

NEW ISSUE

In the opinion of Nixon Peabody LLP, Bond Counsel to the Authority, under existing law, and assuming compliance with the tax covenants described herein, interest on the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes under Section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code") and is not treated as a preference item in calculating the alternative minimum tax imposed under the Code with respect to individuals and corporations. Bond Counsel is of the opinion that interest on the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds is, however, included in the adjusted current earnings of certain corporations for purposes of computing the alternative minimum tax imposed on such corporations. Bond Counsel is further of the opinion that, under existing law, interest on the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds is exempt from personal income taxes of the State of New York and its political subdivisions, including The City of New York, as described more fully herein. See, however, "TAX EXEMPTION" herein regarding certain other tax considerations.

\$323,730,000

New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority

Water and Sewer System Revenue Bonds, Fiscal 2000 Series B

Dated: Date of Delivery

Due: June 15, as shown below

The Fiscal 2000 B Bonds will be issued as registered bonds and will be registered in the name of Cede & Co., as nominee of The Depository Trust Company, New York, New York which will act as securities depository for the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds. Purchases of beneficial interests in such Fiscal 2000 B Bonds will be made in book-entry-only form. Purchasers will not receive certificates representing the ownership interest in the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds purchased by them. See "APPENDIX F—BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY FORM."

Interest on the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds will accrue from their date of delivery and will be payable semiannually on each June 15th and December 15th commencing December 15, 2000. The Fiscal 2000 B Bonds will be issued in authorized denominations of \$5,000 and integral multiples thereof. The Fiscal 2000 B Bonds are subject to redemption prior to maturity as described herein. The proceeds of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds are expected to be applied (i) to reimburse banks for amounts to be drawn under irrevocable letters of credit to pay principal and interest on \$300 million aggregate principal amount of the Authority's outstanding Commercial Paper Notes, (ii) to pay certain costs of issuance and (iii) to fund certain reserves.

\$60,000,000 6.50% Fiscal 2000 B Term Bonds Due June 15, 2031, Yield 5.84%*
\$70,000,000 6.10% Fiscal 2000 B Term Bonds Due June 15, 2031, Price 100%
\$193,730,000 6.00% Fiscal 2000 B Term Bonds Due June 15, 2033, Yield 6.11%

* Priced to the stated yield to the June 15, 2011 optional redemption date at a redemption price of 100%.

The Fiscal 2000 B Bonds are special obligations of the Authority, payable solely from and secured by a pledge of and first lien on the gross revenues of the System. The Authority has no taxing power. The Fiscal 2000 B Bonds are not a debt of the State of New York, The City of New York or the New York City Water Board and none of the State of New York, The City of New York nor the New York City Water Board is liable on the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds.

The Fiscal 2000 B Bonds are offered when, as and if issued by the Authority and received by the Underwriters and subject to the approval of legality by Nixon Peabody LLP, New York, New York, Bond Counsel. Certain legal matters will be passed upon for the Underwriters by Willkie Farr & Gallagher, New York, New York. It is anticipated that the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds will be available for delivery to The Depository Trust Company in New York, New York, on or about June 20, 2000.

PaineWebber Incorporated

First Albany Corporation

Merrill Lynch & Co.

Bear, Stearns & Co. Inc.

Dain Rauscher Inc.

Goldman, Sachs & Co.

Lehman Brothers

J.P. Morgan & Co.

Morgan Stanley Dean Witter

Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated

Salomon Smith Barney

**William E. Simon & Sons
Municipal Securities, Inc.**

Fleet Securities, Inc.

David Lerner Associates Inc.

Roosevelt & Cross Incorporated



New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority
75 Park Place, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10007
212-788-5889

Adam L. Barsky, <i>ex officio</i>	<i>Member</i>
John P. Cahill, <i>ex officio</i>	<i>Member</i>
Andrew S. Eristoff, <i>ex officio</i>	<i>Member</i>
Joel A. Miele, Sr, P.E., <i>ex officio</i>	<i>Member</i>
Charles E. Dorkey III	<i>Member</i>
Arthur B. Hill	<i>Member</i>
James P. Stuckey	
	<i>Executive Director</i>
Mark Page	<i>Treasurer</i>
Alan Anders	<i>Secretary</i>
Marjorie E. Henning	<i>Comptroller</i>
Lawrence R. Glantz	<i>Assistant Treasurer</i>
Joanne S. Feld	<i>Assistant Secretary</i>
Prescott D. Ulrey	

New York City Water Board
59-17 Junction Boulevard, 8th Floor
Corona, New York 11368
718-595-3586

Mark R. Hellerer	<i>Chairman</i>
Susan Millington Campbell	<i>Member</i>
Leroy Carmichael	<i>Member</i>
Amaziah Howell	<i>Member</i>
Agustin Rivera	<i>Member</i>
David B. Rosenauer	<i>Member</i>
James T.B. Tripp	
	<i>Executive Director</i>
Diana Chapin, Ph.D.	<i>Treasurer</i>
William Kusterbeck	<i>Deputy Treasurer</i>
Jack Serrano	<i>Secretary</i>
Albert F. Moncure, Jr.	

Authority Consultants

Bond Counsel
Consulting Engineer
Financial Advisors
Rate Consultant

Nixon Peabody LLP
Metcalf & Eddy of New York, Inc.
Prudential Securities Incorporated/Ramirez & Co., Inc.
Black & Veatch, LLP

This Official Statement does not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy any of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds in any jurisdiction to any person to whom it is unlawful to make such offer in such jurisdiction. No dealer, salesperson or any other person has been authorized to give any information or make any representation, other than those contained herein, in connection with the offering of any of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds and if given or made, such information or representation must not be relied upon. Information contained on the Authority's web page, or on any other web page, on the City's web site is not a part of this Official Statement. Neither the delivery of this Official Statement nor the sale of any of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds implies that there has been no change in the affairs of the Authority, the Board or the City or the other matters described herein since the date hereof.

If and when included in this Official Statement, the words "expects," "forecasts," "projects," "intends," "anticipates," "estimates" and analogous expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements as defined in the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and any such statements inherently are subject to a variety of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected. Such risks and uncertainties include, among others, general economic and business conditions, changes in political, social and economic conditions, regulatory initiatives and compliance with governmental regulations, litigation and various other events, conditions and circumstances, many of which are beyond the control of the Authority. These forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this Official Statement. The Authority disclaims any obligation or undertaking to release publicly any updates or revisions to any forward-looking statement contained herein to reflect any change in the Authority's expectations with regard thereto or any change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any such statements are based.

IN CONNECTION WITH THIS OFFERING, THE UNDERWRITERS MAY OVERALLOT OR EFFECT TRANSACTIONS WHICH STABILIZE OR MAINTAIN THE MARKET PRICE OF THE FISCAL 2000 B BONDS AT A LEVEL ABOVE THAT WHICH MIGHT OTHERWISE PREVAIL IN THE OPEN MARKET. SUCH STABILIZING, IF COMMENCED, MAY BE DISCONTINUED AT ANY TIME.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page		Page
SUMMARY STATEMENT	iii	Water Quality	46
INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT	1	Drought Response Measures	48
USE OF PROCEEDS	2	Governmental Regulation	49
PLAN OF FINANCE	2	Water Supply Regulation	51
THE FISCAL 2000 B BONDS	3	The Sewer System	54
General	3	History	55
Book-Entry Only	3	Sewage Collection	55
Redemption of Fiscal 2000 B Bonds	3	Sewage Treatment Facilities	57
SECURITY FOR THE BONDS	4	Biosolids Management	59
Revenues	4	Staffing Evaluation	60
Debt Service Reserve Fund	7	Governmental Regulation	60
Rate Covenant	7	Wastewater Regulation	60
Additional Bonds	8	ECONOMIC, SOCIAL AND DEMOGRAPHIC STATISTICS	62
Authority Debt	8	New York City Economy	62
Covenant of the State	9	Population	63
THE AUTHORITY	9	Employment Trends	63
Purpose and Powers	9	Sectoral Distribution of Employment and Earnings	64
Membership	9	Personal Income	66
THE BOARD	11	Housing	66
Purpose and Powers	11	LITIGATION	67
Membership	11	APPROVAL OF LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	69
THE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	12	FINANCIAL ADVISORS	69
Organization	12	FURTHER INFORMATION	69
Labor Relations	14	CONTINUING DISCLOSURE UNDER SEC RULE 15c2-12	69
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AND FINANCING PROGRAM	15	INVESTMENTS	71
Capital Improvement Program	15	RATINGS	71
Current Capital Plan	17	UNDERWRITING	71
Comparison of the CIP and the Current Capital Plan	18	LEGALITY FOR INVESTMENT AND DEPOSIT	71
Historical Capital Program	20	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	72
Financing Program	20	ENGINEERING FEASIBILITY REPORT AND FORECASTED CASH FLOWS	72
Sources and Uses of Capital Funds	21	TAX EXEMPTION	72
Debt Service Requirements	23	General	72
FINANCIAL OPERATIONS	24	Original Issue Discount	73
Revenues	24	Original Issue Premium	73
Expenses	25	Certain Other Federal Tax Information	73
Projected Revenues	26	CERTAIN LEGAL OPINIONS	74
Projected Operating and Maintenance Expenses	27	APPENDICES	
Projected Financial Operations	29	Appendix A — LETTER OF METCALF & EDDY OF NEW YORK, INC., CONSULTING ENGINEERS	A-1
RATES AND BILLING	30	Appendix B — LETTER OF BLACK & VEATCH, LLP, RATE CONSULTANTS	B-1
Billing and Collection	30	Appendix C — GLOSSARY AND SUMMARY OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS	C-1
Flat-Rate Accounts	31	Summary of the Agreement	C-10
Metered Accounts	31	Summary of the Lease	C-16
Universal Metering Program	32	Summary of the Resolution	C-19
Exempt Accounts	32	Appendix D — FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	D-1
Rates	33	Appendix E — FORM OF OPINION OF BOND COUNSEL	E-1
Comparative Annual Water and Sewer User Charges	34	Appendix F — BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY FORM	F-1
THE SYSTEM	35		
Service Area	35		
The Water System	35		
History	35		
Water Collection	37		
Water Transmission	41		
Water Distribution	44		

SUMMARY STATEMENT

The following is a brief summary of the information contained in this Official Statement and is subject in all respects to the additional information contained herein, including the appendices attached hereto. Defined terms have the same meaning herein as elsewhere in this Official Statement.

Authority Contact:	Ms. Joanne S. Feld Phone Number: (212) 788-5816 Fax Number: (212) 788-9197
Use of Proceeds:	The Fiscal 2000 B Bonds are being issued (i) to reimburse banks for amounts to be drawn under irrevocable letters of credit to pay principal and interest on approximately \$300 million aggregate principal amount of the Authority's outstanding Commercial Paper Notes, (ii) to pay certain costs of issuance and (iii) to fund certain reserves.
Description of the Bonds:	The Fiscal 2000 B Bonds are being issued by the Authority in the principal amount of \$323,730,000 pursuant to its Water and Sewer System General Revenue Bond Resolution adopted on November 14, 1985, as amended, and its Forty-first Supplemental Resolution adopted on June 2, 2000. The Fiscal 2000 B Bonds are issued in authorized denominations of \$5,000 and integral multiples thereof, and are in book-entry-only form.
Redemption Provisions:	The Fiscal 2000 B Bonds are subject to optional redemption and mandatory sinking fund redemptions as described herein.
Plan of Finance:	On or about the date of delivery of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds, the Authority expects to issue approximately \$100,000,000 of Variable Rate Bonds under its Water and Sewer System General Revenue Bond Resolution (the "Fiscal 2000 C Bonds"). The Fiscal 2000 C Bonds are being offered under a separate Official Statement. The proceeds of the Fiscal 2000 C Bonds will be used (i) to reimburse moneys to be drawn under irrevocable letters of credit to provide for the payment of the principal of and interest on approximately \$100 million aggregate principal amount of the Authority's outstanding Commercial Paper Notes, (ii) to pay certain costs of issuance and (iii) to fund certain reserves. The issuances of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds and the Fiscal 2000 C Bonds and the related uses of proceeds is collectively referred to herein as the "Plan of Finance."
The System:	In 1999, the Water System provided an average of 1,354 million gallons per day (mgd) of water to approximately 826,000 accounts. It supplies water to approximately nine million people, of which over 7,400,000 are in the City and the balance are in Westchester, Putnam, Orange and Ulster Counties. The Sewer System is comprised of an extensive network of sewer lines, catch basins, seepage basins and 14 treatment plants located in the City, as well as eight City-owned treatment plants located in the upstate watershed. In 1999, the sewage treatment facilities treated on average approximately 1,266 mgd of dry-weather sewage. Under the Act, the Lease and the Agreement, the Board is obligated to pay the operating expenses of the System. The City is obligated to operate and maintain the System.

Summary Financial Information:

	Fiscal Years					
	Historical			Projected		
	1997 (1)	1998 (1)	1999 (1)	2000	2001	2002
	(Thousands of Dollars)					
Current Revenues Available for Debt Service	\$1,216,503	\$1,420,180	\$1,466,006	\$1,483,755	\$1,517,583	\$1,612,698
Additional Revenues	18,225	0	0	0	0	0
Gross System Revenues	1,234,728	1,420,180	1,466,006	1,483,755	1,517,583	1,612,698
Net Operating Expenses	590,108	663,355	679,957	739,112	718,075	685,511
Other Expenses (including Rental Payments to New York City)	185,543	208,765	238,010	80,057	177,226	148,731
Total Expenses	775,651	872,120	917,967	819,169	895,301	834,242
Total First Resolution Bond Debt Service	391,304	418,307	427,363	445,209	514,056*	569,502*
Net Debt Service on Second Resolution Bonds (2)	22,060	66,981	54,801	84,893	20,145	106,265
Net Surplus	\$ 45,713	\$ 62,772	\$ 65,875	\$ 134,484	\$ 88,081	\$ 102,690
First Resolution Debt Service Coverage	3.16	3.37	3.43	3.33	2.95	2.83
First and Second Resolution Debt Service Coverage (2)	2.84	2.91	3.04	2.80	2.84	2.39
Rate Increase	6.5%	6.5%	4.0%	4.0%	1.0%	5.4%

* Includes estimated debt service on the Fiscal 2000 B and the Fiscal 2000 C Bonds.

Totals may not add due to rounding.

(1) Derived from supplemental schedules of cash receipts and disbursements contained in the annual financial statements.

(2) Includes interest on Commercial Paper Notes and reflects offset of carryforward revenues and Debt Service Fund earnings.

Total Authority Debt Outstanding: As of the date of this Official Statement, the Authority has approximately \$7.12 billion of Bonds and \$2.06 billion of Second Resolution Bonds Outstanding. These amounts do not include the Fiscal 2000 B and 2000 C Bonds. See "CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AND FINANCING PROGRAM—Debt Service Requirements." In addition, the Authority currently has a \$600 million commercial paper program.

Payment Record: The Authority has never defaulted on any debt service payments.

Capital Program: The City's Ten Year Capital Strategy published in April 1999 included a Capital Improvement Program applicable to the System for the period 2000 through 2009 (the "CIP"). The CIP includes projected expenditures of \$9.17 billion for water and sewer facilities of which approximately 99% is expected to be provided from System funds. The CIP is designed to maintain a satisfactory level of service, to improve operation of the System and to address future System requirements. The current capital plan forecasted as of April 22, 2000 (the "Current Capital Plan") amends the CIP for Fiscal Years 2000 through 2004 and increases the total anticipated cost of the capital program for Fiscal Years 2000 through 2004 from \$6.9 billion to \$7.6 billion.

Bond Financing Program: The following table shows total proceeds expected to be derived from Authority bond issues during the period from Fiscal Year 2000 to Fiscal Year 2005.

(Thousands of Dollars)						
FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	Period Total
\$1,005,225	\$1,153,373	\$1,305,466	\$1,495,306	\$1,512,666	\$1,552,426	\$8,024,462

Security for the Bonds:

Revenue Pledge:

The Bonds are special obligations of the Authority, payable solely from and secured by a pledge of the gross revenues of the System prior to the payment of operation and maintenance costs or any other expenses.

Debt Service Reserve Fund:

Upon the delivery of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds, the Debt Service Reserve Fund will be funded in an amount at least equal to the maximum annual Adjusted Aggregate Debt Service on the Bonds.

Rate Covenant:

The Board has covenanted to establish and collect rates, fees and charges sufficient in each Fiscal Year so that Revenues collected in such Fiscal Year will be at least equal to the sum of (i) 115% of Aggregate Debt Service on all Bonds Outstanding and on any Projected Series of Bonds (excluding Refundable Principal Installments for the payment of which funds are held in trust) payable in such Fiscal Year, and (ii) 100% of the Operating Expenses and Required Deposits (which includes debt service on the Second Resolution Bonds and other subordinate debt) to the extent required to be paid from Revenues for such Fiscal Year.

Additional Bonds Test:

Additional Bonds may be issued only if the estimated Revenues for the Fiscal Year in which such Bonds are issued and each of the five Fiscal Years following the issuance of such Bonds will be at least equal to the sum of (x) 115% of the maximum estimated Adjusted Aggregate Debt Service on all Bonds, including the Bonds to be issued, and (y) 100% of the sum of the projected Operating Expenses and Required Deposits (including Debt Service Reserve Fund replenishment and subordinate debt service) for such Fiscal Years and only if the Revenues for either of the last two Fiscal Years preceding the Fiscal Year in which the Bonds are to be issued were at least equal to the sum of (i) 115% of the Aggregate Debt Service for such Fiscal Year (excluding any Principal Installments, or portion thereof, paid from sources other than the Revenues) and (ii) 100% of the sum of Operating Expenses and Required Deposits for such Fiscal Year. Refunding Bonds may be issued under the Resolution either upon satisfaction of such conditions or other conditions. Second Resolution Bonds may be issued under the Second Resolution only if the Revenues for either of the last two Fiscal Years preceding the Fiscal Year in which the Bonds are to be issued were at least equal to the sum of (i) 110% of the Aggregate Debt Service for such Fiscal Year on the First Resolution Bonds, the Second Resolution Bonds and certain other Subordinated Indebtedness (excluding any Debt Service paid from sources other than the Revenues) and (ii) 100% of the sum of Operating Expenses and Required Deposits for such Fiscal Year. Refunding Second Resolution Bonds may be issued under the Second Resolution either upon satisfaction of such conditions or other conditions.

Summary of Certain Legal Opinions:

Bond Counsel has rendered opinions to the effect that, in the event of a bankruptcy of the City, (i) a court, exercising reasonable judgment after full consideration of all relevant factors, would not hold that the

Revenues are property of the City and would not order the substantive consolidation of the assets and liabilities of either the Board or the Authority with those of the City and (ii) the Board, in the event the City should reject the Lease, would be entitled to remain in possession of the System for the balance of the Lease term. Bond Counsel has also opined that under current law neither the Board nor the Authority qualifies as a debtor under the United States Bankruptcy Code.

Rates:

Rates, fees and charges are imposed by the Board and are not subject to regulatory approval except for those rates charged to a limited class of upstate users representing less than 1% of Revenues and as provided under certain federal grants.

The Authority:

The Authority, a separate legal entity established in 1984, has the power to (i) issue bonds, bond anticipation notes and other obligations for the purpose of financing the renovation and improvement of the System, (ii) refund its bonds and notes and general obligation bonds of the City issued for water or sewer purposes, (iii) require the Board to fix rates sufficient to pay the costs of operating and financing improvements to the System and (iv) require the City to maintain the System adequately. The Authority has no taxing power.

The Board:

The Board, a separate legal entity established in 1984, has leased the System from the City. It is authorized to fix and collect rates, fees and charges adequate to pay the cost of operating and financing the System.

The Agreement:

Pursuant to the Agreement, the Authority has agreed to finance all or a portion of the CIP, both current work and work commenced in prior years, through the issuance of bonds, notes or other indebtedness secured by revenues of the System.

The Lease:

Pursuant to the Lease, the Board has acquired the System from the City for a term continuing until provision has been made for the repayment of all Outstanding Bonds or other indebtedness of the Authority.

Financial Statements:

The financial statements (for the years ended June 30, 1998 and June 30, 1999) of the New York City Water and Sewer System included in Appendix D to this Official Statement have been audited by KPMG LLP, independent certified public accountants, to the extent and for the periods indicated in their report thereon.

OFFICIAL STATEMENT

\$323,730,000

**New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority
Water and Sewer System Revenue Bonds,
Fiscal 2000 Series B**

INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT

The purpose of this Official Statement is to set forth certain information pertaining to the New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority (the "Authority"), a public benefit corporation duly created and existing under the New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority Act, as amended (the "Act"); the New York City Water Board (the "Board"), a public benefit corporation created and existing under Chapter 515 of the Laws of 1984, both of which laws were enacted by the Legislature of the State of New York (the "State"); and the Authority's \$323,730,000 Water and Sewer System Revenue Bonds, Fiscal 2000 Series B (the "Fiscal 2000 B Bonds"). Capitalized terms used in this Official Statement and not defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed thereto in APPENDIX C—GLOSSARY AND SUMMARY OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS—Glossary."

Pursuant to a lease agreement (the "Lease") between the Board and The City of New York (the "City"), dated as of July 1, 1985, as amended, the Board has leased from the City its facilities for the collection, transmission and distribution of water (the "Water System") and its facilities for the collection, treatment and disposal of sewage (the "Sewer System") (collectively, the "System"). As required by the Act and the Lease, the System is operated and maintained by the Department of Environmental Protection of the City ("DEP"). The Board has also entered into a financing agreement, dated as of July 1, 1985, as amended (the "Agreement"), with the Authority and the City for the financing of capital improvements to the System through the issuance of bonds, notes and other obligations (the "Bonds") under its Water and Sewer System General Revenue Bond Resolution adopted on November 14, 1985, as amended (the "Resolution"), or subordinate obligations of the Authority under its Second Resolution (defined below). Pursuant to the Lease and the Agreement, the Board has agreed to cause rates, fees and charges to be collected.

The Fiscal 2000 B Bonds will be issued by the Authority pursuant to the Resolution and its Forty-first Supplemental Resolution adopted on June 2, 2000 (the "Supplemental Resolution"). The Resolution and the Supplemental Resolution are collectively referred to herein as the "Resolutions". United States Trust Company of New York serves as trustee under the Resolutions (in such capacity, the "Trustee") and will continue to serve as Trustee until a successor is appointed by the Bondholders in accordance with the Resolutions. The Authority has issued subordinate revenue bonds (the "Second Resolution Bonds") pursuant to its Water and Sewer Second General Revenue Bond Resolution adopted on March 30, 1994, as amended (the "Second Resolution").

The Bonds are special obligations of the Authority, payable solely from and secured by a pledge of the Revenues, all moneys or securities in any of the funds and accounts established under the Resolution, including the Debt Service Reserve Fund, and all other moneys and securities to be received, held or set aside pursuant to the Resolution, subject only to provisions of the Resolution and the Agreement relating to the use and application thereof. The Board has covenanted in the Agreement to maintain rates, fees and charges at sufficient levels to produce in each twelve-month period beginning on July 1 (a "Fiscal Year") an amount equal to 115% of the Aggregate Debt Service and Projected Debt Service on the Bonds (excluding Refundable Principal Installments for the payment of which funds are held in trust) to become due in such Fiscal Year on Bonds, plus 100% of the operation and maintenance expenses of the System certified by the City and of Required Deposits (which includes the debt service on the Second Resolution Bonds and other subordinate debt) to the extent required to be paid from Revenues. The Agreement requires a report of the Rate Consultant setting forth its *recommendations* as to any revisions of the rates, fees and charges necessary or advisable to meet the requirements of the rate covenant. See "SECURITY FOR THE BONDS—Rate Covenant." The Agreement also requires a Consulting Engineer to review the operation and maintenance of the System, and further require, the City to operate and maintain the System in accordance with the advice and recommendations of the Consulting Engineer. See "SECURITY FOR THE BONDS."

Rates, fees and charges are imposed by the Board and are not subject to regulatory approval nor are they subject to other resolutions under current law except for the rates charged to a limited class of upstate users, representing less than 1% of Revenues, or as required by certain federal grants. See "THE SYSTEM—Governmental Regulation" and "RATES AND BILLINGS."

The estimates and projections contained in this Official Statement are based on, among other factors, evaluations of historical revenue and expenditure data and analyses of economic trends affecting the Authority's finances. The financial projections contained herein are subject to certain contingencies that cannot be quantified and are subject to the uncertainties inherent in any attempt to predict the results of future operations. Accordingly, such projections are subject to periodic revision which may involve substantial change. Consequently, the Authority makes no representation or warranty that these estimates and projections will be realized.

The Authority has relied upon the authority of Metcalf & Eddy of New York, Inc. ("Metcalf & Eddy") for certain engineering feasibility information and upon the authority of Black & Veatch, LLP ("Black & Veatch") for certain financial estimates and projections. See "ENGINEERING FEASIBILITY REPORT AND FORECASTED CASH FLOWS."

USE OF PROCEEDS

It is anticipated that the proceeds of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds will be applied in the following manner:

To reimburse Letter of Credit Banks	\$301,151,618.98
Deposit to Debt Service Reserve Fund	19,793,800.00
Underwriters' Discount	1,896,607.36
Net Original Issue Premium	(164,498.50)
Costs of Issuance	1,052,472.16
Principal Amount of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds	<u>\$323,730,000.00</u>

A portion of the proceeds of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds will be applied to reimburse Commerzbank AG, The Toronto Dominion Bank, The Bank of Nova Scotia, Landesbank Hessen Thüringen Girozentrale, Bayerische Landesbank Girozentrale and Westdeutsche Landesbank Girozentrale (collectively the "Letter of Credit Banks") for moneys to be drawn under irrevocable letters of credit issued by the Letter of Credit Banks to provide for payment of the principal of, and interest on, approximately \$300 million aggregate principal amount of the Authority's Commercial Paper Notes, Series One and Five maturing on August 31, 2000 (the "Defeased Commercial Paper Notes"). Pursuant to Escrow Deposit Agreements between the Authority and United States Trust Company of New York (the "Escrow Agent"), moneys advanced by the Letter of Credit Banks will be irrevocably deposited in trust with the Escrow Agent in amounts sufficient to make or provide for full and timely payment of the principal of and interest on the outstanding Defeased Commercial Paper Notes at maturity. Upon such irrevocable deposits, the Defeased Commercial Paper Notes will no longer be deemed to be outstanding and all liens and pledges established for their benefit will be discharged.

PLAN OF FINANCE

On or about the date of delivery of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds, the Authority expects to issue approximately \$100,000,000 of Variable Rate Bonds under its Water and Sewer System General Revenue Bond Resolution (the "Fiscal 2000 C Bonds"). The Fiscal 2000 C Bonds are being offered under a separate Official Statement. The proceeds of the Fiscal 2000 C Bonds will be used (i) to reimburse moneys to be drawn under irrevocable letters of credit to provide for the payment of the principal of and interest on approximately \$100 million aggregate principal amount of the Authority's Outstanding Commercial Paper Notes, (ii) to pay certain costs of issuance and (iii) to fund certain reserves. The issuances of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds and the Fiscal 2000 C Bonds and the related uses of proceeds are collectively referred to herein as the "Plan of Finance."

THE FISCAL 2000 B BONDS

General

The Fiscal 2000 B Bonds initially delivered to the Underwriters will be dated their date of delivery. The Fiscal 2000 B Bonds will mature on and will bear interest payable on the dates and at the rates shown on the cover of this Official Statement.

Principal of, redemption premium, if any, and interest on the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds will be payable in lawful money of the United States of America. The Fiscal 2000 B Bonds will be issued only as fully registered bonds without coupons in denominations of \$5,000 and integral multiples thereof.

Book-Entry Only

The Fiscal 2000 B Bonds will be issued as registered bonds and will be registered in the name of Cede & Co., as nominee of The Depository Trust Company (“DTC”), which will act as securities depository for the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds. Purchases of beneficial interests in such Fiscal 2000 B Bonds will be made in book-entry-only form. Purchasers will not receive certificates representing the ownership interest in the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds purchased by them. See “APPENDIX F—BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY FORM.”

Redemption of Fiscal 2000 B Bonds

Sinking Fund Redemption. The Fiscal 2000 B Bonds due June 15, 2033, are subject to mandatory redemption prior to maturity in part, by lot, in such manner as the Trustee may reasonably determine, at a redemption price of 100% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued interest to the redemption date, on June 15 in each of the years and in the respective principal amounts, as follows:

Fiscal 2000 B Bonds maturing June 15, 2033	
<u>Year</u>	<u>Amount</u>
2032	\$ 53,075,000
2033†	140,655,000

† Final Maturity

Purchased Bonds. The Authority may from time to time direct the Trustee to purchase Fiscal 2000 B Bonds with moneys in the Debt Service Fund, at a price not greater than par, plus accrued interest to the date of such purchase, and apply such Fiscal 2000 B Bond so purchased as a credit, at 100% of the principal amount thereof, against and in fulfillment of a required Sinking Fund Installment on the Fiscal 2000 B Bond of the same maturity. Any excess of the amounts so credited over the amount of a Sinking Fund Installment for a Series of Bonds will be credited against future Sinking Fund Installments. To the extent that the Authority’s obligation to make Sinking Fund Installments in a particular year and for a particular Series of Bonds is fulfilled through such purchases, the likelihood of redemption through mandatory Sinking Fund Installments of any Fiscal 2000 B Bonds of the maturity so purchased will be reduced for such year.

Optional Redemption. The Fiscal 2000 B Bonds of each maturity are subject to redemption prior to maturity at the option of the Authority from any moneys available therefor on and after June 15, 2010 in whole at any time or in part on any interest payment date, by lot at the redemption prices (expressed as percentages of the principal amount of such Fiscal 2000 B Bonds to be redeemed) set forth below plus accrued interest to the redemption date.

<u>Redemption Period (both dates inclusive)</u>	<u>Optional Redemption Prices</u>
June 15, 2010 to June 14, 2011	101%
June 15, 2011 and thereafter	100

Notice of Redemption. Notice of redemption is to be given by first class mail, postage prepaid, at least 30 days prior to the date fixed for redemption, to the registered owners of Fiscal 2000 B Bonds to be redeemed at their addresses shown on the books of registry. So long as Cede & Co., as nominee of DTC, is the registered owner of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds, notice of redemption is to be sent to DTC. No assurance can be given by the Authority that DTC and DTC participants will promptly transmit notices of redemption to Beneficial Owners. See "APPENDIX F—BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY FORM."

If, on any redemption date, moneys for the redemption of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds to be redeemed, together with interest thereon to the redemption date, are held by the Trustee so as to be available therefor on such date, and if notice of redemption has been mailed, then interest on the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds to be redeemed will cease to accrue from and after the redemption date and such Fiscal 2000 B Bonds will no longer be considered to be Outstanding under the Resolution.

SECURITY FOR THE BONDS

Revenues

The Act empowers the Board to establish and collect rates, fees and charges for the use of service provided by the System in order to receive Revenues, which together with other available amounts, will be sufficient to place the System on a self-sustaining basis. All Revenues of the System are deposited by the Board in the Local Water Fund held by the Board. The Authority holds a statutory first lien on the Revenues for the payment of all amounts due to the Authority under the Agreement. In the event that the Board fails to make any required payment to the Authority, the Authority or the Trustee may petition for the appointment, by any court having jurisdiction, of a receiver to administer the affairs of the Board, and, with court approval, establish rates and charges to provide Revenues sufficient to make required payments. However, no holder or owner of any bond or note issued by the Authority, or any receiver of the System, may compel the sale of any part of the System.

The City has covenanted in the Agreement to operate and maintain the System in accordance with the advice and recommendations of the Consulting Engineer. Such obligation to operate and maintain the System may be enforced by the Authority in accordance with the provisions of the Act and the terms of the Agreement and the Lease. The amounts required to operate and maintain the System are certified to the Board by the City and reviewed by the Consulting Engineer.

Beginning on the first day of each month the Board is required to pay to the Trustee under the Resolution the Revenues in the Local Water Fund, for deposit in the Revenue Fund established under the Resolution until the amount so deposited equals the Minimum Monthly Balance and the Required Deposits for such month. The Minimum Monthly Balance is the amount required to provide for timely payment of all debt service on Outstanding Bonds. Required Deposits are the amounts required to be paid from Revenues for deposit to the Authority Expense Fund, the Debt Service Reserve Fund and the Subordinated Indebtedness Fund, including amounts required for payment of the Second Resolution Bonds and other subordinate debt. See "APPENDIX C—GLOSSARY AND SUMMARY OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS—Summary of the Agreement—Minimum Monthly Balance."

Amounts on deposit in the Revenue Fund are required to be paid to the following funds established under the Resolution in the following order of priority: first, to the Debt Service Fund; second, to the Authority Expense Fund; third, to the Debt Service Reserve Fund to replenish any deficiency therein; and fourth, to the Subordinated Indebtedness Fund. If amounts on deposit in such Debt Service Fund or such Debt Service Reserve Fund are less than the requirements thereof, amounts on deposit in the Subordinated Indebtedness Fund are required to be used to make up such deficiency. See "APPENDIX C—GLOSSARY AND SUMMARY OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS—Summary of the Resolution—Payments into Certain Funds."


After making such deposits to the Revenue Fund in such month the Board, from the balance remaining in the Local Water Fund, is required, after paying monthly Board Expenses, to pay the City $\frac{1}{2}$ of the operating expenses for such Fiscal Year. After making such payments, any amounts remaining in the Local Water Fund in each month are paid proportionately (a) to the Trustee for deposit in the Revenue Fund until the total of all amounts deposited

in the Revenue Fund equals the Cash Flow Requirement for such Fiscal Year and (b) to the City until all amounts required to be paid to the City for Operating Expenses for such Fiscal Year have been paid. For a more complete description of the required payments from the Local Water Fund, see "APPENDIX C—GLOSSARY AND SUMMARY OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS—Summary of the Resolution" and "Summary of the Agreement."

The Fiscal 2000 B Bonds will be on a parity with the currently Outstanding Bonds and with Bonds hereafter issued and are payable from and secured by a pledge of (i) all Revenues, (ii) all moneys or securities in any of the Funds and Accounts established under the Resolutions, except that moneys or securities on deposit in a Special Account are pledged only to the Series of Bonds to which such Account relates and moneys and securities on deposit in the Common Account are pledged only to the Bonds for which a Special Account has not been established pursuant to the General Resolution, and (iii) all other moneys and securities to be received, held or set aside pursuant to the Resolution, subject only to the provisions of the Resolution and the Agreement permitting the application thereof for the purposes and on the terms and conditions set forth therein, including the making of any required payments to the United States with respect to arbitrage earnings. See "APPENDIX C— GLOSSARY AND SUMMARY OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS—Summary of the Resolution" and "Summary of the Agreement."

Pursuant to the Agreement, the Resolution and the Second Resolution, the Revenues received by the Board will be applied in the manner set forth in the following chart. The information contained in such chart is qualified by reference to the Agreement, the Resolution and the Second Resolution.

Consolidated Flow of Funds



Second: Daily transfer from Local Water Fund (Monthly Requirement)

→ • Water Board Expenses

Third: Daily transfer from Local Water Fund (Monthly Requirement)

→ • System Operating and Maintenance

Fourth: Daily transfer from Local Water Fund (Up to Annual Requirement)

→ Pro rata to:

- General Resolution Revenue Fund: for annual Debt Service, Authority expenses, DSRF, Subordinate Indebtedness (Second Resolution)
- Systems Operations and Maintenance

Fifth - Seventh: Daily transfer from Local Water Fund (After Debt Service is set aside; typically funded during the last few months of the fiscal year)

→ • City lease payment, operating and maintenance reserve replenishment, surplus including pay as you go capital

Debt Service Reserve Fund

The Resolution establishes a Debt Service Reserve Fund and requires as a condition to the issuance of each Series of Bonds that there be deposited into the Debt Service Reserve Fund the amount, if any, necessary to make the amount on deposit therein equal to the Debt Service Reserve Requirement, after giving effect to the issuance of such Bonds. The Debt Service Reserve Requirement is an amount equal to maximum annual Adjusted Aggregate Debt Service in the then current or any future Fiscal Year on all Bonds Outstanding. Amounts on deposit in the Debt Service Reserve Fund will be applied, to the extent Revenues are not available, to pay Principal Installments and interest on the Bonds. See "APPENDIX C—GLOSSARY AND SUMMARY OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS—Summary of the Resolution—Debt Service Reserve Fund."

In lieu of making cash deposits to the Debt Service Reserve Fund, the Authority may satisfy the Debt Service Reserve Requirement by depositing Financial Guaranties into the Debt Service Reserve Fund. See "APPENDIX C—GLOSSARY AND SUMMARY OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS—Summary of the Resolution—Debt Service Reserve Fund."

On February 1, 2000, the market value of the securities and cash in the Debt Service Reserve Fund was in excess of the Debt Service Reserve Fund Requirement, which was approximately \$522 million as of such date. Upon the delivery of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds, the Debt Service Reserve Fund will be funded in an amount at least equal to the maximum annual Adjusted Aggregate Debt Service on the Bonds.

Rate Covenant

The Board has covenanted in the Agreement to establish, fix, revise and collect rates, fees and charges for the use of, or the services furnished by the System, adequate, together with other available funds, to provide for (i) the timely payment of Principal Installments of and interest on all Bonds, and the principal of and interest on any other indebtedness of the Authority (which includes Second Resolution Bonds and other subordinate debt) payable from Revenues, (ii) the proper operation and maintenance of the System, (iii) all other payments required for the System not otherwise provided for, and (iv) all other payments required pursuant to the Agreement and the Lease.

Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the Board has covenanted to establish and collect rates, fees and charges sufficient in each Fiscal Year so that Revenues collected in such Fiscal Year will be at least equal to the sum of (i) 115% of Aggregate Debt Service and Projected Debt Service on all Bonds (excluding Refundable Principal Installments that are payable from funds held in trust therefor) payable in such Fiscal Year, and (ii) 100% of the Operating Expenses and Required Deposits (including debt service on Second Resolution Bonds and other subordinate debt) required to be paid from Revenues for such Fiscal Year (the "Rate Covenant"). Amounts on deposit in the Revenue Fund on July 1 of a Fiscal Year will reduce the amount of Revenues required to be raised to meet the Required Deposits for such Fiscal Year. A failure to generate Revenues as set forth in this paragraph will not constitute an "event of default" under the Agreement if the Board takes timely action to correct any such deficiency as described in the following paragraph.

Under the Resolution and the Second Resolution, the Authority is required to submit to the Board by May 1 of each year the Authority Budget for the ensuing Fiscal Year showing the itemized estimated Cash Flow Requirement for such Fiscal Year. At the beginning of each month, the Authority is to recalculate the Cash Flow Requirement for the then current Fiscal Year and to submit it and any revisions to the Authority Budget required as a consequence. The Authority Budget and Cash Flow Requirement are to be used by the Board to set rates, fees and charges.

The Board has covenanted in the Agreement to review the adequacy of rates, fees and charges at least ~~annually~~. If such annual review, or the report of the Rate Consultant required pursuant to the Agreement, indicates that the rates, fees and charges are or will be insufficient to meet the requirements of the Rate Covenant described above, the Board will promptly take the necessary action to cure or avoid any such deficiency. In

addition, under the Agreement, the City, which is responsible for billing, collecting and enforcing collections of rates and charges established by the Board, has agreed that it will diligently pursue all actions necessary to cure or avoid any such deficiency.

The Board has covenanted in the Agreement that it will not furnish or supply or cause to be furnished or supplied any product, use or service of the System free of charge or at a nominal charge, and will enforce (or cause the City to enforce) the payment of any and all amounts owing to the Board for use of the System, except to the extent required by the Act, as in effect on July 24, 1984.

