NEW YORK CITY

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE TRIALS AND HEARINGS ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL BOARD

BOARD MEETING

Office of Administrative Trials and Hearings

OATH Multi-Purpose Training Room

100 Church Street, 12th Floor, New York, New York

June 12, 2025

09:35 AM to 11:25 AM

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Asim Rehman, Esq. - Chairperson; Commissioner & Chief Administrative Law Judge (OATH)

Shamonda Graham - Department of Buildings (DOB)

Jose Marquez, Esq. - New York City Fire Department (FDNY)

Elizabeth Knauer, Esq. - Appointed Member (Water)

Russell Pecunies, Esq. - Department of Environmental Protection (DEP)

Matthew Schneid, Esq. - Appointed Member (Real Estate)
Matthew Smith, Esq. - New York City Police Department
(NYPD)

Lisa Urban, Esq. - Appointed Member (General) Jarrod Whittington - Appointed Member (Noise)

ALSO PRESENT:

Rachel Amar - Senior Advisor to the Commissioner (OATH)
Kelly Corso, Esq. - Deputy Commissioner, Hearings Division
(OATH)

Leon Dawson, Esq. - Assistant General Counsel (OATH)

Diana Haines, Esq. - Deputy General Counsel (OATH)

Madeline Halimi, Esq. - Parliamentarian; Assistant General Counsel (OATH)

Kailey Homoky - Summer Intern (DEP)

Isabella Husein - Summer Intern (OATH)

Diamond McAllister - Summer Intern (DEP)

Karin McAvoy - Administrative Coordinator (OATH)

Michelle Musheyev - Summer Intern (OATH)

Raphael Nahon - Summer Intern (DEP)

Annika Naramreddy - Summer Intern (DEP)

Cindy Nesbit, Esq. - Assistant General Counsel (OATH)

Frank Ng, Esg. - General Counsel (OATH)

Laura Quaigraine-Kamaru, Esq. - Assistant General Counsel (OATH)

Jiyeon Roh - Summer Intern (OATH)

Harley Ruscio - Summer Intern (DEP)

1	(The board meeting commenced at 09:35 a.m.)
2	COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Good morning,
3	everyone. I am Commissioner Asim Rehman, and I'm calling
4	the June 12th, 2025, meeting of the Environmental Control
5	Board to order. It's good to see everyone. I'd like to ask
6	our parliamentarian to conduct a roll call to verify
7	quorum.
8	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL MADELINE HALIMI: Good
9	morning. Commissioner Asim Rehman?
10	COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Present.
11	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Shamonda
12	Graham?
13	MS. SHAMONDA GRAHAM: Present:
14	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Jose Marquez?
15	MR. JOSE MARQUEZ: Present.
16	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Elizabeth
17	Knauer?
18	MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: Present.
19	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Madelynn
20	Liguori? Russell Pecunies? Harminderpal Rana?
21	MR. HARMINDERPAL RANA: Present.
22	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Matthew
23	Schneid?
24	MR. MATTHEW SCHNEID: Present.
25	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Matthew Smith?

2 ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Douglas Swann? 3 Lisa Urban? MS. LISA URBAN: Present. 4 5 ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Jarrod 6 Whittington? MR. JARROD WHITTINGTON: Present. ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: We have a 9 quorum, 9 out of 12. 10 COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: All right. We'll first 11 move on to the minutes of the April 3rd, 2025, board meeting. Hopefully, everyone's had a chance to review 12 13 those. I'd like to ask for a motion and a second to adopt 14 the minutes. The motion from Shamonda, a second from 15 Matthew. [crosstalk]. 16 ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Are there any 17 objections to the motion adopting the minutes of the April 18 3rd, 2025, ECB meeting? Seeing none and hearing none, the 19 motion is approved unanimously. 20 COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Next, we have a few 21 items on the agenda that involve DEP. Russ is not with us 22 yet. Hopefully, he'll be here soon. What we can do is move 23 into executive session to cover the business there. I'd 24 like to ask for a motion and a second to go into executive 25 session. I see a motion from Matt and a second from

MR. MATTHEW SMITH: Present.

1

1 Shamonda, so we're going to go into executive session. For the folks who are non-public, we will return when the 2 executive session -- For the folks who are in the public audience [audio cut]. We are returning to a public session after our 5 executive session. We've been joined by Russ Pecunies from 6 DEP. The next order of business will be to discuss a full board appeal, which is DOB v. City Wide Builders Group Incorporated, appeal number 2500093. There is a decision 9 10 under consideration to dismiss the summons, and we can now 11 ask for a motion to vote on the dismissal. We have a 12 motion. I see a motion from Matthew and a second from 13 Matthew. 14 ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Are there any objections to the motion to call for a roll call vote? 15 16 Seeing none and hearing none, the motion is approved 17 unanimously. 18 COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Let's move to a vote 19 for the dismissal in DOB v. City Wide Builders Group 20 Incorporated, appeal number 2500093. 21 ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Commissioner

ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Commissioner Asim Rehman?

COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Dismiss.

22

23

24

25

ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Shamonda Graham.

1	MS. SHAMONDA GRAHAM: Abstain.
2	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Jose Marquez.
3	MR. JOSE MARQUEZ: Dismiss.
4	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Elizabeth
5	Knauer.
6	MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: Dismiss.
7	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Madelynn
8	Liguori isn't here.
9	
10	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Russell
11	Pecunies.
12	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Dismiss.
13	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Harminderpal
14	Rana.
15	MR. HARMINDERPAL RANA: Abstain.
16	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Matthew
17	Schneid.
18	MR. MATTHEW SCHNEID: I dismiss.
19	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Matthew Smith.
20	MR. MATTHEW SMITH: I dismiss.
21	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Douglas Swann
22	is not here. Lisa Urban.
23	MS. LISA URBAN: Abstain.
24	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Jarrod

Whittington.

MR. JARROD WHITTINGTON: Dismiss.

ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Okay. One, two, three, four, five, six, seven for dismiss, and one, two, three abstentions. The dismissal passes.

COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Okay. Thank you very much, everyone. All right. We will now move to items in the agenda that are being led by DEP. We'll start with the request for cease and desist orders. Russell?

MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: All right. Thank you.

Russell Pecunies with DEP Legal. For this meeting, DEP has five requests for cease and desist orders. We can start, unless there's any particular order that you want to do them in. We can start with the one for Bridgeview 3

Housing Corp. This is an apartment building located at 8-N 27th Avenue in Queens. It has two federal boilers with Gordon-Piatt burners. That equipment has had its air code permits expired since 2011.

They have been issued a series of summonses. The first summons for fuel-burning equipment without a valid certificate of operation was issued in July of 2021. They defaulted on that violation, but did pay the default penalty. They were then issued two summonses last year in January and in September and were found in violation after hearing on both of those. A fourth summons was issued last month. At this point, DEP does not feel like it's gaining

1	anything to continue to issue summonses. We are requesting
2	that the board issue an order to cease and desist.
3	COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Thanks. Just a
4	question, Russ. I'm looking and
5	[00: 06: 24] seeing a default paid in full and
6	then twice in 2024, two violations, were those after
7	hearings?
8	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Yes, the violation means
9	after hearing.
10	COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Got it. Okay. They had
11	a full opportunity to present their case.
12	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Yes. They've been aware of
13	all three of the previous.
14	COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: No question by me.
15	Elizabeth?
16	MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: I was just wondering if
17	you had an understanding of why the permit wasn't renewed.
18	It looked like this was natural gas or number two
19	equipment, so.
20	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: This is not one of those
21	number four oil issues. This is a valid permit to use
22	number two and natural gas. They have just not renewed it.
23	It's just operating without a current permit.
24	MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: Okay.
25	MS. SHAMONDA GRAHAM: It says here there's a

hearing on 6-18-25. I know you're also asking the board to approve this request. How does that square? Is that hearing going to be-- because it's after we approve this request, isn't there a special hearing?

MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Yes, there would be a

MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Yes, there would be a special hearing scheduled separately, which would be not—I mean, 6-18 is next week. [crosstalk]. The special hearing will be after the 6-18.

MS. SHAMONDA GRAHAM: Okay.

COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Another question?

MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Okay. All right.

COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: What I'd like to propose is, Russ, you go through all of these. If individuals have any concerns or objections that they think might cause them to vote against one of these, raise those as these come up individually.

MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Okay. We may then want to go to the second. Okay. Because the second one is quite an unusual one. This pertains to 40 Arden Street in Manhattan, which is way up there in Inwood. We had been receiving complaints last year, quite persistently, about noise from roosters crowing.

MR. HARMINDERPAL RANA: How many people would think we would have that in New York City? Anyway, my aside.

MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Inspections in July and October of last year resulted in summonses being issued to the building owner. This is an apartment building. They had hearing dates scheduled in October and January. They defaulted on both of those summonses. In response, so then this year, on April 1st, there was an article which is attached at the end of your package, in the New York Post, as well as several reports on local news Channel 2, 4, 5, and 7, I think all had stories on this.

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

MR. HARMINDERPAL RANA: April Fool's Day, I'll just add. I'm sorry. Another gratuitous aside.

MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: In response to the media coverage, we went back— well, in response to the media coverage, I was asked to prepare this as a request for a cease and desist order for this board meeting. Then, about two or three weeks ago, in response to complaints, we went back and found the chickens, these Indonesian black chickens, apparently very rare and a great delicacy, had disappeared. The chickens had disappeared. I'm not sure about the parrot or the turtle, but the chickens had disappeared.

