NYC – OFFICE OF CHIEF MEDICAL EXAMINER	Page:	
Forensic Anthropology Unit	1 of 5	
Title: Peer Review	Control No.	Revision:
	ANTH-004	3
Approved by: Forensic Anthropology Director	Effective Date: 28 February 2020	

1. Policy

All OCME FAU casework will be subject to a peer review process to ensure that anthropological analyses and reported findings meet an acceptable and recognized scientific standard

2. Scope

The providures mained below apply to all FAU personnel who perform anthropological analyse generate official eports, and participate in the peer review process.

3. Definition

<u>Technical Review</u>: A technical is wrefers to an evaluation of the case file documentation to ensure that there is a acceptable scientific basis for the conclusions offered in the anthropology report. Only competent and proficiency tested FAU analysts may serve as Technical Reviewers.

<u>Administrative Review</u>: An examistrative review is the evaluation of the case report and case file documentation to ensure that the malysis and case documentation is complete and complies with the OCME FAU aboratory pointes and practices outlined in the relevant standard operating procedures. Only competency and proficiency tested FAU analysts may serve as Administrative Reviewers.

4. Peer Review Procedure

All analytical notes, supporting documentation, and a thropology working draft reports are subject to the peer review process prior to case finalize doratee ANTU-03 Analytical Notes, Documentation and Reports). The peer review process consists of the technical review and an administrative review. Usually the technical and administrative process are completed by the same analyst. The intent of the peer review process is to verify.

- The methods used and conclusions reported are considered acceptative with urrent scientific standards.
- The reported conclusions are supported by the case document ion (i.e., any/tical notes and supporting documents).
- The anthropology working draft report is written in a clear and professional manner.
- The analytical notes and anthropology working draft report are SOP compliant.
- 4.1 **Peer Review**: The report author shall submit the case file to another FAU analyst for peer review. The Director shall ensure that peer review requests are equitably distributed among FAU analysts. If possible, the analyst assigned as reviewer should not have any prior involvement in the analysis of the case.

NYC – OFFICE OF CHIEF MEDICAL EXAMINER	Page:	
Forensic Anthropology Unit	2 of 5	
Title: Peer Review	Control No.	Revision:
	ANTH-004	3
Approved by: Forensic Anthropology Director	Effective Date: 28 February 2020	

- 4.1.1 **Technical Review**: The assigned reviewer shall perform a technical review of the working draft report and case file documents. At a minimum, the technical review shall evaluate the anthropology working draft report, analytical notes, and supporting documentation to determine if:
 - The appropriate analyses (i.e., methods and techniques) have been proformed and applied correctly.
 - Alease rements taken and data transfers are complete and accurate.
 - Calculations and statistical findings from approved software are complete, surate and appropriately reported.
 - . I relevant findings have been documented in the analytical notes and orking in the relevant.
 - The arrayst's conclusions fall within the scope of the discipline/category of testing.
 - The athropology working draft report is accurate and consistent with what is locumented in the analytical notes and supporting documentation and demonstrates at ficient scientific support for the results and/or conclusions.
- 4.1.2 Administrative Review: At a produmental administrative review shall evaluate the most current working a raft report, adjutical notes, and supporting documentation to determine if:
 - The working draft report is written a cleaned professional manner.
 - The working draft report and associate analytical containe complete.
 - Names and dates are accurate.
 - The working draft report conforms to the proper report formatting and requirements.
 - The case documents conform to ANN -003: Ann tical Notes, Documentation, and Reports.
 - References are correctly cited and all sources are included in the reference section.
- 4.1.3 Working Draft Reports: All working draft reports should be unsigned and clearly marked as drafts.

<u>Note:</u> Anthropology working drafts reports are not considered part of the case file and are not retained after completion of the peer review process.

4.1.4 Technical and Administrative Checklists: The reviewer(s) shall follow the technical and administrative checklists on the Peer Review Form to ensure that

NYC – OFFICE OF CHIEF MEDICAL EXAMINER	Page:	
Forensic Anthropology Unit	3 of 5	
Title: Peer Review	Control No.	Revision:
	ANTH-004	3
Approved by: Forensic Anthropology Director	Effective Date: 28	February 2020

the pertinent information listed in 4.1.1 and 4.1.2 is checked prior to completion of the peer review process.