Additional Bonds

The Authority may issue additional Bonds to pay for capital improvements to the System, to pay or provide for the payment of Bonds, Second Resolution Bonds and bond anticipation notes, including commercial paper notes, to refund general obligation bonds of the City issued for water or sewer purposes and to fund certain reserves. Under the Resolution, additional Bonds may be issued only upon satisfaction of certain requirements, including receipt by the Trustee of:

(a) a certificate by an Authorized Representative of the Authority to the effect that the Revenues for either of the last two Fiscal Years immediately preceding the Fiscal Year in which such Bonds are to be issued were at least equal to the sum of (i) 115% of the Aggregate Debt Service during such Fiscal Year (excluding from Aggregate Debt Service any Principal Installments, or portion thereof, paid from a source other than Revenues), and (ii) 100% of the sum of the Operating Expenses of the System certified by the City and the Required Deposits for such Fiscal Year, and

(b) a certificate of the Rate Consultant to the effect that the estimated Revenues for each of the five Fiscal Years following the issuance of such Series of Bonds (plus the Fiscal Year in which such Bonds are issued) after giving effect to any increases or decreases in rates, fees and charges projected for such Fiscal Years will be at least equal to the sum of (i) 115% of the maximum estimated Adjusted Aggregate Debt Service on all Bonds then Outstanding including the Bonds to be issued, and (ii) 100% of the sum of the projected Operating Expenses and Required Deposits for such Fiscal Years.

The Authority may issue additional Bonds for the purpose of refunding Outstanding Bonds without satisfaction of the requirements described above only if:

(a) the average annual debt service on the refunding Bonds does not exceed the average annual debt service on the Bonds to be refunded, and

(b) the maximum debt service in any Fiscal Year on the refunding Bonds does not exceed the maximum debt service in any Fiscal Year on the Bonds to be refunded.

See "APPENDIX C—GLOSSARY AND SUMMARY OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS—Summary of the Resolution."

Authority Debt

At the date of this Official Statement, the Authority had approximately \$7.12 billion aggregate principal amount of Outstanding Bonds (Capital Appreciation Bonds are included at their accreted value as of May 15, 2000). In addition, at the date of this Official Statement, the Authority had approximately \$2.06 billion aggregate principal amount of outstanding Second Resolution Bonds. The Authority is authorized to have outstanding up to \$600 million of commercial paper notes (the "Commercial Paper Notes"). As of the date of this Official Statement, the Authority had \$600 million aggregate principal amount of Commercial Paper Notes outstanding.

Second Resolution Bonds are payable from, among other sources, and secured by, a pledge of amounts on deposit in the Subordinated Indebtedness Fund, subject to the first lien on such amounts in favor of the Bonds. Amounts of deposit in the Subordinated Indebtedness Fund will be available, to the extent not utilized for Bonds, to pay debt service on Second Resolution Bonds.

The Commercial Paper Notes are special obligations of the Authority the proceeds of which are used to pay the costs of capital improvements to the System and are payable from moneys derived from irrevocable, direct pay letters of credit. Interest on the Commercial Paper Notes is secured by the Revenues of the System and the moneys and investments from time to time on deposit in the Subordinated Indebtedness Fund and the funds and accounts established under the resolutions authorizing their issuance. The pledge of the Revenues and the moneys and investments from time to time on deposit in the Subordinated Indebtedness Fund is subject and subordinate to the pledge thereof made by the Resolution for the benefit of the holders of Bonds. However, the pledge of the moneys and investments on deposit in the Subordinated Indebtedness Fund securing Commercial Paper Notes is of equal priority with the pledge securing Second Resolution Bonds.

The Authority's obligations to reimburse the banks for moneys advanced by them pursuant to the letters of credit, and to pay interest on the moneys advanced are secured by a pledge of the moneys and investments on deposit in the Subordinated Indebtedness Fund on a parity with the pledge to secure the Second Resolution Bonds. Interest is also secured by a pledge of Revenues which is subordinate to the pledge securing the Bonds.

Covenant of the State

Section 1045-t of the Act constitutes a pledge of the State to the holders of Bonds not to limit or alter the rights vested in the Authority or the Board by the Act to fulfill the terms of any agreement made with or for the benefit of the holders of the Bonds until such obligations together with the interest thereon are fully met and discharged.

THE AUTHORITY

Purpose and Powers

The New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority is a public benefit corporation created pursuant to the Act. Among its powers under the Act, the Authority may borrow money, issue debt and enter into the Agreement, and refund its bonds and notes and general obligation bonds of the City issued for water or sewer purposes. Additionally, the Authority has the power to require that the Board charge and collect sufficient rates to pay the costs of operating and financing the System and to enforce the obligation of the City adequately to operate and maintain the System, regardless of reimbursement by the Board of the costs incurred by the City for operation and maintenance.

Pursuant to the Act, there is a statutory first lien upon the Revenues in favor of the payment of all amounts due to the Authority under the Agreement. The Revenues remain subject to this lien until provision for payment of all indebtedness issued by the Authority has been made. See "CERTAIN LEGAL OPINIONS" for a description of the opinion rendered by Bond Counsel that in the event of a City bankruptcy, a court, exercising reasonable judgment after full consideration of all relevant factors, would not hold that the Revenues are property of the City.

Membership

The Act authorizes a seven-member board to administer the Authority. Four of the members of the Board of Directors are designated in the Act as *ex officio* members: the Commissioner of Environmental Protection of the City, the Director of Management and Budget of the City, the Commissioner of Finance of the City and the Commissioner of Environmental Conservation of the State. Of the three remaining public members, two are appointed by the Mayor and one is appointed by the Governor. The public members have terms of two years. Pursuant to the Act, all members continue to hold office until their successors are appointed and qualified.

The current members of the Board of Directors are:

<u>Member</u>	<u>Occupation</u>	<u>Term Expires</u>
Adam L. Barsky	Director of Management and Budget of the City	<i>ex officio</i>
John P. Cahill	Commissioner of Environmental Conservation of the State	<i>ex officio</i>
Andrew S. Eristoff	Commissioner of Finance of the City	<i>ex officio</i>
Joel A. Miele, Sr, P.E.	Commissioner of Environmental Protection of the City	<i>ex officio</i>
Charles E. Dorkey III*	Partner, Torys	December 1997
Arthur B. Hill**	United Parcel Service, Retired	December 1997
James P. Stuckey*	Senior Vice President and Director of Commercial Development, Forest City Ratner Companies	December 1996

* Appointed by the Mayor.

** Appointed by the Governor.

The following is a brief description of the staff members of the Authority:

Mark Page, Executive Director

Mr. Page was appointed Acting Executive Director in December 1984 and Executive Director in October 1985. Mr. Page also serves as the Deputy Director and General Counsel of the Office of Management and Budget of the City. Mr. Page has worked for the City since 1978 and has served as Assistant General Counsel of the Office of Management and Budget. Mr. Page is a graduate of Harvard University and New York University School of Law.

Alan Anders, Treasurer

Mr. Anders was appointed Treasurer in October 1990. Mr. Anders also serves as Director of Financing Policy and Coordination for the Office of Management and Budget of the City. Prior to joining the Authority and the City in September 1990, Mr. Anders was a senior investment banker for J. P. Morgan Securities since 1977 and prior to that date was Executive Director of the Commission on Governmental Efficiency and Economy in Baltimore, Maryland. Mr. Anders is a graduate of the University of Pennsylvania and the University of Maryland Law School.

Marjorie E. Henning, Secretary

Ms. Henning was appointed Secretary in November 1993. Ms. Henning also serves as Counsel to the Office of Management and Budget of the City. Ms. Henning is a graduate of the State University of New York at Buffalo and the Harvard Law School.

Lawrence R. Glantz, Comptroller

Mr. Glantz was appointed Comptroller in January 2000. He is a graduate of Hofstra University.

Joanne S. Feld, Assistant Treasurer

Ms. Feld was appointed Assistant Treasurer in June 1997. Ms. Feld is a graduate of the University of Toronto and Columbia University's School of International and Public Affairs.

Prescott D. Ulrey, Assistant Secretary

Mr. Ulrey was appointed Assistant Secretary in February 1998. Mr. Ulrey also serves as Deputy Counsel of the Office of Management and Budget of the City. He is a graduate of the University of California at Berkeley, the Fletcher School of Law and Diplomacy of Tufts University and Columbia Law School.

THE BOARD

Purpose and Powers

The Board is a public benefit corporation of the State created by Chapter 515 of the Laws of 1984. The primary responsibility of the Board is to fix, revise, charge, collect and enforce rates and other charges for the System.

The Board is required under the Act to establish rates that will provide adequate funds to pay the debt service on outstanding Authority indebtedness and the City's cost of operating and maintaining the System. In each Fiscal Year, any amounts remaining in the Local Water Fund, after making the required payments under the Agreement, shall be deposited in the General Account in the Operation and Maintenance Reserve Fund and shall be available either as a source of funding for System expenditures or upon certification of the City for deposit to the Authority's Construction Fund to pay for the costs of System capital projects. See "APPENDIX C—GLOSSARY AND SUMMARY OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS—Summary of the Agreement—Application of Moneys in the Operation and Maintenance Reserve Fund."

Pursuant to the Lease, the Board has a leasehold interest in the System for a term continuing until all Bonds or other obligations issued by the Authority are paid in full or provision for payment has been made. Under the Lease, the City will provide billing, collection, enforcement and legal services to the Board. The Board is required to compensate the City for the cost of these services.

Membership

The Board consists of seven members who are appointed by the Mayor for terms of two years. The Act also provides that at least one member will have experience in the science of water resource development and that no member of the Board will be a member of the Authority. The Chairman is appointed by the Mayor. Pursuant to the Act, all members continue to hold office until their successors are appointed and qualified.

The current members of the Board are:

<u>Member</u>	<u>Occupation</u>	<u>Term Expires</u>
Mark R. Hellerer, Chairman	Partner, Winthrop, Stimson, Putnam & Roberts	June 1997
Susan Millington Campbell	Partner, Hughes Hubbard & Reed LLP	June 1998
Leroy Carmichael	Executive Director, Bronx Psychiatric Center	June 1996
Amaziah Howell	President, Howell Petroleum Products, Inc.	June 1998
Agustin Rivera	Special Advisor, New York City Technical College	June 2000
David B. Rosenauer	Partner, Gibson Dunn & Crutcher	June 1997
James T.B. Tripp	General Counsel, Environmental Defense Fund	June 1995

The following is a brief description of the staff members of the Board:

Diana Chapin, Ph.D., Executive Director

Ms. Chapin was appointed Executive Director of the Board in October 1996 and First Deputy Commissioner of DEP in August 1996. She began her career in City government in 1978, most recently as Deputy Commissioner for Policy and Administration at the Department of Buildings, where she chaired the Plumbing Board and coordinated the One Stop Permitting Program. Prior to that, she was the Deputy Commissioner in charge of Planning, Revenue and Capital Projects at the Department of Parks and Recreation. Ms. Chapin is a graduate of the University of Michigan, and received a Ph.D. from Cornell University where she was both a Woodrow Wilson Fellow and a Dissertation Fellow.

William Kusterbeck, Treasurer

Mr. Kusterbeck was appointed Acting Treasurer in June 1985 and Treasurer in November 1985. Mr. Kusterbeck has worked for DEP since 1979. He has served in various positions in DEP including Director

of Rates and Revenue, and Director of the Office of Planning. Mr. Kusterbeck is a graduate of Hunter College of the City University of New York and Columbia University Graduate School of Business.

Jack Serrano, Deputy Treasurer

Mr. Serrano was appointed Deputy Treasurer in May 1991. He has worked for the City and DEP since 1987, and has served as the Deputy Director of Rates and Revenue and as the Director of the Audit Implementation and Analysis Group. Prior to joining the City, Mr. Serrano worked with the American Telephone & Telegraph Company as District Manager, Financial Analysis. Mr. Serrano is a graduate of Pace University.

Albert F. Moncure, Jr., Secretary

Mr. Moncure was named Acting Secretary in February 1997 and Secretary in April 1997. Mr. Moncure also serves as Chief of the Municipal Finance Division of the New York City Law Department. Mr. Moncure has worked for the Law Department since 1986. Mr. Moncure is a graduate of Dartmouth College and the Yale Law School.

THE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Organization

The following table sets forth the seven DEP bureaus relating to the System, their staffing levels and their major responsibilities.

<u>Bureau</u>	<u>System Staff</u>	<u>Major Responsibilities</u>
Customer Services	622	Administration of water conservation programs, billings, collections and customer services, enforcement of water use activities, and management of universal metering program
Water and Sewer Operations	1,287	Water supply and sewage collection system planning, design, construction supervision, operation, maintenance and repair
Water Supply	939	Management of upstate watershed, implementation of watershed agreement and insuring quality of New York City's water supply
Environmental Engineering	444	Planning, design, construction supervision of large capital projects, including water tunnel and sewage treatment plant upgrades
Wastewater Treatment	1,913	Wastewater treatment plant operation, maintenance and repair
Management and Budget	330	Administration of personnel and fiscal services, vehicle fleet and building analysis, labor relations and management analysis
Executive	177	Executive management, public affairs and intergovernmental relations, engineering audit, engineering services and legal counsel
Total	<u>5,712</u>	

Approximately 823 people within the System staff are assigned to the design and construction of ongoing capital projects, including projects within the Capital Improvement Program, as hereinafter defined, and 426 provide administrative and support services to both System and non-System staff. There are an additional 286 employees within the DEP staff whose duties are not related to water and sewer service and whose cost is not included as a System cost.

The New York City Department of Design and Construction (the "DDC") has taken over responsibility for the construction and reconstruction of water and sewer mains in the City from DEP. Based upon current workloads, a proportion of DDC's staff equivalent to 450 full-time positions is devoted to DEP construction projects.

To help the City meet its environmental mandates, refine its priorities and establish appropriate program levels while maintaining affordable water and sewer rates, DEP has undertaken a comprehensive strategic planning process. DEP has now completed the first stages of a more focused and cost-effective program to improve services to the public.

DEP is managed by a Commissioner who is appointed by the Mayor. Each of the seven Bureaus (the Bureaus of Customer Services, Water and Sewer Operations, Water Supply, Environmental Engineering, Wastewater Treatment, Management and Budget, and Executive) reports to the Commissioner through the First Deputy Commissioner and is directly supervised by a Deputy Commissioner.

The following are brief descriptions of certain management personnel responsible for the operation of the System.

Joel A. Miele Sr., P.E., Commissioner

Mr. Miele was appointed Commissioner in August 1996. Prior to joining DEP he served as Commissioner of the Department of Buildings ("DOB") from 1994 to 1996, and as a Commissioner of the City Planning Commission from 1990 to 1994. Mr. Miele is a Professional Engineer with more than thirty-eight years of experience and was a founding partner in the firm of Miele Associates, the successor to the firm of Yudell and Miele where he was employed as a design engineer from 1955 through 1965. Mr. Miele enlisted in the U.S. Navy in 1957, and remained active in the reserve of the Civil Engineer Corps until his retirement in 1988 with the rank of Captain and the title of Commodore. He was promoted to Rear Admiral in the New York Naval Militia in May 1998. Mr. Miele is a graduate of the Polytechnic Institute of New York.

Diana Chapin, Ph.D., First Deputy Commissioner

Ms. Chapin was appointed First Deputy Commissioner of DEP in August 1996 and Executive Director of the Board in October 1996. She began her career in City government in 1978, most recently as Deputy Commissioner for Policy and Administration at the Department of Buildings, where she chaired the Plumbing Board and coordinated the One Stop Permitting Program. Prior to that, she was the Deputy Commissioner in charge of Planning, Revenue and Capital Projects at the Department of Parks and Recreation. Ms. Chapin is a graduate of the University of Michigan, and received a Ph.D. from Cornell University where she was both a Woodrow Wilson Fellow and a Dissertation Fellow.

Lawrence E. Schatt, Deputy Commissioner

Mr. Schatt was appointed Deputy Commissioner in September 1996, having served as the Acting Deputy Commissioner for Customer Service since May 1996. Prior to joining DEP, he was Executive Deputy Director for Agency Operations with the Mayor's Office of Operations, and from 1992 to 1995 he was the Assistant Commissioner for Code Enforcement at the Department of Housing Preservation and *Development*. Mr. Schatt has been in city government since 1968, and has served in numerous capacities with the Human Resources Administration. He has a degree in Business Administration from the Baruch School of Business and Public Administration of the City College of New York.

Douglas Stratton Greeley, P.E., Deputy Commissioner

Mr. Greeley was appointed Director of the Bureau of Water and Sewer Operations in 1996. He has been with the Department of Environmental Protection since 1973 and has served in numerous capacities, including Chief of System Operations, Chief of the Maintenance Division, and Chief of the Repairs Division of DEP's Bureau of Water Supply and Wastewater Collection. Mr. Greeley is a graduate of the Stevens Institute of Technology. He is a Professional Engineer.

William N. Stasiuk, P.E., Ph.D., Deputy Commissioner

Dr. Stasiuk was appointed Director of the Bureau of Water Supply, Quality and Protection in 1996. He joined DEP after a 34-year career with the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation and the New York State Department of Health ("NYSDOH"), most recently as Director of the Center for Environmental Health with NYSDOH. Dr. Stasiuk graduated from Manhattan College, received an M.E. (Sanitary) degree from Manhattan College, and a Ph.D. in Environmental Engineering from Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute. He is a Professional Engineer, and is an Associate Professor of the School of Public Health, at the State University of New York at Albany.

Robert Gaffoglio, P.E., Deputy Commissioner

Mr. Gaffoglio was appointed Director of the Bureau of Environmental Engineering in 1996. He has been with the Department of Environmental Protection since 1970, and has served as the Chief of the Division of Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) Abatement, Deputy Director for Sewer Design, and most recently as First Deputy Director of Environmental Engineering. Mr. Gaffoglio received a B.S. degree, an M.S. in Transportation Planning, and an M.S. in Management from the Polytechnic Institute of New York. He is a Professional Engineer.

Robert Adamski, P.E., Deputy Commissioner

Mr. Adamski was appointed Director of the Bureau of Wastewater Pollution Control in 1996. He has served in numerous capacities with DEP, the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation ("NYSDEC") and the office of the Brooklyn Borough President since 1968. At DEP he has served as the Director of Natural Resources and as the Deputy Director of the Bureau of Environmental Engineering. Mr. Adamski is a graduate of the College of the City of New York with a degree in Civil Engineering and is a Professional Engineer.

Labor Relations

During the last decade, there have been no strikes or major work stoppages of DEP employees affecting the System.

Approximately 5,500 of DEP's 6,000 active employees are members of labor unions which represent such employees in collective bargaining with the City. This includes approximately 2,000 laborers, mechanics, and workers in other crafts governed by the provisions of Section 220 of the State Labor Law. The salary levels of these employees are decided pursuant to the determination of the City's Comptroller as to "prevailing rates." The approximately 2,000 DEP employees represented by District Council 37, American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, the approximately 350 employees represented by the Communications Workers of America and the approximately 250 employees represented by Local 237 of the International Brotherhood of Teamsters are currently beginning collective bargaining for contracts that begin in 2001.

There are approximately 500 DEP employees holding management or "original jurisdiction" positions who are not members of unions and are not covered by Labor Law Section 220, but who do receive comparable benefits.

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AND FINANCING PROGRAM

Capital Improvement Program

In April 1999, the City published its Ten Year Capital Strategy (Fiscal Years 2000-2009) (the "Ten Year Capital Strategy"), which provides for the rebuilding of the City's infrastructure and which includes a Capital Improvement Program for the System for the Fiscal Years 2000 through 2009 (the "CIP"). The CIP includes projected expenditures of \$9.17 billion for water and sewer facilities.

The CIP presented in the following table entitled "Capital Improvement Program" reflects a review of the present condition and long-term needs of the plant and equipment constituting the System. The CIP incorporates the requirements of legal mandates, the present replacement cycle for these facilities, extensions to the present service area, and programs to enhance and optimize the operation of the System. Allowances are included in the CIP for emergency repair and replacement. An annual allowance for escalation in cost due to inflation of approximately 4% has been included, using Fiscal Year 1999 as the base year.

The CIP presents the maximum authorized levels of work. The actual work done in any given year will differ from that outlined in the CIP. Projections contained in the CIP concerning routine replacement and extension work on the System and its components are likely to vary from actual performance. Generally, work occurs more slowly in aggregate than originally projected. Timing of this work is not critical to the welfare of the System. Work projected in the CIP substantially exceeds those levels required in order to maintain the currently top-rated condition of the System.

The current capital plan published on April 22, 2000 (the "Current Capital Plan") amends and supplements the CIP for Fiscal Years 2000 through 2004 and increases the total anticipated cost of the capital program for Fiscal Years 2000 through 2004 from \$6.9 billion to \$7.6 billion.

The CIP as amended and supplemented by the Current Capital Plan was evaluated independently by Metcalf & Eddy. Metcalf & Eddy concluded that the CIP, as so amended and supplemented, is comprehensive and responsive to the long-term needs of the operation of the System. See "APPENDIX A—LETTER OF METCALF & EDDY, Consulting Engineers."

While Black & Veatch, the Authority's rate consultant, has not performed a detailed independent review of the capital program elements and has not made an engineering evaluation of the System, Black & Veatch has concluded that the gross level of anticipated commitments through 2009 as reflected in the CIP, as amended and supplemented by the Current Capital Plan, appears to be reasonable compared to other large water and wastewater utilities.

**Capital Improvement Program
(Thousands of Dollars)**

	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	TOTAL
WATER SUPPLY AND TRANSMISSION											
City Tunnel No. 3, Stage 1	\$ 109,687	\$ 18,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 327,687
City Tunnel No. 3, Stage 2	119,753	214,060	10,000	182,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	526,313
Miscellaneous Programs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reconstruction of Tunnel No. 1	0	0	0	0	0	10,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	85,000
Subtotal	229,440	232,060	210,000	182,500	0	10,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	939,000
WATER DISTRIBUTION											
Trunk & Distribution Main Replacement	137,465	86,787	25,686	30,604	37,088	23,996	23,000	65,500	76,400	85,900	592,426
Trunk & Distribution Main Extension	27,777	30,899	16,000	16,000	94,147	16,000	3,500	3,500	1,600	0	209,423
Croton Filtration Project	0	6,000	103,000	76,000	130,000	383,000	181,000	42,000	0	0	921,000
Dam Safety Program	40,208	69,000	7,000	20,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	136,608
Water Quality Preservation	141,828	73,975	59,987	20,000	41,800	19,250	12,265	0	0	0	369,105
Augmentation of Water Supply Systems	0	1,371	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,371
Corrosion Protection System	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,300	0	0	0	2,300
Miscellaneous Improvements Upstate	1,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,000
Mappings & Telemetry	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,800	0	0	0	2,800
Subtotal	348,278	268,032	211,673	163,004	303,035	442,246	224,865	111,000	78,000	85,900	2,236,033
WATER POLLUTION CONTROL											
Consent Decree Upgrading & Construction	409,336	242,000	573,000	399,000	0	0	217,000	1	0	85,000	1,925,337
Water Quality Mandates	31,730	250,444	116,000	116,050	111,000	0	0	0	0	0	625,224
Plant Upgrading & Reconstruction	165,925	69,955	33,500	29,630	28,130	29,630	30,130	30,130	30,130	30,130	477,290
Plant Component Stabilization	158,700	244,500	343,000	215,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	961,200
Biological Nutrient Removal	61,940	0	25,000	0	50,000	50,000	50,000	25,000	0	0	261,940
Sludge Disposal	20,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20,000
Subtotal	847,631	806,899	1,090,500	759,680	189,130	79,630	297,130	55,131	30,130	115,130	4,270,991
SEWERS											
Replacement of Chronically Failing Components	162,862	57,361	68,035	62,648	78,229	72,585	73,940	69,090	49,900	49,900	744,550
Programmatic Replacement and Reconstruction	6,271	9,192	4,900	5,000	5,200	5,200	3,200	3,200	3,200	3,200	48,563
Programmatic Response to Regulatory Mandates	0	9,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9,000
Replacement or Augmentation of Existing Systems	21,751	6,541	25,552	13,415	8,200	0	11,000	0	0	0	86,459
Extensions to Accommodate New Development	81,790	81,948	35,391	27,988	60,600	48,120	58,200	27,800	46,900	46,900	515,637
Subtotal	272,674	164,042	133,878	109,051	152,229	125,905	146,340	100,090	100,000	100,000	1,404,209
EQUIPMENT											
Vehicles & Equipment	6,432	5,000	5,000	2,200	1,000	1,000	0	0	0	0	20,632
Facility Purchases & Reconstruction	36,217	15,503	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	51,720
Management Information Systems	12,844	1,400	2,950	1,464	1,500	1,500	0	0	0	0	21,658
Utility Relocation for Sewer and Water											
Main Projects	26,413	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	116,413
Installation of Water Meters (conservation)	4,223	4,223	4,223	4,223	4,223	4,223	4,223	0	0	0	29,561
Subtotal	86,129	36,126	22,173	17,887	16,723	16,723	14,223	10,000	10,000	10,000	239,984
Total Authority Bond Proceeds and System Funds	1,784,152	1,507,159	1,668,224	1,232,122	661,117	664,504	692,558	301,221	243,130	336,030	9,090,217
Other Funds	25,000	25,000	25,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	75,000
TOTAL FUNDS	\$1,809,152	\$1,532,159	\$1,693,224	\$1,232,122	\$661,117	\$664,504	\$692,558	\$301,221	\$243,130	\$336,030	\$9,165,217

Current Capital Plan

The following table presents the Current Capital Plan as evaluated by the firm of Metcalf & Eddy. See "APPENDIX A—LETTER OF METCALF & EDDY, CONSULTING ENGINEERS." The level of commitments indicated includes an allowance of approximately 4% per year for inflation, using 1999 as the base year.

2000-2004 CURRENT CAPITAL PLAN (Thousands of Dollars)

	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	Total
SYSTEM FUNDS						
WATER SUPPLY AND TRANSMISSION						
City Tunnel No. 3, Stage 1	\$ 51,992	\$ 72,500	\$ 24,384	\$ 204,000	\$ 64,000	\$ 416,876
City Tunnel No. 3, Stage 2	57,219	111,600	211,430	119,530	90,000	589,779
Miscellaneous Programs	75	10,000	0	0	0	10,075
Reconstruction of Tunnel No. 1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	109,286	194,100	235,814	323,530	154,000	1,016,730
WATER DISTRIBUTION						
Trunk & Distribution Main Replacement	139,249	88,128	48,253	93,288	32,088	401,006
Trunk & Distribution Main Extension	40,832	32,850	14,474	16,000	96,861	201,017
Croton Filtration Project	6,231	12,950	99,400	76,000	130,000	324,581
Dam Safety Program	2,441	22,900	0	92,876	0	118,217
Water Quality Preservation	156,466	88,868	93,012	29,455	41,800	409,601
Augmentation of Water Supply Systems	11	1,371	0	0	0	1,382
Corrosion Protection System	0	0	0	0	0	0
Miscellaneous Improvements Upstate	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mapping & Telemetry	0	0	0	0	0	0
Brooklyn—Queens Aquifer	5,446	0	0	0	0	5,446
Neighborhood	0	3,700	0	0	0	3,700
Subtotal	350,676	250,767	255,139	307,619	300,749	1,464,950
WATER POLLUTION CONTROL						
Consent Decree Upgrading & Construction	309,283	851,679	466,000	92,631	0	1,719,593
Water Quality Mandates	13,002	20,748	156,724	261,300	131,476	583,250
Plant Upgrading & Reconstruction	58,140	134,424	117,100	29,630	28,130	367,424
Plant Component Stabilization	54,713	9,855	569,426	228,000	100,000	961,994
Biological Nutrient Removal	544	36,025	25,000	26,000	25,000	112,569
Sludge Disposal	1,657	0	53,000	0	0	54,657
Subtotal	437,339	1,052,731	1,387,250	637,561	284,606	3,799,487
SEWERS						
Replacement of Chronically Failing Components	142,516	139,859	70,464	31,422	53,229	437,490
Programmatic Replacement and Reconstruction	3,281	5,000	10,892	5,000	5,200	29,373
Programmatic Response to Regulatory Mandates	0	0	9,000	0	0	9,000
Replacement or Augmentation of Existing Systems	23,860	13,143	12,928	18,515	8,200	76,646
Extensions to Accommodate New Development	95,818	58,876	61,692	50,631	31,600	298,617
Economic Seeds	0	114	0	0	0	114
Subtotal	265,475	216,992	164,976	105,568	98,229	851,240
EQUIPMENT						
Vehicles & Equipment	23,081	13,247	5,000	2,200	1,000	44,528
Facility Purchases & Reconstruction	12,667	25,903	18,431	13,933	0	70,934
Management Information Systems	23,721	6,198	2,950	1,464	1,500	35,833
Utility Relocation for Sewer and Water Main Projects	36,319	25,500	10,000	10,227	10,000	92,046
Installation of Water Meters (Conservation)	37,303	22,423	2,223	2,223	4,223	68,395
Subtotal	133,091	93,271	38,604	30,047	16,723	311,736
TOTAL SYSTEM FUNDS	1,295,867	1,807,861	2,081,783	1,404,325	854,307	7,444,143
STATE, FEDERAL AND PRIVATE FUNDS						
Consent Decree Upgrading & Construction	4,896	5,000	0	0	0	9,896
Water Quality Mandates	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	125,000
Plant Component Stabilization	688	0	0	0	0	688
Trunk & Distribution Main Extension	3	0	0	0	0	3
Trunk & Distribution Main Replacement	123	0	0	178	0	301
Extensions to Accommodate New Development	6	0	0	0	0	6
Replacement of Chronically Failing Components	56	0	0	0	0	56
Subtotal	30,772	30,000	25,000	25,178	25,000	135,950
TOTAL FUNDS—ALL SOURCES	\$1,326,639	\$1,837,861	\$2,106,783	\$1,429,503	\$879,307	\$7,580,093

Comparison of the CIP and the Current Capital Plan

The difference between the Current Capital Plan and the CIP reflects increases of \$60.9 million in State and Federal funds and \$591.4 million in System funds, including the proceeds of Authority bonds. The following table presents a comparison of the CIP and Current Capital Plan.

**COMPARISON OF 2000-2004 CURRENT PROJECTIONS
(Thousands of Dollars)**

	<u>CIP 2000-2004</u>	<u>Current Capital Plan 2000-2004</u>	<u>Increase (Decrease)</u>
SYSTEM FUNDS			
Water Supply and Transmission	\$ 854,000	\$1,016,730	\$162,730
Water Distribution	1,294,022	1,464,950	170,928
Water Pollution Control	3,693,840	3,799,487	105,647
Sewers	831,874	851,240	19,366
Equipment	179,038	311,736	132,698
Total	<u>\$6,852,774</u>	<u>\$7,444,143</u>	<u>\$591,369</u>
STATE, FEDERAL AND PRIVATE FUNDS			
Total Funds—All Sources	<u>75,000</u>	<u>135,950</u>	<u>60,950</u>
	<u>\$6,927,774</u>	<u>\$7,580,093</u>	<u>\$652,319</u>

The CIP presents contractual commitments or the maximum authorized levels of work. The value of the actual work done in any given year will differ from that outlined in the CIP. Likewise, actual forecasts of the capital program contained in the Current Capital Plan, since they are revised more frequently than the ten-year plan embodied in the CIP, have differed and will differ from those of the CIP. Projections contained in the CIP concerning routine replacement and extension work on the System and its components are likely to vary from actual performance. Generally, work occurs more slowly than originally projected. Timing of this work is not critical to the welfare of the System. The capital program projected in the CIP substantially exceeds levels required in order to maintain the current condition of the System.

Following is an explanation of items in the preceding table under the caption "CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AND FINANCING PROGRAM."

Water Supply and Transmission

Tunnel 3. Stages I and II of Tunnel 3 include completion of the Brooklyn/Queens and Manhattan segments. Excavation of Stage I was completed in 1985. Stage I became operational in July 1998 and is expected to improve the reliability of the transmission system. Completion of the Brooklyn/Queens segment of Stage II will improve services to Staten Island, Brooklyn and Queens. Construction of the Manhattan segment of Stage II will follow completion of the Brooklyn/Queens segment of Stage II. Stage II is scheduled to be completed in 2008. Tunnel 3 will create a more flexible system, providing delivery alternatives in the event of disruption in any of the Tunnels. It will permit the shutdown of tunnels for inspection and any necessary rehabilitation.

Water Distribution

Croton Filter Project. The City is a party to a federal court consent decree with the United States and the State which sets out a timetable for the design and construction of a full-scale water treatment facility to filter Croton System water. See "THE SYSTEM—The Water System—Water Quality." The design phase for the construction of such a facility is currently underway.

Dam Safety Program. Engineering reports sponsored by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (the "Corps") indicated that the dams and reservoirs in service in the Croton System are safe but in need of rehabilitation and reconstruction. An ongoing dam reconstruction program has been established for rehabilitation of dams within the Croton watershed and the Kensico Dam.

Wastewater Treatment

Consent Decree Upgrading and Construction. The Clean Water Act (as hereinafter defined) and the State Consent Decrees (as hereinafter defined) require construction of an intercepting sewer for one plant, and the upgrading of three plants. These projects are designed to improve the quality of the surrounding waters.

Water Quality Mandates. During periods of heavy rainfall, a combination of stormwater and sewage bypasses treatment and is released into the City's waterways. This program provides for the study, design and construction of the facilities necessary to control the polluting effects of such releases.

Plant Upgrading and Reconstruction. This program includes various projects undertaken to upgrade or reconstruct sewage pump stations, motor vessels, regulators and components of the plant treatment system.

Plant Component Stabilization. This program includes the replacement and reconstruction of failing components within the plants and their related facilities necessary to maintain process reliability. In some cases, this segment of the CIP provides for the replacement or rehabilitation of plant components required prior to comprehensive reconstruction at older plants that are not yet fully upgraded.

Biological Nutrient Removal. This program will provide for the retrofit of eight water pollution control plants to decrease the amount of nitrogen discharged. Ongoing studies will determine the long-term plan for nitrogen removal.

Sewers

Replacement of Chronically Failing Components. This program provides for the replacement of sewers that have already collapsed or experience chronic malfunctions (for example, sagging, bends or improper alignment) that cannot be overcome through maintenance or experience chronic malfunction due to inadequate capacity.

Programmatic Replacement and Reconstruction. Systematic replacement of sewers constructed with what are now considered to be substandard methods and materials or with materials that have exceeded their useful life has been undertaken. This will avoid more costly future repairs and will improve the general reliability of the System.

Programmatic Response to Regulatory Mandates. A program to address the mandated construction of new sewers required by the Clean Water Act has been established. This program is designed to eliminate the occasional discharge of untreated sewage.

Replacement or Augmentation of Existing System. The combined sewers must be large enough to convey a certain amount of both stormwater and sewage flow based on population density, industrial discharges and stormwater runoff in the sewered area. Some existing sewers fail to handle this flow adequately due to events occurring subsequent to their original design.

The sewer projects contained within this category will increase the capacity of these sewers to adequate levels through reconstruction, repair, replacement or diversion of flow into supplemental sewer pipe. Also included in this category are sewer projects that are undertaken primarily because other infrastructure projects make such sewer work desirable. These projects include the construction of sewers in conjunction with other utilities' (such as water, gas and electric) road reconstruction and major land use changes.

Extensions to Accommodate New Development. The City must provide acceptable sewage disposal methods for residents within its jurisdiction and must therefore construct new sewers as required. The construction of sewers to replace septic tanks in populated areas avoids health problems associated with viruses, bacteria and other sewage-related pollutants and minimizes stormwater flooding.

Equipment

Utility Relocation for Sewers and Water Main Projects. Under the City's cost-sharing agreement with gas utilities, the City is required to pay 51% of utility work required as a result of water main and sewer construction projects.

Installation of Water Meters. This includes the installation of water meters and other fixtures in order to more accurately measure water usage and encourage conservation.

Historical Capital Program

The following table presents capital commitments and capital expenditures of the System for Fiscal Years 1995 through 1999. Capital commitments are contractual obligations entered into during the Fiscal Year while capital expenditures represent cash payments made during the Fiscal Year.

**System Capital Commitments and Expenditures
(Millions of Dollars)**

	1995		1996		1997		1998		1999	
	System Funds(1)	All Funds(2)	System Funds(1)	All Funds(2)	System Funds(1)	All Funds(2)	System Funds(1)	All Funds(2)	System Funds(1)	All Funds(2)
Commitments										
Water Supply	\$ 189	\$ 189	\$ 32	\$ 32	\$ 17	\$ 17	\$ 58	\$ 58	\$ 4	\$ 4
Water Mains	182	182	315	343	460	462	152	152	200	203
Sewer	145	145	126	126	166	166	184	184	185	185
Water Pollution Control	349	357	254	312	352	355	320	321	198	199
Equipment	151	151	182	182	185	185	98	98	81	81
Total	<u>\$1,016</u>	<u>\$1,024</u>	<u>\$909</u>	<u>\$ 995</u>	<u>\$1,180</u>	<u>\$1,185</u>	<u>\$812</u>	<u>\$813</u>	<u>\$668</u>	<u>\$672</u>
Expenditures										
Water Supply	\$ 86	\$ 86	\$ 96	\$ 96	\$ 71	\$ 71	\$107	\$107	\$ 87	\$ 87
Water Mains	112	113	320	321	298	316	211	220	187	190
Sewer	120	120	126	126	163	163	162	162	174	174
Water Pollution Control	216	241	216	267	206	239	237	249	274	278
Equipment	87	87	196	196	189	189	62	62	59	59
Total	<u>\$ 621</u>	<u>\$ 647</u>	<u>\$954</u>	<u>\$1,006</u>	<u>\$ 927</u>	<u>\$ 978</u>	<u>\$779</u>	<u>\$800</u>	<u>\$781</u>	<u>\$788</u>

(1) System Funds include the proceeds of Authority bonds sold directly to the public and those privately placed with the EFC (as defined below) under the revolving fund program and System revenues.

(2) All Funds include federal and State capital grants.

Financing Program

Prior Financing. Since the first issuance of bonds by the Authority in 1985, capital improvements to the System have been financed primarily with (1) proceeds of bonds sold directly to the public and privately placed with the New York State Environmental Facilities Corporation ("EFC") in connection with the revolving loan fund program described below, (2) federal and State capital grants and (3) pay-as-you-go capital paid from System revenues. See "Debt Service Requirements" below.

Future Financing. The Authority estimates that approximately 99% of the cost of the CIP will be paid from proceeds of bonds and other forms of indebtedness sold to the public and privately placed with EFC and System revenues. For purposes of forecasting revenue requirements for the System, the principal amount of bonds estimated to be issued in each of the Fiscal Years 2000 through 2005 is approximately \$1.3 billion per year and the amounts of pay-as-you-go capital to be paid from System revenues in Fiscal Years 2001 through 2003 are approximately \$20 million. See the table entitled "Sources and Uses of Capital Funds" under "CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AND FINANCING PROGRAM."

Historically, federal grant funds were provided pursuant to the federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended by the Clean Water Act of 1977 and by the Water Quality Act of 1987 (the "Clean Water Act"), in a program administered by the states, for construction and reconstruction of wastewater treatment facilities. The City has used these grant funds for five sewage treatment plants: Oakwood Beach, Coney Island, Owls Head, Red Hook and North River. The Clean Water Act currently requires states to use federal funds in revolving loan programs in lieu of a federal grant program for wastewater treatment facilities. To this end, a revolving loan program has been established by the State and administered by EFC in order to utilize federal financial assistance together with State matching grants in a program to assist municipalities to construct eligible sewage facilities by providing subsidized loans. In addition, pursuant to the Safe Drinking Water Act Amendments of 1996, the State has also initiated a revolving loan program to provide loans for drinking water projects. The Authority has participated in loans under both of the revolving loan programs and anticipates further borrowing under the programs. See "THE SYSTEM—Governmental Regulation—Wastewater Regulation." Implementation of the CIP is dependent upon the Authority's ability to market its securities successfully in the public credit markets. Sales of securities are subject to market conditions.