I then went to the Bureau and said, "Do you want me to pull the request for a cease and desist order?" They said present it anyway because, number one, and this was confirmed earlier this week, the only thing that has

disappeared is the chickens. Everything else that in the photographs in the New York Post story, the cages that they were keeping them in, all the rest of it, is still there as of this week. Only the birds have disappeared.

My instructions were to still present it to the board first because restoring the condition would be as simple as just bringing the birds back and putting them back. This is in a courtyard of this building. The second thing is, it was discussed amongst us that the Health Department and the Police Department, both of whom may have the authority, which we would not have, to go in and clear this out, both sit on the board.

My instructions were, as a result of those two things, to present this to the board even though the chickens are gone.

COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: To be clear, you're asking for a cease and desist order. order with respect to violations of the noise code?

MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: To the noise code that would-- for compliance would require the courtyard to be cleared, since that-- normally a cease and desist order for noise is based on the equipment making the noise. Here, the equipment making the noise is birds. We can't seal them unless we were going to wrap them around their beaks or something. We cannot seal the equipment. The idea

1 would be to render this impossible to happen again by 2 means of requiring them to remove the infrastructure that this guy has in the courtyard that allows him to keep the chickens there. Recognizing that this is an extremely 5 unusual and outside-the-box request, I was still directed 6 to make it. COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: One more question. Sorry, Elizabeth. There's reference to different birds. 9 When you say the chickens are gone, are there any animals 10 remaining who are making noise? 11 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: The two summons is both 12 specifically referenced roosters. According to the New 13 York Post, there is also a parrot. I don't know that any of the violations were connected to the parrot. 14 15 COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: In your request for a 16 cease and desist order, are there continuing offending 17 conditions? 18 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: As of the inspection that 19 we did the other day, the information that I was given was 20 specifically that the chickens are gone. MS. LISA URBAN: The rooster is still there? Are 21 22 the roosters still there? 23 COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: All the fowl. 24 MS. LISA URBAN: All the fowl. [crosstalk] 25 COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Elizabeth?

MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: A few things. My 1 2 understanding was that roosters are not permitted in New York. 3 MR. HARMINDERPAL RANA: That is correct. They are 5 specifically prohibited by the health code. 6 MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: Right. I think it has a relationship to noise, the purpose of that being illegal. I guess in terms of removing the infrastructure, I don't 9 know if they only had roosters there. That seems unlikely. 10 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: No, they have roosters and 11 chickens. They have a flock of chickens. 12 MR. HARMINDERPAL RANA: You're allowed to have 13 chickens. 14 MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: Right. The chickens, the 15 hens, are not making the noise. Removing the 16 infrastructure would also be taking away the home of the 17 permitted and non-noisy fowl. I guess that's one issue. 18 Then the other, so the other one is sort of like, is there 19 like a live violation now if they're gone? 20 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: The offending flock having 21 been removed, if it stays removed, then it's fine. 22 MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: No, but if we issue this--23 I guess I'm just wondering how this would play out. If we 24 issue this cease and desist order, and the inspectors go 25 back, and I guess there's a hearing first, right? They'd

have an opportunity to present their case. I guess they could come in and say we found new homes for them in the country, and we only have none.

MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: There'd be nothing for us to seal. Our only authority is to seal equipment. It's not to remove anything. That was, again, why it was suggested that, because there were agencies on the board that may have the authority to require them to move, remove something, which we don't, that we should present this anyway.

COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Yes. Not much to cease.

MS. LISA URBAN: I remember at our— I think it was at our last board meeting, we had a whole discussion about cease and desist orders when, I think, it was an air conditioning, an HVAC unit. [crosstalk]. There was a whole discussion about the fact that the HVAC wasn't running at the time that we were asking for the HVAC, the cease and desist. We said, well, it doesn't matter because the cease and— we all, but I think there was a discussion and ultimately the determination that it didn't matter. We could still issue the cease and desist order.

If I'm not wrong about what the ultimate result was, which I think is what it was. I think that the precedent that we set was, you could, based on that

discussion last time, the equipment's still there or the roosters, make the assumption that he hasn't really complied, even though he's taken the roosters somewhere else, perhaps, or put them somewhere else.

MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: A little different. The air conditioner made the noise versus this person's hearing the roosters.

MS. LISA URBAN: The roosters you can move quickly, as opposed to an air conditioning unit. It's still the same theory on a cease and desist that you're asking someone to cease and desist something that was not going on at the time that we issued our determination on it.

MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: The only time in the past that we have asked the board to issue a cease and desist order for violation of this section for excessive noise from animals related to a veterinarian's office where they had a separate building that they boarded animals and dogs. The complaints were about dog barking. at all hours of the day and night, from the part of the veterinarians that they were using to board dogs.

