

A. INTRODUCTION

This chapter examines the potential traffic and parking impacts of the proposed redevelopment of the former Domino Sugar site along the East River waterfront in Williamsburg, Brooklyn (the “proposed project”). The analyses of the pedestrian and transit conditions in connection with the proposed project are discussed in Chapter 18, “Transit and Pedestrians.”

As described in Chapter 1, “Project Description,” the proposed project would redevelop the former Domino Sugar site located along the Williamsburg waterfront in Brooklyn with residential, retail/commercial, community facility, and open space uses. The proposed project would include up to 2,400 residential units, up to 127,537 gross square feet (gsf) of retail/commercial space, up to 146,451 gsf of community facility space, up to 98,738 gsf of commercial office space, and approximately four acres of public open space. In addition, the proposed project would provide up to 1,694 below-grade accessory parking spaces.

It is expected that the proposed project would be completed and occupied by 2020. Therefore, 2020 was selected as the analysis year for assessing the potential traffic and parking impacts. The traffic capacity analysis for the proposed project was conducted for the weekday AM, midday, and PM, and Saturday midday peak hours—the periods during which the project-generated traffic and the overall traffic levels in the study area would be at the highest levels. All analyses presented in this chapter have been prepared pursuant to the methodologies and criteria outlined in the 2001 City Environmental Quality Review (CEQR) Technical Manual.

It should be noted that the detailed traffic analyses presented in the December 2009 DEIS were conducted for two-way north-south traffic operations on Kent Avenue, since at the time of the publication of the DEIS, the traffic patterns resulting from the reconfiguration of Kent Avenue to a one-way northbound roadway were not stabilized. Subsequent to the publication of the DEIS, a comprehensive traffic data collection program was undertaken to evaluate the effect of Kent Avenue reconfiguration on study area traffic conditions. The traffic analyses presented in this chapter are based on the new traffic data collection program and take into account the new one-way northbound configuration of Kent Avenue, as well as all of the recent geometric changes implemented by the New York City Department of Transportation (DOT) as part of the reconfiguration.

Furthermore, the DEIS traffic analyses evaluated capacity conditions at 48 and 37 intersections for the weekday and Saturday conditions, respectively. However, subsequent to the publication of the DEIS, the traffic study area was modified based on the new traffic patterns resulting from the reconfiguration of Kent Avenue and the resulting changes to the assignment of project-generated trips to include additional intersections on Kent and Wythe Avenues, as well as to exclude some of the intersections that would not experience perceptible project-generated traffic volumes. The modifications in the traffic study area resulted in a net total of 55 intersections which were analyzed in the FEIS for the weekday and Saturday conditions.

What follows is a detailed analysis of the proposed project and its potential traffic impacts. It begins with a description of the analysis framework and methodology, which is followed by a presentation of the existing traffic conditions, the future conditions without the proposed project (the “No Action” condition), and the future conditions with the proposed project. Where traffic impacts have been identified, they are summarized at the end of this chapter. Chapter 23, “Mitigation,” presents the mitigation for these traffic impacts.

PRINCIPAL CONCLUSIONS

Traffic conditions were evaluated at 55 intersections for the weekday and Saturday conditions. The existing conditions traffic analysis indicated that although most intersections in the traffic study area operate at overall acceptable levels during the four analysis peak hours, individual approach movements at various intersections operate at mid-Level of Service (LOS) D or worse. Specifically, five approach movements at two intersections operate at mid-LOS D, LOS E, or LOS F during the weekday AM peak hour; two approach movements at one intersection operate at mid-LOS D, LOS E, or LOS F during the weekday midday peak hour; 10 approach movements at seven intersections operate at mid-LOS D, LOS E, or LOS F during the weekday PM peak hour; and one approach movement at one intersection operates at mid-LOS D, LOS E, or LOS F during the Saturday midday peak hour.

The analysis considered the proposed project’s traffic-generated activities, the future background growth in the study area (including the 32 residential and mixed-use developments projected for completion by the year 2020), and the traffic generated by the approximately 7,300 housing units and 204,600 square feet (sf) of commercial space on projected development sites identified in the *Greenpoint-Williamsburg Rezoning FEIS*¹. This reflects a conservative No Action scenario, including future development projects beyond the ½-mile radius² in the broader study area. This conservative No Action scenario would result in a substantial deterioration in traffic operations. For example, in the weekday PM peak hour, the most congested condition in the study area, the number of intersection approach movements that would operate at mid-LOS D or worse would deteriorate from the 10 approach movements at seven intersections under the 2010 existing conditions to 30 approach movements at 25 intersections that would operate at mid-LOS D, LOS E, or LOS F in the traffic study area under the No Action condition.

The traffic impact analysis indicates that in the future with the proposed project there would be the potential for significant adverse impacts at a total of 18 signalized and 14 unsignalized intersections during one or more of the peak hours analyzed, including: 24 intersections during the weekday AM peak hour, 11 intersections during the weekday midday peak hour, 31 intersections during the weekday PM peak hour, and six intersections during the Saturday midday peak hour at one or more lane-groups or approaches. All of the potential traffic impacts at the 18 signalized and 14 unsignalized locations identified above would be mitigated by implementing a variety of mitigation measures including signal timing modifications, lane restripings, changes to parking regulations, changes to bicycle lane classifications, new stop controls, and installation of new traffic signals. These measures would be subject to review and approval from DOT and, as described in Chapter 23, “Mitigation,” would eliminate all of the potential significant adverse impacts that could result from the proposed project.

¹ Greenpoint-Williamsburg Rezoning FEIS, CEQR # 04DCP003K (March 4, 2005)

² Typically, no-build projects within a ¼ up to a ½ mile radius are included in the no build analyses.

The proposed project would create garages that would provide approximately 1,694 accessory parking spaces. These spaces would accommodate the majority of the parking demand generated by the proposed project during the weekday and Saturday conditions. However, there would be a shortfall of a maximum of up to 45 parking spaces during the weekday morning (9 AM–10 AM) hour, and a maximum of up to 20 parking spaces during the Saturday late evening hours (9 PM–11 PM) at the project site garages. It is expected that this overflow parking demand during the weekday and Saturday conditions would be accommodated by off-site parking available in the ¼-mile study area and beyond. Therefore, the proposed project would not result in significant adverse parking impacts in the study area.

In addition, an analysis of the most recent three-year accident history available for the study area intersections indicates that the intersection of Marcy Avenue at South 6th Street (Broadway) is a high pedestrian and bicycle accident location. Under the current conditions, this intersection is equipped with curb bulb-outs across southbound Marcy Avenue and high visibility crosswalks across Broadway. A review of accident reports does not reveal an identifiable pattern of accidents at this intersection. Safety at this location could be further improved by providing high visibility crosswalks across the Marcy Avenue approaches and installing signs warning turning vehicles to yield to pedestrians on the southbound, eastbound, and westbound approaches. Based on predicted changes in pedestrian levels due to the proposed project, it was determined that, with the proposed safety improvements at the intersection of Marcy Avenue at South 6th Street (Broadway), the proposed project would not adversely impact pedestrian safety in the study area.

B. ANALYSIS FRAMEWORK

Absent the proposed project, it is assumed that the project site would be developed with commercial and industrial uses permitted under the existing M3-1 zoning. This No Action condition could include the development of a storage facility on the waterfront parcel between South 3rd and South 5th Streets, a building materials storage yard along the waterfront between South 2nd and South 1st Streets that would make use of waterborne transportation, and a new distribution facility along the waterfront immediately south of Grand Ferry Park. On the upland portion of the site, a new two-story building with a catering hall/restaurant on the upper floor and parking on the ground floor would be constructed. The total development program for this scenario includes approximately 106,300 sf of industrial distribution space, approximately 60,000 sf of storage space, 40,000 sf of catering hall/restaurant space, and 61,000 sf of land used for building materials storage (as well as 5,000 sf of office space for this use).

For the purposes of the traffic analysis, it was assumed that the trips generated by the proposed development would result from the difference in travel demand between the proposed project and the project's No Action condition. In addition to the No Action condition that would occur on the project site, the analysis accounted for a one percent annual growth rate (identified by the 2001 CEQR Technical Manual) for the project area, and included the traffic generated by uses on projected development sites identified in the *Greenpoint-Williamsburg Rezoning FEIS*, as well as 32 additional residential and mixed-use developments anticipated in the study area by 2020.

The No Action condition developed for evaluating the potential impacts of the proposed project represents a conservative scenario that not only incorporates a total of 10 percent background growth by 2020 over the 2010 baseline conditions but also includes the traffic generated by the specific development projects in the broader ½-mile radius study area, as well as the traffic generated by the development of approximately 7,300 dwelling units and 204,600 sf of retail

space identified as part of the Greenpoint-Williamsburg rezoning. It should be noted that the 2020 time frame is a decade in the future and, given the prevailing economic slowdown, there is a possibility that some of the anticipated development projects included as part of the No Action analyses would not be completed by the time the proposed project is constructed and operational. In such case, the 2020 No Action traffic conditions presented in the DEIS would be less severe, and there is a possibility that some of the potential traffic impacts attributed to the proposed project would be eliminated or be less impacted, as there would be more capacity available at study area intersections to accommodate the project demand.

C. METHODOLOGY

The operations of all the signalized intersections and unsignalized intersections in the study area were analyzed by Highway Capacity Software (HCS) version 4.1f, which is based on the methodologies presented in the *2000 Highway Capacity Manual (HCM)*. A description of these methodologies is provided below.

SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

The level-of-service (LOS) for a signalized intersection is based on the average stopped delay per vehicle for the various lane groups (grouping of movements in one or more travel lanes). The levels of service are defined as follows:

LOS Criteria for Signalized Intersections

Level-of-Service (LOS)	Delay
A	≤ 10.0 seconds
B	> 10.0 and ≤ 20.0 seconds
C	> 20.0 and ≤ 35.0 seconds
D	> 35.0 and ≤ 55.0 seconds
E	> 55.0 and ≤ 80.0 seconds
F	> 80.0 seconds

Source: Transportation Research Board. *Highway Capacity Manual*, 2000.

Although the *HCM* methodology calculates a volume-to-capacity (v/c) ratio, there is no strict relationship between v/c ratios and LOS as defined in the *HCM*. A high v/c ratio indicates substantial traffic passing through an intersection, but a high v/c ratio combined with low average delay actually represents the most efficient condition in terms of traffic engineering standards, where either an approach or the entire intersection processes traffic close to its theoretical maximum with minimal delay. However, very high v/c ratios—especially those approaching or greater than 1.0—are often correlated with a deteriorated LOS. Other important variables affecting delay include cycle length, progression, and green time. LOS A and B indicate good operating conditions with minimal delay. At LOS C, the number of vehicles stopping is higher, but congestion is still fairly light. LOS D describes a condition where congestion levels are more noticeable and individual cycle failures (a condition where motorists may have to wait for more than one green phase to clear the intersection) can occur. Conditions at LOS E and F reflect poor service levels, and frequent cycle failures. The *HCM* methodology provides for a summary of the intersection’s operating conditions by identifying the two critical movements (the worst case from each roadway) and calculating critical v/c ratio, delay, and LOS.

UNIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

For unsignalized intersections, the total delay is defined as the total elapsed time from which a vehicle stops at the end of the queue until the vehicle departs from the stop line. This includes the time required for the vehicle to travel from the last-in-queue to the first-in-queue position. The average total delay for any particular minor movement is a function of the service rate or capacity of the approach and the degree of saturation. The LOS criteria for unsignalized intersections are summarized as follows:

LOS Criteria for Unsignalized Intersections

Level-of-Service (LOS)	Average Delay
A	≤ 10.0 seconds
B	> 10.0 and ≤ 15.0 seconds
C	> 15.0 and ≤ 25.0 seconds
D	> 25.0 and ≤ 35.0 seconds
E	> 35.0 and ≤ 50.0 seconds
F	> 50.0 seconds
Sources: Transportation Research Board. <i>Highway Capacity Manual</i> , 2000.	


The LOS thresholds for unsignalized intersections are different from those for signalized intersections. The primary reason is that drivers expect different levels of performance from different types of transportation facilities. The expectation is that a signalized intersection is designed to carry higher traffic volumes than an unsignalized intersection. In addition, certain driver behavioral considerations combine to make delays at signalized intersections less onerous than at unsignalized intersections. For example, drivers at signalized intersections are able to relax during the red interval, whereas drivers on minor approaches to unsignalized intersections must remain attentive to identifying acceptable gaps and vehicle conflicts. Also, there is often much more variability in the amount of delay experienced by individual drivers at unsignalized intersections. For these reasons, the total overall scale of delay thresholds for unsignalized intersections is lower than that of signalized intersections.

D. EXISTING CONDITIONS


STUDY AREA

To assess the traffic impacts associated with the proposed project, an overall traffic study area was defined which considered the location of the proposed project, primary access routes to and from the site, and key intersections likely to be affected by project-generated trips. In total, 55 intersections were selected for detailed analysis in the primary and secondary study areas for the weekday AM, midday, PM, and Saturday conditions. The primary and secondary study area intersections are identified in Figure 17-1.

The primary study area, which includes intersections closest to the project and most affected by project-generated traffic, consists of 35 intersections, and is bounded by Metropolitan Avenue on the north, Kent Avenue to the west, Broadway on the south, and the Brooklyn Queens Expressway (BQE) on the east. The secondary study area consists of 20 additional intersections, primarily along Kent Avenue and Wythe Avenue (between South 8th Street and Rutledge



- Project Site Boundary
- ▬ ATR Location Count
- Primary Study Area (Signalized Intersection)
- Primary Study Area (Unsignalized Intersection)
- Secondary Study Area (Signalized Intersection)
- Secondary Study Area (Unsignalized Intersection)



NOTE: This figure has been revised for the FEIS

Street), as well the intersections on Flushing and Kent Avenues leading to the BQE service roads.

INTERSECTION AND ROADWAY CHARACTERISTICS

ROADWAY NETWORK

The project site is located in the Williamsburg section of Brooklyn, between the East River and Kent and Wythe Avenues, north of the Williamsburg Bridge. This area is characterized by higher-than-average commercial traffic since Kent Avenue, a DOT designated truck route, serves as a major north-south connection for the manufacturing and industrial uses along the Brooklyn waterfront. Major routes through the area include the BQE, which provides north-south access through the boroughs of Brooklyn, Queens, and Staten Island; the Williamsburg Bridge, which links the area with the Lower East Side of Manhattan; and Broadway, which serves as a major commercial route through Brooklyn.

The following is a brief description of the key roadways/streets within the study area:

Kent Avenue is a major one-way northbound roadway and a major two-way north-south bicycle route in the study area. From curb to curb (east to west), the typical cross-section of Kent Avenue includes an eight-foot loading lane, an 11-foot northbound moving lane, an eight-foot “Floating” parking lane, a four-foot-wide buffer, and a nine-foot-wide two-way north-south bicycle lane. At various intersection approaches, the eight-foot “Floating” parking lane is restriped to provide an eight- to nine-foot-wide exclusive left-turn moving lane for northbound traffic. Traffic lights are spaced considerably apart along this corridor, making Kent Avenue a quick connection between downtown Brooklyn and the Williamsburg/Greenpoint area.

Roebing Street is a north-south commercial street which provides access to and from the Williamsburg Bridge, and is therefore subject to heavy vehicular and truck traffic. North of the Bridge, Roebing Street operates with one travel lane and one parking lane in each direction. South of the Bridge, the roadway features two travel lanes and a parking lane in each direction. Traffic signals control major intersections on Roebing Street.

Metropolitan Avenue is a local east-west commercial street operating with one travel lane and one parking lane in each direction. This roadway, which provides access to the BQE, carries heavy vehicular and truck traffic. Traffic signals control the major intersections along Metropolitan Avenue.

Broadway is an east-west commercial roadway which operates with one travel lane, a bicycle lane and one parking lane in each travel direction between Kent and Bedford Avenues. East of Bedford Avenue, it operates with one travel lane and one parking lane in each direction. Also, east of Havemeyer Street, Broadway serves as the alignment for the elevated J, M, and Z trains. As a result, the roadway is constrained by structural elements of the elevated tracks and is subject to poor sight distances and heavy pedestrian volumes, particularly at station locations.

Division Avenue is a local commercial street which serves as an east-west alternative to Broadway. It operates with one travel lane and one parking lane in each direction. Traffic signals control the major intersections along Division Avenue, including its terminus at Kent Avenue.

Wythe Avenue is a one-way southbound street running parallel to Kent Avenue. It generally operates with one moving lane of traffic, with parking on both sides of the street. In addition,

Wythe Avenue provides an exclusive southbound bicycle lane within the study area. Most of the intersections on Wythe Avenue in the vicinity of the project site are unsignalized.

Havemeyer Street is a one-way northbound street running from Division Avenue to Metropolitan Avenue. It operates with one moving lane of traffic and parking on both sides of the street.

Bedford Avenue runs the entire length of Brooklyn from Sheepshead Bay to Greenpoint. In the study area it operates as a one-way northbound street with one moving lane of traffic and parking on both sides.

South 6th Street is a westbound connector street which extends three blocks from Bedford to Kent Avenues. It generally operates with one moving lane of traffic and parking on both sides.

Grand Street is a two-way street providing an east-west connection between Maspeth, Queens and the Williamsburg section of Brooklyn. In the study area it operates with one moving lane in each direction with curbside parking.

Driggs Avenue is a one-way southbound street extending from Greenpoint Avenue to Division Avenue. In the study area it operates with one moving lane of traffic and parking on both sides.


Marcy Avenue is a one-way southbound street extending from Metropolitan Avenue to Fulton Street in Bedford Stuyvesant. In the study area it serves as a southbound service road to the BQE near Metropolitan Avenue, and generally operates with one moving lane with parking on both sides.

TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Existing volumes for the traffic analysis were established based on field counts conducted at the study area intersections in February 2010. Manual turning movement and classification counts were conducted at the study area intersections during the weekday AM, midday, and PM, and Saturday midday peak hours. In addition, Automatic Traffic Recorder (ATR) counts were conducted in February and March 2010 to supplement the manual turning movement counts. An inventory of the intersections was also performed to determine traffic signal timings, phasing and cycle lengths, street and curbside signage, pavement markings, and lane dimensions to be used in the calculation of street capacities. Official signal timings obtained from DOT were used in the analysis for all of the intersections.

Figures 17-2 through 17-5 show the existing traffic volumes for the weekday AM, midday, and PM, and Saturday midday peak hours. The weekday AM, midday, and PM peak hours of traffic in the study area were determined to take place from 8:00 AM to 9:00 AM, 1:00 PM to 2:00 PM, and 4:45 PM to 5:45 PM, respectively. The Saturday midday peak hour of traffic was determined to take place from 2:45 PM to 3:45 PM.


Within the study area, Williamsburg Street (the service road on either side of the BQE between Division and Flushing Avenues) and Metropolitan Avenue carry the heaviest traffic volumes, ranging from approximately 450 vehicles per hour (vph) and 1,380 vph and from approximately 330 vph to 1,380 vph during the four peak hours, respectively. Classon Avenue also carries heavy traffic volumes in the range of approximately 650 vph and 1,310 vph during the four peak hours. Two-way peak hour volumes on Broadway generally range from 360 vph to 860 vph. Wythe Avenue carries one-way southbound traffic volumes in the range of 250 vph to 750 vph. Along Kent Avenue, the one-way northbound traffic volumes range from between 190 vph to 490 vph. Roebling Street generally carries two-way traffic volumes in the range of 230 vph to 330 vph. All other minor cross-streets carry low traffic volumes in the study area.



Project Site

NOTE: This figure has been revised for the FEIS


Baseline Traffic Volumes
Weekday AM Peak Hour
Figure 17-2



Project Site


NOTE: This figure has been revised for the FEIS

Baseline Traffic Volumes
Weekday Midday Peak Hour
Figure 17-3



NOTE: This figure has been revised for the FEIS

Baseline Traffic Volumes
Weekday PM Peak Hour
Figure 17-4



Project Site

NOTE: This figure has been revised for the FEIS

Baseline Traffic Volumes
Saturday Midday Peak Hour
Figure 17-5

Domino Sugar Rezoning

It should be noted that the reconfiguration of Kent Avenue from a two-way north-south roadway to a one-way northbound roadway has resulted in a significant increase in traffic volumes on Wythe Avenue in the study area. This is due to the fact that Wythe Avenue now serves as an alternate route for traffic traveling in the southbound direction between North 14th Street and Clymer Street in the absence of southbound access on Kent Avenue. For example, compared to the traffic volumes on Wythe Avenue intersections analyzed for the DEIS, with the reconfigured one-way northbound Kent Avenue, the traffic levels on these intersections would increase by approximately 100 to 200 vph during the four peak hours.

LEVEL OF SERVICE

Tables 17-1 and 17-2 present the service conditions for the primary study area's signalized and unsignalized intersections. The service conditions for the secondary study area's signalized and unsignalized intersections are presented in Tables 17-3 and 17-4. Locations with notable service constraints, those operating at mid-LOS D (delays in excess of 45.0 seconds for a signalized intersection and 30.0 seconds for an unsignalized intersection) or worse are described below.

PRIMARY STUDY AREA

Signalized Intersections

- The southbound approach of Wythe Avenue at Metropolitan Avenue, which operates at LOS D during the weekday PM peak hour;
- The westbound approach of Broadway at Driggs Avenue, which operates at LOS E during the PM peak hour;
- The eastbound approach of Broadway at Havemeyer Street, which operates at LOS E during the weekday PM peak hour; and
- The westbound approach of Broadway at Marcy Avenue, which operates at LOS F during the weekday PM peak hour.

SECONDARY STUDY AREA

Signalized Intersections

- The eastbound approach of Clymer Street at Kent Avenue, which operates at LOS E during the weekday PM peak hour;
- The westbound left-turn movement of Flushing Avenue at Williamsburg Street West, which operates at LOS D during the weekday AM and PM peak hours, and the southbound approach of Williamsburg Street West at Flushing Avenue, which operates at LOS E during the weekday AM and PM peak hours; and
- The eastbound left-turn movement of Flushing Avenue at Classon Avenue/Brooklyn-Queens Expressway (BQE) Off-Ramp, which operates at LOS D during the weekday AM and midday peak hours, and at LOS F during the PM peak hour. The northbound approach of the BQE Off-Ramp at Flushing Avenue, which operates at LOS E and LOS F during the weekday AM and PM peak hours, respectively. In addition, the northbound approach of Classon Avenue at Flushing Avenue, which operates at LOS D during the weekday midday and Saturday midday peak hours, respectively, at LOS E during the weekday AM peak hour, and at LOS F during the weekday PM peak hour.

