NEW YORK CITY OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE TRIALS AND HEARINGS ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL BOARD

BOARD MEETING

VIA VIDEO CONFERENCING

December 17, 2020

9:36 A.M. - 10:08 A.M.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Shamonda Graham - Department of Buildings (DOB)

Joseph Gregory - New York City Fire Department (FDNY)

Joni Kletter, Esq. - Commissioner/Chief Administrative Law

Judge, OATH, Chair/Executive Director, OATH ECB

Elizabeth Knauer, Esq. - Appointed Member (Water)

Madelynn Liguori, Esq. - Department of Sanitation (DSNY)

Jorge Martinez, Esq. - Department of Health & Mental

Hygiene (DOHMH)

Russell Pecunies, Esq. - Department of Environmental

Russell Pecunies, Esq. - Department of Environmental Protection (DEP)

Tom Shpetner, Esq. - Appointed Member

Matthew Smith, Esq. - New York City Police Department (NYPD)

Douglas Swann - Appointed Member (Air)
Jarrod Whittington - Appointed Member (Noise)

ALSO PRESENT:

Rachel Amar - Special Assistant to Commissioner, OATH Erin Callahan - Department of Environmental Protection (DEP)

John Castelli - Deputy Commissioner for Legislative Affairs, OATH

Kelly Corso, Esq. - Assistant Commissioner for Hearings Division Adjudications, OATH

Marcella Eckels - Department of Environmental Protection (DEP)

Brian Gatens

Svetlana Iosilevich, Esq. - Executive Agency Counsel, Business Integrity Commission (BIC)

Timothy Jones, Esq. - Assistant General Counsel, OATH
Susan Kassapian, Esq. - Deputy Commissioner/Appeals, OATH
Richard J. LaPlant - Office of Management and Budget (OMB)
Nancy Lin - Office of Management and Budget (OMB)
Latisha Pender - Department of Transportation (DOT)
Craig Porges, Esq. - Deputy Ombudsperson and Deputy Pro Se
Clerk, OATH

Frances Shine - Secretary to the Board

Amy Slifka, Esq. - Deputy Commissioner/Hearings Division, OATH

Samuel Solomon, Esq. - Chief of Staff/Special Counsel,

Olga Statz, Esq. - Deputy General Counsel, OATH
Joy A. Thompson, Esq. - Assistant General Counsel, OATH
Jean Wesh, Esq. - Managing Attorney, Ombudsperson, OATH

1	December 17, 2020
2	(The board meeting commenced at 9:36
3	A.M.)
4	JONI KLETTER, ESQ., CHAIR, EXECUTIVE
5	DIRECTOR, OATH ECB, COMMISSIONER/CHIEF
6	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE, OATH: So I'm going to
7	call the meeting to order. I'm going to ask Joy
8	for a roll call to verify quorum.
9	JOY A. THOMPSON, ESQ., ASSISTANT GENERAL
10	COUNSEL, OATH: Of course. Good morning, all. I
11	hope you can hear me. I'm just going to read
12	everyone's name. Just please respond. Of
13	course, Commissioner Kletter.
14	MS. KLETTER: Here.
15	MS. THOMPSON: Shamonda Graham.
16	SHAMONDA GRAHAM, DEPARTMENT OF
17	BUILDINGS: I'm here.
18	MS. THOMPSON: Joseph Gregory.
19	JOSEPH GREGORY, NEW YORK CITY FIRE
20	DEPARTMENT: Present.
21	MS. THOMPSON: Elizabeth Knauer?
22	ELIZABETH KNAUER, ESQ., APPOINTED
23	MEMBER: I'm here.
24	MS. THOMPSON: Madelynn Liquori?

1	December 17, 2020
2	MADELYNN LIGUORI, ESQ., APPOINTED
3	MEMBER: Here.
4	MS. THOMPSON: Jor- Jorge Martinez?
5	JORGE MARTINEZ, ESQ., DEPARTMENT OF
6	HEALTH & MENTAL HYGIENE: Present. Good morning,
7	everyone.
8	MS. THOMPSON: Russ? Russell Pecunies?
9	We see you. Tom Shpetner? Thomas Shpetner?
10	TOM SHPETNER, ESQ., APPOINTED MEMBER:
11	Here.
12	MS. THOMPSON: Matthew Smith?
13	MATTHEW SMITH, ESQ., NEW YORK CITY
14	POLICE DEPARTMENT: Here.
15	MS. THOMPSON: Douglas Swann?
16	DOUGLAS SWANN, APPOINTED MEMBER: Here.
17	MS. THOMPSON: Jarrod Whittington?
18	JARROD WHITTINGTON, APPOINTED MEMBER:
19	Here.
20	MS. THOMPSON: We have 100 percent
21	attendance. All present.
22	MS. KLETTER: Excellent
23	MS. THOMPSON: Thank you.
24	MS. KLETTER: Thank you. Before we