The board did approve that request. On the theory, I think that that was a business that the part of the business that could be closed if they didn't comply with it. That's not really very analogous to this, but

it's the only other time when we've asked for a cease and desist order about noise from live animals.

MS. LISA URBAN: Now, my issue is not so much the type of violations. Obviously, I know roosters are very noisy. My issue is that we've said in the past, at least for the short time I've been on the board, that you can issue a cease and desist order, even though the violation is not occurring.

MR. MATTHEW SMITH: Not occurring at the time. I think the cease and desist order is good for notice that if you bring your birds back and they make noise, we're going to give you a noise violation that has nothing to do with go knock your hen house down. I think that's a separate issue that's more in your backyard, which is we have to issue [crosstalk].

MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: I don't know if there's anything about this the way it is now. I have been there myself. I've seen the photos and the post article that would constitute a violation that would allow either the Health Department or the Police Department to do anything about this.

MR. MATTHEW SMITH: I think if we narrowly construe this to just have to do with the noise and not have to do with have a structure that can't be here or you have animals that can't be here. I think it's notice that

1 you can't have the noise. 2 COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Matthew and then 3 Shamonda. MR. MATTHEW SCHNEID: I agree with the other 4 5 Matthew. It's like, first of all, if there's a rooster, the police should be called, right? That's an illegal pet. 6 It's not really. MR. HARMINDERPAL RANA: Yes, we could be 9 notified. To my knowledge, we haven't been notified, so. 10 MR. MATTHEW SCHNEID: [crosstalk] cease and 11 desist doesn't say you have to do down the structure, 12 right? Because if that's a legal structure, I don't know 13 if it is, but assuming it is, it doesn't say you'd have to 14 remove the offending animal, I guess. 15 MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: I think what Russ is 16 saying is that if we issue a cease and desist order, the 17 remedy that if they don't show compliance at a hearing, 18 the remedy that DEP could do is removing the "physical 19 equipment." 20 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: That's right. 21 MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: [crosstalk] is I don't 22 think that's appropriate because that equipment is not 23 making the noise, and it also houses other animals, which 24 are not the offender. 25 MR. MATTHEW SCHNEID: That's what actually my

whole entire question here. What are we doing here? Are we saying you have to remove the pet, which is, I guess, fine, or you have to take down this entire apparatus, which I'm assuming is legal, but it may not be. For the purpose of this conversation, I'm assuming it's legal. That's not the right outcome. At the hearing, you determine what the outcome will be. That you either remove the patent or do X, Y, and Z.

2.1

COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Well, there's also-I'm sorry, Shamonda, you had your hand up.

MS. SHAMONDA GRAHAM: Go ahead.

COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: There's the request for order, cease and desist, start a process, and the next step in the process is a hearing. If the EP's only remedy is to seal the equipment, then there's a scenario under which a hearing officer could say yes, or the hearing officer could say no. If there's evidence presented that this is not happening, it's not going to happen again, or the only animals that are going to be there are chickens or pigeons or whatnot, and not roosters.

In which case, there wouldn't be a sealing, in which case it would still allow for the respondent to engage in other lawful activity involving animals. I don't think it's excessive punishment if respondent is able to demonstrate that they will use that structure lawfully. I

2 MS. SHAMONDA GRAHAM: My question is, the previous summonses were issued to the owner? Correct? 3 COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: To the building. 4 5 MS. SHAMONDA GRAHAM: To the property. The 6 building owner. MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: This is the LLC that owns the apartment building. Because this is in the courtyard 9 of the apartment [unintelligible 00: 22: 31]. 10 MS. SHAMONDA GRAHAM: They've defaulted. 11 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: They defaulted on the two 12 summons. Yes. 13 MS. SHAMONDA GRAHAM: My question is, does DEP 14 have the authority? This all seems to be stemming from a 15 tenant. If taken for true what the article is saying that 16 there are other complaints, wrote into legal encampments, 17 unsanitary conditions, then isn't it possible that we have 18 a business entity that's a landlord that is really being 19 unresponsive to violating conditions that are at their 20 property? Even for something to get this bad, if you own a 21 property, think of this as a building, there are other 22 tenants. I don't see an active landlord allowing a zoo to be placed in the courtyard, knowing that they have these 23 24 other tenants and roosters. 25 My fear is that the landlord is going to be just

think, but I'm not sure. Shamonda and then Lisa.

