
#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

SCA Playground Study 1992

1



CEQR TECHNICAL MANUAL NOVEMBER 2020 EDITION

Josen & Fleming, Inc.

"SHAH VAFARA	tal memo 7671   # of pages > 12
Co.	Co.
Dept.	Phone #
Pax #	Fax

696-0670

2/213-3193

#### RERDRANDUN

TO: Julie Geisler

FROM: James P. Cowan

Stephen J. Holley

PR: SCA Playground Noise Study

DATE: October 23, 1992

#### Introduction

Between October 1 and 14, 1992, eight New York City public schools consisting of early childhood (PS 52R), elementary (PS 299, PS 52R, PS 57 and PS 69), intermediate (IS 7, IS 72, and IS 75), and high (Tottenville Wig. School were monitored for noise emissions from playground activities). The purpose of this monitoring was to provide updated noise level values, that will accurately reflect existing school playground noise levels, for use in future environmental assessments of new school projects.

The levels currently used in environmental raise assessments are 75 dBA  $L_{eq}(1)$  at the playground boundary. 73 dBA  $L_{eq}(1)$  15 Net way from the playground boundary, 70 dBA  $L_{eq}(1)$  30 feet away from the playground boundary, and a 4.5 dBA drop-off rate per doubling of distance for locations farther than 30 feet away. Additionally,  $L_{10}(1)$  levels are presently assumed to 1.2 dBA greater than  $L_{eq}(1)$  levels.

#### Noise Monitoring

Three sound level meters were used for the measurements. Two of the instruments were Larson Davis Los (LML) Model 700 meters (serial numbers 2216 and 1352) and the third was a truck & Kjaer (BiK) Type 4427 noise level analyzer (serial number 1167006). AM of these instruments meet ANSI Standard S1.4-1983 tolerances for Type Lagratification. The LDL instruments were mounted on tripods at heights of 5 feet above the ground and the B&K 4427 was supported with its microphore fixed a height of approximately 4 feet above the ground. All instruments were aslibrated belove and after each measurement session with an LDL Model CA250 Precision According Calibrator (serial number 1894) and the appropriate aicrophone scapter) Windscreens were used for all measurements. The verthar conditions were clear to partly cloudy with winds under 10 miles per low and temperatifies in the 45 to 55 degree Fahrenheit range. All monitaring leshods confirmed with industry-accepted practices for measuring sound pressure levels.

Sackground noise levels, without playground activity, were recorded at each cation. All school playgrounds monitored, except P.S. 299 in Brooklyn, were in Stare Plane because the Staten Island schools provided the lowest background noise levels of any schools in the New York City area.

The lower possible background noise levels were desirable for this study to ensure that all readings recorded were clearly generated by the playground

sources and not by other sources (e.g., vehicles, trains, airplanes, or manufacturing sources). As long as measured levels with playground activity exceed background levels without playground activity by more than 9 dBA, the measured levels are clearly indicative of those associated with the playground activity only.

With playground activity, noise levels were recorded at the playground boundaries and, wherever practical considering traffic and other extraneous sources, at distances away from the playground boundaries simultaneously. Simultaneous readings were used to estimate a drop-off rate of noise from the playground with distance.

Table I, below, summarizes the monitored data by listing the mast relevant  $L_{\rm in}$  and  $L_{\rm in}$  values obtained. The complete set of monitored data is disted in Attachment A. The data is divided according to the type of school, (i.e. early childhood, elementary, junior high, or high) and activity (i.e., line-up, FE class, or recess).

It was originally planned that ten schools would be meditored however, P.S. 52R was used for both its early childhood and elementary school sources and neither I.S 61 nor I.S. 24 could be monitored with reliable tendes for this study's purpose because of high background noise levels. Then fore, eight school sites are listed below.

Of all the data used in the analysis, the only monitored  $L_{\rm eq}$  value that was less than 9 dBA greater than the bickground was the 60' recess reading at I.S. 72. This value was used only for trop off rate branches and the actual level due to playground noise alone offer the background noise is subtracted from the reading, is 1.7 dBA less than the recorded value (which was a composite of background and playground miss).

