NEW YORK CITY

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE TRIALS AND HEARINGS ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL BOARD

BOARD MEETING

Office of Administrative Trials and Hearings

OATH Multi-Purpose Training Room

100 Church Street, 12th Floor, New York, New York

February 6, 2025

09:48 AM to 10:55 AM

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Asim Rehman, Esq. - Chairperson; Commissioner & Chief Administrative Law Judge (OATH)

Shamonda Graham - Department of Buildings (DOB)

Joseph Gregory, Esq. - New York City Fire Department (FDNY)

Elizabeth Knauer, Esq. - Appointed Member (Water)

Madelynn Liguori, Esq. - Department of Sanitation (DSNY)

Russell Pecunies, Esq. - Department of Environmental Protection (DEP)

Matthew Schneid, Esq. - Appointed Member (Real Estate)

Thomas Shpetner, Esq. - Appointed Member (Business)

Matthew Smith, Esq. - New York City Police Department (NYPD)

Lisa Urban, Esq. - Appointed Member (General) Jarrod Whittington - Appointed Member (Noise)

ALSO PRESENT:

Rachel Amar - Senior Advisor to the Commissioner (OATH)

Sola Best, Esq. - Assistant General Counsel (OATH)

Cindy Chen - Office of Management & Budget

(OMB) [remote]

Diana Haines, Esq. - Deputy General Counsel (OATH)
[remote]

Madeline Halimi, Esq. - Parliamentarian; Assistant General Counsel (OATH)

Arlene Jobe - Administrative Staff Deputy Supervisor,
Appeals (OATH)

Karin McAvoy - Administrative Coordinator (OATH)
[remote]

Cindy Nesbit, Esq. - Assistant General Counsel (OATH)
[remote]

Frank Ng, Esq. - General Counsel (OATH)

Laura Quaigraine-Kamaru, Esq. - Assistant General Counsel(OATH) [remote]

Peter Schulman, Esq. - Deputy Commissioner, Appeals (OATH) Frances Shine - Secretary to the Board (OATH)

Thomas Southwick - Assistant Commissioner, Appeals (OATH) [remote]

1	(The board meeting commenced at 09:48 a.m.)				
2	COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Are we on the record?				
3	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Yes.				
4	COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Good morning,				
5	everyone. I'd like to call to order the February 6th,				
6	2025, Environmental Control Board meeting. Hope you're all				
7	doing well. It's our first meeting for the calendar year.				
8	I'd like to ask our parliamentarian to do a roll call to				
9	verify quorum.				
10	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Good morning.				
11	Commissioner Asim Rehman?				
12	COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Present.				
13	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Shamonda				
14	Graham?				
15	MS. SHAMONDA GRAHAM: Present.				
16	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Joseph				
17	Gregory?				
18	MR. JOSEPH GREGORY: Present.				
19	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Elizabeth				
20	Knauer?				
21	MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: Present.				
22	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Madelynn				
23	Liguori?				
24	MS. MADELYNN LIGUORI: Present.				
25	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Russell				
	Accurate Communication Inc.				

1	Pecunies?
2	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Present.
3	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Harminderpal
4	Rana?
5	MR. HARMINDERPAL RANA: Present.
6	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Matthew
7	Schneid?
8	MR. MATTHEW SCHNEID: Present.
9	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Thomas
10	Shpetner?
11	MR. THOMAS SHPETNER: Present.
12	
13	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Matthew Smith?
	MR. MATTHEW SMITH: Present.
14	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Douglas Swann?
15	[not available]
16	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Lisa Urban?
17	MS. LISA URBAN: Present.
18	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Jarrod
19	Whittington?
20	MR. JARROD WHITTINGTON: Present.
21	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: We have a
22	quorum, 11 out of 13.
23	COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Thank you. We have all
24	participants who have noted themselves as present are
25	here at 100 Church Street with the exception of
	Accurate Communication Inc. 85 Broad Street, New York, NY 10004

1 Harminderpal Rana who is joining us by a video conference. First order of business is the minutes from the December 2 5th, 2024, meeting. I'd like to ask if anyone has any corrections to the minutes. Before I do that, there's one 5 typographical correction that we actually noted. Is that 6 right? ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Yes. In the list of members who are present there is a 'c' missing from Matthew Schneid's name, so I will correct that. 9 10 COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: That's just a 11 ministerial correction to a name. Any substantive comments 12 or questions regarding the minutes? 13 MR. THOMAS SHPETNER: Yes, I have one. 14 COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Thank you, Tom. 15 MR. THOMAS SHPETNER: In the second to last 16 paragraph on second page, the answer I provided to Matthew 17 was that this came from city hall and it wasn't -- the TLC 18 part was really dicta, and not substantively important to 19 the question. 20 COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: That's the paragraph 21 that starts Matthew Schneid asks whether it was city 22 council or the board that voted to make this shift. Does 23 anyone have any-- I'm sorry. So, Tom, are you suggesting 24 that we include that content?

25

Accurate Communication Inc. 85 Broad Street, New York, NY 10004

MR. THOMAS SHPETNER: No. I'm suggesting that

content isn't dispositive to Matthew's question. It was 1 2 city hall. He asked about the board or city council, and I told him no. It was, I thought, city. My belief, I don't know the exact words I used, but my belief that it was 5 city hall. TLC had no substantive input into that outcome. COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Would you propose a 6 particular line edit? MR. THOMAS SHPETNER: Sure. I would say Shpetner 9 responded that it was his belief that it was city hall and 10 not the city council. 11 COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: City hall and not city 12 council that -- how would you continue that? 13 MR. THOMAS SHPETNER: Period. 14 COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: And delete everything up 15 until an hour? 16 MR. THOMAS SHPETNER: Yes. 17 COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Okay. 18 MR. THOMAS SHPETNER: Yes. 19 COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: So let me propose the 20 following. Since that was all done on the record, would 21 folks be okay if we-- I'm going to suggest that we 22 provisionally vote on, adopting the minutes with Tom's 23 proposed change and then any other things that we add here 24 subject to the team just verifying that that matches the

25

actual recording. If it does, we'll move it through. If it

doesn't, we'll just revisit that.