Table 17-1
Existing Conditions Level of Service Analysis ⁽¹⁾
Primary Study Area Intersections
Signalized Intersections

Intersection	AM Peak Hour				Midday Peak Hour				PM Peak Hour				Saturday Peak Hour						
	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS			
Kent Avenue and Metropolitan Avenue Eastbound Westbound Northbound	LT	0.01	25.8	C	LT	0.02	25.9	C	LT	0.04	26.2	C	LT	0.02	25.9	C			
	TR	0.22	29.1	C	TR	0.19	28.6	C	TR	0.16	28.0	C	TR	0.23	28.9	C			
	L	0.00	5.7	A	L	0.00	5.7	A	L	0.01	5.7	A	L	0.00	5.7	A			
	TR	0.40	8.9	A	TR	0.38	8.7	A	TR	0.51	10.4	B	TR	0.27	7.6	A			
	Intersection	11.6			B	Intersection	11.3			B	Intersection	12.1			B	Intersection	12.7		
Kent Avenue and South 3rd Street Northbound	L	0.00	3.5	A	L	0.00	3.5	A	L	0.00	3.5	A	L	0.00	3.5	A			
	TR	0.39	5.8	A	TR	0.32	5.3	A	TR	0.47	6.6	A	TR	0.23	4.7	A			
	Intersection	5.8			A	Intersection	5.3			A	Intersection	6.6			A	Intersection	4.7		
Kent Avenue and Broadway Eastbound Westbound Northbound	LT	0.00	22.1	C	LT	0.00	22.1	C	LT	0.00	22.1	C	LT	0.00	22.1	C			
	R	0.17	24.5	C	R	0.26	26.1	C	R	0.25	26.0	C	R	0.11	23.6	C			
	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.01	7.7	A			
	TR	0.54	13.6	B	TR	0.47	12.4	B	TR	0.56	13.9	B	TR	0.26	9.7	A			
	Intersection	14.7			B	Intersection	14.6			B	Intersection	15.3			B	Intersection	11.6		
Wythe Avenue and Metropolitan Avenue Eastbound Westbound Southbound	TR	0.07	14.6	B	TR	0.09	14.8	B	TR	0.10	14.8	B	TR	0.09	14.7	B			
	LT	0.23	16.6	B	LT	0.19	16.0	B	LT	0.15	15.4	B	LT	0.22	16.3	B			
	LTR	0.64	24.8	C	LTR	0.73	28.7	C	LTR	0.95	49.0	D	LTR	0.56	22.0	C			
	Intersection	22.2			C	Intersection	25.4			C	Intersection	42.4			D	Intersection	19.8		
Wythe Avenue and Broadway Eastbound Westbound Southbound	TR	0.36	24.8	C	TR	0.26	23.0	C	TR	0.34	24.6	C	TR	0.16	21.6	C			
	L	0.43	27.3	C	L	0.34	25.1	C	L	0.63	35.0	C	L	0.17	21.7	C			
	T	0.09	20.5	C	T	0.11	20.8	C	T	0.13	21.1	C	T	0.04	20.0	C			
	LTR	0.56	16.8	B	LTR	0.57	17.2	B	LTR	0.80	25.6	C	LTR	0.35	13.1	B			
	Intersection	20.7			C	Intersection	19.7			B	Intersection	28.9			C	Intersection	16.0		
Berry Street and South 4th Street Westbound Northbound	TR	0.22	16.6	B	TR	0.17	16.0	B	TR	0.19	16.2	B	TR	0.19	16.1	B			
	LT	0.28	17.2	B	LT	0.26	17.0	B	LT	0.23	16.6	B	LT	0.16	15.7	B			
	Intersection	17.0			B	Intersection	16.6			B	Intersection	16.4			B	Intersection	15.9		
Metropolitan Avenue and Bedford Avenue Eastbound Westbound Northbound	LT	0.20	16.0	B	LT	0.31	17.5	B	LT	0.26	16.7	B	LT	0.28	16.9	B			
	TR	0.32	17.6	B	TR	0.31	17.6	B	TR	0.23	16.4	B	TR	0.37	18.4	B			
	LTR	0.50	20.8	C	LTR	0.56	22.2	C	LTR	0.53	21.3	C	LTR	0.47	19.9	B			
	Intersection	18.9			B	Intersection	19.9			B	Intersection	19.0			B	Intersection	18.7		
Bedford Avenue and Broadway Eastbound Westbound Northbound	LT	0.23	19.5	B	LT	0.38	21.8	C	LT	0.34	21.1	C	LT	0.19	18.9	B			
	T	0.19	18.8	B	T	0.33	20.6	C	T	0.28	20.0	C	T	0.15	18.3	B			
	LTR	0.29	13.8	B	LTR	0.24	13.3	B	LTR	0.29	13.7	B	LTR	0.12	12.2	B			
	Intersection	16.0			B	Intersection	17.8			B	Intersection	17.1			B	Intersection	15.6		
Bedford Avenue and South 6th Street Westbound Northbound	TR	0.49	24.1	C	TR	0.53	25.3	C	TR	0.82	39.0	D	TR	0.43	22.7	C			
	LT	0.22	13.0	B	LT	0.16	12.5	B	LT	0.20	12.8	B	LT	0.11	12.1	B			
	Intersection	18.2			B	Intersection	19.5			B	Intersection	28.3			C	Intersection	18.3		
Metropolitan Avenue and Driggs Avenue Eastbound Westbound Southbound	TR	0.39	18.8	B	TR	0.50	20.9	C	TR	0.39	18.6	B	TR	0.31	17.3	B			
	LT	0.52	22.2	C	LT	0.38	18.9	B	LT	0.41	19.5	B	LT	0.25	16.7	B			
	LTR	0.44	19.9	B	LTR	0.42	19.5	B	LTR	0.61	24.0	C	LTR	0.23	16.4	B			
	Intersection	20.3			C	Intersection	19.9			B	Intersection	21.1			C	Intersection	16.9		

Table 17-1 (Continued)
Existing Conditions Level of Service Analysis ⁽¹⁾
Primary Study Area Intersections
Signalized Intersections

Intersection	AM Peak Hour				Midday Peak Hour				PM Peak Hour				Saturday Peak Hour			
	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS
Broadway and Driggs Avenue																
Eastbound	TR	0.35	18.1	B	TR	0.44	19.6	B	TR	0.39	18.7	B	TR	0.17	15.7	B
Westbound	LT	0.53	21.5	C	LT	0.68	25.9	C	LT	0.99	59.3	E	LT	0.40	18.6	B
Southbound	LTR	0.39	18.5	B	LTR	0.40	18.7	B	LTR	0.67	24.9	C	LTR	0.25	16.5	B
	Intersection		19.6	B	Intersection		22.1	C	Intersection		39.5	D	Intersection		17.4	B
Roebling Street and South 3rd Street																
Eastbound	LTR	0.44	18.9	B	LTR	0.30	16.3	B	LTR	0.35	17.1	B	LTR	0.27	15.9	B
Northbound	TR	0.15	7.3	A	TR	0.15	7.3	A	TR	0.12	7.1	A	TR	0.10	7.0	A
Southbound	LT	0.34	9.0	A	LT	0.20	7.7	A	LT	0.32	8.8	A	LT	0.26	8.1	A
	Intersection		12.0	B	Intersection		10.4	B	Intersection		11.1	B	Intersection		10.2	B
Roebling Street and South 4th Street																
Eastbound	LR	0.25	9.8	A	LR	0.24	9.6	A	LR	0.32	10.5	B	LR	0.15	8.8	A
Westbound	L	0.01	7.7	A	L	0.01	7.7	A	L	0.01	7.7	A	L	0.00	7.6	A
	TR	0.28	10.2	B	TR	0.25	9.7	A	TR	0.29	10.2	B	TR	0.27	10.0	A
Southbound	TR	0.64	34.6	C	TR	0.52	30.9	C	TR	0.63	34.0	C	TR	0.49	29.6	C
	Intersection		20.2	C	Intersection		17.5	B	Intersection		19.1	B	Intersection		17.7	B
Broadway and Roebling Street																
Eastbound	LTR	0.32	22.2	C	LTR	0.39	17.4	B	LTR	0.44	24.3	C	LTR	0.22	15.1	B
Westbound	LTR	0.22	20.0+	C	LTR	0.16	14.1	B	DefL	0.25	21.5	C	LTR	0.11	13.7	B
									TR	0.18	19.7	B				
Northbound	DefL	0.08	18.6	B	LTR	0.15	16.4	B	DefL	0.15	19.7	B	LTR	0.07	15.6	B
									TR	0.19	19.9	B				
Southbound	TR	0.10	18.7	B					DefL	0.64	32.2	C	DefL	0.49	22.9	C
	DefL	0.39	24.1	C	DefL	0.42	21.7	C	T	0.56	26.7	C	T	0.20	17.0	B
	T	0.25	20.7	C	T	0.31	18.5	B								
	Intersection		21.3	C	Intersection		17.5	B	Intersection		25.5	C	Intersection		17.6	B
Metropolitan Avenue and Havemeyer Street																
Eastbound	T	0.45	14.4	B	T	0.48	15.0	B	T	0.48	14.8	B	T	0.33	12.4	B
Westbound	T	0.44	14.3	B	T	0.39	13.5	B	T	0.38	13.1	B	T	0.31	12.2	B
Northbound	LR	0.47	27.2	C	LR	0.34	24.3	C	LR	0.67	33.9	C	LR	0.42	25.9	C
	Intersection		17.2	B	Intersection		16.1	B	Intersection		19.6	B	Intersection		15.5	B
Metropolitan Avenue and Marcy Avenue																
Eastbound	TR	0.34	12.2	B	TR	0.28	11.5	B	TR	0.33	12.0	B	TR	0.27	12.9	B
Westbound	L	0.87	20.1	C	L	0.69	6.0	A	L	0.86	19.1	B	L	0.50	2.2	A
	T	0.28	8.1	A	T	0.26	7.9	A	T	0.25	7.7	A	T	0.14	7.7	A
	Intersection		15.2	B	Intersection		8.2	A	Intersection		14.7	B	Intersection		6.8	A
Metropolitan Avenue and Meeker Avenue																
Eastbound	T	0.28	23.1	C	T	0.30	23.3	C	T	0.31	23.4	C	T	0.23	17.6	B
Westbound	TR	0.56	26.0	C	TR	0.44	23.8	C	TR	0.39	22.8	C	TR	0.33	16.8	B
Southbound	L	0.00	17.1	B	L	0.01	17.2	B	L	0.00	17.1	B	L	0.01	14.0	B
	LR	0.49	25.1	C	LR	0.42	23.5	C	LR	0.48	24.8	C	LR	0.34	18.0	B
	R	0.49	25.0	C	R	0.41	23.3	C	R	0.48	24.8	C	R	0.33	17.9	B
	Intersection		25.0	C	Intersection		23.5	C	Intersection		23.7	C	Intersection		17.4	B
Metropolitan Avenue and Rodney Street																
Eastbound	DefL	0.53	41.7	D	DefL	0.56	37.9	D	DefL	0.50	35.3	D	DefL	0.43	23.2	C
	T	0.32	22.3	C	T	0.33	22.7	C	T	0.35	22.8	C	T	0.25	16.3	B
Westbound	TR	0.58	28.2	C	TR	0.45	25.7	C	TR	0.42	25.1	C	TR	0.34	18.8	B
Northbound	LT	0.35	21.1	C	LT	0.34	21.1	C	LT	0.54	24.5	C	LT	0.23	15.9	B
	R	0.34	21.9	C	R	0.33	21.8	C	R	0.49	25.3	C	R	0.26	16.9	B
	Intersection		25.8	C	Intersection		24.8	C	Intersection		25.4	C	Intersection		18.1	B
Broadway and Havemeyer Street																
Eastbound	LT	0.49	18.5	B	LT	0.66	23.6	C	LT	1.00	62.5	E	LT	0.45	17.4	B
Westbound	TR	0.69	24.3	C	TR	0.46	17.7	B	TR	0.68	23.5	C	TR	0.48	18.2	B
Northbound	LTR	0.23	27.6	C	LTR	0.23	27.6	C	LTR	0.33	29.0	C	LTR	0.13	26.2	C
	Intersection		23.0	C	Intersection		22.3	C	Intersection		41.3	D	Intersection		18.9	B
Broadway and Marcy Avenue																
Eastbound	TR	0.56	27.5	C	TR	0.65	30.1	C	TR	0.85	43.0	D	TR	0.47	24.9	C
Westbound	LT	0.73	34.6	C	LT	0.60	29.1	C	LT	1.05	88.6	F	LT	0.46	24.8	C
Southbound	LTR	0.43	24.4	C	LTR	0.50	26.0	C	LTR	0.73	34.0	C	LTR	0.48	25.8	C
	Intersection		29.8	C	Intersection		28.6	C	Intersection		55.7	E	Intersection		25.1	C

Notes: L = Left Turn, T = through, R = Right Turn, LOS = Level of Service
⁽¹⁾ This table has been revised for the FEIS.

Table 17-2
Existing Conditions Level of Service Analysis ⁽¹⁾
Primary Study Area Intersections
Unsignalized Intersections

Intersection	AM Peak Hour				Midday Peak Hour				PM Peak Hour				Saturday Peak Hour			
	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS
Kent Avenue and North 1st Street																
Westbound	TR	0.04	12.4	B	TR	0.05	12.0	B	TR	0.06	13.5	B	TR	0.02	10.8	B
Northbound	L	0.00	7.5	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.5	A	L	0.00	7.4	A
Kent Avenue and Grand Street																
Eastbound	LT	0.05	14.2	B	LT	0.02	13.4	B	LT	0.04	15.8	C	LT	0.03	12.0	B
Westbound	TR	0.08	13.0	B	TR	0.06	12.2	B	TR	0.10	14.0	B	TR	0.07	11.2	B
Northbound	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.01	7.5	A	L	0.00	7.5	A
Kent Avenue and South 2nd Street																
Westbound	TR	0.09	12.7	B	TR	0.06	12.0	B	TR	0.12	14.0	B	TR	0.05	10.8	B
Northbound	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.5	A
Kent Avenue and South 4th Street																
Westbound	R	0.10	12.9	B	R	0.05	11.9	B	R	0.07	14.0	B	R	0.05	10.6	B
Kent Avenue and South 5th Street																
Northbound	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.5	A
Kent Avenue and South 6th Street																
Eastbound	L	0.03	18.4	C	L	0.01	16.3	C	L	0.00	21.3	C	L	0.01	12.8	B
Westbound	TR	0.25	14.2	B	TR	0.16	13.4	B	TR	0.29	16.2	C	TR	0.09	10.9	B
Northbound	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.5	A
Wythe Avenue and North 1st Street																
Westbound	LT	0.06	13.1	B	LT	0.08	13.7	B	LT	0.09	15.8	C	LT	0.06	12.4	B
Wythe Avenue and Grand Street																
Eastbound	TR	-	8.4	A	TR	-	8.3	A	TR	-	8.5	A	TR	-	7.9	A
Westbound	LT	-	9.3	A	LT	-	8.7	A	LT	-	9.1	A	LT	-	8.3	A
Southbound	LTR	-	12.5	B	LTR	-	11.5	B	LTR	-	15.7	C	LTR	-	10.2	B
	Intersection		11.6	B	Intersection		11.0	B	Intersection		14.7	B	Intersection		9.7	A
Wythe Avenue and South 1st Street																
Eastbound	TR	0.15	15.5	C	TR	0.08	13.1	B	TR	0.08	15.6	C	TR	0.04	12.6	B
Southbound	LT	0.04	7.7	A	LT	0.02	7.6	A	LT	0.02	7.5	A	LT	0.02	7.5	A
Wythe Avenue and South 2nd Street																
Westbound	LT	0.24	15.3	C	LT	0.11	13.4	B	LT	0.27	19.7	C	LT	0.10	12.7	B
Wythe Avenue and South 3rd Street																
Eastbound	TR	0.13	16.3	C	TR	0.11	15.7	C	TR	0.13	18.6	C	TR	0.06	13.5	B
Southbound	LT	0.06	7.7	A	LT	0.05	7.7	A	LT	0.04	7.6	A	LT	0.03	7.5	A
Wythe Avenue and South 4th Street																
Westbound	LT	-	8.9	A	LT	-	9.1	A	LT	-	9.1	A	LT	-	8.3	A
Southbound	TR	-	11.8	B	TR	-	11.5	B	TR	-	14.7	B	TR	-	9.3	A
	Intersection		11.4	B	Intersection		11.1	B	Intersection		14.0	B	Intersection		9.1	A
Wythe Avenue and South 5th Street																
Eastbound	TR	0.24	16.5	C	TR	0.20	17.3	C	TR	0.23	22.3	C	TR	0.13	14.1	B
Southbound	LT	0.08	7.7	A	LT	0.06	7.7	A	LT	0.06	7.6	A	LT	0.05	7.6	A
Wythe Avenue and South 6th Street																
Westbound	LT	-	9.0	A	LT	-	8.7	A	LT	-	10.7	B	LT	-	8.0	A
Southbound	TR	-	11.0	B	TR	-	10.6	B	TR	-	18.3	C	TR	-	8.9	A
	Intersection		10.5	B	Intersection		10.2	B	Intersection		16.7	C	Intersection		8.7	A
Berry Street and South 6th Street																
Westbound	TR	0.27	13.0	B	TR	0.22	12.4	B	TR	0.37	14.1	B	TR	0.17	11.0	B
Northbound	LT	0.02	7.6	A	LT	0.02	7.6	A	LT	0.01	7.5	A	LT	0.00	7.5	A
Broadway and Roebing Street - SBR																
Southbound	R	0.23	10.9	B	R	0.35	11.9	B	R	0.45	13.1	B	R	0.29	12.5	B

Notes: L = Left Turn, T = through, R = Right Turn, LOS = Level of Service
(1) This table has been revised for the FEIS.

Table 17-3
Existing Conditions Level of Service Analysis ⁽¹⁾
Secondary Study Area Intersections
Signalized Intersections

Intersection	AM Peak Hour				Midday Peak Hour				PM Peak Hour				Saturday Peak Hour							
	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS				
Kent Avenue and South 9th Street	Eastbound	L	0.10	26.8	C	L	0.03	26.0	C	L	0.05	26.2	C	L	0.06	26.4	C			
	Northbound	L	0.02	5.8	A	L	0.02	5.8	A	L	0.04	5.9	A	L	0.02	5.8	A			
		T	0.44	9.3	A	T	0.32	8.0	A	T	0.42	9.0	A	T	0.19	6.8	A			
	Intersection		10.6		B	Intersection		8.6		A	Intersection		9.5		A	Intersection		8.8		A
Kent Avenue and South 11th Street	Westbound	R	0.15	27.6	C	R	0.09	26.8	C	R	0.18	27.9	C	R	0.09	26.8	C			
	Northbound	TR	0.50	10.5	B	TR	0.39	8.9	A	TR	0.52	10.7	B	TR	0.24	7.4	A			
		Intersection		12.5		B	Intersection		10.5		B	Intersection		12.8		B	Intersection		10.2	
	Intersection		12.5		B	Intersection		10.5		B	Intersection		12.8		B	Intersection		10.2		B
Kent Avenue and Division Avenue	Eastbound	LT	0.06	26.5	C	LT	0.08	26.7	C	LT	0.08	26.7	C	LT	0.00	25.7	C			
	Westbound	TR	0.24	29.3	C	TR	0.22	28.9	C	TR	0.22	28.8	C	TR	0.06	26.5	C			
		L	0.00	5.7	A	L	0.01	5.7	A	L	0.00	5.7	A	L	0.00	5.7	A			
	Northbound	TR	0.40	8.9	A	TR	0.37	8.5	A	TR	0.50	10.2	B	TR	0.23	7.2	A			
Intersection		12.6		B	Intersection		12.3		B	Intersection		12.9		B	Intersection		8.8		A	
Kent Avenue and Clymer Street	Eastbound	LR	0.21	28.8	C	LR	0.45	34.6	C	LR	0.91	78.3	E	LR	0.00	25.7	C			
	Westbound	LTR	0.61	34.6	C	LTR	0.54	32.9	C	LTR	0.76	39.6	D	LTR	0.22	27.9	C			
		L	0.08	6.2	A	L	0.05	6.0	A	L	0.03	5.9	A	L	0.00	5.7	A			
	Northbound	T	0.40	9.1	A	T	0.33	8.2	A	T	0.50	10.3	B	T	0.21	7.1	A			
Intersection		21.9		C	Intersection		22.6		C	Intersection		33.3		C	Intersection		16.0		B	
Kent Avenue and Williamsburg Street West	Eastbound	T	0.10	22.0	C	T	0.11	22.2	C	T	0.14	22.5	C	T	0.03	21.3	C			
	Westbound	LT	0.64	31.6	C	LT	0.44	26.8	C	LT	0.58	29.8	C	LT	0.14	22.5	C			
		LT	0.59	22.6	C	LT	0.48	20.5	C	LT	0.76	27.7	C	LT	0.36	18.5	B			
	Southbound	Intersection		26.2		C	Intersection		23.0		C	Intersection		27.9		C	Intersection		19.6	
Intersection		26.2		C	Intersection		23.0		C	Intersection		27.9		C	Intersection		19.6		B	
Kent Avenue and Classon Avenue	Eastbound	L	0.06	19.0	B	L	0.03	18.6	B	L	0.03	18.5	B	L	0.01	18.3	B			
	Westbound	T	0.11	19.2	B	T	0.11	19.3	B	T	0.11	19.2	B	T	0.05	18.6	B			
		TR	0.41	23.2	C	TR	0.31	21.7	C	TR	0.31	21.6	C	TR	0.14	19.5	B			
	Northbound	L	0.52	26.8	C	L	0.53	27.2	C	L	0.62	29.8	C	L	0.45	24.9	C			
Intersection		24.4		C	Intersection		24.3		C	Intersection		26.7		C	Intersection		22.8		C	
Intersection		24.4		C	Intersection		24.3		C	Intersection		26.7		C	Intersection		22.8		C	
Flushing Avenue and Williamsburg Street West	Eastbound	TR	0.37	35.1	D	TR	0.43	27.7	C	TR	0.59	43.1	D	TR	0.29	25.7	C			
	Westbound	L	0.86	51.6	D	L	0.63	28.1	C	L	0.71	47.4	D	L	0.65	26.4	C			
		T	0.41	23.4	C	T	0.19	15.2	B	T	0.39	25.9	C	T	0.11	14.4	B			
	Southbound	LTR	1.02	62.8	E	LTR	0.77	26.7	C	LTR	1.05	68.9	E	LTR	0.47	19.3	B			
Intersection		52.1		D	Intersection		26.1		C	Intersection		57.4		E	Intersection		22.2		C	
Intersection		52.1		D	Intersection		26.1		C	Intersection		57.4		E	Intersection		22.2		C	
Flushing Avenue and Classon Avenue/BQE Off-Ramp	Eastbound	L	0.74	53.1	D	L	0.82	53.5	D	L	0.98	95.0	F	L	0.52	31.1	C			
	Westbound	T	0.17	25.7	C	T	0.18	22.0	C	T	0.29	30.8	C	T	0.10	21.0	C			
		TR	0.50	30.6	C	TR	0.41	24.5	C	TR	0.43	32.5	C	TR	0.37	23.8	C			
	Northbound - BQE Off-Ramp	LTR	0.96	76.8	E	LTR	0.66	33.5	C	LTR	1.05	87.7	F	LTR	0.59	31.5	C			
Northbound - Classon Avenue	LTR	0.93	59.6	E	LTR	0.90	53.0	D	LTR	1.04	91.0	F	LTR	0.86	47.4	D				
Intersection		54.3		D	Intersection		39.5		D	Intersection		74.8		E	Intersection		34.6		C	
Intersection		54.3		D	Intersection		39.5		D	Intersection		74.8		E	Intersection		34.6		C	
Wythe Avenue and Division Avenue	Eastbound	TR	0.16	21.1	C	TR	0.20	21.7	C	TR	0.21	21.8	C	TR	0.03	19.6	B			
	Westbound	LT	0.34	24.3	C	LT	0.29	23.3	C	LT	0.40	25.7	C	LT	0.08	20.2	C			
		LR	0.16	10.9	B	LR	0.16	10.8	B	LR	0.20	11.5	B	LR	0.05	9.8	A			
	Southbound	LTR	0.30	11.6	B	LTR	0.30	11.6	B	LTR	0.39	12.5	B	LTR	0.15	10.3	B			
Intersection		14.6		B	Intersection		14.5		B	Intersection		15.2		B	Intersection		11.6		B	
Intersection		14.6		B	Intersection		14.5		B	Intersection		15.2		B	Intersection		11.6		B	
Wythe Avenue and Clymer Street	Westbound	LTR	0.25	21.8	C	LTR	0.20	21.2	C	LTR	0.28	22.0	C	LTR	0.05	19.8	B			
	Northbound	LT	0.09	10.1	B	LT	0.11	10.3	B	LT	0.09	10.1	B	LT	0.04	9.6	A			
		TR	0.35	12.1	B	TR	0.34	12.1	B	TR	0.48	13.7	B	TR	0.16	10.4	B			
	Southbound	Intersection		14.8		B	Intersection		14.1		B	Intersection		15.5		B	Intersection		11.8	
Intersection		14.8		B	Intersection		14.1		B	Intersection		15.5		B	Intersection		11.8		B	
Wythe Avenue and Williamsburg Street West	Eastbound	TR	0.59	36.7	D	TR	0.58	35.6	D	TR	0.79	44.8	D	TR	0.29	29.3	C			
	Southbound	LTR	0.60	19.3	B	LTR	0.48	17.3	B	LTR	0.65	20.4	C	LTR	0.33	15.2	B			
		Intersection		22.7		C	Intersection		22.1		C	Intersection		26.8		C	Intersection		18.1	
	Intersection		22.7		C	Intersection		22.1		C	Intersection		26.8		C	Intersection		18.1		B
Wythe Avenue and Williamsburg Street East	Eastbound	L	0.34	23.0	C	L	0.30	22.0	C	L	0.34	22.7	C	L	0.15	19.9	B			
	Northbound	LT	0.43	24.4	C	LT	0.50	25.7	C	LT	0.57	27.4	C	LT	0.30	21.9	C			
		TR	0.48	24.1	C	TR	0.47	24.0	C	TR	0.46	23.8	C	TR	0.38	22.5	C			
	Southbound	Intersection		24.0		C	Intersection		24.2		C	Intersection		24.7		C	Intersection		22.1	
Intersection		24.0		C	Intersection		24.2		C	Intersection		24.7		C	Intersection		22.1		C	
Wythe Avenue and Penn Street	Eastbound	T	0.34	13.1	B	T	0.40	13.8	B	T	0.39	13.7	B	T	0.22	11.5	B			
	Northbound	R	0.06	30.3	C	R	0.04	30.0	C	R	0.09	30.8	C	R	0.00	29.5	C			
		L	0.24	33.1	C	L	0.19	32.1	C	L	0.17	31.7	C	L	0.00	29.4	C			
	Southbound	Intersection		18.4		B	Intersection		17.7		B	Intersection		17.4		B	Intersection		11.8	
Intersection		18.4		B	Intersection		17.7		B	Intersection		17.4		B	Intersection		11.8		B	
Wythe Avenue and Rutledge Street	Eastbound	LT	0.54	17.1	B	LT	0.56	17.2	B	LT	0.65	19.8	B	LT	0.25	12.0	B			
	Northbound	TR	0.35	35.1	D	TR	0.31	34.2	C	TR	0.46	37.7	D	TR	0.10	30.8	C			
		Intersection		22.2		C	Intersection		21.1		C	Intersection		24.7		C	Intersection		15.3	
	Intersection		22.2		C	Intersection		21.1		C	Intersection		24.7		C	Intersection		15.3		B

