Chapter 16:

Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Climate Change

A. INTRODUCTION

This chapter evaluates the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions that would be generated as a result of the Proposed Actions and their consistency with the citywide GHG reduction goals.

As discussed in the 2014 *City Environmental Quality Review (CEQR) Technical Manual*, climate change is projected to have wide-ranging effects on the environment, including rising sea levels, increases in temperature, and changes in precipitation levels. Although this is occurring on a global scale, the environmental effects of climate change are also likely to be experienced at the local level. New York City's sustainable development policy, starting with PlaNYC, and continued and enhanced in OneNYC, established sustainability initiatives and goals for greatly reducing GHG emissions and for adapting to climate change in the City.

Per the *CEQR Technical Manual*, the citywide GHG reduction goal is currently the most appropriate standard by which to analyze a project under CEQR. The *CEQR Technical Manual* recommends that a GHG consistency assessment be conducted for any project conducting an environmental impact statement and would be expected to result in 350,000 square feet or more of development and/or consist of other energy-intense projects. The Proposed Actions would result in approximately 6.5 million gross square feet (gsf) of developed floor area. Accordingly, a GHG consistency assessment is provided.

PRINCIPAL CONCLUSIONS

An assessment that evaluates the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions that would be generated as a result of the Proposed Actions and their consistency with the citywide GHG reduction goals has been included in this <u>Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS)</u>. It is estimated that the building energy use and vehicle use associated with the Proposed Actions would result in up to approximately 79 thousand metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent (CO_2e) emissions per year. It was found that the Proposed Actions are consistent with the applicable City GHG emissions reduction and climate change goals, and there would be no significant adverse GHG emission or climate change impacts as a result of the Proposed Actions.

The *CEQR Technical Manual* defines five goals by which a project's consistency with the City's emission reduction goal is evaluated: (1) efficient buildings; (2) clean power; (3) sustainable transportation; (4) construction operation emissions; and (5) building materials' carbon intensity.

Since the Proposed Actions would involve zoning changes that would predominantly affect privately controlled properties, decisions regarding construction and building design for those sites, which would affect energy use and GHG emissions, would be made by developers under the building code requirements in effect at the time. The City is addressing citywide building energy efficiency and other GHG-related design questions through its ongoing long-term GHG policy development and implementation process.

Some of the development sites considered in the analysis may require specific energy efficiency measures beyond the code requirements (e.g. if developers apply for affordable housing construction funding). Projected Development Sites 4, 5, 10, 27, and 69, portions of which are owned by the City, would be developed as per a contractual agreement with the New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development (HPD). Development at these sites would meet sustainable design requirements which would result in lower GHG emissions—these features would be specified and required through the disposition and development contracts or other legally binding agreements between the City and developer(s).

The Proposed Actions would support other GHG goals by virtue of the nature and location of the projected development, i.e., their proximity to public transportation; and their use of natural gas (i.e., would be required to use natural gas due to (E) Designations for air quality). The Proposed Actions would be consistent with the City's emissions reduction goals, as defined in the *CEQR Technical Manual*.

Regarding resilience to potential climate conditions, the City's long-term process for addressing coastal flooding risk in New York City may ultimately include large-scale projects providing coastal protection. The Proposed Actions would not adversely affect other resources (including ecological systems, public access, visual quality, water-dependent uses, infrastructure, and adjacent properties) due to climate change.

B. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

POLLUTANTS OF CONCERN

GHGs are those gaseous constituents of the atmosphere, both natural and anthropogenic, that absorb and emit radiation at specific wavelengths within the spectrum of infrared radiation emitted by the Earth's surface, the atmosphere, and clouds. This phenomenon causes the general warming of the Earth's atmosphere, or the "greenhouse effect." Water vapor, carbon dioxide (CO_2), nitrous oxide (N_2O), methane, and ozone are the primary GHGs in the Earth's atmosphere.

There are also a number of entirely anthropogenic GHGs in the atmosphere, such as halocarbons and other chlorine- and bromine-containing substances, which also damage the stratospheric ozone layer (and contribute to the "ozone hole"). Since these compounds are being replaced and phased out due to the 1987 Montreal Protocol, there is no need to address them in GHG assessments for most projects. Although ozone itself is also a major GHG, it does not need to be assessed as such at the project level since it is a rapidly reacting chemical and efforts are ongoing to reduce ozone concentrations as a criteria pollutant (see Chapter 15, "Air Quality"). Similarly, water vapor is of great importance to global climate change, but is not directly of concern as an emitted pollutant since the negligible quantities emitted from anthropogenic sources are inconsequential.

 CO_2 is the primary pollutant of concern from anthropogenic sources. Although not the GHG with the strongest effect per molecule, CO_2 is by far the most abundant and, therefore, the most influential GHG. CO_2 is emitted in a variety of ways: from any combustion process (both natural and anthropogenic); from some industrial processes such as the manufacture of cement, mineral production, metal production, and the use of petroleum-based products; from volcanic eruptions; and from the decay of organic matter. CO_2 is removed ("sequestered") from the lower

atmosphere by natural processes such as photosynthesis and uptake by the oceans. CO_2 is included in any analysis of GHG emissions.