1

2 not going to get compliance. I'm wondering if DEP has the authority, like DOB, where you can determine that the tenant, the responsible party. Now, don't get me wrong. 5 Look, I really don't want the tenant to be in here, stuck 6 with a vial, but the tenant is the person doing the act. Maybe you will get movement if the respondent was actually the tenant opposed to the landlord. As long as it's the 9 landlord. 10 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Well, he declines to give 11 his name to the reporter from the post. As far as I know, 12 he has also declined to give his name to our inspectors. 13 MS. SHAMONDA GRAHAM: The tenant, you mean? 14 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: The guy in the photos 15 holding the chicken and the one [crosstalk] 16 COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Lisa? 17 MS. LISA URBAN: Two things. One is, we don't 18 know if the landlord started an eviction proceeding 19 against the tenant. It's very possible they might have 20 started. 21 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: We don't know that, but we 22 do know that somebody has filed some kind of a lawsuit 23 because it's mentioned in the article. 24 MS. LISA URBAN: Yes. It's possible that because 25 that's really the landlord's remedy is that they can't

as unresponsive as they have been in the past, and that's

1

1	move this stuff without getting an order, although it is
2	in the public area. Maybe they can. The other thing is,
3	looking at the items there, it's not really a structure.
4	They're basically dog crates. If they're unsanitary, I
5	would think that DEP could come in and[unintelligible
6	00: 24: 57]
7	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: That'd be helpful.
8	MS. LISA URBAN: Yes, Department of Health,
9	actually, I guess it would come in. The last thing I'm
10	going to say on the topic is that, I don't think they're
11	pets. He says in the article, the meat is good, so maybe
12	he eats them. Also, he's using the eggs. He's got 24
13	chickens there.
14	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: He is selling the eggs for
15	\$25 a dozen [inaudible 00: 25: 21].
16	MS. SHAMONDA GRAHAM: I'll also add that DOB has
17	some open violations for 10 roosters. They were issued to-
18	- I'd like to say that Well, the violation says,
19	"Failure to maintain observed approximately 10 roosters
20	being stored in the courtyard at exposure number one,
21	repair and replace, and remove your roosters."
22	COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: This is the courtyard for that
23	address? [crosstalk]
24	MR. HARMINDERPAL RANA: Against the landlord,
25	owner of the property.

MS. SHAMONDA GRAHAM: I was just about to say against the owner of the property. The way you comply with DOB violations are, is to certify correction, which is to prove that everything has ceased and they're gone. DOB would run into the same issues that you would have, that, first of all, the cages are not illegal unless they're blocking egress or something like that. We may be able to go another route, but if they wanted to prove compliance and they showed us photos that there's no more roosters, my team would actually have to accept that.

I really think, as a city, the Health Department collaboration is what really needs to happen here in order to address the roosters themselves. Then even if we go forward with the cease and desist just to address noise overall, I think both things should happen. I really do.

COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: There's a lot of options, it seems, this city has. There's a number of enforcement agencies around the table here whose individual agencies can discuss if they want to take additional enforcement. The question in front of us is, should we approve a cease and desist order request for DEP that would take the building owner to a hearing on why this equipment should not be sealed at their at their property?

While we're all brainstorming, generally, I want

1	to kind of refocus that to that question of, is this
2	something that should be approved? Maybe the answer's yes
3	because of the potential, because of the evidence so far
4	and the potential ongoing noise, or maybe the answer's no
5	because the last inspection shows that there's been no
6	noise, or maybe there's other reasons where one might vote
7	against it. Is it effective going to the landlord? I want
8	to kind of bring us back to that and see what people think
9	as to the cease and desist order request.
10	MS. LISA URBAN: Let me just say one more thing.
11	In terms of the landlord, landlord can't just remove this
12	guy's personal property without an eviction order, or some
13	other order from [crosstalk]
14	MR. HARMINDERPAL RANA: Even in a common area.
15	MS. LISA URBAN: Yes. It's somebody's property.
16	He can't just go he could [crosstalk]
17	MR. HARMINDERPAL RANA: Isn't that a violation?
18	Forgive me, my lack of real estate and property law. If
19	I'm a tenant, I'm not allowed to just put my stuff in a
20	common area. Isn't that a
21	violation of the tenant?
22	MS. LISA URBAN: It's not.
23	COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: We don't know what the
24	arrangement is.
25	MS. LISA URBAN: Well, it depends.

1	MR. MATTHEW SCHNEID: Just general. [crosstalk]
2	For all we know, two landlords ago said you can do this,
3	and he has some writing, and they're fighting [crosstalk]
4	MS. LISA URBAN: More exclusive access. I would
5	[crosstalk]
6	MR. MATTHEW SCHNEID: I assume if there's all
7	these violations, my gut reaction's telling me the
8	landlord does not want the animals there.
9	MS. LISA URBAN: I'm sure they start in there.
10	MR. MATTHEW SCHNEID: I'm sure every neighbor is
11	really, really annoyed. I would be upset if a rooster is
12	crowing up at 5: 00 AM.
13	COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: There could be a third
14	option. We can vote to approve the cease and desist order.
15	We can vote against the request for a cease and desist
16	order, or we can ask DEP to represent it at the next
17	meeting. Who knows what might transpire between now and
18	then? That's proverbial to kicking the can down the road.
19	I just want to put that out there as [crosstalk]
20	MR. JARROD WHITTINGTON: My only question, if we did
21	issue the cease and desist order when you seal the
22	equipment, is that the only option is to take the cages
23	out? Just remove everything?
24	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Our inspectors would not
25	even have the authority to take the cages out. They could

