

Table of Contents

Foreword	F-1
Executive Summary	S-1
1: Project Description	1-1
A. Project Identification	1-1
B. Project Purpose and Need	1-2
Project Background	1-3
Project Goals and Objectives	1-3
C. Project Description.....	1-4
Description of the Project Site.....	1-4
Proposed Development Program.....	1-5
D. Required Public Approvals	1-12
Restrictive Declaration	1-16
2: Analytical Framework	2-1
A. Overview	2-1
B. Environmental Review Process.....	2-1
City Environmental Quality Review	2-1
C. Analytical Approach to the EIS	2-3
D. Study Areas	2-3
E. Analysis Year	2-3
F. Defining Baseline Conditions	2-4
Existing Conditions	2-4
Definition of the Future Without the Proposed Project.....	2-4
G. Assumptions for the Impact Analyses.....	2-7
H. Mitigation.....	2-8
I. Alternatives	2-9
3: Land Use, Zoning, and Public Policy	3-1
A. Introduction	3-1
Principal Conclusions.....	3-1
B. Background and Development History	3-2
C. Existing Conditions	3-3
Land Use	3-3
Zoning	3-6
Public Policy	3-9
D. The Future Without the Proposed Project	3-11
Land Use	3-11
Zoning	3-12

Domino Sugar Rezoning

Public Policy3-12

E. The Future With the Proposed Project.....3-12

Land Use.....3-12

Zoning.....3-14

Public Policy.....3-16

4: Socioeconomic Conditions.....4-1

A. Introduction4-1

Principal Conclusions4-1

B. Methodology.....4-3

CEQR Overview.....4-3

Assessment Methods4-5

C. Preliminary Assessment4-6

Indirect Residential Displacement4-6

Indirect Business and Institutional Displacement.....4-10

Adverse Effects on Specific Industries.....4-14

D. Detailed Analysis of Indirect Residential Displacement4-15

Existing Conditions4-15

Recent Residential Trends4-22

Population Currently at Risk of Indirect Displacement.....4-25

The Future Without the Proposed Project.....4-33

The Future With the Proposed Project.....4-34

5: Community Facilities.....5-1

A. Introduction5-1

Principal Conclusions5-1

B. Preliminary Screening5-3

Public Schools5-4

Libraries.....5-4

Child Care Facilities5-4

Health Care Facilities5-5

Police and Fire Services.....5-5

C. Public Schools5-5

Methodology.....5-5

Existing Conditions5-6

The Future Without the Proposed Project.....5-8

The Future With the Proposed Project.....5-12

D. Libraries.....5-14

Methodology.....5-14

Existing Conditions5-14

The Future Without the Proposed Project.....5-15

The Future With the Proposed Project.....5-15

E. Child Care Facilities5-16

Methodology.....5-16

Existing Conditions5-17

The Future Without the Proposed Project.....5-17

The Future With the Proposed Project.....5-19

F. Health Care Facilities5-20

Methodology	5-20
Existing Conditions	5-20
The Future Without the Proposed Project	5-21
The Future With the Proposed Project	5-22
G. Police and Fire Services	5-22
Existing Conditions	5-22
6: Open Space.....	6-1
A. Introduction	6-1
Principal Conclusions.....	6-1
B. Methodology	6-4
Direct Effects Analysis.....	6-4
Indirect Effects Analysis	6-4
C. Existing Conditions	6-8
Study Area Population	6-8
Study Area Open Space Inventory	6-9
Adequacy of Open Spaces.....	6-12
D. The Future Without the Proposed Project	6-13
Study Area Population	6-13
Study Area Open Spaces	6-14
Adequacy of Open Spaces.....	6-15
E. The Future With the Proposed Project	6-16
Study Area Population	6-16
Study Area Open Spaces	6-16
Adequacy of Open Spaces.....	6-17
Impact Significance.....	6-19
Public School Option	6-21
7: Shadows.....	7-1
A. Introduction	7-1
Principal Conclusions.....	7-1
B. Daily and Seasonal Variations in Shadows.....	7-2
C. Methodology	7-2
D. Screening Analysis.....	7-4
Resources of Concern.....	7-4
E. Detailed Analysis and Assessment.....	7-5
Grand Ferry Park.....	7-5
East River	7-7
PS 84 William Sheridan Playground.....	7-8
Proposed Open Space.....	7-8
8: Historic Resources	8-1
A. Introduction	8-1
Principal Conclusions.....	8-1
B. Methodology	8-4
Architectural Resources	8-4
C. Historical Overview	8-6
Project Site	8-7

Domino Sugar Rezoning

D. Existing Conditions8-9
 Project Site.....8-9
 Study Area8-12
E. The Future Without the Proposed Project8-20
 Project Site.....8-20
 Study Area8-21
F. The Future With the Proposed Project.....8-22
 Project Site.....8-22
 Study Area8-26

9: Urban Design and Visual Resources9-1
A. Introduction9-1
 Principal Conclusions9-1
B. Methodology.....9-3
C. Existing Conditions9-4
 Project Site.....9-4
 Study Area9-7
 Visual Resources9-14
D. The Future Without the Proposed Project9-15
 Project Site.....9-15
 Study Area9-15
E. The Future With the Proposed Project.....9-16
 Project Site.....9-16
 Study Area9-21

10: Neighborhood Character.....10-1
A. Introduction10-1
 Principal Conclusions10-1
B. Methodology.....10-2
 Neighborhood Character Components.....10-2
 Study Area10-3
C. Existing Conditions10-3
 Project Site.....10-3
 Study Area10-4
D. The Future Without the Proposed Project10-9
 Project Site.....10-9
 Study Area10-10
E. The Future With the Proposed Project.....10-11
 Project Site.....10-11
 Study Area10-13
F. Conclusions10-15