Methane and N_2O also play an important role since the removal processes for these compounds are limited and because they have a relatively high impact on global climate change as compared with an equal quantity of CO_2 . Emissions of these compounds, therefore, are included in GHG emissions analyses when the potential for substantial emission of these gases exists.

The *CEQR Technical Manual* lists six GHGs that could potentially be included in the scope of a GHG analysis: CO_2 , N_2O , methane, hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), perfluorocarbons (PFCs), nitrogen trifluoride (NF₃), and sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆). This analysis focuses mostly on CO_2 , N_2O , and methane. There are no significant direct or indirect sources of HFCs, PFCs, NF₃, or SF₆ associated with the Proposed Actions.

To present a complete inventory of all GHGs, component emissions are added together and presented as CO_2e emissions—a unit representing the quantity of each GHG weighted by its effectiveness using CO_2 as a reference. This is achieved by multiplying the quantity of each GHG emitted by a factor called global warming potential (GWP). GWPs account for the lifetime and the radiative forcing¹ of each chemical over a period of 100 years (e.g., CO_2 has a much shorter atmospheric lifetime than SF₆, and therefore has a much lower GWP). The GWPs for the main GHGs discussed here are presented in **Table 16-1**.

Table 16-1 Global Warming Potential (GWP) for Major GHGs

| Greenhouse Gas | 100-year Horizon GWP | | |
|---|----------------------|--|--|
| Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂) | 1 | | |
| Methane (CH ₄) | 21 | | |
| Nitrous Oxide (N ₂ O) | 310 | | |
| Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) | 140 to 11,700 | | |
| Perfluorocarbons (PFCs) | 6,500 to 9,200 | | |
| Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF ₆) | 23,900 | | |
| Note: The GWPs presented above are based on the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change's (IPCC) Second Assessment Report (SAR) to maintain consistency in GHG reporting. The IPCC has since published updated GWP values that reflect new information on atmospheric lifetimes of GHGs and an improved calculation of the radiative forcing of CO₂. In some instances, if combined emission factors were used from updated modeling tools, some slightly different GWP may have been used for this study. Since the emissions of GHGs other than CO₂ represent a very minor component of the emissions, these differences are negligible. Source: 2014 CEQR Technical Manual. | | | |

POLICY, REGULATIONS, STANDARDS, AND BENCHMARKS FOR REDUCING GHG EMISSIONS

Because of the growing consensus that human activity resulting in GHG emissions has the potential to profoundly impact the Earth's climate, countries around the world have undertaken efforts to reduce emissions by implementing both global and local measures addressing energy consumption and production, land use, and other sectors. Although the U.S. has not ratified the international agreements that set emissions targets for GHGs, in December 2015, the U.S. signed

¹ *Radiative forcing* is a measure of the influence a gas has in altering the balance of incoming and outgoing energy in the Earth-atmosphere system and is an index of the importance of the gas as a GHG.

the international Paris agreement² that pledges deep cuts in emissions, with a stated goal of reducing emissions to between 26 and 28 percent lower than 2005 levels by 2025^3 to be implemented via existing laws and regulations with executive authority of the President.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is required to regulate GHGs under the Clean Air Act and has begun preparing and implementing regulations. In coordination with the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), EPA currently regulates GHG emissions from newly manufactured on-road vehicles. In addition, EPA regulates transportation fuels via the Renewable Fuel Standard program, which will phase in a requirement for the inclusion of renewable fuels increasing annually up to 36.0 billion gallons in 2022. In 2015, EPA also finalized rules to address GHG emissions from both new and existing power plants that would, for the first time, set national limits on the amount of carbon pollution that power plants can emit. The Clean Power Plan sets carbon pollution emission guidelines and performance standards for existing, new, and modified and reconstructed electric utility generating units. On February 9, 2016, the Supreme Court stayed implementation of the Clean Power Plan pending judicial review. EPA expects to expand this program in the future to limit emissions from additional stationary sources.

There are also regional and local efforts to reduce GHG emissions. In 2009, Governor Paterson issued Executive Order No. 24, establishing a goal of reducing GHG emissions in New York State by 80 percent, compared with 1990 levels, by 2050, and creating a Climate Action Council tasked with preparing a climate action plan outlining the policies required to attain the GHG reduction goal; an interim draft plan has been published.⁴ The State is now seeking to achieve some of the emission reduction goals via local and regional planning and projects through its Cleaner Greener Communities and Climate Smart Communities programs. The State has also adopted California's GHG vehicle standards (which are at least as strict as the federal standards).

The New York State Energy Plan outlines the State's energy goals and provides strategies and recommendations for meeting those goals. The latest version of the plan was published in June 2015. The new plan outlines a vision for transforming the state's energy sector that would result in increased energy efficiency (both demand and supply), increased carbon-free power production, and cleaner transportation, in addition to achieving other goals not related to GHG emissions. The 2015 plan also establishes new targets: (1) reducing GHG emissions in New York State by 40 percent, compared with 1990 levels, by 2030; (2) providing 50 percent of electricity generation in the state from renewable sources by 2030; and (3) increasing building energy efficiency gains by 600 trillion British thermal units (BTU) by 2030.