Sources and Uses of Capital Funds

The following table presents the projected flow of funds in the Construction Fund of the System. The total proceeds from future issues of Authority First Resolution Bonds and Authority Second Resolution Bonds are shown on Line 1 and the proceeds of Commercial Paper Notes are illustrated on Line 2. Lines 4 through 7 show the disposition of the proceeds. Lines 8 through 14 of the table indicate activity in the Construction Fund for each year of the reporting period.

Sources and Uses of Capital Funds (Thousands of Dollars)

Line No.	Description	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	Period Total
	Disposition of Bond Proceeds							
1	Proceeds from Sale of Bonds	\$1,005,225	\$1,153,373	\$ 1,305,466	\$ 1,495,306	\$ 1,512,666	\$ 1,552,426	\$ 8,024,462
2	Proceeds from Commercial Paper Notes	830,000	968,000	1,410,000	1,307,000	1,441,000	1,378,000	7,334,000
3	Total Proceeds	1,835,225	2,121,373	2,715,466	2,802,306	2,953,666	2,930,426	15,358,462
	Transfers							
4	Retirement of Commercial Paper Notes	920,888	1,064,000	1,189,000	1,358,500	1,374,000	1,409,500	7,315,888
5	Construction Fund	830,000	968,000	1,410,000	1,307,000	1,441,000	1,378,000	7,334,000
6	Other(1)	84,337	89,373	116,466	136,806	138,666	142,926	708,574
7	Total Transfers	1,835,225	2,121,373	2,715,466	2,802,306	2,953,666	2,930,426	15,358,462
	Construction Fund							
8	Beginning Balance	318,460	234,460	234,460	234,460	234,460	234,460	318,460
9	Transfer from Commercial Paper Notes	830,000	968,000	1,410,000	1,307,000	1,441,000	1,378,000	7,334,000
11	Revenue Financed Capital Construction	—	20,000	20,000	20,000	—	—	60,000
12	Total Available	1,148,460	1,222,460	1,664,460	1,561,460	1,675,460	1,612,460	7,712,460
13	Less: Total Requirements(2)	(914,000)	(988,000)	(1,430,000)	(1,327,000)	(1,441,000)	(1,378,000)	(7,478,000)
14	Ending Balance	\$ 234,460	\$ 234,460	\$ 234,460	\$ 234,460	\$ 234,460	\$ 234,460	\$ 234,460

(1) Includes issuance costs, Debt Service Reserve Fund requirements and capitalized interest.

(2) Cash requirements reflect commitments from current and prior years.

Totals may not add due to rounding.

Source: Black & Veatch

The following table shows projected debt service requirements including payments on outstanding bonds and on future bonds to be issued in financing the CIP. See "CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AND FINANCING PROGRAM —Debt Service Requirements."

Future Debt Service Requirements

(Thousands of Dollars)

Line No.	Description	Bond Issue	FY 2000(1)	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005
	First Resolution Debt Service							
1	Outstanding Bonds		\$445,209	\$ 463,595	\$460,450	\$ 463,236	\$463,305	\$ 468,540
	Anticipated Future Bond Issues							
2	Fiscal Year 2000 Bonds(2)	\$ 430,000	—	32,591	29,374	29,374	29,374	29,374
3	Fiscal Year 2001 Bonds	714,973	—	17,870	58,494	54,660	54,660	54,660
4	Fiscal Year 2002 Bonds	1,005,466	—	—	21,184	87,030	80,198	80,198
5	Fiscal Year 2003 Bonds	1,195,306	—	—	—	35,020	102,602	96,416
6	Fiscal Year 2004 Bonds	1,212,666	—	—	—	—	31,796	104,507
7	Fiscal Year 2005 Bonds	1,252,426	—	—	—	—	—	35,991
8	Total First Resolution Debt Service		445,209	514,056	569,502	669,320	761,935	869,686
	Subordinated Indebtedness							
9	Short-term Obligations		22,200	22,200	22,200	24,000	24,000	24,000
10	Outstanding Bonds		170,711	165,297	175,160	171,504	176,038	183,130
	Anticipated Future Second Resolution Bonds							
11	Fiscal Year 2001 Bonds	438,400	—	20,533	41,399	41,399	41,399	41,399
12	Fiscal Year 2002 Bonds	300,000	—	—	12,250	29,488	29,488	29,488
13	Fiscal Year 2003 Bonds	300,000	—	—	—	12,250	29,488	29,488
14	Fiscal Year 2004 Bonds	300,000	—	—	—	—	12,250	29,488
15	Fiscal Year 2005 Bonds	300,000	—	—	—	—	—	12,250
16	Less: Current Capitalized Interest(3)		(2,704)	(4,309)	—	—	—	—
17	Less: Future Capitalized Interest(4)			(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,500)	(1,500)
18	Less: Current EFC Subsidy(5)		(28,480)	(28,593)	(27,377)	(26,288)	(25,183)	(24,020)
19	Less: Future EFC Subsidy(6)			(4,956)	(12,940)	(19,333)	(26,746)	(33,324)
20	Less: EFC Payments(7)		(11,771)	(11,192)	(11,265)	(10,619)	(9,925)	(8,805)
21	Actual Debt Service on Subordinated Indebtedness		149,956	157,480	197,927	220,901	249,309	281,594
22	Less: Interest Earnings on Subordinate Debt Service Fund		(2,188)	(2,851)	(3,582)	(3,993)	(4,482)	(4,157)
23	Less: Carryforward Revenues		(62,875)	(134,484)	(88,081)	(102,690)	(93,994)	(94,482)
24	Net Debt Service on Subordinated Indebtedness		84,893	20,145	106,265	114,219	150,833	182,956
25	Total Debt Service Payable from Current Revenues (Line 8 + Line 24)		<u>\$530,102</u>	<u>\$ 534,201</u>	<u>\$675,767</u>	<u>\$ 783,539</u>	<u>\$912,768</u>	<u>\$1,052,642</u>

(1) Includes debt service already paid in Fiscal Year 2000.

(2) Includes estimated debt service on the Fiscal 2000 B and Fiscal 2000 C Bonds.

(3) Includes capitalized interest on outstanding Second Resolution Bonds.

(4) Includes capitalized interest on anticipated future Second Resolution Bonds.

(5) Includes the estimated EFC subsidy on outstanding Second Resolution Bonds.

(6) Includes the estimated EFC subsidy on anticipated future Second Resolution Bonds.

(7) Represents the anticipated transfer of surplus payments used to offset interest payments on Second Resolution Bonds.

Totals may not add due to rounding.

Source: Black & Veatch

Debt service payments on anticipated future Authority First Resolution Bond issues reflect a 30-year term with level annual payments. The interest rates utilized in computing the anticipated debt service payments for future fixed rate issues average approximately 5.85% for Fiscal Year 2000, 6.90% for Fiscal Year 2001 and 7.40% thereafter. The interest rate utilized for currently outstanding and future variable rate issues is 4.0% (including the Fiscal 2000 C Bonds). The amount of long-term variable rate debt currently outstanding is approximately 10% of the Authority's total debt outstanding and is expected to range between 10% and 15% in the future. Debt service payments on anticipated future Authority Second Resolution Bond issues assume that Authority Second Resolution Bonds continue to be issued to EFC and reflect a 20-year term with level annual payments.

The interest rates utilized in computing the anticipated debt service payments for future EFC bonds secured by Authority Second Resolution Bonds average approximately 6.85% for Fiscal Year 2001 and 7.35% in each year thereafter. The Capitalized Interest and EFC subsidy shown in the table include interest capitalized for one year on bonds sold to EFC and subsidies expected to be provided by EFC for these issues, respectively. It is also anticipated that Authority bond issues sold to EFC will continue to be structured so that the interest on such bonds is calculated to reflect the anticipated EFC subsidy. The principal amounts include funds necessary for construction, reserve funds, and the costs of issuance.

Debt Service Requirements

The following schedule sets forth the amount required to be paid during each Fiscal Year (ending June 30) shown below for the payment of the principal of and the interest (including the Accreted Value of all Capital Appreciation Bonds) on Bonds issued under the Authority General Resolution and the Authority Second General Resolution assuming that Variable Rate Bonds bear interest at a fixed rate to their maturity of 4% per annum. The schedule does not include debt service on any outstanding Authority Commercial Paper Notes.

Fiscal Year Ending June 30	Outstanding Bonds Total Debt Service	Fiscal 2000 Series B Bonds			Total Bonds Debt Service	Outstanding Second Resolution Bonds Total Debt Service(1)	Total Outstanding Bonds and Second Resolution Bonds Debt Service(1)(2)(3)
		Principal	Interest	Total			
2000	\$ 445,209,267	—	—	—	\$ 445,209,267	\$ 127,756,464	\$ 572,965,731
2001	463,594,715	—	\$ 19,518,886	\$ 19,518,886	483,113,601	121,203,760	604,317,361
2002	460,449,736	—	19,793,800	19,793,800	480,243,536	136,518,075	616,761,611
2003	463,235,771	—	19,793,800	19,793,800	483,029,571	134,595,755	617,625,326
2004	463,305,356	—	19,793,800	19,793,800	483,099,156	140,929,718	624,028,874
2005	468,540,076	—	19,793,800	19,793,800	488,333,876	150,304,917	638,638,793
2006	471,684,834	—	19,793,800	19,793,800	491,478,634	155,750,027	647,228,661
2007	472,457,339	—	19,793,800	19,793,800	492,251,139	158,786,145	651,037,284
2008	472,782,772	—	19,793,800	19,793,800	492,576,572	165,165,014	657,741,586
2009	471,528,159	—	19,793,800	19,793,800	491,321,959	168,589,148	659,911,107
2010	458,537,709	—	19,793,800	19,793,800	478,331,509	198,440,391	676,771,900
2011	447,737,856	—	19,793,800	19,793,800	467,531,656	220,195,085	687,726,741
2012	447,754,380	—	19,793,800	19,793,800	467,548,180	229,462,447	697,010,627
2013	455,689,687	—	19,793,800	19,793,800	475,483,487	217,392,535	692,876,022
2014	464,012,156	—	19,793,800	19,793,800	483,805,956	100,823,011	584,628,967
2015	499,116,238	—	19,793,800	19,793,800	518,910,038	78,456,384	597,366,422
2016	499,119,730	—	19,793,800	19,793,800	518,913,530	69,495,052	588,408,582
2017	498,877,088	—	19,793,800	19,793,800	518,670,888	60,724,434	579,395,322
2018	509,484,194	—	19,793,800	19,793,800	529,277,994	45,862,552	575,140,546
2019	508,153,669	—	19,793,800	19,793,800	527,947,469	38,213,052	566,160,521
2020	508,183,000	—	19,793,800	19,793,800	527,976,800	24,054,834	552,031,634
2021	508,207,963	—	19,793,800	19,793,800	528,001,763	4,659,760	532,661,523
2022	508,578,838	—	19,793,800	19,793,800	528,372,638	4,661,352	533,033,990
2023	508,603,363	—	19,793,800	19,793,800	528,397,163	—	528,397,163
2024	509,811,169	—	19,793,800	19,793,800	529,604,969	—	529,604,969
2025	509,810,338	—	19,793,800	19,793,800	529,604,138	—	529,604,138
2026	510,980,938	—	19,793,800	19,793,800	530,774,738	—	530,774,738
2027	414,042,813	—	19,793,800	19,793,800	433,836,613	—	433,836,613
2028	406,751,975	—	19,793,800	19,793,800	426,545,775	—	426,545,775
2029	406,755,050	—	19,793,800	19,793,800	426,548,850	—	426,548,850
2030	406,756,713	—	19,793,800	19,793,800	426,550,513	—	426,550,513
2031	207,295,150	\$130,000,000	19,793,800	149,793,800	357,088,950	—	357,088,950
2032	84,400,000	53,075,000	11,623,800	64,698,800	149,098,800	—	149,098,800
2033	—	140,655,000	8,439,300	149,094,300	149,094,300	—	149,094,300
Total(2)	\$14,931,448,037	\$323,730,000	\$633,395,986	\$957,125,986	\$15,888,574,024	\$2,752,039,911	\$18,640,613,936

- (1) Net of anticipated capitalized interest, subsidy and surplus payments from EFC.
- (2) Totals may not add due to rounding.
- (3) Does not include the Fiscal 2000 C Bonds.

FINANCIAL OPERATIONS

The following tables present certain historical data relating to the System which have been derived from the books and records of the City, the Authority and the Board.

Revenues

The following table presents, on a cash basis, the System revenues received during Fiscal Years 1995 through 1999 as derived from the supplemental schedules of cash receipts and disbursements (cash basis) which accompany the annual financial statements for Fiscal Years 1995 through 1999.

System Revenues (Thousands of Dollars)

<u>Revenue Category</u>	<u>1995</u>	<u>1996</u>	<u>1997</u>	<u>1998</u>	<u>1999</u>
Flat Rate—Water and Sewer Charges(1)	\$ 495,778	\$ 492,710	\$ 495,275	\$ 483,601	\$ 466,837
Metered—Water and Sewer Charges(1)	533,713	596,582	641,249	785,086	841,366
Meter—Upstate Customers	7,657	8,295	8,597	11,902	15,695
Miscellaneous Revenues(2)	47,374	38,558	62,951	73,367	50,763
Interest Penalty—Late Charges	25,152	21,097	23,949	19,271	19,445
Interest Income	57,034	68,465	64,883	97,739	83,604
Tax Lien Sale(3)	—	25,358	13,595	—	25,912
Total	<u>\$1,166,708</u>	<u>\$1,251,065</u>	<u>\$1,310,499</u>	<u>\$1,470,966</u>	<u>\$1,503,622</u>

(1) Includes both current payments and payments relating to accounts in arrears.

(2) Miscellaneous Revenues are primarily comprised of water and sewer connection and disconnection fees, repair fees, meter installation fees, water usage permits, special meter reading fees and subsidy payments from EFC.

(3) In connection with sales of liens on real property securing delinquent property taxes, the City Department of Finance also sold liens on such property securing delinquent water and sewer charges.

The table above records actual cash received by the System and does not reflect either accounts receivable or billing accruals. The System has consistently realized collections of cash revenues in amounts exceeding costs for debt service, current operations and required levels of coverage. This has been achieved while maintaining residential water and sewer service costs at a level which is below the average of comparable large cities.

Since 1988, the basis for service charges has been in a continuous process of transition from a frontage or flat-rate basis of annual billing to a meter-based billing system which relies on the actual measurement of usage. The transition is now approximately 80% complete and is expected to continue. On May 12, 2000, the Water Board adopted its Multiple Family Conservation Proposal which will replace the existing transition program, and meter billing cap program referred to under "Universal Metering Program" below, for residential buildings consisting of six or more dwelling units. It provides that owners of such buildings who replace or have replaced at least 70% of the toilet, sink and showerhead fixtures in such building with low flow fixtures may elect to be billed on the basis of metered consumption or a fixed charge per dwelling unit per year. The proposal provides for implementation over a three year period, and the earliest date on which the charge will be effective is July 1, 2001.

Meter-based billing requires a more complex and customer-responsive account information and billing system than the frontage method. DEP has implemented a new account information and billing system, the Customer Information System ("CIS"), which incorporates both frontage and metered accounts and replaced the billing system formerly operated by the City Department of Finance.

An analysis of the CIS and the meter-based bills which it generates revealed significant flaws in the customer information data converted from the system formerly used to bill customers. The CIS and the meter-based bills also suffered from inaccurate information carried in the system for actual metered usage.

Corrections of system inaccuracies have been dependent on both computer analyses and an account-by-account review of a system with over 800,000 accounts. The correction process has brought to light weaknesses in the ability of the CIS as designed and implemented to identify and report account errors and corrections on a comparable basis over time. This has resulted in significant difficulty in judging the accuracy of receivable balances carried in the CIS as a basis for eliminating invalid receivables. Progress has been made over several years in developing the personnel resources to create an adequate and credible baseline of account information. In addition, resources were added to ensure that all meters are read and billed on a quarterly basis. Over the last two years, DEP has begun to use existing reports and to create new reports with the CIS to identify System-wide issues and problems. The current collection rate for remaining frontage accounts is over 90%. Although the collection data are not precise, the current collection rate for metered accounts is estimated to be approximately 75%. Overall, the current collection rate of amounts billed is estimated to be approximately 80%. This collection rate improved in Fiscal Year 1998, for the first time in several fiscal years and has continued to improve since then. The collection rate is reflected in the forecasted cash flows for the System. For a more detailed discussion of billing and collection, see "RATES AND BILLINGS."

The forecasted cash flows and anticipated future rate increases take into consideration the anticipated effects of new initiatives by the Board and DEP to enhance the efficiency of collection for water and sewer billings. These initiatives include, but are not limited to, the following: establishing a delinquent accounts unit for small to mid-size customers; outsourcing selected delinquency notification and collection functions to reputable collection or credit organizations; shutting off water and sewer service for non-payment of bills; and selling liens securing unpaid water and sewer charges. It is assumed that some of these initiatives or others will gradually result in a 5% increase in the overall rate of cash collections during the forecast period.

Expenses

The following table presents System expenses for Fiscal Years 1995 through 1999 on an accrual basis which have been derived from the annual financial statements for Fiscal Years 1995 through 1999. These expenses represent operation, maintenance and general expenses excluding the lease rental payment to the City and certain other items.

System Expenses (Thousands of Dollars)					
<u>Expense Category</u>	<u>1995</u>	<u>1996</u>	<u>1997</u>	<u>1998</u>	<u>1999</u>
Water(1)					
Personal Service(2)	\$ 89,648	\$ 94,446	\$ 97,268	\$ 96,734	\$115,670
Other Than Personal Service(3)	114,148	112,356	123,027	133,222	140,029
Total	203,796	206,802	220,295	229,956	255,699
Sewer(1)					
Personal Service(2)	156,002	152,541	157,815	163,436	185,131
Other Than Personal Service(3)	183,992	170,801	186,002	189,791	151,747
Total	339,994	323,342	343,817	353,227	336,878
Sub-Total	543,790	530,144	564,112	583,183	592,577
Administrative and General(4)	15,047	14,490	13,374	11,217	10,879
Indirect Expenses(5)	40,803	39,784	38,682	63,126	37,209
Total System	<u>\$599,640</u>	<u>\$584,418</u>	<u>\$616,168</u>	<u>\$657,526</u>	<u>\$640,665</u>

- (1) Certain historical, administrative and overhead costs of DEP were allocated to the water and sewer functions based upon the proportion of applicable personnel within DEP.
- (2) Personal Service costs include salaries, fringe benefits and pension costs.
- (3) Other Than Personal Service costs include real estate taxes paid to upstate communities for watershed properties, land-based sludge disposal costs and for electricity, chemicals and supply costs.
- (4) Administrative and General costs include Authority and Water Board expenses.
- (5) Indirect Expenses include City agency support, customer accounting, and judgments and claims costs.

Projected Revenues

As indicated in the table below, user payments are projected to increase from approximately \$1.36 billion in Fiscal Year 2000 to approximately \$1.82 billion in Fiscal Year 2005. Fiscal Year 2001 revenues from user payments reflect an increase in water and sewer rates of 1.0% which will become effective July 1, 2000. Anticipated future rate increases of 5.4% in Fiscal Year 2002, 6.1% in Fiscal Year 2003 and 6.9% in each of Fiscal Years 2004 and 2005 provide the majority of the increase in user payments in Fiscal Years 2002 through 2005. Upstate revenues, shown on Line 2 of the table, are projected to increase from approximately \$14.9 million in Fiscal Year 2000 to approximately \$19 million in Fiscal Year 2005. This revenue growth is due to expected increases in the cost of water supply services and an assumption that future revenue from these customers will more closely match the cost of providing service. Miscellaneous revenues, shown on Line 4 of the table, include fees from activities such as the review, inspection, and approval of System connections.

Nonoperating income consists of interest income on System funds, miscellaneous interest income, and other income. See "RATES AND BILLINGS—Billing and Collection."

Projected Revenues (Thousands of Dollars)

Line No.	Description	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005
Operating Revenues							
1	User Payments(1)	\$1,365,846	\$1,392,830	\$1,478,474	\$1,584,987	\$1,706,886	\$1,824,007
2	Upstate Revenues	14,910	15,656	16,438	17,260	18,123	19,029
3	Subtotal Service Revenue	1,380,756	1,408,485	1,494,912	1,602,247	1,725,009	1,843,036
4	Miscellaneous Revenues(2)	4,725	4,961	5,209	5,470	5,743	6,030
5	Subtotal Operating Revenue	1,385,481	1,413,447	1,500,122	1,607,717	1,730,753	1,849,067
Nonoperating Revenues							
6	Interest Income on System Funds(3)	68,329	74,418	83,105	92,667	103,870	110,427
7	Miscellaneous Interest Income(4)	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000
8	EFC Subsidy on Outstanding Bonds	4,945	4,718	4,472	4,208	3,917	3,604
9	Subtotal Nonoperating Revenues	98,274	104,136	112,577	121,875	132,787	139,031
10	Total Revenues	1,483,755	1,517,583	1,612,698	1,729,591	1,863,540	1,988,097
11	EFC Subsidy and Surplus Payments(5)	40,251	44,741	51,582	56,240	61,854	66,149
12	Additional Interest Earnings(6)	2,188	2,851	3,582	3,993	4,482	4,157
13	Total System Revenues	\$1,526,194	\$1,565,175	\$1,667,862	\$1,789,824	\$1,929,876	\$2,058,403

Notes:

- (1) User Payments include service revenues from metered and flat-rate customers.
- (2) Miscellaneous Revenues include fees from the review, inspection and approval of system connections.
- (3) Includes interest income on the Construction Fund, Debt Service Fund and the Debt Service Reserve Fund.
- (4) Includes interest income on overdue accounts.
- (5) Subsidy funds used as an offset to debt service on Subordinate Indebtedness.
- (6) Includes interest earnings on the debt service fund of Subordinate Indebtedness.

Totals may not add due to rounding.

Source: Black & Veatch.

Projected Operating and Maintenance Expenses

The table set forth below shows, for Fiscal Years 2000 through 2005, the System's projected operation and maintenance expenses. The Fiscal Year 2000 budget for the System has been used as a base for the forecast of operation and maintenance expenses.

Projected Operation and Maintenance Expense (Thousands of Dollars)

Line No.	Description	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005
1	Authority/Board Operations	\$ 11,550	\$ 11,000	\$ 11,550	\$ 12,128	\$ 12,734	\$ 13,371
2	Authority Expense for the Defeasance of Debt	40,000					
	Water Operations						
3	Personal Services	119,163	119,035	121,001	122,767	124,417	126,828
4	Other Than Personal Services	170,090	178,335	161,175	164,599	168,091	173,434
5	Total Water Operations	289,253	297,370	282,176	287,366	292,508	300,262
	Wastewater Operations						
6	Personal Services	185,805	186,210	190,999	196,546	201,106	205,029
7	Other Than Personal Services	191,691	204,682	181,973	187,273	193,042	198,983
8	Total Wastewater Operations	377,496	390,892	372,972	383,819	394,148	404,012
9	Indirect Expenses	10,813	10,813	10,813	10,813	10,813	10,813
10	Judgments and Claims	10,000	8,000	8,000	8,000	8,000	8,000
11	Total Operating Expenses	739,112	718,075	685,511	702,126	718,203	736,457
12	Less: Trust Account Withdrawals	0	0	0	0	(10,000)	(25,000)
13	Net Operating Expenses	\$739,112	\$718,075	\$685,511	\$702,126	\$708,203	\$711,457

Totals may not add due to rounding.

Source: Black & Veatch

Operation and Maintenance Expenses include administrative costs associated with the Authority and the Board, direct operating costs for the System, indirect operating costs of DEP, and other expenses and adjustments to annual operating expenses. Each of these is explained more fully below.

The Authority/Board Operations. Administrative expenses of the Authority and the Board, shown on Line 1 of the table above, include annual fees required by EFC in connection with the Authority's involvement in the State Revolving Fund Program. These fees are projected to average approximately \$3.0 million per year through Fiscal Year 2005. Other expenses of the Authority include fees related to adjustable rate bonds and the management of investments.

Water Operations. The operating costs of the Water System include direct operation and maintenance costs applicable to one or more functional areas of the Water System and the distribution system as well as certain indirect operating costs of the DEP which are allocated between the Water System and the Sewer System. The operating costs of the Water System are divided into personal services costs and other than personal services costs. Personal services costs include direct salary costs plus fringe benefit and pension costs.

Other than personal services costs include property taxes paid to upstate communities for watershed properties as well as chemicals, electricity, and other expenses.

All but a small percentage of the Water System functions by gravity so that electricity costs necessary to maintain normal water distribution are relatively small. In drought conditions, additional pumping is necessary for optimal distribution of water available from the System, thereby causing increased electricity costs. The forecasted cash flows currently assume that water consumption will decline by 0.5% in Fiscal Year 2000 and will remain at this reduced level of consumption independent of any drought-related measures based on expected effects of the universal metering program and water conservation initiatives of DEP.

Personal services costs reflect the results of collective bargaining labor agreements for fiscal years 2000 through 2001. Fiscal Year 2002 through Fiscal Year 2005 include a 2% per year increase. Other than personal services costs are assumed to increase at an estimated rate of 3% per year for the forecast period.

In accordance with the watershed protection agreement, DEP will implement additional programs which will enhance the ability of the City and the communities located in the watershed area to protect the quality of the water supply. Such programs will include certain capital investments which are contained within the CIP. The forecasted operation and maintenance expenses for the Water System reflect the expected increase in operation and maintenance costs due to the Watershed Agreement.

Wastewater Operations. The operating costs of the Sewer System include direct operation and maintenance costs applicable to one or more functional areas of the Sewer System as well as certain indirect operating costs of DEP allocated to the Water System and the Sewer System. The operating costs of the Sewer System are also divided into personal services and other than personal services costs. Personal services costs include direct salary costs plus fringe benefits and pension costs.

Other than personal services costs include electricity for the wastewater treatment plants, pump stations and service yards, chemicals, and other expenses. Electricity, which represents a significant expense in operating the treatment plants and pump stations, is supplied primarily by the Power Authority of the State of New York. The energy budget for the System in Fiscal Year 1999 was approximately \$49 million, the vast majority of which was for electricity for the wastewater treatment plants and pump stations. The major other than personal services cost component is biosolids management. The annual costs of biosolids management are anticipated to remain relatively constant at approximately \$50 million per year for the next several years in accordance with the terms of current re-use contracts. The remaining personal services costs are assumed to increase in accordance with collective bargaining agreements for Fiscal Years 2000 and 2001 and 2% annually thereafter. Other than personal services costs are assumed to increase at an estimated rate of 3% per year, for the forecast period. Certain other cost adjustments are reflected in the forecasted cash flow as adjustments are made to specific operating programs based upon System needs.

Other Expenses. Other expenses of the System include indirect expenses and judgments and claims. Indirect expenses, shown on Line 8 of the table, reflect costs allocated to the System for support provided by various City agencies and departments. Services provided include budget preparation and review, cost and revenue accounting, billing and collection, and legal support. The method of allocating these costs to the System is based upon costs initially allocated to DEP and subsequently divided between those attributable to water and sewer and those costs associated with other activities of DEP. The costs allocated to DEP as a whole are derived from the total costs of City support agencies and departments and a formalized cost allocation plan which distributes the costs to affected departments and agencies. DEP's billing and collection expenses are included in the operation and maintenance costs of the Water System and the Wastewater System.

Credits Against Operation and Maintenance Expense. Pursuant to a consent decree (the "Consent Decree") entered into in 1989 under the Marine Protection Research and Sanctuaries Act of 1972 ("MPRSA"), as amended by the Ocean Dumping Ban Act of 1988 (the "Ban Act"), DEP ceased the ocean disposal of sludge in June 1992. The Ban Act and the Consent Decree provide that 85% of the fees and penalties paid shall be deposited into a trust account and shall be available to reimburse the City for costs incurred for developing alternative biosolids management facilities. As of March 31, 2000, the value of the trust account was \$75.5 million. It is assumed that this value will increase with interest earnings at the rate of 4% per year until withdrawals are made. A portion of the balance of the fees and penalties was paid to United States Environmental Protection Agency ("USEPA") with the remainder divided equally between the New York State Water Pollution Control Revolving Fund and the New York State Clean Oceans Fund. It is anticipated that in Fiscal Year 2004 and Fiscal Year 2005, \$10 million and \$25 million, respectively, will be available as an offset to operation and maintenance expenses. See "THE SYSTEM—The Sewer System—Biosolids Management."

Projected Financial Operations

The following table shows a summary of the forecasted cash flows for the Authority for Fiscal Year 2000 through Fiscal Year 2005. See "CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AND FINANCING PROGRAM—Debt Service Requirements." The estimated net surplus at the end of Fiscal Year 1999 of \$62.9 million has been used to offset the Revenue requirements for the debt service on Subordinated Indebtedness in Fiscal Year 2000. The projected rate increases described herein under "RATES AND BILLING—Rates" have been assumed in order to meet cash expenditure requirements and to comply with debt service requirements pursuant to the Authority General Resolution and the Authority Second Resolution. See "FINANCIAL OPERATIONS—Projected Revenues." As shown on Line 32 of the table, positive net surpluses are maintained throughout the reporting period. Line 33 illustrates the coverage of First Resolution debt service by current revenues available for debt service. Line 34 illustrates the coverage of First and Second Resolution debt service by current revenues available for debt service.

Forecasted Cash Flows (Thousands of Dollars)

Line No.	Description	Fiscal Year 2000	Fiscal Year 2001	Fiscal Year 2002	Fiscal Year 2003	Fiscal Year 2004	Fiscal Year 2005
	Operating Revenues						
1	Water and Sewer User Payments	\$1,365,846	\$1,392,830	\$1,478,474	\$1,584,987	\$1,706,886	\$1,824,007
2	Upstate Revenue	14,910	15,656	16,438	17,260	18,123	19,029
3	Miscellaneous Revenue	4,725	4,961	5,209	5,470	5,743	6,030
	Other Revenues						
4	Miscellaneous Interest Income	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000
5	Interest Income on Authority Funds	68,329	74,418	83,105	92,667	103,870	110,427
6	EFC Subsidy on Outstanding Bonds	4,945	4,718	4,472	4,208	3,917	3,604
7	Current Revenues Available for Debt Service	1,483,755	1,517,583	1,612,698	1,729,591	1,863,540	1,988,097
	First Resolution Debt Service						
8	Outstanding Bonds	445,209	463,595	460,450	463,236	463,305	468,540
9	Anticipated Future Bonds(1).....	0	50,461	109,052	206,084	298,630	401,146
10	Total First Resolution Debt Service	445,209	514,056	569,502	669,320	761,935	869,686
	Subordinated Obligations						
11	Interest Payments on Commercial Paper Notes	22,200	22,200	22,200	24,000	24,000	24,000
12	Outstanding Second Resolution Bonds	170,711	165,297	175,160	171,504	176,038	183,130
13	Anticipated Future Second Resolution Bonds	0	20,533	53,649	83,137	112,625	142,113
14	Less: EFC Subsidy and Capitalized Interest on Subordinated Bonds	(42,955)	(50,550)	(53,082)	(57,740)	(63,354)	(67,649)
15	Actual Debt Service on Subordinated Indebtedness	149,956	157,480	197,927	220,901	249,309	281,594
16	Less: Carryforward Revenues and Other Revenues	(65,063)	(137,335)	(91,662)	(106,682)	(98,476)	(98,638)
17	Net Debt Service on Subordinated Indebtedness(2)	84,893	20,145	106,265	114,219	150,833	182,956
18	Total Debt Service Payable from Current Revenues (Line 10 + Line 17)	530,102	534,201	675,767	783,539	912,768	1,052,642
	Operating Expenses						
19	Authority/Board Operations	11,550	11,000	11,550	12,128	12,734	13,371
20	Authority Expense for the Defeasance of Debt	40,000	0	0	0	0	0
21	Water System	289,253	297,370	282,176	287,366	292,508	300,262
22	Wastewater System	377,496	390,892	372,972	383,819	394,148	404,012
23	Indirect Expense	10,813	10,813	10,813	10,813	10,813	10,813
24	Judgments and Claims	10,000	8,000	8,000	8,000	8,000	8,000
25	Total Operating Expenses	739,112	718,075	685,511	702,126	718,203	736,457
26	Less: Trust Account Withdrawals	0	0	0	0	(10,000)	(25,000)
27	Net Operating Expenses	739,112	718,075	685,511	702,126	708,203	711,457
28	Less: Credit for Prior Year Excess O&M Payment	(70,418)	0	0	0	0	0
29	Rental Payment to the City of New York	150,475	157,226	128,731	129,933	148,087	169,092
30	Cash Financed Capital Construction	0	20,000	20,000	20,000	0	0
31	Total Expenses	819,169	895,301	834,242	852,059	856,289	880,549
32	Net Surplus (Line 7-Line 18-Line 31)	\$ 134,484	\$ 88,081	\$ 102,690	\$ 93,994	\$ 94,482	\$ 54,906
33	First Resolution Debt Service Coverage (Line 7/Line 10)	3.33	2.95	2.83	2.58	2.45	2.29
34	First and Second Resolution Debt Service Coverage (Line 7/Line 18)	2.80	2.84	2.39	2.21	2.04	1.89

Amounts subtracted and totals may reflect adjustments for rounding of amounts shown in individual line items.

(1) Includes estimated debt service on the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds and the Fiscal 2000 C Bonds.

(2) Includes interest payments on Commercial Paper Notes.

Source: Black & Veatch

RATES AND BILLINGS

The System has approximately 826,000 water and sewer accounts. The vast majority of these accounts receive both water and sewer service. In developing areas such as portions of Staten Island where the System does not yet provide full service, accounts may be for water service only.

The Board's customer accounts are in two categories: approximately 686,000 metered accounts and approximately 140,000 flat-rate accounts. Only water service is metered. Charges are established for both flat-rate and metered customers with sewer charges computed as a percentage of water charges. The Board retains the firm of Black & Veatch for the purpose of conducting a detailed review of the structure of water and sewer rates. The Board considers the results of their rate studies in establishing its rates and charges for service.

The System's rates and charges are largely exempt from federal or State regulation. The Board's water rates, fees and charges are not subject to further approval or regulation except for rates for upstate users. Participation in the Construction Grants Program, however, requires the maintenance of sewer charges sufficient to defray costs of operation, maintenance and replacement, and of surcharges for industrial discharges into the System's sewers levied in conformity with formulas set forth in the Clean Water Act and regulations thereunder. Rates, fees and charges for water supply are the responsibility of the Board. Data compiled from meter readings made by DEP inspectors and DEP contractors furnish input for billings and information useful in determining the effectiveness of City-mandated conservation measures. For a discussion of limitations on water service rates, fees and charges, see "THE SYSTEM—Governmental Regulation—Water Supply Regulation—State" and "THE SYSTEM—Service Area."

Billing and Collection

The Bureau of Customer and Conservation Services of DEP (the "Bureau") is responsible for rendering bills to customers of the System. Through its field offices in each borough of the City and its central offices in Queens, it is responsible for the installation and reading of meters and for the checking of meter accuracy, as well as the maintenance of current information for those customers on the flat-rate system of billing. Data files for flat-rate customers are kept current through the review of building alteration plans filed with the Buildings Department and forwarded to DEP, and by inspections performed by Bureau personnel.

Customer payments are mailed to a post office lockbox maintained by the Board and processed by a vendor bank (currently The Chase Manhattan Bank) under contract to the Board. Customer payments can now be made to 50 private sector neighborhood payment centers, which deposit the checks into the Water Board's account. Electronic fund transfers are also made to the Board's collection account by four mortgage service companies for payment of annual frontage charges on accounts serviced for member banks and institutions. The Bureau also collects payments by check at its borough offices and by cash through certain check-cashing establishments, after which they are deposited to the bank.

The billing and collection system processes have undergone a period of significant change. These changes are related to: the universal metering program; the consolidation of billing within a single agency, DEP; the implementation of new computer systems to support the modernization of billing operations; and the development of system enhancements required to customize the software to meet the needs of the water and sewer billing system. These changes have highlighted certain short-term problems which DEP is addressing.

The universal metering program is changing the basis of billing for over 75% of the System's customers formerly charged on a flat-rate basis. This has resulted in several new issues for DEP. Newly metered accounts lack a consumption history on which estimated bills can be based when DEP is unable to obtain a reading. In some cases this has led to inaccurate bills being rendered and an increase in the number of customer complaints. Also, because of the large volume of meter installations within a short period of time, there were lags in converting flat-rate accounts to a metered basis of billing. These problems have been largely addressed. Unlike flat-rate charges which were commonly paid through mortgage escrow accounts, metered charges are billed directly to customers which, among other factors, has required DEP to handle a substantially higher volume of customer account inquiries.

System enhancements to the computer systems which support the billing process have been a major undertaking for the Board and DEP. In conjunction with these enhancements, the administration of billing operations has been consolidated and centralized into DEP. Formerly, the billing function required the cooperation and coordination of two City agencies, DEP and the Department of Finance. Among other matters, implementing this new billing system required the transfer of a large number of customer account records and data from the Department of Finance's files to the new system. Major efforts were undertaken to update and correct customer records, and to customize billing programs to fit the City's circumstances. Despite these efforts, problems were encountered which prevented the rendering of timely bills to approximately 10% of the customer base. Corrective action has been implemented to address this condition.

On June 22, 1999, the Board adopted a regulation authorizing DEP to terminate water and sewer services to customers because of nonpayment of assessed charges. Subject to certain notice requirements, service may be terminated on certain days of the week and at certain times of the day for the following classes of accounts:

- (i) Commercial and all other non-residential accounts: At least one delinquent charge must exist on the account which has remained open and unpaid for at least two years after its due date.
- (ii) Residential accounts with one to five units: At least one delinquent charge must exist on the account which has remained open and unpaid for at least three years after its due date.
- (iii) Residential accounts with six or more units: At least one delinquent charge must exist on the account which has remained open and unpaid for at least two years after its due date.

DEP has identified several areas which require additional resources to support its billing and customer service functions. The Fiscal Year 2000 operating budget includes approximately \$5.8 million in new resources for the Bureau to address these issues. Among the actions which have been taken in recent years are the creation of a major accounts unit, a unit to service accounts with protracted periods of estimated billing and a collection unit which follows up with delinquent customers after charges have been validated.

Flat-Rate Accounts

At the present time, approximately 140,000 accounts are billed annually through the flat-rate system. These accounts are charged for water through a computation which incorporates, among other factors, the width of the front of the building ("frontage"), the number of stories, the number of dwelling units, and the number of each of the water-using fixtures (such as bathtubs, showers and toilets) in the building. The flat rate is computed when the building is first constructed, and amended upon notice from the City's Department of Buildings ("DOB") of building alterations or when a DEP inspector determines that the basis for charges is incorrect. Flat-rate annual bills are normally sent to customers prior to the start of each Fiscal Year and are due at the end of the first month of the Fiscal Year.

Metered Accounts

There are now approximately 686,000 metered accounts in use. Meters installed prior to July 1, 1987 are owned by the property owners, but must meet DEP specifications and be tested for accuracy. All meters installed or replaced by the City as part of the universal metering program are the property of the City.

Meters are read and billed on a quarterly basis except meters for some larger accounts which are read and billed more frequently. Most meter readings are captured electronically through the use of hand-held computers and a universal probe. Such data are relayed to computers in each field office and transmitted to a centralized computer billing system on a daily basis. Some older meters, however, must be read manually. Metered account bills are sent out regularly throughout the year.

The Board has amended its regulations to preclude any properties which are currently metered from changing to a flat-rate billing basis. Commercial accounts are required by the Board and the City to have meters installed on all water services. Substantially all of these accounts are in compliance with this requirement.

Universal Metering Program

The three major goals of universal metering of all water service in the City are water conservation, improved water supply system management, and rate equity. It is expected that substantially all flat-rate accounts will be metered by the end of Fiscal Year 2001. The City has issued contracts for the installation of meters for remaining unmetered accounts. At the same time, existing meters are being tested and replaced where necessary. All new meters incorporate remote devices which enable meter reading from outside the building. This has enhanced meter reading efficiency by eliminating the meter reader's need to gain building access. As of April 1, 2000, approximately 510,000 meters had been installed under the Universal Metering Program. Upon the initiation of this program, the City assumed responsibility for the maintenance, repair and replacement of all meters. As of May 1, 2000 approximately 85% of all water and sewer accounts had been metered and an additional 9% had work orders pending for meter installation. In July 2000, unmetered properties which have not taken steps to install a meter will be issued a surcharge doubling their annual water sewer charge.