2.3

December 17, 2020

start, I just want to take a minute to thank everybody for all the work that they've done during this pandemic. You know, we, we thought it would be a month or two. We're now 10 months in. And it's been, the work has been remarkable and extraordinary. You know, I've, I've listened in on most of the panel meetings and I'm always incredibly impressed by the attention and the scrutiny that you all give to each and every case. That's rea-, it really is extraordinary. So thank you all.

And thank you to the OATH staff for everything they've done. You know, at the Hearings Division, we've now done over 80,000 remote hearings since mid-March. I know we've also done thousands of appellate cases. I don't have the exact number, but it's, it's, it's in the thousands, so, I assume. Thank you all so much.

And I hope everybody is staying safe and healthy during this difficult time. So I just wanted to acknowledge all the work.

And I'm now going to ask for a motion to

1	December 17, 2020
2	adopt the minutes from the October 22, 2020
3	meeting.
4	MS. LIGUORI: Motion.
5	MS. THOMPSON: Yes.
6	MS. KLETTER: Thank you, Madelynn. Does
7	anyone have any corrections? I'm going to ask
8	Joy to call for a vote to approve the minutes as
9	presented.
10	MS. THOMPSON: Thank you, Commissioner.
11	I'm going to start with asking if anyone has any
12	objections to the approval of the minutes? If so
13	okay. Any abstentions? Thank you.
14	Commissioner, the motion carries. The minutes
15	are approved.
16	MS. KLETTER: Thank you. I'm now going
17	to ask Olga Statz to introduce OATH's proposed
18	final rule requiring identification for
19	registered representatives at our hearings.
20	OLGA STATZ, ESQ., GENERAL COUNSEL, OATH:
21	Good morning, everybody. We're this is at the
22	final stage of the introduction of this rule. It
23	has gone through the, the initial drafting stage,
24	then the first proposal stage where all of you

1 December 17, 2020 2 had an opportunity a few meetings ago to review in detail and to ask your questions, and it was 3 4 approved then. It had to go through another approval in 5 6 the Law Department. I'm sorry. It had to go 7 through another approval in the Law Department and it passed muster there. And now, at this 8 9 stage, we're just presenting it again, having 10 been approved by the Law Department and all other 11 agencies that had to take a look at it. We want 12 you to take, to just approve it so that we can 13 now publish this rule and it can become a, an official rule of OATH. 14 15 Should I take the, these things out or, 16 and just speak regularly? 17 RUSSELL PECUNIES, ESQ., DEPARTMENT OF 18 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION: It's Russ. 19 MS. KLETTER: Whoever's number ends in 20 08 should go on mute. Russ, is that you? 21 MR. PECUNIES: My, my, I finally got 22 through on the phone. 2.3 MS. KLETTER: Please put your phone on 24

Thank you.

mute.

1	Page I December 17, 2020
2	MR. PECUNIES: It should be okay now.
3	MS. STATZ: Okay. Thank you. I
4	basically said what I wanted to say about it.
5	We've reviewed it. It is a rule that will
6	protect litigants and also allow OATH to do
7	better to, to assist the DOI and the DA's Office
8	to detect and root out fraud. Thank you very
9	much.
10	MS. KLETTER: Okay. Does anyone have
11	any questions for Olga?
12	MR. SHPETNER: Yeah, this is hi.
13	This is Tom.
14	MS. STATZ: Hi.
15	MR. SHPETNER: I just have a real quick
16	question. Do we see a lot of people doing this
17	under our auspices? I mean, does this happen a
18	lot?
19	MS. STATZ: It used to happen more than
20	it does now. But, I mean, it's, it happens.
21	MR. SHPETNER: I mean, I just don't
22	remember ever really discussing it. It just
23	never it seems like a new thing. So I just
0.4	

was curious about like the, the context. I just

24

never --

MS

MS. STATZ: Well, I --

MR. SHPETNER: I mean, like any crazy person can impersonate anybody. But, like, I just didn't know that we needed to do a check down like this.