New York State has also developed regulations to cap and reduce CO_2 emissions from power plants to meet its commitment to the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI). Under the RGGI agreement, the governors of nine northeastern and Mid-Atlantic states have committed to regulate the amount of CO_2 that power plants are allowed to emit, gradually reducing annual emissions to half the 2009 levels by 2020. The RGGI states and Pennsylvania have also

² Conference of the Parties, 21st Session. Adoption of The Paris Agreement, decision -/CP.21. Paris, December 12, 2015.

³ United States of America. *Intended Nationally Determined Contributions (INDCs)* as submitted. March 31, 2015.

⁴ New York State Climate Action Council. New York State Climate Action Plan Interim Report. November 2010.

announced plans to reduce GHG emissions from transportation, through the use of biofuel, alternative fuel, and efficient vehicles.

Many local governments worldwide, including New York City, are participating in the Cities for Climate ProtectionTM campaign and have committed to adopting policies and implementing quantifiable measures to reduce local GHG emissions, improve air quality, and enhance urban livability and sustainability. New York City's long-term comprehensive plan for a sustainable and resilient New York City, which began as PlaNYC 2030 in 2007, and continues to evolve today as OneNYC, includes GHG emissions reduction goals, many specific initiatives that can result in emission reductions, and initiatives aimed at adapting to future climate change impacts. The goal to reduce citywide GHG emissions to 30 percent below 2005 levels by 2030 ("30 by 30") was codified by Local Law 22 of 2008, known as the New York City Climate Protection Act (the "GHG reduction goal").⁵ The City has also announced a longer-term goal of reducing emissions to 80 percent below 2005 levels by 2050 ("80 by 50"), which was codified by Local Law 66 of 2014, and has published a study evaluating the potential for achieving that goal. More recently, as part of OneNYC, the City has announced a more aggressive goal for reducing emissions from building energy down to 30 percent below 2005 levels by 2025.

In December 2009, the New York City Council enacted four laws addressing energy efficiency in large new and existing buildings, in accordance with PlaNYC. The laws require owners of existing buildings larger than 50,000 square feet to conduct energy efficiency audits and retrocommissioning every 10 years, to optimize building energy efficiency, and to "benchmark" the building energy and water consumption annually, using an EPA online tool. By 2025, commercial buildings over 50,000 square feet will also require lighting upgrades, including the installation of sensors and controls, more efficient light fixtures, and the installation of submeters, so that tenants can be provided with information on their electricity consumption. The legislation also creates a local New York City Energy Conservation Code, which along with the Energy Conservation Construction Code of New York State (as updated in 2010), requires equipment installed during a renovation to meet current efficiency standards.

To achieve the 80 by 50 goal, the City is convening Technical Working Groups to analyze the GHG reduction pathways from the building sector, power, transportation, and solid waste sectors to develop action plans for these sectors. The members of the Technical Working Groups will develop and recommend the data analysis, interim metrics and indicators, voluntary actions, and potential mandates to effectively achieve the City's emissions reduction goal. In 2016, the City published the building sector Technical Working Group report, which included commitments by the City to change to building energy code and take other measures aimed at substantially reducing GHG emissions.

For certain projects subject to CEQR (e.g., projects with 350,000 gsf or more of development or other energy intense projects), an analysis of the projects' contributions to GHG emissions is required to determine consistency with the City's reduction goal, which is currently the most appropriate standard by which to analyze a project under CEQR, and is therefore applied in this chapter.

A number of benchmarks for energy efficiency and green building design have also been developed. For example, the LEED system is a benchmark for the design, construction, and operation of high-performance green buildings that includes energy efficiency components.

⁵ Administrative Code of the City of New York, §24-803.

Similarly, the Enterprise Green Communities (EGC) Program is a voluntary program for sustainable development of affordable housing, and may be applied for some sites under the Proposed Actions. EPA's Energy Star is a voluntary labeling program designed to identify and promote the construction of new energy efficient buildings, facilities, and homes, and the purchase of energy efficient appliances, heating and cooling systems, office equipment, lighting, home electronics, and building envelopes.

METHODOLOGY

Climate change is driven by the collective contributions of diverse individual sources of emissions to global atmospheric GHG concentrations. Identifying potential GHG emissions from a proposed action can help decision makers identify practicable opportunities to reduce GHG emissions and ensure consistency with policies aimed at reducing overall emissions. While the increments of criteria pollutants and toxic air emissions are assessed in the context of healthbased standards and local impacts, there are no established thresholds for assessing the significance of a project's contribution to climate change. Nonetheless, prudent planning dictates that all sectors address GHG emissions by identifying GHG sources and practicable means to reduce them. Therefore, this chapter assesses the total GHG emissions potentially associated with the Proposed Actions and identifies measures that would be implemented and measures that are still under consideration to limit emissions. Note that this differs from most other technical areas in that it does not account only for the increment between the condition with and without the Proposed Actions. The reason for this different approach is that to account for the incremental emissions only would require speculation regarding where people may live in the Future without the Proposed Actions if residential units are not built at this location, what energy use and efficiency might be like for those alternatives and other related considerations, and similar assumptions regarding commercial and other uses. The focus is therefore on the total emissions associated with the uses, and on the effect of measures to reduce those emissions.

The potential GHGs emissions associated with all projected developments sites under the With Action Condition have been estimated, including off-site emissions associated with electricity use, on-site emissions from heat and hot water systems, and emissions from vehicle use associated with the projected development. GHG emissions that would result from construction and solid-waste-related emissions are discussed qualitatively.