11: Natural Resources and Water Quality11-1
A. Introduction11-1
 Principal Conclusions11-1
B. Methodology.....11-3
 Overview11-3
 Existing Conditions11-3

The Future Without the Proposed Project	11-4
The Future With the Proposed Project	11-5
C. Regulatory Context	11-7
Federal	11-7
New York	11-9
D. Existing Conditions	11-10
Geological Conditions	11-10
Groundwater	11-10
Floodplains and Wetlands	11-12
Aquatic Resources	11-13
Terrestrial Resources	11-23
Endangered, Threatened, and Special Concern Species	11-24
Essential Fish Habitat	11-26
E. The Future Without the Proposed Project	11-27
Groundwater	11-27
Floodplains	11-27
Terrestrial Resources	11-27
Water Quality and Aquatic Resources	11-27
Threatened or Endangered Species	11-30
Essential Fish Habitat	11-31
F. Future With the Proposed Project	11-31
Groundwater	11-31
Floodplains and Wetlands	11-32
Aquatic Resources	11-36
Terrestrial Resources	11-42
Threatened or Endangered Species	11-43
Essential Fish Habitat	11-44
12: Hazardous Materials	12-1
A. Introduction	12-1
B. Phase I Environmental Site Assessment	12-1
C. Phase II Environmental Investigations	12-2
D. Remedial Action Plan	12-5
E. Construction Health and Safety Plan	12-5
F. The Future Without the Proposed Project	12-5
G. The Future With the Proposed Project	12-6
13: Waterfront Revitalization Program	13-1
A. Introduction	13-1
B. Consistency With LWRP Policies	13-2
14: Infrastructure	14-1
A. Introduction	14-1
Principal Conclusions	14-2
B. Existing Conditions	14-2
Water Supply	14-2
Sanitary Sewage and Wastewater Treatment	14-3
C. The Future Without the Proposed Project	14-5

Domino Sugar Rezoning

Water Supply 14-6
Sanitary Sewage and Wastewater Management 14-6
D. The Future With the Proposed Project..... 14-6
 Water Supply 14-7
 Sanitary Sewage and Wastewater Management 14-7
 Stormwater Management 14-8
 Combined Sewer Overflows 14-9
 Best Management Practices 14-10
 Public School Option 14-11

15: Solid Waste and Sanitation Services 15-1
A. Introduction 15-1
 Principal Conclusions 15-1
B. Existing Conditions 15-1
C. Future Without the Proposed Project..... 15-2
D. The Future With the Proposed Project..... 15-4
 Public School Option 15-5

16: Energy 16-1
A. Introduction 16-1
 Principal Conclusions 16-1
B. Existing Conditions 16-1
 Energy Providers 16-1
 Energy Initiatives 16-2
 Existing Energy Demand 16-3
C. The Future Without the Proposed Project 16-3
D. The Future With the Proposed Project..... 16-4
 Public School Option 16-5

17: Traffic and Parking 17-1
A. Introduction 17-1
 Principal Conclusions 17-2
B. Analysis Framework..... 17-3
C. Methodology..... 17-4
 Signalized Intersections 17-4
 Unsignalized Intersections 17-5
D. Existing Conditions 17-5
 Study Area 17-5
 Intersection and Roadway Characteristics 17-6
 Traffic Volumes 17-7
 Level of Service 17-8
 Parking 17-9
E. The Future Without the Proposed Project 17-9
 Changes in Traffic Volumes 17-9
 No Action Development on the Project Site 17-11
 Capacity Analysis Results 17-12
F. The Future With the Proposed Project..... 17-15
 Proposed Development 17-15

Trip Generation	17-15
Public School Option	17-18
Site Access and Circulation.....	17-19
Trip Assignment.....	17-19
Traffic Volumes and Level of Service	17-19
Significant Adverse Impacts	17-20
Parking Supply and Utilization	17-23
Pedestrian Safety	17-24
18: Transit and Pedestrians	18-1
A. Introduction	18-1
Principal Conclusions.....	18-2
B. Methodology	18-2
Transit and Pedestrian Study Areas.....	18-2
Operational Analysis Methodology.....	18-4
C. Baseline Conditions	18-8
Subway Station Operations	18-9
Bus Line Haul Levels.....	18-9
Street-Level Pedestrian Operations	18-12
D. The Future Without the Proposed Project	18-16
Pedestrian Volume Projections	18-16
Transit Volume Projections.....	18-16
Subway Station Operations	18-16
Bus Line Haul Levels.....	18-17
Street-Level Pedestrian Operations	18-20
E. The Future With the Proposed Project	18-24
Transit and Pedestrian Volume Projections	18-24
Trip Distribution and Assignment.....	18-24
Subway Station Operations	18-24
Bus Line Haul Levels.....	18-26
Supplemental Transit Improvement Measures.....	18-29
Street-Level Pedestrian Operations	18-29
F. Public School Option	18-33
19: Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions	19-1
A. Air Quality	19-1
Introduction	19-1
Principal Conclusions.....	19-1
Pollutants for Analysis	19-3
Air Quality Regulations, Standards, and Benchmarks	19-6
Methodology for Predicting Pollutant Concentrations.....	19-10
Existing Conditions	19-22
The Future Without the Proposed Project	19-24
The Future With the Proposed Project	19-25
1-Hour NO ₂ National Ambient Air Quality Standard	19-31
B. Greenhouse Gas Emissions	19-40
Introduction	19-40
Principal Conclusions.....	19-40