[CROSSTALK] [00:06:10]

MS. STATZ: Yeah, there, there was --

MR. SHPETNER: Yeah. I remember a few cases where there was like, maybe somebody wasn't duly authorized and appeared at a hearing, but that person had apparent authority, because they said they were an attorney or something like that. So that's -- I, I'm just not, I'm not in opposition. I'm pretty agnostic here. I just didn't understand the background.

MS. STATZ: Well, this, this came as a result of DO-, a DOI suggestion that was made to us because there was a case where a person had been impersonating a rep and it ended up going to the DA's Office and there were twe-, there were tens of thousands of dollars involved, in all types of fraud. And, as a result of that case,

2.3

the DOI looked at some of our processes and thought that some, that our making sure that we know exactly with whom we are dealing is going to be helpful to them. Because what happens is a person can put a, put in a form, sign their name and then say that wasn't me, I didn't sign that, and there's no way to, to link that person to the form.

By requiring that the reps show ID and for the Clerk's Department individuals to actually note that they received the ID, the person can't say that wasn't me. That, that avenue of escape is no longer available to that person. So that's why we have this.

MR. SHPETNER: I mean, even the most unhinged person can make an appearance in Federal District Court. Right? I mean, you know, so I just, it's just interesting to me. It sound, sounds like a good idea. I just didn't know, like, what the background was.

MS. STATZ: Yeah, that was the background.

MS. KNAUER: You know, just out of

Geneva Worldwide, Inc.
256 West 38th Street, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10018

2.3

December 17, 2020

curiosity, what benefit do people get by doing,
by impersonating a rep?

MS. STATZ: You know, I asked my-, I ask myself that question a lot as well because, at first, I came in with a logical mind. Why would you do something for someone and not get paid for it? Because if they don't know you're doing it, they're not going to pay you. But that's not the way it necessarily works.

It seems that there is some sort of, of a way where people do something for someone and say, lis-listen, I did this for you. I, I knocked down this fine, et cetera, et cetera. You owe me money. And some people have just fal, just fall for that. And it's not, that's not the only methodology of fraud. There, there are different. It, it gets, people get very creative. And so --

MS. KNAUER: I, I think I have heard in a prior meeting a discussion about people doing, you know, they'll, they'll go and represent somebody without authorization and then say I, I got this knocked down, where, really, they're

1 December 17, 2020 2 comparing the default penalty to the standard 3 penalty and they didn't get it knocked down at 4 all. 5 MS. STATZ: Exactly. MS. KNAUER: They just actually were 6 7 found in violation and were, --8 MS. STATZ: And got the standard 9 penalty. 10 MS. KNAUER: -- the standard penalty was 11 imposed. 12 MS. STATZ: Yes. Yes. 13 MR. MARTINEZ: Would you say they're 14 also targeting immigrants or folks who don't 15 speak English well? 16 MS. STATZ: No. This is, this is all, 17 an equal opportunity fraud. I mean, it's just, 18 it's people with small businesses, small, small 19 building owners. Anyone who is not, you know, SL 20 Green or, or people on that scale who know 21 exactly what the score is. This is anyone whose, 22 who doesn't have a constant intercourse with the 2.3 City, etcetera, etcetera, so they're, they feel 24 that they owe something to that person or that

December 17, 2020
the person is giving them some information that
wouldn't normally have had.
Any other questions? Thank you very
much.
MS. KLETTER: Thank you. I was going to
ask for a motion to approve the final rule, the
proposed final rule?
MS. LIGUORI: Motion.
MS. KLETTER: Thank you, Madelynn. Joy,
can you please call for a vote [unintelligible]
[00:09:57]?
MS. THOMPSON: Yes. Yes, we did not
have a poll today, so [unintelligible]
[00:10:03].
MS. KLETTER: So we're just answer
Joy, you're on mute. I don't know if you intend
to be.
MR. MARTINEZ: Yeah, I
MS. THOMPSON: No.
MR. MARTINEZ: I approve. I'm sorry,
I can't figure out the poll thing, but I approve.
MS. THOMPSON: That's okay. That was

1	December 17, 2020
2	the chat the question, do you approve of the
3	adoption of the final rule requiring registered
4	representatives to show ID? Now, I, I can ask
5	you to vote yes or no in the chat, and then I'll
6	take it from there. And I do have Mr. Martinez's
7	response, so thank you.
8	So far, I have both
9	MR. SWANN: This is Doug.
10	MS. THOMPSON: Doug? Mr. Swann, are you
11	also on the phone?
12	MR. SWANN: Yes. Yes, I approve.
13	MS. THOMPSON: Thank you so much, Mr.
14	Swann. I have a, I seem to have votes from
15	everyone. Tom, are you going to vote in the
16	chat?
17	MR. SHPETNER: I'm voting, I'm voting
18	yes. I didn't, I wouldn't understand if I was
19	putting it in the chat or not. But, yes, I
20	approve.
21	MS. KLETTER: Okay. Okay.
22	MS. THOMPSON: And it looks like, with
23	his vote, it looks like this is, passes
24	unanimously. Thank you, Commissioner.