- 4.1.5 Upon completion of the technical and administrative reviews, the reviewer shall so h and date the Peer Review Form and return the case folder to the case analyst. The peer reviewer is responsible for indicating if they concur with the findings. If the reviewer does not agree with the findings and a non-concurrence occurs see section .2.
- 4.1. port inaliz on: The case analyst shall send the case file and most current report to the Director or designee for approval. The report is version conside d finaliz the Director or designee signs the "Report Finalization" f the form and indicates recommended disposition of the section er Revie remains. On finalized, t case analyst shall print and sign two copies of the n over the completed case file to the Director or designee for case report and ti finalization e ANTH-003 Analytical Notes, Documentation and Reports, section 4.15).

4.1.6.1 The Director of designee to use finalization shall complete the Case File Summary form indicating which is cumericate present in the case folder.

<u>Note</u>: If additional documents are acheed to the case folder after case finalization, the analyst adding the document is respectible for updating the Case File Summary form.

5. Conflict Resolution

- Il bring any errors, 5.1 Referral: During the peer review process the peer lewer disagreements and/or suggested edits to the attention of case analyst verballv and/or in written communication. The analyst and peer in iewer(s) ss all ıl dis concerns and come to an agreed upon resolution. If an agreement nnot be re ched between the peer reviewer(s) and the analyst, the issue is referred another FA staff member for an independent evaluation.
 - 5.1.1 Reasons for Referral: Minor formatting issues, typographical errors, issues related to writing style are typically not grounds for referral. Typical disagreements leading to a referral may include, but are not limited to:
 - Methods, techniques, reasoning and/or conclusions are judged to be inaccurate, unsubstantiated, inappropriate or outside the standard of the applicable discipline.
 - The case work exhibits substantial non-compliance with the current SOPs or other approved procedures.

NYC – OFFICE OF CHIEF MEDICAL EXAMINER	Page:	
Forensic Anthropology Unit	4 of 5	
Title: Peer Review	Control No.	Revision:
	ANTH-004	3
Approved by: Forensic Anthropology Director	Effective Date: 28	February 2020

- Reported conclusions are not supported by the analytical notes and supporting documentation.
- Ethical concerns or conflicts of interest are evident.
- 5.2 υĨ **urrence**: If the peer reviewer(s) and case analyst are unable to resolve an issue is a non-concurrence, the matter shall be referred to an additional FAU analyst d the natter cannot be resolved internally then the case will be referred to the w. If th for Medic? zxa, iper (Chief) or Deputy Chief Medical Examiner (Deputy). The Chief Chie U review the case documentation and decide whether to let the analyst sign outv or gn the case to another analyst. The Chief or Deputy can consult the r re-a as i efore making their final decision. The external reviewer should be an external revi opologi a Forensic Antl chnical expertise in the field.
 - 5.2.1 A Non-Concerence Form shall be filled out explaining the issue(s) that cannot be resolved between the peer reviewer(s) and report author(s). The completed Non-Concurrence form and the ender case file including a current draft report shall be forwarded to additional reviewer(s).
- 6. Documentation: The current versions of the transferred Review and Nonconcurrence Forms can be found on the Anthropology network drive. The Perform Form shall be completed for all official anthropology reports. Once completed, the form shall be maintained with the hard copy case file, as well as scanned and saved to the electronic case file (see ANTH-001 Evidence Security and Management).

7.	Revision	History
----	----------	---------

REV.	DATE	SUMMARY OF CHANGES	
0	26 January 2018	New document.	
1	14 December 2018	Added the following statement to 4. Usually the achieved administrative reviews are completed by the serie analyst." Removed sections 4.2-4.2.3 and reworked section $4.1 - 4.1$, no make the procedures reflect that the technical administrative reviews are conducted by the same person (type W) Made a few minor editorial changes throughout the document.	
2	29 July 2019	Added Statement: "4.1.6.1 The Director or designee for case finalization shall complete the Case File Summary form indicating which documents are present in the case folder. <u>Note</u> : If additional documents are added to the case folder after case finalization, the analyst adding the document is responsible for updating the Case File Summary form."	
3	28 February 2020	Corrected revision #2 date from 26 July to 29 July. Made minor edits to document. Added "data transfers" to the	

NYC – OFFICE OF CHIEF MEDICAL EXAMINER	Page:	
Forensic Anthropology Unit5 of 5		f 5
Title: Peer Review	Control No.	Revision:
	ANTH-004	3
Approved by: Forensic Anthropology Director	Effective Date: 28 February 2020	
second bullet point of 4.1	1 to read as "Maa	surramanta talvan

	second bullet point of 4.1.1 to read as: "Measurements taken
	and data transfers are complete and accurate."