Domino Sugar Rezoning

Analysis Approach.....19-40
Pollutants of Concern19-41
Policy, Regulations, Standards, and Benchmarks.....19-42
Methodology.....19-44
Probable Emissions from the Proposed Project.....19-50
Project Elements That Would Reduce GHG Emissions19-51
Conclusion19-53

20: Noise20-1

A. Introduction20-1
Principal Conclusions20-1
Noise Fundamentals.....20-1

B. Noise Prediction Methodology20-4
General Methodology20-4
Proportional Modeling.....20-5
Traffic Noise Model20-6
Analysis Procedure20-6
Applicable Noise Codes and Impact Criteria20-7

C. Existing Conditions20-9
Study Area20-9
Selection of Noise Receptor Locations.....20-9
Noise Monitoring.....20-9
Equipment Used During Noise Monitoring.....20-10
Existing Noise Levels at Noise Receptor Locations.....20-10

D. The Future Without the Proposed Project20-12

E. The Future With the Proposed Project.....20-13

F. Other Noise Concerns.....20-14

21: Construction Impacts21-1

A. Introduction21-1
Principal Conclusions21-1

B. Construction Activities21-7
Schedule.....21-7
General Construction Practices.....21-7
Reconstruction of the Waterfront Platform21-10
Construction of Buildings.....21-11
Adaptive Reuse of the Refinery.....21-14
Public Open Space.....21-15

C. Methodology for Construction Impacts Analysis.....21-15
Number of Construction Workers and Material Deliveries21-16

D. Construction Impacts of the Proposed Project.....21-17
Land Use.....21-17
Socioeconomic Conditions21-18
Open Space21-18
Historic Resources21-18
Hazardous Materials21-19
Natural Resources.....21-20
Infrastructure21-20

Traffic and Parking.....	21-21
Transit and Pedestrians.....	21-30
Air Quality.....	21-31
Noise	21-46
Vibration	21-55
Rodent Control	21-58
E. Public School Option	21-59
Open Space.....	21-59
Traffic and Parking.....	21-60
Air Quality.....	21-60
Noise	21-61
Conclusions	21-62
22: Public Health.....	22-1
A. Introduction.....	22-1
Principal Conclusions.....	22-1
B. Methodology	22-2
CEQR Guidelines.....	22-2
C. Screening Assessment.....	22-2
Screening Assessment Conclusions	22-7
D. Detailed Assessment	22-8
Air Quality.....	22-8
23: Mitigation	23-1
A. Introduction.....	23-1
B. Community Facilities	23-1
Public Schools	23-1
Child Care Facilities.....	23-2
C. Shadows	23-3
D. Historic Resources	23-4
E. Traffic and Parking	23-5
Recommended Mitigation Measures.....	23-5
F. Transit and Pedestrians	23-24
Overview	23-24
Subway Station Operations	23-24
Bus Line Haul.....	23-25
Street Level Pedestrian Operations	23-28
G. Air Quality	23-28
Effects of Proposed Traffic Mitigation Measures	23-28
H. Noise	23-29
I. Construction.....	23-29
Traffic.....	23-29
Noise	23-29
24: Alternatives	24-1
A. Introduction.....	24-1
Principal Conclusions.....	24-2
B. No Action Alternative.....	24-5

Domino Sugar Rezoning

Description of the No Action Alternative24-5
No Action Alternative Compared With the Proposed Project24-5
Conclusion24-14
C. Reduced Density Alternative24-14
Description of the Reduced Density Alternative24-14
Reduced Density Alternative Compared With the Proposed Project24-16
Conclusion24-25
D. Hotel Alternative24-25
Description of the Hotel Alternative24-25
Hotel Alternative Compared With the Proposed Project24-26
Conclusion24-35
E. Reduced Parking Alternative24-36
Description of the Reduced Parking Alternative24-36
Reduced Parking Alternative Compared With the Proposed Project24-36
Conclusion24-39
F. Reduced Site A Alternative24-39
Description of the Reduced Site A Alternative24-39
Reduced Site A Alternative Compared With the Proposed Project24-40
Conclusion24-43
G. Cogeneration Energy Supply Alternative24-43
Introduction24-43
Feasibility Analysis Summary24-44
H. No Unmitigated Significant Impacts Alternative24-45
Description of the No Unmitigated Significant Impacts Alternative24-45
25: Unavoidable Significant Adverse Impacts25-1
A. Introduction25-1
B. Shadows25-1
C. Historic Resources25-2
26: Growth-Inducing Aspects of the Proposed Project26-1
A. Introduction26-1
B. Potential Growth in Off-Site Uses26-1
27: Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments of Resources27-1
28: Response to Comments on the Draft Scope of Work and DEIS28-1

List of Appendices

Appendix A: Historic Resources

A.1 Historic Alternatives Analysis for Domino Sugar Site

A.2 LPC Correspondence

A.3 SHPO Correspondence

Appendix B: Natural Resources and Water Quality References

Appendix C: Waterfront Revitalization Program

WRP Form

Appendix D: Infrastructure

D.1 CSO Tech Memo

D.2 WQ Tech Memo

Appendix E: Transit

Appendix F: Air Quality

Appendix G: Construction Data

Appendix H: Pedestrian Wind Safety Study

Appendix I: Cogeneration Feasibility Study

Appendix J: Written Comments on the Draft Scope of Work

[on CD only]

Appendix K: Written Comments on the DEIS and CPC Resources Correspondence

[on CD only]