2.1

2.2

2.3

MR. THOMAS SHPETNER: Yes. I don't have access to the recording yet, so I'm working from my best recollection.

COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Great. Any other comments on the minutes? Okay. Does anyone have any concerns with that plan that I just outlined? Okay. I'd like to ask for a motion and a second to adopt the minutes with the change that Tom proposed, that is that the second sentence of said paragraph will read, "Shpetner responded that it was his belief that it was city hall and not city council, subject to the OATH team, checking that against the audio recording, in which case, if it matches, we'll adopt the minutes. If it doesn't, we'll revisit that at the next meeting. Okay, motion? Thank you, Tom. Second? Second from Matthew. May we have a vote on the minutes, please?

ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Are there any objections to the motion adopting the minutes of the December 5th, 2024, ECB meeting? Seeing none, hearing none, the motion is approved unanimously.

COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Thanks everyone. Next, we have a cease and desist order from Russ Pecunies, from DEP. Russ?

MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Thank you. This month, DEP

1 is asking the board to issue a cease and desist order for 2 Grenadier Realty Management. This is for 3415 Neptune Avenue in Brooklyn. They have been cited on 3 occasions for excessive noise from a generator at the building. They 5 were most recently found in violation in December. Based on the fact that we are continuing to get 6 complaints, there does not appear to have been any correction in response to the three summonses that have 9 been issued to date. Accordingly, the department is 10 requesting that the board issue an order to respondent to 11 cease and desist. 12 COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Does anyone have any 13 questions? Elizabeth. MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: Do you know what the use 14 15 of the building and what the purpose of the generator is? 16 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: I'm sorry, Elizabeth? 17

MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: Sorry, do you know what the use of the building is and what the purpose of the generator is?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Actually, usually, I do
Google these buildings to find out what they are. I don't
think I did for this one. I believe it's an apartment
building of some kind, but I actually did not look this
one up to see what it is.

MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: It's possible that the

1 generator is providing electricity or either [crosstalk] 2 hot water--3 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: This was going on through all of last year. The violations were in October of '23 4 5 and through September of last year, so this has been going on for almost a year. I don't know if the building would 6 7 be using a generator for that period of time. MR. JARROD WHITTINGTON: Is this an emergency 9 generator? 10 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: It was just on the 11 summons. It's just identified as a generator. The inspectors just indicated that it's a generator and it's--12 13 MR. JARROD WHITTINGTON: Are generators considered, by definition, a circulation device? 14 15 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: It appears to be a rental 16 apartment building. There are reviews for the apartments 17 and it's in Coney Island. It appears to be a rental 18 apartment building. 19 MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: It's occupied? It's just I 20 would think--21 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Yes. It's apparently been 22 around for a while. There are news stories from 10 years 23 ago about an accident where a child fell out a window at 24 the building. So, it's an existing rental apartment 25 building, apparently for at least 10 years.

1 COMMISSIONER REHMAN: Russ, do we know if the 2 recent penalties have been paid? The hearing dates, the violations from September of '24 and December of '23. 3 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: The printouts indicate 5 that for the most recent one, there apparently actually seems to be a balance on all of them. The first one is 6 still in default, but they did appear on the last two, so they know about them. 9 MR. MATTHEW SCHNEID: We know what they said 10 when they appeared? They appeared the last two. They usually 11 have a defense of some sort. 12 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: If they had submitted 13 appeals, it would show on ticket finder that it had been 14 referred to appeals, and it just says, 'in violation'. 15 COMMISSIONER REHMAN: Elizabeth's question 16 again, there would be a cease and desist, but a direction 17 to respond at a special hearing. 18 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: In the hearing. 19 COMMISSIONER REHMAN: They could, of course, at 20 the special hearing present, if there's a need for the use 21 despite the sound. 22 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Absolutely. The inspectors 23 only appear to have referred to this equipment as a 24 generator. 25 MR. JARROD WHITTINGTON: My understanding was that

an emergency generator at least wouldn't necessarily fall 1 2 under the circulation device portion of the code but would rather fall under the unreasonable noise section of the code. Is that not true in this case? 4 5 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: That would be a defense 6 for them to raise at a hearing if they felt that the wrong section was issued. There's been three summons, as they defaulted on the first one, and they've been found in 9 violation on--MR. JARROD WHITTINGTON: Okay, so they had their 10 11 chance to argue that? 12 MR. MATTHEW SCHNEID: Is the remedy for them to pay the fine or do they need to update--13 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: No, the remedy is going to 14 15 be for them to correct the condition. The fine payment is 16 as far as the cease and desist order is concerned, and the 17 payment of the fine is something that collections issue. 18 Now, they do not comply with the cease and desist order by 19 paying the fines, although they should obviously pay the 20 fines. They comply with the cease-desist order by 21 satisfying DEP on the hearing officer, that they've 22 corrected the violation. 23 COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Other questions, 24 comments? Can I have a motion to vote on the cease and desist order? A motion from Joseph. A second. Second from

Madeline. Thank you. Please.

ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Are there any objections to the motion approving the cease and desist order? Seeing none, hearing none, the motion is approved unanimously.

MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Fine. Thank you.