The Universal Metering Program has and will continue to shift the basis of customer billing from the flat-rate system which relates to the physical characteristics of a property to the metered system which measures the actual usage of utility services. Billing based on actual usage has affected the level of charges to certain large multiple-family residential buildings, in particular, those buildings with above average population density, and those with improperly maintained plumbing fixtures. The result is often a significant increase in charges to such buildings. In response to the needs of this segment of the customer base, the Water Board has adopted a transition program whereby owners of multiple-family buildings which have had meters installed under the Universal Metering Program will continue to be billed on a flat-rate basis during the transition period. The transition program allows owners time to review their water usage, educate tenants regarding conservation, repair leaky plumbing, and/or install low flow fixtures in order to reduce consumption and charges. There are approximately 22,000 accounts in the transitional program.

On May 11, 1993, the Water Board adopted a program which provides for a cap on the per unit charge on multiple family dwellings. The cap is set at approximately 150% of the average per family unit charge. In order to be eligible for this program, building owners must submit to a water audit by DEP and take measures to eliminate leakage and waste.

Revenues from newly metered accounts may increase or decrease somewhat depending on how closely the flat-rate billing factors previously used compare to actual metered consumption for these accounts. Based upon recent experience, a one time decrease in collections will occur for each account as it is metered due to the transition from billing in advance under flat rates to billing after consumption occurs. The one time effect is taken into account in the forecasted revenues of the System.

On May 12, 2000, the Water Board adopted its Multiple Family Conservation Proposal which will replace the existing transition program, and meter billing cap program referred to above for residential buildings consisting of six or more dwelling units. It provides that owners of such buildings who replace or have replaced at least 70% of the toilet, sink and showerhead fixtures in such building with low flow fixtures may elect to be billed on the basis of metered consumption or a fixed charge per dwelling unit per year. The proposal provides for implementation over a 3 year period, and the earliest date on which the charge will be effective is July 1, 2001.

Exempt Accounts

Certain institutions are exempt under State law from the payment of all or a portion of their water and sewer charges depending upon usage. These institutions include religious, certain educational and other charitable institutions as well as homes for the aged, hospitals and other non-profit or charitable corporations. For Fiscal Year 2000, metered accounts of these institutions which would be charged less than \$12,605 per year for water service are fully exempt from water and sewer charges, with a 50% exemption for those accounts ranging from \$12,605 to \$25,211 in annual water charges. The comparable thresholds for flat-rate accounts of these institutions are \$11,321 and \$22,643. There are approximately 4,000 exempt accounts.

Rates

The following table sets forth the changes in rates for water and sewer service since 1986:

History of Water and Sewer Rate Increases

Effective Date	Change in Flat-Rate Water	Change in Metered Water	Metered Water Rate	Change in Sewer
July 1, 1986	Increased 9.9%	Increased 9.9%	72.5¢ per ccf(1)	Remained at 60% of watercharge.
July 1, 1987	Increased 12%	Increased 12%	81¢ per ccf	Increased to 70% of watercharge.
July 1, 1988	Increased from \$14.06 to \$26.40 per year for each additional family above the single family assumed in an individual flat-rate account.	No change	81¢ per ccf	Increased to 75% of watercharge.
July 1, 1989	Increased from \$26.40 to \$41.86 per year for each additional family above the single family assumed in an individual flat-rate account. Remaining flat-rate charges increased by 7.8%.	Increased 7.8%	87¢ per ccf	Increased to 88% of watercharge.
Jan. 1, 1990	Increased 9%	Increased 9%	95¢ per ccf	Increased to 112% of watercharge.
July 1, 1991	Increased 6.4%	Increased 6.4%	\$1.01 per ccf	Increased to 136% of watercharge.
July 1, 1992	No change	No change	\$1.01 per ccf	Increased to 159% of watercharge.
July 1, 1993	No change	No change	\$1.01 per ccf	No change.
July 1, 1994	No change	No change	\$1.01 per ccf	No change.
July 1, 1995	Increased 5%	Increased 5%	\$1.06 per ccf	No change.
July 1, 1996	Increased 6.5%	Increased 6.5%	\$1.13 per ccf	No change.
July 1, 1997	Increased 6.5%	Increased 6.5%	\$1.20 per ccf	No change.
July 1, 1998	Increased 4%	Increased 4%	\$1.25 per ccf	No change.
July 1, 1999	Increased 4%	Increased 4%	\$1.30 per ccf	No change.
July 1, 2000	Increased 1%	Increased 1%	\$1.31 per ccf	No change.

(1) ccf: 100 cubic feet.

Projected Rates. Although the Board sets rates for an annual period it may increase rates during the annual period, as required. Currently forecasted debt service, operating and other costs for the System indicate that the anticipated future rate increases to be set by the Board combined for water and sewer services are 5.4% in Fiscal Year 2002, 6.1% in Fiscal Year 2003 and 6.9% in each of Fiscal Years 2004 and 2005.

Basic Sewer Charge. For all properties connected to the Sewer System, or legally required to be connected after receiving proper notice, there is a charge imposed equal to a fixed percentage of the property's water charge. Since July 1, 1992, the sewer charge has remained at 159% of the water charge.

Sewer Allowances. Certain commercial customers use water in their products and thus return less waste to the Sewer System than their water consumption might indicate. Upon application and approval, these commercial users are entitled to an effective rate reduction which reflects the proportion of water which is retained in their products or evaporated and not returned as sewage.

Sewer-only Customer Charges. In the case of premises which receive water service from alternative sources, a sewer charge is determined by DEP. For the current Fiscal Year, the sewer charge to such premises is equal to 159% of the dollar amount that would be charged for water usage if it were supplied by the Water System.

Partial Sewer Charge Accounts. A small number of customers, located primarily in Staten Island, receive wastewater treatment services from privately owned and operated wastewater treatment facilities. Such accounts are charged for sewer collection services only at a lower rate than the basic sewer charge. The lower rate, which is equal to 38% of the water charges assessed on an account, reflects the fact that such accounts do not receive wastewater treatment services, but do use the System's sewage collection system to carry wastewater to and/or away from the privately owned treatment plant.

Upstate Water Rates. Rates for water supply service provided to municipalities and water districts located north of the City are established in accordance with the provisions of the Water Supply Act of 1905. The 1905

Act provides that such rates shall be based on the System's actual cost of service. The sale of water and the rates and charges for these accounts are regulated by State law as well as by individual agreements between these communities and the City. Each contract provides for the metering of water sales to individual communities and the application of a specific charge per unit of metered volume. In most cases, per capita consumption in the upstate communities is less than that of customers within the City. In those instances where the community per capita consumption exceeds that of the City, the specified rate of charge for the excess is increased to match the rates and charges applied to retail service in the City. Water taken from either the Croton or Catskill/Delaware systems is currently charged at a rate of \$383.78 per million gallons for amounts not in excess of daily per capita consumption within the City.

Comparative Annual Water and Sewer User Charges

Comparative Charges. The following table presents comparative annual water and sewer charges in 24 large cities based upon a survey conducted by Black & Veatch. Using a ranking system where 1 represents the lowest rates, the City's ranking relative to these cities is: for Single-Family Residential—11, for Commercial—14, and for Industrial—16.

Comparative Annual Water and Sewer User Charges(A)

Single Family Residential		Commercial		Industrial	
City	Annual Charge	City	Annual Charge	City	Annual Charge
Chicago(B)	\$199	Detroit	\$2,775	Indianapolis	\$207,366
Detroit	335	San Antonio	2,761	Detroit	244,076
Baltimore	338	Chicago	2,822	Milwaukee	239,678
San Antonio	344	Baltimore	2,933	St. Louis	241,120
Milwaukee	361	Indianapolis	2,972	San Antonio	248,980
St. Louis	370	Dallas	2,987	Dallas	257,491
Newark	372	St. Louis	3,032	Baltimore	259,432
Indianapolis	397	Milwaukee	3,088	Newark	269,634
Columbus	440	New Orleans	3,597	Chicago	282,165
New Orleans	448	Newark	3,721	New Orleans	285,867
New York	455	Philadelphia	3,924	Philadelphia	289,126
Dallas	487	Columbus	4,009	Columbus	370,556
Los Angeles	534	Honolulu	4,492	San Jose	426,480
San Jose	543	New York	4,546	Honolulu	447,044
Cleveland	545	Los Angeles	4,833	Los Angeles	452,418
Washington, D.C.	547	San Jose	4,897	New York	454,635
Honolulu	563	San Diego	5,123	San Diego	474,639
Houston	590	Jacksonville	5,381	Jacksonville	468,881
Philadelphia	597	Washington D.C.	5,468	Washington, D.C.	546,791
Atlanta	618	Cleveland	5,751	Atlanta	568,971
Jacksonville	631	Atlanta	6,176	Cleveland	578,443
San Diego	711	Houston	6,279	Houston	620,974
Boston	725	Boston	7,408	Boston	773,172
San Francisco	761	San Francisco	9,042	San Francisco	885,573
Average	\$496	Average	\$4,502	Average	\$412,146

Notes:

- (A) User Charges are based upon information provided by the identified cities and standardized assumptions regarding water consumption, wastewater discharge, and other factors. Actual charges in each city will vary in accordance with local usage patterns. Some cities bill for sewer use on the basis of winter water consumption which could affect sewer billings if a customer's use was not uniform throughout the year. Charges for all cities reflect rate schedules in effect in March, 2000.
- (B) In addition to the water and sewer user charge, a single family residence with a market value of \$100,000 pays \$158 per year in property taxes to the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago.

THE SYSTEM

Service Area

DEP supplies water and sewer service to the Boroughs of Manhattan, the Bronx, Brooklyn, Queens, Staten Island, an area of over 300 square miles, and serves over 7.4 million people. Water and/or sewer service is provided to approximately 826,000 accounts on either a flat-rate or metered basis. There are approximately 686,000 metered accounts and 140,000 flat-rate accounts. The City is also required by State law to sell water in counties where its water supply facilities are located and where it currently provides water to an additional approximately one million people.

In 1999, the Water System provided an average of 1,354 mgd from its surface water system and an average of 33 mgd from wells located in southeast Queens. Water consumption varied by season with the summer months having the largest demand. Peak flows in the Water System can exceed a rate of 2,000 mgd. The following table shows the average daily water consumption from the Water System from 1989 through 1999.

Average Daily Water Consumption

Calendar Year	Total (mgd)(1)	Upstate Counties (mgd)	New York City	
			Total (mgd)	Per Capita(2) (gals/day)
1989	1,492	113	1,379	192
1990	1,525	122	1,403	195
1991	1,569	124	1,445	200
1992	1,462	114	1,348	187
1993	1,464	119	1,345	187
1994	1,454	119	1,335	185
1995	1,432	123	1,309	179
1996	1,404	120	1,284	177
1997	1,307	123	1,184	164
1998	1,314	125	1,189	167
1999	1,354	129	1,225	169

(1) Does not include water from wells located in southeast Queens which provided 33 mgd in 1999.

(2) Population source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

The vast majority of System accounts receive both water and sewer service. The remaining customers are located in the few areas of the City, primarily in Staten Island, where sewer service is not yet established and, thus, accounts are water only.

Approximately 88% of the System's water and sewer customers are residential. The remainder are primarily commercial and industrial users with industrial customers accounting for only a small portion of water and sewer usage.

Sewer service, except for significant parts of the Borough of Staten Island, and the Borough of Queens communities of Breezy Point, Douglaston, and the Borough of Brooklyn community of Seagate, is provided to virtually the entire City. Sewer service is also provided to certain upstate communities in System watershed areas. Daily sewage flow from these upstate communities is approximately .93 mgd. In 1999, daily flows of sewage to the City treatment plants averaged approximately 1,266 mgd during dry weather.

The Water System

History

Early Manhattan settlers obtained water for domestic purposes from shallow privately owned wells. In 1677 the first public well was dug in front of the old fort at Bowling Green. In 1776, when the population reached

approximately 22,000, a reservoir was constructed on the east side of Broadway between Pearl and White Streets. Water pumped from wells sunk near the Collect Pond, east of the reservoir, and from the pond itself, was distributed through hollow logs laid in the principal streets. In 1800 the Manhattan Company (now The Chase Manhattan Bank) sank a well at Reade and Centre Streets, pumped water into a reservoir on Chambers Street and distributed it through wooden mains to a portion of the community. In 1830 a tank for fire protection was constructed by the City at 13th Street and Broadway and was filled from a well. The water was distributed through two 12-inch cast iron pipes. As the population of the City increased, the well water became polluted and supply was insufficient. The supply was supplemented by cisterns and water drawn from a few springs in upper Manhattan.

After exploring alternatives for increasing supply, the City decided to impound water from the Croton River, in what is now Westchester County, and to build an aqueduct to carry water from the Old Croton Reservoir to the City. This aqueduct, known today as the Old Croton Aqueduct, had a capacity of about 90 million gallons per day (mgd) and was placed in service in 1842. The distribution reservoirs were located in Manhattan at 42nd Street (discontinued in 1890) and in Central Park south of 86th Street (discontinued in 1925). New reservoirs were constructed to increase supply: Boyds Corner in 1873 and Middle Branch in 1878. In 1883 a commission was formed to build a second aqueduct from the Croton watershed as well as additional storage reservoirs. This aqueduct, known as the New Croton Aqueduct, was under construction from 1885 to 1893 and was placed in service in 1890, while still under construction. The present Water System was consolidated from the various water systems in communities now consisting of the Boroughs of Manhattan, the Bronx, Brooklyn, Queens and Staten Island.

Since 1842, there have been no significant interruptions of service other than brief annual shutdowns for the purpose of routine inspections during the period from 1842 to the Civil War.

In 1905 the Board of Water Supply was created by the State Legislature. After careful study, the City decided to develop the Catskill region as an additional water source. The Board of Water Supply proceeded to plan and construct facilities to impound the waters of the Esopus Creek, one of the four watersheds in the Catskills, and to deliver the water throughout the City. This project, to develop what is known as the Catskill System, included the Ashokan Reservoir and the Catskill Aqueduct and was completed in 1915. It was subsequently turned over to the City's Department of Water Supply, Gas and Electricity for operation and maintenance. The remaining development of the Catskill System, involving the construction of the Schoharie Reservoir and Shandaken Tunnel, was completed in 1928.

In 1927 the Board of Water Supply submitted a plan to the Board of Estimate and Apportionment for the development of the upper portion of the Rondout watershed and tributaries of the Delaware River within the *State of New York*. This project was approved in 1928. Work was subsequently delayed by an action brought by the State of New Jersey in the Supreme Court of the United States to enjoin the City and State of New York from using the waters of any Delaware River tributary. In May 1931 the Supreme Court of the United States upheld the right of the City to augment its water supply from the headwaters of the Delaware River. Construction of the Delaware System was begun in March 1937. The Delaware System was placed in service in stages: The Delaware Aqueduct was completed in 1944, Neversink Reservoir in 1950, Rondout Reservoir in 1951, Pepacton Reservoir in 1954 and Cannonsville Reservoir in 1967.

Water for the System is impounded in three upstate reservoir systems which include 18 reservoirs and three controlled lakes with a total storage capacity of approximately 550 billion gallons. The three water collection systems were designed and built with various interconnections to increase flexibility by permitting exchange of water from one system to another. This feature mitigates localized droughts and takes advantage of excess water in any of the three watersheds.

Water is conveyed to the City from the reservoirs of the Croton, Catskill and Delaware Systems by gravity through large aqueducts and balancing reservoirs. Within the City, water is distributed through two major tunnels and three distribution facilities. A third tunnel is now under construction and has begun to supplement the two City tunnels currently in use.

In comparison to other public water systems, the Water System is both economical and flexible. Approximately 95% of the total water supply is delivered to the consumer by gravity. Only about 5% of the water is regularly pumped to maintain the desired pressure. As a result, operating costs are relatively insensitive to fluctuations in the cost of power. When drought conditions exist, additional pumping is required.

Water Collection

The three main reservoir systems are the Croton, Catskill and Delaware systems. (See “New York City Water Supply System” map before the Appendices for the location of the reservoir systems.) In addition, approximately 2% of the City’s daily water supply is provided by wells located in southeast Queens (the “Southeast Queens System”).

The following tables set forth the capacities and original in-service dates of the System’s collecting and balancing reservoirs and distribution facilities based on the City records.

Collecting Reservoirs

<u>Name</u>	<u>Available Capacity* (Billion Gallons)</u>	<u>Original In-Service Date</u>
Croton		
New Croton	19.0	1905
Croton Falls Main	14.2	1911
Cross River	10.3	1908
West Branch	8.0	1895
Titicus	7.2	1893
Amawalk	6.7	1897
East Branch	5.2	1891
Muscoot	4.9	1905
Bog Brook	4.4	1892
Middle Branch	4.1	1878
Boyds Corner	1.7	1873
Croton Falls Diverting	0.9	1911
Total	86.6	
Catskill		
Ashokan	122.9	1915
Schoharie	17.6	1926
Total	140.5	
Delaware		
Pepacton	140.2	1954
Cannonsville	95.7	1965
Rondout	49.6	1951
Neversink	34.9	1950
Total	320.4	
Total Available Capacity	547.5	

* Capacity above minimum operating level.

Balancing Reservoirs and Distribution Facilities

<u>Name</u>	<u>Storage Capacity (Billion Gallons)</u>	<u>Original In-Service Date</u>
Balancing Reservoirs		
Kensico	30.6	1915
Hillview	0.9	1915
Total	31.5	
Distribution Facilities		
Central Park	1.0	1862
Jerome Park	0.8	1905
Ridgewood (basin no. 3)	0.1	1875
Silver Lake (tanks)	0.1	1970
Total	2.0	
Total Storage Capacity	<u>33.5</u>	

The amount of water that can be safely drawn from a watershed during the worst period in the drought of record is the "Dependable Yield." DEP has determined that the System could have furnished an average of 1,290 mgd during the drought of record in the mid-1960s. During periods of normal rainfall, watersheds supply more than the Dependable Yield. As of May 31, 2000, reservoir levels were at 100% of capacity compared to normal levels of 100%.

The following table sets forth the Dependable Yield and storage capacity for each of the water supply systems.

Water System Yield and Capacity

<u>System</u>	<u>Dependable Yield (mgd)</u>	<u>Storage Capacity(1) (billion gallons)</u>
Croton	240	86.6
Catskill	470	140.5
Delaware	580	320.4
Southeast Queens	33	2.6
Total	<u>1,323</u>	<u>550.1</u>

(1) Capacity above minimum operating level.

The City has allocated approximately \$4.7 million for planning and design of measures to control possible zebra mussel infestation of the upstate reservoir system and expects to spend approximately \$12.4 million through the year 2000 on construction of such controls.

The Croton System: The Croton System normally provides approximately 10% of the City's daily water supply and can provide substantially more of the daily water supply during drought conditions. The Croton System consists of 12 reservoirs and three controlled lakes on the Croton River, its three branches and three other tributaries. The water flows from upstream reservoirs through natural streams to downstream reservoirs, terminating at the New Croton Reservoir.

Operation of the Croton System commenced in 1842. The Croton System is divided into three subsystems: the West Branch, Croton Falls, and Muscoot. The watershed which supplies the Croton System has an area of 375 square miles. It lies almost entirely within the State, approximately 45 miles north of lower Manhattan, with a small portion in the State of Connecticut.

The Croton Falls subsystem is made up of the Bog Brook, East Branch, Croton Falls Diverting, Middle Branch, and Croton Falls Main Reservoirs. Bog Brook and East Branch Reservoirs are connected by a 10-foot tunnel. Water from Croton Falls Diverting Reservoir can flow to either Croton Falls Main Reservoir or the Muscoot Reservoir. Water from Middle Branch Reservoir flows to Croton Falls Main Reservoir. Water from Croton Falls Main Reservoir can be pumped into the Delaware Aqueduct by operating the Croton Falls Hydraulic Pump Station or can flow to the Muscoot Reservoir.

The West Branch subsystem is comprised of Boyds Corner and West Branch Reservoirs. West Branch Reservoir is connected to both the Delaware Aqueduct and the Croton Falls Main Reservoir. Water from the West Branch Reservoir is normally fed into the Delaware Aqueduct to take advantage of the high hydraulic head available.

The Muscoot subsystem is made up of the Titicus, Amawalk, and Cross River Reservoirs which all empty into the Muscoot Reservoir. Water from Cross River Reservoir can also be pumped into the Delaware Aqueduct by the Cross River Hydraulic Pump Station. The Muscoot Reservoir empties into the New Croton Reservoir. Water is then conveyed through the New Croton Aqueduct to Jerome Park Reservoir in the Bronx for distribution to consumers.

Engineering reports sponsored by the Corps in the early 1980s indicated that the dams and reservoirs in the Croton System are safe but in need of some rehabilitation and reconstruction work. An ongoing reconstruction program has been established and funded in the CIP. The majority of the rehabilitation includes replacement and refurbishment of the outlet works and mechanical equipment within the gatehouses, improvements to the dam structures, maintenance of grounds and enlargement of the spillway capacities. Upon completion of the proposed reconstruction in 2002, all facilities in the Croton System will comply with the current national dam safety guidelines established in 1976.

The quality of the water in the Croton System, the City's oldest, does not consistently meet color standards established by the New York State Sanitary Code (the "Sanitary Code"), but is otherwise in compliance with all current standards. The NYSDOH and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("USEPA") have mandated treatment to ensure the Croton System water continues to meet all quality standards. The key features of this program, the Croton Filter Project, are the construction of a demonstration water treatment plant at the Jerome Park Reservoir, site work improvements at the same location, improvements to the distribution system in Manhattan, the reconstruction of the Croton Lake Gatehouse and the construction of a full-scale water treatment plant. The first stages of this program have been completed and preliminary design work for the construction of a full-scale water treatment plant at the Mosholu Golf Course in Van Cortlandt Park in the Bronx is currently underway. The City is a party to a federal court consent decree, which sets out a timetable for the design and construction of the facility. See "THE SYSTEM—The Water System—Water Quality."

The Catskill System: The Catskill System watersheds occupy sparsely populated areas in the central and eastern portions of the Catskill Mountains and normally provide approximately 40% of the City's daily water supply. Water in the Catskill System comes from the Esopus and Schoharie Creek watersheds, located approximately 100 miles north of lower Manhattan and 35 miles west of the Hudson River. The Catskill System is comprised of the Schoharie and Ashokan Reservoirs and the Catskill Aqueduct. The Schoharie Reservoir is formed by the Gilboa Dam across the Schoharie Creek. The Ashokan Dam across the Esopus Creek forms the Ashokan Reservoir. Catskill System water has its origin in the Esopus Creek and Schoharie Creek watersheds. These watersheds, occupying the central and eastern portions of the Catskill Mountains collect stream flow from the mountains of sparsely populated areas. The Esopus Creek watershed drains into the Hudson River and has an area of about 257 square miles. The Schoharie Creek watershed drains into the Mohawk River and has an area of about 314 square miles. The greater part of the water from these two watershed areas is stored in the Ashokan Reservoir and the balance is held in the Schoharie Reservoir.

Water from the Schoharie Reservoir is conveyed via the Shandaken Tunnel and Esopus Creek to Ashokan Reservoir and from there to Kensico Reservoir via the Catskill Aqueduct. The Catskill Aqueduct passes under the Hudson River and the New Croton Reservoir. At the New Croton Reservoir it is possible to introduce water

from Ashokan Reservoir to the New Croton Reservoir to maximize the use of storage capacity. The Kensico Reservoir does not have a significant drainage area, but rather serves as a balancing reservoir for both the Catskill and Delaware Systems.

From the Kensico Reservoir Catskill System water flows to the Hillview Reservoir in Yonkers via the Catskill Aqueduct. Water is delivered from Hillview Reservoir to the City through Tunnels 1 and 2. It is also possible for the Catskill Aqueduct to bypass both Kensico and Hillview Reservoirs. Except for river crossings and a few sections of tunnel, the Catskill Aqueduct is a cut-and-cover conduit laid on grade. The Catskill System was completed between 1915 and 1927.

Metcalf & Eddy has concluded that the overall facilities in the Catskill System are in adequate condition (the highest rating category). See "APPENDIX A—LETTER OF METCALF & EDDY, CONSULTING ENGINEERS."

The Delaware System: The Delaware System, located approximately 125 miles north of lower Manhattan, normally provides approximately 50% of the City's daily water supply. Three Delaware System reservoirs collect water from a sparsely populated region on the branches of the Delaware River: Cannonsville Reservoir (formed by the Cannonsville dam on the West Branch of the Delaware River); Pepacton Reservoir (formed by the Downsview Dam across the East Branch of the Delaware River); and Neversink Reservoir (formed by the Neversink Dam across the Neversink River, a tributary to the Delaware River). These reservoirs feed eastward through separate rock tunnels, West Delaware, East Delaware and Neversink, to Rondout Reservoir where the Delaware Aqueduct begins. Rondout Reservoir is formed by the Merriman Dam across Rondout Creek. Although most of the water in Rondout Reservoir is Delaware River water drawn from the other three reservoirs, Rondout Reservoir is not in the Delaware River watershed since Rondout Creek flows into the Hudson River. Metcalf & Eddy has concluded that the overall facilities in the Delaware System are in adequate condition. See "APPENDIX A—LETTER OF METCALF & EDDY, CONSULTING ENGINEERS."

For operational flexibility, the three reservoir systems were designed and built with various interconnections which permit water from one system to be introduced into and mixed with water from another. Interconnections permit the System to mitigate localized droughts or to take advantage of excess water in any of the three watersheds. Croton System water, for example, can be introduced into the Delaware Aqueduct at West Branch, Cross River and Croton Falls Main Reservoirs; Delaware and Catskill System waters can be introduced into the Croton System at West Branch and New Croton Reservoirs, respectively.

Water may be pumped into the Delaware Aqueduct from the standby pump station at Chelsea, New York (the "Chelsea Pump Station") which draws from the Hudson River. The Chelsea Pump Station has a capacity of 100 mgd and pumped approximately 82 mgd of water from the river for almost five months during the 1985 drought. The Chelsea Pump Station also pumped approximately 90 mgd between May 1, 1989 and May 15, 1989. The second facility of its type to be situated at this location, the Chelsea Pump Station was reconstructed in 1965-66 under drought emergency circumstances and operated for approximately ten months during that period. It was placed on standby status until 1981. In that year, again under drought conditions, the station was rehabilitated to full operating capacity.

The City has submitted an application for a five-year permit to NYSDEC to operate the Chelsea Pump Station on an emergency basis at the maximum rate of 100 mgd. Operation of the Chelsea Pump Station also requires a State Pollutant Discharge Elimination Systems ("SPDES") permit. However, the City may operate the Chelsea Pump Station in the event of drought emergency without the issuance of the SPDES permit, providing the City continues to pursue its application for such permit and satisfies interim conditions set by NYSDEC. The City has updated its environmental assessment of the Chelsea Pump Station.

The Southeast Queens System: The Southeast Queens System provides approximately 2% of the City's daily water supply and could potentially provide more of the daily supply during drought conditions. Unlike the rest of the City's water supply which is a surface and gravity-supplied system originating in a network of upstate reservoirs, the Southeast Queens System is a ground water or well-based system in which water is pumped from extensive underground aquifers.

The City acquired the Queens portion of the Jamaica Water Supply Company ("Jamaica") in May 1996. This acquisition represented the first new water supply source for the City since the 1960s when the Delaware surface water system initially came on line. The assets of Jamaica acquired by the City consist of 69 wells, 12 storage tanks, 660 miles of water mains and approximately 7,500 fire hydrants. As a result of the acquisition, an additional 90,000 accounts were added to the City's customer base for water service; DEP had previously supplied wastewater and sewer service to the area. Water supplied by the Southeast Queens System serves approximately 500,000 residents in the Queens communities of Richmond Hill, Kew Gardens, Jamaica, Ozone Park, Springfield Gardens, St. Albans, Cambria Heights, Briarwood and Jamaica Estates.

At the present time, DEP is actively operating 45 of the 69 wells acquired from Jamaica, which produce a yield of approximately 33 mgd. DEP continues to intensively monitor well yields and water quality, and is evaluating the possibility of returning some of the inactive wells to productive use, at least at a level which would provide for redundancy or for emergency use during droughts. For some time prior to Jamaica's acquisition by the City, Jamaica received some water from the Water System. Water supply provided to customers in Jamaica's former service area has increasingly been drawn from the Water System's surface water supply network rather than the Southeast Queens System's wells. Currently, approximately 40% of the water supplied to such customers is drawn from the Water System's surface water supply network and approximately 60% is drawn from the Southeast Queens System. The Southeast Queens System is being fully integrated into DEP's water supply and distribution systems. Where possible, customers in southeast Queens receive a mixture of both well and surface water, which provides an improvement in both water pressure and water quality. Additional capital projects to increase water main inter-connections between the City's surface and ground water systems are ongoing.

Water Transmission

The System's water supply is transported through an extensive system of tunnels and aqueducts. (See "**New York City Water Tunnels**" map before the Appendices for the location of the major water transmission facilities.) Croton System water is delivered from the New Croton Reservoir by the New Croton Aqueduct to the Jerome Park Reservoir in The Bronx. From Jerome Park Reservoir and from direct connections to the New Croton Aqueduct, trunk mains carry water to the service area. The Catskill and Delaware Aqueducts convey water from Ashokan Reservoir and Rondout Reservoir to Kensico Reservoir and then to Hillview Reservoir in Yonkers. Both Kensico and Hillview Reservoirs serve as balancing reservoirs. Water from the Catskill and Delaware Systems is mixed in the Kensico Reservoir, and is conveyed to Hillview Reservoir where water enters Tunnels 1 and 2. Trunk mains carry water from tunnel shafts and from the distribution facilities (Jerome Park and Ridgewood Reservoirs and Silver Lake Tanks) (the "Distribution Facilities") to the service area. These trunk mains are usually more than 20 inches in diameter.

Water System Tunnels and Aqueducts

<u>Tunnels and Aqueducts</u>	<u>Connections</u>	<u>Length (miles)</u>	<u>Diameter (feet)</u>	<u>Transmission Capacity (mgd)</u>	<u>In-Service Date</u>
Tunnels Upstate					
Shandaken	Schoharie to Ashokan	18.1	11.5x 10.25	650	1924
West Delaware	Cannonsville to Rondout	44.0	11.33	500	1964
East Delaware	Pepacton to Rondout	25.0	11.33	700	1955
Neversink	Neversink to Rondout	6.0	10	500	1954
Aqueducts					
New Croton	New Croton to Jerome Park	24.0	13.5x 13.6	300	1893
	Jerome Park to the 135th St. Gatehouse	9.0	12.25-10.5	250	1893
Catskill	Ashokan to Kensico	75.0	17 x 17.5	610	1915
	Kensico to Hillview	17.0	17.5 x 18	800	1915
Delaware	Rondout to West Branch	44.2	13.5	890	1944
	West Branch to Kensico	27.2	15	1,045	1943
	Kensico to Hillview	13.6	19.5	1,450	1942
Tunnels Downstate					
Tunnel 1	Hillview to distribution system	18.0	15-11	1,000	1917
Tunnel 2	Hillview to distribution system	20.0	17-15	1,000	1936
Richmond Tunnel	Tunnel 2 to Staten Island Uptake Shaft	5.0	10	350	1970

The New Croton Aqueduct: The New Croton Aqueduct transmits water by gravity from New Croton Reservoir to Jerome Park Reservoir in The Bronx. Water is also drawn from the New Croton Aqueduct directly into distribution mains in the City. It is a grade tunnel about 33 miles long with a delivery capacity of about 300 mgd. The New Croton Aqueduct is located three to 300 feet underground and is composed of two sections. One section is a bricklined rock tunnel located near the Old Croton Dam, three miles north of the New Croton Dam, and extends to Gatehouse No. 1 in Van Cortlandt Park, a distance of about 24 miles. The other section is a pressurized masonry conduit extending from Gatehouse No. 1 to a gatehouse at 135th Street and Convent Avenue in Manhattan, a distance of about nine miles. In addition, a branch of the New Croton Aqueduct transmits water from Gatehouse No. 1 to the Jerome Park Reservoir. Recently, the Croton Aqueduct, the oldest component of the System, was dewatered and inspected. No serious problems were detected and only minor maintenance was required.

The Catskill Aqueduct: The Catskill Aqueduct, which also transmits water by gravity, is 92 miles long and extends from the Ashokan Reservoir to Kensico and Hillview Reservoirs. Four distinct types of aqueduct construction were required due to the terrain between the Catskill Mountains and the City. The Catskill Aqueduct is for the most part a 17 foot high by 17.5 foot wide horseshoe-shaped cut-and-cover conduit. The Catskill Aqueduct is also composed of a number of steel pipe siphons and grade and pressure tunnels where topography requires. A deep rock tunnel siphon 14 feet in diameter and 1,114 feet below mean sea level crosses beneath the Hudson River near Cornwall. The delivery capacity of the Catskill Aqueduct from the Ashokan Reservoir to the Kensico Reservoir is about 610 mgd and about 800 mgd from the Kensico Reservoir to the Hillview Reservoir. The Catskill Aqueduct passes under the New Croton Reservoir. At this point it is possible to transfer water from Ashokan Reservoir to the New Croton Reservoir.

The Delaware Aqueduct: The Delaware Aqueduct similarly transmits water by gravity from Rondout Reservoir to West Branch Reservoir, in the Croton System, and from West Branch Reservoir to Kensico Reservoir and to Hillview Reservoir. The Delaware Aqueduct is a circular, cement-lined, pressurized, bedrock tunnel 85 miles long located 300 to 1,000 feet underground, passing beneath the Hudson River at a depth of about 600 feet below sea level. Water in this aqueduct is directed by uptake and downtake shafts to the Kensico

and West Branch Reservoirs. The Delaware Aqueduct has a diameter of 13.5 feet to 19.5 feet. The capacity of the section from Rondout Reservoir to West Branch Reservoir is about 890 mgd and delivers water from the Rondout, Neversink, Pepacton and Cannonsville Reservoirs. Interconnection with the Catskill System is possible at both the Kensico and Hillview Reservoirs. The delivery capacity of the Delaware Aqueduct from West Branch to Kensico Reservoirs is about 1,045 mgd and about 1,450 mgd from Kensico to the Hillview Reservoirs.

DEP is currently conducting a program of reviewing and assessing the condition of the Rondout-West Branch Tunnel, which comprises a portion of the Delaware Aqueduct. The Rondout-West Branch Tunnel carries water 45 miles from the Delaware System under the Hudson River and into West Branch Reservoir. It has a capacity of 900 mgd and normally contributes 50% of New York City's water supply. A portion of the tunnel crosses a fractured rock formation which is potentially subject to greater stress than the deep rock tunnels located in the City. In addition, the Rondout-West Branch Tunnel is unique in that it attains the highest pressures in the Water Supply System and thus requires more maintenance than other tunnels in the System. As a result of flow tests, visual observations and other analyses, it has been determined that a quantity of water is being lost from the tunnel and surfacing in the form of springs or seeps in this area. DEP has retained a professional engineering firm to evaluate soil and rock conditions along the Roundout-West Branch Tunnel, to locate and investigate the cause and extent of any loss, and to propose any remedies that might be necessary. The tunnel was designed so that it can be shut down and de-watered if necessary. The last such shut-down occurred in 1957. During any such shut-down, it will be necessary for the City to increase reliance on its other water supplies, and to take more stringent measures to encourage conservation and reduce demand.

In general, the Delaware System continues to demonstrate a high degree of reliability after 55 years of continuous service. Nevertheless, DEP considers it prudent to conduct regular tunnel and aqueduct inspections and surveys to detect any problems that might arise so that corrective actions can be taken if needed.

Tunnel 1: From Hillview Reservoir, water from the Catskill and Delaware Systems is delivered into the City by a circular, cement-lined, pressurized, bedrock tunnel that narrows in diameter from 15 to 11 feet. Tunnel 1 is 18 miles in length and extends south from Hillview Reservoir through the West Bronx to Manhattan and Brooklyn. From two terminal shafts in Brooklyn, steel and standby cast iron pipelines extend into Queens and Staten Island, respectively. Tunnel 1 is 200 to 750 feet underground and thus avoids interference with streets, buildings, subways, sewers, pipes and other underground infrastructure. These depths are necessary to ensure substantial rock covering necessary to withstand the bursting pressure of the water inside and to ensure watertightness. Tunnel 1 has a capacity of approximately 1,000 mgd. Shafts placed along the tunnel connect with surface mains which deliver water to the distribution system.

Tunnel 2: The second tunnel also delivers Catskill and Delaware System water from Hillview Reservoir. It is a circular, cement-lined, pressurized, bedrock tunnel 200 to 800 feet below the street surface and 15 to 17 feet in diameter. Tunnel 2 extends south from Hillview Reservoir, east of Tunnel 1, through the Bronx, under the East River at Rikers Island, through Queens and Brooklyn, and connects with Tunnel 1 at Fort Greene Park as well as at State and Nevins Streets in Brooklyn. Tunnel 2 has a capacity of approximately 1,000 mgd and is 20 miles in length. Shafts placed along the tunnel connect with surface mains which deliver water to the distribution system.

Richmond Tunnel: Connecting to Tunnel 2 in Brooklyn is the ten-foot diameter, five-mile long Richmond Tunnel, which was completed in 1970 and carries water 900 feet beneath Upper New York Bay to Staten Island. The Richmond Tunnel, the Richmond Distribution Chamber, the Richmond Aqueduct and the underground Silver Lake Tanks were designed to improve the water supply facilities of Staten Island. The underground storage tanks (among the world's largest) have a combined capacity of 100 million gallons and replace the Silver Lake Reservoir (now Silver Lake).

Tunnel 3: A new water tunnel connecting the reservoir system to the City is presently under construction to enhance the adequacy and reliability of water transmission to the City in the future. The primary reasons for constructing Tunnel 3 are to:

- increase capacity to meet a growing demand in the eastern and southern areas of the City;

- permit inspection and rehabilitation of Tunnels 1 and 2; and
- provide water delivery alternatives to the City in the event of disruption in Tunnel 1 or 2.

Tunnel 3 is a circular, cement-lined, pressurized, bedrock tunnel which will be built in four stages which include:

Stage I tunnel construction has been completed and commenced operation in July 1998. It has a 13-mile route which extends south from Hillview Reservoir in Yonkers under Central Park Reservoir in Manhattan, and east under the East River and Roosevelt Island to Long Island City in Queens. Stage I parallels Tunnel 1 along most of this route.

Stage II is currently under construction and is expected to be completed in 2008. Stage II consists of two sections. The Brooklyn/Queens section will extend from the end of Stage I to supply Queens, Brooklyn and the Richmond Tunnel. The second section will extend south from the valve chamber at Central Park along the west side into lower Manhattan and then turn north up the east side to the vicinity of 34th Street. Completion of Stage II will further enhance the System's water distribution capability. Upon completion of this stage, Tunnel 1 or 2 can be closed for inspection.

Stage III will extend from the Kensico Reservoir to the interconnecting chamber of Stage I, south of Hillview Reservoir. Completion of this stage will supplement the delivery capability of both the Catskill and Delaware Aqueducts between Kensico and Hillview Reservoirs. Stage III of Tunnel 3 will deliver water from Kensico Reservoir under greater pressure than is available in Tunnels 1 and 2. This increased pressure is a result of the higher elevation of Kensico Reservoir than that of Hillview Reservoir where Tunnels 1 and 2 originate.

Stage IV is intended to deliver additional water to the eastern parts of the Bronx and Queens. It would extend southeast from the northern terminus of Stage I in the Bronx to Queens and then southwest to interconnect with the Queens portion of Stage II.

