# NEW YORK CITY OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE TRIALS AND HEARINGS ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL BOARD

#### BOARD MEETING

Training Room 143, 12th Floor

100 Church Street, New York, New York

February 28, 2019

9:39 A.M. - 10:08 A.M.

#### MEMBERS PRESENT:

## Amy Slifka, Esq. - Deputy Commissioner/Hearings Division, OATH

Joseph Gregory, Esq. - NYC Fire Department (FDNY)

Elizabeth Knauer, Esq. - Appointed Member

Madelynn Liguori, Esq. - Department of Sanitation (DSNY)

Russell Pecunies, Esq. - Department of Environmental Protection (DEP)

Matthew Smith, Esq. - New York City Police Department (NYPD)

Douglas Swann - Appointed Member

#### ALSO PRESENT:

## Kelly Corso, Esq. - Assistant Commissioner for Hearings Division Adjudications, OATH

Nicholas Dietz, Esq. - Assistant General Counsel, OATH Timothy Jones, Esq. - Assistant General Counsel, OATH Susan Kassapian, Esq. - Deputy Commissioner/Appeals, OATH Ashford Morgan - Computer Service Technician, OATH

## Tynia Richard, Esq. - Deputy Commissioner/General Counsel, OATH

### Simone Salloum, Esq. - Senior Counsel, OATH

Peter Schulman, Esq. - Asst. Director for Appeals, OATH Frances Shine - Secretary to the Board, OATH Olga Statz - Deputy General Counsel, OATH Svetlana Goryscheva - Business Integrity Commission (BIC) Richard J. Laflunt - OMB

February 28, 2019	Page 3
INDEX	
Amy Slifka, Esq.	4
Simone Salloum, Esq.	5
Elizabeth Knauer, Esq.	8
Russell Pecunies, Esq.	10
Kelly Corso, Esq.	18
Tynia Richard, Esq.	21

1	February 28, 2019
2	(The board meeting commenced at 9:39
3	A.M.)
4	AMY SLIFKA, ESQ., DEPUTY
5	COMMISSIONER/HEARINGS DIVISION, OATH: My name is
6	Amy Slifka and we are going to start this meeting
7	but the way we're going to start is a little
8	backwards from what's usual. What I'd like to do
9	is see if there is a motion to go into Executive
10	Session right now.
11	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE 1: Motion.
12	MS. SLIFKA: Good. And who approves?
13	All approve. Okay, and myself. Okay. So we're
14	going to go into Executive Session to start, so
15	Fran, if whoever is not part of the OATH
16	Board, please step out for a minute. Okay.
17	[OFF THE RECORD]
18	[ON THE RECORD]
19	
20	MS. SLIFKA: Okay. We're still waiting
21	for Russ, so I guess we'll take a brief recess at
22	this point in time.
23	[OFF THE RECORD]
24	[ON THE RECORD]

MS. SLIFKA: We are now in regular session. We were waiting for one additional member, Russ Pecunies, who's now here. So we're going to get started with the agenda. Okay. So, to begin with, is there a motion to adopt the minutes for the December, from the December 13th meeting? Okay. Who approves? Okay. Everyone approves. Okay, all approve. Very good. Okay. So now we have Simone Salloum, who's going to introduce the Final Rule amending sections 3-15 and 3-16 of OATH's Rules. Okay?

SIMONE SALLOUM, ESQ., SENIOR COUNSEL,

OATH: Okay, good morning, everyone. Like Amy
said, this is the Final Rule amending Sections 3
15 and 3-16 of Subchapter (a) of Chapter 3 of
Title 48 of the Rules of the City of New York.

These are the rules that govern the Environmental
Control Board proceedings.

And, so we published the Proposed Rule in the City Record on January 22, 2019, and held a public hearing on February 21, 2019, and didn't receive any public comment.