#### Analysis Methodology

New York City regulations, standards and guidelines used for environmental noise assessments are lased on hourly noise levels, specifically  $L_{eq(1)}$  and  $L_{10(1)}$  (where the number 1 in parentheses denotes a 1-hour value). However, each school monitored had or detent playground usage periods for activities such as sorting lineups, thysical education (PE) classes, or lunch recesses. To account for these different usage durations, noise levels during active playground use were recorded separately from the background levels and the two sets of data were combined into  $L_{eq(1)}$  levels by utilizing the standard mathematical refinition of the  $L_{eq}$  which is:

$$t_{eq(1)} = 10 \log \{ \{ (1/T)^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ in } p^{2}(t) \text{ dt } \} / p_{zef}^{2} \}$$

where I is the measurement time period (1 hour in this case), p is the measured acoustic pressure, and  $p_{ref}$  is the pressure at the threshold of hearing  $(2x10^{-5} \text{ N/m}^2)$ . All logarithmic references are to the base 10. Attachment B shows the specific use of this equation in the determination of the values quoted herein.

Table 1

MEASURED NOISE LEVELS (in dBA)

	*	(e)	Distance From			
School	Grade	Activity	Playground (ft)	Duration (min)	<u>L<sub>12</sub></u>	_Loq_
Early C	hildhoc	d/Elementary	Schools:			
PS 52R	K-2	Recess	0	15	27.5	74.6
	K • 2	Recess	30	15	6, 5	65.3
	3-5	Recess	0	25	2.3	77.3
PS 299	K-5	Line-up	0	17	79.5	78.9
PS 57	K-5	Line-up	0	25	74.5*	77.9"
	4,5	Recess	Q	20	72.0	71.8
PS 69	K-5	Line-up	0	20	71.5	68.4
	1,3	Recess	0	2	76.0	73.8
	1,3	Recess	20	20	8	68.2
	1,3	Recess	40	20	66.5	64.0
	2,5	Recess	0		77.0	73.4
	2,5	Recess	20	21	12.7	69.5
	2,5	Recess	40	21	8,0	65.0
Interme	diste 5	chools:	~ (V)			
IS 7	6-8	Line-up	• •	10	79.0*	87.1*
'	6+8	Line-up	10	10	76.5	74.5
	8	PE Class	0	25	67.5	66.1
	. 8	PE Class	30	25	63.0	59.6
	. 7	Recess	0	30	78.0	74.8
IS 72	6-8	Line-p	0	<b>15</b>	73.5	70.9
	8	Reces	0	17	78.0	76.9
	8	Recess .	20	17	73.8	70.8
	8 .	Relas	Ğι.	17	65.0	63.4
IS 75	6-8	Line-up		26	68.5	67.4
	6-8	Nae-up	30	26	65.0	62.3
	8	PE Clas	0	20	67.5	64.8
	8	PE Class	30	20	63.0	60.3
		Rece	0	15	69.5	68.2
		Recogs	30	15	65.7	63,0
$\mathcal{L}$	E					
TE S	hools:					
			•	60	76 5	79 5
Totten-	9.12	ine-up	0	20	76.5	73.5
₩ille F		Recess	0	20 20	71.5 63.3	69.7 62.8
	9-12	Recess	30	20	۵۵.۵	04.0

High level discrete events biased these measurements. These values were not used in the analysis.

Playground usage durations for the different school types and activities were developed based on field observations and varified by school principals and other school officials of the New York City Board of Education. These playground usage durations (which were the same or longer than the observed usage durations), rather than the measured durations, were used in the analysis to derive the recommended levels. Table 2 shows these usage durations by school type.