Water Distribution

The water distribution system, exclusive of that portion previously owned by Jamaica, consists of a grid network of water mains ranging in size from six to 72 inches in diameter. It contains approximately 6,181 miles of pipe, 88,633 mainline valves and 103,661 fire hydrants. Some pipe was installed before 1870 and approximately 9.7% is over 100 years old. The following tables set forth distribution of pipe by size and age, based on the City's 1999 fixed asset inventory files. Totals may vary due to rounding.

Water System Pipe Inventory: Diameter

<u>Diameter (inches)</u>	<u>Length (miles)</u>
6	403
8	2,667
12	1,993
16	169
20	519
24	53
30	50
36	74
48	170
60	42
72	40
Total	<u>6,181</u>

Water System Pipe Inventory: Age

<u>Installation Year</u>	<u>Length (miles)</u>	<u>Percent of System</u>
Pre-1870	53	0.9%
1870-1879	202	3.3
1880-1889	90	1.4
1890-1899	253	4.1
1900-1909	462	7.5
1910-1919	899	14.5
1920-1929	1,020	16.5
1930-1939	695	11.2
1940-1949	444	7.2
1950-1959	573	9.3
1960-1969	498	8.0
1970-1979	295	4.8
1980-1989	222	3.6
1990-1999	475	7.7
Total	<u>6,181</u>	<u>100.0%</u>

Of the 6,181 miles of pipe in service, about 2,959 miles are unlined cast iron laid before 1930. Pipe laid between 1930 and 1969 is cement-lined cast iron and comprises about 2,205 miles of the Distribution System. Pipe laid after 1970 is cement-lined ductile iron and comprises about 992 miles of the Distribution System. The Distribution System also includes over 88,633 mainline valves, about 103,851 hydrants, four distribution facilities, 16 gatehouses, 15 pump stations, and 11 maintenance and repair yards. The CIP provides for the programmatic replacement of water mains in accordance with certain established criteria. These criteria were reviewed and confirmed by the Corps in its independent study of the City's distribution system completed in November 1988.

In May 1996, the City acquired the Southeast Queens System which had previously served Jamaica's customers in Queens County. The assets acquired from Jamaica included 45 active and 24 inactive wells, 12 storage tanks, 660 miles of water mains and approximately 7,500 fire hydrants. The Southeast Queens System's wells are currently producing approximately 33 mgd, which represents about 2% of the water used in the City.

Water pressure is regulated within a range of 35 to 60 pounds per square inch ("psi") at street level. Generally, 40 psi is sufficient to supply water to the top of a five or six-story building. DEP delivers about 95% of the total consumption by gravity. It is necessary for DEP to pump only the remaining 5% to areas of higher elevation to keep the pressure within this desired range.

The distribution system in each Borough is divided into three or more zones in accordance with pressure requirements. These zones are determined chiefly by the local topography. The ground elevation in the City varies from a few feet above sea level, along the waterfront, to 403 feet at Todt Hill in Staten Island. The highest ground elevations in the other Boroughs are: Manhattan, 267 feet; the Bronx, 284 feet; Brooklyn, 210 feet; and Queens, 266 feet. Various facilities provide storage to meet the hourly fluctuations in demand for water throughout the City, as well as any sudden increase in draft that might arise from fire or other emergencies. With the exception of some communities in the outlying areas of the City which may experience low pressure service in peak hours during summer months, the water distribution system provides generally excellent service.

According to Metcalf & Eddy, overall the distribution system is judged to be in adequate condition (the highest rating category) based upon evaluation of key water system parameters: water main breaks, pressure tests, flow tests and leak detection. Based on studies conducted by the Corps in 1988 on the fewest pipeline breaks per 1,000 miles, the distribution system has the fourth-lowest number of such breaks of 17 major United States cities.

With few exceptions, all areas served by the distribution system enjoy reliable water supply service at adequate pressure. During peak flow periods, low and inadequate pressures may exist in certain areas of the City. Parts of Staten Island, the Co-Op City complex in the Bronx and the Starrett City complex in Brooklyn require improvements either to provide greater pressure or redundant feeds to improve reliability. Growth and development resulting in increasing water demands in Staten Island also require that provision be made to supply more water to that Borough in the near future. The 48-inch trunk main currently under construction from the Richmond tanks will improve water circulation, thus improving Staten Island's water pressure and system reliability. A design contract associated with Co-Op City is currently in progress, to be followed by construction within the next two years. Problems associated with the Starrett City complex will be alleviated by construction, including the installation of a 60-inch trunk main, which is now underway. The CIP includes over \$592 million for 275 miles of trunk and distribution main replacement and over \$209 million for 89 miles of trunk and distribution main extension.

Water Quality

Because of the quality of the System's water and the long periods of retention in the reservoirs, it has not been necessary to filter water from the System to reduce the bacterial content and the turbidity. The only treatment procedures routinely employed by DEP are screening, detention, addition of caustic soda for pH control, chlorination for disinfection, and fluoridation. Additions of copper sulfate for algae control and alum for turbidity control are made only when needed.

Until recently, this level of treatment proved to be more than sufficient to maintain water quality standards throughout the entire Water System. Higher water quality standards led to a 1992 stipulation with NYSDOH which provided for the construction of a full scale water treatment facility to filter Croton System water. The stipulation has been superseded by a 1998 federal court Consent Decree which requires the City to design and construct such a facility and have it operational by March 1, 2007. If the City fails to meet certain milestones set out in the Consent Decree it may be required to pay penalties to the State and Federal governments.

In December 1998, after an extensive study of several alternative sites, DEP released a Draft Environmental Impact Statement which identified the Mosholu Golf Course at Van Cortlandt Park in the Bronx as the City's preferred site for the full-scale water treatment facility to filter Croton System water. Also in December 1998, the City commenced a proceeding under the Uniform Land Use Review Procedure ("ULURP") to secure approval of the Mosholu Golf Course as the site for such facility. In May 1999, a Final Environmental Impact Statement was released. On July 21, 1999, the New York City Council approved with modifications the prior determination of the New York City Planning Commission endorsing selection of the Mosholu Golf Course as the site for the treatment facility. The City Council action completed the ULURP proceeding, and preliminary design work for construction of the facility at the Mosholu Golf Course is currently underway.

The selected Mosholu Golf Course site lies within the boundaries of Van Cortlandt Park, a mapped public park. The City has determined that the construction and operation of the Croton water treatment facility at this site does not constitute alienation of parkland. In September 1999 and November 1999, respectively, two actions were brought against the City by community organizations opposed to the siting of the Croton water treatment facility at the Mosholu Golf Course site. These actions, originally filed in New York State Supreme Court for New York County, sought to enjoin the City from planning, funding, developing or constructing the facility at that site. Both actions were removed by the City to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York, pursuant to the terms of the aforementioned federal court Consent Decree. The actions were predicated, in part, on an assertion by the petitioners that the siting of the facility at Mosholu Golf Course constitutes alienation of parkland, requiring State legislative approval. The Office of the New York State Attorney General expressed a similar view in papers filed with the Court. The City reviewed the matter and again determined that the siting of the facility did not constitute alienation of parkland. The City therefore filed summary judgment motions seeking the dismissal of both actions. A determination that construction and operation of the facility at the Mosholu Golf Course constitutes alienation of parkland might require the City to seek State legislation permitting the siting of the facility at that location or, in the alternative, to select an

alternative site. The United States Court for the Eastern District of New York has recently granted the City's summary judgment motions and dismissed both petitions of the community organizations and denied the cross-motion of one of the community organizations for partial summary judgment. The Court also denied the application of the Office of the New York State Attorney General for relief under the Consent Decree. In its decision, the Court, among other things, agreed with the City that the siting of the facility within Van Cortlandt Park does not constitute alienation of parkland.

The CIP provides \$921 million for the Croton Filter Project. The funds forecasted for this project in the CIP reflect an expected operational date of March 1, 2007.

DEP has historically monitored key locations in its distribution system for over 40 individual water quality parameters. These special monthly analyses include analyses for lead. Through 1998 DEP data indicated that lead was absent from both the water supply and distribution systems; however, beginning in January 1999 tap water samples revealed that the City was in compliance with State lead standards. Recent USEPA regulations require water suppliers to monitor for lead and copper that may have leached into the water from interior building plumbing. To minimize this occurrence the City began the addition of blended orthophosphate to the Water System. This addition promotes the formation of a protective coating inside pipes and plumbing thereby reducing the leaching of metals.

The System has five laboratories that monitor water quality, employing 250 bacteriologists, engineers, chemists, hydrologists and limnologists. Over 80,000 samples per year are collected and 1,000,000 analyses are performed annually. Routine checks are made for more than 60 different substances, including heavy metals and trace organics. As part of a long-range water quality and watershed protection program, DEP has increased its staff in order to expand water quality monitoring within watershed and distribution areas and to improve its sample collection force. DEP has initiated an approximately \$10 million capital program to install drinking water quality sampling stations throughout the City, which was largely completed in Fiscal Year 1999. The monitoring program meets or exceeds federal and State requirements and has the capability to meet potentially more stringent requirements.

The USEPA and the United States Attorney's Office in the Southern District of New York are conducting a criminal investigation concerning the presence of mercury, PCBs and lead at several DEP facilities in the East of Hudson watershed and DEP's response to a spill of heating oil from a non-City-owned facility which caused temporary shutdowns of the Croton Aqueduct in 1996, 1998 and 1999. In connection with this investigation, both DEP and individual DEP employees have received subpoenas. In addition, on June 15, 1999, and again on June 30, 1999, search warrants were executed at several DEP offices and facilities. DEP and the City are cooperating fully with the investigation. The federal government, NYSDOH and DEP have all indicated that the water supply remains safe with respect to mercury, PCBs and lead. DEP is engaged in a program to remediate mercury, PCBs and lead from the facilities of concern.

The City is currently reviewing and evaluating a number of measures intended to reduce turbidity in water drawn from the Schoharie Reservoir basin. The Schoharie Reservoir forms part of the Catskill water supply system. Water from the Schoharie Reservoir is conveyed, via the Shandaken Tunnel, to the Esopus Creek and the Ashokan Reservoir, and thence via the Catskill Aqueduct to the Kensico Reservoir in Westchester County, see "THE SYSTEM—The Water System—Water Collection". From time to time, water in the Schoharie Reservoir becomes turbid, due to the topography of the reservoir basin, and the effect of storm events in exposing and eroding natural clay deposits which are found in streambeds throughout that basin. In certain instances, high levels of turbidity can result in the closure of the Shandaken Tunnel, or the retention of water released from the tunnel in the Ashokan Reservoir, allowing the particles causing turbidity to settle out. Recreational fishing groups have from time to time expressed concern about the impacts of turbidity on the quality of the fishery in the Esopus Creek. The City has determined that releases of water from the Shandaken Tunnel greatly benefit the Esopus Creek and its fishery, especially in summer months when natural flow in the Creek declines and water temperatures rise.

In March 2000, the Catskill Mountains Chapter of Trout Unlimited, Inc. and several other sporting organizations brought an action against the City, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of New York, with respect to releases of water from the Shandaken Tunnel into the Esopus Creek. The plaintiffs allege that by releasing turbid water from the tunnel and into the Creek, the City has discharged a pollutant into the Creek and has violated the federal Clean Water Act. Among other things, the plaintiffs seek an order from the Court requiring the City to obtain a SPDES permit in order to release water from the tunnel into the Creek. A determination by the Court that a SPDES permit is required would add another layer of regulatory control over the operation of the tunnel, and could reduce the City's flexibility in drawing water from the Schoharie Reservoir. It is the City's position that the action is without merit, and the City intends to defend the action vigorously.

Drought Response Measures

From time to time the Water System experiences drought conditions caused by significantly below-normal precipitation in the watershed areas. Since the Water System relies upon a surface water supply, it is sensitive to major fluctuations in precipitation. Throughout even the worst droughts, the Water System has continued to supply sufficient amounts of water to the City.

To ensure adequate water supply during drought conditions, DEP, in conjunction with other City, State and interstate agencies, maintains a Drought Contingency Plan. The Drought Contingency Plan defines various drought phases that trigger specific management and operational action. Three defined phases are: "Drought Watch," "Drought Warning," and "Drought Emergency." A Drought Emergency is further subdivided into four stages based on the projected severity of the drought and provides increasingly stringent and restrictive measures.

A Drought Watch is declared when there is less than a 50% probability, based on the existing record since 1927, that either the Catskill or Delaware reservoir system will be filled by the following June 1. This phase initiates the pumping of water from the Croton System. In addition, a public awareness program is begun and all users, including upstate communities taking water from the System, are requested to initiate conservation measures. Discussions with NYSDOH, NYSDEC, the Delaware River Basin Commission (the "DRBC") and City agencies are held concerning their prospective participation in the event of a declaration of a Drought Warning.

A Drought Warning is declared when there is less than a 33% probability that either the Catskill or Delaware reservoir system will fill by June 1. Limited restrictions on water usage are mandated. All previous efforts are continued or expanded and additional programs are initiated, including City conservation programs and expanded leak detection.

A Drought Emergency is declared when it becomes necessary to reduce consumption by imposing even more stringent measures. Major components of the Drought Emergency phase of the Drought Contingency Plan are set forth below.

Stage I Mandates include a 15% reduction in water consumption based on prior-year usage for non-residential users; restriction on watering of lawns, gardens and golf courses to a maximum of four hours on alternate days during specific hours; and \$500 fine for unauthorized use of a fire hydrant.

Stage II Mandates include a ban on lawn watering; a ban on the filling of private swimming pools; restricted use of water-cooled air conditioners, requiring a two-hour shut-down between 8 AM and 10 AM or 4 PM and 6 PM; and an additional 5% reduction in water consumption for non-residential users.

Stage III Mandates include additional restrictions on the use of water-cooled air conditioning systems, prohibiting temperatures below 78°F; and an additional 5% reduction in water consumption for non-residential users.

Stage IV Mandates include installation of flow restricting devices on plumbing fixtures; and an additional 5% reduction in water consumption for non-residential users.

In addition to the imposition of restrictions, DEP may enhance existing System management and public awareness programs, expand its inspection force and perform additional leak and waste surveys in public and private buildings. DEP may also require communities outside of the City that are served by the System to adopt similar conservation measures. The Chelsea Pump Station may also be brought into service in order to draw Hudson River water into the System.

Governmental Regulation

The System is subject to federal, State, interstate and municipal regulation. At the federal level regulatory jurisdiction is vested in USEPA; at the State level in the NYSDEC and the NYSDOH; at the interstate level in the DRBC and the Interstate Sanitation Commission (the "ISC"); and at the municipal level in DEP, NYCDOH, DOB, the Department of Business Services (the "DBS") and, to a limited degree, in municipalities and districts located in eight counties north of the City.

Long-Term Water Supply Planning. In July 1985, the City formed an intergovernmental task force to study the water supply needs of the Southeast region of New York State. It issued its first interim report in February 1986, entitled "Increasing Supply, Controlling Demand." The report recommended that consumption studies be performed to refine water use projections and that every reasonable effort be made to control water demand through increased metering, rate setting, leak detection and conservation. In the event additional water supply is required in the future, the final report of the task force concluded that expanded pumping of Hudson River water represents the only large source of supplemental supply which can be realistically developed within the next 10 to 15 years. However, based on the results of metering, toilet replacement, leak detection, public information and other existing conservation programs achieved to date and expected in the future, it is projected that no additional water sources will be necessary for the foreseeable future. DEP continues to investigate additional sources of supply.

A universal metering program was adopted by the Board and the City in 1986 and began in Fiscal Year 1988, see "RATES AND BILLING—Universal Metering Program".

The City has completed a program to replace older toilets using 6 to 8 gallons per flush with low flow toilets using 1.6 gallons per flush. As a result of the program approximately 1.33 million toilets have been replaced. The City expended \$300 million on this program. Low flow toilets are now required by code for all toilet replacements. Conservation measures implemented to date have achieved a reduction in daily consumption estimated to equal 100 mgd.

DEP is also conducting a study of the Brooklyn/Queens Aquifer, which is a subsurface water supply below the Boroughs of Brooklyn and Queens estimated to be capable of yielding an additional significant quantity of water for several months at a time. The study is investigating the engineering, managerial, water quality and cost aspects of utilizing the aquifer under various scenarios. Although the City's water supply system includes certain active wells acquired from Jamaica which draw upon this aquifer, the study will help DEP determine whether there are other or better uses of this water source, either as a primary source of drinking water, a back-up supply in times of drought, or as a supply of non-potable water for industrial or commercial uses (thus reducing the need to supply industrial or commercial users with potable water drawn from the City's reservoirs).

Long-Term Watershed Protection. In addition to the monitoring program, DEP staff conduct regular inspections of pollution sources in the watershed and maintain constant surveillance of the watersheds. To ensure high quality water, DEP promulgated and NYSDOH approved watershed protection regulations for the upstate watershed area which became effective May 1, 1997. See "THE SYSTEM—Governmental Regulation—Water Supply Regulation—Federal." Additionally, the System includes real estate adjacent to its reservoirs acquired to prevent potential water contamination from pollutants that would be produced if these areas were developed, and to control access to the reservoirs. The City expects to spend \$250 million over a 10-year period for the purchase of additional land in the watershed to protect the quality of the water supply. As of May 1, 2000, approximately 10,368 acres have been purchased and approximately 12,443 acres are under contract or option agreement to be purchased. The CIP contains approximately \$1.4 billion for construction and improvements in the City's upstate water supply systems.

To enhance these efforts, DEP works with the federal and State governments to protect streams and watercourses which supply water to the City's reservoirs, and watershed wetlands, which act as a filter to general land use pollution which would otherwise be deposited in the reservoirs. DEP's work in this area includes: data collection and communication with the State to upgrade watershed stream classifications; review and comment on State water protection regulations; and water quality and land use studies. In addition, DEP reviews and comments on federal and State programs to protect wetlands, including submitting revisions to the State to upgrade its wetlands maps.

In 1998, the Corps proposed changes to its nationwide permitting system governing the dredging and filling of wetlands under federal jurisdiction. In March 2000, after receiving comments from numerous parties, including DEP, the Corps issued a revised series of proposed changes. DEP has reviewed the proposals, and has concluded that the most recent revision to the nationwide permitting system will result in at least the same level of protection of wetlands as that afforded by the current federal permitting program and DEP supports the new proposal. DEP's position, and its participation in the ongoing discussions, does not contradict or impact the City's compliance with the terms of the Watershed Memorandum of Agreement.

Additional watershed protection programs include cooperative projects with farmers in which DEP shares in the cost of implementing specified best management practices to reduce pollution, and cooperative projects with localities in which DEP provides technical and financial assistance to upstate towns and counties for water quality protection.

The System includes eight City-owned upstate sewage treatment plants to prevent untreated sewage from being released into the watersheds. To enhance watershed protection, DEP completed upgrades to these facilities. The CIP includes approximately \$17 million for the upgrading of the eighth facility. DEP, through the City's Law Department, takes legal action pursuant to the Federal Clean Water Act to compel certain owners and operators of non-City owned sewage treatment plants in the watersheds to comply with SPDES permits. In addition, DEP, together with the City Law Department, takes legal action to ensure that new developments are appropriately designed to be environmentally protective.

DEP is undertaking a new initiative to develop a computerized watershed modeling system which will enable DEP to evaluate better the effects of land development on water quality.

Most of the watershed protection programs described above are required pursuant to a determination issued by the USEPA on May 6, 1997, pursuant to which the City is not required to filter water from the Catskill and Delaware systems until the earlier of April 15, 2002 or the time a further determination is made. The May 6, 1997 determination is the third extension of a filtration avoidance determination first issued by EPA in January 1993. For further information relating to the determination, see "THE SYSTEM—Governmental Regulation—Water Supply Regulation—Federal."

On January 21, 1997, the City and the State executed a Memorandum of Agreement with the communities in the Catskill, Delaware and Croton watersheds, USEPA and several environmental groups. The Memorandum of Agreement supplemented the City's existing watershed protection program with approximately \$400 million in additional funding. This funding, at least \$290 million of which is expected to be provided through the issuance of Authority bonds, consists of \$350 million for economic-environmental partnership programs with upstate communities which include a water quality investment program, a regional economic development fund and a regional advisory forum for water quality initiatives and watershed concerns. The Memorandum of Agreement calls for the State's issuance of a land acquisition permit to the City and for State approval of the City's revised rules and regulations governing certain aspects of land use in the watershed. The land acquisition permit was issued on January 21, 1997 and the regulations became effective on May 1, 1997. The State also promulgated the same regulations under state law procedures in July 1998. The estimated cost of the Memorandum of Agreement is expected to increase average bills paid by customers by less than 2% through 2001.

Water Supply Regulation

Federal. Pursuant to the federal Safe Drinking Water Act ("SDWA"), USEPA has promulgated nationwide drinking water regulations which specify the maximum level of harmful contaminants allowed in drinking water and which govern the construction, operation, and maintenance of the System.

Under 1986 amendments to SDWA, the USEPA is directed to promulgate filtration treatment regulations "relevant to the protection of health" which shall be used by the State to identify public water systems supplied by surface water sources which must initiate filtration measures. The regulations, known as the federal Surface Water Treatment Rule ("SWTR"), prescribe guidelines concerning studies to be performed, programs to be implemented, timetables to be met and any other actions necessary to insure compliance with the regulations' terms. USEPA published a final SWTR in June 1989. With respect to the Catskill and Delaware systems, the City believes that under the SWTR promulgated by the USEPA it will continue to be able to meet the criteria for non-filtered supplies. See "THE SYSTEM—The Water System—Water Quality."

In December 1996, USEPA issued an interim determination pursuant to which the City is not required to filter water from the Catskill and Delaware systems. As described above, on May 6, 1997, USEPA extended this determination to April 15, 2002 or until a further determination is made, whichever is earlier. Preliminary estimates of the costs of such filtration are from \$4 billion to \$8 billion. The determination contains a number of conditions which the City is required to satisfy to ensure that the City would continue to be relieved of requirements for filtration. One of those conditions is that the City solicit property from owners of 355,050 acres of land in the watershed and actually acquire (with certain limited exceptions) any land used to satisfy the solicitation goal where the owner accepts the City's purchase price. To be eligible for acquisition, land must satisfy specified natural features and minimum acreage criteria. The City expects to spend \$250 million for the acquisition program, which may be increased by \$50 million after five years upon a review of the City's progress with the program. NYSDEC has issued a renewable ten-year land acquisition permit to the City and the governmental entities which had opposed the permit, including a coalition of watershed towns, have withdrawn their opposition. As of May 1, 2000, the City had closed on the acquisition of approximately 10,368 acres of land in the watershed with an aggregate value of approximately \$31,600,000, and was a party to contracts or option agreements for the purchase of approximately 12,443 acres of additional land in the watershed with an aggregate value of approximately \$32,900,000. The City has included in the CIP \$168 million for the purchase of land in the Catskill and Delaware watersheds and an additional \$6 million for land in the Croton watershed.

In addition, the May 1997 USEPA determination contains milestones for the upgrading of City-owned treatment plants in the watershed. Some of these deadlines were extended from the deadlines originally set by USEPA. Construction of the upgrades was completed by the deadlines.

The City is also required, under the May 1997 USEPA determination, to undertake a program of upgrading all 102 non City-owned sewage treatment plants in the watershed, to enable those facilities to comply with the City's new watershed protection regulations. This program, also known as the regulatory upgrade program, was specifically provided for in the Watershed Memorandum of Agreement and was initially funded at a level of \$75 million. The program calls for upgrades at all 102 facilities to be completed by May 1, 2002, a milestone established by such regulations. Although the City is providing funding for the upgrades, the actual design and construction work is being undertaken by the individual owners of each facility, under contracts with the EFC, acting as program administrator for the City.

As a result of delays in securing acceptable engineering proposals from facility owners, it is possible that not all upgrades will be completed by the May 1, 2002 milestone date. In April 2000, the New York State Watershed Inspector General, an official within the Office of the State Attorney General, issued a report criticizing the City's progress in implementing the regulatory upgrade program. The City has responded to the report, which it believes is inaccurate and based on faulty understandings of the program and its objectives. The City has also taken a number of steps, on its own accord, to accelerate the program without sacrificing proper oversight, cost-effectiveness, or adherence to sound engineering practices. These steps include, among others, the development of guidance documents to aid facility owners and their consultants in the preparation of acceptable engineering proposals, and the initiation of arrangements with EFC to engage additional engineering staff to review plans and specifications for individual upgrade projects.

In general, implementation of the May 1997 USEPA determination is the subject of ongoing discussions with USEPA. In accordance with the Watershed Memorandum of Agreement, USEPA has conducted a formal review and evaluation of the City's compliance with the terms and conditions of the May 1997 determination. In its report, dated May 31, 2000, USEPA found that the City has made significant progress in protecting the Catskill/Delaware watershed, but that it must step up its efforts in certain areas in order to avoid being required to filter its water in the long-term. USEPA indicated that the City must accelerate the pace of its program to upgrade non-City-owned sewage treatment plants in the watershed. As previously noted, the City has already taken a number of steps on its own to accelerate the program without sacrificing proper oversight, including arrangements with EFC to engage additional engineering staff. See "THE SYSTEM—Governmental Regulation—Water Supply Regulation." USEPA also indicated that the City must aggressively pursue additional land acquisition in the Kensico Reservoir basin in order to protect the quality of water flowing through the Kensico Reservoir. Although there is only a limited amount of eligible land that may be acquired in that basin, the City intends to renew its efforts to secure purchases from willing sellers, including the acquisition of conservation easements where appropriate. In addition, the City is pursuing other approaches to protection of Kensico water quality including investigating whether local governments in the basin can provide assistance in acquiring and preserving open space for watershed protection and whether, in cooperation with USEPA and local property owners, a non-regulatory program can be developed to encourage additional actions to protect water quality beyond the requirements of the City's watershed regulations. The City has already devoted substantial efforts aimed at protecting the Kensico Reservoir, including the installation of stormwater best management practices on numerous parcels of land adjacent to the reservoir. For additional information relating to the watershed protection program, see "THE SYSTEM—The Water System—Governmental Regulation—Long-Term Watershed Protection."

The City has adopted, and NYSDOH has approved, a modified set of land use regulations, which became effective on May 1, 1997. The governmental entities that opposed the regulations, including a coalition of watershed towns, have agreed to the modified regulations.

The land use regulations are a major component of the City's efforts to protect its water supply. These regulations, which were adopted pursuant to the New York State Public Health Law and which have NYSDOH approval, are designed to prevent future contamination of the System's water supply. The City believes that its increased regulatory efforts to protect its water supply will preserve the high quality of the water in the Catskill and Delaware watersheds and, together with the other elements of the City's watershed protection program, will avoid the need for filtration of these water systems.

In November 1994, approximately 45 property owners in the upstate watershed commenced litigation seeking damages of approximately \$10.5 billion in the aggregate for alleged diminution in the value of their property caused by an alleged chilling effect on the real estate market from the City's watershed regulatory program. On June 24, 1997, the trial court, among other things, denied the City's motion to dismiss the case. The City appealed the trial court's decision. On April 19, 1999, the Appellate Division Second Department reversed the trial court and dismissed the litigation. On December 22, 1999 the Court of Appeals refused the plaintiffs' request for leave to appeal, thereby resolving the litigation in the City's favor. During 1998 approximately 82 additional watershed property owners filed similar suits against the City. In May 1998, the City was served with a class action lawsuit on behalf of all property owners, mortgage holders or lien holders on watershed property asserting similar claims. The City believes that these additional lawsuits cannot continue in light of the Appellate Division's decision. See "LITIGATION."

The Consumer Confidence Report ("CCR") is mandated by federal law under the SDWA which requires that utilities prepare and distribute to their consumers a brief annual water quality report. The CCR includes information on source water quality, levels of detected contaminants, compliance with drinking water rules and some educational material. The City's 1999 CCR noted that the Croton System experienced three violations of the color standard established under the Sanitary Code, and the Southeast Queens System experienced three violations of such standard. The Croton Filter Project is intended, among other things, to address the issue of color violations in Croton System water. See "THE SYSTEM—The Water System—Water Collection." While

the CCR further noted that the City's source water contains virtually no lead, tap water may contain lead due to releases of lead from internal household plumbing and fixtures. The 1998 USEPA-mandated sampling results exceeded the lead action level. However, the 1999 USEPA-mandated lead sampling produced lead results that met the USEPA and State standards. This improvement is believed to be due, at least in part, to the addition of the corrosion control chemical orthophosphate. See "THE SYSTEM—The Water System—Water Quality." DEP published the 1999 CCR in a newspaper of general circulation, posted it on the agency's website and mailed copies to all of its billed customers.

State. Enforcement of SDWA and its related regulations, except for the SWTR, was delegated by USEPA to the State. Consistent with the terms of SDWA, the State has been delegated primary enforcement responsibility for public water systems since USEPA has determined that the State's drinking water regulations are at least as stringent as the Federal drinking water regulations. Enforcement of the water quality mandates for the most part has been entrusted to NYSDOH. The Sanitary Code sets forth surveillance, quality testing and water treatment requirements. Also set forth in the Sanitary Code are the procedures for planning, facility siting, facility operation and the granting by NYSDOH of variances and exemptions. See "THE SYSTEM—The Water System."

NYSDOH also sought the authority to administer and enforce the SWTR in the same way it administers the rest of the SDWA. Although this authority was initially granted, it was revoked after a lawsuit by a group of upstate towns raised procedural flaws. On June 3, 1997, USEPA again delegated primary enforcement responsibility for the SWTR to NYSDOH for all systems in the State other than the Catskill and Delaware Systems. As part of the Memorandum of Agreement, USEPA agreed to delegate primary enforcement responsibility for the SWTR to NYSDOH for the Catskill and Delaware Systems effective May 15, 2007.

Pursuant to the 1905 Act, the City was granted permission to develop areas of the Catskill Mountains, located in the Hudson River Basin, for additional sources of pure water. Subsequent amendments to the 1905 Act extended the City's development rights to portions of the Delaware River Basin located to the west of the Catskill Mountains, provided that no additional water storage structure or reservoir could be built within the drainage area of the Esopus Creek in Ulster County.

In return for these development rights, the 1905 Act and subsequent amendments require the City to furnish, upon request, supplies of fresh water to municipalities and water districts in eight northern counties in which City water supply facilities and watersheds are located. The City's obligations under the 1905 Act in this respect have now passed to the Board.

The 1905 Act also governs the provision of fresh water to the northern counties and the rates that may be levied for such water. An eligible municipality or district may draw water based on a formula computed as the local population multiplied by the daily per capita consumption in the City. Current water allowances are based upon 1990 U.S. census data. In the event of disagreement between the upstate users and the System as to appropriate water charges, NYSDEC has the authority to fix the water charges based on the actual total cost of the water to the City, deducting those costs incurred by the City itself for distributing water to City residents.

The Water System is currently furnishing water to users in portions of four of the eight eligible northern counties. The Water System provides approximately 85% of the water used in Westchester County and approximately 7.5% of the water used in Putnam, Orange and Ulster Counties. In the future, other eligible municipalities and districts may exercise their option to utilize Water System water. The City is required under the Act to provide water at regulated rates to eligible municipalities and districts up to an amount based on the above-described formula.

NYSDEC has promulgated regulations which require release of fresh water from most of the Water System's reservoirs into downstream water bodies, to protect fisheries and to enhance recreational use of rivers and streams in the System's watersheds and drainage areas. The regulations recognize seasonal variations and, with insignificant exceptions, releases for recreational purposes are effectively suspended during periods of drought.

NYSDEC also oversees a dam safety program in connection with the System's dams located east of the Hudson River (Croton System and Kensico Reservoir). The first phase initiated under the National Dam Inspection Act included inspection by the Corps of all of the System's dams. That study indicated that the dams in the Delaware and Catskill Systems were in excellent operating condition and that the dams east of the Hudson were safe but in need of some rehabilitation and reconstruction work. The second phase, administered by the City and subject to NYSDEC approval, assessed the measures needed to restore the dams east of the Hudson River to first-class operating condition.

Interstate. Three major interstate actions have influenced the maintenance and operation of the Delaware System.

The conditions under which the System's Pepacton, Neversink and Cannonsville Reservoirs may be operated are set forth under the terms of a 1954 decree of the Supreme Court of the United States (the "1954 Decree"). It allows the System to divert 800 mgd of water from the Delaware River Basin for use by the Water System. At the same time, the System is required to release, from the three reservoirs into the tributaries of the Delaware River, quantities of water sufficient to maintain flows of 1,750 cubic feet per second in the main branch of the Delaware River at Montague, New Jersey. In addition, the System must meet the State-mandated conservation releases and flow requirements in various tributaries contained in numerous deeds and condemnation decrees. Enforcement of the 1954 Decree is under the jurisdiction of a River Master appointed by the Supreme Court of the United States. The City and State, and the governments of New Jersey, Pennsylvania and Delaware are named parties to the 1954 Decree.

DRBC was created in 1961 as a result of the Delaware River Basin Compact among the federal government, the State, and the states of New Jersey, Pennsylvania and Delaware. It has jurisdiction over water resources and is responsible for development, planning and coordination and protection of the interstate areas served by the Delaware River and its tributaries. Although not a participant in the Delaware River Basin Compact, the City functions as an advisor to the State in DRBC proceedings and assumes a major role both as a party to the 1954 Decree and as the owner and operator of the three largest reservoirs subject to DRBC jurisdiction.

In 1982, as a result of conditions during the drought of record in the mid-1960s, the drought of 1981, and the inability of the System's Pepacton, Neversink and Cannonsville Reservoirs to satisfy all of the requirements of the 1954 Decree during those drought periods, a set of Interstate Water Management Recommendations (the "Good Faith Agreement") was submitted to DRBC. Executed by all of the parties to the 1954 Decree, the Good Faith Agreement sets forth a series of recommendations, including various levels of diversions and releases necessary during normal hydrological conditions and during periods of drought. The Good Faith Agreement was followed during the 1985 and 1989 droughts.

Municipal. Water quality standards are enforced within the watershed areas north of the City through a network of overlapping governmental jurisdictions. Participating in that network, among others, are NYSDEC and NYSDOH, county, municipal and district police, engineers and inspectors; and City personnel from DEP. The various jurisdictions maintain physical security, take water samples, monitor the use of herbicides, insecticides and fertilizers, and generally oversee the physical condition of, activity on and the operation of water supply lands and facilities. Portions of the overall legislative and regulatory framework governing the watersheds may be found in the City's Administrative Code, Health Code and Water Supply Regulations.

Regulatory enforcement within City limits is almost exclusively accomplished through City personnel. Provisions incorporating and augmenting the substance of SDWA, related regulations and the Sanitary Code, are contained in the Health Code, Water Supply Regulations and the City's Building and Building Construction Codes. These provisions are enforced by personnel from DEP, NYCDOH and DOB.

The Sewer System

The Sewer System is comprised of the sewage collection system and the sewage treatment facilities. (See "New York City Drainage Areas and Sewage Treatment Plants" map before the Appendices for the location of the sewage treatment facilities.)

History

Systematic collection of sewage and building of sewers began in the City as early as 1696. Major portions of the Sewer System in lower and central Manhattan were begun in the early 1830s and completed by 1870. The oldest sewer now in service was built in 1851. The oldest components of the Sewer System, located in Manhattan and Brooklyn, are constructed mostly of brick, clay and cement. The other Boroughs have newer sewers made primarily of vitreous clay and concrete. Historically, waste collection and disposal was a matter of local jurisdiction. Upon consolidation of the City in 1898, Presidents of the five Boroughs were given responsibility for sewage collection and disposal in their respective Boroughs. A Commissioner of Borough Works was established in each Borough for planning, constructing and administering its sewer system. This local responsibility for sewage collection existed until the mid-1960s.

Although water pollution control did not become a major issue until recent years, it has been a concern of local conservationists and public officials for over a century. The first sewage treatment facility in the City was opened in 1886, when a small plant was constructed on Coney Island to protect the bathing beaches. In 1904, a Sanitary Commission was established and charged with developing a master plan for sewage treatment in the City. Although the Sanitary Commission completed its task in 1910, sewage treatment plant construction did not receive serious attention until 1929, when the City established a department to construct sewage treatment facilities under the jurisdiction of the Department of Sanitation. In the 1930's this function was transferred to the Department of Public Works. In 1931, a plant construction program was begun to construct a system of sewage treatment plants and associated facilities to control and treat all sewage produced within the City. The first of these plants, Coney Island, opened in 1935. Three more large plants, Wards Island, Tallmans Island and Bowery Bay, were placed in operation before the end of the 1930's. During the 1940's two additional plants, Jamaica and 26th Ward, were opened. The post-war years witnessed an intensified construction effort and, by 1967, 12 major treatment plants were in operation treating about 1,000 mgd at an average removal efficiency of about 65%. At that time most other urban areas were providing only about 35% removal efficiency.

The City Charter of 1963 consolidated the Borough sewer organizations into a City-wide department under the Department of Public Works. In 1968, various municipal services were consolidated into a single agency known as the Environmental Protection Administration ("EPA"), which included responsibility for sanitation and water and air quality resources. Within EPA, the Department of Water Resources had jurisdiction over the Bureaus of Water Supply and Water Pollution Control. These Bureaus were responsible for water supply and sewage collection and treatment. In 1977, water supply, sewage collection and treatment, and air quality monitoring responsibilities were combined into DEP.

Sewage Collection

The sewage collection system is divided into 14 drainage areas and includes 6,437 miles of sewer pipes of varying size which are classified as one of three types: sanitary, storm or combined. Sanitary sewers accommodate household and industrial waste. Storm sewers carry rainwater and surface water runoff. Combined sewers carry both types of waste. Approximately 70% of the City's sewers are of the combined type. In addition to the sewage pipes, 131,243 catch basins and 5,000 seepage basins are maintained to prevent flooding and sewer backups.

The Sewer System is comprised of a number of sewer facilities built to varying standards. Different materials and methods of construction were used resulting in different life cycles. Approximately 4,000 miles or two-thirds of the City's sewer pipe is made of vitreous clay. Significant mileage of sewer pipe is composed of other building materials including cement, reinforced concrete, iron and brick.

Some pipe in the collection system was installed before 1870, and about 16.7% of all sewer pipe in the collection system is over 100 years old. The following table sets forth an inventory of sewer pipe by hydraulic diameter based on the City's 1999 fixed asset inventory files. (The hydraulic diameter of a given pipe is the diameter of a circular pipe with the same cross-sectional area.)

Collection System Pipe Inventory: Hydraulic Diameter

<u>Hydraulic Diameter (inches)</u>	<u>Length (miles)</u>
6-10	1,906
11-13	1,690
14-19	967
20-29	370
30-39	484
40-49	383
50-59	91
60-89	296
over 90	248
Total	<u>6,437</u>

The sewer pipe inventory by age as of January 1999 is shown in the following table:

Collection System Pipe Inventory: Age

<u>Installation Year</u>	<u>Length (miles)</u>	<u>Percent of System</u>
Pre-1870	204	3.2%
1870-1879	81	1.3
1880-1889	116	1.8
1890-1899	670	10.4
1900-1909	489	7.6
1910-1919	714	11.1
1920-1929	1,010	15.7
1930-1939	984	15.3
1940-1949	591	9.2
1950-1959	588	9.1
1960-1969	438	6.8
1970-1979	224	3.5
1980-1989	124	1.9
1990-1998	204	3.1
Total	<u>6,437</u>	<u>100.0%</u>

Metcalf & Eddy has concluded that overall the collection system is in adequate condition (the highest rating category) based on their independent evaluation. See "APPENDIX A—LETTER OF METCALF & EDDY, CONSULTING ENGINEERS." Consultants have prepared and completed infiltration/inflow studies for 13 of the 14 drainage areas which indicate that infiltration rates in the collection system are relatively low compared to similar systems. In addition to the results of infiltration/inflow studies prepared by consultants, the Bureau of Water Supply and Wastewater Collection has extensive data available from in-house studies assessing the adequacy of pipelines. This information is considered when developing capital projects. Maintenance yards in the Collection System are generally adequate for their purpose. Minor repair work and relocation of these yards is planned to correct deficiencies. Some sewer maintenance equipment is at or has exceeded its useful life and is scheduled for replacement.