I did circulate this document called the

2.1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

Comparison of Proposed Rule to Final Rule. We made a few clarifications. When the Law Department reviewed the rule, they had some suggestions they thought would improve it. And, so you can see in Section 3-15(a), which talks about the appeals decisions and when they become final determinations of the tribunal, and we had sort of cleaned up that language to make it clear when judicial review pursuant to CPLR Article 78 can, can begin. And so the only change we made from the Proposed Rule to the Final Rule is including the word "appeals decisions," just to make it very clear that we were talking about appeals decisions and not hearing officer decisions, although you could imply that by the fact that you're talking about the Appeals Unit, but we just, you know, for, for the layperson, wanted to make it very, very clear.

And then, in Subsection (b) of 3-15, this is just sort of cleanup. We added an "s" to appeals decisions just to be consistent with how we've been calling the superseding appeals decisions elsewhere.

2.1

And then, in 3-16(a), the Law Department asked that we be more specific about what decisions we were talking about as well. And, so, we added some language that says if the Board has not issued an appeals decision within 180 days from the filing of the appeal, appeal, which was the timeframe that, that existed in the rule already and that was from the Charter. We added, "or if the Board has not issued a superseding appeals decision," again, within 180 days from the request of the superseding appeal, that the respondent may, at that time, seek judicial review of the recommended decision.

So we just wanted to clarify, since, previously, the rule only talked about final decisions generally and didn't distinguish between appeals decisions and superseding appeals decisions. That, that's why we added that additional language.

Does anyone have any questions?

MS. SLIFKA: Okay. So do we have a motion to adopt the new rules? Okay. And how many for? It looks, with myself, it's unanimous.

1	February 28, 2019
2	MS. SALLOUM: Great.
3	MS. SLIFKA: Okay, very good.
4	MS. SALLOUM: Thank you.
5	MS. SLIFKA: Okay. Okay, so we're just
6	going to discuss further the panel composition
7	right now. Now, I know we put, we put off a vote
8	on the, on changing the composition because Doug
9	wasn't here last, at the last meeting.
10	MS. ELIZABETH KNAUER, ESQ., APPOINTED
11	MEMBER: It was Tom.
12	MS. SLIFKA: Tom.
13	MS. KNAUER: No, it was Tom wasn't here.
14	MS. SLIFKA: I'm sorry, Tom. Tom I'm
15	sorry. I'm looking at Doug. So Tom wasn't here
16	at the last meeting. Do you want to put it off
17	again, continue with the panels as it is and put
18	off a vote about changing it going forward?
19	MS. KNAUER: I guess the, the same
20	reasoning applies, so.
21	MS. SLIFKA: Right. So we'll, we'll
22	continue
23	MS. KNAUER: Continue
24	MS. SLIFKA: with the panels as they

1	February 28, 2019
2	are, with having two citizen members as opposed
3	to three, until the next Board meeting and,
4	hopefully, we'll Tom will be here and we'll be
5	able to take a vote on whether it will be a
6	forever after change of the panel.
7	MS. SALLOUM: We've been voting on it.
8	This is Simone Salloum.
9	MS. SLIFKA: Okay.
10	MS. SALLOUM: And we've been voting on
11	it each time
12	MS. SLIFKA: On, on continuing it the
13	way it is.
14	MS. SALLOUM: to, to the next Board
15	meeting.
16	MS. SLIFKA: Okay.
17	MS. SALLOUM: So we may want to just do
18	that again
19	MS. SLIFKA: Okay.
20	MS. SALLOUM: for consistency.
21	MS. SLIFKA: Very good. Okay. So do we
22	have a motion just to continue the way the panel
23	is with two citizen members what?
24	MS. KNAUER: It's one citizen member.

1	February 28, 2019
2	MS. SALLOUM: I think it's one citizen
3	member.
4	MS. SLIFKA: Oh, one citi-, I'm sorry.
5	Right.
6	MS. KNAUER: Right.
7	MS. SLIFKA: Sorry. One citizen member.
8	So I'm not paying attention. One citizen member
9	and one ex officio until the next Board meeting.
10	Do we have a motion for that? Okay. And can all
11	for, everybody for? Okay. And myself included,
12	so that's unanimous. Okay, thank you. Okay.
13	Alright, Russ.
14	RUSSELL PECUNIES, ESQ., DEPARTMENT OF
15	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION: Alright, thank you.
16	MS. SLIFKA: Russ Pecunies is going to
17	be presented.
18	MR. PECUNIES: Alright. Russell
19	Pecunies with the Bureau of Legal Affairs at the
20	Department of Environmental Protection. So, for
21	this Board meeting, first, DEP's Bureau of
22	Wastewater Treatment has a request for a cease
23	and desist order for 691 Coney Island Avenue in
24	Brooklyn. The Respondent is Shakeel Khokhar.