Table 2

DURATION OF OUTDOOR PLAYGROUND ACTIVITIES\*

Early Childhood/E	Lementary	Schools	(Grad	ies K-2/3-1	5):	
TIME				TIVITY		
	30-Minute	Line-up				
8- 9 AM	30-Minute	Line-up	or	30-Minute	E Va.	is
9-10 AM					PI CLAS	
10-11 AM	30-Minute	Recess	or			
11-12 PM	40-Minute	Recess	or	40-M. 74. 0	PE Clas	is
12- 1 PM	40-Minute	Recess	or ·	0-Minute		
1- 2 PM				40 Minute		
2- 3 PM				-Minute	PROPERTY	is 🔻
Intermediate School	ols (Grade	s 6-8):				
TOU		•	ACT	TATLA		
6-7 AM	15-Minute	Line-up				
	90-Minuce					
8- 9 AM	30-Minute	Line-up	or	50-Minu		
9-10 AM				50-Minute		
10-11 AM	30-Miny	.ecess	or	50 Minute	PE Clas	1\$
11-12 PM	10-Min te	Racess		50-Minuta		
12- 1 PM	10-112 U.S	Recess		90-Minute		
1- 2 PM	30 Min ca	Recess	Ol	50-Minute	PE Clas	S
2-3 PM				50-Minute	PE Clas	i s
High Schools (Grad	9-12);					
TIME			ACT	TALLA		
	15-Minute					
7-8 AM	30-Minute	Le - up		30-Minute		
8-9 AM	30-Min.ca	Line-up	OT	50-Minute	PE Clas	3
9-10 AM				50-Minute		
70 11 A!	5 Kin ta	Recess	or	50-Minute	PE Clas	5
1 -12 -M × 4	5-Minute	Recess	or	50-Minute	PE Clas	s
	5-Minute	Recess	or	50-Minute	PE Clas	8
2 PM				50-Minute	PE Clas	S
2- 3 P.1				50-Minute	PE Clas	<b>.</b>

Worst-case assumptions include the longest duration of activity that would normally happen during each hour. Note that during some hours a 40-minute lunch recess is indicated, this may not be a continuous recess but could include two 20-minute recess periods occurring within the same hour.

All calculations performed are in terms of  $L_{\rm sq}$  values. Because  $L_{\rm 10}$  values cannot be combined mathematically the way  $L_{\rm sq}$  values can be,  $L_{\rm 10}$  values can only be estimated through their relationship to the  $L_{\rm sq}$  values.

#### Analysis Results

Table 3 shows the maximum hourly noise levels at the playground boundary for each type of school based upon the duration of outdoor playground activities shown in Table 2, above. Table 4, below, shows the maximum noise levels at the playground boundary for specific activities. There does not seem to be a clear relationship between noise levels measured and the number of students in the playground or the total number of students at any given school. The average difference between  $L_{\rm eq}$  and  $L_{\rm 10}$  measured values was 2.8 dBA.

MAXIMUM EOURLY PLAYGROUND ROUNDAY BOISE LEVELS
FOR ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENTS\*\*

	Thood Schools: as K-2)	Consider	chools: : 1-5):
	Imq(1) (dBA)		1-(1) (dia)
7- 8 AM	63.8	7- 8 AM	63.8
8- 9 AM	69.3	8- 9	69.3
9-10 AM	62.9	<b>≠10 A</b> M	\$2.9
10-11 AM	69.3	10-11 AM	69.3
11-12 PM	73	11-12 PM	71.4
12- 1 PM	11.5	12- 1 PM	71.4
1. 2 FM		1- 2 PH	62.9
2- 3 FM	62.9	2-3 PH	62.9
	• •		

In calculating this average, all measured differences less than 1.5 dBA were not used because they were associated with readings where extransous per lay is from such sources as sirens, trucks, buses, and children yelling and the microphones contaminated the measurements.

Noise data from intermediate schools was used for PE class activities for all school types.

Table 3 (Continued)

# MAXIMUM HOURLY PLAYGROUND BOUNDARY MOISE LEVELS FOR ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENTS"

Intermediate Schools: (Grades 5-8):

Eigh Schools:
(Grades 9-12):

TIME	Log(1) (dBA)	TIME	Leg(1) (dBA)
6- 7 AM	61.5	6- 7 AM	63.5
7-8 AM	64.9	7-8 AM	68.2
8- 9 AM	64.9	8- 9 AM	68.2
9-10 AH	64.3	9-10 AH	64 3
10-11 AM	68,9	10-11 AM	6. 6
11-12 FM	71.0	11-12 FH	67.6
12- 1 FM	71.0	12- 1 PM	67.6
1- 2 PM	68.9	1- 2 PM	64.3
2-3 PM	64.3	2- 3 PH	64.3