2.3

MS. KLETTER: Okay, great. Thank you, everyone. I'm now going to have Kelly Corso introduce the pre-sealing reports.

KELLY CORSO, ESQ., ASSISTANT

COMMISSIONER FOR HEARINGS DIVISION ADJUDICATIONS,

OATH: Hello. Can everyone hear me?

MS. KLETTER: We can.

MS. STATZ: Yes. Yes.

MS. CORSO: Great. Hi. Kelly Corso,
Assistant Commissioner for Adjudications of the
Hearing Division. And we have just 15 presealing reports today. They're all backflow
cases. And in 14 of them, the hearing officers
agreed with DEP that there should be no further
action or sealing of the premises because the
respondents had demonstrated compliance during
the hearings.

And in the final one, the fifteenth one, they also agreed that there should be no further action, but that was based on the fact that the premises had been demolished, so no device was needed to be implemented at the premises anymore. And that's it.

1	Page I December 17, 2020
2	MS. KLETTER: Okay, thank you. Are
3	there any questions for Kelly?
4	MR. SHPETNER: No.
5	MS. KLETTER: Great.
6	MS. KNAUER: I, I'm sorry. Joy, I just
7	wanted to note that, in the chat, it looks like
8	Shamonda, who was not able to unmute her phone,
9	had a question about the last item.
10	MS. THOMPSON: Yes, I see that.
11	Commissioner and Peter, there was a Shamonda,
12	are you available? There was a question, but it
13	was after discussion, it was during the vote.
14	MS. KLETTER: Right. How, how these
15	cases will be handled remotely. And, yeah. And,
16	well, I think it's somewhat dependent on the
17	timing for when the rule, final rule is
18	implemented. Olga, do you want to
19	MS. STATZ: Yes. Yes, absolutely.
20	After it's published Joy, how, how long is it
21	going to take before it becomes final after we
22	publish it? It's going to take like 30 days?
23	MS. THOMPSON: Yes. We, as soon as, as

soon as we have approved it, the next step is to

24

December 17, 2020

2

p, I would, I estimate a publication date o

publish it. I expect, with the holidays coming

3

up, I would, I estimate a publication date of

4

December 30th. That means 30 days after. So by

5

at least January 1st -- I'm sorry, February 1st.

6

MS. STATZ: Thirtieth, mm-hmm.

7

MS. THOMPSON: February 1st, yeah,

8

January 30th or February 1st, this will go into

9

effect. It depends on how soon and, with the

10

holidays, when I can get it published. But at

11

least by, at the latest, February 1st it would be

MS. STATZ: And there's also something

12

in effect.

13

14

before this pandemic was ever an issue. There

else, Shamonda. This, this rule was conceived of

1516

was no, no question in our mind, back then, that

17

we would always have live hearings, and that's

18

the way OATH would run. So this, this particular

19

rule didn't really take into account the remote

20

aspect of it right now. Because, at this point,

21

it's, it's a live rule. It's, you show up,

2223

ID. And there, there is, of course we're going

you're going to a hearing and you have to show

24

to, now that we're in this new world, we're going

2.3

December 17, 2020

to have to, perhaps, think about ways to see if it's possible to do this remotely. But that hasn't, that ha-, really hasn't been the case. So far, we've just been ushering through this particular rule as conceived originally.

MS. KLETTER: But thank you for raising that, because I do think it's worth having us have a conversation in terms of what current or future rules might need to be amended in light of the ongoing remote hearings.

MS. STATZ: Yes.

MS. KLETTER: Okay.