Notes: L = Left Turn, T = through, R = Right Turn, LOS = Level of Service
(1) This table has been revised for the FEIS.

Table 17-4
Existing Conditions Level of Service Analysis ⁽¹⁾
Secondary Study Area Intersections
Unsignalized Intersections

Intersection	AM Peak Hour				Midday Peak Hour				PM Peak Hour				Saturday Peak Hour			
	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS
Kent Avenue and South 8th Street																
Eastbound	L	0.00	16.3	C	L	0.00	14.7	B	L	0.00	16.3	C	L	0.00	12.1	B
Westbound	TR	0.10	13.3	B	TR	0.05	12.4	B	TR	0.07	13.3	B	TR	0.02	10.6	B
Kent Avenue and Williamsburg Street West - SBR																
Southbound	R	0.14	11.6	B	R	0.11	10.8	B	R	0.15	11.8	B	R	0.03	9.5	A
Kent Avenue and Williamsburg Street East																
Eastbound	L	0.19	14.6	B	L	0.15	13.0	B	L	0.17	13.5	B	L	0.03	10.3	B
Wythe Avenue and South 8th Street																
Westbound	LT	0.25	16.3	C	LT	0.27	16.7	C	LT	0.50	28.6	D	LT	0.06	11.9	B
Wythe Avenue and South 9th Street																
Southbound	LT	0.04	7.7	A	LT	0.04	7.7	A	LT	0.05	7.6	A	LT	0.02	7.5	A
Wythe Avenue and South 10th Street																
Westbound	L	0.08	14.5	B	L	0.04	14.8	B	L	0.07	18.4	C	L	0.04	11.6	B
Wythe Avenue and South 11th Street																
Eastbound	TR	0.05	14.7	B	TR	0.07	14.7	B	TR	0.13	18.7	C	TR	0.02	11.9	B
Westbound	LT	0.11	17.2	C	LT	0.04	16.6	C	LT	0.10	23.4	C	LT	0.01	12.7	B
Southbound	LTR	0.01	7.6	A	LTR	0.00	7.6	A	LTR	0.01	7.5	A	LTR	0.01	7.5	A

Notes: L = Left Turn, T = through, R = Right Turn, LOS = Level of Service

(1) This table has been revised for the FEIS.

In total, under the 2010 existing conditions, five approach movements at two intersections operate at mid-LOS D, LOS E, or LOS F during the weekday AM peak hour; two approach movements at one intersection operate at mid-LOS D, LOS E, or LOS F during the weekday midday peak hour; 10 approach movements at seven intersections operate at mid-LOS D, LOS E, or LOS F during the weekday PM peak hour; and one approach movement at one intersection operates at mid-LOS D, LOS E, or LOS F during the Saturday midday peak hour.

PARKING

Within the ¼-mile study area, “No Parking 8 AM – 6 PM Monday to Friday” regulations are predominantly in effect along the east curb of Kent Avenue between Metropolitan Avenue and Clymer Street. Along the west curb of Kent Avenue, overnight street cleaning regulations are generally in effect between Metropolitan Avenue and Clymer Street. The majority of segments on Wythe Avenue are regulated by weekday morning street cleaning regulations. The “No Parking” regulation during the daytime/afternoon hours is in effect on the segment of Wythe Avenue between North 1st Street and Grand Street. There is also a limited supply of meter parking in the study area along Broadway (in the vicinity of Driggs Avenue) and Grand Street (in the vicinity of Havemeyer Street). The majority of the remaining streets in the study area provide alternate-side-of-the-street parking regulated by street cleaning regulations.

There are no off-street public parking facilities located in the study area. However, there is a supply of on-street parking spaces in the study area along Wythe Avenue and east-west cross streets. Based on field observations, available parking spaces in the study area were in constant demand. In addition, on the streets in the study area where curbside standing or parking is prohibited, illegal parking activities do occur, reducing the available street capacities.

E. THE FUTURE WITHOUT THE PROPOSED PROJECT

Traffic conditions in the future without the proposed project (the “No Action” condition) were assessed to establish a baseline condition against which to evaluate potential project impacts. The No Action analysis focuses on conditions in 2020, the year during which the proposed project is assumed to be completed. Future No Action traffic volumes were developed in two ways: 1) by applying a background traffic growth rate; and 2) by adding traffic generated by other future potential development projects that are forecasted to be completed in the No Action condition.

CHANGES IN TRAFFIC VOLUMES

As per the 2001 CEQR Technical Manual, an annual background growth of 1.0 percent is recommended for Brooklyn. This rate was applied to the existing traffic volumes increasing the overall traffic levels in the study area by a total of 10 percent between 2010 and 2020. Furthermore, traffic generated by 32 specific development projects located within the ½-mile land-use study area and two additional projects beyond the ½-mile study area anticipated to be completed prior to, or concurrent with, the planned completion of the proposed project, was incorporated in the No Action volumes. The most notable of the No Action development projects included in the analysis are described below:

- Kedem Winery Redevelopment—450 residential units, approximately 26,400 sf of retail space, a ½-acre public esplanade, and 225 parking spaces;
- Domsey Rezoning at 421-417 Kent Avenue—540 residential units and 120 parking spaces;

Domino Sugar Rezoning

- Rose Plaza at 470-490 Kent Avenue—801 residential units, approximately 28,130 sf of retail space, 0.77 acres of public esplanade, and 436 parking spaces;
- Wythe Avenue between South 2nd and South 3rd Streets—80 residential units;
- 184 Kent Avenue—256 residential units and approximately 27,000 sf of retail space;
- Northside Piers—900 residential units;
- North 5th Street/Berry Street—40 residential units;
- 80 Metropolitan Avenue—123 residential units;
- North 1st Street between Kent and Wythe Avenues—41 residential units;
- 349 Metropolitan Avenue—35 residential units;
- South 4th Street between Driggs Avenue and Roebling Street—46 residential units;
- The block bounded by Berry Street, Bedford Avenue, North 3rd Street, and North 4th Street—195 residential units;
- 144 North 8th Street—42 residential units;
- North 8th and Roebling Streets—53 residential units;
- North 11th Street between Driggs Avenue and Roebling Street—120 residential units;
- Brooklyn Navy Yard Redevelopment—approximately 250,000 sf of industrial space for the food industry, 289,000 sf of media production space, and 600,000 sf of warehouse space; and
- Various small residential development projects located in the study area consisting of a total of 386 residential units.

In addition to the above projects, traffic generated by the projected development sites included in the *Greenpoint-Williamsburg Rezoning FEIS* was included in the No Action analysis. There were no mitigation measures proposed for this rezoning which would affect study area intersections. The total development resulting from the projected Greenpoint-Williamsburg rezoning development sites consisted of approximately 7,300 dwelling units and 204,600 sf of retail space, and included all the properties affected by the rezoning.

Trips generated by the various No Action projects discussed above, as well as the projected development sites identified in the *Greenpoint-Williamsburg Rezoning FEIS*, were incorporated in the No Action traffic network. It should be noted that anticipated development projects within a ¼- up to ½-mile radius are typically included in the No Action conditions. Therefore, the future No Action scenario discussed above is conservative, as it includes future development projects beyond the ½-mile radius in the broader study area, resulting in increased traffic levels by the year 2020.

CHANGES IN THE ROADWAY NETWORK

In addition to trips generated by the future development projects identified above, changes in the roadway network in the future conditions were also incorporated in the No Action analysis, including the changes in street configuration proposed as part of the Domsey/Glantz Rezoning project. The Domsey/Glantz Rezoning proposed the extension of South 9th and South 10th Streets as through-block streets between Wythe and Kent Avenues. Specifically, in the future conditions, South 9th Street will operate as a one-way eastbound street, while South 10th Street will operate as a one-way westbound street between Wythe and Kent Avenues.

Besides the changes in the roadway network identified as part of the Domsey/Glantz Rezoning, changes to the roadway configuration proposed as part of the Flushing Avenue Reconfiguration project were also incorporated for the FEIS No Action traffic analysis. This roadway reconfiguration project was incorporated in the analysis and was based on information obtained from these two agencies. These changes would result in the conversion of Flushing Avenue from the existing two-way east-west roadway to a one-way westbound roadway in the secondary traffic study area in the vicinity of Classon Avenue. In terms of geometric changes, Flushing Avenue would be reconfigured to provide a two-way east-west bicycle route, a flush median/buffer, a floating parking lane, a moving lane, and a curbside parking lane.

NO ACTION DEVELOPMENT ON THE PROJECT SITE

As discussed above under “Analysis Framework,” absent the proposed project, the project site could be developed with commercial and industrial uses permitted under the existing M3-1 zoning. The No Action scenario includes the development of a storage facility on the waterfront parcel between South 3rd and South 5th Streets, a building materials storage yard along the waterfront to the north of South 2nd Street, and a new distribution facility along the waterfront immediately south of Grand Ferry Park. On the upland portion of the site, a new two-story building with a catering hall/restaurant on the upper floor and parking on the ground floor would be constructed.

The total development program for this scenario includes approximately 106,300 sf of industrial distribution space, approximately 60,000 sf of storage space, 40,000 sf of catering hall/restaurant space, and 61,000 sf of land used for building materials storage (as well as 5,000 sf of office space for this use). The various No Action components and their corresponding trip generation characteristics are summarized in Table 17-5 and are discussed in detail below.

INDUSTRIAL DISTRIBUTION CENTER/WAREHOUSE

A weekday trip rate of 10.44 trips/1,000 sf based on the information presented in the *Greenpoint-Williamsburg Rezoning FEIS* was used to determine the number of daily trips expected to be generated by the distribution center. A Saturday trip rate of 3.61 trips/1,000 sf was developed based on the information presented in the *Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual, 8th Edition — Land Use Code 150 (Warehousing)* to determine the number of trips expected to be generated by the distribution center on a Saturday. Other trip generation factors, including temporal and directional distributions, modal splits, and vehicle occupancies were based on the information presented in the *Greenpoint-Williamsburg Rezoning FEIS*. In total, the industrial distribution center would generate approximately 102, 78, 71, and 16 vehicle trips (converted to passenger car equivalents [PCEs]) during the AM, midday, and PM, and Saturday midday peak hours, respectively.

CATERING HALL

The catering hall could host a variety of formal events. Trip generation estimates for the catering hall were developed based on the information presented in the *Silvercup West FEIS*. Based on this information, the catering hall could hold events with attendance ranging from 225 to 450 guests. To further reflect the range of activities that might occur, a medium-size event of approximately 225 guests was assumed for the weekday midday peak hour; for larger-size events of approximately 450 and 670 guests were assumed for the weekday PM and Saturday midday peak hours. The catering hall is not expected to hold events during the weekday AM peak hour; therefore, no trips were assumed for that time period. Other trip generation factors,

Domino Sugar Rezoning

including directional distribution, modal splits, and vehicle occupancies, were based on the information presented in the *Silvercup West FEIS*. Since a majority of the guests would arrive and depart in a generally short time frame—just before the start and just after the end of an event—a temporal distribution of 80 percent was conservatively used for trip generation purposes. In total, the catering hall would generate approximately 120, 240, and 357 trips during the weekday midday and PM and Saturday midday peak hours, respectively.

STORAGE FACILITY

A weekday daily trip rate of 5.26 trips/1,000 sf and a Saturday daily trip rate of 4.91 trips/1,000 sf were used in estimating the number of trips generated by the storage facility. This trip generation rate is based on the information provided in the *ITE Trip Generation Manual, 8th Edition — Land Use Code 151 (Mini-Warehouse)*. Since no transit and pedestrian trips are expected to be generated by the storage facility due to the nature of such a use—which typically involves transfer (loading/unloading) of material in and out of the facility—a modal split of 25 percent trucks, 70 percent autos, and 5 percent taxis was used in forecasting the number of trips. The storage facility would generate approximately 16, 28, 34, and 26 vehicle trips (converted to PCEs) during the weekday AM, midday, and PM, and Saturday midday peak hours, respectively.

BUILDING MATERIALS STORAGE USE


For trip generation purposes, a concrete batching facility was assumed for the building materials storage use. The number of trips expected to be generated by this use were estimated based on the information presented in the *La Farge Cement Company EAS (2004)* and *ITE Trip Generation Manual, 8th Edition — Land Use Code 150 (Warehousing)*. To accurately reflect the vehicular activity expected to be generated by such a use, the peak hour trips presented in the *La Farge Cement Company EAS* were adjusted based on the size of the building materials storage use under the No Action scenario. In total, the building materials storage yard would generate approximately 8, 8, 0, and 4 vehicle trips (converted to PCEs) during the weekday AM, midday, and PM, and Saturday midday peak hours, respectively.

TOTAL TRIPS

The 2001 *CEQR Technical Manual* recommends converting vehicular trips to PCEs for proposed actions that generate significant volumes of truck trips. Since the No Action development on the project site would primarily consist of industrial uses that would generate a significant number of truck trips, vehicle trips generated during all four peak hours were converted to PCEs for analysis purposes. The only exception would be trips generated by the banquet use, which would generate regular vehicular trips during peak hours. As shown in Table 17-6, the No Action development on site is estimated to generate approximately 159, 320, 539, and 594 person trips, and 126, 234, 345, and 403 vehicle trips, during the weekday AM, midday, and PM, and Saturday midday peak hours, which have been incorporated in the No Action analysis.

CAPACITY ANALYSIS RESULTS


Using the trips generated by anticipated new development projects together with an estimated 10 percent traffic growth, traffic volumes were estimated for the study area intersections for the 2020 No Action condition, as presented in Figures 17-6 through 17-9. Tables 17-7 through 17-10 present a comparison of the Existing and No Action LOS conditions for the primary and



Project Site

NOTE: This figure has been revised for the FEIS


2020 No Action Traffic Volumes
 Weekday AM Peak Hour
 Figure 17-6




Project Site

NOTE: This figure has been revised for the FEIS

2020 No Action Traffic Volumes
 Weekday Midday Peak Hour
Figure 17-7






Project Site

NOTE: This figure has been revised for the FEIS

2020 No Action Traffic Volumes
 Saturday Midday Peak Hour
Figure 17-9



**Table 17-6
No Action Development on the Project Site**

Peak Hour Person Trip by Mode

As-Of-Right Project	Auto		Bus		Subway		Walk/Other		Taxi		Total		Total
	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In+Out
Weekday AM Peak Hour													
Industrial Distribution Center	66	9	9	1	36	5	15	2	3	0	129	17	146
Catering Hall	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Maritime Industrial Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Facility	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	6	13
Total	73	15	9	1	36	5	15	2	3	0	136	23	159
Weekday MD Peak Hour													
Industrial Distribution Center	32	32	4	4	17	17	7	7	1	1	61	61	122
Catering Hall	148	16	0	0	0	0	2	0	11	1	161	17	178
Maritime Industrial Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Facility	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	10	10	20
Total	189	57	4	4	17	17	9	7	13	3	232	88	320
Weekday PM Peak Hour													
Industrial Distribution Center	10	71	1	10	5	39	2	17	0	3	18	140	158
Catering Hall	296	34	0	0	0	0	3	0	22	2	321	36	357
Maritime Industrial Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Facility	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	12	12	24
Total	317	116	1	10	5	39	5	17	23	6	351	188	539
Saturday MD Peak Hour													
Industrial Distribution Center	11	11	1	1	6	6	3	3	0	0	21	21	42
Catering Hall	441	49	0	0	0	0	5	1	34	4	480	54	534
Maritime Industrial Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Facility	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	9	9	18
Total	460	68	1	1	6	6	8	4	35	5	510	84	594

Peak Hour Vehicle Trips

As-Of-Right Project	Auto		Taxi		Trucks		Total		Total	PCE's		
	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In+Out	In	Out	In+Out
Weekday AM Peak Hour												
Industrial Distribution Center	51	7	2	2	10	10	63	19	82	73	29	102
Catering Hall	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Maritime Industrial Use	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	4	8
Storage Facility	4	4	0	0	2	2	6	6	12	8	8	16
Total	55	11	2	2	14	14	71	27	98	85	41	126
Weekday MD Peak Hour												
Industrial Distribution Center	25	25	2	2	6	6	33	33	66	39	39	78
Catering Hall	99	11	5	5	0	0	104	16	120	104	16	120
Maritime Industrial Use	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	4	4	8
Storage Facility	6	6	2	2	3	3	11	11	22	14	14	28
Total	130	42	9	9	11	11	150	62	212	161	73	234
Weekday PM Peak Hour												
Industrial Distribution Center	8	55	2	2	1	1	11	58	69	12	59	71
Catering Hall	197	23	10	10	0	0	207	33	240	207	33	240
Maritime Industrial Use	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Facility	7	7	2	2	4	4	13	13	26	17	17	34
Total	212	85	14	14	5	5	231	104	335	236	109	345
Saturday MD Peak Hour												
Industrial Distribution Center	8	8	0	0	0	0	8	8	16	8	8	16
Catering Hall	294	33	15	15	0	0	309	48	357	309	48	357
Maritime Industrial Use	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	4
Storage Facility	5	5	2	2	3	3	10	10	20	13	13	26
Total	307	46	17	17	4	4	328	67	395	332	71	403

Table 17-7
Existing and No Action Conditions Level of Service Analysis (1)
Primary Study Area Intersections
Signalized Intersections