Before we move on to the next item, just thinking about the questions that some of you raised with Russ, regarding the cease and desist order and the types of questions that sometimes repeat themselves, I'm wondering— I also don't know how feasible this is. That's a question for Russ. I'm wondering if it would be beneficial to the board if the packets included— and maybe this could be mandatory, it could be discretionary, the copies of both hearing officer decisions when there's a violation. A question was raised right now, did they have a chance to raise that defense?

If we had a copy of the hearing officer decision, we could know what was raised and also probably get a sense not in detail, but just a sense of what do we think the respondents are doing here. Are they really being responsive or are they just not really making a strong case which might warrant a cease-and-desist order? First, let me ask, is that something people might want to see? Yes.

MR. THOMAS SHPETNER: I think it's a terrific idea. More information as we plow through these is great, but I also have a caveat. I think you asked another good question, which is whether it's mandatory or discretionary, you probably should put it at the discretion of the agency and make it a very good to have and nice to have.

It just would maybe cut down on some of these pretty good questions. Seeing the record in another matter we're going to be talking about today reinforces my thinking on this, so that's where I'm coming from, but it's a great idea.

COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Elizabeth?

MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: I agree. I also just add that in cases where there isn't a lot of information in the hearing decisions or maybe they were all defaulted, so there are no hearing decisions, Russ, I would greatly appreciate having any background information that you can get from the-- .

MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: [crosstalk] Yes. I think that's not a problem. I think we can include for any of them that have decisions. Obviously, if they're not defaults or stipulations or whatever, if there are decisions, we can include those decisions. We can also include some kind of background on the building, and what

it is and what it's used for that time.

COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Good. By including that, it goes without saying that that's an incremental amount of preparatory work that we will all need to do reading that coming in. It sounds like everyone's comfortable with that. Okay, Russ, let's do that going forward.

MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: I don't see a problem with that.

COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Excellent. If there are any concerns, just let us know, Tom's point, the more information we have up front with that. Good. Okay. Thanks, everyone. All right. We are now going to stick with DEP and we have a presentation from Russ, who's going to educate us on the noise cameras that DEP has been using.

MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Briefly, I promise. Yes.

Now, some questions have been raised and I had asked

whether it would be beneficial for the board to have a

brief sort of introduction to what's going on with these

things, because it is a novel thing that this technology

is now being used to enforce the noise code. In

particular, with regard to a section that it had never

really been possible to enforce before, because we weren't

going to have inspectors standing around on the side of

roads, with noise meters, waiting for noisy vehicles to go by. This technology has now allowed the department to begin to enforce sections that have been in the code, but really have never been capable of regular enforcement before, despite the fact that they are the subject of a lot of complaints. Just one page, basically, of background, you have in the noise code section 236E, which states that no person shall cause or permit the total sound from a motor vehicle, operating on any public right-of-way, to exceed the sound level set forth in section 386 of the VTL.

In the vehicle and traffic law, subsection 3C, you have the prohibition on operating a motor vehicle on a public highway with a maximum gross weight of less than 10,000 pounds, which basically, we're talking about cars, SUVs, that sort of thing, in such a manner as to exceed the sound level set forth in the table. Then I sort of, there is a little table, but because it would have taken up a lot of room, I sort of summarize what's in the table. The table says that at a distance of 50 feet from the center of the lane in which the car is traveling, the allowable level is 76 decibels on roads where the speed limit is 35 or less. That, basically, is going to be with the current city speed limits. Almost every road has a speed limit of 35 or less.

1

2

3

4

5

6

′

O

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In the late teens, I think, sometime, enforcement with noise cameras began in London. It was using technology that had been developed by a British company called Intelligent Instruments. DEP became interested in this. In 2021, began a pilot program at the beginning, not even issuing violations, but just using one of these rigs that we got from the UK as a pilot to sort of test it, see what was involved, what the results of it that it generated were. In the meantime, at the state level, the state had enacted something called the SLEEP Act. One of the things that the SLEEP Act did was to raise to \$1000, the penalty for vehicles that violated 386 of the VTL. At the time, our penalty for 236, which was something that we could never enforce, was \$220. Keeping in mind the fact that we were testing this technology and that the state had just passed the SLEEP Act, we amended the noise code penalty schedule, to raise the penalty for a violation of 236e from \$220 to \$880, which was as high as we could make it, given the legal range in the noise code. At the same time, the city council was starting to look at this, and they passed Local Law 7 which became effective at the beginning of 2024.

Local Law 7 added a new section to the Noise Code 236.1 to establish parameters for a photo noise violation monitoring program, which was the very long

title that they gave this section, and there were provisions in this, pertaining to requirements for the calibration and the placement of the devices for the handling of the evidence generated by the devices, in particular, to address any privacy concerns. It states that the only thing that we can do with the evidence generated by these cameras is to use them as evidence at OATH hearings for violations resulting from the evidence collected. It cannot be foiled.

It can only be shared with anybody pursuant to a subpoena from a court in connection with a criminal case, or investigation, or something of that matter. The legislation also requires that by the end of September of this year, there'll be at least five of these cameras in each borough, which would be a total of at least 25 cameras. Currently, we have nine. The cameras cost about \$20,000 a piece.

Right now, because it says in the legislation, in Local Law 7 that the cameras need can be obtained, subject to budgetary provisions, and OMB is telling us that right now, they're not generating enough fines to justify getting the additional cameras.

Not because the violations are not being issued, and then fines are not being imposed, but because they're not being paid, the collection rate on these fines is

terrible. People are ignoring the summonses. They're not paying the fines when they do show up. The last slide will address that a little bit.

The next few slides are a presentation from the company in the UK concerning this equipment. You see that this is on the right-hand side of that slide, you can see what the gear kind of looks like. There's a microphone and there is a camera. This is all really state-of-the-art kind of technology. On the next slide, that there is, on the left side, a precision microphone, a microphone array. The precision microphone basically takes the reading. The microphone array basically identifies which vehicle the noise is coming from. Then you have the cameras. Then there's a processor and a router that all of this goes through and gets processed by, and it, this is all self-explanatory.