As per the guidance, analysis of building energy is based on the average citywide carbon intensity of buildings by use type in 2008. The carbon intensity of electricity is currently substantially lower than it was in 2008, and will likely be even lower in the 2027 analysis year and lower still in future years, as the fraction of electricity generated from renewable sources continues to increase. Vehicular emission factors will also continue to decrease in future years as vehicle engine efficiency increases and emissions standards continue to decrease, resulting in lower emissions in future years. Emissions from transportation from development components completed earlier than 2027 would therefore have a higher intensity, but would be lower overall since the full level of activity would not occur until full build-out occurs. Overall, the emissions presented for the 2027 analysis year are conservatively high as compared with earlier years and subsequent operational years. Since the methodology does not account for future years and other changes described above, it also does not explicitly address potential changes in future consumption associated with climate change, such as increased electricity for cooling, or decreased on-site fuel for heating. Furthermore, since detailed design information is not yet available, emissions reduction measures, described later in this chapter, are also not included in

the quantified emissions. Overall, this analysis results in conservatively high estimates of potential GHG emissions.

 CO_2 is the primary pollutant of concern from anthropogenic emission sources and is accounted for in the analysis of emissions from all development projects. GHG emissions for gases other than CO_2 are included where practicable or in cases where they comprise a substantial portion of overall emissions. The various GHG emissions are added together and presented as metric tons of CO_2 e emissions per year (see "Pollutants of Concern," above).

The following sections describe the methodology used for quantifying GHG emissions associated with the development projected under the Proposed Actions.

BUILDING OPERATIONAL EMISSIONS

Estimates of emissions from building electricity and fuel use were prepared using building carbon intensity by use type as detailed in the *CEQR Technical Manual*. Per *CEQR Technical Manual* guidance, the building carbon intensity data represents 2008 citywide averages by use type and not projections for the analysis year (2027). The intensity factors were multiplied by the building floor areas (gsf) by use type. Future emissions are expected to be lower as efficiency and renewable energy use continue to increase with the objective of meeting State and City GHG reduction goals.

MOBILE SOURCE EMISSIONS

The number of annual weekday and Saturday vehicle trips by mode (cars, taxis, and trucks) that would be generated by each land use was calculated using the transportation planning assumptions developed for the analysis and presented in Chapter 14, "Transportation." The assumptions used in the calculation include average daily weekday and Saturday person trips and delivery trips per dwelling unit, the percentage of vehicle trips by mode, and the average vehicle occupancy, and were applied to the number of dwelling units and floor areas (gsf) described above. To calculate annual totals, the number of trips on Sundays was assumed to be the same as on Saturday. Travel distances shown in Table 18-6 and 18-7 and associated text of the *CEQR Technical Manual* were used in the calculations of annual vehicle miles traveled by cars, taxis, and trucks. Table 18-8 of the *CEQR Technical Manual* was used to determine the percentage of vehicle miles traveled by road type and the mobile GHG emissions calculator provided with the manual was used to obtain estimate GHG emissions from car, taxi, and truck trips.

Based on the latest fuel lifecycle model from Argonne National Laboratory,⁶ emissions from producing and delivering fuel ("well-to-pump") are estimated to add an additional 25 percent to the GHG emissions from gasoline and 27 percent from diesel. Although upstream emissions (emissions associated with production, processing, and transportation) of all fuels can be substantial and are important to consider when comparing the emissions associated with the consumption of different fuels, fuel alternatives are not being considered for the Proposed Actions, and as per the *CEQR Technical Manual* guidance, the well-to-pump emissions are not considered in the analysis. The assessment of tailpipe emissions and the methodology used in developing the New York City GHG inventory, which is the basis of the GHG reduction goal.

⁶ Based on GREET1_2016 model from Argonne National Laboratory.

The projected annual vehicle miles traveled associated with each use type, forming the basis for the GHG emissions calculations from mobile sources, are summarized in **Table 16-2**.

| Vehicle Miles Traveled per Year—RWC | | | |
|--|------------|-----------|------------|
| Use Type | Passenger | Taxi | Truck |
| Residential | 10,641,858 | 222,835 | 4,017,081 |
| Commercial Retail | 5,038,461 | 558,486 | 3,927,930 |
| Office (Includes Laboratory Offices) | 1,952,347 | 38,917 | 864,048 |
| Community Facility (Assumed Medical Offices) | 1,508,396 | 568,812 | 446,060 |
| Industrial (Excludes Laboratory Offices) | 600,770 | 13,993 | 985,456 |
| Total | 19,741,833 | 1,403,043 | 10,240,575 |

| Table 16-2 |
|---------------------------------------|
| Vehicle Miles Traveled per Year—RWCDS |

CONSTRUCTION EMISSIONS

A description of construction activities is provided in Chapter 20, "Construction Impacts." Consistent with CEQR practice, emissions associated with construction have not been estimated explicitly for the Proposed Actions, but analyses of similar projects have shown that construction emissions (both direct and emissions embedded in the production of materials, including on-site construction equipment, delivery trucks, and upstream emissions from the production of steel, rebar, aluminum, and cement used for construction) are equivalent to the total operational emissions over approximately 5 to 10 years.