	AM Peak Hour						Midday Peak Hour						PM Peak Hour						Saturday Peak Hour						
	Existing			No Action			Existing			No Action			Existing			No Action			Existing			No Action			
	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	
Kent Avenue and Metropolitan Avenue	Eastbound	0.01	25.8	25.9	C	LT	0.02	25.9	C	LT	0.04	26.3	C	LT	0.04	26.3	C	LT	0.02	25.9	C	LT	0.02	25.9	C
	Westbound	0.22	29.1	31.4	C	TR	0.19	28.6	C	TR	0.16	28.0	C	TR	0.16	28.0	C	TR	0.16	28.0	C	TR	0.16	28.0	C
	Northbound	0.00	5.7	5.7	A	L	0.00	5.7	A	L	0.01	5.7	A	L	0.01	5.7	A	L	0.00	5.7	A	L	0.00	5.7	A
	Southbound	0.40	8.9	13.3	B	TR	0.38	8.7	A	TR	0.51	10.4	B	TR	0.51	10.4	B	TR	0.27	7.6	A	TR	0.60	12.3	B
Kent Avenue and South 3rd Street	Intersection	11.6	11.3	11.3	B	Intersection	11.3	11.3	B	Intersection	12.1	12.1	B	Intersection	12.1	12.1	B	Intersection	12.7	12.7	B	Intersection	12.7	12.7	B
Kent Avenue and Broadway	Eastbound	0.00	3.5	3.5	A	L	0.03	3.1	C	LT	0.00	3.2	C	LT	0.08	3.2	C	LT	0.06	3.1	C	LT	0.06	3.1	C
	Westbound	0.39	5.8	8.4	A	TR	0.59	8.4	A	TR	0.55	7.8	A	TR	0.47	6.6	A	TR	0.23	4.7	A	TR	0.63	9.3	A
	Northbound	0.58	5.8	8.4	A	TR	0.59	8.4	A	TR	0.55	7.8	A	TR	0.47	6.6	A	TR	0.23	4.7	A	TR	0.63	9.3	A
	Southbound	0.54	13.6	21.2	B	TR	0.47	12.4	A	TR	0.78	21.2	C	TR	0.56	13.9	B	TR	0.26	9.7	A	TR	0.58	14.4	B
Wythe Avenue and Metropolitan Avenue	Eastbound	0.07	14.6	16.5	B	TR	0.09	14.8	B	TR	0.24	16.5	B	TR	0.24	16.5	B	TR	0.10	14.8	B	TR	0.09	14.7	B
	Westbound	0.23	16.6	22.5	C	LT	0.19	16.0	B	LT	0.49	21.9	C	LT	0.45	15.4	B	LT	0.63	26.3	C	LT	0.22	16.3	B
	Southbound	0.64	24.8	30.2	C	LTR	0.73	28.7	C	LTR	1.06	78.7	E	LTR	0.95	49.0	D	LTR	1.27	158.1	F	LTR	0.56	22.0	C
	Intersection	22.2	22.2	22.2	C	Intersection	25.4	25.4	C	Intersection	57.4	57.4	E	Intersection	42.4	42.4	D	Intersection	110.1	110.1	F	Intersection	19.8	19.8	B
Wythe Avenue and Broadway	Eastbound	0.36	24.8	29.8	C	TR	0.26	23.0	C	TR	0.39	25.4	C	TR	0.34	24.6	C	TR	0.51	28.4	C	TR	0.16	21.6	C
	Westbound	0.09	20.5	21.1	C	T	0.14	20.8	C	T	0.16	21.1	C	T	0.13	21.1	C	T	0.21	22.2	C	T	0.04	20.4	C
	Southbound	0.56	16.8	35.2	D	LTR	0.57	17.2	B	LTR	0.81	27.2	C	LTR	0.80	25.6	C	LTR	1.12	92.9	F	LTR	0.35	13.1	B
	Intersection	20.7	20.7	20.7	C	Intersection	34.3	34.3	C	Intersection	19.7	19.7	B	Intersection	26.9	26.9	C	Intersection	31.9	31.9	F	Intersection	15.0	15.0	B
Metropolitan Avenue and Bedford Avenue	Eastbound	0.22	16.6	17.0	B	TR	0.24	17.0	B	TR	0.21	16.6	B	TR	0.19	16.2	B	TR	0.25	17.0	B	TR	0.19	16.1	B
	Westbound	0.28	17.2	17.7	B	LT	0.31	17.7	B	LT	0.36	18.4	B	LT	0.23	16.6	B	LT	0.39	18.7	B	LT	0.16	15.7	B
	Northbound	0.28	17.2	17.7	B	LT	0.31	17.7	B	LT	0.36	18.4	B	LT	0.23	16.6	B	LT	0.39	18.7	B	LT	0.16	15.7	B
	Intersection	17.0	17.0	17.4	B	Intersection	17.4	17.4	B	Intersection	16.6	16.6	B	Intersection	18.1	18.1	B	Intersection	18.1	18.1	B	Intersection	15.9	15.9	B
Bedford Avenue and Broadway	Eastbound	0.20	16.0	20.3	C	LT	0.31	17.5	B	LT	0.51	21.1	C	LT	0.26	16.7	B	LT	0.26	16.7	B	LT	0.28	16.9	B
	Westbound	0.32	17.6	20.7	C	TR	0.48	20.7	C	TR	0.55	22.3	C	TR	0.23	16.4	B	TR	0.37	18.4	B	TR	0.37	18.4	B
	Northbound	0.50	20.8	23.7	C	LTR	0.60	23.7	C	LTR	0.67	25.7	C	LTR	0.53	21.3	C	LTR	0.54	24.7	C	LTR	0.47	19.9	B
	Intersection	18.9	18.9	21.7	C	Intersection	19.9	19.9	B	Intersection	23.3	23.3	C	Intersection	19.0	19.0	B	Intersection	23.1	23.1	C	Intersection	18.7	18.7	B
Bedford Avenue and South 6th Street	Eastbound	0.23	19.5	22.5	C	LT	0.36	21.8	C	LT	0.55	25.5	C	LT	0.34	21.1	C	LT	0.54	25.5	C	LT	0.19	18.9	B
	Westbound	0.19	18.8	20.1	C	T	0.29	20.6	C	T	0.43	22.3	C	T	0.28	20.0+	C	T	0.41	22.1	C	T	0.15	18.3	B
	Northbound	0.29	13.8	14.2	B	LTR	0.24	13.3	B	LTR	0.28	13.6	B	LTR	0.29	13.7	B	LTR	0.35	14.3	B	LTR	0.12	12.2	B
	Intersection	16.0	16.0	17.7	B	Intersection	17.8	17.8	B	Intersection	19.9	19.9	B	Intersection	17.1	17.1	B	Intersection	19.5	19.5	B	Intersection	15.6	15.6	B
Metropolitan Avenue and Driggs Avenue	Eastbound	0.49	24.1	25.5	C	TR	0.56	25.3	C	TR	0.73	32.9	C	TR	0.62	30.0	D	TR	1.30	177.5	F	TR	0.43	22.7	C
	Westbound	0.22	13.0	13.5	B	LT	0.16	12.5	B	LT	0.20	12.8	B	LT	0.20	12.8	B	LT	0.27	13.5	F	LT	0.11	12.1	C
	Northbound	0.22	13.0	13.5	B	LT	0.16	12.5	B	LT	0.20	12.8	B	LT	0.20	12.8	B	LT	0.27	13.5	F	LT	0.11	12.1	C
	Intersection	18.2	18.2	18.9	B	Intersection	18.9	18.9	B	Intersection	24.3	24.3	C	Intersection	26.3	26.3	C	Intersection	26.3	26.3	C	Intersection	18.3	18.3	B
Bedford Avenue and Driggs Avenue	Eastbound	0.39	18.8	19.3	C	TR	0.50	20.9	C	TR	0.72	28.1	C	TR	0.39	18.6	B	TR	0.60	23.1	C	TR	0.31	17.3	B
	Westbound	0.52	22.2	25.0	E	LT	0.38	18.9	B	LT	0.67	27.6	C	LT	0.41	19.5	D	LT	0.25	16.7	B	LT	0.25	16.7	B
	Southbound	0.44	19.9	21.8	C	LTR	0.52	21.8	C	LTR	0.46	20.3	C	LTR	0.61	24.0	C	LTR	0.64	25.1	C	LTR	0.23	16.3	B
	Intersection	20.3	20.3	36.6	D	Intersection	19.9	19.9	B	Intersection	26.0	26.0	C	Intersection	21.1	21.1	C	Intersection	33.4	33.4	C	Intersection	16.9	16.9	B

Table 17-7 (Continued)
Existing and No Action Conditions Level of Service Analysis (1)
Primary Study Area Intersections
Signalized Intersections

Intersection	AM Peak Hour						Midday Peak Hour						PM Peak Hour						Saturday Peak Hour					
	Existing			No Action			Existing			No Action			Existing			No Action			Existing			No Action		
	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS
Broadway and Driggs Avenue	TR	0.35	16.1	B	TR	0.45	19.6	B	TR	0.52	21.2	C	TR	0.38	18.7	B	TR	0.17	15.7	B	TR	0.25	16.7	B
	LTR	0.33	21.5	C	LTR	0.65	23.3	C	LTR	0.94	47.4	C	LTR	0.97	49.3	C	LTR	0.40	16.6	B	LTR	0.91	32.1	C
	Intersection	19.6	19.6	C	Intersection	22.2	22.2	C	Intersection	33.6	33.6	C	Intersection	163.1	163.1	F	Intersection	17.4	17.4	B	Intersection	26.1	26.1	C
Roebing Street and South 3rd Street	LTR	0.44	18.9	B	LTR	0.56	22.5	A	LTR	0.34	17.0	B	LTR	0.35	17.1	B	LTR	0.27	15.9	B	LTR	0.33	16.8	B
	TR	0.15	7.3	A	TR	0.16	7.5	A	TR	0.16	7.4	A	TR	0.12	7.1	A	TR	0.10	7.0	A	TR	0.12	7.1	A
	Intersection	12.0	12.0	B	Intersection	14.0	14.0	B	Intersection	10.7	10.7	B	Intersection	11.1	11.1	B	Intersection	10.2	10.2	B	Intersection	10.8	10.8	B
Roebing Street and South 4th Street	LR	0.25	9.8	A	LR	0.34	10.8	B	LR	0.24	9.6	A	LR	0.32	10.5	B	LR	0.15	8.8	A	LR	0.20	9.2	A
	L	0.01	7.7	A	L	0.01	7.7	A	L	0.01	7.7	A	L	0.01	7.7	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A
	Intersection	10.2	10.2	B	Intersection	10.6	10.6	B	Intersection	10.2	10.2	B	Intersection	10.2	10.2	B	Intersection	10.2	10.2	B	Intersection	10.3	10.3	B
Broadway and Roebing Street	LTR	0.32	22.2	C	LTR	0.44	24.4	C	LTR	0.30	17.4	B	LTR	0.44	24.3	C	LTR	0.22	15.1	B	LTR	0.31	16.3	B
	LTR	0.22	20.0	C	LTR	0.27	20.7	C	LTR	0.16	14.1	B	LTR	0.18	14.7	B	LTR	0.11	13.7	B	LTR	0.19	14.4	B
	Intersection	18.6	18.6	B	Intersection	18.7	18.7	B	Intersection	16.4	16.4	B	Intersection	19.7	19.7	B	Intersection	17.7	17.7	B	Intersection	19.2	19.2	B
Metropolitan Avenue and Havemeyer Street	TR	0.45	14.4	B	TR	0.73	22.0	C	TR	0.48	15.0	B	TR	0.48	14.8	B	TR	0.33	12.4	B	TR	0.48	14.6	B
	LTR	0.44	14.3	B	LTR	0.59	17.2	B	LTR	0.39	13.5	B	LTR	0.38	13.1	B	LTR	0.20	12.2	B	LTR	0.59	16.8	B
	Intersection	17.2	17.2	B	Intersection	21.6	21.6	C	Intersection	16.1	16.1	B	Intersection	19.6	19.6	B	Intersection	15.5	15.5	B	Intersection	17.8	17.8	B
Metropolitan Avenue and Mesker Avenue	TR	0.34	12.2	B	TR	0.50	14.3	B	TR	0.28	11.5	B	TR	0.33	12.0	B	TR	0.27	12.9	B	TR	0.36	13.8	B
	LTR	0.28	8.1	A	LTR	0.37	10.4	A	LTR	0.26	7.9	A	LTR	0.25	7.7	A	LTR	0.14	7.2	A	LTR	0.31	9.1	A
	Intersection	15.2	15.2	B	Intersection	39.1	39.1	D	Intersection	8.2	8.2	A	Intersection	14.7	14.7	B	Intersection	6.8	6.8	A	Intersection	9.0	9.0	A
Metropolitan Avenue and Rodney Street	TR	0.28	23.1	C	TR	0.43	25.3	C	TR	0.30	23.3	C	TR	0.31	23.4	C	TR	0.23	17.6	B	TR	0.31	18.4	B
	LTR	0.56	26.0	C	LTR	0.65	28.2	C	LTR	0.44	23.8	C	LTR	0.39	22.8	C	LTR	0.33	16.8	B	LTR	0.43	18.0	B
	Intersection	25.0	25.0	C	Intersection	27.1	27.1	C	Intersection	23.3	23.3	C	Intersection	24.8	24.8	C	Intersection	18.0	18.0	B	Intersection	20.0	20.0	B
Broadway and Havemeyer Street	DeFl	0.53	41.7	D	DeFl	1.00	110.5	F	DeFl	0.91	79.1	E	DeFl	0.91	79.1	E	DeFl	0.43	23.2	C	DeFl	0.70	37.0	D
	TR	0.58	28.2	C	TR	0.67	30.5	C	TR	0.48	25.7	C	TR	0.54	27.5	C	TR	0.52	25.1	C	TR	0.42	19.8	B
	Intersection	25.8	25.8	C	Intersection	33.9	33.9	C	Intersection	24.8	24.8	C	Intersection	28.8	28.8	C	Intersection	18.1	18.1	B	Intersection	20.9	20.9	C
Broadway and Marcy Avenue	TR	0.69	24.3	C	TR	0.83	32.0	C	TR	0.46	17.7	B	TR	0.57	20.1	C	TR	0.45	17.4	B	TR	0.63	21.8	C
	LTR	0.23	27.6	C	LTR	0.26	27.9	C	LTR	0.23	22.3	C	LTR	0.33	29.0	C	LTR	0.13	26.2	C	LTR	0.14	26.4	C
	Intersection	23.0	23.0	C	Intersection	28.2	28.2	C	Intersection	27.7	27.7	C	Intersection	100.0	100.0	F	Intersection	18.9	18.9	B	Intersection	22.1	22.1	C

Notes: L = Left Turn, T = through, R = Right Turn, LOS = Level of Service.
(1) This table has been revised for the FEIS.

Table 17-8
Existing and No Action Conditions Level of Service Analysis (1)
Primary Study Area Intersections
Unsignalized Intersections

Intersection	AM Peak Hour						Midday Peak Hour						PM Peak Hour						Saturday Peak Hour					
	Existing			No Action			Existing			No Action			Existing			No Action			Existing			No Action		
	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS
Kent Avenue and North 1st Street	TR	0.04	12.4	B	TR	0.08	15.7	C	TR	0.09	15.4	C	TR	0.16	22.1	C	TR	0.02	10.8	B	TR	0.06	14.1	B
	L	0.00	7.5	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.5	A	L	0.00	7.4	A	L	0.00	7.5	A
Kent Avenue and Grand Street	TR	0.05	14.2	B	TR	0.09	18.7	C	TR	0.09	17.4	C	TR	0.09	28.2	D	TR	0.03	12.0	B	TR	0.05	16.0	C
	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.06	16.9	C	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.01	7.6	A	L	0.03	11.2	B	TR	0.07	16.8	B
Kent Avenue and South 2nd Street	TR	0.09	12.7	B	TR	0.23	17.9	C	TR	0.06	12.0	B	TR	0.14	15.6	C	TR	0.12	14.0	B	TR	0.05	10.8	B
	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.06	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.5	A
Kent Avenue and South 4th Street	TR	0.10	12.9	B	TR	0.17	17.4	C	R	0.14	15.8	C	R	0.14	31.9	D	R	0.05	10.6	B	R	0.25	16.4	C
	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.7	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.5	A	L	0.00	7.5	A
Kent Avenue and South 5th Street	TR	0.03	18.4	C	L	0.07	34.5	D	L	0.03	29.2	D	L	0.00	59.15	F	L	0.01	12.8	B	L	0.04	24.5	C
	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.5	A	L	0.00	7.5	A
Wythe Avenue and North 1st Street	TR	0.06	13.1	B	TR	0.11	17.7	C	TR	0.12	17.7	C	TR	0.12	17.7	C	TR	0.09	15.8	C	TR	0.12	18.2	C
	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.08	13.7	B	L	0.12	17.7	C	L	0.09	15.8	C	L	0.06	12.4	B
Wythe Avenue and Grand Street	TR	-	8.4	A	TR	-	9.5	A	TR	-	8.9	A	TR	-	9.5	A	TR	-	8.5	A	TR	-	9.0	A
	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.5	A
Wythe Avenue and South 1st Street	TR	0.15	15.5	C	TR	0.27	24.1	C	TR	0.12	16.8	C	TR	0.12	16.8	C	TR	0.08	15.6	C	TR	0.15	23.7	C
	L	0.04	7.7	A	L	0.05	7.7	A	L	0.02	7.7	A	L	0.02	7.6	A	L	0.02	7.5	A	L	0.02	7.5	A
Wythe Avenue and South 2nd Street	TR	0.24	15.3	C	TR	0.40	24.6	C	TR	0.11	17.3	C	TR	0.17	17.3	C	TR	0.27	19.7	C	TR	0.51	40.7	E
	L	0.13	16.3	C	TR	0.29	27.0	D	TR	0.11	15.7	C	TR	0.32	22.5	C	TR	0.13	18.6	C	TR	0.61	45.5	E
Wythe Avenue and South 3rd Street	TR	0.06	7.7	A	TR	0.08	7.8	A	TR	0.05	7.7	A	TR	0.06	7.8	A	TR	0.04	7.6	A	TR	0.05	7.6	A
	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.5	A	L	0.00	7.5	A
Wythe Avenue and South 4th Street	TR	-	8.9	A	TR	-	9.7	A	TR	-	9.1	A	TR	-	10.8	B	TR	-	9.1	A	TR	-	8.3	A
	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.5	A
Wythe Avenue and South 5th Street	TR	0.24	16.5	C	TR	0.49	31.0	D	TR	0.20	17.9	C	TR	0.33	25.3	D	TR	0.23	22.3	C	TR	0.45	42.6	E
	L	0.08	7.7	A	TR	0.08	7.8	A	TR	0.06	7.7	A	TR	0.07	7.8	A	TR	0.06	7.6	A	TR	0.05	7.6	A
Wythe Avenue and South 6th Street	TR	-	9.0	A	TR	-	9.9	A	TR	-	8.7	A	TR	-	9.8	A	TR	-	10.7	B	TR	-	8.0	A
	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.5	A
Berry Street and South 6th Street	TR	0.27	13.0	B	TR	0.32	14.2	B	TR	0.22	12.4	B	TR	0.40	15.3	C	TR	0.37	14.1	B	TR	0.73	26.0	D
	L	0.02	7.6	A	L	0.02	7.7	A	L	0.02	7.7	A	L	0.02	7.7	A	L	0.01	7.5	A	L	0.01	7.5	A
Broadway and Roebuck Street - SBR	TR	0.23	10.9	B	TR	0.29	11.9	B	TR	0.35	11.9	B	TR	0.51	15.0+	C	TR	0.45	13.1	B	TR	0.80	26.3	D
	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.5	A

(1) This table has been revised for the FEIS.

Table 17-9
Existing and No Action Conditions Level of Service Analysis (1)
Secondary Study Area Intersections
Signalized Intersections

Intersection	AM Peak Hour							Midday Peak Hour							PM Peak Hour							Saturday Peak Hour						
	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Existing v/c Ratio	No Action v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Existing v/c Ratio	No Action v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Existing v/c Ratio	No Action v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS			
Kent Avenue and South 9th Street Eastbound Northbound	L	0.10	26.8	C	0.03	26.0	C	L	0.05	26.2	C	L	0.05	27.0	C	L	0.06	26.4	C	L	0.06	26.4	L	0.12	27.1	C		
	T	0.42	9.3	A	0.32	8.6	A	T	0.32	9.3	A	T	0.32	9.3	A	T	0.32	9.3	A	T	0.32	T	0.42	9.5	A			
	Intersection		10.6	B	Intersection		8.8	Intersection		9.5	A	Intersection		11.7	B	Intersection		16.6	B	Intersection		8.8	Intersection		10.8	B		
Kent Avenue and South 11th Street Eastbound Northbound	R	0.15	27.6	C	0.09	28.8	C	R	0.16	27.9	C	R	0.16	28.1	C	R	0.09	28.6	C	R	0.09	R	0.15	28.3	C			
	TR	0.50	10.5	B	0.39	8.9	A	TR	0.39	10.4	B	TR	0.39	10.4	B	TR	0.24	7.4	A	TR	0.24	TR	0.50	10.5	B			
	Intersection		12.5	B	Intersection		10.5	Intersection		12.8	B	Intersection		11.9	B	Intersection		10.2	B	Intersection		10.2	Intersection		12.0	B		
Kent Avenue and Division Avenue Eastbound Northbound	L	0.06	26.5	C	0.08	26.7	C	L	0.08	26.7	C	L	0.08	26.9	C	L	0.08	26.7	C	L	0.08	L	0.06	26.5	C			
	TR	0.24	29.3	C	0.22	28.9	C	TR	0.22	28.8	C	TR	0.22	28.8	C	TR	0.06	26.5	C	TR	0.06	TR	0.24	29.3	C			
	Intersection		24.4	B	Intersection		24.3	Intersection		24.3	C	Intersection		24.3	C	Intersection		24.3	C	Intersection		24.3	Intersection		24.4	B		
Kent Avenue and Clymer Street Eastbound Westbound Northbound	LR	0.21	28.8	C	0.19	23.2	C	LR	0.45	34.6	C	LR	0.19	23.2	C	LR	0.19	23.2	C	LR	0.19	LR	0.21	28.8	C			
	L	0.08	6.2	A	0.07	7.6	A	L	0.05	6.0	A	L	0.05	6.0	A	L	0.05	6.0	A	L	0.05	L	0.08	6.2	A			
	Intersection		21.9	C	Intersection		24.6	Intersection		24.6	D	Intersection		24.6	D	Intersection		24.6	D	Intersection		24.6	Intersection		21.9	C		
Kent Avenue and Williamsburg Street West Eastbound Westbound Southbound	T	0.10	22.0	C	0.11	22.2	C	T	0.11	22.2	C	T	0.11	22.2	C	T	0.11	22.2	C	T	0.11	T	0.10	22.0	C			
	L	0.08	51.6	C	0.08	44.7	D	L	0.08	44.7	D	L	0.08	44.7	D	L	0.08	44.7	D	L	0.08	L	0.08	51.6	C			
	Intersection		26.2	C	Intersection		23.0	Intersection		23.0	C	Intersection		23.0	C	Intersection		23.0	C	Intersection		23.0	Intersection		26.2	C		
Kent Avenue and Classon Avenue Eastbound Westbound Northbound	L	0.06	19.0	B	0.07	19.4	B	L	0.03	18.6	B	L	0.03	18.6	B	L	0.03	18.6	B	L	0.03	L	0.06	19.0	B			
	TR	0.52	12.1	B	0.50	11.7	B	TR	0.50	11.7	B	TR	0.50	11.7	B	TR	0.50	11.7	B	TR	0.50	TR	0.52	12.1	B			
	Intersection		25.5	C	Intersection		25.9	Intersection		25.9	C	Intersection		25.9	C	Intersection		25.9	C	Intersection		25.9	Intersection		25.5	C		
Easting Avenue and Williamsburg Street West Eastbound Westbound Southbound	TR	0.37	35.1	D	0.43	27.7	C	TR	0.43	27.7	C	TR	0.43	27.7	C	TR	0.43	27.7	C	TR	0.43	TR	0.37	35.1	D			
	L	0.41	23.4	C	0.39	15.2	B	L	0.39	15.2	B	L	0.39	15.2	B	L	0.39	15.2	B	L	0.39	L	0.41	23.4	C			
	Intersection		52.1	D	Intersection		26.1	Intersection		26.1	C	Intersection		26.1	C	Intersection		26.1	C	Intersection		26.1	Intersection		52.1	D		
Easting Avenue and Classon Avenue(BOE Off-Ramp) Eastbound Westbound Northbound	L	0.74	53.1	D	0.82	53.5	D	L	0.82	53.5	D	L	0.82	53.5	D	L	0.82	53.5	D	L	0.82	L	0.74	53.1	D			
	TR	0.17	25.7	C	0.18	22.0	C	TR	0.18	22.0	C	TR	0.18	22.0	C	TR	0.18	22.0	C	TR	0.18	TR	0.17	25.7	C			
	Intersection		59.8	E	Intersection		53.0	Intersection		53.0	D	Intersection		53.0	D	Intersection		53.0	D	Intersection		53.0	Intersection		59.8	E		
Wythe Avenue and Division Avenue Eastbound Westbound Northbound	L	0.16	21.1	C	0.20	21.7	C	L	0.20	21.7	C	L	0.20	21.7	C	L	0.20	21.7	C	L	0.20	L	0.16	21.1	C			
	TR	0.50	10.3	B	0.48	10.8	B	TR	0.48	10.8	B	TR	0.48	10.8	B	TR	0.48	10.8	B	TR	0.48	TR	0.50	10.3	B			
	Intersection		14.6	B	Intersection		14.5	Intersection		14.5	B	Intersection		14.5	B	Intersection		14.5	B	Intersection		14.5	Intersection		14.6	B		
Wythe Avenue and Clymer Street Eastbound Westbound Northbound	L	0.25	21.8	C	0.20	21.2	C	L	0.20	21.2	C	L	0.20	21.2	C	L	0.20	21.2	C	L	0.20	L	0.25	21.8	C			
	TR	0.35	12.1	B	0.34	12.1	B	TR	0.34	12.1	B	TR	0.34	12.1	B	TR	0.34	12.1	B	TR	0.34	TR	0.35	12.1	B			
	Intersection		14.8	B	Intersection		14.8	Intersection		14.8	B	Intersection		14.8	B	Intersection		14.8	B	Intersection		14.8	Intersection		14.8	B		
Wythe Avenue and Williamsburg Street West Eastbound Westbound Northbound	L	0.59	36.7	D	0.58	35.6	D	L	0.58	35.6	D	L	0.58	35.6	D	L	0.58	35.6	D	L	0.58	L	0.59	36.7	D			
	TR	0.60	19.3	B	0.59	17.3	B	TR	0.59	17.3	B	TR	0.59	17.3	B	TR	0.59	17.3	B	TR	0.59	TR	0.60	19.3	B			
	Intersection		22.2	C	Intersection		22.1	Intersection		22.1	C	Intersection		22.1	C	Intersection		22.1	C	Intersection		22.1	Intersection		22.2	C		
Wythe Avenue and Williamsburg Street East Eastbound Westbound Northbound	L	0.34	29.0	C	0.30	29.0	C	L	0.30	29.0	C	L	0.30	29.0	C	L	0.30	29.0	C	L	0.30	L	0.34	29.0	C			
	TR	0.48	24.1	C	0.47	24.0	C	TR	0.47	24.0	C	TR	0.47	24.0	C	TR	0.47	24.0	C	TR	0.47	TR	0.48	24.1	C			
	Intersection		24.0	C	Intersection		24.2	Intersection		24.2	C	Intersection		24.2	C	Intersection		24.2	C	Intersection		24.2	Intersection		24.0	C		
Wythe Avenue and Penn Street Eastbound Westbound Northbound	R	0.24	13.1	B	0.42	14.3	B	R	0.40	13.8	B	R	0.40	13.8	B	R	0.40	13.8	B	R	0.40	R	0.24	13.1	B			
	TR	0.06	30.3	C	0.07	30.4	C	TR	0.04	30.0	C	TR	0.04	30.0	C	TR	0.04	30.0	C	TR	0.04	TR	0.06	30.3	C			
	Intersection		18.4	B	Intersection		17.7	Intersection		17.7	B	Intersection		17.7	B	Intersection		17.7	B	Intersection		17.7	Intersection		18.4	B		
Wythe Avenue and Rutledge Street Eastbound Westbound Northbound	L	0.54	17.1	B	0.56	17.2	B	L	0.56	17.2	B	L	0.56	17.2	B	L	0.56	17.2	B	L	0.56	L	0.54	17.1	B			
	TR	0.35	35.1	D	0.34	34.8	D	TR	0.34	34.8	D	TR	0.34	34.8	D	TR	0.34	34.8	D	TR	0.34	TR	0.35	35.1	D			
	Intersection		22.2	C	Intersection		21.1	Intersection		21.1	C	Intersection		21.1	C	Intersection		21.1	C	Intersection		21.1	Intersection		22.2	C		