1 probably wrap some sealing tape around them so that you 2 wouldn't be able to use the cages without breaking the 3 sealing tape. Our inspectors have no authority to remove anything. 4 5 MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: If the inspector arrived 6 with the cease and desist order operable and the chickens were there, then what happens? MS. LISA URBAN: [inaudible 00: 29: 53] 9 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Our authority under the 10 cease and desist order would be if they showed up for the 11 hearing, normally, the recommendation that comes to the 12 board is that they be allowed to continue using the 13 equipment, subject to inspection for six months. If we 14 find the violation within the next six months, we can seal 15 the equipment. If they default on the hearing, then we are 16 authorized as soon as we get the official confirmation 17 from oath of the default, we can go seal the equipment. 18 What we would be able to seal here would be, in effect, 19 cages, which are currently empty. 20 MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: If you showed up and the 21 cages were not empty, then what would happen? 22 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: I guess then it would 23 become an issue of what was in the cages. 24 MR. JARROD WHITTINGTON: If the roosters are there and 25 they're making noise, what do you do?

1	[laughter]
2	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: That again, [crosstalk].
3	Can we seal the cages with the roosters in them? I don't
4	think we can because you can't do that.
5	MR. HARMINDERAL RANA: You can call Animal Care ar Control.
6	MS. LISA URBAN: [crosstalk] When you would do an
7	eviction proceeding. If there's an animal in an apartment,
8	when you go with your legal document to evict a tenant,
9	you have to call animal control. I would think it would be
10	the same theory. [crosstalk]
11	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: I would think if we went
12	and found chickens again, that what we would probably do
13	is call Health Department.
14	MR. HARMINDERAL RANA: Animal Care and Control.
15	MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: Animal Care and Control.
16	MS. LISA URBAN: You would call Animal Care and
17	Control.
18	MR. HARMINDERAL RANA: Care and Control. I think so.
19	I can't speak for [crosstalk]
20	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: We would have the
21	authority [crosstalk]
22	[laughter]
23	[crosstalk]
24	COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: There's a question

1 something I think attractive to putting someone on notice 2 that you have to come and here's a cease and desist order. If the tools on the backend are so limited, and maybe not 3 the right tools. The right tools are to get rid of the 5 animals, but that's not something DEP can do. Then I'm struggling with if this is the right path to take. 6 MS. LISA URBAN: That starts the process. Doesn't it start the process? 9 COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: It starts the process, 10 but a process that has an odd end to it, whereas if it was 11 brought by other agencies, maybe it would line up better. 12 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: If they never bring the 13 chickens, the roosters specifically, the roosters back, apparently this is a dead issue as far as we're concerned 14 15 because it was the roosters that were making the noise, 16 and this is a noise issue. Whether there are other issues 17 around what this guy is doing in this space, it would no 18 longer be a noise issue. As long as there are no roosters. 19 COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Correct. If we proceed 20 with the cease and desist order and they default, then 21 you've got an interesting situation. 22 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Then we have a situation 23 where we really couldn't do anything to enforce that.. 24 MS. SHAMONDA GRAHAM: I'm so sorry. If you went 25 and the cages were, and I have to ask this question, and

1 the cages were there and the roosters were outside of the 2 cages, then what? The reason why I'm bringing that up is because sealing the cages does not prevent animals from 3 being there when you have a person who's taken over your 4 5 entire courtyard. MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: It also doesn't prevent 6 7 them from going to Petco and buying more door cages. MS. SHAMONDA GRAHAM: Yes, that's true as well. 9 MR. MATTHEW SMITH: [crosstalk] like the 10 cages aren't the noise-making equipment. 11 MS. SHAMONDA GRAHAM: Yes. 12 MR. MATTHEW SMITH: Honestly, it's not a DEP 13 issue. 14 MS. SHAMONDA GRAHAM: I would have said it's not 15 jurisdictional. 16 COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: It's a DEP issue from the 17 noise standpoint, but the solution, the back-end solution, 18 is not a DEP back-end solution. 19 MR. MATTHEW SCHNEID: I think it's fair, though, 20 we're bringing this to a head, and that's important. I 21 think if you live in this building, there's roosters 22 there. It's like a very unpleasant way to live your life, 23 I would think. It would be unpleasant to me, I quess I'd 24 say, as a normal citizen. This is the tool we have, and I 25 would encourage the other departments who are here to look

into it on their time.