MS. KNAUER: And Shamonda just made another, one more point in the chat, if, if everybody can see it, about adding in a disclaimer in the remote system that --

MS. STATZ: Something like that, something like that does exist. I think Amy can speak to it better. But in the, in the remote exchanges that I've seen, especially for the motions to vacate, there's, there's a portion of it -- or, actually, Peter could also speak to this. There's a portion of it where the person

1	December 17, 2020
2	submitting the application has to say that,
3	identify themselves and say that they have
4	authority to, to put in the motion. So something
5	like that does exist already and had, had existed
6	for an extended period of time.
7	But, I mean, people still do okay,
8	great. I got it, Shamonda. Thank you.
9	MS. KLETTER: Okay, thank you for
10	raising that.
11	MS. STATZ: Yes.
12	MS. KLETTER: Okay. So I just want to
13	confirm there are no questions for Kelly on the
14	pre-sealing reports now. Okay, great. Joy, can
15	you do the roll call?
16	MS. THOMPSON: Yes, I will. At this
17	point, I'm going to put the question in the chat,
18	but I'm going to ask simply, is there any
19	objections to our approving the pre-sealing, the
20	15 pre-sealing reports presented by Ms. Corso?
21	Okay. Seeing, hearing no seeing no objections
22	and hearing no objections, this motion carries,
23	Commissioner.
24	MS. KLETTER: Thank you so much. I'm

1	December 17, 2020
2	now going to ask Russ Pecunies to address DEP's
3	request for cease and desist orders.
4	PETER SCHULMAN, ESQ., ASSISTANT DIRECTOR
5	FOR APPEALS, OATH: Russ, is your phone muted?
6	MR. PECUNIES: Hello?
7	MR. SCHULMAN: Okay.
8	MR. PECUNIES: Hello?
9	MR. SCHULMAN: Yes.
10	MS. KLETTER: Yes, we can hear you. Go
11	ahead.
12	MR. PECUNIES: Okay. Everything,
13	everything just froze. I'm sorry. Hello?
14	MS. KLETTER: We can hear you. Go
15	ahead.
16	MR. PECUNIES: Oh, okay. Great. This -
17	- okay. I'm still getting it. Okay. Now, I, I
18	had to get off the Webex because it's telling me
19	
20	MS. KLETTER: Yeah, that's the best way
21	to do it.
22	[CROSSTALK] [00:18:40]
23	MR. PECUNIES: Because it's, it's
24	freezing and, and starting and stopping, and just

December 17, 2020

going kind of, kind of crazy. So I'm just on the phone.

Okay. This month, DEP is requesting that the Board issue a cease and desist order to My Mandarin, Incorporated, which is the owner of a restaurant located at 100-120 Brighton Beach Avenue in Brooklyn.

DEP inspected this location and, on
August 20th of last year, issued them a
Commissioner's Order requiring them to install
and maintain grease interceptors. When they
failed to comply with that order, DEP then issued
a series of summonses in November and December of
last year and in January, February and March of
this year. Then, from March to October, because
of the pandemic, there was no for- further
enforcement action taken.

In October, on October 6th, they were required to attend a mandatory compliance meeting with DEP and they failed to appear for that mandatory compliance meeting. Based on the business's failure to comply with the order issued in September of 2019 and their continuing

1	December 17, 2020
2	failure to comply in response to five summonses
3	and a notice to attend the mandatory compliance
4	meeting, the Department is requesting that the
5	Board issue an order to cease and desist.
6	MS. KLETTER: Thank you so much, Russ.
7	Are there any questions? I don't see anyone. So
8	I'm going to ask for a motion to, to approve this
9	cease and desist order. Thank you, Madelynn.
10	MS. LIGUORI: Motion.
11	MS. KLETTER: I'm going to call for a
12	vote. Joy?
13	MS. THOMPSON: Okay, thank you. I'm
14	going to now, I'm going to enter this in the
15	chat, but I'm going to start with asking whether
16	there are any objections to the approval of the
17	DEP's request for a cea- ci excuse me
18	cease and desist order in this matter. And I see
19	that there has been approval from everyone. No
20	objections. Commissioner, the motion does carry.
21	MS. KLETTER: Thank you so much. I'm
22	now going to ask for a motion to go into
23	Executive Session.
24	MR. SCHULMAN: I'm sorry. Before we go,

1	December 17, 2020
2	there were two people who called in after we
3	started. I just wanted to see if we can identify
4	who they are. The 3472 number ending in 29,
5	number ending in 29.
6	MR. SWANN: Douglas Swann.
7	MR. SCHULMAN: Okay, thank you. And
8	number ending in 59.
9	FRANCES SHINE, SECRETARY TO THE BOARD:
10	Frances Shine.
11	MR. SCHULMAN: Okay, thank you very
12	much.
13	MS. KLETTER: Great. I'm going to ask
14	for a motion to go into Executive Discu- Session
15	to discuss new decisions in the cases that are in
16	the judicial report. So everyone other than OATH
17	ECB staff and the Board should leave the room.
18	But I don't know if there Peter, do you have
19	that under control?
20	MR. SCHULMAN: Hopefully. We'll see
21	who's left once people leave.
22	MS. KLETTER: Okay.
23	MR. SCHULMAN: Let's see.
24	MS. KLETTER: Are we all set?