Notes: L = Left Turn, T = Through, R = Right Turn, LOS = Level of Service.
(1) This table has been revised for the FEIS.

**Table 17-10
Existing and No Action Conditions Level of Service Analysis ⁽¹⁾
Secondary Study Area Intersections
Unsignalized Intersections**

Intersection	AM Peak Hour						Midday Peak Hour						PM Peak Hour						Saturday Peak Hour						
	Existing			No Action			Existing			No Action			Existing			No Action			Existing			No Action			
	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	
Kent Avenue and South 8th Street	L	0.00	16.3	C	L	0.07	27.9	D	L	0.06	22.8	C	L	0.00	16.3	C	L	0.09	34.0	D	L	0.00	12.1	B	
	TR	0.10	13.3	B	TR	0.21	19.4	C	TR	0.12	16.9	C	TR	0.07	13.3	B	TR	0.23	22.4	C	TR	0.02	10.6	B	
	L	0.00	13.3	B	L	0.00	7.7	A	L	0.00	7.7	A	L	0.07	13.3	B	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	
	R	0.14	11.6	B	R	0.36	15.5	C	R	0.11	10.8	B	R	0.15	11.8	B	R	0.31	15.4	C	R	0.03	9.5	A	
Kent Avenue and Williamsburg Street West - SBR	Eastbound	L	0.19	14.6	B	L	0.35	21.3	C	L	0.15	13.0	B	L	0.17	13.5	B	L	0.37	21.1	C	L	0.03	10.3	B
	Westbound	LT	0.25	16.3	C	LT	0.41	26.4	D	LT	0.27	16.7	C	LT	0.50	28.6	D	LT	0.87	84.6	F	LT	0.06	11.9	B
Wythe Avenue and South 8th Street	Eastbound	LT	0.04	7.7	A	LT	0.46	27.2	D	TR	0.10	17.7	C	TR	0.05	7.6	A	TR	0.21	28.2	D	TR	0.02	7.5	A
	Westbound	L	0.08	14.5	B	L	0.16	23.2	C	L	0.04	14.8	B	L	0.07	18.4	C	L	0.12	27.6	D	L	0.04	11.6	B
	Eastbound	TR	0.05	14.7	B	TR	0.11	22.4	C	TR	0.07	14.7	B	TR	0.13	18.7	C	TR	0.26	29.2	D	TR	0.02	11.9	B
	Westbound	LT	0.11	17.2	C	LT	0.24	31.9	D	LT	0.04	16.6	C	LT	0.10	23.4	C	LT	0.20	42.0	E	LT	0.01	12.7	B
Wythe Avenue and South 11th Street	Eastbound	L	0.05	14.7	B	L	0.11	22.4	C	L	0.04	14.8	B	L	0.07	18.4	C	L	0.12	27.6	D	L	0.04	11.6	B
	Westbound	LTR	0.01	7.6	A	LTR	0.02	7.6	A	LTR	0.00	7.6	A	LTR	0.01	7.5	A	LTR	0.01	7.5	A	LTR	0.01	7.5	A

⁽¹⁾ This table has been revised for the FEIS.

Table 17-11
Proposed Project
Trip Generation Factors

Factors	Residential dwelling unit (d.u.)			Community Facility Medical Office - Staff			Community Facility Medical Office - Visitors			Community Facility Office			Commercial Office		
	AM	MD	PM	AM	MD	PM	AM	MD	PM	AM	MD	PM	AM	MD	PM
Person Trips	2,400	2,400	2,400	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000	41,135	41,135	41,135	96,739	96,739	96,739
Linkage (if applicable)	8,075	8,075	8,075	10,000	10,000	10,000	33.9	33.9	33.9	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0
Auto	16.2%	16.2%	16.2%	49.2%	49.2%	49.2%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	49.2%	49.2%	49.2%	49.2%	49.2%	49.2%
Bus	10.1%	10.1%	10.1%	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	19.0%	19.0%	19.0%	7.0%	7.0%	7.0%	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%
Subway	19.0%	19.0%	19.0%	14.1%	14.1%	14.1%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	83.0%	83.0%	83.0%	83.0%	83.0%	83.0%
Walk/Other	54.7%	54.7%	54.7%	19.9%	19.9%	19.9%	15.0%	15.0%	15.0%	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%
Taxi	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%	1.9%
Vehicle Occupancies ^(a)	1.28	1.28	1.28	1.65	1.65	1.65	1.65	1.65	1.65	1.17	1.17	1.17	1.17	1.17	1.17
Auto Occupancy	1.28	1.28	1.28	1.42	1.42	1.42	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.17	1.17	1.17	1.17	1.17	1.17
Temporal Distribution ^(b)	9.1%	4.7%	10.7%	17.0%	24.0%	17.0%	6.0%	6.0%	6.0%	11.8%	14.5%	13.7%	11.8%	14.5%	13.7%
Directional Distribution ^(c)	15%	50%	30%	100%	50%	0%	92.5%	50.0%	31.4%	50.0%	94.0%	38.0%	50.0%	60.0%	94.0%
Percent In	85%	50%	30%	100%	50%	0%	7.5%	50.0%	68.6%	50.0%	6.0%	61.0%	95.0%	40.0%	60.0%
Percent Out	15%	50%	70%	0%	50%	100%	0%	50.0%	31.4%	50.0%	94.0%	38.0%	50.0%	40.0%	60.0%
Truck Trips	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16
Temporal Distribution ^(b)	9.7%	7.8%	5.1%	7.8%	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	11.0%	2.0%	10.0%	11.0%	2.0%
Directional Distribution ^(c)	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent In	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent Out	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Factors	Retail - Local	Retail - Local	Retail - Local	Retail - Supermarket	Retail - Supermarket	Retail - Supermarket	Ice Rink/Water Play Area	Ice Rink/Water Play Area	Ice Rink/Water Play Area	Open Space	Open Space	Open Space	Open Space	Open Space	Open Space
Person Trips	97,537	97,537	97,537	30,000	30,000	30,000	9,642	9,642	9,642	3.85	3.85	3.85	3.85	3.85	3.85
Linkage (if applicable)	295.00	295.00	295.00	175.00	175.00	175.00	23.30	23.30	23.30	139.00	139.00	139.00	27.60	27.60	27.60
Auto	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	61.0%	68.0%	67.0%	58.0%	58.0%	58.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%
Bus	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%
Subway	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	11.0%	11.0%	11.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%
Walk/Other	80.0%	80.0%	80.0%	36.0%	31.0%	32.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%	80.0%	80.0%	80.0%	80.0%	80.0%	80.0%
Taxi	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%
Vehicle Occupancies ^(a)	2.20	2.20	2.20	1.12	1.32	1.34	3.45	3.45	3.45	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.34	2.34	2.34
Auto Occupancy	2.20	2.20	2.20	1.38	1.38	1.38	1.40	1.40	1.40	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.90	1.90	1.90
Temporal Distribution ^(b)	3.1%	10.1%	8.6%	4.7%	5.9%	9.9%	28.0%	28.0%	28.0%	7.0%	17.0%	14.0%	0.0%	7.2%	14.4%
Directional Distribution ^(c)	50.0%	50.0%	46.0%	57.0%	43.0%	53.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%
Percent In	50.0%	53.0%	56.0%	43.0%	50.0%	48.0%	74.0%	74.0%	74.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%
Percent Out	50.0%	47.0%	44.0%	43.0%	50.0%	48.0%	26.0%	26.0%	26.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%
Truck Trips	0.35	0.35	0.35	2.14	2.14	2.14	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
Temporal Distribution ^(b)	9.7%	7.8%	5.1%	11.0%	11.0%	11.0%	12.0%	12.0%	12.0%	9.6%	11.0%	1.0%	9.6%	11.0%	1.0%
Directional Distribution ^(c)	100%	100%	100%	50%	64%	33%	50%	50%	50%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent In	100%	100%	100%	50%	64%	33%	50%	50%	50%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent Out	100%	100%	100%	50%	64%	33%	50%	50%	50%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Notes:
 (01) Source: New York City Mayor's Office of Environmental Coordination/City Environmental Quality Technical Manual (December 2001)
 (02) Source: Atlantic Yards Arena and Redevelopment Project, Final Environmental Impact Statement (2006)
 (03) Source: Wilbur Smith Associates, Motor Trucks in the Metropolitan (1989)
 (04) Source: Journey to Work Information for Census Tract # 547, 549, 551, and 555 from 2000 U.S. Census Data
 (05) Source: Journey to Work Information for Census Tract # 547, 549, 551, and 555 from 2000 U.S. Census Data
 (06) Source: Planning/Bid/RFP Reopening Final Environmental Impact Statement (CEQR No. 00DCP015K), 2001
 (07) Source: Pushkarav & Zapan, Urban Space for Professionals (1975)
 (08) Source: 506 East 76th Street Rezoning FES (CEQR No. 98DCP008M), October 1999
 (09) Source: Jamaica Plan FES, June 2007
 (10) Saturday directional distribution assumed same as weekday midday
 (11) Saturday directional distribution assumed same as weekday midday
 (12) Source: City of New York, Department of Transportation, 2000 U.S. Census Data
 (13) Saturday temporal distribution and directional distribution assumed the same as weekday midday
 (14) Source: Downtown Brooklyn FES (CEQR No. 03DME018K), April 2004
 (15) Source: New York City Department of City Planning, Retail and Industrial Zoning Text Amendments, Final Generic Environmental Impact Statement (1996) - Gowanus, Brooklyn
 (16) Source: Supermarket survey at Pathmark, Albany Avenue, Brooklyn by AKRF, 2009
 (17) Source: McCamen Park Pool FES (2008)
 (18) Source: 506 East 76th Street Rezoning FES (CEQR No. 98DCP008M), October 1999
 (19) Source: No. 2 Subway Extension and Hudson Yards Rezoning and Development Program FGEIS (2004)
 (20) Source: Silvercup West FES, CEQR # 05DCP080Q (2006)

secondary study area intersections. Based on the analysis results, the following locations would experience notable changes in service conditions between existing and No Action scenarios:

PRIMARY STUDY AREA

Signalized Intersections

- The southbound approach at Wythe Avenue and Metropolitan Avenue would decrease from LOS C to LOS F during the weekday AM peak hour, from LOS C to LOS E during the weekday midday and Saturday midday peak hours, respectively, and from LOS D to LOS F during the PM peak hour;
- The westbound left-turn movement and the southbound approach would decrease from LOS C to LOS F during the PM peak hour, respectively;
- The westbound approach at Bedford Avenue and South 6th Street would decrease from LOS D to LOS E during the weekday PM peak hour;
- The westbound approach at Metropolitan Avenue and Driggs Avenue would decrease from LOS C to LOS E during the weekday AM peak hour and from LOS B to LOS D during the weekday PM peak hour;
- The westbound approach at Driggs Avenue and Broadway would decrease from LOS C to LOS D during the weekday midday peak hour and from LOS E to LOS F during the weekday PM peak hour;
- The southbound approach at Roebling Street and South 4th Street would decrease from LOS C to LOS E during the weekday AM and midday peak hours;
- The westbound left-turn movement at Metropolitan Avenue and Marcy Avenue would decrease from LOS C to LOS E during the weekday AM peak hour and from LOS B to LOS D during the weekday PM peak hour;
- The eastbound defacto left-turn movement at Metropolitan Avenue and Rodney Street would decrease from LOS D to LOS F during the weekday AM peak hour and from LOS D to LOS E during the weekday midday and PM peak hours, respectively;
- The eastbound approach at Broadway and Havemeyer Street would decrease from LOS E to LOS F during the PM peak hour;
- The eastbound approach at Marcy Avenue and Broadway would decrease from LOS D to LOS E during the weekday PM peak hour; and
- The westbound approach at Marcy Avenue and Broadway would decrease from LOS C to LOS E during the weekday AM peak hour and from LOS C to LOS D during the weekday midday peak hour.

Unsignalized Intersections

- The newly created eastbound approach at Kent Avenue and South 2nd Street would operate at LOS D during the weekday PM peak hour;
- The westbound approach at Kent Avenue and South 4th Street would decrease from LOS B to LOS D during the weekday PM peak hour;
- The eastbound approach at Kent Avenue and South 5th Street would decrease from LOS C to LOS D during the weekday AM and midday peak hours, and from LOS E to LOS F during the weekday PM peak hour;

Domino Sugar Rezoning

- The eastbound approach at Kent Avenue and South 6th Street would decrease from LOS C to LOS D during the weekday AM peak hour and from LOS C to LOS F during the weekday PM peak hour;
- The westbound approach at Kent Avenue and South 6th Street would decrease from LOS C to LOS F during the weekday PM peak hour;
- The southbound approach at Wythe Avenue and Grand Street would decrease from LOS B to LOS D during the weekday AM peak hour and from LOS C to LOS F during the weekday PM peak hour;
- The westbound approach at Wythe Avenue and South 2nd Street would decrease from LOS C to LOS E during the weekday PM peak hour;
- The eastbound approach at Wythe Avenue and South 3rd Street would decrease from LOS C to LOS E during the weekday PM peak hour;
- The southbound approach at Wythe Avenue and South 4th Street would decrease from LOS B to LOS F during the weekday PM peak hour and from LOS A to LOS E during the Saturday midday peak hour;
- The eastbound approach at Wythe Avenue and South 5th Street would decrease from LOS C to LOS D during the weekday AM peak hour and from LOS C to LOS E during the weekday PM peak hour; and
- The southbound approach at Wythe Avenue and South 6th Street would decrease from LOS C to LOS F during the weekday PM peak hour.

SECONDARY STUDY AREA

Signalized Intersections

- The eastbound approach at Kent Avenue and Clymer Street would decrease from LOS C to LOS F during the weekday AM and midday peak hours and from LOS E to LOS F during the weekday PM peak hour;
- The westbound approach at Kent Avenue and Clymer Street would decrease from LOS C to LOS D during the weekday AM peak hour and from LOS D to LOS E during the weekday PM peak hour;
- The southbound approach at Flushing Avenue and Williamsburg Street West would decrease from LOS E to LOS F during the weekday AM and PM peak hours;
- The BQE Off-Ramp northbound approach at Flushing Avenue and Classon Avenue/BQE Off-Ramp would decrease from LOS E to LOS F during the weekday AM peak hour and from LOS C to LOS D during the weekday PM peak hour;
- The Classon Avenue northbound approach at Flushing Avenue and Classon Avenue/BQE Off-Ramp would decrease from LOS E to LOS F during the weekday AM peak hour and from LOS D to LOS F during the weekday midday and Saturday midday peak hours; and
- The eastbound approach at Wythe Avenue and Williamsburg Street West would decrease from LOS D to LOS E during the weekday AM and PM peak hours.

Unsignalized Intersections

- The eastbound approach at Kent Avenue and South 8th Street would decrease from LOS C to LOS D during the weekday PM peak hour;

- The westbound approach at Wythe Avenue and South 8th Street would decrease from LOS D to LOS F during the weekday PM peak hour; and
- The westbound approach at Wythe Avenue and South 11th Street would decrease from LOS C to LOS D during the weekday AM peak hour and from LOS C to LOS E during the weekday PM peak hour.

In total, under the No Action condition, 16 approach movements at 14 intersections would operate at mid-LOS D, LOS E, or LOS F during the weekday AM peak hour; seven approach movements at six intersections would operate at mid-LOS D, LOS E, or LOS F during the weekday midday peak hour; 30 approach movements at 25 intersections would operate at mid-LOS D, LOS E, or LOS F during the weekday PM peak hour; and three approach movements at three intersections would operate at mid-LOS D, LOS E, or LOS F during the Saturday midday peak hour.

F. THE FUTURE WITH THE PROPOSED PROJECT

The analysis of impacts associated with the proposed project begins with and builds upon the future No Action conditions described in the preceding section. As with the future No Action evaluation, 2020 is used as the analysis year for assessing project impacts.

As discussed above under “Analysis Framework,” for the purposes of the traffic analysis it was assumed that the trips generated by the proposed project would result from the difference in travel demand between the proposed project and the No Action condition. For the same reason, vehicular trips generated by the project’s No Action condition were netted out of the future with the proposed project traffic volumes to obtain the incremental trips resulting from the difference in travel demand between the proposed project and the No Action condition.

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The proposed project would include up to 2,400 residential units, up to 127,537 sf of retail/commercial space (for the purpose of this analysis it is assumed that this would include 97,537 sf of local retail space and a 30,000-square-foot supermarket), up to 146,451 sf of community facility space, up to 98,738 sf of commercial office space, and approximately four acres of public open space. In addition, the proposed project would provide approximately 1,694 accessory parking spaces.

As shown in Figure 1-4 of Chapter 1, “Project Description,” new residential structures would be constructed along four of the waterfront blocks, to the north and south of the existing Refinery. A new residential/commercial structure would be constructed on the upland block east of Kent Avenue between South 3rd and South 4th Streets, and in this analysis is assumed to contain a 30,000-square-foot supermarket in addition to residential units. The Refinery would be reused and converted into a mix of residential, retail, and community facility uses. Ground-floor retail uses would be located along Kent Avenue on all parcels throughout the site. In addition, publicly accessible open space, including an esplanade along the waterfront that would connect to Grand Ferry Park to the north of the site, would be constructed as part of the proposed project.

TRIP GENERATION

The projection of future trips associated with the proposed project accounts for general travel characteristics related to the project’s anticipated uses. Travel demand characteristics for each

Domino Sugar Rezoning

component of the proposed project are summarized in Table 17-11 and are discussed in detail below.

RESIDENTIAL

Trip generation from the 2,400 residential units were estimated based on a weekday daily trip rate of 8.075 person-trips and a Saturday daily trip rate of 7.679 person-trips per dwelling unit as presented in the 2001 *CEQR Technical Manual* and the *Atlantic Yards Arena and Redevelopment Project FEIS (2006)*, respectively. Other trip generation factors, including temporal and directional distributions, were based on the information presented in *Pushkarev and Zupan's "Urban Space for Pedestrians."* The modal split estimates and vehicle occupancies were based on journey-to-work information obtained from the *2000 US Census Data*. Delivery trips were also estimated based on rates presented in the 2001 *CEQR Technical Manual*. In addition, the modal split estimates were adjusted for the Saturday conditions based on the information provided in the *Atlantic Yards Arena and Redevelopment Project FEIS (2006)*. In total, the residential component of the proposed project would generate approximately 312, 170, 327, and 268 vehicle trips (converted to PCEs) during the weekday AM, midday, and PM, and Saturday midday peak hours, respectively.

LOCAL RETAIL

Potential trips generated by the local retail component in the proposed plan were based on a daily trip rate of 205 trips/1,000 sf as presented in the 2001 *CEQR Technical Manual*. A linkage factor of 25 percent was applied to the daily trip rate to account for local trips within the study area. Other trip generation factors, including temporal distribution, modal splits, and vehicle occupancies, were based on the trip generation factors for local retail use presented in the *Retail and Industrial Zoning Text Amendments FGEIS*. Delivery trip generation characteristics were based on the information presented in *Wilbur Smith Associates' "Motor Trucks in the Metropolis."* In total, the retail component of the proposed project would generate approximately 40, 106, 89, and 92 vehicle trips (converted to PCEs) during the weekday AM, midday, and PM, and Saturday midday peak hours, respectively.

SUPERMARKET USE

Trips generated by the potential supermarket use were estimated based on the information obtained from surveys conducted during the weekday and Saturday conditions at an existing Pathmark Supermarket located on Albany Avenue in Brooklyn in February 2009. Based on the trip generation factors obtained from these surveys, the supermarket component of the proposed project would generate approximately 117, 136, 200, and 254 vehicle trips (converted to PCEs) during the weekday AM, midday, and PM, and Saturday midday peak hours, respectively.

