1</u>          | <u>Projected 1</u> <sup>1</sup> | <u>430</u>   | <u>265 to 290 (North, West, and South Facades)</u>   | <u>2.2</u>  | <u>1</u>   | <u>0.2</u>  | <u>0.11</u>  | <u>176.5</u>   | <u>69.7</u>  | <u>79.7</u>  |
| <u>Existing Source (345 Hudson St)</u>  | <u>598</u>          | <u>58</u>         | <u>Projected 4</u> <sup>1</sup> | <u>290</u>   | <u>255 to 290 (North, East, and South Facades)</u>   | <u>1.5</u>  | <u>=</u>   | <u>=</u>  | <u>0.05</u>  | <u>156.9</u>   | <u>68.8</u>  | <u>79.1</u>  |
| <u>Existing Source (201 Varick St)</u>  | <u>581</u>          | <u>1</u>          | <u>Projected 6</u>              | <u>185</u>   | <u>160 and up (North, East, and West Facades)</u>  | <u>0.7</u>  | <u>=</u>   | <u>=</u>  | <u>0.07</u>  | <u>162.7</u>   | <u>69.9</u>  | <u>102.7</u>   |
| <u>Existing Source (345 Hudson St)</u>  | <u>598</u>          | <u>58</u>         | <u>Projected 19</u>             | <u>290</u>   | <u>265 to 280 (North, East, and West Facades)</u>  | <u>1.6</u>  | <u>=</u>   | <u>=</u>  | <u>0.04</u>  | <u>154.5</u>   | <u>68.5</u>  | <u>79.3</u>  |
| <u>Existing Source (201 Varick St)</u>  | <u>581</u>          | <u>1</u>          | <u>Potential 24</u>             | <u>290</u>   | <u>160 to 260 (North, East, and West Facades)</u>  | <u>1.6</u>  | <u>=</u>   | <u>=</u>  | <u>0.11</u>  | <u>176.3</u>   | <u>69.9</u>  | <u>133.7</u>   |
| <u>No Action Enlargement One SoHo Square (161 Avenue of the Americas)</u>                           | <u>505</u>          | <u>31,35, 36</u>  | <u>Projected Enlargement 2</u>  | <u>290</u>   | <u>245 to 290 (North, East, and South Facades)</u>   | <u>1.4</u>  | <u>=</u>   | <u>=</u>  | <u>0.28</u>  | <u>186.5</u>   | <u>69.7</u>  | <u>194.2</u>   |
| <b>Notes:</b><br><sup>1</sup> Applicant Site  |                     |                   |                                 |  |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |

\*