NYC – OFFICE OF CHIEF MEDICAL EXAMINER	Page:	
Forensic Anthropology Unit	1 of 5	
Title: Peer Review	Control No.	Revision:
	ANTH-004	4
Approved by: Forensic Anthropology Director	Effective Date: 24 May 2022	

1. Policy

All OCME FAU casework will be subject to a peer review process to ensure that anthropological analyses and reported findings meet an acceptable and recognized scientific standard

2. Scope

The providures reached below apply to all FAU personnel who perform anthropological analyse generate official eports, and participate in the peer review process.

3. Definition.

<u>Technical Review</u>: A technical line were refers to an evaluation of the case file documentation to ensure that there is acceptable scientific basis for the conclusions offered in the anthropology report. For analysts hay serve as a technical reviewer after they have successfully completed he FAU Competency tests and at least one performance monitoring activity (see QM-002: Performance Monitoring, clause 3).

<u>Administrative Review</u>: An administrative reviewers the evaluation of the case report and case file documentation to ensure that the as beas and case documentation is complete and complies with the OCME FAU laboratory policies and practices outlined in the relevant standard operating procedures. FAU analysis may have a an administrative reviewer after they have successfully completed the FAU Competency tests and at least one performance monitoring activity (see QM-002: Performance Monitoring, clause 3).

4. Peer Review Procedure

All analytical notes, supporting documentation, and anthropology working draft reports are subject to the peer review process prior to case finalization (see 100 H-003 A plytical Notes, Documentation and Reports). The peer review process consists of a tabhnical review and an administrative review. Usually, the technical and administrative reviews are concluded by the same analyst. The intent of the peer review process is to verice.

- The methods used and conclusions reported are considered as ptable with arrent scientific standards.
- The reported conclusions are supported by the case documentation , analytical notes and supporting documents).
- The anthropology working draft report is written in a clear and professional manner.
- The analytical notes and anthropology working draft report are SOP compliant.
- 4.1 **Peer Review**: The report author shall submit the case file to another FAU analyst for peer review. The Director shall ensure that peer review requests are equitably distributed among FAU analysts. If possible, the analyst assigned as reviewer should not have any prior involvement in the analysis of the case.

NYC – OFFICE OF CHIEF MEDICAL EXAMINER	Page:	
Forensic Anthropology Unit	2 of 5	
Title: Peer Review	Control No.	Revision:
	ANTH-004	4
Approved by: Forensic Anthropology Director	Effective Date: 24 May 2022	

- Technical Review: The assigned reviewer shall perform a technical review of the 4.1.1 working draft report and case file documents. At a minimum, the technical review shall evaluate the anthropology working draft report, analytical notes, and porting documentation to determine if:
 - appropriate analyses (i.e., methods and techniques) have been ned and applied correctly.
 - Measurements taken and data transfers are complete and accurate. currer, and appropriately reported.
 - 1 relev gs have been documented in the analytical notes and draft repo orkir
 - The a alyst's conc sions fall within the scope of the discipline/category of tes ng.
 - onology w ing draft report is accurate and consistent with The an what is documented the analytical notes and supporting documentation and demonstra entific support for the results and/or suff. ent conclusions.
- ministrative review shall evaluate 4.1.2 Administrative Review: At ninim , the a the most current working draft report, nalytical notes, and supporting documentation to determine if:
 - The working draft report is writter clear and ional manner.
 - The working draft report and associated analytic complete. notes
 - Names and dates are accurate. •
 - The working draft report conforms to the proper h ort for tting and requirements.
 - The case documents conform to ANTHalytical ptes, Documentation, and Reports.
 - References are correctly cited, and all sources are includ in the rence section.
- 4.1.3 Working Draft Reports: All working draft reports should be unsigned and clearly marked as drafts.

Note: Anthropology working drafts reports are not considered part of the case file and are not retained after completion of the peer review process.

Technical and Administrative Checklists: The reviewer(s) shall follow the 4.1.4 technical and administrative checklists on the Peer Review Form to ensure that

NYC – OFFICE OF CHIEF MEDICAL EXAMINER	Page:	
Forensic Anthropology Unit	3 of 5	
Title: Peer Review	Control No.	Revision:
	ANTH-004	4
Approved by: Forensic Anthropology Director	Effective Date: 24 May 2022	

the pertinent information listed in 4.1.1 and 4.1.2 is checked prior to completion of the peer review process.