The gear is calibrated in the UK. The legislation, Local Law 7, requires that the equipment be calibrated by the manufacturer. Once a year, these will have to be boxed up and sent back to England to be calibrated by the manufacturer and then sent back over here with the proof of calibration. That's a requirement in Local Law 7. Then on the next slide, you can see the what it generates. On the photograph, on the lower right-hand side, it's a little bit hard to see, but you can see

1 that there is a red dot on one of the vehicles. That is 2 how this technology tells us which of the vehicles is generating the excessive sound. Is that a red dot appears 3 on the vehicle that the sound is coming from. 4 5 MR. JARROD WHITTINGTON: Russ, it's worth mentioning on this company's website, they actually show the video. 6 You can see the red dot following. It's worth checking it. 7 8 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Yes. The red dot will 9 follow the noisy vehicle down the road. Then there's a bit 10 of a blow-up of one of these actually deployed in New York 11 City. Now what these look like? If you see one of these 12 things mounted on a pole, what it is? There's a DEP 13 sticker on it and you know where it is. The locations are, 14 being selected, currently, based on 311 calls. Wherever 15 we're getting the most calls that there are a lot of 16 really loud vehicles going by is where they're trying to 17 deploy them. As I said, right now, we have nine of them. 18 If OMB gives us the money, the legislation will require us 19 to have at least 25 of them deployed by September. 20 MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: Russ, do you move them 21 around? Have you been moving them around? 22 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Yes. They move around. 23 People tend to learn where they are. Yes, we do move them

24

25

Accurate Communication Inc. 85 Broad Street, New York, NY 10004

around. We have had vehicles getting hit, the same

vehicles getting hit over and over again. We have, I

1 think, the most one license plate has got hit so far has 2 been 11 or 12 times. 3 MR. MATTHEW SCHNEID: That's like bankruptcylevel fines for that person. 4 MS. SHAMONDA GRAHAM: Were there any education 5 efforts for the public done by DEP? I only ask that because I 6 7 imagine that lay people don't necessarily know what level 8 their music is supposed to be. Of course, you have those 9 cars with extra horsepower, I'll say. I have one in my 10 neighborhood at 2 a.m. every morning that starts up. My 11 point is, besides the violation, does the public know? How 12 would they know to keep their vehicles at a certain level? 13 What about people who simply travel with very loud music? 14 They may have been doing this their whole lives. 15 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Yes, that, I really 16 don't know what we've done in terms of any campaign or any 17 event like that, given that right now there are only nine 18 cameras. 19 MR. MATTHEW SCHNEID: Is it, basically, that you've had to have souped 20 up your car or motorcycle for it to pick you up? I recall 21 we had a case where someone claimed they had a factory 22 car. It was admittedly a sports car of some sort, and they 2.3 got a fine. It was, "out of the box" or they alleged 24 anyway.

25	MR.	RUSSELL	PECUNIES:	In Lo	ndon, a	lot of	f the	е
26	enforcement,	there wer	re articles	in th	he news	papers	in	the

UK about how everybody was complaining that their

Lamborghinis and Ferraris were now getting ticketed in

London because these cameras were deployed. The code does

not differentiate between vehicles that have been altered

to be excessively noisy and vehicles that come out of the

dealership being excessively noisy.

This has been raised as a defense at hearings because we have given summonses to Lamborghinis and Ferraris. The comedian, Tracy Morgan, got a ticket for his Lamborghini because he was sitting in tunnel traffic in Manhattan, gunning the throttle while he was sitting in traffic, having a good time gunning his throttle on his Lamborghini, and he got picked up by a camera and got a summons.

The thing with vehicles that come out of the factory, and we have researched this and talked to the manufacturers, is that they almost all come with something that, like Lamborghini, I think, at least calls an urban setting, which is for driving around in densely built-up areas that mutes the exhaust. It's for slow traveling at slow speeds, and it mutes the exhaust. It's either the Lamborghini or Ferrari calls it the urban setting, and it is meant to be used when you drive around in [crosstalk]

MR. MATTHEW SCHNEID: I don't think anyone's going to be shedding tears for people driving

1 Lamborghinis, but is your data showing that the cars are 2 what we think are souped up generally, other than the handful of people with Lamborghinis in New York City 3 getting tickets? 4 5 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: We get a lot of cars that 6 are from 15 to 20 years old that are getting these summonses because they've been taken to shops and altered to be really noisy because the owner wants to be noticed 9 when they drive down the street. They want to be heard 10 from six blocks away. A lot of these vehicles are older 11 vehicles that have been altered [crosstalk] 12 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: No, I know. I--13 MR. THOMAS SHPETNER: It's a full board 14 meeting, by the way, that's going on. You can come in 15 though, it's okay. I'm not--16 MR. PETER SCHULMAN: Tom, you're not muted. 17 [unintelligible 00:33:10] 18 MR. MATTHEW SCHNEID: I understand that. I 19 think we all have seen these cars that are souped out to 20 do that, and I think that's a problem. If you just have a 21 20-year-old car that would meet different standards, are 22 those in general tripping this? I don't know what the 23 volume of a 20-year-old car is [crosstalk]--24 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: No properly functioning