List of Tables

S-1	Proposed Development Program.....	S-5
S-2	Significantly Impacted Locations and Mitigation Measures Primary Study Area Intersections	S-35
S-3	Significantly Impacted Locations and Mitigation Measures Secondary Study Area Intersections.....	S-36
S-4	2020 Mitigated Future With the Proposed Project Condition (Capacity Improvement): Bus Line Haul Levels	S-41
S-5	Minimum Building Attenuation to Comply With CEQR Requirements at the Project Site	S-45
S-6	Required Attenuation Values to Achieve Acceptable Interior Noise Levels	S-45
1-1	Proposed Development Program.....	1-5
2-1	No Action Development Projects Within ½-Mile Study Area.....	2-6
2-2	No Action Greenpoint-Williamsburg FEIS Projected Sites Within ½-Mile Study Area ..	2-6
3-1	Study Area Zoning Districts.....	3-7
4-1	Employment by Industry in 2000.....	4-11
4-2	Population: 1990, 2000, and Estimated Existing Condition.....	4-16
4-3	Age Distribution As Percent of Total Population: 1990 and 2000.....	4-16
4-4	Household and Income Characteristics	4-18
4-5	Housing Units: 1990, 2000, and Estimated Existing Condition.....	4-19
4-6	Vacancy Rates: 1990 and 2000	4-20
4-7	Housing Units by Year Built in 2000.....	4-20
4-8	Housing Tenure	4-20
4-9	Housing Characteristics.....	4-21
4-10	Unprotected Rental Housing Units in Primary and Secondary Study Areas	4-27
4-11	Unprotected Housing Units by Census Block Group.....	4-28
4-12	Average Household Income for Renters in Small Buildings, Buildings With 5 or More Units, and All Renter-Occupied Buildings in Brooklyn, 1999.....	4-30
4-13	Population and Housing Growth: No Action Condition, 2009-2020	4-34
4-14	Population and Housing Growth: Future With the Proposed Project, 2020.....	4-34

5-1	Preliminary Screening Analysis Criteria	5-3
5-2	Public Elementary and Intermediate Schools Serving the Study Area	5-7
5-3	Public High Schools Serving the Study Area	5-8
5-4	Projected New Housing Units and Estimated Number of Students Generated in the Study Area: 2020 No Action Condition	5-10
5-5	Estimated Public Elementary/Middle School Enrollment, Capacity, and Utilization: 2020 No Action Condition.....	5-11
5-6	Projected Public High School Enrollment, Capacity, and Utilization: 2020 No Action Condition.....	5-12
5-7	Estimated Public Elementary, Middle, and High School Enrollment, Capacity, and Utilization: 2020 Future With the Proposed Project.....	5-12
5-8	Public Libraries Serving the Project Site	5-15
5-9	Publicly Funded Child Care Facilities Serving the Study Area.....	5-18
5-10	Hospitals and Emergency Rooms Serving the Project Site	5-20
5-11	Outpatient Facilities Serving the Project Site	5-21
5-12	Police Facilities Serving the Project Site	5-22
5-13	Fire Facilities Serving the Project Site.....	5-23
6-1	2020 Future With the Proposed Project: Open Space Ratios Summary	6-3
6-2	Existing Population in Commercial and Residential Study Areas.....	6-8
6-3	Age Distribution of 2000 Population in Residential Study Area.....	6-9
6-4	Study Area Open Space Inventory.....	6-10
6-5	Existing Conditions: Adequacy of Open Space Resources	6-12
6-6	No Action: Adequacy of Open Space Resources.....	6-15
6-7	Future With the Proposed Project: Adequacy of Open Space Resources	6-17
6-8	Future With the Proposed Project: Open Space Ratios Summary	6-21
7-1	Incremental Shadow Durations.....	7-5
8-1	Architectural Resources on the Project Site and in the Study Area.....	8-13
11-1	2003-2007 DEP Water Quality Data for the East 23rd Street Sampling Station.....	11-15
11-2	List of Fish Species Known to Occur in the East River.....	11-21
11-3	Essential Fish Habitat Designated Species for the East River	11-26
14-1	Projected Average Daily Water Demands (GPD) in the No Action Condition	14-6
14-2	Projected Average Daily Water Demands (GPD) in the Future With the Proposed Project	14-7
14-3	Outfalls With Increased CSO Volumes/Frequencies.....	14-10

Domino Sugar Rezoning

15-1 Project Site Solid Waste Generation in the No Action Condition..... 15-3

15-2 Solid Waste Generation for the Proposed Project 15-4

16-1 Project Site Energy Usage in the No Action Condition 16-3

16-2 Energy Usage for the Proposed Project..... 16-4

17-1 Existing Conditions Level of Service Analysis—Primary Study Area
Intersections: Signalized Intersections after 17-8

17-2 Existing Conditions Level of Service Analysis—Primary Study Area
Intersections: Unsignalized Intersections after 17-8

17-3 Existing Conditions Level of Service Analysis—Secondary Study Area
Intersections: Signalized Intersections after 17-8

17-4 Existing Conditions Level of Service Analysis—Secondary Study Area
Intersections: Unsignalized Intersections after 17-8

17-5 No Action Development on the Project Site: Trip Generation Factors after 17-12

17-6 No Action Development on the Project Site after 17-12

17-7 Existing and No Action Conditions Level of Service Analysis—
Primary Study Area Intersections: Signalized Intersections after 17-12

17-8 Existing and No Action Conditions Level of Service Analysis—
Primary Study Area Intersections: Unsignalized Intersections after 17-12

17-9 Existing and No Action Conditions Level of Service Analysis—
Secondary Study Area Intersections: Signalized Intersections after 17-12

17-10 Existing and No Action Conditions Level of Service Analysis—
Secondary Study Area Intersections: Unsignalized Intersections after 17-12

17-11 Proposed Project: Trip Generation Factors after 17-16

17-12a Proposed Project—Peak Hour Person Trips by Mode after 17-18

17-12b Proposed Project—Peak Hour Vehicle Trips by Mode..... after 17-18

17-13 Proposed Project Trip Generation Comparison Medical Office and
Museum Uses vs. School Option after 17-18