I think it's fair for us to say we'll bring this matter to a head. They'll be hearing and they'll have an opportunity, which they should have, to explain why they're not currently making noise, what they're going to do to prevent the noise, and if the noise issue stops, then great, congratulations. Then the Department of Health or whoever can continue looking into it. I would encourage them to do that because this sounds like a really unpleasant place to live for their neighbors.

COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Okay. Let's do this.

If anyone has any final points to contribute, please do.

Then hold your points of view, hold your thoughts. We'll get through the others and we'll vote them all at the end.

Anyone, any other on this topic? Any other questions for us? Okay, good. All right. Russ, let's move on.

MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Yes, move on to the sewer-related ones, of which there are three for this meeting.

We'll do Act Boston Management first. This is located at

3770 Boston Road in the Bronx. It is a KFC. Based on a DEP inspection in August of 2023, they were served with a commissioner's order requiring them to install grease traps on a four compartment sink on each of four floor drains, on a one compartment sink, and on a mop sink, all within 60 days, i.e., by October 13th of '23.

When they did not comply with that order, they 1 2 were then issued a series of summonses between February of 3 '24 and April of this year. They were then also notified to appear at a mandatory compliance meeting at DEP's 5 offices, which was scheduled for April 16th of this year. 6 They did not appear. Based on the failure to comply with the commissioner's order and failure to come in to comply in response to the repeated summonses that have been 9 issued, the department is requesting that the board issue 10 an order to cease and desist. 11 COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Thanks. Russ, if you 12 know, Mr. Hoffman's statement refers to several summonses, 13 refers to one oath hearing February 20th, 2025. Are there future oath hearings? 14 15 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: There are reschedule dates 16 for a couple of these. The reschedule dates are 6/16 of 17 124. 18 COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Oh, I see. I got it. 19 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: For both of them. Two of 20 them are rescheduled for 6/16. 21 COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Thank you. Reschedule 22 means that they responded affirmatively. 23 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: That's through the clerk's 24 office, not an adjournment. 25 COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: They're aware and

1 responsive. They reached out. Thank you. The service--2 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: The only thing that's a 3 little surprising about this is that it is a KFC, and normally, they have corporate compliance things, and it's 4 5 a little surprising that a KFC would not be in compliance 6 with this type of stuff. COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Not a Kennedy Fried Chicken, which is a whole -[crosstalk] New Yorkers know 9 Kennedy Fried Chicken is a different all-wax. 10 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Different thing. 11 COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Any other questions or 12 comments on this one? Thank you. Russ. 13 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Now we are done, 14 hopefully, with the chicken related requests. The next one is Stop 15 1 Deli Gourmet Core 320 Lafayette Avenue in Brooklyn. As 16 you can see from the photograph at the end, this is a 17 fairly standard deli and grocery type of operation of the 18 type that are all over the place in New York City. Based 19 on an inspection, an order was issued to them in July of 20 2023, requiring them to install a grease trap on a three-21 compartment sink. within 60 days, that is by September 22 18th of '23, beginning in November of '23. 23 Continuing in February and May of '24, and 24 January and March of this year, they were issued a series

25

Accurate Communication Inc. 85 Broad Street, New York, NY 10004

of summonses for not complying with the commissioner's

order. They were also notified to appear at a mandatory compliance meeting on April 16th of this year, which they failed to appear for. Based on their continuing failure to comply with the order to install and also in response to the repeated summonses, most of which and perhaps all of which appear to have gone into default except for one which was paid in full, the department is requesting that the board issue an order to cease and desist.

COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Questions?

MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: The last one is Nabaya African & American Restaurant at 860 Melrose Avenue in the Bronx. Again, the last page is a photo of the storefront. This one, based on a DEP inspection in March of 2024, was issued an order to install grease traps on a three-compartment sink, and also, as part of a separate order, required to submit written proof of the proper disposal of the grease that they're generating. Apparently, they do have some grease traps but are missing one on the three-compartment sink. The cease and desist order would be basically for the installation on the sink. It doesn't really pertain to the paperwork part of it.

In response to the failure to comply with the commissioner's order to install, summonses were issued in October of '24, December of '24, and February of '25. When they had still not complied, they were directed to appear

1 at a mandatory compliance meeting on April 16th, which 2 they did not appear at. Based on the continuing failure to comply with the order, and also in response to the summonses, the department is requesting that the board 4 5 issue an order to cease and desist. There is one summons that is paid in full, and it looks like the rest of them 6 are in default. COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Okay. Any questions or 9 comments? Okay. I'd like to ask for a motion for us to go 10 through the whole group of these to vote on them. Is there 11 a motion? Okay, a motion. Lisa, second. Second for 12 Matthew. 13 ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Are there any 14 objections to the motion approving all five of the cease 15 and desist orders? 16 COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: I'm sorry. Let me 17 clarify. This is a motion to just proceed to vote, but 18 19

we're going to go on each one individually. Yes. It's okay to recall. Do you want to go through them one by one? ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: No, I don't

think we have to go through them.