EMISSIONS FROM SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT

The Proposed Actions would not fundamentally change the City's solid waste management system. Therefore, as per the *CEQR Technical Manual*, the GHG emissions from solid waste generation, transportation, treatment, and disposal are not quantified.

PROJECTED GHG EMISSIONS

The building floor area, emission intensity, and resulting GHG emissions from the projected development potential land uses are presented in detail in **Table 16-3**. The mobile-source-related GHG emissions from all potential uses are presented in detail in **Table 16-4**.

| Annual Building Operational Emissions—RWCD | | | | |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|
| Source Use | Building Area (gsf) | GHG Intensity ⁽¹⁾ (kg CO₂e/gsf/yr) | Annual GHG Emissions (metric tons CO₂e) | |
| Residential | 5,365,940 | 6.59 | 35,362 | |
| Commercial Retail | 507,552 | 9.43 | 4,786 | |
| Office (Includes Laboratory Offices) | 268,899 | 9.43 | 2,536 | |
| Community Facility (Assumed Medical Offices) | 112,437 | 9.43 | 1,060 | |
| Industrial (Excludes Laboratory Offices) | 106,044 | 23.18 | 2,458 | |
| Parking | 102,504 | 0.98 (2) | 101 | |
| | | Total | 46,303 | |
| Notes: Totals may not sum due to rounding. Per CEQR Technical Manual guidance, electricity emissions are representative of existing conditions in 2012 and not the analysis year (2027). Future emissions are expected to be lower. Representative emission intensity for existing buildings are higher than new and future construction, and do not include the specific energy efficiency measures. Sources: 1.2014 CEQR Technical Manual | | | | |
| 2. Based on 27,400 Btu/sf/yr, 2001 CEQR Technical Manual. | | | | |
| 2. Dased on 27,400 Bld/Sl/y1, 2007 C | | inuai. | | |

| Ta | able 16-3 |
|--|-----------|
| Annual Building Operational Emissions— | RWCDS |

| Use | Passenger Vehicle | Taxi | Truck | Total |
|--|----------------------|------|--------|--------|
| Residential | 5,819 | 109 | 8,412 | 14,340 |
| Commercial Retail | 2,755 | 274 | 8,225 | 11,254 |
| Office (Includes Laboratory Offices) | 1,068 | 19 | 1,809 | 2,896 |
| Community Facility (Assumed Medical Offices) | 825 | 279 | 934 | 2,038 |
| Industrial (Excludes Laboratory Offices) | 328 | 7 | 2,064 | 2,399 |
| Total | 10,795 | 688 | 21,443 | 32,926 |

Table 16-4 Annual Mobile Source Emissions—RWCDS (metric tons CO₂e, 2027)

In addition to the direct mobile-source emissions included in the analysis, an additional approximately 25 percent would be emitted upstream, associated with fuel extraction, production, and delivery.

A summary of GHG emissions by source type is presented in **Table 16-5**. Note that if new buildings were to be constructed elsewhere to accommodate the same number of units and space for other uses (e.g., outside of New York City or in areas not as well served by transit or with lower density), the emissions from the use of electricity, energy for heating and hot water, and vehicle use could equal or exceed those estimated for the Proposed Actions, depending on location, access to transit, building type, and energy efficiency measures. As described in the "Methodology" section above, construction emissions were not modeled explicitly, but are estimated to be equivalent to approximately 5 to 10 years of operational emissions, including both direct energy and emissions embedded in materials (extraction, production, and transport). The Proposed Actions are not expected to fundamentally change the City's solid waste management system, and therefore emissions associated with solid waste are not presented.

Table 16-5 Summary of Annual GHG Emissions—RWCDS (metric tons CO2e)

| | | (metric tons CO_2C) | | |
|--|----------------------------|------------------------|--------|--|
| Use | Building Operations | Mobile | Total | |
| Residential | 35,362 | 14,340 | 49,701 | |
| Commercial Retail | 4,786 | 11,254 | 16,040 | |
| Office (Includes Laboratory Offices) | 2,536 | 2,896 | 5,432 | |
| Community Facility (Assumed Medical Offices) | 1,060 | 2,038 | 3,098 | |
| Industrial (Excludes Laboratory Offices) | 2,458 | 2,399 | 4,857 | |
| Parking | 101 | 0 | 101 | |
| Total | 46,303 | 32,926 | 79,229 | |

CONSISTENCY WITH THE CITYWIDE GHG REDUCTION GOALS

This section discusses the consistency of the Proposed Actions with the citywide GHG reduction goals as defined in the *CEQR Technical Manual*. Since the Proposed Actions would not result in development under ongoing control of the City at most development sites, specific decisions regarding construction and building design at those sites, which would affect energy use and GHG emissions, cannot be affected by the City within the scope of the Proposed Actions and would be made by developers under the building code requirements in effect at the time. The City is addressing citywide building energy efficiency and other GHG-related design questions

through its ongoing long-term GHG policy development and implementation process. However, some of the sites may require specific energy efficiency measures beyond the code requirements if developers apply for HUD funding (described below). In addition, Projected Development Sites 4, 5, 10, 27, and 69, currently owned in part by the City, would be developed under contract with HPD and therefore are under control of the City. Therefore, these sites would meet certain sustainable design requirements which would, among other benefits, result in lower GHG emissions—these features would be specified and required through the disposition and development contracts or other legally binding agreement between the City and the developer(s).