1	car should be this loud-
2	MR. MATTHEW SCHNEID: Got it.
3	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: -if it's a normal car and
4	not a \$200,000 sports car. If it's this loud, it needs to
5	go to the shop and be repaired.
6	MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: Get a [inaudible 00:33:42]
7	replacement.
8	MR. MATTHEW SCHNEID: I see.
9	MR. JARROD WHITTINGTON: Even those sports cars,
10	they don't get that loud unless you do it on
11	purpose.[00:33:46]
12	[crosstalk]
13	MR. MATTHEW SCHNEID: Sorry, one more
14	question. Apologies. There's no first-time free situation
15	here or anything. These things do happen. People are
16	stealing, I don't know what this piece is called.
17	MR. MATTHEW SCHNEID: The catalytic
18	converters. I notice a lot of loud cars are stolen. I'm
19	wondering if there's any allowance for that.
20	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: In other words, is there a
21	zero penalty for a first violation-
22	MR. MATTHEW SCHNEID: Yes.
23	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: -if you remove the
24	MR. MATTHEW SCHNEID: You [crosstalk]

1	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Not currently.
2	MR. MATTHEW SCHNEID: I know mine was
3	stolen, for example. Many people in my neighborhood's
4	catalytic converters are stolen. Your car is very loud for
5	two days until you can get it fixed.
6	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Not currently. Currently,
7	the first violation penalty is-
8	MR. MATTHEW SCHNEID: That's not a defense.
9	At some point-
10	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: -880.
11	MR. MATTHEW SCHNEID: -[unintelligible
12	00:34:27] alter your car.
13	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Is it [crosstalk]
14	MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: If you were driving to the
15	mechanic.
16	MR. MATTHEW SCHNEID: Driving to the
17	mechanic is not a defense.
18	MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: [unintelligible 00:34:33]
19	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: What's the defense?
20	MR. MATTHEW SCHNEID: It's not a defense if
21	you were driving to the mechanic.
22	MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: Because your catalytic
23	converter [unintelligible 00:34:39]
24	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: No, I don't think so.
25	LISA URBAN: Have you had any
	Π

1	MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: There's only nine cameras.
2	MR. MATTHEW SCHNEID: That's true.
3	MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: Chances are slim.
4	MS. SHAMONDA GRAHAM: [unintelligible 00:34:44] had
5	difficulty with the respondent selected? I would imagine
6	that you would be required to make it the registered
7	owner. Correct?
8	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: The ticket goes to the
9	registered owner.
10	MS. SHAMONDA GRAHAM: I could see someone coming in and
11	saying, "I wasn't the person driving the vehicle.
12	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: The statute makes the
13	register owner-
14	MS. SHAMONDA GRAHAM: Owner responsible.
15	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: -responsible. In fact,
16	there is somewhere in here in the stuff from Intelligent
17	Instruments, they mentioned that they have face-blurring
18	technology so that it is not possible to identify who's
19	driving the car.
20	MS. LISA URBAN: I have a question just on the
21	technology itself. This is a London-based, a UK-based
22	company.
23	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Southampton, I think. Yes.
24	LISA URBAN: You have to send it back every year

2 MS. LISA URBAN: There's no American companies that 3 are making a comparable product that--MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: I don't think so. 4 5 MS. LISA URBAN: Okay. Just thinking in terms 6 [crosstalk] MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: This is state of the art. London is where this was pioneered. We have a meeting, 9 actually, tomorrow with folks from Boston because Boston 10 is thinking about doing this, but it would deal with this 11 equipment. This is state of the art. Intelligent 12 Instrument stuff is the state of the art here. 13 MR. JOSEPH GREGORY: Am I correct that it's not just vehicles that have performance or other enhancements? 14 15 If you're blasting your music, it's going to pick that up 16 also. Correct? A lot of people ride through the 17 neighborhood with their music blasting. That's what--18 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Well, we'll get to that in 19 a second because, actually, we anticipated using this only 20 for noisy exhausts, but it has also come in really handy 21 with enforcing the prohibition on horn honking and for a 22 very small number of cases for excessively loud music 23 coming from vehicles. 24 COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: We can pull that slide 25 up. It's the first to last, is it?

MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: To be calibrated.

1

2 last slide. [crosstalk] MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: Which is subwoofer-type [crosstalk] 4 5 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Yes. 6 MR. JOSEPH GREGORY: [unintelligible 00:37:03] MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: We've only issued 41 tickets for music. It wasn't something that we had 9 originally anticipated that we were going to be using this 10 for. The type of noise that sets it off, it doesn't really 11 matter whether it's the exhaust or somebody out leaning on 12 their horn or somebody blasting music out of the speaker. 13 It's set off either way. 14 MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: It would have to be 15 somebody leaning on their horn because of the way the 16 sound is measured. It wouldn't just be a one-time. 17 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: There are different 18 standards for each of these sections. Horn honking, it's 19 no decibel or other noise standard. It's just that you're 20 not supposed to honk the horn unless as a sign of imminent 21 danger. If we have a camera at an intersection, and the 22 light is red, and somebody is stopped at the light, and 23 they're sitting there leaning on their horn because they 24 want the car in front of them to go through the light, 25 this detects that. We can review that.

MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Yes, it's the next to the

1

If the video shows that they're honking the horn 1 2 for no reason, we can issue a violation for horn honking. MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: Okay. MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: For the music, it has to 4 5 be plainly audible 25 feet or more from the vehicle. Since 6 the cameras are way more than 25 feet away, if the video and the recording show that you can clearly hear music coming from the car with the red dot on it, we can issue 9 the summons. 10 MR. JARROD WHITTINGTON: Russ, does that triggered at 85 dBA then for music as well? [crosstalk] 11 12 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: It will only go off if the 13 music is exceeding the same level that we set it for the 14 exhaust. The standard for a violation for that section is 15 not a decibel level. It's plainly audible more than 16 [crosstalk] 17 MR. JARROD WHITTINGTON: I quess that's my 18 question is, if it's plainly audible, that could be much 19 lower than 85. 20 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: It can be. Oh yes. It 21 won't get if you can hear it, but it wouldn't be captured. 22 It wouldn't set this off. We are only getting music when 23 it's way louder-24 MR. JARROD WHITTINGTON: I see. 25 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: -and plainly audible.