17-14 No Action and Future With the Proposed Project Conditions Level of Service
Analysis—Primary Study Area Intersections: Signalized Intersections after 17-20

17-15 No Action and Future With the Proposed Project Conditions Level of Service
Analysis—Primary Study Area Intersections: Unsignalized Intersections after 17-20

17-16 No Action and Future With the Proposed Project Conditions Level of Service
Analysis—Secondary Study Area Intersections: Signalized Intersections..... after 17-20

17-17 No Action and Future With the Proposed Project Conditions Level of Service
Analysis—Secondary Study Area Intersections: Unsignalized Intersections..... after 17-20

17-18a Summary of Significantly Impacted Intersections (Primary Study Area):
2020 Future with the Proposed Project Condition..... on 17-21

17-18b Summary of Significantly Impacted Intersections (Secondary Study Area):
2020 Future with the Proposed Project Condition..... on 17-21

17-19 Parking Demand Summary by Component..... after 17-

17-20a Summary of Pedestrian-Related Accidents on 17-25

17-20b Summary of Pedestrian-Related Accidents (Additional Intersections
Analyzed for the FEIS)..... on 17-26

18-1	Local Bus Routes Serving the Study Area.....	18-3
18-2	Level of Service Criteria for Subway Station Elements	18-4
18-3	Level of Service Criteria for Pedestrian Elements.....	18-8
18-4	2010 Baseline Conditions: Subway Station Stairway Analysis.....	18-10
18-5	2010 Baseline Conditions: Subway Station Control Area Analysis	18-11
18-6	2010 Baseline Conditions: Bus Line Haul Analysis.....	18-12
18-7	2010 Baseline Conditions: Pedestrian Los Analysis for Sidewalks.....	18-12
18-8	2010 Baseline Conditions: Pedestrian Los Analysis for Corners	18-15
18-9	2010 Baseline Conditions: Pedestrian Los Analysis for Crosswalks.....	18-15
18-10	2020 No Action Condition: Subway Station Stairway Analysis	18-18
18-11	2020 No Action Condition: Subway Station Control Area Analysis.....	18-18
18-12	2020 No Build Conditions: Bus Line Haul Analysis.....	18-20
18-13	2020 No Action Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Sidewalks.....	18-20
18-14	2020 No Action Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Corners	18-23
18-15	2020 No Action Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Crosswalks.....	18-23
18-16	2020 Future With the Proposed Project: Subway Station Stairway Analysis.....	18-26
18-17	2020 Future With the Proposed Project: Subway Station Control Area Analysis	18-27
18-18	2020 Future With the Proposed Project Condition: Bus Line Haul Analysis.....	18-28
18-19	2020 Future With the Proposed Project Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Sidewalks	18-29
18-20	2020 Future With the Proposed Project Condition: Pedestrian Los Analysis for Corners	18-32
18-21	2020 Future With the Proposed Project Condition: Pedestrian LOS Analysis for Crosswalks	18-32
19a-1	National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS)	19-7
19a-2	Mobile Source Analysis Sites	19-14
19a-3	Boiler Stack Parameters and Emission Rates	19-17
19a-4	Maximum Background Pollutant Concentrations.....	19-18
19a-5	NYPA North 1st Street Facility Stack Parameters and Emission Quantities.....	19-21
19a-6	NYPA North 1st Street Facility Estimated Annual Emissions	19-21
19a-7	Representative Monitored Ambient Air Quality Data	19-23
19a-8	Maximum Predicted Existing Eight-Hour Average Co Concentrations for 2010	19-23
19a-9	Future Maximum Predicted No Action Eight-Hour Average Co Concentrations	19-24
19a-10	Future Maximum Predicted No Action 24-Hour PM ₁₀ Concentrations.....	19-24

Domino Sugar Rezoning

19a-11 Future Maximum Predicted Eight-Hour Average No Action and Future With the Proposed Project Build Carbon Monoxide Concentrations19-25

19a-12 Future Maximum Predicted 24-Hour Average PM₁₀ Concentrations19-25

19a-13 Future Maximum Predicted 24-Hour Average PM_{2.5} Increment.....19-26

19a-14 Future Maximum Predicted Annual Average PM_{2.5} Increment19-26

19a-15 Future Maximum Predicted One- and Eight-Hour Carbon Monoxide on the Proposed Project (Parts Per Million).....19-26

19a-16 Future Maximum Modeled Pollutant Concentrations from the Proposed Project (µg/m³).....19-27

19a-17 Future Maximum Predicted PM_{2.5} Concentrations.....19-28

19a-18 Maximum Predicted Impacts from Industrial Sources.....19-29

19a-19 Maximum Modeled Pollutant Concentrations from the NYPA North 1st Street Facility on the Proposed Project (µg/m³).....19-29

19a-20 Future Maximum Predicted PM_{2.5} Increments19-30

19a-21 NO_x Source Contributions, 2005.....19-33

19a-22 Monitored Community-Scale 1-Hour No₂ Levels in New York City (ppb).....19-35

19a-23 Future (2020) Maximum Predicted 1-Hour No₂ Concentration (ppb) at the Proposed Project from the Background and NYPA Facility19-38

19a-24 Future (2020) Maximum Predicted 1-Hour NO₂ Concentration from the Proposed Project (ppb)19-38

19b-1 Global Warming Potential (GWP) for Major Ghgs19-45

19b-2 Average Vehicle Trip Distances.....19-46

19b-3 GHG Emissions from Construction Activity and Material Use 2012 to 2020.....19-50