2.3

MR. SCHULMAN: Okay. Yes, it looks like it. I'm going to pause the recording.

[OFF MIC CONVERSATION]

[OFF THE RECORD]

[ON THE RECORD]

MS. KLETTER: Okay. Are we back in Public Session? Peter? No? Alright. I just want to open up the floor to see if anyone has additional questions. Alright. So, for Board dates for the next meeting, we're looking at February 11th or 18th. Does anyone want to advocate for one date over the other? I know that's kind of far off at this point. So we'll put a hold on February 11th for now, Joy.

MS. THOMPSON: Thank you. I got, for the next date, it will be February 11th. Thank you, Commissioner.

MS. KLETTER: Thank you. And thank you again. Just, I want to reiterate what I said at the beginning of the meeting, if you didn't hear me, for just the remarkable work everyone has done this past year and through the pandemic. It's, it's really incredible. And I appreciate

1	December 17, 2020
2	everybody's work and I hope you all have a
3	wonderful holiday. And stay safe.
4	[CROSSTALK] [00:25:41]
5	MS. KLETTER: Thank you.
6	MS. KNAUER: I'm sorry.
7	MS. THOMPSON: Elizabeth?
8	MS. KNAUER: Sorry, sorry to interrupt.
9	I maybe this is obsolete, but I have an Appeal
10	Panel down for February 11th.
11	MR. SCHULMAN: We can move, we can move
12	that, if we
13	MS. KNAUER: Oh, okay. Okay.
14	MR. SCHULMAN: If it yeah, we'll move
15	that so that it doesn't conflict with the Board
16	meeting.
17	MS. KNAUER: Okay. I'm sorry to
18	interrupt.
19	MS. KLETTER: Sorry. I mean, we can
20	also put the Board meeting at February 18th. So
21	is there a preference?
22	MR. SHPETNER: Yeah, if the, if the
23	Appellate Panel is already in place, why mess
24	with it?

1	December 17, 2020
2	MS. KLETTER: Yeah. So well move it,
3	Joy, let's move it to February 18th. Thank you.
4	MR. SHPETNER: I mean, can, can
5	[unintelligible] [00:26:21] probably going to be
6	stuck here at home. You know, I don't know if
7	this one is astrologically superior or some other
8	reason. I don't know.
9	MS. KNAUER: I mean, the February 18th
10	one would be during the school holidays, if that
11	makes a difference to people. But
12	MS. KLETTER: Probably not.
13	MR. SHPETNER: That's pretty irrelevant.
14	[CROSSTALK] [00:26:42]
15	MS. KNAUER: No, no ski vacations this
16	year, so right?
17	MS. KLETTER: Nope. Nope.
18	MR. SHPETNER: [Unintelligible]
19	[00:26:49] can't so no. No.
20	MS. KLETTER: Well, yeah, please stay
21	safe everyone. I'm going to ask for a motion to
22	adjourn. Thank you.
23	MS. LIGUORI: Motion.
24	MS. KLETTER: Thank you. Thank you,

1	December 17, 2020			
2	Madelynn. And we'll adjourn the meeting. Thank			
3	you, everybody.			
4	MS. STATZ: Thank you, Commissioner.			
5	ALL: Thank you.			
6	MS. THOMPSON: Thank you. Be safe and			
7	well. Bye.			
8	MS. STATZ: Happy holidays, everybody.			
9	MS. THOMPSON: Happy holidays.			
10	(The board meeting concluded at 10:08			
11	a.m.)			
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				

1	December 17, 2020	Page	30
2			

Environmental Control Board, 12/17/2020 CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY

I, Ryan Manaloto, certify that the foregoing transcript of Board Meeting of the Environmental Control Board on December 17, 2020, was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Certified By

Philot

Date: January 11, 2021

GENEVAWORLDWIDE, INC

256 West 38th Street - 10th Floor New York, NY 10018