COMMUNITY FACILITY

The proposed project's community facility space has not yet been programmed. To conservatively assess the proposed project's traffic impacts, it was assumed that approximately 69,000 sf of the 146,451 sf of community facility space would be used as medical offices, approximately 44,135 sf would function as neighborhood offices, and the remaining 33,316 sf would function as a cultural/institutional space (assumed to be a museum for trip generation purposes). Trip generation characteristics for each of the community facility components are discussed as follows:

Medical Offices—Staff: Trips generated by the medical office staff were estimated based on a weekday daily trip rate of 10 person trips and a Saturday daily trip rate of 4.30 person trips per 1,000 sf, as presented in the *506 East 76th Street Rezoning FEIS* and the *Jamaica Plan FEIS (2007)*, respectively. Other trip generation factors, including temporal and directional distributions, and vehicle occupancies, were based on the information presented in the *506 East 76th Street Rezoning FEIS* and the *Atlantic Yards Arena and Redevelopment Project FEIS (2006)*. The modal split estimates were based on the reverse-journey-to-work information obtained from the *2000 US Census Data*. Delivery trip rates for the medical office use were based on the information presented in the *Characteristics of Urban Transportation Demand*.

Medical Offices—Visitors: Trips generated by the medical office visitors were estimated based on a weekday daily trip rate of 33.6 person trips and a Saturday daily trip rate of 14.5 person trips per 1,000 sf as presented in the *506 East 76th Street Rezoning FEIS* and the *Jamaica Plan FEIS (2007)*, respectively. Other trip generation factors, including temporal and directional distributions, modal splits and vehicle occupancies, were also based on the information presented in the *506 East 76th Street Rezoning FEIS*. The visitor modal split estimates obtained from the *506 East 76th Street Rezoning FEIS* were adjusted to reflect the local transportation characteristics of the study area.

Neighborhood Offices: Trips generated by the neighborhood office use were estimated based on a weekday daily trip rate of 18 person trips and a Saturday daily trip rate of 0.90 person trips per 1,000 sf, as presented in the *2001 CEQR Technical Manual* and the *Atlantic Yards Arena and Redevelopment Project FEIS (2006)*, respectively. Temporal distribution rates for the neighborhood office use were obtained from the *2001 CEQR Technical Manual*, the *Atlantic Yards Arena and Redevelopment Project FEIS (2006)*, and the *Downtown Brooklyn Redevelopment FEIS*. The directional distribution rates were obtained from the *Downtown Brooklyn Development FEIS* and the *Atlantic Yards Arena and Redevelopment Project FEIS (2006)*. The modal split estimates and vehicle occupancies were based on the reverse-journey-to-work information obtained from the *2000 US Census Data* and the *Atlantic Yards Arena and Redevelopment Project FEIS (2006)*. Delivery trip rates for the neighborhood office use were based on the information presented in the *Characteristics of Urban Transportation Demand*.

Museum: Trips generated by the museum component were estimated based on a weekday daily trip rate of 27.4 person trips and a Saturday daily trip rate of 20.6 person trips per 1,000 sf, as presented in the *No. 7 Subway Extension and Hudson Yards Rezoning and Development Program FGEIS (2004)*. Temporal distribution, directional distribution, vehicle occupancies and delivery trip rates were also obtained from the *No. 7 Subway Extension and Hudson Yards Rezoning and Development Program FGEIS*. The modal split estimates for the museum component were based on the *Silvercup West FEIS*.

In total, the community facility component of the proposed project would generate a total of 185, 125, 219, and 76 vehicle trips (converted to PCEs) during the weekday AM, midday, and PM, and Saturday midday peak hours, respectively.

COMMERCIAL OFFICE

Trips generated by the commercial office were estimated based on the same trip generation factors developed for the community facility's neighborhood office component presented above. In total, the commercial office component of the project would generate a total of 102, 17, 108,

Domino Sugar Rezoning

and 0 vehicle trips (converted to PCEs) during the weekday AM, midday, and PM, and Saturday midday peak hours, respectively.

WATERFRONT OPEN SPACE

The proposed project would provide approximately four acres of publicly accessible waterfront open space which would include an esplanade along the water's edge, a large open lawn between the esplanade and the Refinery that would highlight this restored historic structure, and new connections that provide visual and physical access to the waterfront from all streets leading to the project site. Several active recreation areas would be located along the esplanade, including tot lots, playgrounds, and an active play lawn with a water feature that may function as an ice rink in winter.

Trip generation for the waterfront open space was estimated separately for the 3.85 acres of publicly accessible waterfront open space and for the potential approximately 9,042-square-foot ice rink. Although, if realized, the ice rink would be operational only during the winter months—the period when the activity for the waterfront open space would be at its lowest—for a conservative analysis, the trip generation activity from these two components was assumed to overlap resulting in higher person and vehicle trips. Trips generated by the waterfront open space were estimated based on the rates presented in the *363-365 Bond Street FEIS*, whereas the trips generated by the ice rink were estimated based on the information presented in the *McCarren Pool Reconstruction EAS*.

TOTAL TRIPS

As shown in Tables 17-12a and 17-12b, the proposed development is estimated to generate approximately 3,062, 3,575, 4,629, and 3,633 person trips, and 762, 572, 957, and 713 vehicle trips (converted to PCEs) during the weekday AM, midday, and PM, and Saturday midday peak hours, respectively.

PUBLIC SCHOOL OPTION

As described in Chapter 23, “Mitigation,” the New York City School Construction Authority (SCA) may locate an approximately 100,000-square-foot public elementary and intermediate school within the community facility space in the Refinery complex. At this time, the school program has not been determined. For the purposes of analysis, it is assumed that a school of this size could accommodate approximately 700 elementary and intermediate students and be staffed by approximately 58 teachers and administrative personnel.

The proposed school is expected to primarily accommodate the demand for school seats generated by the proposed project. Additionally, the school could also accommodate the demand generated by other future developments in the immediate area that are expected to be completed by 2020. Since nearly all the future student population is anticipated to reside in the immediate vicinity of the project site, a vast majority of the students are expected to walk to and from the proposed school.

A trip generation analysis was conducted for the proposed project with the school option and was compared to the trip generation projections for the proposed project with the medical office and museum community facility space assumed in the DEIS's detailed quantitative analyses. Overall, the proposed school would generate significantly less vehicle traffic compared to the medical office and museum community facility space (see Table 17-13). The school option

Table 17-12a

Proposed Project - Peak Hour Person Trips by Mode

Proposed Project	Auto		Bus		Subway		Walk/Other		Taxi		Total		Total
	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In+Out
Weekday AM Peak Hour													
Residential	43	243	27	151	140	793	50	285	5	27	265	1499	1764
Community Facility - Medical Staff	82	0	11	0	47	0	23	0	3	0	166	0	166
Community Facility - Visitors	32	3	24	2	27	2	26	2	19	2	128	11	139
Community Facility - Office	43	3	6	0	25	2	12	1	2	0	88	6	94
Community Facility - Museum	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Commercial Office	97	6	13	1	55	4	28	2	4	0	197	13	210
Retail - Local	12	12	12	12	12	12	185	185	12	12	233	233	466
Retail - Supermarket	64	49	5	4	1	1	35	26	0	0	105	80	185
Open Space - Ice Rink/Water Play	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Open Space	1	1	1	1	1	1	15	15	1	1	19	19	38
Total	374	317	99	171	308	815	374	516	46	42	1201	1861	3062
Weekday MD Peak Hour													
Residential	74	74	46	46	241	241	87	87	8	8	456	456	912
Community Facility - Medical Staff	1	1	4	4	4	4	49	49	1	1	59	59	118
Community Facility - Visitors	26	26	20	20	22	22	21	21	16	16	105	105	210
Community Facility - Office	1	1	3	5	3	5	37	59	0	1	44	71	115
Community Facility - Museum	30	18	2	1	4	2	4	2	2	1	42	24	66
Commercial Office	2	3	7	11	7	11	83	131	1	2	100	158	258
Retail - Local	36	40	36	40	36	40	569	642	36	40	713	802	1515
Retail - Supermarket	79	79	6	6	0	0	31	31	0	0	116	116	232
Open Space - Ice Rink/Water Play	9	25	0	0	2	5	4	13	0	1	15	44	59
Open Space	2	2	2	2	2	2	37	37	2	2	45	45	90
Total	260	269	126	135	321	332	922	1072	66	72	1695	1880	3575
Weekday PM Peak Hour													
Residential	235	101	147	63	768	329	276	118	26	11	1452	622	2074
Community Facility - Medical Staff	0	82	0	11	0	47	0	23	0	3	0	166	166
Community Facility - Visitors	9	20	7	15	8	17	7	16	5	12	36	80	116
Community Facility - Office	3	50	0	7	2	29	1	15	0	2	6	103	109
Community Facility - Museum	48	44	3	3	7	6	8	6	3	3	69	62	131
Commercial Office	6	114	1	15	3	65	2	33	0	4	12	231	243
Retail - Local	28	36	28	36	28	36	455	579	28	36	567	723	1290
Retail - Supermarket	136	125	6	6	0	0	61	56	0	0	203	187	390
Open Space - Ice Rink/Water Play	18	2	0	0	3	0	9	1	1	0	31	3	34
Open Space	2	2	2	2	2	2	30	30	2	2	38	38	76
Total	485	576	194	158	821	531	849	877	65	73	2414	2215	4629
Saturday MD Peak Hour													
Residential	149	149	65	65	213	213	206	206	12	12	645	645	1290
Community Facility - Medical Staff	1	1	2	2	2	2	20	20	0	0	25	25	50
Community Facility - Visitors	11	11	9	9	9	9	9	9	7	7	45	45	90
Community Facility - Office	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	3	2	5
Community Facility - Museum	29	52	2	4	4	7	4	7	2	4	41	74	115
Commercial Office	0	0	1	0	1	0	7	4	0	0	9	4	13
Retail - Local	39	32	39	32	39	32	628	513	39	32	784	641	1425
Retail - Supermarket	191	176	15	14	0	0	45	42	0	0	251	232	483
Open Space - Ice Rink/Water Play	46	0	2	0	11	0	10	0	3	0	72	0	72
Open Space	2	2	2	2	2	2	37	37	2	2	45	45	90
Total	468	423	137	128	281	265	969	840	65	57	1920	1713	3633

Table 17-12b
Proposed Project - Peak Hour Vehicle Trips by Mode

Proposed Project	Auto		Taxi		Trucks		Total		Total	PCE's		
	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In+Out	In	Out	In+Out
Weekday AM Peak Hour												
Residential	34	190	20	20	12	12	66	222	288	78	234	312
Community Facility - Medical Staff	70	0	2	2	3	3	75	5	80	78	8	86
Community Facility - Visitors	19	2	16	16	0	0	35	18	53	35	18	53
Community Facility - Office	37	3	1	1	1	1	39	5	44	40	6	46
Community Facility - Museum	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Commercial Office	83	5	3	3	2	2	88	10	98	90	12	102
Retail - Local	5	5	9	9	3	3	17	17	34	20	20	40
Retail - Supermarket	57	44	0	0	4	4	61	48	109	65	52	117
Open Space - Ice Rink/Water Play	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Open Space	1	1	2	2	0	0	3	3	6	3	3	6
Total	306	250	53	53	25	25	384	328	712	409	353	762
Weekday MD Peak Hour												
Residential	58	58	9	9	9	9	76	76	152	85	85	170
Community Facility - Medical Staff	1	1	2	2	2	2	5	5	10	7	7	14
Community Facility - Visitors	16	16	23	23	0	0	39	39	78	39	39	78
Community Facility - Office	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	3	6	4	4	8
Community Facility - Museum	13	8	2	2	0	0	15	10	25	15	10	25
Commercial Office	2	3	2	2	2	2	6	7	13	8	9	17
Retail - Local	16	18	30	30	3	3	49	51	100	52	54	106
Retail - Supermarket	60	60	0	0	3	5	63	65	128	66	70	136
Open Space - Ice Rink/Water Play	3	7	1	1	0	0	4	8	12	4	8	12
Open Space	1	1	2	2	0	0	3	3	6	3	3	6
Total	171	173	72	72	20	22	263	267	530	283	289	572
Weekday PM Peak Hour												
Residential	184	79	20	20	6	6	210	105	315	216	111	327
Community Facility - Medical Staff	0	70	2	2	2	2	4	74	78	6	76	82
Community Facility - Visitors	5	12	13	13	0	0	18	25	43	18	25	43
Community Facility - Office	3	43	1	1	0	0	4	44	48	4	44	48
Community Facility - Museum	21	19	3	3	0	0	24	22	46	24	22	46
Commercial Office	5	97	3	3	0	0	8	100	108	8	100	108
Retail - Local	13	16	26	26	2	2	41	44	85	43	46	89
Retail - Supermarket	101	93	0	0	2	1	103	94	197	105	95	200
Open Space - Ice Rink/Water Play	5	1	1	1	0	0	6	2	8	6	2	8
Open Space	1	1	2	2	0	0	3	3	6	3	3	6
Total	338	431	71	71	12	11	421	513	934	433	524	957
Saturday MD Peak Hour												
Residential	116	116	14	14	2	2	132	132	264	134	134	268
Community Facility - Medical Staff	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	1	2
Community Facility - Visitors	7	7	10	10	0	0	17	17	34	17	17	34
Community Facility - Office	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Community Facility - Museum	12	22	3	3	0	0	15	25	40	15	25	40
Commercial Office	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Retail - Local	19	15	29	29	0	0	48	44	92	48	44	92
Retail - Supermarket	129	119	0	0	2	1	131	120	251	133	121	254
Open Space - Ice Rink/Water Play	13	0	2	2	0	0	15	2	17	15	2	17
Open Space	1	1	2	2	0	0	3	3	6	3	3	6
Total	298	281	60	60	4	3	362	344	706	366	347	713

**Table 17-13
Proposed Project Trip Generation Comparison (1)
Medical Office and Museum Uses vs. School Option**

Peak Hour	Person Trip										Vehicle Trip				
	In/Out	Auto (Drive)	Auto (Dep-off/Pick-up)	Taxi	Subway	Bus	School Bus	Walk	Total	Auto (Drive)	Auto (Dep-off/Pick-up)	Taxi	School Bus	Trucks	Total PCE's
Weekday AM	In	374	0	46	308	99	0	374	1,201	306	0	53	0	25	384
	Out	317	0	42	815	171	0	516	1,861	250	0	53	0	25	353
	Total	691	0	88	1,123	270	0	890	3,062	556	0	106	0	50	712
Weekday Midday	In	260	0	66	321	126	0	922	1,695	171	0	72	0	20	263
	Out	269	0	72	332	135	0	1,072	1,880	173	0	72	0	22	267
	Total	529	0	138	653	261	0	1,994	3,575	344	0	144	0	42	530
Weekday PM	In	485	0	65	821	194	0	849	2,414	338	0	71	0	12	421
	Out	576	0	73	531	158	0	877	2,215	431	0	71	0	11	513
	Total	1,061	0	138	1,352	352	0	1,726	4,629	769	0	142	0	23	934
Saturday Midday	In	468	0	65	281	137	0	969	1,920	298	0	60	0	4	362
	Out	423	0	57	265	128	0	840	1,713	281	0	60	0	3	344
	Total	891	0	122	546	265	0	1,809	3,633	579	0	120	0	7	706

Proposed Project with School Option (without Medical Office and Museum Uses)

Peak Hour	Person Trip										Vehicle Trip				
	In/Out	Auto (Drive)	Auto (Dep-off/Pick-up)	Taxi	Subway	Bus	School Bus	Walk	Total	Auto (Drive)	Auto (Dep-off/Pick-up)	Taxi	School Bus	Trucks	Total PCE's
Weekday AM	In	285	28	25	248	68	28	841	1,523	238	22	36	2	22	320
	Out	314	0	40	812	169	0	514	1,849	248	22	36	2	22	330
	Total	599	28	65	1,060	237	28	1,355	3,372	486	44	72	4	44	650
Weekday Midday	In	203	0	47	291	100	0	848	1,489	141	22	46	2	18	249
	Out	250	28	55	319	114	28	1,514	2,308	170	22	46	2	20	280
	Total	453	28	102	610	214	28	2,362	3,797	311	44	92	4	38	489
Weekday PM	In	428	0	57	805	184	0	834	2,308	312	0	53	0	10	375
	Out	429	0	55	460	129	0	832	1,905	329	0	53	0	9	391
	Total	857	0	112	1,265	313	0	1,666	4,213	641	0	106	0	19	766
Saturday Midday	In	427	0	56	266	124	0	937	1,810	278	0	47	0	4	329
	Out	359	0	46	247	113	0	804	1,569	251	0	47	0	3	301
	Total	786	0	102	513	237	0	1,741	3,379	529	0	94	0	7	630

Net Incremental Trips

Peak Hour	Person Trip										Vehicle Trip				
	In/Out	Auto (Drive)	Auto (Dep-off/Pick-up)	Taxi	Subway	Bus	School Bus	Walk	Total	Auto (Drive)	Auto (Dep-off/Pick-up)	Taxi	School Bus	Trucks	Total PCE's
Weekday AM	In	-89	28	-21	-60	-31	28	467	322	-68	22	-17	2	-3	-64
	Out	-3	0	-2	-3	-2	0	-2	-12	-2	22	-17	2	-3	1
	Total	-92	28	-23	-63	-33	28	465	310	-70	44	-34	4	-6	-62
Weekday Midday	In	-57	0	-19	-30	-26	0	-74	-206	-30	22	-26	2	-2	-34
	Out	-19	28	-17	-13	-21	28	442	428	-3	22	-26	2	-2	-7
	Total	-76	28	-36	-43	-47	28	368	222	-33	44	-52	4	-4	-41
Weekday PM	In	-57	0	-8	-16	-10	0	-15	-106	-26	0	-18	0	-2	-46
	Out	-147	0	-18	-71	-29	0	-45	-310	-102	0	-18	0	-2	-122
	Total	-204	0	-26	-87	-39	0	-60	-416	-128	0	-36	0	-4	-168
Saturday Midday	In	-41	0	-9	-15	-13	0	-32	-110	-20	0	-13	0	0	-33
	Out	-64	0	-11	-18	-15	0	-36	-144	-30	0	-13	0	0	-43
	Total	-105	0	-20	-33	-28	0	-68	-254	-50	0	-26	0	0	-76

Note:

(1) This table is new for the FEIS.

would generate approximately 64 fewer vehicle trips in the weekday AM peak hour, 41 fewer vehicle trips in the weekday midday peak hour, 172 fewer vehicle trips in the weekday PM peak hour, and 76 fewer vehicle trips in the Saturday midday peak hour. Thus, inclusion of the school in place of the medical office and museum community facility space analyzed in the FEIS would not result in any significant adverse impacts not identified in the traffic analysis for the Future with the Proposed Project. The detailed analyses presented in this chapter and in Chapter 23, "Mitigation," are therefore conservative in their projection of impacts and mitigation needs.

SITE ACCESS AND CIRCULATION

As discussed earlier, the proposed project would provide approximately 1,694 accessory parking spaces in below-grade garages on both the waterfront and upland parcels. Access to the garages would be provided off of Kent Avenue along South 1st Street (for North Garage), South 3rd Street (for Refinery Garage), and South 4th Street (for South Garage) on the waterfront parcel and would include driveways with drop-off areas (see Figure 1-4 in Chapter 1, "Project Description"). Access to the parking garage on the upland parcel would be provided on South 4th Street between Kent and Wythe Avenues. Access to the proposed project's loading docks will be provided off of Kent Avenue on South 1st, South 2nd, and South 4th Streets. Access to the upland parcel loading docks would be provided off of South 4th Street between Kent and Wythe Avenues.

TRIP ASSIGNMENT

Project-generated vehicle trips were assigned to the study area intersections based on the most likely routes to and from the project site, the configuration of the street network, prevailing travel patterns, and the location of the site's proposed access and egress points. The project-generated auto trips and taxi trips were routed to the driveways along South 1st, South 3rd, and South 4th Streets, where access to the proposed parking garages would be provided. All delivery vehicles were assigned to the traffic network via DOT's designated truck routes.


TRAFFIC VOLUMES AND LEVEL OF SERVICE

Figures 17-10 through 17-13 present the net incremental trips between the proposed project and the No Action development on the project site for the weekday AM, midday, and PM and Saturday midday peak hours. Figures 17-14 through 17-17 show the total Future with the Proposed Project traffic volumes for the weekday AM, midday, and PM and Saturday midday peak hours.

Tables 17-14 and 17-15 compare the No Action and future with the proposed project service conditions for the primary study area signalized and unsignalized intersections for the weekday AM, midday, and PM, and Saturday midday peak hours, respectively. The No Action and future with the proposed project service conditions comparison for the secondary study area intersections is presented in Tables 17-16 and 17-17 for the weekday AM, midday, and PM, and Saturday midday peak hours, respectively.