19b-4 Summary of Annual GHG Emissions19-51

20-1 Common Noise Levels20-2

20-2 Average Ability to Perceive Changes in Noise Levels20-3

20-3 Community Response to Increases in Noise Levels20-3

20-4 New York City Noise Codes.....20-7

20-5 Noise Exposure Guidelines for Use in City Environmental Impact Review120-8

20-6 Required Attenuation Values to Achieve Acceptable Interior Noise Levels20-8

20-7 Existing Noise Levels at Noise Receptor Sites 1 Through 10 (in dBA)20-11

20-8 Existing Noise Levels at Receptor Site 11 (in dBA).....20-11

20-9 No Action Noise Levels (in dBA).....20-12

20-10 Future With the Proposed Project Noise Levels (in dBA)20-13

20-11	Minimum Building Attenuation to Comply With CEQR Requirements at the Project Site.....	20-15
21-1	Construction Components and Projected Durations	21-7
21-2	Number of Construction Workers and Delivery Trucks Per Day	21-17
21-3	Peak Construction Trip Projections 2016 (First Quarter)	21-22
21-4	Comparison of Weekday Vehicle Trip Generation—Construction and Operational	21-23
21-8	Background Pollutant Concentrations	21-36
21-9	Mobile Source Analysis Intersection Location.....	21-39
21-10	No Action (2016) Maximum Predicted 8-Hour Carbon Monoxide Concentrations (Parts Per Million)	21-39
21-11	Maximum Predicted Total Concentrations for Construction Activities	21-42
21-12	Future With the Proposed Project (2016) Maximum Predicted 8-Hour Carbon Monoxide Concentrations (Parts Per Million).....	21-45
21-13	Typical Construction Equipment Noise Emission Levels (dBA)	21-50
21-14	Construction Noise Receptor Locations	21-50
21-15	Construction Noise Analysis Results Values in dBA	21-52
21-16	Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment.....	21-56
21-17	Construction Equipment Vibration Critical Distances.....	21-57
21-18	Construction Components and Projected Durations (Delayed School Phasing Sequence)	21-59
21-19	Comparison of Weekday Vehicle Trip Generation—Construction and Operational	21-60
23-1	2020 Future With the Proposed Project Conditions Significantly Impacted Locations (Primary Study Area)	23-6
23-2	Mitigation Measures Primary Study Area Intersections	23-9
23-3	Mitigation Measures Secondary Study Area Intersections	23-10
23-4	2020 No Action, Future With the Proposed Project, and Future With the Proposed Project With Mitigation Level of Service Analyses Primary Study Area Intersections Signalized Intersections.....	23-15
23-5	2020 No Action, Future With the Proposed Project, and Future With the Proposed Project With Mitigation Level of Service Analyses Primary Study Area Intersections Unsignalized Intersections.....	23-18
23-6	2020 No Action, Future With the Proposed Project, and Future With the Proposed Project With Mitigation Level of Service Analyses Secondary Study Area Intersections Signalized Intersections	23-21
23-7	2020 No Action, Future With the Proposed Project, and Future With the Proposed Project With Mitigation Level of Service Analyses Secondary Study Area Intersections Unsignalized Intersections	23-22

Domino Sugar Rezoning

23-8 2020 Mitigated Future With the Proposed Project Condition (Capacity Improvement): Bus Line Haul Levels23-27

24-1 Congested Intersections for the No Action Alternative (2020)..... after 24-12

24-2 Future With the Proposed Project Noise Levels (in dBA)24-13

24-3 Comparison of Proposed Project and Reduced Density Alternative.....24-15

24-4 Comparison of Elementary, Intermediate, and High School Utilizations for the Reduced Density Alternative and the Proposed Project.....24-18

24-5 Open Space Ratios Summary: Comparison of Reduced Density Alternative and Proposed Project.....24-19

24-6a Comparison of Person Trips Generated by the Proposed Project and the Reduced Density Alternative24-23

24-6b Comparison of Vehicle Trips Generated by the Proposed Project and the Reduced Density Alternative24-23

24-7 Comparison of Proposed Project and Hotel Alternative24-26

24-8 Comparison of Elementary, Intermediate, and High School Utilizations for the Hotel Alternative and the Proposed Project.....24-28

24-9 Open Space Ratios Summary: Comparison of Hotel Alternative and Proposed Project...24-29

24-10a Comparison of Person Trips Generated by the Proposed Project and the Hotel Alternative24-33

24-10b Comparison of Vehicle Trips Generated by the Proposed Project and the Hotel Alternative24-33

List of Figures

		<i>Following page</i>
S-1	Project Site Location	S-2
S-2	Project Site	S-4
S-3	Illustrative Site Plan	S-4
S-4	Proposed Zoning Envelope	S-6
S-5	Building Envelopes and Heights: West Elevation	S-6
S-6	Building Envelopes and Heights: East Elevation	S-6
S-7	Building Envelopes and Heights: North Elevation	S-6
S-8a	Illustrative Rendering of Proposed Project from Williamsburg Bridge.....	S-6
S-8b	Illustrative Axonometric View of Proposed Project from the Northwest.....	S-6
S-8c	Illustrative Axonometric View of Proposed Project from the Northeast.....	S-6
S-8d	Illustrative Rendering of the Proposed Project Along Kent Avenue	S-6
S-9	Rendering of the Refinery with Proposed Addition.....	S-8
S-10	Open Space Plan	S-8
S-11	Illustrative Rendering of Proposed Open Space	S-8
S-12	Proposed Zoning	S-10
S-13	Proposed Waivers: Site Plan.....	S-12
S-14	Proposed Waivers: Sectional View, Site E	S-12
S-15	Proposed Waivers: Sectional View, Sites A, B, C, D, and Refinery	S-12
S-16	Site A Special Permit Parking Plan.....	S-12
1-1	Project Site Location	1-2
1-2	Existing Zoning	1-2
1-3	Project Site	1-4
1-4a	Illustrative Site Plan	1-6
1-4b	Proposed Zoning Envelope	1-6
1-5	Building Envelopes and Heights: West Elevation	1-6
1-6	Building Envelopes and Heights: East Elevation	1-6
1-7	Building Envelopes and Heights: North Elevation	1-6
1-8a	Illustrative Rendering of Proposed Project from Williamsburg Bridge.....	1-6
1-8b	Illustrative Axonometric View of Proposed Project from the Northwest.....	1-6
1-8c	Illustrative Axonometric View of Proposed Project from the Northeast.....	1-6
1-8d	Illustrative Rendering of the Proposed Project Along Kent Avenue	1-8
1-9	Rendering of the Refinery with Proposed Addition.....	1-8
1-10	Open Space Plan	1-10
1-11	Illustrative Rendering of Proposed Open Space	1-10