1	MS. LISA URBAN: It's not like a red light camera
2	where when you go through red light, it automatically
3	generates the ticket and sends it to you. You actually at
4	the you get the alert that
5	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: No, we are setting these
6	to go off at We're giving them 9 decibels. over-
7	MS. LISA URBAN: Okay.
8	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: -what's allowed in the
9	code before the hammer goes off.
10	MS. LISA URBAN: Is it reviewed? It's reviewed by
11	somebody at the EP, but what does [unintelligible
12	00:40:12]
13	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Yes, what we get is the
14	inspector's review in the same way that they review the
15	evidence that's submitted by citizen complainants.
16	MS. LISA URBAN: Okay. [unintelligible 00:40:21]
17	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: We review the evidence
18	that we get from the system. That consists of the stuff on
19	the earlier slide with the video and the graph and the
20	other stuff that tracks the time of the event and all of
21	that other stuff that we need to be able to issue a
22	summons.
23	MS. LISA URBAN: Interesting, keep catching the same
24	guy.
25	MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: On payment, I with

1 buildings type things, there's a motivation to pay your 2 fine so that it does not -- an encumbrance on your building. Here, is there any sort of mechanism to induce 3 payment based on driving license or [crosstalk] 4 5 MS. LISA URBAN: [unintelligible 00:41:14] yes. MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Yes. One of the problems, 6 and I quess we could go unless anybody has any questions about the stats on this slide. Obviously, it's been going 9 up each year as we get more cameras. You might, if you 10 extrapolate from this last year with nine cameras, if we 11 have 25, almost three times as many, you're probably going 12 to be now seeing 3,000 or 4,000 a year of these 13 violations. 14 MR. THOMAS SHPETNER: I'm not without 15 sympathy for OMB and their position, but if there was--16 it's truly a problem of game theory. If everyone decided 17 to never pay their fines, we'd never have a proliferation 18 of cameras. 19 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: That's the last slide. 20 What do we do if people aren't paying these things? 21 MR. THOMAS SHPETNER: If you substitute this violation for another one, it's not like we would stop 22 23 enforcing something or creating if it was a violent crime 24 or so--25 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: One of the issues is that

these being noise code violations do not give us any authority to do any of the things that you can do to vehicles that violate other vehicular types of things. You can't suspend somebody's driver's license. You can't suspend their registration. You can't boot the vehicle. There's nothing you can do for noise code violations.

One idea that we're going to discuss is, and we've been told and I actually inquired with Madelynn about this because there is in the charter a provision that allows certain kinds of violations that are issued by her department for the fines to be automatically docketable just based on mail service, I guess, where we don't have to-- serving these things, we don't use a process server. They're issued to individuals. Basically, the service on these is noise code service, which is by mail, which means that the judgments are not automatically docketed.

One of the things that we think we may want to try and do in order to improve collections is to seek-- we were told we would need state legislation in order to get this added as a charter provision where these types of violations, the fines would be automatically docketable. Another thing that we've been discussing internally is this something that we could ask the board for a cease and desist order for on a vehicle? We ask the board for cease

and desist orders on stationary equipment, something that
doesn't drive around. The remedy, if they don't comply
with the cease and desist order, is that that stationary
equipment can be sealed. How would that work with a car?

MR. THOMAS SHPETNER: I see one deformity
with that, which is that we don't know who the operator of
the vehicle is. There could be some due process problem,
or is it ultra vires? Do we have the authority? Just fancy
Latin [crosstalk]

MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: The noise code authorizes the board to issue cease and desist orders for equipment or devices that are in violation of the code, and motor vehicles do fall within that definition.

MR. THOMAS SHPETNER: [crosstalk]

MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: I think the question is just, how would it work?

MS. LISA URBAN: There's no reciprocity with DMV on these?

MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: We've talked preliminarily to the police department, and the police department has said they would be willing to talk about— the police department has lists of vehicles that they go around booting for unpaid tickets. We have discussed with the police department, just on an exploratory basis, the possibility that vehicles, that if a cease and desist

order was issued and they didn't show up for the hearing, the vehicle would be added to PDs list to be booted. That would raise issues of how did they get the boot off?

For unpaid tickets, they get the boot off by paying the tickets, which I think you can even do online now.

For this, they're not getting a cease and desist order because they haven't paid the tickets. They're getting it because the vehicle keeps getting summonses for being too noisy. The cease and desist order would require them to appear before a hearing officer where they have to show up at the hearing and have the hearing officer direct that the boot be removed from the vehicle. There are a lot of issues with doing this for vehicles. [00:45:17]

MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: In the ordinary course for a noise code violation like for this generator when they had unpaid balances in that case, so when they show up for their hearing with the evidence that they've corrected, but would they also have to pay all of their unpaid penalties to--

MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Paying the unpaid penalty is again, not typically part of what the hearing officer is looking at in terms of whether they've come into compliance.

MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: Okay.

1	MR. JOSEPH GREGORY: Also, if it's a boot, they
2	can't come in compliance because they can't remove the
3	car.
4	[crosstalk]
5	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: That's the thing. If the
6	vehicle's booted, it can't be moved, and they can't take
7	it to the mechanic to fix it.
8	MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: I really think that
9	compliance might just mean not gutting your engine, so
10	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: The judge I guess the
11	hearing officer could direct that the boot be removed if
12	they showed up at the hearing and said, "I am going to
13	take it to the mechanic to get it."
14	MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: They might not need to
15	take it to the mechanic to avoid violating the code again.
16	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Generally, the way that
17	these vehicles are altered to be really loud, I think
18	you'd probably have to take it-
19	MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: Oh, the ones that are
20	altered. [crosstalk]
21	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: -to a mechanic to get it-
22	MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: The [unintelligible
23	00:48:37]
24	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: -which is most of them.
25	MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: You could [unintelligible

00:48:391

1

2

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: The Lamborghinis and the

Ferrari's are a small percentage.