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Okay. Then, are you going to-- would you like to ask the group if we can proceed to voting?

ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Oh, we usually

1 don't, but I can. COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Okay. 2 ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Oh, I see. Are 3 there any objections to the motion proceeding to a vote on all five? 5 COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Okay, no objections. 6 All right. Let's go through these individually. Let's start with the chicken coop case. This is a request for an 9 order to cease and desist by DEP concerning the premises 10 at 40 Arden Avenue Street, Manhattan. We're voting whether 11 to approve the request for an order to cease and desist. 12 ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Okay. Are there any objections to the motion approving the cease and 13 14 desist order? Seeing none, hearing none, the motion is 15 approved unanimously. 16 COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Okay. Thank you. We'll 17 move to the request for an order to cease and desist 18 regarding respondent Bridgeview 3 Housing Corp. This 19 concerns a sealing of boilers and burners. 20 ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Are there any 21 objections to the motion approving the cease and desist 22 order? Seeing none, hearing none, the motion is approved 23 unanimously.

24

25

Accurate Communication Inc. 85 Broad Street, New York, NY 10004

Management Incorporated, request for an order to cease and

COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: The next is PAC Boston

desist. This concerns the grease interceptors.

ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Are there any objections to the motion approving the cease and desist order? Seeing none, hearing none, the motion is approved unanimously.

COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Okay. The next request for an order to cease and desist concerns respondent Stop 1 Deli Gourmet Corp, also related to the grease interceptor issue.

ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Are there any objections to the motion approving the cease and desist order? Seeing none, hearing none, the motion is approved unanimously.

COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Then, finally, request for order to cease and desist regarding respondent Nabaya African American Restaurant Inc., also related to grease interceptor issues.

ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Are there any objections to the motion approving the cease and desist order? Seeing none, hearing none, the motion is approved unanimously.

COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Okay. Thanks, everyone. The next item in our agenda is a brief update from DEP regarding the final rule regarding the Water Penalty Schedule. Yes.

MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Right. I don't think this is something that requires the board to take any action. It's just an FYI that we got approval from the Law Department yesterday to publish as a final rule, the Water Penalty Schedule, which if you remember, this was the one DEP penalty schedule that for sort of an obscure legal reason in the water code had been left behind in our rules and not moved to oaths rules.

Oath has already, I think, completed the process of promulgating their rule, repealing the Water Penalty Schedule from Oath's rules. Now that this rule is ready to be finalized as part of DEP's rules, those two things will happen simultaneously. The oath repeal will take effect on the same date that the Water Penalty Schedule becomes effective in DEP's rules.

Now that we have gotten the final certification from the Law Department, I would anticipate that we're going to submit this to the city record at the beginning of next week, and that the effective date will probably be sometime in July.

COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Okay, thank you, Russ. Next, we'll move on to selecting dates for our next ECB meeting. I'd like to propose, this would be in August. We understand that there may be holidays and vacations. I'd like to propose August 7th or August 14th. Does anyone

have any conflicts with those dates? 1 2 MS. SHAMONDA GRAHAM: No conflict, but I prefer 3 the 7th. [crosstalk] I wouldn't be able to come on the 14th. 4 5 COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: I'm saying here, I 6 would be out on the 14th, but Frank would share. Is August 7 7th amenable to everyone? Okay, our next meeting will be 8 on August the 7th. I'd like to just remind everyone, if 9 you haven't signed the attendance sheet, please do. I'd like 10 to ask for a motion and a second to adjourn today's 11 meeting. I see a motion, I see a second. 12 ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Are there any

13

14

15

16

ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Are there any objections to the motion to adjourn the meeting? Seeing none, hearing none, the motion is approved unanimously.

COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Okay, thank you very much, everyone. We'll adjourn this meeting.

(The board meeting concluded at 11:25 a.m.)

Reviewed and corrected by OATH Office of the General Counsel 7/2/25



Certificate of Accuracy

File: "June 12, 2025 Meeting of the Environmental Control Board"

Language: English

I, Gladys S. Gist, hereby certify that the above-mentioned video file has been transcribed by an experienced, qualified and competent professional transcriber, fluent in the above-mentioned language and that, in my best judgement, the transcribed text truly reflects the content, meaning, and style of the original video file and constitutes in every respect a complete and accurate transcription of the original audio. This video file has not been transcribed for a family member, friend, or business associate.

I do not make any claims or guarantees about the authenticity of the content of the original video file. Further, A1 Translations assumes no liability for the way in which the transcription is used by the customer or any third party, including end users of the transcription. A copy of this transcription is attached to this certification.



Dated: 06/19/2025

Gladys S. Sit

Verify at www.atanet.org/verify

20967 SW 92 PLACE, CUTLER BAY, FL 33189 🕿 786 326 3909