

Chapter 24:

Growth-Inducing Aspects of the Proposed Actions

The term “growth-inducing aspects” generally refers to “secondary” impacts of a proposed action that trigger further development outside the directly affected area. The *City Environmental Quality Review (CEQR) Technical Manual* indicates that an analysis of the growth-inducing aspects of a proposed action is appropriate when the project: (1) adds substantial new land use, residents, or new employment that could induce additional development of a similar kind or of support uses, such as retail establishments, to serve new residential uses; and/or (2) introduces or greatly expands infrastructure capacity.

The Proposed Actions would facilitate the development of residential space, including affordable housing; create new commercial, light industrial, arts-related, and community facility space to support job creation; and preserve existing neighborhood character. The Proposed Actions reflect DCP’s ongoing engagement process with the community to achieve the following land use objectives:

- Support existing clusters of economic activity and promote development of new job-generating uses through increased industrial and commercial density and updated parking and loading regulations in key areas;
- Provide opportunities for the creation of new, permanently affordable housing with options for low- and moderate-income residents, while bringing existing residences into conformance with zoning;
- Facilitate the creation of new waterfront open space and neighborhood parks along the Canal through establishing a Waterfront Access Plan and changes to the city map;
- Facilitate several shared neighborhood-wide goals, including promoting a walkable, vibrant, mixed-use neighborhood, brownfield remediation and activating key areas through permitting higher densities and a broader range of uses and incentivizing or requiring non-residential uses in select areas;
- Create special rules to establish limits for height, bulk envelope and density that consider neighborhood context as well as other shared goals, including encouraging variation and diversity of future programming, open spaces, site planning, and design along the Canal; and
- Support a successful Gowanus Neighborhood Plan by institutionalizing a comprehensive planning framework that is inclusive of relevant capital infrastructure needs and services to support current demands and future growth.

As detailed in Chapter 1, “Project Description,” the Proposed Actions are expected to result in a net increase of approximately 8,495 dwelling units (DU), 735,000 square feet (sf) of commercial space, 251,000 sf of community facility space (inclusive of a new, 500-seat public school), and approximately six acres of new open space, including over an acre of newly mapped parkland. The Proposed Actions would result in net decreases of approximately 132,000 sf of warehouse space, 125,000 sf of self-storage space, and 60,000 sf of other industrial space. On privately owned sites, the Proposed Actions could result in a net increase of approximately 7,500 DUs, including approximately 2,000 permanently affordable DUs for lower-income New Yorkers in accordance

Gowanus Neighborhood Rezoning and Related Actions

with the Mandatory Inclusionary Housing Program (MIH). On City-owned sites, the Proposed Actions would result in approximately 1,000 affordable DUs, designated to serve a wide range of incomes. The environmental consequences of this growth are the subject of Chapters 2 through 20 of this EIS.

The projected increase in residential population is likely to increase the demand for neighborhood services in the Project Area, ranging from community facilities to local goods and services. This would enhance the growth of local commercial corridors in the Project Area. The potential growth that would be generated by the Proposed Actions is taken into account as part of the Reasonable Worst-Case Development Scenario (RWCDS) under the assumed commercial, light industrial, arts-related, and community facility space. The Proposed Actions could also lead to additional growth in the City and State economies, primarily due to employment and fiscal effects during construction on the projected and/or potential development sites and operation of these developments after construction completion. However, this secondary growth would be expected to occur incrementally throughout the region and is not expected to result in any significant impacts in any particular area or at any particular site.

The Proposed Actions would result in more intensive land uses within the Project Area. However, it is not anticipated that the Proposed Actions would generate significant secondary impacts resulting in substantial new development in nearby areas. As stated in Chapter 3, “Socioeconomic Conditions,” the Proposed Actions would not introduce a new economic activity that would alter existing economic patterns in the study area. As the study area includes portions of older surrounding Brooklyn neighborhoods, it already has a well-established residential market and a critical mass of non-residential uses, including retail, industrial, and community facility uses, and the Proposed Actions would not create the critical mass of uses or populations that would induce additional development outside of the Project Area. Therefore, the Proposed Actions would not induce significant new growth in the surrounding area. *