Domino Sugar Rezoning

1-12 Proposed Zoning 1-12

1-13 Proposed Waivers: Site Plan 1-14

1-14a Proposed Waivers: Sectional View, Site E..... 1-14

1-14b Proposed Waivers: Sectional View, Sites A, B, C, D, and Refinery..... 1-14

1-15 Site A Special Permit Parking Plan..... 1-14

2-1 No Action Development on the Project Site 2-4

2-2 No Action Developments 2-6

3-1 Existing Land Use 3-4

3-2 Existing Zoning 3-6

3-3 Greenpoint Williamsburg Industrial Business Area..... 3-10

3-4 Proposed Zoning 3-16

4-1 Socioeconomic Conditions Study Areas 4-2

4-2 1999 Median Household Income 4-18

4-3 Percent of Population below Poverty Level 4-18

4-4 Census Tracts Containing Population Vulnerable to Indirect Displacement 4-30

5-1 Public Schools 5-6

5-2 Libraries 5-16

5-3 Publicly Funded Group Child Care and Head Start Facilities..... 5-16

5-4 Outpatient Health Care Facilities 5-20

5-5 Police and Fire Services 5-22

6-1 Open Space Study Areas 6-6

6-2 Open Space Resources 6-10

6-3 Open Space Plan..... 6-16

7-1 Shadows: March 21/Sept. 21—11 AM EDT..... 7-9

7-2 Shadows: March 21/Sept. 21—12:45 PM EDT 7-9

7-3 Shadows: March 21/Sept. 21—2:30 PM EDT 7-9

7-4 Shadows: March 21/Sept. 21—4:30 PM EDT 7-9

7-5 Shadows: May 6/August 6—12:30 PM EDT 7-9

7-6 Shadows: May 6/August 6—2:15 PM EDT 7-9

7-7 Shadows: May 6/August 6—4:15 PM EDT..... 7-9

7-8 Shadows: June 21—12:45 PM EDT..... 7-9

7-9 Shadows: June 21—2:45 PM EDT 7-9

7-10 Shadows: December 21—10:15 AM EST 7-9

7-11 Shadows: December 21—12:15 PM EST 7-9

7-12 Shadows: December 21—2:15 PM EST 7-9

7-13 Shadows on Grand Ferry Park: March 21/Sept. 21—11 AM EDT..... 7-9

7-14 Shadows on Grand Ferry Park: March 21/Sept. 21—12:45 PM EDT 7-9

7-15 Shadows on Grand Ferry Park: March 21/Sept. 21—2:30 PM EDT 7-9

7-16 Shadows on Grand Ferry Park: March 21/Sept. 21—4:30 PM EDT 7-9

7-17 Shadows on Grand Ferry Park: December 21—10:15 AM EST..... 7-9

7-18 Shadows on Grand Ferry Park: December 21—12:15 PM EST 7-9

7-19 Shadows on Grand Ferry Park: December 21—2:15 PM EST 7-9

8-1	Photo Key of Historic Resources	8-28
8-2	Existing Site Plan.....	8-28
8-3	Project Site, Views 1 and 2.....	8-28
8-4	Project Site, Views 3 and 4.....	8-28
8-5	Project Site, Views 5 and 6.....	8-28
8-6	Project Site, Views 7 and 8.....	8-28
8-7	Project Site, View 9	8-28
8-8	Additional American Sugar Refinery Company Buildings	8-28
8-9	Historic Resources, Views 12 and 13	8-28
8-10	Historic Resources, Views 14 and 15	8-28
8-11	Historic Resources, Views 16 and 17	8-28
8-12	Historic Resources, Views 18 and 19	8-28
8-13	Historic Resources, Views 20 and 21	8-28
8-14	Historic Resources, Views 22 and 23	8-28
8-15	Historic Resources, Views 24 and 25	8-28
8-16	Historic Resources, Views 26 and 27	8-28
8-17	Historic Resources, Views 28 and 29	8-28
8-18	Historic Resources, Views 30 and 31	8-28
8-19	Historic Resources, Views 32 and 33	8-28
8-20	Historic Resources, Views 34 and 35	8-28
8-21	Historic Resources, Views 36 and 37	8-28
8-22	Historic Resources, Views 38 and 39	8-28
8-23	Historic Resources, Views 40 and 41	8-28
8-24	Historic Resources, Views 42 and 43	8-28
8-25	Open Space Plan	8-28
8-26	Rendering of the View from the East River.....	8-28
8-27	Refinery Building.....	8-28
9-1	Photo Key of Urban Design and Visual Resources	9-23
9-2	Existing Site Plan.....	9-23
9-3	Study Area Views, Views 1 and 2	9-23
9-4	Project Site Views, Views 3 and 4.....	9-23
9-5	Project Site Views, Views 5 and 6.....	9-23
9-6	Study Area Views, Views 7 and 8	9-23
9-7	Project Site Views, Views 9 and 10.....	9-23
9-8	Study Area Views, Views 11 and 12	9-23
9-9	Study Area Views, Views 13 and 14	9-23
9-10	Study Area Views, Views 15 and 16	9-23
9-11	Study Area Views, Views 17 and 18	9-23
9-12	Study Area Views, Views 19 and 20	9-23
9-13	Study Area Views, Views 21 and 22	9-23
9-14	Study Area Views, Views 23 and 24	9-23
9-15	Study Area Views, Views 25 and 26	9-23