IMPACT CRITERIA


According to the criteria presented in the 2001 CEQR Technical Manual, impacts are considered significant (identified by the "+" symbol in the analysis summary table) and require examination of mitigation if they result in an increase of 5 or more seconds of delay in a lane group beyond mid-LOS D when compared to the No Action level. For No Action LOS E, a 4-second increase in delay




Project Site

NOTE: This figure has been revised for the FEIS

Proposed Project Net Incremental Trips
 Weekday Midday Peak Hour
Figure 17-11






Project Site

NOTE: This figure has been revised for the FEIS


Proposed Project Net Incremental Trips
 Saturday Midday Peak Hour
Figure 17-13



Project Site

NOTE: This figure has been revised for the FEIS


2020 Future with the Proposed Project Traffic Volumes
 Weekday AM Peak Hour
Figure 17-14




Project Site

NOTE: This figure has been revised for the FEIS

2020 Future with the Proposed Project Traffic Volumes
 Weekday Midday Peak Hour
Figure 17-15





Project Site

NOTE: This figure has been revised for the FEIS

2020 Future with the Proposed Project Traffic Volumes
 Saturday Midday Peak Hour
Figure 17-17

Table 17-14 (Continued)
No Action and Future with the Proposed Project Conditions Level of Service Analysis (1)
Primary Study Area Intersections (1)
Signalized Intersections

Intersection	AM Peak Hour												Midday Peak Hour												PM Peak Hour												Saturday Peak Hour											
	No Action						Future with the Proposed Project						No Action						Future with the Proposed Project						No Action						Future with the Proposed Project																	
	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS																
Broadway and Chiggs Avenue	TR	0.45	19.8	C	TR	0.52	23.5	C	TR	0.57	23.5	C	TR	0.47	20.3	C	TR	0.56	23.4	C	TR	0.56	23.4	C	TR	0.35	16.7	B	TR	0.36	16.7	B																
	LTR	0.66	29.3	C	LT	0.94	47.7	D	LT	0.99	59.5	E	LT	1.55	293.0	F	LT	1.73	362.7	F	LT	1.73	362.7	F	LT	0.81	32.1	C	LT	0.81	32.1	C																
	Intersection	22.2	C	Intersection	25.4	C	Intersection	33.6	C	Intersection	39.6	D	Intersection	163.1	F	Intersection	207.2	F	Intersection	207.2	F	Intersection	207.2	F	Intersection	26.1	C	Intersection	26.1	C	Intersection	26.1	C															
Robling Street and South 3rd Street	LTR	0.58	22.5	C	LTR	0.84	38.3	D	LTR	0.43	18.5	B	LTR	0.42	18.3	B	LTR	0.57	21.8	C	LTR	0.57	21.8	C	LTR	0.33	16.8	B	LTR	0.40	17.9	B																
	TR	0.16	7.5	A	TR	0.16	7.4	A	TR	0.16	7.4	A	TR	0.14	7.2	A	TR	0.14	7.2	A	TR	0.14	7.2	A	TR	0.12	7.1	A	TR	0.12	7.1	A																
	Intersection	14.0	B	Intersection	21.3	C	Intersection	10.7	B	Intersection	11.7	B	Intersection	11.7	B	Intersection	11.7	B	Intersection	11.7	B	Intersection	11.7	B	Intersection	10.8	B	Intersection	10.8	B	Intersection	10.8	B															
Robling Street and South 4th Street	LTR	0.34	10.8	B	LTR	0.39	11.5	B	LTR	0.32	10.5	B	LTR	0.41	11.7	B	LTR	0.44	12.1	B	LTR	0.44	12.1	B	LTR	0.20	9.2	A	LTR	0.20	9.2	A																
	L	0.01	7.7	A	L	0.01	7.7	A	L	0.01	7.7	A	L	0.01	7.7	A	L	0.01	7.7	A	L	0.01	7.7	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A																
	TR	0.31	10.6	B	TR	0.33	10.6	B	TR	0.31	10.4	B	TR	0.36	11.1	B	TR	0.36	11.1	B	TR	0.36	11.1	B	TR	0.30	10.3	B	TR	0.34	10.8	B																
Broadway and Robling Street	TR	0.95	63.5	E	TR	1.17	128.1	F	TR	0.70	37.4	D	TR	0.73	38.9	D	TR	0.84	46.8	D	TR	0.84	46.8	D	TR	0.59	32.5	C	TR	0.64	34.0	C																
	Intersection	35.2	D	Intersection	69.6	E	Intersection	19.2	B	Intersection	20.7	C	Intersection	21.3	C	Intersection	21.3	C	Intersection	25.0	C	Intersection	25.0	C	Intersection	19.2	B	Intersection	19.2	B	Intersection	20.0	B															
	LTR	0.44	24.4	C	LTR	0.48	25.5	C	LTR	0.47	18.8	B	LTR	0.54	26.9	C	LTR	0.54	26.9	C	LTR	0.63	29.6	C	LTR	0.31	16.3	B	LTR	0.41	17.7	B																
Metropolitan Avenue and Havemeyer Street	TR	0.73	22.0	C	TR	0.85	29.0	C	TR	0.67	19.7	B	TR	0.76	23.6	C	TR	0.86	29.7	C	TR	0.86	29.7	C	TR	0.48	14.6	B	TR	0.57	16.2	B																
	LTR	0.59	17.2	B	LTR	0.71	21.0	C	LTR	0.61	18.0	B	LTR	0.64	18.9	B	LTR	0.70	20.2	C	LTR	0.73	21.6	C	LTR	0.59	16.8	B	LTR	0.57	16.7	B																
	Intersection	21.6	C	Intersection	26.0	C	Intersection	19.8	B	Intersection	21.9	C	Intersection	24.4	C	Intersection	24.4	C	Intersection	24.4	C	Intersection	24.4	C	Intersection	17.8	B	Intersection	17.8	B	Intersection	17.8	B															
Metropolitan Avenue and Mercy Avenue	TR	0.50	14.3	B	TR	0.54	15.1	B	TR	0.41	13.1	B	TR	0.42	13.1	B	TR	0.49	14.1	B	TR	0.49	14.1	B	TR	0.36	13.8	B	TR	0.40	14.2	B																
	L	1.08	75.4	E	L	1.12	91.8	F	L	0.85	21.5	C	L	0.85	21.5	C	L	0.85	21.5	C	L	0.85	21.5	C	L	0.58	4.8	A	L	0.60	6.2	A																
	Intersection	39.1	D	Intersection	44.0	D	Intersection	13.9	B	Intersection	15.6	B	Intersection	15.6	B	Intersection	15.6	B	Intersection	15.6	B	Intersection	15.6	B	Intersection	9.0	A	Intersection	9.0	A	Intersection	9.1	A															
Metropolitan Avenue and Meeker Avenue	TR	0.43	25.3	C	TR	0.48	26.2	C	TR	0.40	24.8	C	TR	0.40	24.8	C	TR	0.49	26.3	C	TR	0.49	26.3	C	TR	0.31	18.4	B	TR	0.36	19.0	B																
	LTR	0.65	28.2	C	LTR	0.68	29.0	C	LTR	0.55	25.8	C	LTR	0.57	26.3	C	LTR	0.57	26.3	C	LTR	0.53	25.2	C	LTR	0.43	18.0	B	TR	0.45	18.3	B																
	Intersection	27.1	C	Intersection	28.1	C	Intersection	25.4	C	Intersection	25.4	C	Intersection	25.4	C	Intersection	25.4	C	Intersection	25.4	C	Intersection	25.4	C	Intersection	20.0	B	Intersection	20.0	B	Intersection	19.4	B															
Metropolitan Avenue and Rodney Street	TR	1.00	110.5	F	TR	1.22	184.5	F	TR	0.91	79.1	E	TR	1.03	109.9	F	TR	1.20	167.5	F	TR	1.20	167.5	F	TR	0.70	37.0	D	TR	0.78	44.1	D																
	LTR	0.46	25.1	C	LTR	0.51	26.1	C	LTR	0.44	24.7	C	LTR	0.51	26.3	C	LTR	0.44	24.4	C	LTR	0.51	25.9	C	LTR	0.32	17.3	B	TR	0.40	18.4	B																
	Intersection	21.8	C	Intersection	22.8	C	Intersection	21.8	C	Intersection	21.8	C	Intersection	21.8	C	Intersection	21.8	C	Intersection	21.8	C	Intersection	21.8	C	Intersection	18.4	B	Intersection	18.4	B	Intersection	20.1	C															
Broadway and Havemeyer Street	TR	0.65	29.2	C	TR	0.71	25.9	C	TR	0.83	34.2	C	TR	0.89	41.1	D	TR	1.34	193.9	F	TR	1.46	245.2	F	TR	0.80	21.1	C	TR	0.70	24.8	C																
	LTR	0.83	32.0	C	LTR	0.93	45.5	D	LTR	0.61	21.4	C	LTR	0.61	21.4	C	LTR	0.61	21.4	C	LTR	0.61	21.4	C	LTR	0.14	26.4	C	TR	0.67	23.3	C																
	Intersection	26.2	C	Intersection	27.9	C	Intersection	27.7	C	Intersection	31.2	C	Intersection	100.0	F	Intersection	100.0	F	Intersection	100.0	F	Intersection	100.0	F	Intersection	22.1	C	Intersection	22.1	C	Intersection	24.3	C															
Broadway and Mercy Avenue	TR	0.70	32.7	C	TR	0.75	35.1	C	TR	0.76	35.4	D	TR	0.91	39.1	D	TR	1.01	99.3	F	TR	1.10	99.3	F	TR	0.56	27.9	C	TR	0.66	30.6	C																
	LTR	1.01	74.9	E	LTR	1.11	105.0	F	LTR	0.85	48.9	D	LTR	0.91	54.8	D	LTR	1.53	262.0	F	LTR	1.67	346.3	F	LTR	0.64	28.9	C	TR	0.67	30.8	C																
	Intersection	45.4	D	Intersection	49.4	D	Intersection	49.4	D	Intersection	49.4	D	Intersection	49.4	D	Intersection	49.4	D	Intersection	49.4	D	Intersection	49.4	D	Intersection	28.9	C	Intersection	28.9	C	Intersection	30.9	C															

Notes: L = Left Turn, T = through, R = Right Turn, LOS = Level of Service.
 (1) This table has been revised for the FEIS.
 + implies a significant adverse impact.

Table 17-15
No Action and Future with the Proposed Project Conditions Level of Service Analysis
Primary Study Area Intersections
Unsignalized Intersections

Intersection	AM Peak Hour			Midday Peak Hour			PM Peak Hour			Saturday Peak Hour			Future with the Proposed Project													
	No Action			Future with the Proposed Project			No Action			Future with the Proposed Project			No Action			Future with the Proposed Project										
	Lane Group	Ratio	Delay (sec)	Lane Group	Ratio	Delay (sec)	Lane Group	Ratio	Delay (sec)	Lane Group	Ratio	Delay (sec)	Lane Group	Ratio	Delay (sec)	Lane Group	Ratio	Delay (sec)	Lane Group	Ratio	Delay (sec)					
	LOS	v/c	LOS	LOS	v/c	LOS	LOS	v/c	LOS	LOS	v/c	LOS	LOS	v/c	LOS	LOS	v/c	LOS	LOS	v/c	LOS					
Kent Avenue and North 1st Street	TR	0.08	15.7	C	TR	0.11	20.4	C	TR	0.16	24.4	C	TR	0.28	41.1	E	TR	0.06	14.1	B	TR	0.10	21.1	C		
Kent Avenue and Grand Street	LT	0.09	18.7	C	LT	0.14	28.7	D	LT	0.09	38.2	D	LT	0.22	68.7	F	LT	0.05	16.0	C	LT	0.11	28.2	D		
Kent Avenue and South 1st Street	TR	0.12	18.9	C	TR	0.20	26.6	D	TR	0.16	29.1	D	TR	0.45	81.7	F	TR	0.12	14.8	B	TR	0.23	26.8	D		
Kent Avenue and South 1st Street	LT	0.00	7.6	A	LT	0.00	7.8	A	LT	0.00	8.1	A	LT	0.01	8.0	A	LT	0.00	7.5	A	LT	0.00	7.9	A		
Eastbound	Free Flow																									
Northbound	LT	0.96	114.3	F	LT	0.49	37.3	E	LT	0.49	37.3	E	LT	0.12	7.8	A	LT	0.12	7.8	A	LT	0.61	45.2	E		
Westbound	LT	0.10	7.8	A	LT	0.07	7.7	A	LT	0.07	7.7	A	LT	0.00	7.6	A	LT	0.00	7.6	A	LT	0.08	7.7	A		
Eastbound	L	0.02	24.4	C	L	0.02	20.1	C	L	0.02	20.1	C	L	0.00	34.3	D	L	0.01	17.5	C	L	0.01	55.7	F		
Westbound	TR	0.23	17.9	C	TR	0.62	54.6	F	TR	0.44	39.1	F	TR	0.27	24.2	C	TR	1.49	360.6	F	TR	0.10	14.1	B		
Northbound	LT	0.00	7.6	A	LT	0.01	7.6	A	LT	0.01	7.6	A	LT	0.00	7.6	A	LT	0.00	7.5	A	LT	0.00	7.5	A		
Eastbound	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.5	A	L	0.00	7.5	A		
Westbound	R	0.17	17.4	C	R	**	**	F	L	2.97	1142.0	F	R	0.39	31.9	D	TR	2.91	953.2	F	R	0.25	16.4	C		
Southbound	L	0.05	7.6	A	L	0.03	7.6	A	L	0.03	7.6	A	L	0.05	7.6	A	L	0.05	7.6	A	L	0.04	7.6	A		
Northbound	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	8.2	A	L	0.00	9.1	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	8.8	A	L	0.00	8.7	A		
Eastbound	L	0.07	34.5	D	L	0.22	119.4	F	L	0.03	29.2	D	L	0.14	591.5	F	L	**	**	F	L	0.04	24.5	C		
Westbound	TR	0.41	22.5	C	TR	0.77	52.3	F	TR	0.89	43.4	E	TR	0.85	85.0	F	TR	1.86	445.7	F	TR	0.35	17.3	C		
Northbound	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.7	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.5	A		
Westbound	LT	0.11	17.7	C	LT	0.14	21.6	C	LT	0.12	17.7	C	LT	0.16	23.5	C	LT	0.23	32.6	D	LT	0.12	18.2	C		
Eastbound	TR	-	9.5	A	TR	-	9.7	A	TR	-	8.9	A	TR	-	9.5	A	TR	-	9.4	A	TR	-	9.0	A		
Westbound	LT	-	10.5	B	LT	-	10.8	B	LT	-	9.3	A	LT	-	10.1	B	LT	-	10.0	B	LT	-	9.4	A		
Southbound	LTR	-	35.00	D	LTR	-	69.9	F	LTR	-	19.4	C	LTR	-	67.6	F	LTR	-	76.3	F	LTR	-	24.4	C		
Intersection	30.3	D	Intersection	60.2	F	Intersection	20.0	C	Intersection	59.4	F	Intersection	68.9	F	Intersection	22.2	C	Intersection	68.9	F	Intersection	22.2	C	Intersection	23.0	C
Wythe Avenue and South 1st Street	TR	0.07	24.1	C	TR	0.79	62.2	F	TR	0.12	16.8	C	TR	0.37	27.3	D	TR	0.97	113.5	F	TR	0.07	19.2	C		
Eastbound	LT	0.05	7.7	A	LT	0.05	7.7	A	LT	0.02	7.7	A	LT	0.02	7.7	A	LT	0.02	7.9	A	LT	0.02	7.8	A		
Westbound	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.5	A		
Southbound	LT	0.40	24.6	C	LT	0.68	60.7	F	LT	0.17	17.3	C	LT	0.51	40.7	E	LT	0.92	134.6	F	LT	0.18	19.6	C		
Eastbound	TR	0.29	27.0	D	TR	1.54	315.1	F	TR	0.32	22.5	C	TR	0.61	43.5	E	TR	1.92	479.7	F	TR	0.51	27.4	D		
Westbound	LT	0.08	7.8	A	LT	0.12	8.0	A	LT	0.06	7.8	A	LT	0.05	7.6	A	LT	0.07	7.7	A	LT	0.05	7.6	A		
Southbound	LT	-	9.7	A	LT	-	11.2	B	LT	-	10.8	B	LT	-	11.5	B	LT	-	11.8	B	LT	-	12.1	B		
Intersection	23.7	C	Intersection	10.14	F	Intersection	45.6	E	Intersection	22.8	C	Intersection	41.6	E	Intersection	82.4	F	Intersection	187.2	F	Intersection	25.2	E			
Wythe Avenue and South 2nd Street	TR	0.49	31.0	D	TR	0.72	65.5	F	TR	0.33	25.3	D	TR	0.51	45.6	E	TR	0.81	125.3	F	TR	0.25	20.5	C		
Eastbound	LT	0.08	7.8	A	LT	0.11	7.9	A	LT	0.07	7.7	A	LT	0.07	7.7	A	LT	0.08	7.7	A	LT	0.06	7.6	A		
Westbound	LT	-	9.9	A	LT	-	11.2	B	LT	-	9.8	A	LT	-	11.1	B	LT	-	23.9	C	LT	-	9.6	A		
Southbound	TR	-	19.2	C	TR	-	33.6	D	TR	-	14.9	B	TR	-	25.4	F	TR	-	25.4	F	TR	-	13.0	C		
Intersection	17.6	C	Intersection	29.1	D	Intersection	13.8	B	Intersection	17.7	C	Intersection	75.7	F	Intersection	187.4	F	Intersection	187.4	F	Intersection	12.1	B			
Wythe Avenue and South 6th Street	TR	0.32	14.2	B	TR	0.48	17.0	C	TR	0.49	17.5	C	TR	0.73	26.0	D	TR	0.92	46.8	E	TR	0.51	15.6	C		
Westbound	LT	0.02	7.7	A	LT	0.02	7.7	A	LT	0.02	7.7	A	LT	0.01	7.6	A	LT	0.01	7.6	A	LT	0.00	7.5	A		
Northbound	R	0.29	11.9	B	R	0.53	20.5	C	R	0.51	15.0	B	R	0.80	26.3	D	R	1.47	246.6	F	R	0.67	23.2	C		
Southbound	R	0.79	36.8	E	R	0.79	36.8	E	R	0.79	36.8	E	R	0.79	36.8	E	R	0.79	36.8	E	R	0.79	36.8	E		

Notes: L = Left Turn, T = through, R = Right Turn, LOS = Level of Service.
 (1) This table has been revised for the FEIS.
 * implies a significant adverse impact
 ** Delay values not reported by the HCS model.

Table 17-16
 No Action and Future with the Proposed Project Conditions Level of Service Analysis ⁽¹⁾
 Secondary Study Area Intersections
 Signalized Intersections

Intersection	AM Peak Hour						Midday Peak Hour						PM Peak Hour						Saturday Peak Hour					
	No Action			Future with the Proposed Project			No Action			Future with the Proposed Project			No Action			Future with the Proposed Project			No Action			Future with the Proposed Project		
	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS
Kent Avenue and South 5th Street	LT	0.25	28.8	C	LT	0.08	26.7	C	LT	0.11	27.0	C	LT	0.11	27.0	C	LT	0.12	27.1	C	LT	0.12	27.1	C
	TR	0.04	6.0	A	TR	0.04	5.9	A	TR	0.04	6.4	A	TR	0.04	6.4	A	TR	0.05	6.0	A	TR	0.05	6.0	A
	RT	0.82	20.0	C	RT	0.59	17.1	B	RT	0.78	17.1	B	RT	0.82	19.3	B	RT	0.82	19.3	B	RT	0.82	19.3	B
Kent Avenue and South 11th Street	LT	0.03	26.0	C	LT	0.03	26.1	C	LT	0.04	26.1	C	LT	0.04	26.1	C	LT	0.04	26.3	C	LT	0.05	26.3	C
	TR	0.19	28.0	C	TR	0.12	27.2	C	TR	0.12	28.3	C	TR	0.12	28.3	C	TR	0.12	27.1	C	TR	0.12	27.1	C
	RT	0.59	11.8	B	RT	0.51	10.4	B	RT	0.76	16.4	B	RT	0.80	18.2	B	RT	0.80	18.2	B	RT	0.80	18.2	B
Kent Avenue and Division Avenue	LT	0.08	26.7	C	LT	0.10	26.9	C	LT	0.09	26.9	C	LT	0.09	26.9	C	LT	0.09	26.9	C	LT	0.09	26.9	C
	TR	0.01	5.8	A	TR	0.03	5.8	A	TR	0.05	6.0	A	TR	0.05	6.0	A	TR	0.05	6.0	A	TR	0.05	6.0	A
	RT	0.56	11.3	B	RT	0.51	11.1	B	RT	0.84	20.5	C	RT	0.85	24.1	C	RT	0.85	24.1	C	RT	0.85	24.1	C
Kent Avenue and Dwyer Street	LT	1.35	233.8	F	LT	1.19	413.9	F	LT	0.86	427.1	F	LT	0.86	427.1	F	LT	0.86	427.1	F	LT	0.86	427.1	F
	TR	0.92	53.6	D	TR	0.72	38.3	D	TR	0.75	39.6	D	TR	0.75	39.6	D	TR	0.75	39.6	D	TR	0.75	39.6	D
	RT	0.27	7.6	A	RT	0.15	6.7	A	RT	0.06	6.1	A	RT	0.06	6.1	A	RT	0.06	6.1	A	RT	0.06	6.1	A
Kent Avenue and Williamsburg Street West	LT	0.55	11.5	B	LT	0.70	15.3	B	LT	0.49	10.3	B	LT	0.52	10.7	B	LT	0.52	10.7	B	LT	0.52	10.7	B
	TR	0.07	19.4	B	TR	0.07	19.9	B	TR	0.04	18.8	B	TR	0.04	18.8	B	TR	0.04	18.8	B	TR	0.04	18.8	B
	RT	0.49	24.6	C	RT	0.38	22.7	C	RT	0.39	22.7	C	RT	0.39	22.7	C	RT	0.39	22.7	C	RT	0.39	22.7	C
Kent Avenue and Clason Avenue	LT	0.65	28.4	C	LT	0.69	29.8	C	LT	0.68	29.4	C	LT	0.69	29.8	C	LT	0.69	29.8	C	LT	0.69	29.8	C
	TR	0.07	19.4	B	TR	0.07	19.9	B	TR	0.04	18.8	B	TR	0.04	18.8	B	TR	0.04	18.8	B	TR	0.04	18.8	B
	RT	0.49	24.6	C	RT	0.38	22.7	C	RT	0.39	22.7	C	RT	0.39	22.7	C	RT	0.39	22.7	C	RT	0.39	22.7	C
Flushing Avenue and Williamsburg Street West	LT	0.73	34.1	C	LT	0.54	20.9	C	LT	0.54	20.9	C	LT	0.54	20.9	C	LT	0.54	20.9	C	LT	0.54	20.9	C
	TR	1.23	143.0	F	TR	0.93	38.6	D	TR	0.93	38.6	D	TR	0.93	38.6	D	TR	0.93	38.6	D	TR	0.93	38.6	D
	RT	0.27	7.6	A	RT	0.15	6.7	A	RT	0.06	6.1	A	RT	0.06	6.1	A	RT	0.06	6.1	A	RT	0.06	6.1	A
Flushing Avenue and Clason Avenue/BOE Off-Ramp	LT	0.90	32.9	C	LT	0.60	26.6	C	LT	0.46	26.6	C	LT	0.46	26.6	C	LT	0.46	26.6	C	LT	0.46	26.6	C
	TR	1.33	210.1	F	TR	1.46	265.1	F	TR	1.46	265.1	F	TR	1.46	265.1	F	TR	1.46	265.1	F	TR	1.46	265.1	F
	RT	0.27	7.6	A	RT	0.15	6.7	A	RT	0.06	6.1	A	RT	0.06	6.1	A	RT	0.06	6.1	A	RT	0.06	6.1	A
Wythe Avenue and Division Avenue	LT	0.16	21.4	C	LT	0.16	21.4	C	LT	0.16	21.4	C	LT	0.16	21.4	C	LT	0.16	21.4	C	LT	0.16	21.4	C
	TR	0.41	25.7	C	TR	0.41	25.7	C	TR	0.41	25.7	C	TR	0.41	25.7	C	TR	0.41	25.7	C	TR	0.41	25.7	C
	RT	0.52	14.2	B	RT	0.56	14.8	B	RT	0.56	14.8	B	RT	0.56	14.8	B	RT	0.56	14.8	B	RT	0.56	14.8	B
Wythe Avenue and Cuyler Street	LT	0.28	22.1	C	LT	0.28	22.1	C	LT	0.28	22.1	C	LT	0.28	22.1	C	LT	0.28	22.1	C	LT	0.28	22.1	C
	TR	0.11	10.2	B	TR	0.11	10.2	B	TR	0.11	10.2	B	TR	0.11	10.2	B	TR	0.11	10.2	B	TR	0.11	10.2	B
	RT	0.56	14.9	B	RT	0.61	15.7	B	RT	0.61	15.7	B	RT	0.61	15.7	B	RT	0.61	15.7	B	RT	0.61	15.7	B
Wythe Avenue and Williamsburg Street West	LT	0.80	57.0	E	LT	0.73	22.5	C	LT	0.73	22.5	C	LT	0.73	22.5	C	LT	0.73	22.5	C	LT	0.73	22.5	C
	TR	0.57	20.0	C	TR	0.57	20.0	C	TR	0.57	20.0	C	TR	0.57	20.0	C	TR	0.57	20.0	C	TR	0.57	20.0	C
	RT	0.50	14.2	B	RT	0.50	14.2	B	RT	0.50	14.2	B	RT	0.50	14.2	B	RT	0.50	14.2	B	RT	0.50	14.2	B
Wythe Avenue and Williamsburg Street East	LT	0.47	25.5	C	LT	0.47	25.5	C	LT	0.47	25.5	C	LT	0.47	25.5	C	LT	0.47	25.5	C	LT	0.47	25.5	C
	TR	0.53	26.7	C	TR	0.56	27.3	C	TR	0.56	27.3	C	TR	0.56	27.3	C	TR	0.56	27.3	C	TR	0.56	27.3	C
	RT	0.65	25.4	C	RT	0.65	25.4	C	RT	0.65	25.4	C	RT	0.65	25.4	C	RT	0.65	25.4	C	RT	0.65	25.4	C
Wythe Avenue and Penn Street	LT	0.42	14.3	B	LT	0.45	14.6	B	LT	0.45	14.6	B	LT	0.45	14.6	B	LT	0.45	14.6	B	LT	0.45	14.6	B
	TR	0.07	30.4	C	TR	0.05	30.0	C	TR	0.05	30.0	C	TR	0.05	30.0	C	TR	0.05	30.0	C	TR	0.05	30.0	C
	RT	0.26	33.5	C	RT	0.22	32.4	C	RT	0.22	32.4	C	RT	0.22	32.4	C	RT	0.22	32.4	C	RT	0.22	32.4	C
Wythe Avenue and Rutledge Street	LT	0.65	20.1	C	LT	0.62	19.0	B	LT	0.62	19.0	B	LT	0.62	19.0	B	LT	0.62	19.0	B	LT	0.62	19.0	B
	TR	0.38	35.8	C	TR	0.38	35.8	C	TR	0.38	35.8	C	TR	0.38	35.8	C	TR	0.38	35.8	C	TR	0.38	35.8	C
	RT	0.50	14.2	B	RT	0.50	14.2	B	RT	0.50	14.2	B	RT	0.50	14.2	B	RT	0.50	14.2	B	RT	0.50	14.2	B

Notes: L = Left Turn, T = Through, R = Right Turn, LOS = Level of Service.
 + indicates a significant adverse impact.