- 4.1.5 Upon completion of the technical and administrative reviews, the reviewer shall such and date the Peer Review Form and return the case folder to the case analyst. The peer reviewer is responsible for indicating if they concur with the findings. If the reviewer does not agree with the findings and a non-concurrence occurs, see section .2.
 - inaliza 4.1. port on: The case analyst shall send the case file and most current report to the Director or designee for approval. The report is version d finaliz the Director or designee signs the "Report Finalization" conside f the section er Revie form and indicates recommended disposition of the remains. On finalized, t case analyst shall print and sign two copies of the report and tun over the completed case file to the Director or designee for case e ANTH-003 Analytical Notes, Documentation and Reports, finalization section 4.15).

4.1.6.1 The Director on designee to use finalization shall complete the Case File Summary form indicating which documents are present in the case folder.

<u>Note</u>: If additional documents are arbid to the case folder after case finalization, the analyst adding the document is respectible for updating the Case File Summary form.

5. Conflict Resolution

- Il bring any errors, 5.1 Referral: During the peer review process the peer lewer disagreements and/or suggested edits to the attention of case analyst verballv and/or in written communication. The analyst and peer is iewer(s) s all al dis concerns and come to an agreed upon resolution. If an agreement nnot be re ched between the peer reviewer(s) and the analyst, the issue is referred another FA staff member for an independent evaluation.
 - 5.1.1 Reasons for Referral: Minor formatting issues, typographical errors, issues related to writing style are typically not grounds for referral. Typical disagreements leading to a referral may include, but are not limited to:
 - Methods, techniques, reasoning and/or conclusions are judged to be inaccurate, unsubstantiated, inappropriate or outside the standard of the applicable discipline.
 - The case work exhibits substantial non-compliance with the current SOPs or other approved procedures.

NYC – OFFICE OF CHIEF MEDICAL EXAMINER	Page:	
Forensic Anthropology Unit	4 of 5	
Title: Peer Review	Control No.	Revision:
	ANTH-004	4
Approved by: Forensic Anthropology Director	Effective Date: 24 May 2022	

- Reported conclusions are not supported by the analytical notes and supporting documentation.
- Ethical concerns or conflicts of interest are evident.
- 5.2 111 **urrence**: If the peer reviewer(s) and case analyst are unable to resolve an issue is a non-concurrence, the matter shall be referred to an additional FAU analyst d the natter cannot be resolved internally then the case will be referred to the w. If th for Medic₂ examiner (Chief) or Deputy Chief Medical Examiner (Deputy). The Chief Chie I review the case documentation and decide whether to let the analyst sign outv or re-a Ign the case to another analyst. The Chief or Deputy can consult the ` as i an external revi efore making their final decision. The external reviewer should be a Forensic Antl bpologi chnical expertise in the field.
 - 5.2.1 A Non-Concerence Form shall be filled out explaining the issue(s) that cannot be resolved between the peer reviewer(s) and report author(s). The completed Non-Concurrence form and the ender case file including a current draft report shall be forwarded to additional reviewer(s).
- 6. Documentation: The current versions of the transferred Review and Nonconcurrence Forms can be found on the Anthropology network drive. The Profess Form shall be completed for all official anthropology reports. Once completed, the form shall be maintained with the hard copy case file, as well as scanned and saved to the electronic case file (see ANTH-001 Evidence Security and Management).

REV.	DATE	SUMMARY OF CHANGES
0	26 January 2018	New document.
1	14 December 2018	Added the following statement to 4. Usually, the technica and administrative reviews are completed by the serve analyst." Removed sections 4.2-4.2.3 and reworked station $4.1 - 4.1$, no make the procedures reflect that the technical ad administrative reviews are conducted by the same person (type 100). Made a few minor editorial changes throughout the document.
2	29 July 2019	Added Statement: "4.1.6.1 The Director or designee for case finalization shall complete the Case File Summary form indicating which documents are present in the case folder. <u>Note</u> : If additional documents are added to the case folder after case finalization, the analyst adding the document is responsible for updating the Case File Summary form."
3	28 February 2020	Corrected revision #2 date from 26 July to 29 July. Made minor edits to document. Added "data transfers" to the

NYC – OFFICE OF CHIEF MEDICAL EXAMINER Forensic Anthropology Unit	Page: 5 of 5	
Title: Peer Review	Control No. ANTH-004	Revision: 4
Approved by: Forensic Anthropology Director	Effective Date: 24 May 2022	

24 May 2022	 second bullet point of 4.1.1 to read as: "Measurements taken, and data transfers are complete and accurate." The requirements for being a technical and administrative reviewer under clause 3 were updated so successful completion of any performance monitoring activity, not just a proficiency test is required before serving as a technical or administrative reviewer.
P P	