Domino Sugar Rezoning

9-16 Study Area Views, Views 27 and 28..... 9-23
9-17 Study Area Views, Views 29 and 30..... 9-23
9-18 Study Area Views, Views 31 and 32..... 9-23
9-19 Study Area Views, Views 33 and 34..... 9-23
9-20 Study Area Views, Views 35 and 36..... 9-23
9-21 Study Area Views, Views 37 and 38..... 9-23
9-22 Study Area Views, Views 39 and 40..... 9-23
9-23 Study Area Views, Views 41 and 42..... 9-23
9-24 Study Area Views, Views 43 and 44..... 9-23
9-25 Study Area Views, Views 45 and 46..... 9-23
9-26 Study Area Views, Views 47 and 48..... 9-23
9-27 Study Area Views, Views 49 and 50..... 9-23
9-28 Study Area View, View 51..... 9-23
9-29 No Action Development on the Project Site 9-23
9-30 Illustrative Site Plan 9-23
9-31 Building Envelopes and Heights: North Elevation 9-23
9-32 View from Kent Avenue Facing South 9-23
9-33 Building Envelopes and Heights: East Elevation..... 9-23
9-34 Building Envelopes and Heights: West Elevation..... 9-23
9-35 View from Wythe Avenue and South 2nd Street 9-23
9-36 View from Berry and South 3rd Streets 9-23
9-37 Open Space Plan..... 9-23
9-38 Rendering of the Refinery with Proposed Addition 9-23
9-39 View North from Broadway and Kent Avenue..... 9-23
9-40 View Southwest from Wythe Avenue and Grand Street..... 9-23
9-41 View South from East River State Park 9-23
9-42 View East from Manhattan..... 9-23
9-43 Illustrative View Northeast from Williamsburg Bridge..... 9-23
10-1 Neighborhood Character Study Area 10-4
11-1 USGS 7.5 Minute Topographic Map—Brooklyn Quad..... 11-10
11-2 FEMA Flood Hazard Areas..... 11-12
11-3 National Wetlands Inventory Mapped Wetlands 11-12
11-4 NYSDEC Mapped Tidal Wetlands 11-14
13-1 Coastal Zone..... 13-2
14-1 Existing Outfall and Regulator Locations 14-8
14-2 Stormwater Drainage with the Proposed Project..... 14-8
17-1 Traffic Analysis Locations 17-6
17-2 Baseline Traffic Volumes, Weekday AM Peak Hour 17-8
17-3 Baseline Traffic Volumes, Weekday Midday Peak Hour 17-8
17-4 Baseline Traffic Volumes, Weekday PM Peak Hour 17-8
17-5 Baseline Traffic Volumes, Saturday Midday Peak Hour 17-8
17-6 2020 No Action Traffic Volumes, Weekday AM Peak Hour 17-12

List of Figures

17-7	2020 No Action Traffic Volumes, Weekday Midday Peak Hour	17-12
17-8	2020 No Action Traffic Volumes, Weekday PM Peak Hour.....	17-12
17-9	2020 No Action Traffic Volumes, Saturday Midday Peak Hour.....	17-14
17-10	Proposed Project Net Incremental Trips, Weekday AM Peak Hour.....	17-20
17-11	Net Incremental Trips (Proposed Project—No Action Development on the Project Site), Weekday Midday Peak Hour.....	17-20
17-12	Proposed Project Net Incremental Trips, Weekday PM Peak Hour	17-20
17-13	Proposed Project Net Incremental Trips, Saturday Midday PM Peak Hour	17-20
17-14	2020 Future with the Proposed Traffic Volumes, Weekday AM Peak Hour	17-20
17-15	2020 Future with the Proposed Traffic Volumes, Weekday Midday Peak Hour ...	17-20
17-16	2020 Future with the Proposed Traffic Volumes, Weekday PM Peak Hour	17-20
17-17	2020 Future with the Proposed Project Traffic Volumes, Saturday Midday Peak Hour	17-20
18-1	Transit Routes	18-2
18-2	Pedestrian Analysis Locations	18-4
18-3	Average Weekday Ridership Volumes	on 18-5
19a-1	Air Quality Receptor Locations	19-14
19a-2	NYPA Facility PM _{2.5} Analysis Receptor Locations	19-22
19a-3	Modeled Boiler Stack Locations.....	19-28
20-1	Noise Receptor Locations	20-8
21-1	Construction Phasing Plan	21-8
21-2	Anticipated Construction Schedule.....	21-8
21-3	Construction Noise Receptor Locations	21-50
21-4	Delayed School Phasing Sequence	21-60
23-1	Intersections with Proposed Signal Timing Modifications	23-6
23-2	Intersections with Proposed Geometric Improvements and Traffic Control Measures ...	23-6
24-1	No Action Alternative.....	24-6
24-2	Reduced Density Alternative	24-8
24-3	Hotel Alternative.....	24-26
24-4	Comparison of Proposed Project and Reduced Site A Alternative.....	24-40

*