2.1

2.2

This began in August of 2017, when a DEP inspector observed an overloaded grease interceptor and, accordingly, issued a summons. In October, a Commissioner's order was issued to the respondent to install a variety of appropriately sized grease interceptors at the establishment and once again observed a grossly overloaded grease interceptor.

Inspections in November, January and -in November and January again resulted in
observations of grossly overloaded grease
interceptors and failure to comply with the order
that had been issued in October.

And, finally, due to the fact that respondent had failed to appear for any of the hearings on the summonses, as well as a mandatory compliance meeting, the Bureau decided that it was time to ask the Board to approve a cease and desist order. So, therefore, at this point, the Bureau is requesting that, if the respondent does not -- requesting the issuance of a cease and desist order, and, if they don't comply, termination of the water and sewer service to the

February 28, 2019 1 2 premises. MS. SLIFKA: Okay. So is there a motion 3 4 to approve? Okay. And how many for? Okay, it's 5 unanimous. MR. PECUNIES: Okay? We also have 45 6 7 requests for cease and desist orders for failure to comply with Commissioner's orders to install 8 9 backflow prevention devices. In each of these 10 cases, a DEP inspector has determined that the 11 premises requires backflow prevention devices. The building owner has been issued an order to 12 13 install them. After failure to comply with that 14 order, a summons has been issued and adjudicated 15 in violation and there is still no compliance at 16 each of these 45 premises. So, in each of these 17 cases, DEP is requesting that the Board issue an order to cease and desist. 18 19 MS. SLIFKA: Okay. Is there a motion to 20 approve? Okay. And how many for? Including 2.1 myself, that's unanimous. 2.2 MR. PECUNIES: And, for Noise this

requests. So we have eight requests. I normally

meeting, we have almost a year's worth of

23

24

1 February 28, 2019 2 go through these individually, so I'm perfectly 3 okay with doing that. But there are eight of 4 them, so we could vote on them individually or, 5 if you wanted to vote on them collectively, it's -- I could just quickly go through each one of 6 7 them and --8 MS. SLIFKA: Alright, let's see if we 9 can vote on them collectively. 10 MR. PECUNIES: Okay. 11 MS. SLIFKA: Alright. 12 MR. PECUNIES: Alright. So the first 13 one that I have is for 516 Nostrand Avenue in 14 Brooklyn. The respondent is Pattie Hut B, 15 Incorporated, and they have been cited three 16 times, most recently in December, for noise from 17 their kitchen exhaust. And due to the continuing 18 failure to comply, the Department is asking the 19 Board to issue an order to cease and desist. 20 MS. SLIFKA: Any questions on that? No. 2.1 Okay, let's go to the next one. We're going to 22 try to do them collectively.

MR. PECUNIES: Okay, we'll do it --

The next one is Leske's Bakery at 7612 5th

23

24

okay.

2.1

February 28, 2019

Avenue in Brooklyn. This one is for noise from refrigeration units. They've been cited three times, most recently in January, and are still not in compliance.

MS. SLIFKA: Any questions? No. Okay.

MR. PECUNIES: Okay. The next one is SESSION New York at 98 South 4th Street in Brooklyn. This one is for excessive noise from the sound system, and they have been cited three times, most recently in December. And based on a continuing failure to comply, the Department is requesting an order to cease and desist.

MS. SLIFKA: Questions? Nope.

MR. PECUNIES: Okay. The next one is Oggi Produce, Inc., at 66-64 Fresh Pond Road in Queens. This one is for refrigeration units, and they have been cited, again, three times and are still not complying. So, again, the Department is requesting an order to cease and desist.