BUILD EFFICIENT BUILDINGS

For most of the sites, promotion of the GHG reduction goal through improved efficiency of sitespecific building systems and similar measures cannot be achieved within the scope of the Proposed Actions since sites would be developed as a result of the Proposed Actions but would not otherwise be controlled by the City. In general, pursuing denser development, which is one of the objectives of the rezoning, would result in overall increased energy efficiency.

Some of the sites may require additional measures if developers apply for construction funding through HPD. In addition, Projected Development Sites 4, 5, 10, 27, and 69, currently owned in part by the City, would be developed under contract with HPD and therefore are under control of the City. These sites would be developed under HPD's affordable housing requirements, including certification under the EGC program per the HPD EGC Overlay. The EGC program certification for new buildings would require the implementation of a design aimed at reducing energy consumption and GHG emissions as compared with buildings designed to meet but not exceed the building code requirements; the program is currently designed to achieve a minimum of 15 percent reduction in energy expenditure relative to the requirements of the building code in effect at the time.

The EGC criteria also include mandatory and optional measures that would indirectly reduce GHG emissions such as water conservation.

USE CLEAN POWER

While details are not known at this time, it is likely, given the market and current common practice that buildings developed under the Proposed Actions would produce heat and hot water using natural gas-fired systems. Some sites would be required to use natural gas due to (E) Designations related to air quality (see Chapter 15, "Air Quality"). Natural gas has lower carbon content per unit of energy than other fuels, and thus reduces GHG emissions.

TRANSIT-ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT AND SUSTAINABLE TRANSPORTATION

The Project Area would be heavily supported by many transit options. These include the 4/5/6 subway line on Lexington Avenue and the recently opened Second Avenue Subway, local and express (Limited or SBS) buses on the avenues and main crosstown streets throughout the Project Area, and the Metro-North Railroad station at East 125th Street and Park Avenue. The southernmost portion of the Project Area also includes a few Citi Bike stations, and protected bicycle paths exist on First and Second Avenues.

REDUCE CONSTRUCTION OPERATION EMISSIONS

Promotion of the GHG reduction goal through construction specifications cannot be achieved within the scope of the Proposed Actions since sites would be developed as a result of the Proposed Actions, but would not otherwise be controlled by the City.

USE BUILDING MATERIALS WITH LOW CARBON INTENSITY

Promotion of the GHG reduction goal through design specifications cannot be achieved within the scope of the Proposed Actions since sites would be developed as a result of the Proposed Actions, but would not otherwise be controlled by the City. However, some of the sites may require additional measures if developers apply for HUD funding through HPD. In such cases, the sites would be developed under the HPD affordable housing requirements, including certification under the EGC program per the HPD EGC Overlay, which includes some requirements and additional options for the use of materials with low carbon intensity within the points-based system.

C. RESILIENCE TO CLIMATE CHANGE

Since portions of the Project Area are within current and future flood hazard zones, the potential effects of global climate change on the Proposed Actions have been considered.

Standards for analysis of the effects of climate change on a proposed project are still being developed and have not yet been defined in CEQR. However, the Waterfront Revitalization Program (WRP)⁷ addresses climate change and sea-level rise. The WRP requires consideration of climate change and sea-level rise in planning and design of development within the defined Coastal Zone Boundary (a substantial portion of the Project Area is within that zone). As set forth in more detail in the *CEQR Technical Manual*, the provisions of the WRP are applied by the New York City Department of City Planning (DCP) and other City agencies when conducting environmental review. The Proposed Actions' consistency with WRP policies is described in Chapter 2, "Land Use, Zoning, and Public Policy," and Appendix B-2, "New York City Waterfront Revitalization Program (WRP) Consistency Assessment Form (CAF) and WRP Policy Assessment."

DEVELOPMENT OF POLICY TO IMPROVE CLIMATE CHANGE RESILIENCE

In recognition of the important role that the federal government has to play to address adaptation to climate change, a federal executive order signed October 5, 2009 charged the Interagency Climate Change Adaptation Task Force, composed of representative from more than 20 federal agencies, with recommending policies and practices that can reinforce a national climate change adaptation strategy. The 2011 progress report by the Task Force included recommendations to build resilience to climate change in communities by integrating adaptation considerations into national programs that affect communities, facilitating the incorporation of climate change risks into insurance mechanisms, and addressing additional cross-cutting issues, such as strengthening resilience of coastal, ocean, and Great Lakes communities.⁸ In February 2013, federal agencies

⁷ City of New York Department of City Planning. *The New York City Waterfront Revitalization Program*. October 30, 2013. Approved by NY State Department of State, February 3, 2016.

⁸ The White House Council on Environmental Quality. *Progress Report of the Interagency Climate Change Adaptation Task Force: Federal Actions for a Climate Resilient Nation.* October, 2011.

released Climate Change Adaptation Plans for the first time. The President's Climate Action Plan⁹ outlines a plan for resilience that includes building stronger and safer infrastructure through agency support in investment, developing standards, and other measures, and was followed by an executive order¹⁰ directing agencies to implement the plan. In January 2015, a Presidential executive order was issued¹¹ requiring that federal actions use natural systems and approaches where possible when developing adaptation alternatives for consideration, and redefining the floodplain elevation as either future projected levels; the level that results from adding 2 feet (or 3 feet for critical actions) to the current base flood elevation; the "500-year" elevation (elevation of the flood with 0.2 percent probability in any given year); or the level obtained via other methods yet to be developed.