In conjunction with the project to remove floatable debris from the City's waterways, DEP is presently cleaning, hooding and taking inventory of its catch basin infrastructure to prevent debris from entering the Sewer System and ultimately the waterways. The project is currently nearing completion.

In recent years the Bureau of Water and Sewer Operations has undertaken an extensive review of sewer service throughout the City. This review has led to the inclusion of two sewer construction programs in the CIP. The first program addresses the augmentation of sewer lines in areas of the City which are undergoing rapid development or where land use patterns have changed. The second program will provide sewers in areas which

are not presently served. In total the CIP includes over \$1.4 billion to replace or extend over 457 miles of sewers. DEP is committed to extending the storm drainage system in southeast Queens, as well as storm and sanitary systems in Staten Island.

Sewage Treatment Facilities

The facilities related to the treatment of sewage include 14 sewage treatment plants, one combined sewer overflow treatment plant, 89 wastewater pump stations, nine laboratories, eight sludge dewatering facilities and three inner-harbor vessels which transport sludge between facilities. Sludge is a by-product of the sewage treatment process. Metcalf & Eddy has concluded that overall the condition of the Wastewater Treatment System is judged to be adequate (the highest rating category). See “APPENDIX A—LETTER OF METCALF & EDDY, CONSULTING ENGINEERS.”

Issues of both water supply volume and consequent sewage treatment volume are raised from time to time in connection with the System. As is noted herein, measures to increase the supply of water available to the System and to increase the sewage treatment capacity of the various sewage treatment plants in the System are under continuing review for feasibility and cost effectiveness. However, the immediate avenue of approach to both the issues of supply and treatment capacity is conservation, through voluntary changes in user behavior, through education and the effect of actual use charges based on meters, leak detection and repair and increased use of newly designed low-flow water use fixtures such as toilets. The city-wide toilet retrofit program, a source of reimbursement to building owners for installation of low-flow toilets, cost \$300 million and was completed in Fiscal Year 1997.

In 1972, the City began a program of upgrading its existing plants to operate in conformance with the requirements of the Clean Water Act, which requires effluent suspended solids and five day biological oxygen demand (BOD₅) to be 30 milligrams per liter (“mg/l”) or less, or that the plant remove 85% or more of these pollutants, whichever provision is more stringent (“Full Secondary Treatment”).

In 1999, the 14 plants treated about 1,266 mgd of dry-weather sewage, virtually all of the dry-weather sewage generated in the City. Thirteen of the System’s 14 plants have been upgraded to provide Full Secondary Treatment capability.

The following table describes sewage treatment plants currently in service.

Water Pollution Control Facilities

<u>Plants in Service</u>	<u>Design Capacity (mgd)</u>	<u>Year of Completion</u>	<u>Completion of Upgrading to Full Secondary Treatment or Reconstruction</u>
Newtown Creek	310	1967	2010
Wards Island	250	1937	1979
Hunts Point	200	1952	1978
Bowery Bay	150	1939	1978
Owls Head	120	1952	1995
Coney Island	100	1935	1994
Jamaica	100	1943	1978
26th Ward(1).....	85	1944	1979
Tallmans Island	80	1939	1978
Port Richmond	60	1953	1979
Rockaway	45	1952	1978
Oakwood Beach	40	1956	1979
North River	170	1986	1991
Red Hook	60	1987	1987
Total System-wide Capacity	<u>1,770</u>		

(1) There is a storm-overflow retention facility at Spring Creek, which is connected to the 26th Ward Plant.

All the plants listed above except the Newtown Creek plant, use the step aeration process which meets Federal requirements for Full Secondary Treatment. The design for the upgrade of the Newton Creek plant is currently underway.

In December 1991, NYSDEC withdrew its approval of the Newtown Creek facility plan because it included treatment technology that had caused operational problems at the North River facility. A new schedule for the planned upgrade of the Newtown Creek facility, which calls for completion of the upgrade in 2010, has been negotiated with the State. The CIP includes approximately \$1.9 billion to effect this upgrade. The issue of how most effectively to deal with flows in the East River portion of the System will be evaluated as a part of the Newtown Creek facility plan.

In July 1998, DEP submitted a proposed alternative method to the State for achieving secondary treatment at the Newtown Creek water pollution control plant. The proposed alternative is based on a modified step-feed process, and could, if approved, reduce the cost of upgrading the Newtown Creek plant and reduce the time needed to satisfy secondary treatment requirements at the plant. In July 1999, the State rejected DEP's submission because, in the opinion of the State, it does not provide the water quality improvements required by the operative judicial order. DEP and the State have resumed negotiation of this matter.

On February 2, 1989, the City signed an administrative consent order, modified on July 27, 1993, which mandates various water conservation measures to reduce flow to the Wards Island plant. Contracts totalling \$105 million were initiated in Fiscal Year 1995, for the purpose of expansion of the Wards Island plant in compliance with the consent order. An additional \$22.7 million was allocated for the design phase beginning in Fiscal Year 1998 and \$87 million has been allocated for construction beginning in Fiscal Year 2002.

The Coney Island plant, which has been operating below but near its 100 mgd permitted capacity, is being improved to achieve a permitted capacity of 110 mgd by August 2000.

The 89 wastewater pump stations are used to convey wastewater over long distances, to drain low-lying areas, and to lift wastewater to treatment plants. Many of the stations were constructed in the 1930s and earlier. The majority of the stations are in need of some reconstructive work. The CIP includes an ongoing program to reconstruct and refurbish stations.

The System has 490 sewer regulators and 552 tide gates which control flow in the collection system. Recent inspections of the regulator system have found it to be structurally adequate, but many portions are in need of mechanical reconstruction. A detailed evaluation of the regulator and tide gate system has been completed and *funds have been provided in the CIP* for mechanical refurbishment of these facilities. The useful life of some of the mechanical components of the Wastewater Treatment System is less than 20 years. To maintain desired treatment levels, corrective and preventive maintenance programs are carried out and periodic major refurbishment of such mechanical components is provided for in the CIP.

During periods of heavy rainfall a combination of stormwater and sewage bypasses treatment and is released into the City's waterways via combined overflows. The combined sewer overflow abatement program provides for studies, design and construction of facilities to address this issue. The CIP includes approximately \$705 million for this program.

According to the most recent Harbor Survey issued by DEP, water quality in the harbor and surrounding rivers continues to improve. The Harbor Survey is an ongoing monitoring effort of the City's waterways that has been in existence since 1909. The Survey monitors 17 water quality parameters at the surface and bottom waters of 53 sampling stations in New York Harbor. Coliform bacterial counts, which are indicators of sewage pollution, have continued to decline. Since 1993, compliance with New York State total and fecal coliform standards continues to be estimated at the highest levels recorded by this program. Another key indicator of the quality of the City's surrounding waters is the measure of dissolved oxygen (DO) in the water. DO is one of the most universal indicators of overall water quality in aquatic systems. An assessment of the adequacy of the amount of

DO present is performed by comparing actual concentrations to New York State standards. These standards vary between 3 and 5 milligrams per liter (mg/l), depending on the designated best use of the waterway. DO concentrations in most areas of the Harbor have been notably higher in the 1990s than in the late 1980s. Since 1992, DO levels at many sites continue to be the highest ever recorded by this program, which has monitored some stations since 1909. These improvements are primarily in response to: continued water pollution control plant construction and upgrades throughout the harbor; the abatement of illegal discharges; improved surveillance and sewer maintenance; and increased capture of wet weather flows. The New York City Department of Health's "wet weather advisory" (no swimming within forty-eight hours of a heavy rain) was lifted at seven of ten City public beaches in June 1993. At the remaining three city beaches, the advisory was reduced from a 48-hour ban on swimming after heavy rains to a 12-hour advisory, and water quality at the City's beaches continues to improve.

As part of a cooperative effort to further improve water quality in the City's waterways, USEPA, along with the States of New York and New Jersey, recently released a Comprehensive Conservation Management Plan for the New York-New Jersey Harbor and the waters of the New York Bight. This Plan, developed under the aegis of the federal Harbor Estuary Program, builds on many of the City's ongoing programs such as sewage treatment plant upgrades, controlling and capturing wet weather flows and reducing floatable debris. Under the Plan, the City will continue to promote improved water quality through, among other things, its efforts to eliminate combined sewer overflows, encourage water conservation, enhance surveillance of industrial discharges, and install sewers in areas of the City where they are not yet available. All of the capital commitments to be undertaken by the City pursuant to the Plan are already included in the CIP.

The Long Island Sound Study ("LISS") is a joint federal-state-local (the states being New York and Connecticut) program to identify the Sound's major environmental problems and develop a plan to manage those problems. USEPA is the lead federal agency involved in LISS. Hypoxia, or low levels of dissolved oxygen, has emerged as the issue of greatest concern in Long Island Sound. Hypoxia is the result of a chemical chain reaction that begins with high levels of nutrients, largely nitrogen. In addition to natural sources, other nutrient sources include effluent from sewage treatment plants, stormwater run-off carrying lawn and agricultural fertilizer, organic materials, and air-deposited nitrate substances. The first phase of the study has been completed and a Comprehensive Conservation and Management Plan has been adopted by the participants to reverse the adverse impact associated with hypoxia in Long Island Sound. The City has entered into agreements memorialized in the SPDES permits to undertake certain measures to control nitrogen levels. The recently initiated Biological Nutrient Removal program will retrofit five plants to reduce the amount of nitrogen discharged into Jamaica Bay and Long Island Sound. The CIP includes approximately \$262 million for a biological nutrient removal program to control nitrogen levels. On March 9, 1998, the State and a separate group of plaintiffs each filed suit against DEP and the City. For a description of these suits, see "LITIGATION."

Biosolids Management

Pursuant to the Consent Decree under MPRSA as amended by the Ban Act, DEP ceased the ocean disposal of sludge in June 1992.

The Consent Decree required the implementation of an interim and a long-term program for land-based management of sludge. As part of the interim measures provided for under the Consent Decree, DEP built and operated facilities at eight of its sewage treatment plants to dewater sludge and reduce its volume. These facilities dewatered all of the sludge generated by the System. DEP contracted with several private contractors for the management of its dewatered sludge (or biosolids). These contracts included thermally drying the biosolids into fertilizer pellets at a facility located in the Bronx, direct land application in Texas and landfilling in Virginia.

The long-term program that was originally provided for under the Consent Decree would have required the construction of facilities capable of processing the City's biosolids by June 30, 1998. However, as a result of the success of the interim measures, DEP negotiated a modification to the Consent Decree providing for a long-term program similar to the interim measures for managing biosolids. The U.S. District Court for the Eastern District

of New York approved the modification and DEP has awarded contracts for the beneficial use of 100% of its biosolids which commenced in July 1998. These current contracts include: thermally drying the biosolids into fertilizer pellets at a facility located in the Bronx; direct land application in Texas, Colorado and Virginia; composting in West Virginia and Pennsylvania; and lime stabilization in New Jersey. The City's financial plan includes \$50 million in Fiscal Year 2000 for contracts with private vendors to manage biosolids.

Ocean disposal fees and penalties imposed by the Ban Act and the Consent Decree were paid through September 14, 1993. The Ban Act and the Consent Decree provide that 85% of the fees and penalties paid shall be deposited into a trust account and shall be available to reimburse the City for costs incurred for developing alternative sludge disposal facilities. As of March 31, 2000, the value of the trust account was approximately \$75.5 million. A portion of the balance of the fees and penalties was paid to USEPA with the remainder divided equally between the New York State Water Pollution Control Revolving Fund and the New York State Clean Oceans Fund. For projected use of amounts in the trust account, see "FINANCIAL OPERATIONS—Projected Operating and Maintenance Expenses—Credits Against Operation and Maintenance Expense."

Staffing Evaluation

Metcalf & Eddy has concluded that current staffing levels in combination with capital programs appear adequate for operation and maintenance of existing water and wastewater facilities. See "APPENDIX A—LETTER OF METCALF & EDDY, CONSULTING ENGINEERS."

Governmental Regulation

Wastewater Regulation

Under the Clean Water Act, USEPA administered an extensive program of federal capital construction grants (the "Construction Grants Program") and oversees compliance with federal environmental laws, regulations and guidelines promulgated by it concerning (i) sewer and sewage treatment plant construction, operation, maintenance, upgrading and rehabilitation, (ii) introduction of toxins and other pollutants into sewer and sewage treatment facilities, and (iii) pollutant discharges into public waters. Included in that regulatory framework is the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") Permit Program and the issuance of sewage treatment plant operating permits. As authorized by the Clean Water Act, administration of the permit program has been delegated to the State.

The Water Quality Act of 1987 phased out the Construction Grants Program and provided for the implementation of a water pollution control revolving loan program. Such act requires, as a condition for receipt of federal financial assistance, that each state establish a revolving fund administered by the state or an instrumentality of the state. The purpose of a state revolving fund is to provide a source for loans and other types of financial assistance (other than direct grants) to local entities for the construction of publicly owned wastewater treatment facilities. Initial funding for a revolving fund program is provided from federal capitalization grants and state matching funds.

The State has created State revolving funds and has designated EFC to be the administrator of such funds. EFC applies federal capitalization grants and State matching funds or other available amounts in the State revolving funds to provide subsidized capital financing to municipalities for eligible sewage treatment projects and eligible water supply projects.

In order to comply with the mandates of the Clean Water Act, the City upgraded existing plants and constructed certain new facilities. In 1972, the City began a program of upgrading its existing plants to operate in conformance with the requirements of the Clean Water Act; that is, 30 milligrams per liter ("mg/l") or less of suspended solids and BOD₅ in the effluent or at least 85% removal, whichever is more stringent ("Full Secondary Treatment"). Thirteen of the System's 14 sewage treatment plants have already been upgraded at a construction cost of approximately \$2.5 billion. The Newtown Creek plant will also be upgraded in order to meet federal requirements. See "THE SYSTEM—The Sewer System—Sewage Treatment Facilities."

The Clean Water Act also directs USEPA to address the problem of discharges of toxins and other pollutants into publicly-owned treatment works. USEPA has promulgated effluent limits for toxic and other substances that must be met by specific industries (“Categorical Standards”) and has directed that publicly owned treatment works establish and enforce industrial pretreatment programs. The System has undertaken such a program and met the required milestones. DEP has modified its Sewer Use Regulations to incorporate the Categorical Standards and has assigned personnel to monitor and enforce compliance with the Sewer Use Regulations.

Under federal court order, the Ban Act, MPRSA and USEPA regulations promulgated thereunder, the System was also required to have a permit for dumping into the Atlantic Ocean sludge generated by its sewage treatment plants and to cease ocean disposal by June 30, 1992, which milestone was met. These regulations also include requirements for the long-term disposal of sludge. See “THE SYSTEM—The Sewer System—Biosolids Management.”

State. Under authority delegated by USEPA the State established SPDES and has assumed jurisdiction over point source discharges and wastewater treatment plant operating permits. The State powers are enforced by NYSDEC, which also administers the Construction Grants Program. NYSDEC, therefore, has regulatory power with respect to the upgrading, construction and operation of the sewage treatment plants pursuant to some 14 SPDES permits, one for each plant the City operates. In addition, NYSDEC monitors compliance by the System not only with the conditions of the Construction Grants Program, but also the System’s adherence to the terms of the State construction and operations reimbursement grants under the State’s Pure Waters Bond Act of 1965 and Environmental Quality Bond Act of 1972.

As part of its municipal compliance program, the State took action against the City for those sewage treatment plants that were unable to attain the secondary treatment requirements of the Clean Water Act by July 1, 1988. This court action resulted in the City signing consent decrees (the “State Consent Decrees”) for the Owls Head, Coney Island, Newtown Creek and Oakwood Beach plants, all of which include compliance schedules, which, with the exception of Newtown Creek, have been met. State referees have been assigned to monitor the City’s compliance. A modification to the State Consent Decree requiring the upgrading of the Newtown Creek plant has been negotiated with the State. The new date for completion is 2010 and the City is in compliance with the schedule.

The System is also required to develop programs to reduce pollution from combined sewer overflows and to eliminate excess inflow into the Sewer System from infiltration of ground and storm water. In June 1992, DEP entered into a consent order with the State establishing various deadlines through 2006 for the construction of nine combined sewer overflow projects, which may include storage tanks. The CIP includes approximately \$705 million for such combined sewer overflow projects. Certain of the consent order interim deadlines have not been met. Consistent with USEPA guidelines, the City is exploring alternative approaches to combined sewer overflow problems that focus on cost-effective means of protecting water quality.

NYSDEC notified the City of alleged violations of the SPDES permits for the City’s wastewater treatment plants as a result of corrective and preventive maintenance at a level below that which NYSDEC believes is required by the permits. A consultant was hired to gather relevant data to enable DEP to determine the appropriate level of corrective and preventive maintenance for its wastewater treatment plants. This study included a review of the practices of other utilities in connection with corrective and preventive maintenance. Based on this study, DEP is implementing pilot studies at various water pollution control plants in an attempt to change its practices and priorities relating to plant maintenance.

Over the past several years, NYSDEC and DEP have resolved various alleged permit violations at the City’s 14 sewage treatment plants by entering into “omnibus” consent orders. These administrative orders typically detail the alleged violations for a specified period of time and set forth remedial actions related to such violations. *Consistent with this practice,* DEP and NYSDEC entered into negotiations over the current proposed omnibus consent order, referred to as Omnibus IV, covering various violations between 1992 and 1997, including alleged effluent, operating and bypass violations at a number of plants. In June 1999, DEP and NYSDEC concluded

negotiations and agreed on the Omnibus IV consent order resolving such violations. The consent order provides for, among other things, the payment of a \$500,000 penalty, the funding of a \$1 million environmental benefit program, and the commitment by DEP to complete certain capital construction in connection with the City's wastewater system. NYSDEC has indicated that it will be seeking to enter into an Omnibus V consent order with DEP to cover similar subsequent alleged violations.

Interstate. In the late 1920's the State and the states of Connecticut and New Jersey recognized the need for interstate cooperation and regulatory overview to abate and control pollution in their tidal and coastal waters. The ISC was formed for those purposes by a tri-state compact approved by Congress in 1935. The ISC was given investigative and regulatory powers which it exercises with respect to floating and settleable solids, oil and grease contamination, color and turbidity, dissolved oxygen and BOD₅, and various other standards. In large measure, the jurisdiction of ISC has been preempted by the more comprehensive Federal and State legislation and regulations currently in force. Nonetheless, the ISC continues to exercise an investigative and regulatory role which reinforces and supplements those of the Federal, State and municipal governments.

Municipal. Rates, fees and charges for sewer service are the responsibility of the Board. Connections to the System's sewers are also regulated by DEP under the Sewer Use Regulations and by DOB under the Building and Building Construction Codes. Also contained in the Sewer Use Regulations are the industrial pretreatment standards mandated by USEPA under the Clean Water Act.

ECONOMIC, SOCIAL AND DEMOGRAPHIC STATISTICS

This section presents information regarding certain of the major economic and social factors in the City. All information is presented on a calendar year basis unless otherwise indicated. The data set forth are the latest available. Sources of information are indicated in the text or immediately following the charts and tables. Although the Authority considers the sources to be reliable, the Authority has made no independent verification of the information presented herein and does not warrant its accuracy.

New York City Economy

The City has a highly diversified economic base, with a substantial volume of business activity in the service, wholesale and retail trade and manufacturing industries, and is the location of many major securities, banking, law, accounting and advertising firms.

The City is a major seaport and focal point for international business. Many of the major corporations headquartered in the City are multinational in scope and have extensive foreign operations. Numerous foreign-owned companies in the United States are also headquartered in the City. These firms, which have increased substantially in number over the past decade, are found in all sectors of the City's economy, but are concentrated in trade, manufacturing, sales offices, tourism and finance. The City is the location of the headquarters of the United Nations, and several affiliated organizations maintain their principal offices in the City. A large diplomatic community exists in the City to staff the 186 missions to the United Nations and the 96 foreign consulates.

Economic activity in the City has experienced periods of growth and recession and can be expected to experience periods of growth and recession in the future. From 1969 to 1977, the City experienced substantial declines in employment, but from 1978 to 1987 the City experienced strong growth in jobs, especially in the City's finance, insurance and real estate ("FIRE") sector due in large part to lower inflation, lower interest rates and a strong securities market. Beginning in 1988, employment growth in the City slowed, and in 1990 the City experienced job losses, although the U.S. economy expanded during that period. From 1991 to 1993, employment levels in the City continued to decline. In recent years, the City has experienced increases in employment. Real per capita personal income (i.e., per capita personal income adjusted for the effects of inflation and the differential in living costs) has generally experienced fewer fluctuations than employment in the City. Although the City experienced periodic declines in real per capita income between 1969 and 1981, real per capita

personal income in the City has generally increased from the mid-1980s until the present. Overall, the City's economic improvement accelerated significantly between 1997 and 1999. Much of the increase can be traced to the performance of the securities industry, but the City's economy also produced gains in the retail trade sector, the hotel and tourism industry, and business services, with private sector employment growing at a rapid pace.

Population

The City has been the most populous city in the United States since 1810. The City's population is almost as large as the combined population of Los Angeles, Chicago and Houston, the three next most populous cities in the nation.

The City's population reached its peak of approximately 7.9 million in 1970 before declining by 10.4% between 1970 and 1980. From 1980 to 1990, the population of the City steadily increased before dropping slightly between 1990 and 1992. Since 1992, the City's population has increased slowly although the rate of growth has accelerated in recent years, reaching over 7.4 million in 1998. The following table provides information concerning the City's population.

Population of New York City(1)

<u>Year</u>	<u>Population Total</u>
1970	7,895,563
1980	7,071,639
1990	7,321,713
1991	7,303,372
1992	7,303,017
1993	7,327,437
1994	7,339,154
1995	7,347,275
1996	7,361,221
1997	7,385,494
1998	7,420,166

(1) 1991-1998 figures are based on midyear population estimates of the U.S. Bureau of the Census as of March 1998.

Note: Figures do not include an undetermined number of undocumented aliens.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

Employment Trends

The City is a leading center for the banking and securities industry, life insurance, communications, publishing, fashion design and retail fields. From 1993 through 1998, the City has experienced significant private sector job growth with the addition of more than 263,000 (an average growth rate of approximately 1.9%) new private sector jobs. The expansion over the last five years is the largest five year job growth rate that the City has experienced since the 1950s, and contrasts with the approximately 9% loss in the City's employment base during 1989-1992. As of March 2000, total employment in the City was 3,655,100, compared to 3,583,800 in March 1999.

The table below shows the distribution of employment from 1990 to 1999.

New York City Employment Distribution

	Average Annual Employment (in thousands)									
	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999
Private Sector:										
Non-Manufacturing:										
Services	1,149	1,097	1,093	1,116	1,148	1,184	1,227	1,275	1,325	1,379
Wholesale and Retail Trade ...	608	565	546	538	544	555	565	578	590	610
Finance, Insurance and Real Estate										
Estate	520	494	473	472	480	473	469	473	483	488
Transportation and Public Utilities										
Utilities	229	218	205	203	202	203	205	206	206	207
Construction	115	100	87	86	89	90	91	94	102	114
Total Non-Manufacturing..	2,621	2,474	2,404	2,415	2,463	2,506	2,557	2,625	2,707	2,798
Manufacturing:										
Durable	88	77	72	71	69	68	66	64	64	63
Non-Durable	250	231	220	218	211	206	201	201	198	189
Total Manufacturing	338	308	293	289	280	274	266	265	259	252
Total Private Sector	2,959	2,782	2,697	2,704	2,744	2,779	2,823	2,890	2,967	3,050
Government.....	608	593	585	588	578	560	546	552	561	567
Total.....	3,566	3,375	3,282	3,291	3,322	3,339	3,369	3,442	3,528	3,617

Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Note: Totals may not add due to rounding.

Sectoral Distribution of Employment and Earnings

In 1999, the City's services employment sector hit an all-time peak, providing more than 1.4 million jobs and accounting for 38.7% of total employment. Figures on the sectoral distribution of employment in the City reflect a significant shift to non-manufacturing employment, particularly to the areas of services and FIRE, and a shrinking manufacturing base in the City relative to the nation.

The structural shift from manufacturing to the services and FIRE sectors affects the level of earnings per employee because employee compensation in finance and related business and professional services is considerably higher than in manufacturing. Moreover, per employee earnings in the FIRE sector are significantly higher in the City than in the nation. From 1977 to 1997 the employment share for FIRE increased from 13.0% to 13.8% in the City while the FIRE sector earnings share for the same period rose from 16% to 30.4% in the City. This shift in employment and earnings distribution toward the FIRE sector was more pronounced in the City than in the nation overall as indicated in the table below. Due to this shift in earnings distribution, sudden or large shocks in the financial markets have a disproportionately adverse effect on the City relative to the nation.

The City's and the nation's employment and earnings by industry are set forth in the following table.

Sectoral Distribution of Employment and Earnings(1)

<u>Sector</u>	<u>Employment</u>				<u>Earnings(2)</u>			
	<u>1977</u>		<u>1997</u>		<u>1977</u>		<u>1997</u>	
	<u>NYC</u>	<u>U.S.</u>	<u>NYC</u>	<u>U.S.</u>	<u>NYC</u>	<u>U.S.</u>	<u>NYC</u>	<u>U.S.</u>
Private Sector:								
Non-Manufacturing:								
Services	24.6%	18.6%	37.0%	29.0%	24.9%	17.9%	33.2%	28.7%
Wholesale and Retail Trade	19.5	22.4	16.8	23.5	16.0	17.2	10.0	15.6
Finance, Insurance and Real Estate	13.0	5.4	13.8	5.7	16.0	5.8	30.4	8.6
Transportation and Public Utilities	8.1	5.7	6.0	5.2	10.9	7.7	5.9	6.9
Contract Construction	2.0	4.7	2.7	4.6	2.4	6.5	2.5	5.8
Mining	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.5	0.4	1.8	0.0	0.9
Total Non-Manufacturing	67.2	57.8	76.3	68.6	70.8	57.2	81.9	66.5
Manufacturing:								
Durable	5.1	14.0	1.9	8.9	4.3	16.4	1.5	11.0
Non-Durable	11.8	9.8	5.8	6.2	10.5	9.5	7.1	6.9
Total Manufacturing	16.9	23.9	7.7	15.2	14.8	25.9	8.6	17.9
Total Private Sector	84.0	81.7	84.0	84.1	85.6	83.1	89.2	85.0
Government(3)	15.9	18.3	16.0	16.0	14.4	16.9	10.8	15.0

(1) The sectoral distributions are obtained by dividing each industry's employment or earnings by total non-agricultural employment or earnings.

(2) Includes the sum of wage and salary disbursements, other labor income, and proprietors' income. The latest information available for the City is 1997 data.

(3) Excludes military establishments.

Note: Totals may not add due to rounding.

Sources: The two primary sources of employment and earnings information are U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, and U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis.

Personal Income

Per capita personal income for City residents, unadjusted for the effects of inflation and the differential in living costs, has steadily increased from 1986 to 1997 (the most recent year for which City personal income data are available) and is higher than the average for the United States. From 1986 to 1997, per capita personal income in the City averaged 5.6% growth compared to 4.7% for the nation. The following table sets forth recent information regarding personal income in the City.

Personal Income in New York City(1)

Year	Total NYC Personal Income (\$ billions)	Per Capita Personal Income NYC	Per Capita Personal Income U.S.	NYC as a Percent of U.S.
1986	\$132.92	\$18,160	\$15,156	119.8%
1987	142.69	19,434	16,005	121.4
1988	156.58	21,292	17,091	124.6
1989	167.69	22,833	18,217	125.3
1990	179.71	24,544	19,231	127.6
1991	183.95	25,187	19,697	127.9
1992	196.73	26,938	20,610	130.7
1993	201.72	27,529	21,261	129.5
1994	207.18	28,229	22,114	127.7
1995	221.01	30,080	23,097	130.2
1996	233.72	31,750	24,220	131.1
1997	244.85	33,153	25,356	130.8

(1) In current dollars. Personal Income is based on the place of residence and is measured from income which includes wages and salaries, other labor income, proprietors' income, personal dividend income, personal interest income, rental income of persons and transfer payments.

Sources: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis and the Bureau of the Census.

Housing

In 1999, the housing stock in the City consisted of approximately 3,039,000 housing units, excluding certain special types of units primarily in institutions such as hospitals and universities. The 1999 housing inventory represented an increase of approximately 44,000 units, or 1.5%, since 1996 and an increase of approximately 62,000 units, or 2.1% since 1993. The 1999 Housing and Vacancy Survey indicates that rental housing units predominate in the City. Of all occupied housing units in 1999, approximately 34% were conventional home-ownership units, cooperatives or condominiums and approximately 66% were rental units. The following table presents trends in the housing inventory in the City.

Housing Inventory in New York City (Housing Units in Thousands)

Ownership/Occupancy Status	1981	1984	1987	1991	1993	1996	1999
Total Housing Units	2,792	2,803	2,840	2,981	2,977	2,995	3,039
Owner Units	755	807	837	858	825	858	932
Owner-Occupied	746	795	817	829	805	834	915
Vacant for Sale	9	12	19	29	20	24	17
Rental Units	1,976	1,940	1,932	2,028	2,040	2,027	2,018
Renter-Occupied	1,934	1,901	1,884	1,952	1,970	1,946	1,954
Vacant for Rent	42	40	47	77	70	81	64
Vacant Not Available for Sale or Rent(1)	62	56	72	94	111	110	89

Sources: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1981, 1984, 1987, 1991, 1993 and 1996 New York City Housing and Vacancy Surveys.

(1) Vacant units that are dilapidated, intended for seasonal use, held for occasional use, held for maintenance purposes or other reasons.

Note: Details may not add up to totals due to rounding.

LITIGATION

There is no action, suit, proceeding or investigation, at law or in equity, before or by any court, public board or body pending or, to the best knowledge of the Authority, threatened against or affecting the Authority to restrain or enjoin the issuance, sale or delivery of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds or in any way contesting or affecting the validity of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds, or any proceedings of the Authority, the Board or the City taken with respect to the issuance or sale of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds or with respect to the Resolution or the pledge or application of any money or security provided for the payment of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds, or the existence or powers of the Authority or the Board.

Pursuant to the Lease and the Agreement, the City has agreed, subject to certain conditions, to indemnify the Authority and the Board against any and all liability in connection with any act done or omitted in the exercise of their powers which is taken or omitted in good faith in pursuance of their purposes under the Act. The City, however, is entitled to reimbursement by the Board for the amount of any judgment or settlement paid by the City (and not otherwise reimbursed from any other source) arising out of a tort or contract claim to the extent that the City's liability therefor is related to the operation, maintenance and improvement of the System provided, however, that the Board is not required to reimburse the City in any one year for tort claims in excess of 5% of the Revenues of the Board for such Fiscal Year.

There are numerous claims seeking damages and injunctive and other relief against the City related to the System. Except as noted below, these claims represent routine litigation incidental to the performance of the City's governmental functions in connection with the operation, maintenance and improvement of the System. The City has paid an average of approximately \$5.8 million per year from Fiscal Years 1992 through 1999 in satisfaction of tort claims relating to the operation of the System. The majority of these claims allege property damage caused by water main breaks and sewer overflows. Contract claims on water supply, sewer and water pollution control projects arise in varying amounts based on alleged change orders and related matters. Numerous lawsuits relating to construction contract claims are currently pending. While most seek under \$10 million in damages, one action seeks damages of \$22 million and two seek damages of \$12 million each. While the probable outcome of these actions cannot be determined at this time, contract claims are expected to be funded through the CIP, which may be revised from time to time to accommodate such claims as well as other changes therein.

The following paragraphs describe certain legal proceedings and claims involving the System, other than routine litigation incidental to construction, the collection of rates, fees and charges and certain other litigation arising out of alleged constitutional violations, torts, breaches of contract and other violations of law and condemnation proceedings. The ultimate outcome of the proceedings and claims described below is not currently predictable, and unfavorable determinations in certain of them could result in substantial judgments.

1. Twenty-five actions are currently pending against the City seeking damages totalling approximately \$10 million for personal injuries and property damage in connection with an explosion of a Con Edison steam pipe which occurred in Gramercy Park on August 19, 1989. One of the actions against the City was brought by and on behalf of several Con Edison workers who sustained injuries in the explosion, one of them fatal. On March 25, 1999, the Appellate Division, First Department, issued a unanimous decision in favor of the City, granting summary judgment and dismissing the plaintiffs' complaint. The plaintiffs have sought permission to appeal the Appellate Division's decision. The City believes that this decision should support dismissal of the other actions insofar as they assert similar claims of negligence on the part of the City.

2. In November 1994, the City received a series of complaints filed in New York State Supreme Court for Putnam County (the "Putnam Court"), from approximately 45 property owners in the upstate watershed, seeking damages in the amount of approximately \$10.5 billion in the aggregate for alleged injury to property caused by the City's upstate watershed regulatory program and also asserting claims for the unconstitutional taking of

property without just compensation. On February 20, 1995, the plaintiffs withdrew their Federal constitutional claims. In response to a motion to dismiss brought by the City, on June 24, 1997, the Putnam Court ruled that plaintiffs could assert claims against the City for any diminution in the value of their property caused by a chilling effect on the real estate market from the City's watershed regulations. The Putnam Court further ruled that plaintiffs with development plans not approved by the City under the watershed regulations could assert claims for additional damages beyond any general effect of the City's watershed regulations on the real estate market. The City appealed to the Appellate Division, State Supreme Court, Second Department. On April 19, 1999 the Appellate Division reversed the Putnam Court, found that the claims were not ripe and dismissed the complaints. The Appellate Division denied the plaintiffs' motion for leave to appeal the decision. On December 22, 1999, the Court of Appeals refused the plaintiffs' request for leave to appeal, thereby resolving the litigation in the City's favor.

In 1998 approximately 82 additional property owners in the watershed filed similar suits against the City in Putnam County. In addition, in May 1998 the City was served with a class action lawsuit on behalf of all owners of property or businesses in the watershed and all holders of mortgages or liens on property in the watershed. The class action plaintiffs are also seeking damages for the alleged impact of the City's watershed regulations on property values and development opportunities in the watershed. The City moved to disqualify the Putnam County judge from the original actions and the class action on June 25, 1998. The additional actions and the class action were placed on hold until the court decided the disqualification motion. The City believes that the Appellate Division decision means that all of these lawsuits are also premature and cannot proceed. If plaintiffs were to prevail, the City could face significant financial liability.

3. On March 9, 1998, the State filed suit against the City and DEP in the Supreme Court of the State of New York pursuant to the State Environmental Conservation Law ("ECL"). The complaint alleges that the City is in violation of the ECL because it is discharging levels of nitrogen and other pollutants from eight of its plants in excess of the applicable SPDES permit limitations, thereby causing and contributing to pollution in the East River, Jamaica Bay and nearby coastal waters including the Long Island Sound. The complaint also alleges that the City has violated the ECL at these eight plants by violating sampling, recording, reporting and operational requirements in the applicable SPDES permits. The complaint requests that a schedule of actions necessary to bring the nitrogen and other discharges from the eight plants into compliance with the applicable SPDES permits be imposed on the City and that the City be ordered to pay statutory penalties under ECL for each day on which each violation alleged in the complaint occurred. In early October 1998, the State moved for partial summary judgment on its complaint. The trial court granted the State's motion and found that the City violated its SPDES permits and the ECL. The City has appealed. Meanwhile, the parties are continuing discovery on the issue of *appropriate* penalties and relief.

In addition, on March 9, 1998, a similar lawsuit was commenced in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York by a group of plaintiffs who had previously served the City with a notice of intent to sue in December 1997. The complaint alleges that the City and DEP are violating the Clean Water Act based on the same allegations set forth in the action commenced by the State. The plaintiffs are seeking injunctive relief similar to that requested by the State and civil penalties of \$25,000 per day for each violation of the Clean Water Act. The State of Connecticut has intervened in the federal court action. Discovery is proceeding in the federal litigation. The City intends to defend both of these actions vigorously.

4. In August 1999, in an Article 78 proceeding, a New York State Supreme Court ruled that the Board's application of a six-year statute of limitations on customer claims of improper billing or overbilling for water and sewer charges lacks a reasonable basis in law and is arbitrary and capricious. Consequently, the Court vacated the Board's determination that the petitioner was not entitled to an adjustment of any improper billing or overbilling beyond the six-year period and remanded the case to the Board for a further determination as to such charges. The Board has appealed the Court's decision. Although the Board believes its bills are accurate, no assurance can be given that substantial offsets of charges or refunds to customers would not result if the Board is unable to limit claims of improper billing or overbilling to the six-year or other reasonable period of limitation.

APPROVAL OF LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The issuance of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds is subject to the approval of legality by Nixon Peabody LLP, New York, New York, Bond Counsel. Certain legal matters will be passed upon for the City and the Board by the City's Corporation Counsel. Certain legal matters will be passed upon for the Underwriters by Willkie Farr & Gallagher, New York, New York.

FINANCIAL ADVISORS

Prudential Securities Incorporated and Ramirez & Co., Inc. have served as financial advisors to the Authority with respect to the sale of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds.

FURTHER INFORMATION

The references herein to and summaries of federal, State and local laws, including but not limited to the Code, the Constitution and laws of the State, the Act, the 1905 Act, the Clean Water Act, the SDWA, the Ban Act, the MPRSA, and documents, agreements and court decisions, including but not limited to the Lease, the Agreement, the Resolution and the Second Resolution are summaries of certain provisions thereof. Such summaries do not purport to be complete and are qualified in their entirety by reference to such acts, laws, documents, agreements or decisions. Copies of the Lease, the Agreement, the Resolution and the Second Resolution are available for inspection during normal business hours at the office of the Authority.

Any statements in this Official Statement involving matters of opinion, whether or not expressly so stated, are intended as such and not as representations of fact. Neither this Official Statement nor any statement which may have been made orally or in writing shall be construed as a contract or as a part of a contract with the original purchasers or any holders of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds.

CONTINUING DISCLOSURE UNDER SEC RULE 15c2-12

To the extent that Rule 15c2-12 (the "Rule") of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "1934 Act"), requires the Underwriters to determine, as a condition to purchasing the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds, that the Authority will covenant to the effect of the provisions here summarized (the "Undertaking"), and the Rule as so applied is authorized by a federal law that as so construed is within the powers of Congress, the Authority agrees with the record and beneficial owners from time to time of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds ("Bondholders") that it will:

(1) within 240 days after the end of the 2000 Fiscal Year and each subsequent Fiscal Year, deliver to each nationally recognized municipal securities information repository and to any New York State information depository, core financial information and operating data for the prior Fiscal Year, including (i) the System's audited financial statements, prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in effect from time to time, and (ii) material historical financial and operating data concerning the System and the Revenues of the System generally of the type included in this Official Statement *under the captions* "CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AND FINANCING PROGRAM," "FINANCIAL OPERATIONS" and "RATES AND BILLING" and "THE SYSTEM;" and

(2) provide in a timely manner, to each nationally recognized municipal securities information repository or to the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board, and to any New York State information depository, notice of any of the following events with respect to the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds, if material:

- (a) principal and interest payment delinquencies;
- (b) non-payment related defaults;
- (c) in the case of credit enhancement that is provided in connection with the issuance of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds, unscheduled draws on such credit enhancement reflecting financial difficulties and substitution of credit providers, or their failure to perform;

- (d) unscheduled draws on debt service reserves reflecting financial difficulties;
- (e) adverse opinions or events affecting the exclusion from gross income for federal income tax purposes of interest on the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds;
- (f) modifications to rights of security holders;
- (g) bond calls;
- (h) defeasances;
- (i) release, substitution, or sale of property securing repayment of the securities;
- (j) rating changes; and
- (k) failure by the Authority to comply with clause (l) above.

The Authority expects to provide the information described in clause (l) above by delivering its first bond official statement that includes its financial statements for the preceding fiscal year.