#### Table 4

## MAXIMUM PLAYGROUND BOUNDARY HOLES TOY LS FOR SPECIAL ACTIVITIES

_Grades_	Activity	Function (p.n)	Lag(1) (dBA)
Early Childhood Se	chools (Grades	-2).	
K-2	Line-	30	63.8
K-2	Recess	40	71.5
K-2 ,	PE last		. 62.9
Elementary School	(Green E, and		
K-5	Time-up	30	<i>6</i> 3.8 ,
1-5	Recess	40	71.4
<b>X-5</b>	PE Class	40	62.9
Intermediane ich o	ols (Grides 6-	ş.	
5-8	Line up	30	64.9
- 8	lec Sa	40 .	71.0
68.	U Class	50	64.3
High Schools (Grad			
9-12	Line-up	30	68.2
9-22	Recess	45	67.6
9 12	P2 Class	. 20	64.3

Noise data from intermediate schools was used for PE class activities for all school types.



Average drop-offs were 4.8 dBA at 20', 6.2 dBA at 30', 9.1 dBA at 40', and 15.2 dBA (the only reading) at 60'. Beyond 30 feet from the playground borders, drop-off rates were generally 6 dBA per doubling of distance from the noise source (in this case the playground boundary). This corresponds with generally accepted rule-of-thumb for other typical outdoor applications. However, if the new playground were to be located near any large reflective buildings, a lower drop-off rate per doubling of distance from the playground boundary could exist. In such cases, the actual drop-off rates can only be verified by field measurements, which should be performed, because of the complexity of the acoustical environment that is created by the buildings. However, if field measurements are not possible, a more conservative drop-off rate per doubling of distance from the playground boundary should be assumed (on in order of 5.1 dBA).

#### Recommendations

Based on the measurements and calculations derived from measurements in this study, the following values shown in Table 3, are provided to be used as a preliminary estimate of the noise levels generated by students in a New York City school playground. Applying these levels to all operating hours for a new school would result in a conservative analysis, and are based by the maximum levels calculated for Table 4, above, to provide worst-use a lues.

#### Tal Le S

#### PECOMHEDED FLAT VELLO BOOF ARY VOLSE LEVELS FOR FEELINGALY INVIRONME VAL SSESSIONETS

School (Tro	
Early Childhold Center Elements y school	71.5
Irlern dieta School	71.0
Ala School	68.2

If, afterna preliminary analysis the potential for significant project impacts exists, a base refinely enalysis may be verrented. For this type analysis, noise levels for player and related noise about do added on an hour by hour bisis. Appropriate levels for this purpose are shown above in Table 3, by necessary.

levels stored be estimated, whenever measured values are not available, as approximately 3.0 dla higher than Log(1) values. Unless the proposed play-ground is high within 100 feet of) any large buildings, hourly noise levels can be expected to decrease by the following values at the specified distances from the playground boundary: 4.8 dBA at 20', 6.8 dBA at 30', and 9.1 dBA at 40'. The general rule of a 6 dBA drop-off per doubling of distance from the

playground boundary for all distances between 40 and 300 fact appears to be appropriate for analytical purposes. Atmospheric absorption, terrain, and meteorological conditions would affect noise levels beyond 300 fact away from the playground, and should be considered on a case-by-case basis. However, for most areas of New York City, background noise levels and building densities are high enough to make most playgrounds insudible beyond distances of 300 fact away.

cc: Ed Applebome

SJE:wp JPG<SCA>/scaplay2.mem

2020 of Date 1

#### A TRODUCTUAL A

## NOISE HEASUREMENTS WITH RESPECT TO PLATGROUND BOUNDARY (in dla)

Early Childhood/Elementary Schools (Grades K-2/3-5)

P.S. 52R - Dongan Hills Avenue between Mason and Nugent Avenues (10/1,2/92). 650 Students

Grade	Activity	Time	I	12	Lic	Loa	100	Lesis	- I-aq-
K-2	Recess @0'	11:45AM-12:00PM 12:10PM-12:25PM 12:10PM-12:25PM 12:35PM- 1:00PM	90.5 74.5	85.0 71.0	67.5	71.0 64.5	62.5 59.0	46.5 57.5 54.0 50.0	74.6 65.3

P.S. 299 - Maple Street between Albany and Kingston Avenues (1777); 600 Students

Grade	Activity	Time	Lou	11	Lan	_140_	<u></u>	Lain	
K-5	Back+Lineup	8:18AM- 9:18AM	101.0	85.0	70.	12.0	56.0	11.5	73.8
	Lineup @0'	8:18AM- 8:35AM	101.0	91.	79.5	73.5	68	61.5	78.9