The New York State Sea Level Rise Task Force was created to assess potential impacts on the state's coastlines from rising seas and increased storm surge. The Task Force prepared a report of its findings and recommendations including protective and adaptive measures.¹² The recommendations are to provide more protective standards for coastal development, wetlands protection, shoreline armoring, and post-storm recovery; to implement adaptive measures for habitats; integrate climate change adaptation strategies into state environmental plans; and amend local and state regulations or statutes to respond to climate change. The Task Force also recommended the formal adoption of projections of sea-level rise.

The New York State Climate Action Plan Interim Report identified a number of policy options and actions that could increase the climate change resilience of natural systems, the built environment, and key economic sectors-focusing on agriculture, vulnerable coastal zones, ecosystems, water resources, energy infrastructure, public health, telecommunications and information infrastructure, and transportation.¹³ New York State's Community Risk and Resiliency Act (CRRA)¹⁴ requires that applicants for certain State programs demonstrate that they have taken into account future physical climate risks from storm surges, sea-level rise and flooding, and required the Department of Environmental conservation (NYSDEC) to establish official State sea-level rise projections by January 1, 2016. In February 2017, NYSDEC adopted a revised draft rule (Part 490) defining the existing projections for use. These projections provide the basis for State adaptation decisions and are available for use by all decision makers. CRRA applies to specific State permitting, funding and regulatory decisions, including smart growth assessments; funding for wastewater treatment plants; siting of hazardous waste facilities; design and construction of petroleum and chemical bulk storage facilities; oil and gas drilling, and State acquisition of open space. CRRA requires NYSDEC to publish implementation guidance by 2017.

⁹ Executive Office of the President. *The President's Climate Action Plan.* June 2013.

¹⁰ The White House. *Executive Order [EO 13653]—Preparing the United States for the Impacts of Climate Change*. November 1, 2013.

¹¹ The White House. Executive Order [13690]—Establishing a Federal Flood Risk Management Standard and a Process for Further Soliciting and Considering Stakeholder Input. January 30, 2015.

¹² New York State Sea Level Rise Task Force. *Report to the Legislature*. December 2010.

¹³ NYSERDA. New York State Climate Action Plan Interim Report. November, 2010.

¹⁴ Community Risk and Resiliency Act. Chapter 355, NY Laws of 2014. April 9, 2013. Signed September 22, 2014.

In New York City, the Climate Change Adaptation Task Force is tasked with securing the City's critical infrastructure against rising seas, higher temperatures, and fluctuating water supplies projected to result from climate change. The Task Force is composed of over 35 New York City and State agencies, public authorities, and companies that operate, regulate, or maintain critical infrastructure in New York City. The approaches suggested for the City to create a citywide adaptation program include ways to assess risks, prioritize strategies, and examine how standards and regulations may need to be adjusted in response to a changing climate.

To assist the task force, the New York City Panel on Climate Change (NPCC), has prepared a set of climate change projections for the New York City region,¹⁵ which was subsequently updated,¹⁶ and has suggested approaches to create an effective adaptation program for critical infrastructure. The NPCC includes leading climatologists, sea-level rise specialists, adaptation experts, and engineers, as well as representatives from the insurance and legal sectors. The climate change projections include a summary of previously published baseline and projected climate conditions throughout the 21st century including heat waves and cold events, intense precipitation and droughts, sea-level rise, and coastal storm levels and frequency. NPCC projected that sea levels are likely to increase by up to 30 inches by the 2050s and up to 75 inches by the end of the century (more detailed ranges and timescales are available). In general, the probability of increased sea levels is characterized as "extremely likely," but there is uncertainty regarding the probability the various levels projected and timescale. Intense hurricanes are characterized as "more likely than not" to increase in intensity and/or frequency, and the likelihood of changes in other large storms ("Nor'easters") are characterized as unknown. Therefore, the projections for future 1-in-100 annual probability ("100-year") coastal storm surge levels for New York City include only sea-level rise at this time, and do not account for changes in storm frequency.

The New York City Green Code Task force has also recommended strategies for addressing climate change resilience in buildings and for improving storm water management.¹⁷ Some of the recommendations call for further study, while others could serve as the basis for revisions to building code requirements. Notably, one recommendation was to require new developments within the projected future "100-year" floodplain (the area that would potentially be flooded in a severe coastal storm with a probability of 1-in-100 of occurring in any given year) to meet the same standards as buildings in the current 100-year flood hazard zone.

While strategies and guidelines for addressing the effects of climate change are being developed on all levels of government, there are currently no specific requirements or accepted recommendations for development projects in New York City. However, the recently approved revisions to the WRP require consideration of climate change and sea-level rise in planning and design of waterfront development. As set forth in more detail in the City's *CEQR Technical Manual*, the provisions of the WRP are applied by city agencies when conducting environmental review.

¹⁵ New York City Panel on Climate Change. *Climate Change Adaptation in New York City: Building a Risk Management Response*. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, May 2010.