MS. SLIFKA: Questions? No. Okay.

MR. PECUNIES: The next one is VC Chef, LLC, at 306 West 13th Street in Manhattan. This one is for noise from kitchen exhaust, and they

1	February 28, 2019
2	have been cited, again, three times, most
3	recently in January, and are still not complying.
4	MS. SLIFKA: Questions? No. Okay.
5	MR. PECUNIES: Next, we have Golda Rivka
6	2, LLC. The premises is 210 West 251st Street in
7	the Bronx. This is a rather unusual one we
8	actually have two of these because the noise
9	is from a water pump. I don't know that we've
10	ever had a cease and desist on noise from a water
11	pump before, but this, this time around, we have
12	two. They've been cited for excessive noise from
13	this water pump, again, three times. Still not
14	in compliance, and requesting an order to cease
15	and desist.
16	MS. SLIFKA: Questions? No.
17	MR. PECUNIES: The next
18	MS. KNAUER: Just, I would remark,
19	likewise, that in my tenure on this Board, I
20	don't remember
21	MR. PECUNIES: What's that?
22	MS. KNAUER: I don't, in my tenure on
23	this Board, I don't remember ever
24	MS. SLIFKA: Having one for a water

1 February 28, 2019 2 pump. MS. KNAUER: -- having one for a, a 3 4 water pump. 5 MR. PECUNIES: A water pump is, it's the 6 first time I remember ever having excessive noise 7 from a water pump. But I guess if they're not being maintained correctly or if they're old, 8 9 they can be noisy, so. 10 MS. KNAUER: So if this, if this, if 11 this, if they don't comply, would this result in the cessation of water service? 12 13 MR. PECUNIES: You know, I don't know 14 what, precisely, what the water pumps at these --15 MS. KNAUER: Uh-huh. 16 MR. PECUNIES: -- two locations are used 17 It says -- I'm looking at one of the for. 18 summonses for the next one at 3515 Henry Hudson 19 Parkway. It says that the noise is coming from 20 one cold water pump. So I'm not sure what the 2.1 effect of turning off the cold water pump would 22 be. 23 MS. KNAUER: Alright. I was just 24 curious.

1 February 28, 2019 2 MS. SLIFKA: Okay. 3 MR. PECUNIES: Yeah. 4 MS. SLIFKA: That's it? 5 MR. PECUNIES: No, two more. 6 MS. SLIFKA: No, one more, two more. 7 lost count. 8 MR. PECUNIES: So the next one, which is 9 the other one with the water pump, is 3515 Owners 10 Corp. at 3515 Henry Hudson Parkway in the Bronx. 11 Again, three violations have been issued, with 12 the most recent one in January, and they're still 13 not in compliance. 14 And the last one, the respondent is 15 called Part of the Solution. The premises is 16 2759 Webster Avenue in the Bronx. This is a 17 facility that provides services to homeless and 18 indigent people, and they have a food kitchen and 19 food preparation facility at the location. 20 there is a kitchen exhaust, and they have had

are still not in compliance. MS. SLIFKA: Okay. Any questions on any

noise from the kitchen exhaust on three

2.1

2.2

23

24

occasions, again, most recently in January, and

2.1

2.2

of these eight ones that Russ just recited? No questions? Okay. So we're going to take -- is there a motion to vote on all eight requests for a C&D? Okay. And how many for? Myself included, that seems unanimous. Okay. Thank you.

MR. PECUNIES: Alright. Thank you very much.

MS. SLIFKA: Okay. And now we have Kelly Corso, who's going to give the pre-sealing reports.

KELLY CORSO, ESQ., ASSISTANT

COMMISSIONER FOR HEARINGS DIVISION ADJUDICATIONS,

OATH: Good morning. Kelly Corso, Assistant

Commissioner for Hearings Division. We have 26

pre-sealing reports for the Board today. All of

them have to do with backflow devices. Twenty
four of them, the hearing officers have

recommended that there be no further action

because the respondents presented evidence of

compliance at the hearings.

In one of the additional ones, the hearing officer recommended that the C&D

1

February 28, 2019

2

3

proceedings be discontinued because the DEP has determined that the respondent has complied.