P.S. 57 · Oder Avenue between Palma Drive and launders three. Lineup @ Palma Drive and Park Hill Avenue (10) 8/92), 600 Students

•	Activity	Time	Time.	In Lac	Lso	Jos Imp	1
K-5	Background	9:57PM-10:20AM	69.0	64.5 58.	52.5	49.0 46.5	55.2
	Lineup @0'	8:20AM- 8:45MM	105.0*	8 0° 7 .5	66.5	61.5° 54.0°	77.9°
	Recess @0'	11:25AM-11.45AM	93.0	84. 2.0	0 66.5	63.0 56.0	71.8

P.S. 69 - Kesting Place between Rockland and Saxon Avenues (10/9,13/92), 1450 Sgud no

Grade	Active	Time	-	L <sub>1</sub>	Lian	_ <u>L</u> 50_	Lio_	<u>Luin</u>	Liq
R-53132.552.552.55	B. Calround Lineup @0' Recess @20' Recess @20' Recess @40' Recess @4' Recess @20' Recess @20' Recess @20'	2:5M-12:59PM 2:39PM-12:59PM 1:17PM- 1:38PM 1:17PM- 1:30PM 1:30PM- 1:38PM	85.0 8 94.0 8 78.7 7 80.9 7 75.5 7 87.5 8 77.5 7	4.5 30.0 3.5 6.5 0.0 2.0 7.7 1.5	55.5 71.5 76.0 70.1 71.1 66.5 77.0 72.7 72.7 68.0	50.0 62.5 70.5 65.9 67.3 63.0 70.5 68.1 67.1 63.5	47.0 58.0 63.0 58.3 63.7 59.0 62.5 60.9 61.5 57.5	49.0	53.5 68.4 73.8 67.6 68.4 64.0 73.4 69.5 69.4 65.0

High level discrete events biased these measurements.

#### ATTACHMENT & (Continued)

### NOISE MEASUREMENTS WITE RESPECT TO FLATGROUND BOUNDARY (in dBA)

#### Intermediate Schools (Grades 6-8)

I.S. 7 - Irvington Street between Hylan Boulevard and Edith Avenue (10/6,9/92), 800 Students

Grade	Activity	Time	Last	1	Las	Lion	Inc. Jun	Leq
8	Lineup @30' PE Class @0' PE Class @30'	10:50AM-11:05AM 7:25AM- 7:35AM 7:25AM- 7:35AM 11:05AM-11:30AM 11:05AM-11:30AM 9:30AM-10:00AM	114.0* 90.0 92.0 73.0	95.5* 86.5 76.0 67.5		69.0 61.0 57.0	44.0 40.5 60.5° 54.5° 60.0 54.5 55.0 45.5 52.5 45.0 66.1 61.0	87.1° 74.5 66.1

I.S. 72 - Travis Avenue between Ferndale Avenue and Merry Round Street, Background @ Merry Mount Street between Travis and Saxon Avenues (10, 13/92), 1685 Students

Grade	Activity	Time	Lear	1	<u> </u>	_Lsa_	- <b>L</b> 99-		100
	Background	7:35AM- 7:55AM	70.5		62.5				58.5
6-8	Lineup @0'	7:15AM- 7:30AM	83.0	11.5	73.5	67.	60.5	54.0	70.9
8	Recess @0'	11:51AM-12:08PM	97.	9.0	78.0	71.5	63.5	52.5	76.9
8		11:51AM-12:00PM	89.5	0.1	74.7	8 .1	64.1		71.8
8		12:00PM-12:08PM	15.7	79.3	72 7	65.9	61.5	• • • •	69.6
8	Recess @60'	11:51AM-12:08PM	16.5	71.0	0 . 0	1.5	58.0	53.0	63.4

I.S 75 - Bouldar Streat be wee. Wodrow Road and Wastor Place (10/13,14/92), 1465 Students

Grade	Activity	-	J.C	1:-	110	150_	190	Lain	Loqu
6-8	Background Lineup @0'	10:34AM-11:08AL 7:49AM- 8:15.M	6. 0	61.0 79.0	53.5 68.5			45.0 53.0	
6-8	Linea @30' PE Class @0'	7:49AM 8:1.4M 9:00AM-9:20 M	75.5	70,0	65:0 67.5	60.5	57.0	52.5 56.0	62.3
8 :	PF Cast @0'	9:00AM- 9 20AM 11:45AM-12:00PM		67.5	63.0 69.5	58.5	55.5	52.5	
· i	Reces : @30'		79.9	-	65.7			****	

High level discrete events biased these measurements.