Currently, there is no New York State information depository and the nationally recognized municipal securities information repositories are: Bloomberg Municipal Repository, P.O. Box 840, Princeton, New Jersey 08542-0840; Kenny Information Systems, Inc., 65 Broadway, 16th Floor, New York, New York 10006; Thompson NRMSIR, 395 Hudson Street, New York, New York 10004, and DPC Data Inc., One Executive Drive, Fort Lee, New Jersey 07042.

No Bondholder may institute any suit, action or proceeding at law or in equity ("Proceeding") for the enforcement of the Undertaking or for any remedy for breach thereof, unless such Bondholder has filed with the Authority evidence of ownership and a written notice of and request to cure such breach, and the Authority has not complied within a reasonable time; provided, however, that any Proceeding challenging the adequacy of any information provided pursuant to paragraphs (1) and (2) above may be brought only by the Trustee or the holders of a majority in aggregate principal amount of the Bonds affected thereby which at the time are Outstanding. All Proceedings may be instituted only as specified herein, in the Federal or State courts located in the Borough of Manhattan, State and City of New York, and for the equal benefit of all holders of the Outstanding Bonds benefited by the same or a substantially similar covenant. No remedy may be sought or granted other than specific performance of the covenant at issue.

Any amendment to the Undertaking will take effect only if:

- (a) the amendment is made in connection with a change in circumstances that arises from a change in legal requirements, change in law, or change in the identity, nature, or status of the Authority or the Board, or type of business conducted; the Undertaking, as amended, would have complied with the requirements of the Rule at the time of sale of the Bonds to the Underwriters, after taking into account any amendments or interpretations of the Rule, as well as any change in circumstances; and the amendment does not materially impair the interests of Bondholders, as determined by parties unaffiliated with the Authority (such as, but without limitation, the Authority's financial advisor or bond counsel) and the annual financial information containing (if applicable) the amended operating data or financial information will explain, in narrative form, the reasons for the amendment and the "impact" (as that word is used in the letter from the staff of the SEC to the National Association of Bond Lawyers dated June 23, 1995) of the change in the type of operating data or financial information being provided; or
- (b) all or any part of the Rule, as interpreted by the staff of the SEC at the date of the Undertaking, ceases to be in effect for any reason, and the Authority elects that the Undertaking will be deemed terminated or amended (as the case may be) accordingly.

For purposes of the Undertaking, a beneficial owner of a security includes any person who, directly or indirectly, through any contract, arrangement, understanding, relationship, or otherwise has or shares investment power which includes the power to dispose, or to direct the disposition of, such security, subject to certain exceptions, as set forth in the Undertaking. Any assertion of beneficial ownership must be filed, with full documentary support, as part of the written request to the Authority described above.

INVESTMENTS

The Authority invests moneys available in the Debt Service Reserve Fund, Debt Service Fund, Construction Fund and the Revenue Fund. Investments are made pursuant to restrictions contained in the Resolutions and the Authority's a Investment Guidelines as adopted and modified from time to time by the Authority's Board of Directors. The investments of the Authority are audited annually by its independent auditors which, in addition, are required to provide to the Authority's Board of Directors and Investment Compliance letter confirming compliance with both the Authority's Investment Guidelines and with Investment Guidelines of Public Authorities of the State Comptroller of New York. Twice annual valuation of the Debt Service Reserve Fund and annual valuation of all other funds is at the lower of amortized cost or market value. For other investment restrictions, see "APPENDIX C—GLOSSARY AND SUMMARY OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS." The Authority's primary objective in investment of its available funds is preservation of principal. The Authority is not legally authorized to enter into reverse repurchase agreements. The Authority does not make leveraged investments.

RATINGS

Fitch Inc. has rated the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds "AA", Moody's Investors Service, Inc. has rated the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds "Aa3", and Standard & Poor's Ratings Group, a division of The McGraw Hill Companies, Inc., has rated the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds "AA". On June 1, 2000, Duff & Phelps Credit Rating Co., which had previously rated the Authority's Bonds "AA", merged with Fitch IBCA to form Fitch Inc. Such ratings reflect only the views of the respective rating agencies, from which an explanation of the significance of such ratings may be obtained. There is no assurance that any or all of such ratings will continue for any given period of time or that any or all will not be revised downward or withdrawn entirely. Any such downward revision or withdrawal could have an adverse effect on the market price of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds.

UNDERWRITING

The Underwriters have jointly and severally agreed, subject to certain conditions, to purchase the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds from the Authority at an aggregate price which is \$1,896,607 less than the total of the initial offering prices. The Underwriters' obligations are subject to certain conditions precedent, and they will be obligated to purchase all of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds if any of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds are purchased. The Fiscal 2000 B Bonds may be offered and sold to certain dealers (including the Underwriters and other dealers depositing the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds into investment trusts) and others at prices lower than such public offering prices and such public offering prices may be changed, from time to time, by the Underwriters. The Underwriters have designated PaineWebber Incorporated as their Representative.

LEGALITY FOR INVESTMENT AND DEPOSIT

Under the Act, the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds are securities in which all public officials and bodies of the State and all municipalities, all insurance companies and associations and other persons carrying on an insurance business, all banks, bankers, trust companies, savings banks and savings associations, including savings and loan associations, investment companies and other persons carrying on a banking business, and administrators, guardians, executors, trustees and other fiduciaries and all others persons whatsoever, who are now and may hereafter be authorized to invest in the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds or obligations of the State, may properly and legally invest funds including capital in their control or belonging to them in such Fiscal 2000 B Bonds. The Act further provides that the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds are securities which may be deposited with and may be received by all public officers and bodies of the State and all municipalities for any purposes for which the deposit of bonds or other obligations of the State is or may hereafter be authorized.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements of the New York City Water and Sewer System as of and for the years ended June 30, 1998 and June 30, 1999 (the "Audited System Financial Statements") included in Appendix D to this Official Statement have been audited by KPMG LLP, independent certified public accountants, to the extent and for the periods indicated in their report thereon.

ENGINEERING FEASIBILITY REPORT AND FORECASTED CASH FLOWS

Certain information contained in this Official Statement under the captions "CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AND FINANCING PROGRAM—Capital Improvement Program," "THE SYSTEM—The Water System—The Catskill System," "THE SYSTEM—The Water System—The Delaware System," "THE SYSTEM—The Water System—Water Distribution," "THE SYSTEM—The Sewer System—Sewage Collection," "THE SYSTEM—The Sewer System—Sewage Treatment Facilities," and "THE SYSTEM—The Sewer System—Staffing Evaluation" has been reviewed and independently evaluated by Metcalf & Eddy which has provided the opinion letter set forth in Appendix A confirming such information. Metcalf & Eddy also serves as a consulting engineer to DEP on capital projects relating to the System. As a result of occasional, routine litigation initiated by third parties arising from such projects, Metcalf & Eddy and the City have from time to time been either co-parties or adverse parties in such litigation.

Certain financial forecasts contained in this Official Statement in the tables titled "Sources and Uses of Capital Funds" under the caption "CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AND FINANCING PROGRAM" and "Expenses," "Projected Revenues," "Projected System Expense" and "Forecasted Cash Flows" under the caption "FINANCIAL OPERATIONS" have been examined by Black & Veatch, to the extent and for the periods indicated in those tables. The conclusions of Black & Veatch with respect to the reasonableness of the forecasts are set forth in an opinion letter attached hereto as Appendix B. Black & Veatch has provided consulting services including feasibility studies, rate studies and organizational analyses to numerous clients in the water and wastewater industry, including over 100 medium and large jurisdictions.

TAX EXEMPTION

General

The Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the "Code") imposes certain requirements which must be met subsequent to the issuance and delivery of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds for interest thereon to be and remain excluded from gross income for Federal income tax purposes. Noncompliance with such requirements could cause the interest on the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds to be included in gross income for Federal income tax purposes retroactive to the date of issue of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds. The Authority has covenanted in the Supplemental Resolution authorizing issuance of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds to comply with applicable requirements of the Code in order to maintain the exclusion of the interest on the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds from gross income for Federal income tax purposes pursuant to Section 103 of the Code.

In the opinion of Nixon Peabody LLP, Bond Counsel to the Authority, under existing law, and assuming compliance with the aforementioned covenants, interest on the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds is excluded from gross income for Federal income tax purposes. Under Section 103 of the Code and is not treated as a preference item in calculating the alternative minimum tax imposed under the Code with respect to individuals and corporations. Interest on the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds is, however, included in the adjusted current earnings of certain corporations for purposes of computing the alternative minimum tax imposed on such corporations.

Bond Counsel is also of the opinion that the interest on the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds is exempt, under existing law, from personal income tax of the State of New York and its political subdivisions, including The City of New York.

Original Issue Discount

The difference between the principal amount of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds maturing June 15, 2033 (the “Discount Bonds”) and the initial offering price to the public (excluding bond houses, brokers, or similar persons or organizations acting in the capacity of underwriters or wholesalers) at which price a substantial amount of such Discount Bonds of the same maturity was sold constitutes original issue discount which is excluded from gross income for Federal income tax purposes to the same extent as interest on the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds. Further, such original issue discount accrues actuarially on a constant interest rate basis over the term of each Discount Bond and the basis of each Discount Bond acquired at such initial offering price by an initial purchaser thereof will be increased by the amount of such accrued original issue discount. The accrual of original issue discount may be taken into account as an increase in the amount of tax-exempt income for purposes of determining various other tax consequences of owning Discount Bonds, even though there will not be a corresponding cash payment. Owners of Discount Bonds are advised that they should consult with their own advisors with respect to the state and local tax consequences of owning Discount Bonds.

Original Issue Premium

The Fiscal 2000 B Bonds maturing on June 15, 2031 bearing interest at 6.50% (the “Premium Bonds”) are being offered at prices in excess of their principal amounts. Bond Counsel is of the opinion that an initial purchaser with an initial adjusted basis in a Premium Bond in excess of its principal amount will have amortizable bond premium which is not deductible from gross income for Federal income tax purposes. The amount of amortizable bond premium for a taxable year is determined actuarially on a constant interest rate basis over the term of each Premium Bond based on the purchaser’s yield to maturity (or, in the case of Premium Bonds callable prior to their maturity, over the period to the call date, based on the purchaser’s yield to the call date and giving effect to any call premiums). For purposes of determining gain or loss on the sale of other disposition of a Premium Bond, an initial purchaser who acquires such obligation with an amortizable bond premium is required to decrease such purchaser’s adjusted basis in such Premium Bond annually by the amount of amortizable bond premium for the taxable year. The amortization of bond premium may be taken into account as a reduction in the amount of tax-exempt income for purposes of determining various other tax consequences of owning such Fiscal 2000 B Bonds. Owners of the Premium Bonds are advised that they should consult with their own advisors with respect to the state and local tax consequences of owning such Premium Bonds.

Certain Other Federal Tax Information

General. The following is a discussion of certain additional tax matters under existing statutes. It does not purport to deal with all aspects of Federal taxation that may be relevant to particular investors. Prospective investors, particularly those who may be subject to special rules, are advised to consult their own tax advisors regarding the Federal tax consequences of owning and disposing of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds, as well as any tax consequences arising under the laws of any state or other taxing jurisdiction.

Social Security and Railroad Retirement Payments. The Code provides that interest on tax-exempt obligations is included in the calculation of modified adjusted gross income in determining whether a portion of Social Security or railroad retirement benefits received are to be included in taxable income.

Branch Profits Tax. The Code provides that interest on tax-exempt obligations is included in effectively connected earnings and profits for purposes of computing the branch profits tax on certain foreign corporations doing business in the United States.

Borrowed Funds. The Code provides that interest paid (or deemed paid) on borrowed funds used during a tax year to purchase or carry tax-exempt obligations is not deductible. In addition, under rules used by the Internal Revenue Service for determining when borrowed funds are considered used for the purpose of purchasing or carrying particular assets, the purchase of obligations may be considered to have been made with borrowed funds even though the borrowed funds are not directly traceable to the purchase of such obligations.

Property and Casualty Insurance Companies. The Code contains provisions relating to property and casualty insurance companies whereunder the amount of certain loss deductions otherwise allowed is reduced (in certain cases below zero) by a specified percentage of, among other things, interest on tax-exempt obligations acquired after August 7, 1986, other than certain "qualified" obligations. The Fiscal 2000 B Bonds are not "qualified" obligations for this purpose.

S Corporations. For any taxable year beginning after December 31, 1995, the Code denies the earned income credit to persons otherwise eligible for it if the aggregate amount of disqualified income of the taxpayer for the taxable year exceeds \$2,200, subject to adjustment for inflation for taxable years beginning after December 31, 1996. Interest on the Bonds will constitute disqualified income for this purpose.

Changes in Federal Tax Law and Post Issuance Events. From time to time proposals are introduced in Congress that, if enacted into law, could have an adverse impact on the potential benefits of the exclusion from gross income for Federal income tax purposes of the interest on the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds, and thus on the economic value of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds. This could result from reductions in Federal income tax rates, changes in the structure of the Federal income tax rates, changes in the structure of the Federal income tax or its replacement with another type of tax, repeal of the exclusion of the interest on the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds from gross income for such purposes, or otherwise. It is not possible to predict whether any legislation having an adverse impact on the tax treatment of holders of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds may be proposed or enacted.

Bond Counsel has not undertaken to advise in the future whether any events after the date of issuance of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds may affect the tax status of interest on the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds or the tax consequences of the ownership of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds, or the interest thereon, if any action is taken with respect to the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds or the proceeds thereof upon the advice or approval of other counsel.


CERTAIN LEGAL OPINIONS

At the request of the Authority, Bond Counsel reviewed issues related to the effects on the Board and the Authority of a case under Title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") in which the City is a debtor. Specifically, Bond Counsel considered whether a court, exercising reasonable judgment after full consideration of all relevant factors, would (i) hold that the Revenues derived from operation of the System would be property of the bankruptcy estate of the City, (ii) hold that the rights of the Board to the Revenues and the interest of the Authority in the Revenues would be subject to a stay, by operation of Section 922(a) of the Bankruptcy Code or (iii) order the substantive consolidation of the assets of either or both the Board and the Authority with those of the City. Based upon its review of the Act, the Lease, the Agreement, the Resolution and such other matters of law and fact as it considered relevant, and recognizing that there is no definitive judicial authority confirming the correctness of its analysis, Bond Counsel has rendered to the Authority its opinion that a court, in the circumstances described above, (i) would not hold that the Revenues would be property of the City or that the Board's right to and the Authority's interest in the Revenues would be subject to a stay by operation of Section 922(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, and (ii) would not order the substantive consolidation of the assets and liabilities of either the Board or the Authority with those of the City.


Bond Counsel is also of the opinion that, under current law, in a case under the Bankruptcy Code in which the City is a debtor (i) should the City elect to assume the Lease, the Lease would continue pursuant to its terms and (ii) should the City elect to reject the Lease, the Board may elect to retain its rights under the Lease and remain in possession and enjoy the use of the System and the right to the Revenues derived therefrom for the unexpired balance of the term of the Lease.

The Bankruptcy Code provides that in order for a municipality to be a Chapter 9 debtor it must be specifically authorized by State law to be a debtor under Chapter 9 of the Bankruptcy Code. Bond Counsel is of the opinion that under current law, the Authority and the Board do not qualify to be debtors under the Bankruptcy Code.

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]



New York City Water Tunnels



Catskill/Delaware Watersheds

Croton Watershed

Schenectady

OTSEGO COUNTY

CHENANGO COUNTY

Oneonta

SCHOHARIE COUNTY

ALBANY COUNTY

RENSSELAER COUNTY

DELAWARE COUNTY

GREENE COUNTY

COLUMBIA COUNTY

DUTCHESS COUNTY

ULSTER COUNTY

SULLIVAN COUNTY

Delaware

125 Miles

100 Miles

Albany

Hunter

Phoenixia

Kingston

Poughkeepsie

Ellenville

Liberty

Walton

Downsville

Deposit

Gilboa

Delaware Watershed
Catskill Watershed

Shandaken Tunnel

Schoharie Reservoir

Ashokan Reservoir

Esopus Creek

Catskill Aqueduct

Delaware Aqueduct

Rondout Reservoir

Neversink Reservoir

Neversink Tunnel

East Delaware Aqueduct

West Delaware Aqueduct


Pepacton Reservoir

West Branch Delaware

East Branch Delaware

Cannonsville Reservoir

Chelsea Pumping Station



New York City Water Supply System

Plant Location **Capacity (MGD)**

MANHATTAN

Wards Island	250
North River	170

BRONX

Hunts Point	200
-------------	-----

BROOKLYN


Newtown Creek	310
26th Ward	85
Coney Island	100
Red Hook	60
Owls Head	120

QUEENS

Tallmans Island	80
Jamaica	100
Bowery Bay	150
Rockaway	45

STATEN ISLAND

Port Richmond	60
Oakwood Beach	40



● Indicates Plant Location Within Drainage District

New York City Water Pollution Control Plants

**LETTER OF
METCALF & EDDY OF NEW YORK, INC.,
CONSULTING ENGINEERS**

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

June 2, 2000

Mr. Mark Page
Executive Director
New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority
75 Park Place, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10007

Subject: New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority
Water and Sewer System Revenue Bonds,
Fiscal 2000 Series B

Dear Mr. Page:

We hereby submit the opinion of Metcalf & Eddy of New York, Inc. ("Metcalf & Eddy") on the Engineering Feasibility of the Water and Sewer System serving The City of New York (the "City"). Capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Official Statement.

Based on the information set forth in the Official Statement, our experience and our analyses during the preparation of the 1983 feasibility study, the methodology described below and subject to the reliances and assumptions made throughout this letter, Metcalf & Eddy concludes that overall the water and sewer system (the "System") serving the City continues to be operated in a professional and prudent manner. Further, Metcalf & Eddy is of the opinion that:

- The condition of the System continues to receive the highest rating of our three rating categories (adequate).
- The expense allocations for Fiscal Year 2000 are adequate for the continued reliable operation of the System.
- The Capital Improvement Program (the "CIP") and the Current Capital Plan are responsive to the long-term operating requirements of the service area.
- Staffing levels of the System are adequate for proper operation and maintenance.

Metcalf & Eddy hereby consents to the inclusion of those opinions and conclusions attributed to it in the Official Statement.

Purpose and Scope

This letter has been prepared to document the results of analyses carried out during the period of August 1983 to the present by personnel of Metcalf & Eddy in connection with the issuance of the Water and Sewer System Revenue Bonds, Fiscal 2000 Series B by the New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority (the "Authority"). Certain studies and analyses were performed in anticipation of the creation of the Authority and were used in developing the information in the Official Statement under the captions: "CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AND FINANCING PROGRAM—Capital Improvement Program," "CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT AND FINANCING PROGRAM—Current Capital Plan," "The System—THE WATER SYSTEM—THE CATSKILL SYSTEM," "The System—THE WATER SYSTEM—THE DELAWARE SYSTEM," "The System—THE WATER SYSTEM—WATER DISTRIBUTION," "The Sewer System—SEWAGE COLLECTION," "The Sewer System—SEWAGE TREATMENT FACILITIES," AND "The Sewer System—STAFFING EVALUATION—CURRENT STAFFING." The following sets forth a brief outline of the major tasks addressed:

- An overview of the System's service area and major facilities, including a general assessment of the capacity and condition of existing water, wastewater and drainage facilities and a review of recently completed improvements.
- An analysis of the CIP for the period 2000-2009 and the funding needed to carry out the CIP and ongoing capital contracts commenced prior to the CIP.
- *An analysis of the management of the System and its current and anticipated operating programs.*

Since 1983 Metcalf & Eddy has provided engineering services related to the City's Water and Wastewater Operations Evaluation Study. During this period Metcalf & Eddy has performed an evaluation of the condition

of the System, independently reviewed the capital plans for water and wastewater programs, and jointly with the rate consultant reviewed the operating programs of the New York City Department of Environmental Protection ("DEP"). Ten topics were addressed in this effort as listed below.

- Present Condition of Physical Facilities
- Remaining Useful Life of Facilities
- Reliability of Utility Systems
- Operation and Maintenance Programs
- Current Utility Use
- Maximum Existing Capacity
- Needs for Routine Maintenance, Upgrading and Expansion
- Evaluation of the Impact of Legal Mandates
- Overview of Present Capital Improvement Program
- Safety Practices and Potential for Catastrophe

Methodology

Interviews with staff members of the Authority and the City were conducted, and current engineering and financial reports, System operating data and other documents were reviewed and major facilities were inspected. Audited financial statements of the City and data supplied by the Authority were also reviewed to identify historical costs and revenues. The evaluation of current needs and future conditions was made by analyzing historical data, assessing the effectiveness of current City maintenance programs, reviewing the plans of key outside agencies, and taking into account current trends and the anticipated impact of the CIP.

The physical condition of the facilities was rated by Metcalf & Eddy. A uniform rating system, standard among engineering firms providing similar services, was established consisting of three rating categories—adequate, marginal, and inadequate as described below:


- Adequate: Shows no signs of deterioration, meets design intent, and requires only routine maintenance to meet or exceed expected useful life.
- Marginal: Facility is functional but does not meet design intent, and requires non-routine maintenance or capital replacement to restore to adequate condition.
- Inadequate: Facility does not provide functional operation, and requires major reconstruction to restore to adequate condition.

The Consulting Engineer

Metcalf & Eddy has served the City as consulting engineers for over 90 years in capacities dealing with water supply, water distribution, sewage collection, and wastewater treatment. Metcalf & Eddy is one of the largest consulting engineering firms and is recognized in the United States and internationally as a leader in services to the water and wastewater industry.

We have no responsibility to update this letter or the information provided in the Official Statement for the captioned sections described above for events and circumstances occurring after the date of this letter.

Very truly yours,



JAMES ANDERSON
President
Metcalf & Eddy of New York, Inc.

**LETTER OF BLACK & VEATCH, LLP
RATE CONSULTANTS**

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]



BLACK & VEATCH^{LLP}

317 Madison Avenue, Suite 1915, New York, New York 10017, Tel: (212) 973-1339, Fax: (212) 973-1343

June 2, 2000

Mr. Mark Page, Executive Director
New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority
75 Park Place, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10007

Re: New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority
Water and Sewer System Revenue Bonds, Fiscal 2000 Series B

Dear Mr. Page:

The purpose of this letter is to summarize the conclusions of our independent analysis of the financial forecast of the Authority (the "Forecasted Cash Flows") for fiscal years 2000 through 2005 (the "Reporting Period") in connection with the issuance of \$323,730,000 Water and Sewer System Revenue Bonds, Fiscal 2000 Series B (the "Series B Bonds") by the New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority (the "Authority"). Proceeds from the Series B Bonds are to be used: (i) to reimburse moneys to be drawn under irrevocable letters of credit to provide for the payment of principal of and interest on certain of the Authority's outstanding commercial paper notes, (ii) to pay certain costs of issuance and (iii) to fund certain reserve funds. In conducting our analysis we have prepared the following tables which are included in the Official Statement under the headings "Capital Improvement and Financing Program" and "Financial Operations":

- Sources and Uses of Capital Funds
- Future Debt Service Requirements
- Projected Revenues
- Projected System Expense
- Forecasted Cash Flows

The forecast includes provisions for the financing of improvements to The City of New York (the "City") Water and Sewer System (the "System") as reflected in the Capital Improvement Program (the "CIP") for the Reporting Period. The Forecasted Cash Flows set forth the ability of the System to meet the operating costs, working capital needs and other financial requirements of the System, including the debt service requirements associated with the Outstanding Bonds issued under the Authority's General Revenue Bond Resolution (the "Resolution") and obligations issued under the Authority's Second General Resolution (the "Second Resolution"), and additional Bonds and Second Resolution Bonds whose issuance by the Authority during the six years ending June 30, 2005 is anticipated.

Revenues pledged to secure the Authority's Bonds are to be derived from the following sources: (i) all Revenues, (ii) all moneys or securities in any of the Funds and Accounts, and (iii) all other monies and securities to be received, held or set aside by the Authority or by any Fiduciary pursuant to the Resolution. The term "Revenues", as defined by the Resolution, includes, but is not limited to, all rents, fees, charges and other income and receipts derived by the New York City Water Board (the "Board") from users of the System, and certain investment proceeds received by the Board.

Moneys pledged to secure bonds issued under the Second Resolution are to be derived from: (i) all available amounts on deposit in the Subordinated Indebtedness Fund established under the Resolution, (ii) all moneys or securities in any of the funds and accounts established under the Second General Resolution, except the Arbitrage Rebate Fund and the Debt Service Reserve Fund, and (iii) Other Moneys.

The Forecasted Cash Flows summarize the anticipated financial operations of the Authority for the Reporting Period. We have reviewed, to the extent practicable, the Authority's books, records, financial reports, and statistical data, and have conducted such other investigations and analyses as deemed necessary to assemble and analyze the forecast of revenues, revenue requirements, and debt service coverage for the Reporting Period. We have performed various financial tests and analyses necessary to support our findings and conclusions. The Authority uses a fiscal year ending June 30, and all references in the Official Statement to a fiscal year ("Fiscal Year") relate to the 12 month period ending June 30 of the year shown.

Proposed improvements and additions to the System under the CIP for the Reporting Period were independently evaluated and confirmed by Metcalf & Eddy of New York, Inc. ("Metcalf & Eddy"). The Forecasted Cash Flows rely upon the conclusions of Metcalf & Eddy regarding the level of planned capital improvement expenditures required during the Reporting Period to maintain the System in good working order.

Based upon our studies, we offer the following opinions and conclusions:

1. It is our opinion that Revenues (including projected revenue increases resulting from anticipated future rate increases to be implemented by the Board), as set forth in the Forecasted Cash Flows, are currently and will be sufficient to meet the following requirements during the Reporting Period:

a. One hundred and fifteen percent (115%) of the principal of and interest on all Bonds issued under the Resolution, as the same shall become due and payable, for which such Revenues are pledged;

b. One hundred percent (100%) of the principal of and interest on bonds issued under the Second Resolution and other subordinate obligations payable from Revenues;

c. One hundred percent (100%) of all expenses of operation, maintenance, and repair of the water and wastewater system; and

d. One hundred percent (100%) of other Required Deposits as required by the Resolution.

In addition, revenues are adequate to make all payments to the City.

2. In the analysis of the forecast of future operations summarized in the Official Statement, Black & Veatch has reviewed certain assumptions with respect to conditions, events and circumstances which may occur in the future. We believe that these assumptions are reasonable and attainable, although actual results may differ from those forecast as influenced by the conditions, events and circumstances which actually occur.

3. In our opinion, the water and wastewater rates, fees, and charges of the Board, including projected increases, compare favorably to the rates and charges of other major cities and are reasonable.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to the Authority in this important matter.

Very truly yours,


BLACK & VEATCH, LLP

GLOSSARY AND SUMMARY OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

GLOSSARY AND SUMMARY OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS

GLOSSARY

Set forth below are definitions of certain terms contained in the Agreement, the Lease and the Resolution and not otherwise defined in this Official Statement.

Adjusted Aggregate Debt Service: For any Fiscal Year and as of any date of calculation is the sum of the Adjusted Debt Service for all Series of Bonds Outstanding during such Fiscal Year.

Adjusted Debt Service: For any Fiscal Year, as of any date of calculation and with respect to any Series of Bonds, is the Debt Service for such Fiscal Year for such Series except that, if any Refundable Principal Installment of such Series of Bonds is included in Debt Service for such Fiscal Year, Adjusted Debt Service shall mean Debt Service determined as if each such Refundable Principal Installment had been payable over a period extending from the due date of such Refundable Principal Installment through the last date on which such Series of Bonds could have been stated to mature under the Act as in effect on the date of issuance of such Series, in installments which would have required equal annual payments of Principal Installments and interest over such period. Interest deemed payable in any Fiscal Year after the actual due date of any Refundable Principal Installment of any Series of Bonds shall be calculated at the actual interest cost payable on the Bonds of such Series (using the actuarial method of calculation).

Aggregate Debt Service: For any Fiscal Year, as of any date of calculation, the sum of the Debt Service for all Bonds Outstanding during such Fiscal Year.

Authority Expenses: All reasonable or necessary current expenses of the Authority, including all salaries, administrative, general, commercial, engineering, advertising, public notice, auditing and legal expenses, insurance and surety bond premiums, fees paid to banks, insurance companies or other financial institutions for the issuance of Credit Facilities, consultants' fees and charges, payment to pension, retirement, health and hospitalization funds, costs of public hearings, ordinary and current rentals of equipment and other property, lease payments for real property or interests therein, expenses, liabilities and compensation of any Fiduciary and all other expenses necessary, incidental or convenient for the efficient operation of the Authority.

Authorized Newspaper: The Bond Buyer or any other newspaper of general circulation printed in the English language and customarily published at least once a day for at least five days (other than legal holidays) in each calendar week in the Borough of Manhattan, City and State of New York, designated by the Authority.

Authorized Representative: In the case of both the Authority and the Board, their respective Chairman or Executive Director, or such other person or persons so designated by resolution of the Authority or the Board, as the case may be, and in the case of the City, the Mayor, unless a different City official is designated to perform the act or sign the document in question.

Bond or Bonds: For purposes of the Agreement and the Resolution, the bonds, notes or other evidences of indebtedness issued by the Authority under and pursuant to the Act and the Resolution, including Parity Bond Anticipation Notes and Parity Reimbursement Obligations; but shall not mean Subordinated Indebtedness or other Bond Anticipation Notes or Reimbursement Obligations; and for purposes of the Lease, means any bonds, notes or other evidences of indebtedness for borrowed money issued by the Authority.

Bond Counsel's Opinion: An opinion signed by an attorney or firm of attorneys of nationally recognized standing in the field of law relating to revenue bonds of municipalities and public agencies, selected by the Authority and satisfactory to the Trustee.

Bond Payment Date: June 15 and December 15 of each year; provided, however, that if any such day is not a Business Day, then the Bond Payment Date shall be the next succeeding Business Day.

Business Day: Any day which is not a Saturday, Sunday or a day on which the New York Stock Exchange, banking institutions chartered by the State or the United States of America or the Note Trustee are legally authorized to close in the City.

Cash Flow Requirement: For each Fiscal Year and as of any date of certification, the amount, certified by the Authority to the Trustee and the Board equal to the difference between (A) the sum of (i) the estimated Aggregate Debt Service for such Fiscal Year, (ii) the Projected Debt Service for such Fiscal Year, (iii) the estimated Authority Expenses for such Fiscal Year, and (iv) the other Required Deposits estimated for such Fiscal Year and (B) (i) if the certification is made prior to the commencement of the Fiscal Year, the amount anticipated by the Authority as of such date of certification to be held by the Trustee, as of the first day of such Fiscal Year, in the Revenue Fund and (ii) if the certification is made after the commencement of such Fiscal Year, the amount which had been anticipated pursuant to (B) (i) above.

Consulting Engineer: Metcalf & Eddy of New York, Inc. or such other independent engineer or engineering firm of recognized standing selected by the Authority and satisfactory to the Board.

Corporation: The New York State Environmental Facilities Corporation and any successor entity which may succeed to its rights and duties respecting the State Revolving Fund.

Cost or Costs of a Water Project: The cost of construction, as such term is defined in the Act, including, without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the erection, alteration, improvement, increase, enlargement or rehabilitation of the System or a Water Project, the inspection and supervision thereof, the engineering, architectural, legal, fiscal, economic and environmental investigations and studies, designs, surveys, plans, specifications, procedures and other actions incidental thereto; the cost of the acquisition of all Property; the cost of demolishing, removing or relocating any buildings or structures on lands so acquired (including the cost of acquiring any lands to which such buildings or structures may be moved or relocated); the cost of all systems, facilities, machinery, appurtenances, equipment, financing charges and interest prior to, during and after construction (if not paid or provided for from revenues or other sources); the cost of engineering and architectural surveys, plans and specifications; the cost of consultants' and legal services; the cost of lease guarantee or bond insurance; other expenses necessary, reasonably related or incidental to the construction of such Water Project and the financing of the construction thereof, including the cost of Credit Facilities, the amounts authorized in the Resolution to be paid into any reserve or other special fund from the proceeds of Bonds and the financing or the placing of any Water Project in operation, including reimbursement to any governmental entity or any other person for expenditures that would be Costs of such Water Project and all claims arising from any of the foregoing.

Counterparty shall mean an entity whose senior long term debt obligations, or whose obligations under an Interest Rate Exchange Agreement are guaranteed by a financial institution whose senior long term debt obligations, have a rating (at the time the subject Interest Rate Exchange Agreement is entered into) of Aa or better by Moody's Investors Service and AA or better by Standard & Poor's Ratings Group.

Credit Facility: A letter of credit, revolving credit agreement, standby purchase agreement, surety bond, insurance policy or similar obligation, arrangement or instrument issued by a bank, insurance company or other financial institution which provides for payment of all or a portion of the Principal Installments or interest due on any Series of Bonds or provides funds for the purchase of such Bonds or portions thereof.

Debt Service: For any Fiscal Year or part thereof, as of any date of calculation and with respect to any Series, means an amount equal to the sum of (a) interest payable during such Fiscal Year or part thereof on Bonds of such Series, except to the extent that such interest is to be paid from amounts representing Capitalized Interest and (b) the Principal Installments of the Bonds of such Series payable during such Fiscal Year or part thereof. Such interest and Principal Installments for such Series shall be calculated on the assumption that (x) no Bonds of such Series Outstanding at the date of calculation will cease to be Outstanding except by reason of the payment thereof upon stated maturity or upon mandatory redemption by application of Sinking Fund Installments

and (y) Variable Rate Bonds will bear interest at the greater of (A) the rate or rates which were assumed by the Authority in the Authority Budget for such Fiscal Year to be borne by Variable Rate Bonds during such Fiscal Year or (B) the actual rate or rates borne during such Fiscal Year on Variable Rate Bonds Outstanding during the 12 calendar months preceding the date of calculation.

Debt Service Reserve Requirement shall mean, as of any date of calculation, and for any Fiscal Year, the amount equal to the maximum Adjusted Aggregate Debt Service in the current or any future Fiscal Year on all Bonds Outstanding; provided, however, that, if (i) the payment of the Principal Installments of or interest on any Series of Bonds or portion thereof is secured by a Special Credit Facility, (ii) the payment of the Tender Option Price of any Option Bond of a Series is secured by a Special Credit Facility or (iii) the Authority has determined in a Supplemental Resolution authorizing the issuance of a Series of Bonds that such Series of Bonds will not be secured by the Common Account in the Debt Service Reserve Fund, the Supplemental Resolution authorizing such Series may specify the Debt Service Reserve Requirement, if any, for the Bonds of such Series.

DEC: The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation and any successor entity which may succeed to its rights and duties respecting the State Revolving Fund.

Defeasance Obligations: The obligations described in clause (ii) of the definition of Investment Securities below.

Financial Guaranties shall mean one or more of the following: (i) irrevocable, unconditional and unexpired letters of credit issued by banking institutions the senior long-term debt obligations of which (or the holding company of any such banking institution) have (at the time of issue of such letter of credit) a rating of Aa2 or better by Moody's Investors Service and AA or better by Standard & Poor's Ratings Group; or (ii) an irrevocable and unconditional policy or policies of insurance in full force and effect issued by municipal bond insurers the obligations insured by which are eligible for a rating of Aa or better by Moody's Investors Service and AA or better by Standard & Poor's Ratings Group; in each case providing for the payment of sums for the payment of Principal Installments of an interest on Bonds in the manner provided in the Resolution; and providing further that any Financial Guaranty of the type described in (i) above must be drawn upon, on a date which is at least thirty (30) days prior to the expiration date of such Financial Guaranty, in an amount equal to the deficiency which would exist if the Financial Guaranty expired, unless a substitute Financial Guaranty is acquired prior to such expiration date as provided in a related Supplemental Resolution.

Fiscal Year: The twelve-month period commencing on July 1 of each year; provided, however, that the Authority, the Board and the City may agree on a different twelve-month period as the Fiscal Year and in such event the dates set forth in the Agreement, the Lease and the Resolution shall be adjusted accordingly.

Government Obligation: A direct obligation of the United States of America, an obligation the principal of, and interest on which are guaranteed as to full and timely payment by the United States of America, an obligation (other than an obligation subject to variation in principal repayment) to which the full faith and credit of the United States of America are pledged, an obligation of a federal agency guaranteed as to full and timely payment by the United States of America and approved by the Authority, and a certificate or other instrument which evidences the ownership of, or the right to receive all or a portion of the payment of, the principal of or interest on, direct obligations of the United States of America.

Interest Rate Exchange Agreement shall mean any financial arrangement (i) that is entered into by the Authority with an entity that is a Counterparty at the time the arrangement is entered into; (ii) which provides that the Authority shall pay to such entity an amount based on the principal amount of a Series of Bonds, and that such entity shall pay to the Authority an amount based on the principal amount of such Series of Bonds, in each case computed in accordance with a formula set forth in such agreement, or that one shall pay to the other any net amount due under such arrangement; (iii) which has been designated in writing to the Trustee by an Authorized Representative of the Authority as an Interest Rate Exchange Agreement with respect to a Series of Bonds and (iv) which, in the opinion of Bond Counsel, will not adversely affect the exclusion of interest on Bonds from gross income for the purposes of federal income taxation.

Investment Securities shall mean and include any of the following securities, if and to the extent the same are at the time legal investments by the Authority of the funds to be invested therein and conform to the policies set forth in any investment guidelines adopted by the Authority and in effect at the time of the making of such investment:

(i) direct obligations of, or obligations guaranteed as to principal and interest by, the State or direct obligations of any agency or public authority thereof, provided such obligations are rated, at the time of purchase, in one of the two highest rating categories by a Rating Agency;

(ii) (A) any bonds or other obligations which as to principal and interest constitute direct obligations of, or are guaranteed by the United States of America, including obligations of any agency thereof or corporation which has been or may hereafter be created pursuant to an Act of Congress as an agency or instrumentality of the United States of America to the extent unconditionally guaranteed by the United States of America or (B) any other receipt, certificate or other evidence of an ownership interest in obligations or in specified portions thereof (which may consist of specified portions of the interest thereon) of the character described in subclause (A) of this clause (ii);

(iii) obligations of any agency, subdivision, department, division or instrumentality of the United States of America; or obligations fully guaranteed as to interest and principal by any agency, subdivision, department, division or instrumentality of the United States of America;

(iv) banker's acceptances or certificates of deposit issued by a commercial bank with its principal place of business within the State and having capital and surplus of more than \$100,000,000;

(v) corporate securities, including commercial paper and fixed income obligations, which are, at the time of purchase, rated by a Rating Agency in its highest rating category for comparable types of obligations;

(vi) Repurchase agreements collateralized by securities described in clauses (ii) or (iii) above with any registered broker/dealer or with any domestic commercial bank whose long-term debt obligations are rated "investment grade" by each Rating Agency then maintaining a rating on the Bonds, provided that (1) a specific written repurchase agreement governs the transaction, (2) the securities are held, free and clear of any lien, by the Trustee or an independent third party acting solely as agent for the Trustee, and such third party is (a) a Federal Reserve Bank, or (b) a bank which is a member of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and which has combined capital, surplus and undivided profits of not less than \$25 million, and the Trustee shall have received written confirmation from such third party that it holds such securities, free and clear of any lien, as agent for the Trustee, (3) the repurchase agreement has a term of thirty days or less, or the Trustee will value the collateral securities no less frequently than monthly and will liquidate the collateral securities if any deficiency in the required collateral percentage is not restored within five business days of such valuation, and (4) the fair market value of the collateral securities in relation to the amount of the repurchase obligation, including principal and interest, is equal to at least 102%;

(vii) investment agreements or guaranteed investment contracts with any financial institution whose senior long term debt obligations, or whose obligations under such an investment agreement or guaranteed investment contract, are guaranteed by a financial institution whose senior long term debt obligations, have a rating (at the time such agreement or contract is entered into) in one of the two highest rating categories for comparable types of obligations by each Rating Agency then maintaining a rating on the Bonds;

(viii) money market funds rated in the highest rating category for comparable types of obligations by each Rating Agency then maintaining a rating on the Bonds; and

(ix) municipal obligations, the payment of principal and redemption price, if any, and interest on which is irrevocably secured by obligations of the type referred to in clauses (i), (ii) or (iii) above and which obligations have been deposited in an escrow arrangement which is irrevocably pledged to the payment of such municipal obligations and which municipal obligations are rated in the highest rating category for comparable types of obligations by each Rating Agency then maintaining a rating on the Bonds.