In the final one, it's, there is a

4

5 recommendation for a water shut-off.

6 7

is Bailey Laundry, and it's at 3114 Bailey Avenue

This facility was the subject of a

Respondent is Placido Rodriguez and the premises

8

in the Bronx.

9

10 Commissioner's order back in 2009, and the

11

respondent did not comply with the order. A

12

summons was issued. Respondent did not appear at

There have been hearings, there have

13

the hearing. And, in November 2012, the Board

been eight hearings on this matter from 2013

until early this year and the case has been

in some kind of evidence to show that it's in

been no credible evidence of, of compliance.

adjourned seven times for the respondent to bring

compliance or attempting to comply, and there has

14

issued a cease and desist order.

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

So the hearing officer at the most recent hearing on February 12th has recommended that the water be shut off. At each hearing, the

Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 256 West 38<sup>th</sup> Street, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor, New York, NY 10018

1	February 28, 2019
2	respondent or their rep was warned that this was
3	a possibility and, to date, they still have not
4	come into compliance.
5	MS. SLIFKA: Any questions?
6	MS. KNAUER: Well, the usual question.
7	Is this just a commercial premises?
8	MS. CORSO: It, yes.
9	MR. PECUNIES: Yes, this, this is
10	MS. KNAUER: This is the laundry?
11	MR. PECUNIES: one of the first ones
12	that we are going we're going back through all
13	of the old files for the premises that are not in
14	compliance.
15	MS. KNAUER: Mm-hmm.
16	MR. PECUNIES: And the ones that they're
17	selecting to serve with these water shut-off
18	warning notices, that they have to call OATH
19	immediately, get back in front of the hearing
20	officer and show that they're in compliance.
21	They're being selected specifically after
22	inspections because they're purely commercial, no
23	residential, and they're doing laundromats and
24	dry cleaners right now. So this is just a

1 February 28, 2019 2 laundromat and nothing else. MS. SLIFKA: Okay. Let's take these --3 I first want to deal with the 24. Is there a 4 5 motion to approve on the 24 where no sealing or 6 other action is recommended? Okay. And, and how 7 many approve? All approve? Okay, very good. 8 Thank you. Now, the one backflow where the 9 hearing officer is recommending discontinuance, 10 do we have a motion to vote on that, to approve 11 that? Okay. And how many approve? All approve, 12 including myself. And now, on the last one that 13 you, Kelly talked about that has to do with the 14 water shut-off, do we have a motion to approve 15 that? Okay. And how many for? And it's 16 unanimous with myself. Okay. Thank you, Kelly. 17 MS. CORSO: Yes. 18 MS. SLIFKA: Okay, is there anything 19 else you wanted to say? Anything about --20 TYNIA RICHARD, ESQ., DEPUTY 2.1 COMMISSIONER/GENERAL COUNSEL, OATH: There was 22 just one --

Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 256 West 38<sup>th</sup> Street, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor, New York, NY 10018

MS. SLIFKA: Tynia Richard, for the

23

24

record.

February 28, 2019 1 2 MS. RICHARD: Thank you. There is just one mention, because we discussed the fact that 3 4 we need more panel members and asked for you guys 5 to send recommendations. Those have been 6 received and been passed on to the Appointments 7 Committee, and they are in the process of reviewing three of those applicants. 8 9 MS. KNAUER: Oh, great. 10 MS. RICHARD: Yeah. So we hope to, you 11 know, have some progress by the next meeting. 12 MS. SLIFKA: Which would be good, so. 13 Is there a motion to adjourn? Alright, 14 thank you, everyone. Thank you for your 15 patience, and this meeting is adjourned. 16 (The board meeting concluded at 10:08 17 A.M.) 18 19 20 2.1 22 23 24

#### CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY

I, Gregory Woltman, certify that the foregoing transcript of Board Meeting of the Environmental Control Board on February 28, 2019, was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Certified By

Date: March 27, 2019

GENEVAWORLDWIDE, INC

256 West 38th Street - 10th Floor

New York, NY 10018