¹⁶ New York City Panel on Climate Change. New York City Panel on Climate Change 2015 Report. Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 1336. 2015.

¹⁷ New York City Green Codes Task Force. *Recommendations to New York City Building Code*. February 2010.

RESILIENCE OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT TO CLIMATE CHANGE

In the existing condition, the projected flooding potential associated with a storm with a probability of 1 in 100 of occurring in any given year ("100-year" storm) would extend from the Harlem River to the area between First and Second Avenues, and further north along the Harlem River south of FDR Drive, other than in the area south of 110th Street where the flood hazard zone extends inland as far as Lexington Avenue, and in one small area near Park Avenue. This would potentially affect only development sites in the southernmost sites in the Project Area. In the near future, as early as the 2020s, the potential flood hazard area would extend further inland affecting mostly only the southern area south of 110th Street. In the longer term, the southern area would potentially expand as far inland as Central Park, and north of 110th Street the flood hazard area might potentially extend as far as Lexington Avenue under the NPCC "High" scenario.

The current potential 100-year flood elevation in the Project Area is 12 feet NAVD88, and with sea-level rise could potentially increase by 75 inches to approximately 18 feet NAVD88 by the end of the century under the NPCC "High" scenario.

Note that these flood areas and elevations are likely conservatively high, and may be revised in the near future. On October 17, 2016, FEMA and New York City Mayor De Blasio announced plans to revise the FEMA flood maps based on a 2015 New York City appeal of FEMA's flood risk calculations for New York City and the region. While revised flood maps have not yet been produced, the appeal generally identified potential reductions of 1.5 to 2.0 feet in the Project Area. Therefore, it is possible that the revised FEMA current flood elevations would be lower, and the resulting future flood elevations, including sea-level rise, may be lower than those presented in this chapter. Therefore, affected areas in the Project Area could be much smaller, with some areas potentially affected later in the century and some not at all.

New York City is aware of the potential current and future flooding potential in the East Harlem area, and is considering long-term solutions. The City's long-term process for addressing coastal flooding risk in New York City may ultimately include large-scale projects providing coastal protection.¹⁸ The City is actively pursuing projects in some areas of the City, which are likely to provide protection for severe storm surge at least out to the 2050s, and possibly later, based on the above NPCC projections. Under that same process, the City has identified a potential resilience project for East Harlem in the form of an integrated flood protection system, which would address the Project Area. Subject to available funding, the City, would work with multiple agencies to design and construct this project. The expected alignment would be along the FDR Drive esplanade between East 90th Street and East 127th Street, or could potentially follow the highway's dividing wall.

Since most sites would be developed as a result of the Proposed Actions but would not otherwise be controlled by the City, and since implementing specific resilience measures for each site prior to design while considering local street and utility elevations and the effect on existing buildings is not practicable, addressing resilience for those sites through the Proposed Actions is not practicable. Resilience for the Project Area will be addressed in the future as part of the resilience process for the City overall. However, Projected Development Sites 4, 5, 10, 27, and 69, each currently contain an assemblage of privately owned and City-owned property (under HPD jurisdiction). Because development on all of these sites would be subject to future disposition and construction financing actions facilitated by HPD, the RWCDS projections for

¹⁸ The City of New York. A Stronger, More Resilient New York. June 11, 2013.

these sites were developed in collaboration with HPD to account for these known projects. Projected Development Sites 5, 10, and 69 are not within the future potential 1 percent probability flood hazard zone, and therefore would not require any special consideration of flooding conditions. However, the northeastern portion of Site 4 has current elevations ranging from 14 feet to 18 feet NAVD88, which could potentially be within the flood zone by the 2050s. In addition, site 27 is at an elevation of approximately 15–16 feet NAVD88, which could potentially be within the flood zone by the 2080s. Since Projected Development Sites 4 and 27 are within the potential future flood zone, they would be designed in accordance with the City's regulations for construction within the floodplain. HPD, through a future Land Disposition Agreement (LDA), could require a commitment to design the developments at Sites 4 and 27 so as to accommodate potential flooding up to an elevation of 18 feet¹⁹ NAVD88, or lower if revised FEMA flood risk calculations are finalized prior to development and indicate lower current potential flood levels. Any residential units at these sites should be above 18 feet NAVD88. Other uses and critical infrastructure such as generators, pumps, fuel storage, electrical and communications connections should be above 18 feet NAVD88 or otherwise sealed or protected. If solutions up to this elevation for specific components prove to be impracticable, the City could also consider protection up to 16 feet NAVD88 which would account for the upper end of NPCC's "Middle Range" scenario.

Regarding the impact of the Proposed Actions on resilience in the area and on other environmental effects as they may be affected by climate change, the Proposed Actions would not result in any development in the water or on the waterfront, and therefore other considerations identified in WRP Policy 6.2 such as providing protection to avoid coastal erosion, protecting other properties, and other design considerations for waterfront areas, are not relevant for the Proposed Actions. The Proposed Actions would also not adversely affect other resources (including ecological systems, public access, visual quality, water-dependent uses, infrastructure, and adjacent properties) due to climate change.

¹⁹ 18 feet NAVD88 accounts for up to 6 feet of sea level rise—NPCC's "High" scenario—added to the current base flood elevation of 12 feet NAVD88 per FEMA's preliminary flood hazard level for this location.