**Table 17-12
No Action and Future with the Proposed Project Conditions Level of Service Analysis (1)
Secondary Study Area Intersections
Unsignalized Intersections**

Intersection	AM Peak Hour						Midday Peak Hour						PM Peak Hour						Saturday Peak Hour					
	No Action			Future with the Proposed Project			No Action			Future with the Proposed Project			No Action			Future with the Proposed Project			No Action			Future with the Proposed Project		
	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	Lane Group	v/c Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS
Kent Avenue and South 8th Street	L	0.07	27.9	D	L	0.10	37.1	E	L	0.07	26.0	D	L	0.09	34.0	D	L	0.11	41.3	E	L	0.05	17.9	C
	TR	0.21	19.4	C	TR	0.27	25.7	D	TR	0.16	21.3	C	TR	0.23	22.4	C	TR	0.29	29.3	D	TR	0.07	14.3	B
	L	0.00	7.7	A	L	0.00	7.7	A	L	0.00	7.7	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A	L	0.00	7.6	A
	R	0.36	15.5	C	R	0.41	17.3	C	R	0.27	13.5	B	R	0.31	15.4	C	R	0.33	15.8	C	R	0.10	10.7	B
Kent Avenue and Williamsburg Street West - SBR	L	0.35	21.3	C	L	0.40	24.5	C	L	0.30	17.9	C	L	0.37	21.1	C	L	0.38	21.8	C	L	0.07	12.3	B
	TR	0.41	26.4	D	TR	0.45	30.2	D	TR	0.43	26.1	D	TR	0.47	28.6	D	TR	0.53	34.1	D	TR	0.09	15.1	C
Wythe Avenue and South 8th Street	L	0.46	27.2	D	L	0.50	31.3	D	L	0.11	18.3	C	L	0.21	28.2	D	L	0.25	34.1	D	L	0.12	14.3	B
	TR	0.04	7.7	A	TR	0.04	7.7	A	TR	0.04	7.7	A	TR	0.06	7.7	A	TR	0.06	7.7	A	TR	0.02	7.6	A
Wythe Avenue and South 10th Street	L	0.16	23.2	C	L	0.17	25.4	D	L	0.07	20.2	C	L	0.12	27.6	D	L	0.14	32.4	D	L	0.06	14.8	B
	TR	0.11	22.4	C	TR	0.12	24.4	C	TR	0.15	20.5	C	TR	0.26	29.2	D	TR	0.30	35.3	E	TR	0.05	14.8	B
Wythe Avenue and South 11th Street	L	0.24	31.9	D	L	0.27	36.4	E	L	0.06	23.6	C	L	0.20	42.0	E	L	0.26	54.5	F	L	0.01	17.0	C
	TR	0.02	7.6	A	TR	0.02	7.6	A	TR	0.01	7.6	A	TR	0.01	7.5	A	TR	0.01	7.5	A	TR	0.01	7.5	A

Notes: Left Turn, T = through, R = Right Turn, LOS = Level of Service.
 + implies a significant adverse impact.

Domino Sugar Rezoning

is considered significant. For No Action LOS F, a 3-second increase in delay is considered significant. Also, if the No Action LOS F condition already corresponds with a delay in excess of 120 seconds, an increase of 1.0 or more seconds of delay is considered significant, unless the proposed project generates fewer than five vehicle trips through that intersection in the peak hour. In addition, impacts are considered significant if levels of service decrease from acceptable LOS A, B, or C in the No Action condition to marginally unacceptable LOS D (a delay in excess of 45 seconds, the midpoint of the LOS D range of delay), or unacceptable LOS E or F in the future with the proposed project condition.

In addition, the 2001 CEQR Technical Manual states that at unsignalized intersections, 90 PCEs must be identified in the future build condition in any peak hour for the minor approach to trigger significant adverse impacts.

SIGNIFICANT ADVERSE IMPACTS

Based on CEQR standards, the proposed project could result in significant impacts at a total of 18 signalized and 14 unsignalized intersections during one or more of the peak hours analyzed. Specific intersection approaches/lane groups and time periods of project-related impacts are presented in Tables 17-18a and 17-18b and are listed below:

PRIMARY STUDY AREA

Signalized Intersections

- Kent Avenue and Metropolitan Avenue: the northbound through-and-right-turn movement during the weekday PM peak hour;
- Kent Avenue and South 3rd Street: the northbound through-and-right-turn movement during the weekday PM peak hour;
- Kent Avenue and Broadway: the northbound through-and-right-turn movement during the weekday AM and PM peak hours;
- Wythe Avenue and Metropolitan Avenue: the westbound approach during the weekday AM and PM peak hours; and the southbound approach during the weekday AM, midday, and PM peak hours;
- Wythe Avenue and Broadway: the southbound approach during the weekday AM and PM peak hours;
- Bedford Avenue and South 6th Street: the westbound approach during the weekday PM peak hour;
- Driggs Avenue and Metropolitan Avenue: the westbound approach during the weekday AM and PM peak hours;
- Driggs Avenue and Broadway: the westbound approach during the weekday midday and PM peak hours;
- Roebling Street and South 4th Street: the southbound approach during the weekday AM and PM peak hours;
- Marcy Avenue and Metropolitan Avenue: the westbound left-turn movement during the weekday AM and PM peak hour;
- Metropolitan Avenue and Rodney Street: the eastbound defacto left-turn movement during the weekday AM, midday, and PM peak hours;

Table 17-18a
Summary of Significantly Impacted Intersections (Primary Study Area):
2020 Future with the Proposed Project Conditions

Intersections	Approach	Lane Group	Weekday			Saturday
			AM	Midday	PM	Midday
Kent Avenue & Metropolitan Avenue	EB	LT				
	WB	TR				
	NB	L				
Kent Avenue & South 3rd Street	EB	LT				
	NB	L				
		TR			✓	
Kent Avenue & Broadway	EB	LT				
	WB	R				
	NB	L	✓		✓	
Wythe Avenue & Metropolitan Avenue	EB	TR				
	WB	LT	✓		✓	
	SB	LTR	✓	✓	✓	
Wythe Avenue & Broadway	EB	TR				
	WB	L				
	SB	T	✓		✓	
Bedford Avenue & South 6th Street	WB	TR				
	NB	LT				
					✓	
Metropolitan Avenue & Driggs Avenue	EB	TR				
	WB	LT	✓		✓	
	SB	LTR				
Broadway & Driggs Avenue	EB	TR				
	WB	LT		✓	✓	
	SB	LTR				
Roebling Street & South 4th Street	EB	LR				
	WB	L				
	SB	TR	✓		✓	
Metropolitan Avenue & Marcy Avenue	EB	TR				
	WB	L	✓		✓	
		T				
Metropolitan Avenue & Rodney Street	EB	Defl	✓	✓	✓	
		T				
	WB	TR				
Broadway & Havemeyer Street	EB	LT				
	WB	TR	✓		✓	
	NB	LTR				
Broadway & Marcy Avenue	EB	TR				
	WB	LT	✓	✓	✓	
	SB	LTR				
Kent Avenue & South 2nd Street	EB	L	✓	✓	✓	✓
	WB	TR				
	NB	L				
Kent Avenue & South 4th Street	EB	L	✓	✓	✓	✓
	WB	TR				
	NB	L				
Kent Avenue & South 6th Street	EB	L	✓	✓	✓	
	WB	TR				
	NB	L				
Wythe Avenue & Grand Street	EB	TR				
	WB	LT				
	SB	LTR	✓		✓	
Wythe Avenue & South 1st Street	EB	TR	✓		✓	
	SB	LT				
Wythe Avenue & South 2nd Street	SB	LT	✓		✓	
Wythe Avenue & South 3rd Street	EB	TR	✓	✓	✓	✓
	SB	LT				
Wythe Avenue & South 4th Street	WB	LT				
	SB	TR	✓	✓	✓	✓
Wythe Avenue & South 5th Street	EB	TR	✓	✓	✓	✓
	SB	LT				
Wythe Avenue & South 6th Street	WB	LT				
	SB	TR	✓		✓	
Berry Street & South 6th Street	WB	TR				
	NB	LT			✓	
Broadway & Roebling Street-SBR	SB	R			✓	✓

Table 17-18b

Summary of Significantly Impacted Intersections (Secondary Study Area):
2020 Future with the Proposed Project Conditions

Intersections	Approach	Lane Group	Weekday			Saturday
			AM	Midday	PM	Midday
Kent Avenue & Clymer Street	EB	LR				
	WB	LTR	✓		✓	
	NB	L				
Kent Avenue & Williamsburg Street West	EB	T				
	WB	LT	✓			
	SB	LT				
Flushing Avenue & Williamsburg Street West	EB	L				
	WB	T				
	NB	TR	✓		✓	
Flushing Avenue & Classon Avenue/BQE Off-Ramp	WB	TR				
	NB: BQE Off-Ramp	LTR	✓		✓	
	NB: Classon Avenue	LTR		✓		
Wythe Avenue & Williamsburg Street West	EB	TR	✓		✓	
	SB	LTR				
Wythe Avenue & South 8th Street	WB	LT			✓	
Wythe Avenue & South 9th Street	EB	TR			✓	
	SB	LT				

- Havemeyer Street and Broadway: the eastbound approach during the weekday PM peak hours, and the westbound approach during the weekday AM peak hour; and
- Marcy Avenue and Broadway: the eastbound approach during the weekday PM peak hours; and the westbound approach during the weekday AM, midday, and PM peak hours.

Unsignalized Intersections

- Kent Avenue and South 2nd Street: the westbound approach during all four peak hours;
- Kent Avenue and South 4th Street: the westbound approach during all four peak hours;
- Kent Avenue and South 6th Street: the westbound approach during the weekday AM, midday, and PM peak hours;
- Wythe Avenue and Grand Street: the southbound approach during the weekday AM and PM peak hours;
- Wythe Avenue and South 1st Street: the eastbound approach during the weekday AM and PM peak hours;
- Wythe Avenue and South 2nd Street: the westbound approach during the weekday AM and PM peak hour;
- Wythe Avenue and South 3rd Street: the eastbound approach during all four peak hours;
- Wythe Avenue and South 4th Street: the southbound approach during all four peak hours;
- Wythe Avenue and South 5th Street: the eastbound approach during all four peak hours;
- Wythe Avenue and South 6th Street: the southbound approach during the weekday AM and PM peak hour;
- Berry Street and South 6th Street: the westbound approach during the weekday PM peak hour; and
- Williamsburg Bridge exit/Roebling Street and Broadway: the southbound right-turn movement during the weekday PM and Saturday midday peak hours.

SECONDARY STUDY AREA

Signalized Intersections

- Kent Avenue at Clymer Street: the westbound approach during the weekday AM and PM peak hours;
- Kent Avenue and Williamsburg Street West: the westbound approach during the weekday AM peak hour;
- Flushing Avenue and Williamsburg Street West: the southbound approach during the weekday AM and PM peak hour;
- Flushing and Classon Avenues/BOE Off-Ramp: the BOE Off-Ramp northbound approach during the weekday AM and PM peak hours; and the Classon Avenue northbound approach during the weekday AM, midday, and PM peak hours; and
- Wythe Avenue and Williamsburg Street West: the eastbound approach during the weekday AM and PM peak hours.

Unsignalized Intersections

- Wythe Avenue and South 8th Street: the westbound approach during the weekday PM peak hour; and
- Wythe Avenue and South 9th Street: the eastbound approach during the weekday PM peak hour.

In addition to the impacted approaches/lane-groups identified above, several other intersection approaches/lane-groups in the primary and secondary study areas would experience increased delays in the 2020 future with the proposed project conditions. However, based on the CEQR impact criteria discussed above, these increases in delay would not be considered significant impacts, since either fewer than 90 PCEs were identified at the unsignalized intersection approaches, or there were fewer than 5 peak hour project-generated vehicles on the signalized intersection approaches which were operating with delays in excess of 120 seconds in the No Action conditions.

In addition, delays in excess of mid-LOS D were identified at three newly-created project access approaches at the unsignalized intersections of Kent Avenue at South 1st, South 2nd, and South 4th Streets. The service conditions at these unsignalized intersections could be improved by installing new traffic signals. The installation of new traffic signals would require detailed Signal Warrant Studies, which would be subject to review and approval from DOT.

To mitigate the potential traffic impacts at the 18 signalized and 14 unsignalized locations identified above, a variety of mitigation measures could be implemented to address these impacts including signal timing modifications, new lane restripings, changes to parking regulations, changes to the bicycle lane classification, installation of new stop controls, and installation of new traffic signals. These measures would mitigate the potential traffic impacts at all of the locations identified above and are discussed in detail in Chapter 23, "Mitigation." It should be noted that the mitigation measures are subject to review and approval from DOT.

PARKING SUPPLY AND UTILIZATION

The proposed project would provide 1,694 on-site accessory parking spaces in garages on both the waterfront and upland parcels. The waterfront parcel would have three parking facilities, including one

Domino Sugar Rezoning

at the north end of the site with 782 spaces, one beneath the Refinery with approximately 127 spaces, and one at the south end of the site with approximately 411 spaces. A fourth parking facility with approximately 374 parking spaces would be located on the upland parcel. South 1st, South 3rd, and South 4th Streets on the waterfront parcel would provide access to the below-grade parking garages and would include driveways with drop-off areas (see Figure 1-4). Access to the parking garage on the upland parcel would be provided from South 4th Street.

A parking accumulation was developed based on vehicle ownership data from 2000 US Census Data and the project's planned land uses. As shown in Table 17-19, the project is expected to generate a maximum overnight demand of 1,694 parking spaces generated by the residential use which would be fully accommodated by the on-site accessory parking. During other time periods on weekdays and Saturday, the majority of the proposed project's parking demand would be accommodated on-site. However, as shown in Table 17-19, during the weekday morning/afternoon and Saturday late evening hours, the proposed project's parking demand could result in a parking shortfall. Specifically, during the weekday morning (9 AM to 10AM) and Saturday late-evening (9 PM to 11 PM) hours, there could be shortfall of a maximum of up to 45 and 20 parking spaces, respectively. It is expected that this overflow parking demand during the weekday and Saturday conditions would be accommodated by off-site parking available in the ¼-mile study area and beyond. Therefore, the proposed project would not result in significant adverse parking impacts in the study area.

Additionally, the applicant is exploring a car-sharing option for the project's residents, which could result in a reduced parking demand. Data have been presented that indicate each shared vehicle could take up to 20 cars off the road as members sell their cars or decide not to purchase new ones. Although a new concept, car-sharing options are now operational in major U.S. cities including New York, Atlanta, Boston, Chicago, Seattle and San Francisco. Although no credit has been taken for a car-sharing option in this parking accumulation analysis, it is expected that should a car-sharing option be implemented, the overall residential parking demand generated by the proposed project would be lower than the 1,694 spaces identified above.

PEDESTRIAN SAFETY

Accident data for the study area intersections were obtained from the New York State Department of Transportation (NYSDOT) for the time period between July 1, 2006 and June 30, 2009. The data obtained quantify the total number of reportable accidents (involving fatality, injury, or more than \$1,000 in property damage), fatalities, and injuries during the study period, as well as a yearly breakdown of pedestrian- and bicycle-related accidents at each location. According to the 2001 CEQR Technical Manual, a high pedestrian/bicycle accident location is one where there were five or more pedestrian- and bicycle-related accidents in any year of the most recent three-year period for which data are available.

During this period, a total of 49 pedestrian-related accidents and 31 bicycle-related accidents occurred at study area intersections. Table 17-20a depicts total accident characteristics by intersection during the study period, as well as a breakdown of pedestrian and bicycle accidents by year and location. The accident data identifies two study area intersections, Marcy Avenue at Metropolitan Avenue and Havemeyer Street at Broadway (South 6th Street), as high pedestrian and bicycle accident locations in the 2006 to 2009 period.

Table 17-20a
Summary of Pedestrian-Related Accidents

Intersection		Accidents by Year											
		Pedestrian				Bicycle				Combined Ped./Bike			
		2006	2007	2008	2009	2006	2007	2008	2009	2006	2007	2008	2009
Kent Ave.	Metropolitan Ave.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent Ave.	N. 1st St.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent Ave.	Grand St.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent Ave.	S. 1st St.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent Ave.	S. 2nd St.	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Kent Ave.	S. 3rd St.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent Ave.	S. 4th St.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent Ave.	S. 5th St.	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Kent Ave.	S. 6th St.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent Ave.	S. 9th St.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent Ave.	S. 11th St.	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Kent Ave.	Division Ave.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent Ave.	Clymer St.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent Ave.	W. Williamsburg St.	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	2	0
Kent Ave.	E. Williamsburg St.	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Kent Ave.	Rutledge St.- Classon Ave.	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Flushing Ave.	W. Williamsburg St.	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3	0
Flushing Ave.	Classon Ave.	0	2	0	0	1	1	2	0	1	3	2	0
Wythe Ave.	N. 1st St.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wythe Ave.	Grand St.	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Wythe Ave.	S. 1st St.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wythe Ave.	S. 2nd St.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wythe Ave.	S. 3rd St.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wythe Ave.	S. 4th St.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wythe Ave.	S. 5th St.	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Wythe Ave.	S. 6th St.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Berry St.	N. 1st St.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Berry St.	Grand St.	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
Berry St.	S. 4th St.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bedford Ave.	Metropolitan Ave.	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Bedford Ave.	Grand St.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Bedford Ave.	S. 6th St.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Driggs Ave.	Metropolitan Ave.	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Driggs Ave.	S. 6th St.	1	1	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	3	1	1
Roebing St.	Metropolitan Ave.	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0
Roebing St.	S. 3rd St.	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	0
Roebing St.	S. 4th St.	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	2	0	1
Roebing St.	S. 6th St.	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
Havemeyer St.	Metropolitan Ave.	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Havemeyer St.	Grand St.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Havemeyer St.*	Broadway/S. 6th St.	2	2	3	1	0	0	1	0	2	2	4	1
Marcy Ave.*	Metropolitan Ave.	0	3	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	4	4	0
Marcy Ave.	S. 6th St. (Broadway)	0	3	2	2	0	1	1	0	0	4	3	2
Meeker St.	Metropolitan Ave.	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0
Rodney St.	Metropolitan Ave.	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0
Roebing St.	S. 8th St.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent Ave.	Broadway	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0
Wythe Ave.	Metropolitan Ave.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Note: *denotes high pedestrian/bicycle accident location.
Source: NYS DOT

Domino Sugar Rezoning

A review of pedestrian and bicycle accident reports at the intersection of Marcy Avenue and Metropolitan Avenue reveals that a majority of the accidents are caused by driver inattention, while a review of the pedestrian and bicycle accident reports at the intersection of Havemeyer Street at Broadway (South 6th Street) does not reveal an identifiable pattern of accidents. The T-legged intersection of Marcy Avenue and Metropolitan Avenue is equipped with regular crosswalks across the eastbound Metropolitan Avenue and across Marcy Avenue. In addition, the eastbound and westbound approaches on Metropolitan Avenue are equipped with signs warning turning vehicles to yield to pedestrians. Safety at this location could be improved by providing a high visibility crosswalk across the westbound Metropolitan Avenue and by restriping the faded crosswalks across the eastbound Metropolitan Avenue and across Marcy Avenue with high visibility crosswalks. The intersection of Havemeyer Street and Broadway (South 6th Street) is equipped with high visibility crosswalks across Broadway. Safety at this location could be improved by restriping the Havemeyer Street approaches with high visibility crosswalks and installing signs warning turning vehicles to yield to pedestrians on the northbound, eastbound, and westbound approaches.

As discussed in the preceding sections, subsequent to the publication of the DEIS, the traffic study area was modified to include additional intersections along Kent and Wythe Avenues. The accident data for these additional study area intersections were obtained from NYSDOT for the most recent time period between December 1, 2006 and November 30, 2009. During this period, a total of 10 pedestrian-related accidents and 3 bicycle-related accidents occurred at these additional study area intersections. The accident data identifies none of the additional study area intersections as high pedestrian/bicycle accident locations in the 2006 to 2009 period (see Table 17-20b).

**Table 17-20b
Summary of Pedestrian-Related Accidents
(Additional Intersections Analyzed for the FEIS)**

Intersection		Accidents by Year											
North-South Roadway	East-West Roadway	Pedestrian				Bicycle				Combined Ped./Bike			
		2006	2007	2008	2009	2006	2007	2008	2009	2006	2007	2008	2009
Berry Street	S. 6th Street	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent Avenue	S. 8th Street	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Wythe Avenue	Broadway	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Wythe Avenue	S. 8th Street	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Wythe Avenue	S. 9th Street	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wythe Avenue	S. 10th Street	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Wythe Avenue	S. 11th Street	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Wythe Avenue	Division Avenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wythe Avenue	Clymer Street	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Wythe Avenue	Williamsburg St. W	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Wythe Avenue	Williamsburg St. E	0	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	1
Wythe Avenue	Penn Street	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wythe Avenue	Rutledge Street	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

Source: NYSDOT

Based on the operational analyses of the future pedestrian conditions and consideration of relative changes in pedestrian levels, as detailed in Chapter 18, "Transit and Pedestrians," it was concluded that, with the installation of the improvements noted above, project-generated trips would not result in significant adverse impacts on pedestrian safety in the study area. *