#### ATTACEMENT & (Gontinuad)

## HOISE MEASUREMENTS WITH RESPECT TO PLAYGROUND BOUNDARY (in dBA)

## High Schools (Grades 9-12)

Tottenville High School - Luten Avenue between Deisius and Eylandt Streets (10/14/92), 3500 Students

		420727702	.,		11					
Grade	Activity	Time	L	<u>_L</u>	Loa	<u>_</u> Lco_	_Loo_	Into	Lage	
9-12 9-12 9-12	Racess @0'	8:40AM- 9:00AM 7:40AM- 8:10AM 12:20FM-12:40FM 12:20FM-12:40FM		60.0 81.5 79.5 73.9	55.5 76.5 71.5 63.3	50.0 71.5 65.5 57.9	48.0 65.0 62.0 55.5	47.0 69.0 58.5	52.5 73.5 69.7 62.8	<b>&lt;</b>
		,					1	•	C	
	724						<b>)</b>		S.	
					12		Ó			
	a.				7,	-	7			
		<b>.</b>	3			) `	•			
	a 1921				)	6				
		-C/			•					
	_									
	00	$O_{\epsilon}$								
2		0								
V	· //									
								* *		

#### ATTACHMENT B

## CALCULATION OF $L_{eq(1)}$ PLAYGROUND HOISE LEVELS

The standard definition of  $L_{\underline{\omega}}$ , as quoted in the text, is:

$$L_{eq(!)} = 10 \log \{[(1/T)]_{t_{Q}}^{t_{n}} p^{2}(t)dt]/p_{ref}^{2}\},$$
 (B.1)

where T is the measurement time period, p is the measured acoustic pressure, and  $p_{ref}$  is the pressure associated with the threshold of hearing,  $2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ N/m}^2$ . All logarithmic references are to the base 10. If we assume that the acoustic pressure in each time period measured is constant and T is I hour, the total acoustic pressure part of equation (3.1) under the integral reduces to:

$$p(t) = p_1(t_1 - t_0) + p_2(t_2 - t_1) + ... + p_n(t_n - t_n)$$
 (B.2)

where n = 0,1,2,.....

Took

Also in this case, the L takes on the standard sound pressure level (SPL) definition over each time period of interest, namely:

B.3)

Solving equation (B.3) for p(t), we get

$$p(t) = p_{ref} 10^{57L/20},$$
 (B.4)

as a function of time. When differ he constant SPEs are measured for different time periods within the total period of inverest they can be combined by converting the individual SPIs into their respective acoustic pressures (using equation (B.4)), multiplying them by their respective time contributions, combining the individual time-compensated pressure components into a total pressure value, and solving equation (B.3) with the total pressure as input.

As an example from the text, the monitored L<sub>m</sub> for the K-2 lunch recess at the boundary of the P.S. 5/R playground was 74.6 dBA and the background L<sub>m</sub> was 53.6 dBA. Using the playeribed New York Lity Board of Education recess limit of 30 minutes (4 mount for the recess duration, equation (B.4) can be solved for the playground and background pre tures and analyzed as follows:

$$p_{\text{playground}} = 2.10^{-1} \text{ o}^{-1/20} = 0.10741,$$

 $P_{\text{background}} = 2 \times 10^{-1} \cdot 10^{53.6/20} = 0.00957$ 

$$T = 1$$
  $t_1 \cdot t_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $t_2 - t_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ .

Ptotal(t) = 
$$\frac{1}{2}(0.10741) + \frac{1}{2}(0.00957) = 0.05849$$

Using this pressure value in equation (B.3), the result is  $L_{eq(1)} = 69.3$  dBA.

Whenever more than one playground noise level was available for a certain school type, each  $L_{\rm eq}(1)$  was calculated for each individual case and the resultant values were logarithmically averaged using a method similar to that described above.