MR. MATTHEW SCHNEID: Is it illegal to have your car altered? It's not illegal to have your car altered.

MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: Some ways. You can't have your car altered to have it to the--

MR. MATTHEW SCHNEID: They can drive to the middle of nowhere and have it be loud where we're now making it -- Either they should make it illegal to have your car altered or not. If we decided Big Brother's now watching you all the time, the city decided that, I think that's a horrible step forward that we're under constant surveillance, but that's not my decision. Now, we're even expanding the scope of these noise cameras to say it was really for loud cars, but we're going to follow you every time you're making noise. No one wants noise in their neighborhood, myself included. I live in a noisy neighborhood. With cars going down the street, no one likes it. Now we're saying now we're having Big Brother watching you at all times. Frankly, you're making it much worse by expanding the scope of this. I think it's a very bad precedent for us to be doing.

MS. SHAMONDA GRAHAM: Russ, I think that gets to my

point

the education efforts and the outreach. It seems that the public is not taking this seriously, that they just think, "What is this, this complaint about my vehicle being loud?" My only point is because DEP may have— if you have the ability to let the public know, perhaps people will comply on their own. There's also the question of, there's one thing when a car is very loud in your neighborhood and you're trying to sleep because you have to get up at five o'clock to be to a board meeting by 9:00. It's another thing when a car is on the highway. I thought I heard you say it's less than 35 miles per hour.

MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Yes, these cameras will not be deployed on highways.

MS. SHAMONDA GRAHAM: They won't be on the highway, but I

think that goes to what Matthew was saying. Imagine that a person fixes their vehicle so that they are not making this noise in these zones that are less than 35 miles per hour, but yet it's okay to have your vehicle this way if you're in another place that's more than 35 miles per hour. I think there may be some issues down the road, but I suspect if the public is aware that, just stop or just not don't make this noise in these neighborhoods, then you might get some compliance. I imagine that's the ultimate goal.

COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: In the same way that

1	DEP did the Billy Doesn't Idle campaign around the idling.
2	They had a big public -
3	MS. SHAMONDA GRAHAM: Yes, [unintelligible 00:50:49].
4	COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: -education campaign
5	about idling. This may be something where that's done.
6	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Which, unfortunately, does
7	not appear to have deterred many people from idling based
8	on the number of people [crosstalk]
9	COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: [unintelligible
10	00:50:58] aware of that campaign. Maybe that's fair.
11	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: [unintelligible 00:51:03]
12	MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: I do feel compelled to
13	state for the record that it is not everybody that doesn't
14	like the noise from these cars. Even some people who
15	aren't driving them do enjoy hearing that noise because I
16	live with one of them.
17	COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: [unintelligible
18	00:51:22]?
19	MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: Yes. Not of driving age
20	yet, but I do It's probably a hobbyist or it's a certain
21	people that share a certain interest do enjoy the noise as
22	well as like very particular drivers. I just
23	MS. THOMAS SHPETNER: Can I piggyback on
24	that? I recollected an article from maybe a year or more
25	ago about Lamborghini's electric vehicles being too quiet,
	11

1 and they've now added a soundtrack so that you can make 2 them do exactly what this is intended to interdict. I find the irony wonderful. Then, I have a question. Does the company have any data they can-- have they shared with you 5 about the potential for false positives or misidentifications? 6 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: I'm not aware, but I can--MR. THOMAS SHPETNER: Just an interesting 9 question. The annual calibration seems necessary. If I 10 were the attorney representing someone, I would probably 11 bring up the accuracy or the correctness of the reading, 12 probably in a losing effort. 13 MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: I can find out. The only 14 Article 78 that I think we've had on this subject so far 15 is from, I believe, a Ferrari or a Lamborghini owner on 16 the grounds that this was the way the car was purchased, 17 that New York State registers it and allows it to be

driven on New York State roads. Therefore, it's allowed. MR. THOMAS SHPETNER: That actually made me-

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- your question about like, can you modify your car? You can do it up until the point of which you fail an inspection, can't register your car. This is the way it's always been explained to me. I'm not a mechanic lady from the depth.

MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Actually, one of the

reasons just to close this out that we thought it would be a good idea to do this presentation this month is that there is in our air and noise unit, a lot of talk about trying, bringing a cease and desist request for one of these before the board. Thought it would be a good idea to do this at this meeting. There's a possibility that they may want me to, if there's a case where somebody has been getting repeated violations for this, we may think about having you guys debate this at the next meeting if we decide to make a request.

2.1

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: We'll have to look into the legality there. Thank you. I'd like to just-people can make it just a few more short points. We have a lot more to discuss. I do want to keep us to the agenda.

Real quick? [crosstalk]

MR. JOSEPH GREGORY: I just want to say out of state vehicles. When you say New York state registers, if I come from Florida, what happens?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ RUSSELL PECUNIES: It would be sent to the registered owner in Florida.

MR. JOSEPH GREGORY: Is it?

MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: Based on the photo that you had of that one particular vehicle, I noticed that it appeared to be one of these four-wheelers, which I don't actually think are street legal [crosstalk]

1	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: The state, the VTL has
2	different noise levels for vehicles over 10,000.
3	MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: My only question was
4	whether there's been any sort of collaboration with the
5	police department in identifying some of these non-street
6	legal vehicles that, at least in my neighborhood, are the
7	biggest effectors of
8	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: Yes, I don't really know
9	how PD does if PD will pull over people when somebody
10	whizzes by them, and it's really noisy. I don't know how
11	that works on the PD level.
12	MR. MATTHEW SMITH: [unintelligible 00:55:22]
13	Your question about the four-wheelers, those are not even
14	legal in New York City.
15	MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: That's what I'm saying.
16	MR. MATTHEW SMITH: It wouldn't matter what
17	level of noise it made.
18	COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: It wouldn't have
19	plates to get captured.
20	MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: Oh, [unintelligible
21	00:55:33]
22	COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: [unintelligible
23	00:55:34]

1	MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER: There's no way
2	to issue a summons to those folks.
3	COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Okay, well, I'd like
4	it to keep us moving. Russ, thank you very much for a very
5	thorough presentation and for everyone's questions.
6	Hopefully, that you've gotten some good feedback from
7	everyone [unintelligible 00:55:51]
8	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: [unintelligible 00:55:50]
9	I think we'll probably be talking about this again-
10	COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Good.
11	MR. RUSSELL PECUNIES: -in the future.
12	COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: I'd like to call a
13	vote to go into Executive Session.
14	
15	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI:
16	[unintelligible 00:56:05]
17	COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Okay. I'd like to ask
18	for a motion to move into Executive Session. All right. We
19	have a motion and a second. I see a second. Thank you.
20	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Thank you. Are
21	there any objections to the motion to go into Executive
22	Session? Seeing none, hearing none, the motion is approved
23	unanimously.
24	COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Okay. Please let us
25	know when we can proceed. We are going to

[sound cut]

COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Okay, we are back on the record. We are moving on to the agenda item, which concerns the adoption of a decision in DEP versus JNR Tours Limited, which is appeal number 240-1556. The board has been presented with two alternative options. The first is an appeal decision which applies the VTL definition of school bus and in applying that definition would reverse the JHO's decision and dismiss the summons.

I'd like to ask for a motion to adopt the appeal decision version that I just outlined. Okay, I see a motion. Second? Second. Okay, we'll take a vote. Then those in favor of voting would be in support of that. By extension, those who are not in favor, their vote would be deemed a support for affirming the JHO's decision and not dismissing.

ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Okay, so it's affirming or reversing?

COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: A vote in favor is a vote to support dismissing the summons, reversing the JHO's decision, and adopting the VTL definition for these purposes.

ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Okay.
Commissioner Asim Rehman?

COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Yes.

1		ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI:	Shamonda
2	Graham?		
3		SHAMONDA GRAHAM: Yes.	
4		ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI:	Joseph
5	Gregory?		
6		JOSEPH GREGORY: Yes.	
7		ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI:	Elizabeth
8	Knauer?		
9		Elizabeth Knauer: Yes.	
10		ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI:	Madelynn
11	Liguori?		
12		MADELYNN LIGUORI: Yes.	
13		ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI:	Russell
14	Pecunies?		
15		RUSSELL PECUNIES: I'll abstain.	
16		ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI:	Harminderpal
17	Rana?		
18		HARMINDERPAL RANA: No.	
19		ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI:	Matthew
20	Schneid?		
21		MATTHEW SCHNEID: Yes.	
22		ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI:	Thomas
23	Shpetner?		
24		THOMAS SHPETNER: Yes.	
25		ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI:	Matthew Smith?

/	'	7

1	MATTHEW SMITH: Yes.
2	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Lisa Urban?
3	LISA URBAN: Yes.
4	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Jarrod
5	Whittington?
6	JARROD WHITTINGTON: Yes.
7	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: That is one,
8	two, three. 10 in favor of dismissing, 1 abstention, and
9	Douglas Swann is not here.
10	COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Okay. One vote no.
11	ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Sorry, one
12	vote no.
13	COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: All right. Thank you
14	very much. We will proceed with the dismissal on appeal.
15	Does anyone have any other business that you raised before
16	we get into scheduling our next meeting? All right.
17	Possible dates for our next ECB meeting are the 3rd of
18	April or the 10th of April. Does anyone have any concerns
19	with either of those dates?
20	[pause 00:59:33]
21	MR. MATTHEW SCHNEID: My preference is for
22	the 3rd, if no one cares.
23	COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Okay. Any objection to the 3rd?
24	Seeing general agreement. All right. We will schedule our
25	next meeting for April 3rd. Please stay tuned for the

agenda and calendar information. Just a reminder again,

everyone, to please sign the sign-in sheet, which we will keep at the front of the desk on the clipboard. I'd like to ask for a motion to adjourn today's meeting. A motion, a second. Thank you very much.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL HALIMI: Are there any objections to the motion to adjourn the meeting? Seeing none, hearing none, the motion is approved [unintelligible 01:00:22]

COMMISSIONER ASIM REHMAN: Okay. Thank you very much for your participation today, everyone. The meeting is adjourned.

(The board meeting concluded at 10:55 a.m.)

Reviewed and corrected by OATH Office of the General Counsel 03/03/25



Certificate of Accuracy

Audio File: "February 6, 2025 Meeting of the Environmental Control Board"

Language: English

I, Gladys S. Gist, hereby certify that the above-mentioned audio file has been transcribed by an experienced, qualified and competent professional transcriber, fluent in the above-mentioned language and that, in my best judgement, the transcribed text truly reflects the content, meaning, and style of the original audio file and constitutes in every respect a complete and accurate transcription of the original audio. This audio file has not been transcribed for a family member, friend, or business associate.

I do not make any claims or guarantees about the authenticity of the content of the original audio file. Further, A1 Translations assumes no liability for the way in which the transcription is used by the customer or any third party, including end users of the transcription. A copy of this transcription is attached to this certification.



Verify at www.atanet.org/verify

Dated: 02/17/2025

Gladys S. Sit

R A N

> L A

T

0

N

S