Leased Property: The real and personal property and other rights therein leased by the City to the Board pursuant to Article II of the Lease.

Local Water Fund: The special trust fund by that name established by the Act in the custody of the Board into which all Revenues are required to be deposited promptly upon receipt thereof by the Board.

Minimum Monthly Balance: For each Series of Bonds Outstanding, the monthly amount calculated in accordance with Section 4.3(a) of the Agreement. See “Summary of Certain Documents—Summary of the Agreement—Minimum Monthly Balance” in this Appendix C.

O&M Reserve Fund Requirement: For each Fiscal Year, the amount equal to one-sixth (1/6) of the Operating Expenses as set forth in the Annual Budget.

Operating Expenses: All reasonable or necessary current expenses of maintaining, repairing, operating and managing the System net of governmental operating aid, including: all salaries; administrative, general, commercial, architectural, engineering, advertising, public notice, auditing, billing, collection, enforcement and legal expenses; insurance and surety bond premiums; consultants’ fees; payments to pension, retirement, health and hospitalization funds; taxes; payments in lieu of taxes; costs of public hearings; ordinary and current rentals of equipment or other property; hydrant rentals; lease payments for real property or interests therein (excluding certain amounts paid by the Board to the City pursuant to the Lease); depository expenses; reasonable reserves for maintenance and repair and all other expenses necessary, incidental or convenient for the efficient operation of the System; but only to the extent properly attributable to the Board or the System and payable by the Board to the City pursuant to the Lease and, except for certain administrative expenses of the Board, payable by the Board to the City pursuant to the Lease.

Option Bonds: Bonds which by their terms may be tendered by and at the option of the owner thereof for payment by the Authority prior to the stated maturity thereof, or the maturates of which may be extended by and at the option of the owner thereof.

Outstanding: As of any date, all Bonds therefore or thereupon being authenticated and delivered under the Resolution except:

(a) any Bonds canceled by the Trustee at or prior to such date;

(b) any Bond (or portion thereof) for the payment or redemption of which there shall be set aside and held in trust under the Resolution either:

(i) moneys in an amount sufficient to pay when due the Principal Installments or Redemption Price thereof, together with all accrued interest,

(ii) Defeasance Obligations in such principal amounts, of such maturities, bearing such interest and otherwise having such terms and qualifications, as are necessary to provide moneys (whether as principal or interest) in an amount sufficient to pay when due the Principal Installments or Redemption Price thereof, together with all accrued interest, or

(iii) any combination of (i) and (ii) above,

and, if such Bond or portion thereof is to be redeemed, for which notice of redemption has been given as provided in Article VI of the Resolution or provision satisfactory to the Trustee has been made for the giving of such notice;

(c) any Bond in lieu of or in substitution for which other Bonds have been authenticated and delivered; and

(d) any Bond deemed to have been paid as provided in Section 1201(b) of the Resolution.

Parity Bond Anticipation Notes: Bond Anticipation Notes the interest on which is payable from and secured by a pledge of, and a lien on, a parity with all other Bonds.

Permitted Encumbrances: When used with reference to the System, (i) any and all liens, encumbrances, security interests or other defects in or clouds on title existing on the Effective Date, (ii) the Lease, (iii) easements, rights of way and exceptions which do not materially impair the operation or maintenance of the Leased Property or the Revenues therefrom, (iv) mechanics', materialmen's, warehousemen's and other similar liens, as permitted by law and liens for taxes at the time not delinquent or being contested and (v) agreements for the sale and leaseback of elements of the System.

Principal Installment: As of any date of calculation and with respect to any Series, so long as any Bonds thereof are Outstanding, (i) the principal amount of Bonds (including (x) any amount designated in, or determined pursuant to, the applicable Supplemental Resolution, as the "principal amount" with respect to any Bonds which do not pay full current interest for all or any part of their term) (y) the Tender Option Price of any Option Bonds which may be tendered for purchase or payment prior to the stated maturity thereof in accordance with the terms of the Supplemental Resolution authorizing such Option Bonds, unless such amount is secured by a Credit Facility which is not in default and (z) the principal amount of any Parity Reimbursement Obligations of such Series due (or so tendered for payment) on a certain future date for which no Sinking Fund Installments have been established, or (ii) the unsatisfied balance of any Sinking Fund Installments due on a certain future date for Bonds of such Series, or (iii) if such future dates coincide as to different Bonds of such Series, the sum of such principal amount of Bonds and of such unsatisfied balance of Sinking Fund Installments due on such future date. "Principal Installment" does not include the principal of Parity Bond Anticipation Notes.

Project Financing Agreement: Any Project Financing Agreement to be entered into among the Authority, the City, DEC and the Corporation pursuant to the State Revolving Fund Act.

Projected Debt Service: For any Fiscal Year or part thereof means, as of any date of calculation and with respect to any Projected Series of Bonds, an amount, certified by the Authority to the Trustee and the Board, as provided in the Agreement, equal to the Debt Service estimated by the Authority to be payable during such Fiscal Year on such Projected Series.

Projected Series of Bonds: Any Series of Bonds described in an Authority Budget as anticipated to be issued in the Fiscal Year to which such Authority Budget relates.

Rate Consultant: The independent accountant or firm of independent accountants, or a management consultant or firm of management consultants, or independent engineer or firm of independent engineers, having, in any case, a recognized standing in the field of water and sewer system consulting selected by the Authority and satisfactory to the Board. The Rate Consultant may be the same firm as the Consulting Engineer.

Rating Agencies: Moody's Investors Service and Standard & Poor's Ratings Group and their respective successors and assigns.

Redemption Price: When used with respect to a Bond or portion thereof, the principal amount thereof plus the applicable premium, if any, payable upon either optional or mandatory redemption thereof pursuant to the Resolution.

Refundable Principal Installment: Any Principal Installment for any Series of Bonds which the Authority intends to pay with moneys which are not Revenues, provided that such intent shall have been expressed in the Supplemental Resolution authorizing such Series of Bonds and provided further that such Principal Installment shall be a Refundable Principal Installment only through the date of the Authority Budget adopted during the Fiscal Year immediately preceding the Fiscal Year in which such Principal Installment comes due unless the Authority has delivered to the Trustee a certificate of an Authorized Representative that it has made provision for the payment of such Principal Installment from a source other than Revenues.

Refunding Bond: Any Bond authenticated and delivered on original issuance pursuant to Section 206 or Section 207 of the Resolution for the purpose of refunding any Outstanding Bonds or thereafter authenticated and delivered in lieu of or substitution for such Bond pursuant to the Resolution.

Reimbursement Obligation: The obligation of the Authority described in the Resolution to directly reimburse the issuer of a Credit Facility for amounts paid by such issuer thereunder, whether or not such obligation to so reimburse is evidenced by a promissory note or other similar instrument.

Required Deposits: For any Fiscal Year, amounts, if any, payable into the Authority Expense Fund, the Debt Service Reserve Fund and the Subordinated Indebtedness Fund but only to the extent such payments are required to be made from Revenues pursuant to the Resolution.

Revenues shall mean (a) all the rents, fees, charges, payments and other income and receipts derived by the Board from users of the System, together with all operating aid therefor from any governmental entity, Federal, State or local, to the Board, (b) investment proceeds and proceeds of insurance received by the Board (other than the proceeds of insurance with respect to the damage or destruction of all or any portion of the System), (c) Subsidy Payments derived by the Authority, (d) amounts derived by the Authority from a Counterparty pursuant to an Interest Rate Exchange Agreement, and (e) investment proceeds derived from amounts on deposit in the Funds and Accounts established hereunder that are deposited or retained in the Revenue Fund or the Local Water Fund, and but shall not include (w) amounts required to be refunded because of billing or payment errors, (x) any amount attributable to any of the foregoing sources described in clause (a) which (i) is expressly excluded by the Agreement or the Lease, or (ii) is derived from a use of the System not directly related to the supply, treatment and distribution of water to the consumers thereof or the collection, disposal or treatment of sewage, (y) any amount from any governmental entity, Federal, State or local, in aid of or for or with respect to the Costs of Water Projects, other than Subsidy Payments, or (z)(i) fines (excluding interest on late payments which shall constitute Revenues), (ii) amounts from the use of water to generate electricity, (iii) amounts from the State as a result of mandatory water discharges from reservoirs or (iv) amounts from the granting of easements, licenses, rights-of-way or other interests in the real property constituting a part of the System.

Special Credit Facility: With respect to any Series of Bonds or portion thereof, a Credit Facility (a) which provides funds for (i) the direct payment of the Principal Installments of and interest on such Bonds when due or (ii) the payment of the Principal Installments of and interest on such Bonds in the event amounts otherwise pledged to the payment thereof are not available when due or (iii) the payment of the Tender Option Price of any Option Bond which may be tendered to the Authority for purchase or payment in accordance with the Supplemental Resolution authorizing such Option Bond (in any case, regardless of whether such Credit Facility provides funds for any other purpose) and (b) which (i) requires the Authority to directly reimburse the issuer of such Credit Facility for amounts paid thereunder and (ii) provides that such obligation is a Parity Reimbursement Obligation.

State: The State of New York.

State Revolving Fund: The New York State Water Pollution Control Revolving Fund established pursuant to the State Revolving Fund Act.

State Revolving Fund Act: Chapter 565 of the laws of New York of 1989, as amended.

Subordinated Indebtedness: Any bond, note or other evidence issued by the Authority in furtherance of its corporate purposes under the Act and payable from the Subordinated Indebtedness Fund.

Subsidy Payments shall mean amounts payable to the Authority from any governmental entity, Federal, State or local, in connection with Bonds of the Authority.

Supplemental Resolution: A resolution of the Authority authorizing the issuance of a Series of Bonds or otherwise amending or supplementing the Resolution.

System: The Water System and the Sewerage System, collectively, as such terms are defined in the Act.

Tender Option Price: With respect to any Option Bond tendered for purchase or payment, an amount equal to the principal amount thereof plus interest accrued and unpaid thereon from the immediately preceding Bond Payment Date to the date of such tender.

Trustee: The trustee appointed by the Authority pursuant to the General Resolution, and any successors thereto.

Variable Rate Bond: As of any date of determination, any Bond on which the interest rate borne thereby may vary during any part of its remaining term.

Water Project: Any sewerage facility, water facility or water and sewerage facility, as the case may be, including the planning, development, financing or construction thereof.

Summary of Certain Documents

The following are brief summaries of certain provisions of the Agreement, the Lease and the General Resolution. These summaries do not purport to be complete and are subject in all respects to the provisions of, and are qualified in their entirety by, reference to the respective documents to which they relate.

Summary of the Agreement

Financing of Water Projects. The Authority agrees to use its best efforts to finance all or a part of the Cost of all Water Projects described in Appendix A to the Agreement. In consideration for the Authority's issuance of the Bonds, the Board gives, grants, conveys and transfers to the Authority all of its right, title and interest in the Revenues, including without limitation, all of its rights to collect and receive said Revenues subject only to provisions of the Act, the Agreement and the Resolution permitting the application of said Revenues to the purposes therein set forth. The Board itself incurs no indebtedness under the terms of the Agreement, Lease, Resolution or any other document executed in connection therewith. (*Sections 2.1, 2.2 and 2.4*)

Transfer of Funds. The Authority shall deposit the proceeds of each Series of Bonds with the Trustee in accordance with the provisions of the Resolution and the Supplemental Resolution authorizing such Series; provided, however, that the portion of the proceeds designated to pay the Costs of any Water Project shall be held only in the Construction Fund established pursuant to the Resolution.

The Authority shall authorize payment of such Costs in the manner set forth in the Resolution once evidence thereof is provided in a Certificate signed by an Authorized Representative of the Board or City, as the case may be. Neither the Authority nor the Trustee shall be required to provide funds to pay the Costs of Water Projects from any source other than the Construction Fund, and neither the Authority nor the Trustee shall pay to the City from such Fund any amount in excess of that set aside for the purposes thereof, or for the Projects listed in Appendix A to the Agreement. (*Sections 3.1 and 3.2*)

Local Water Fund. The Board shall deposit all Revenues, as promptly as practicable after receipt, into the Local Water Fund. There shall also be deposited in the Local Water Fund all amounts received by the Board from the Trustee pursuant to the Resolution. (*Section 4.1*)

Establishment of Certain Funds and Application of Revenues in Local Water Fund. The Board shall establish two special funds (in addition to the Local Water Fund) to be held by the Board at a Depository: the Board Expense Fund and the Operation and Maintenance Reserve Fund, with the General Account therein. The Board shall hold such funds as trust funds and the amounts on deposit shall only be applied for the purposes provided in the Agreement.

Beginning on the first day of each month in each Fiscal Year, the Board is required to apply the Revenues in the Local Water Fund, *first*, to the Trustee for deposit in the Revenue Fund until the amount on deposit in the Revenue Fund equals the Minimum Monthly Balance for such month and the Trustee shall have received the amounts, *if any*, required to be deposited in the Authority Expense Fund, the Debt Service Reserve Fund and the Subordinated Indebtedness Fund for such month. Thereafter, in such month from the balance remaining in the Local Water Fund, the Board is required, after making provision for Board Expenses, to pay to the City $\frac{1}{12}$ th of the operating expenses for such Fiscal Year. After making such payments, any amounts remaining in the Local

Water Fund in each month are applied daily (i) to satisfy the Cash Flow Requirement (if the required payments to the City for Operating Expenses have been made), (ii) to satisfy required payments to the City for Operating Expenses (if the Cash Flow Requirement has been satisfied) or (iii) proportionately, to the Trustee for deposit in the Revenue Fund and to the City for the payment of Operating Expenses, until the total of all amounts deposited in the Revenue Fund during such Fiscal Year equals the Cash Flow Requirement and all Operating Expenses required to be paid shall have been paid. Thereafter, as long as the amount on deposit in the Revenue Fund in each month is equal to the Minimum Monthly Balance and the Cash Flow Requirement continues to be met, all such amounts in the Local Water Fund shall be paid as follows: *first*, to the Authority until the total of the amounts so paid equals the principal of and interest on any bonds, notes or other obligations of the Authority (other than Bonds, Bond Anticipation Notes, and Subordinated Indebtedness) payable within the then current Fiscal Year, together with all other amounts necessary to make the required deposits to the reserve and other funds and amounts established for such bonds, notes or other obligations; *second*, to the City until the amounts so paid are equal to the rental payment for such Fiscal Year and the unsatisfied balance, if any, of the rental payment for any prior Fiscal Year; and, *third*, to the Operation and Maintenance Reserve Fund, until the amount therein on deposit is equal to the O&M Reserve Requirement for such Fiscal Year. Any amounts remaining in the Local Water Fund on the last day of each Fiscal Year shall be paid to the General Account in the Operation and Maintenance Reserve Fund. (Section 4.2)

Minimum Monthly Balance. The Minimum Monthly Balance shall be calculated as of the first day of the month and shall be equal to the sum of:

(i) For each Series of Bonds which is Outstanding during the current Fiscal Year, an amount equal to the product obtained by multiplying (a) the difference between (1) the amount of interest due or projected to be due on the next succeeding Bond Payment Date for such and (2) the amount, if any, held in the applicable subaccount for such Series in the Capitalized Interest Account in the Debt Service Fund by (b) a fraction, the numerator of which is the number of full months since the end of the month preceding the last Bond Payment Date for such Series (or, with respect to the first Bond Payment Date for such Series, the number of full months since the last day of the month preceding the date of issuance of such Series) and the denominator of which is the number of months between Bond Payment Dates minus one (or, with respect to the first Bond Payment Date for a Series, the number of months between the last day of the month preceding the date of issuance of such Series and the first Bond Payment Date minus one); provided, however, that if this formula would produce (A) a fraction greater than one, then the fraction shall be equal to one, or (B) a denominator less than one, then the fraction shall be equal to one; plus

(ii) For each Series of Bonds which is Outstanding during the current Fiscal Year, an amount equal to the Principal Installment due or projected to be due on the next succeeding Bond Payment Date for such Series which falls within twelve months or less on which a Principal Installment is due, multiplied by a fraction, the numerator of which is the number of full months since the last day of the month preceding the last Bond Payment Date on which a Principal Installment was due (or, with respect to the first such Bond Payment Date, twelve minus the number of full months to the first Bond Payment Date on which a Principal Installment is due), and the denominator of which is eleven; provided, however, that if this formula would produce a fraction greater than one, then the fraction shall be equal to one. (Section 4.3)

Deposits to Operation and Maintenance Reserve Fund. There shall be deposited to the Operation and Maintenance Reserve Fund in each Fiscal Year from the sources described below the amount required, if any, so that the amounts on deposit therein satisfy the O&M Reserve Fund Requirement for the ensuing Fiscal Year.

Deposits to the Operation and Maintenance Reserve Fund may be made from the proceeds of the sale of Bonds of the Authority, from the Local Water Fund, or from any other moneys lawfully available therefor, subject to the following limitations:

(i) The maximum deposit to the Operation and Maintenance Reserve Fund from the proceeds of Bonds of the Authority, as of any time of calculation, may not exceed the O&M Reserve Fund Requirement then in effect, reduced by the cumulative sum of prior deposits thereto from proceeds of Bonds of the Authority.

(ii) Deposits to the Operation and Maintenance Reserve Fund from the Local Water Fund shall be subject to the priorities established in Section 4.2 of the Agreement.

(iii) If there shall be a deficit in the Operation and Maintenance Reserve Fund on May 1 of any Fiscal Year, and if as of such May 1 the Board does not project that available Revenues will at least equal the O&M Reserve Requirement for such Fiscal Year by June 30 of such Fiscal Year, then the Board shall include in its Annual Budget for the ensuing Fiscal Year an amount sufficient, together with other amounts available therefor, to at least equal the O&M Reserve Fund Requirement for the ensuing Fiscal Year.

If on July 1 of any Fiscal Year the amount on deposit in the Operation and Maintenance Reserve Fund is less than the O&M Reserve Fund Requirement, such deficit shall (subject to paragraph (i) above) be made up from the proceeds of the sale of Bonds issued during such Fiscal Year; provided, however, if, prior to May 1 of such Fiscal Year such deficit has not been made up from Bond proceeds, the Board shall include the amount of such deficit in its Annual Budget for the ensuing Fiscal Year and the amounts necessary to restore such deficit shall be deposited in the Operation and Maintenance Reserve Fund.

Amounts required to be deposited in the General Account shall be held separate and apart from other amounts held in the Operation and Maintenance Reserve Fund and applied as described below. (*Section 4.4*)

Application of Moneys in the Operation and Maintenance Reserve Fund. If on the first day of any month the Board has not paid to the City an amount equal to the product of (i) the amount required to be paid for Operating Expenses pursuant to Section 8.1 of the Lease, multiplied by (ii) a fraction the numerator of which is the number of months which have commenced during such Fiscal Year, and the denominator of which is 12, the Board shall withdraw from the Operation and Maintenance Reserve Fund and pay to the City, on demand, an amount equal to $\frac{1}{12}$ of the amount so required to be paid pursuant to Section 8.1 of the Lease, or the entire balance in such Fund if less than sufficient. Amounts on deposit in the General Account may be applied (i) to purposes provided for in Section 4.2, (ii) to the payment of Bonds in accordance with Article XII of the Resolution or (iii) to the Costs of Water Projects, but shall be retained therein to the extent required by the Annual Budget. (*Section 4.5*)

Application of Moneys in Board Expense Fund. Amounts on deposit in the Board Expense Fund shall be applied by the Board solely for the purposes of paying expenses of the Board, in accordance with the Annual Budget. (*Section 4.6*)

Application of Revenues After Default. The Board has covenanted that if an "event of default" (as defined in the Resolution) shall occur, the Board shall pay or cause to be paid to the Trustee, upon its request, all moneys and securities then held by the Board in the Local Water Fund and thereafter the Revenues as promptly as practicable after receipt. (*Section 4.7*)

Amounts Remaining. Any amounts received or held by the Authority or the Trustee pursuant to the Resolution, any similar document or the Agreement after all Bonds and other evidences of indebtedness have been paid in full or are no longer Outstanding and after payment of all other obligations and expenses of the Authority, or provision for payment thereof has been made, shall be paid to the City.

Any payments by the City to the Water Board pursuant to Section 1045-h(3) of the Act shall be confined to consideration for the sale of goods or the rendering of services by the Water Board to the City pursuant to the Lease or the Agreement as contemplated by the Act. (*Section 4.8*)

Rate Covenant. The Board has covenanted and agreed to establish, fix and revise fees, rates or other charges for the use of or services furnished by the System which, together with any other available funds, are adequate to provide for (i) the timely payment of the Principal Installments of and interest on all Bonds and the principal of and interest on any other indebtedness of the Authority payable from Revenues, (ii) the proper operation and maintenance of the System, (iii) all other payments required for the System not otherwise provided

for and (iv) all other payments required pursuant to the Agreement and the Lease, without intending to limit the generality of the foregoing, the Board has also covenanted to establish and collect rates, fees and charges sufficient in each Fiscal Year so that Revenues collected in such Fiscal Year will be at least equal to the sum of (i) 115% of estimated Aggregate Debt Service and Projected Debt Service payable in such Fiscal Year (excluding any Refundable Principal Installment if payable from funds held in trust therefor and assuming with respect to Variable Rate Bonds that the effective rate of interest is that which the Authority determines so long as such rate is not less than the rate such Bonds bear at the time Aggregate Debt Service is determined), (ii) 100% of the Operating Expenses and Authority Expenses payable in such Fiscal Year and (iii) 100% of the amount necessary to pay the other Required Deposits for such Fiscal Year. However, a failure to generate such Revenues does not constitute an "event of default" if the Board takes timely action to correct any such deficit. The Board shall review, at least annually, such rates, fees and charges to determine whether such rates, fees and charges are, or will be, sufficient to meet the requirements thereof and shall promptly take action to cure or avoid any deficiency. Except to the extent required by Section 1045-j of the Act, as in effect on July 24, 1984, with regard to the requirement that tax exempt organizations be charged for service provided by the System or by existing agreements (including any successor agreements with Jamaica), the Board will not furnish or supply any product, use or service of the System free of charge or at a nominal charge. (*Section 6.1*)

Consulting Engineer and Rate Consultant. The Authority shall employ a Consulting Engineer and a Rate Consultant whose duties, respectively, shall be to make any certificates and perform any other acts required or permitted of the Consulting Engineer and the Rate Consultant under the Agreement and the Resolution. If so determined by the Authority, the same person or firm may perform the duties and functions of the Consulting Engineer and Rate Consultant.

In each Fiscal Year, the Consulting Engineer and the Rate Consultant shall make an examination of, and shall report to the Authority, the Board, the City and the Trustee, on the properties and operations of the System. The report of the Rate Consultant shall set forth among other findings, the Rate Consultant's recommendation as to any necessary or advisable revisions of rates, fees and charges for the ensuing Fiscal Year and such other advice and recommendation as it may deem desirable. The Consulting Engineer's report shall set forth its findings as to whether the System has been maintained in good repair and sound operating condition, and its estimate of the amount, if any, required to be expended to place such properties in such condition and the details of such expenditures and the approximate time required therefor. The City covenants that if any such report of the Consulting Engineer shall set forth that the properties of the System have not been maintained in good repair and sound operating condition, it will promptly restore the properties to good repair and sound operating condition with all expedition practicable. (*Section 6.2*)

Covenant to Operate and Maintain System. The City has covenanted that it shall, at all times:

(a) in accordance with the advice and recommendations of the Consulting Engineer, operate the System properly and in a sound and economical manner and maintain, preserve, and keep the same preserved and kept with the appurtenances and every part and parcel thereof, in good repair, working order and condition, and from time to time make, or cause to be made, all necessary and proper repairs, replacements and renewals so that at all times the operation of the System may be properly and advantageously conducted, regardless of any failure on the part of the Board to make the payments to the City required by Section 8.1 of the Lease; provided, however, that nothing contained in the Agreement shall require the City to operate, maintain, preserve, repair, replace, renew or reconstruct any part of the System if there shall be filed with the Board, the Authority and the Trustee (i) a certificate of the Commissioner acting as the Authorized Representative of the City stating that in the opinion of the City abandonment of operation of such part of the System will not adversely affect the operation of the System or the amount of Revenues derived therefrom and is not prejudicial to the interests of the Board, the Authority or the Bondholders and (ii) a Certificate of the Consulting Engineer concurring with such statement;

(b) enforce the rules and regulations governing the operation, use and services of the System established from time to time by the Board or the City;

(c) observe and perform all of the terms and conditions contained in the Act, and comply with all valid acts, rules, regulations, orders and directions of any legislative, executive, administrative or judicial body having competent jurisdiction of the City or the System; provided, however, that the failure of the City to comply with the covenant contained in this subsection (c) for any period shall not constitute a default on its part so long as the City (i) is taking reasonable and timely steps to permit compliance and (ii) the City shall have delivered to the Board and to the Authority a Certificate of the Consulting Engineer which (1) sets forth in reasonable detail the facts and circumstances attendant to such non-compliance, (2) sets forth the steps being taken by the City to permit compliance, (3) sets forth the estimated date on which the City will be in compliance and (4) states that in the opinion of the Consulting Engineer such non-compliance during the period described will not adversely affect the operation of the System or the amount of Revenues to be derived therefrom; and

(d) not create or suffer to be created any lien or charge upon the System or any part thereof except for Permitted Encumbrances. (*Section 6.3*)

Annual Budget. On May 1 of each year (or on such later date as the Authority, the Board and the City may agree) the Authority shall deliver to the Board a certified copy of the Authority Budget for the ensuing Fiscal Year showing the Cash Flow Requirement for such Fiscal Year. Based upon the information contained in (a) the Authority Budget, (b) the City's certification pursuant to Section 8.3 of the Lease and (c) the Certificate of the Consulting Engineer delivered to the Board pursuant to Section 8.3 of the Lease (collectively, the "Budget Documents"), the Board shall prepare the Annual Budget for the ensuing Fiscal Year. In addition to the information contained in the Budget Documents the Board shall also make provision in the Annual Budget for Board Expenses for the ensuing Fiscal Year, for the amount, if any, required to be deposited in the Operation and Maintenance Reserve Fund in accordance with Section 4.4 of the Agreement, and for the application of the amounts in the General Account therein. Thereafter, but in no event later than 15 days after the date of publication of the Executive Budget of the City, the Board shall adopt such Annual Budget. Promptly after adoption of the Annual Budget, and in no event later than June 10 (or such other date as the Authority, the Board and the City may agree) of each year, the Board shall establish the rates, fees and charges for the use of the System for the ensuing Fiscal Year. The Board may from time to time, either before or after commencement of the Fiscal Year to which it relates, amend the Annual Budget, but (except for its own expenses) only in accordance with and after receipt of amended Budget Documents. If as of the first day of any Fiscal Year an Annual Budget has not been adopted, the Annual Budget for the immediately preceding Fiscal Year shall be the Annual Budget for such Fiscal Year until a new Annual Budget is adopted. (*Section 6.4*)

Tax Exemption. The City, the Authority and the Board have covenanted that so long as any Bonds shall be Outstanding, no one will take any action, nor fail to take any action, which, if taken or not taken, as the case may be, would adversely affect the tax-exempt status of the interest payable on the Bonds then Outstanding the interest on which is excluded from gross income under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. (*Section 6.5(b)*)

Discontinuance of Service. The Board has covenanted to enforce or cause the City to enforce the rules and regulations providing for discontinuance of, or disconnection from, the supply of water or the provision of sewer service, or both, as the case may be, for non-payment of fees, rents, rates or other charges imposed by the Board, provided that such discontinuance or disconnection shall not be carried out except in the manner and upon the notice as is required of a waterworks corporation pursuant to Sections 89(b)(3)(a)-(c) and 116 of the Public Service Law of the State. (*Section 6.7*)

Covenant of City as to Rates and Charges. The City has covenanted that, upon the issuance of the Bonds by the Authority, the City will not thereafter levy user fees, rents and other charges with respect to the System until all Bonds are paid or are no longer Outstanding pursuant to the terms of the Resolution; provided, however, that the City may levy *ad valorem* taxes to pay the costs and expenses of the System or to pay the principal of and interest on general obligation bonds of the City heretofore or hereafter issued to finance the System or any part thereof. (*Section 6.9*)

Books and Records. Each of the Authority and the Board shall keep or cause to be kept proper books of record and account in which complete and correct entries shall be made of all transactions relating to their corporate purposes under the Act. In accordance with Section 1045-y of the Act, the Authority and the Board shall annually submit to the Mayor, the Comptroller and the Director of Management and Budget of the City a detailed report concerning their activities for the Fiscal Year. In addition, the Authority and the Board shall submit to the Mayor, the Comptroller and the Director of Management and Budget of the City audited annual financial statements of the Authority and the Board together with a report thereon of an accountant satisfactory to the Board. *(Section 6.11)*

Liens. Until the Bonds or other evidences of indebtedness issued by the Authority for its purposes under the Act have been paid in full or provision has been made therefor in accordance with the Resolution or similar document, the Agreement provides that the Board shall not create, and, to the extent it has the power to do so, shall not permit to be created, any lien upon or pledge of the Revenues except the lien and pledge thereon created by the Act. *(Section 6.12)*

Security Interests. Except to the extent provided in the Act, neither the Board nor the Authority may grant any Bondholder any security interest in any of the assets or Properties of the Board. *(Section 6.13)*

Financing through State Revolving Fund. In connection with the financing of Water Projects by the Authority with funds provided from the State Revolving Fund, the City may enter into a Project Financing Agreement or Agreements among DEC, the Corporation and the Authority and make in any such agreement certain representations, warranties, covenants and agreements. *(Section 6.16)*

Agreement of the State. Under the provisions of the Agreement, the parties pledge and agree, for and on behalf of the State as provided in the Act, that the State will not alter or limit the rights vested by the Act in the Authority or the Board to fulfill the terms of any agreement made with or for the benefit of the Bondholders, or in any way impair the rights and remedies of Bondholders, until the Bonds, together with the interest thereon, interest on any unpaid installment of interest, and all costs and expenses incurred in any action or proceeding by or on behalf of such holders, are fully met and discharged. *(Section 7.1)*

Events of Default and Remedies. An "event of default" or a "default" means any one of the following events: (i) failure by the Board to pay the Authority those amounts required under the Agreement; (ii) failure of the City or the Board to observe any covenant, term or condition of the Agreement (other than the payments the Board shall make to the Authority) and such failure shall have continued for a period of sixty (60) days after written notice, specifying such failure and requesting that it be remedied, is given to the City or the Board, or both, by the Authority unless the Authority shall agree in writing to extend such time prior to its expiration, provided such extension shall not be unreasonably withheld if the City or the Board has instituted and is diligently pursuing corrective action which cannot be completed within the applicable period; (iii) the Authority shall file a petition, or otherwise seek relief, under any federal or State bankruptcy or similar law; and (iv) the terms, conditions and security provided under the Agreement and the Resolution or the respective provisions of the Act pursuant to which the Resolution has been adopted or the Bonds have been issued or entered into (including, without limitation, the provisions under which the lien upon the Revenues has been created pursuant to the Agreement and the Resolution and the provisions establishing the powers and obligations of the Board and the relationship of the Authority to the Board and the City) shall be materially and adversely limited, altered or impaired by any legislative action or any final judgment. *(Section 8.1)*

Whenever an event of default shall have occurred and be continuing, the Authority and the Trustee may take whatever legal action may appear necessary or desirable to: (i) collect the payments then due and as they thereafter become due and (ii) so long as any Bonds are Outstanding, enforce performance and observance of any obligation or covenant of the City or the Board under the Agreement. In addition, if the Board defaults in making the payments to the Authority required under the Agreement as a result of its failure to impose sufficient fees, rates, rents or other charges, the Authority may petition for the appointment of a receiver to administer the affairs of the Board in order to achieve Revenues sufficient to make such payments by establishing fees, rates,

rents or other charges at least sufficient therefor. The remedies conferred upon or reserved to the Authority in respect of any event of default are not exclusive of other available remedies, but shall be in addition to every other remedy given under the Agreement or existing at law or in equity or by statute. (*Sections 8.2 and 8.3*)

Termination. The Agreement shall terminate and the covenants and other obligations contained therein shall be discharged and satisfied, when (i) payment of all indebtedness of the Authority has been made or provided for in accordance with the Resolution or similar document securing such indebtedness and (ii) either all payments required thereunder have been made in full, or provision for such payments satisfactory to the Authority has been made, or the City pays or assumes all liabilities, obligations, duties, rights and powers of the Authority under the Agreement. (*Section 9.1*)

Amendments. The parties to the Agreement may enter into any amendment, change or modification of the Agreement (if in writing, signed by each of the parties and consented to in writing by the Trustee if required by the Resolution) including, without limitation, amendments to Appendix A to the Agreement; provided that the parties shall enter into no such change or modification which materially adversely affects the rights of the holders of any Bonds by modifying or revoking certain enumerated provisions of the Agreement without first complying with the applicable provisions of the Resolution. (*Section 10.1*)

Conflicts. The Agreement provides that its provisions shall not change or in any manner alter the terms of the Resolution, or the security, rights or remedies of the Trustee or the Bondholders. In the event any provision of the Agreement conflicts at any time, or in any manner, with the provisions of the Resolution or any Bond, the provisions of the Resolution or Bond shall be controlling and conflicting provisions of the Agreement shall be disregarded. (*Section 12.1*)

Summary of the Lease

Term of Lease and Demise of Leased Property. The City has leased the Leased Property to the Board for the term of the Lease (the "Lease Term"). The Lease Term commenced on the Effective Date (July 1, 1985) and continues until the later of the 40th anniversary of the Effective Date or the date on which all bonds, notes or other obligations of the Authority are paid in full or provision for such payment is made pursuant to the resolution, trust indenture or other instrument under which such bonds, notes or other obligations are issued. During the Lease Term the Board may use the Leased Property only for its corporate purposes and upon the terms and conditions contained in the Lease.

The Leased Property includes (whether now in use or hereafter acquired, and whether or not located within the boundaries of the City) all of the City's right, title and interest in: (i) the City's sewerage system, including but not limited to all plants, structures, equipment and other real and personal property or rights therein acquired, rehabilitated or constructed (including all work in progress as soon as commenced) and used for the purpose of collecting, treating, pumping, neutralizing, storing and disposing of sewage, including, but not limited to, main, collecting, outlet or other sewers, pumping stations, groundwater recharge basins, backflow prevention devices, sludge dewatering facilities, vessels, barges, clarifiers, filters and phosphorous removal equipment, vehicles and other property used in connection with the sewer system; (ii) the City's water system, including but not limited to all plants, structures and other real and personal property or rights therein, acquired, rehabilitated or constructed (including all work in progress as soon as commenced) and used or to be used for the purpose of supplying, distributing, accumulating or treating water, including, but not limited to, reservoirs, basins, dams, canals, aqueducts, pipelines, mains, pumping stations, water distribution systems, intake systems, water-works, sources of water supply, purification or filtration plants, water meters and rights of flowage or diversion, vehicles and other property used in connection with the water system; and (iii) any other materials, supplies, plans and property contained in the above-mentioned plants and structures incidental to, or necessary or useful and convenient for, the operation of such facilities; provided, however, that the Leased Property shall not include the City's right, title and interest in the following: (i) any property or rights of the City the conveyance of which pursuant to the Lease would cause a reversion to or in favor of, or permit a reentry by or in favor of, any third

party; (ii) all mines and minerals whatsoever (but not including surface or subsurface waters) now or hereafter found and discovered, crops and timber, on or under the lands to be conveyed pursuant to the Lease; with power and authority for the City to perform certain mineral extraction and agricultural/timber activities; provided, however, that the City shall not undertake any such activities which interfere with the operation, maintenance or collection of Revenues of the System. *(Section 2.1)*

Right of City to Enter Leased Property. The City retains the right to enter upon any portion of the Leased Property, to use any property not constituting a part thereof which is located in, across or upon the Leased Property or for any purpose unless, in the reasonable judgment of the Board, such entry or use would adversely affect the collection of Revenues. *(Section 2.2)*

Substitution of Board for City. Where necessary or desirable and to the extent permitted by law, the City and the Board agree to use their best efforts to substitute the Board for the City with respect to any application or proceedings filed or commenced in relation to the Leased Property with the various State and Federal regulatory bodies having jurisdiction. *(Section 2.5)*

Indemnification. The City agrees, to the extent permitted by law and subject to certain conditions, to hold the Board harmless from any and all liability, loss or damage from or in connection with any act the Board does or omits in the exercise of its powers if taken or omitted in good faith and in pursuance of its corporate purposes. *(Sections 3.1, 3.2 and 7.2)*

Operation and Maintenance of the Leased Property. The City shall administer and operate the Leased Property, maintain the Leased Property in good and safe order and condition and make all repairs therein. The City's duty to "maintain" and "repair" shall include all necessary repairs, replacements, renewals, alterations and additions, whether structural, non-structural, ordinary or extraordinary and its duty to "administer" shall include, without limitation, the enforcement of regulations of the Board and the City relating to the use of the System. However, the Lease shall not impose any obligation or liability upon the City for the administration, operation, maintenance and repair of the System not previously imposed upon it in connection with its prior operation and maintenance of the System. Both the Board and the City shall use all reasonable care to prevent the occurrence of waste, damage or injury to the Leased Property. The System shall be used and operated and maintained in accordance with all applicable laws, rules and regulations. *(Sections 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3)*

Construction and Acquisition. The Board authorizes the City to perform the construction and effectuation of any Water Project specified in the Agreement and the City may incur Costs in connection therewith. The City may acquire all real and personal property, or any interest therein, necessary or useful for the construction or effectuation of a Water Project; provided that all such property or interest acquired by the City through the exercise of the power of eminent domain shall be taken in the name of the City. *(Sections 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3)*

Billing and the Levy of Water and Sewer Charges. The City has agreed to provide billing services to the Board. Such services include but are not limited to: (i) notification to users of the System of the water and sewer charges levied by the Board, (ii) collection of such charges (including the City's use of its power of enforcement and collection of unpaid taxes under the laws of the State to enforce and collect any delinquent water and sewer charges from the persons and property liable therefor) and (iii) maintenance of the books, records and accounts of the billing systems. *(Sections 6.1 and 6.2)*

Late Payments. All late payments of water and sewer charges are the property of the Board and shall be collected by the City on behalf of the Board. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Board has assigned to the City all of its rights and interest in and to all outstanding charges levied and uncollected on all properties at the time title thereto is vested in the City pursuant to *in rem* proceedings in consideration for the City's payment to the Board, in each Fiscal Year after the Effective Date, of an amount equal to 2% of such outstanding charges (unless, during the Lease Term, the City and the Board mutually agree on a different procedure for allocating such outstanding charges). *(Section 6.3)*

Discontinuance of Billing Services. If either the City or the Board no longer desires that the City provide the Board with billing services, the party desiring termination shall give written notice of such fact to the other party at least two years prior to the termination. Notwithstanding such termination of billing services, Section 6.2 of the Lease shall remain in full force and effect. (*Section 6.4*)

Legal Services. The Board has hired the City's Law Department to provide it with legal services. However, the Board may hire a different attorney or firm of attorneys to provide it with legal services. If the Board retains counsel to defend a claim against it without the prior approval of the Corporation Counsel of the City (which approval shall not be unreasonably withheld), the Board shall not be entitled to the indemnification from the City provided in Article III of the Lease with respect to such claim, unless the City elects in writing to provide such indemnification. (*Sections 7.1 and 7.2*)

Payments of Costs by the Board. The Board has agreed to pay to the City amounts sufficient to: (i) pay the cost of administration, maintenance, repair and operation of the Leased Property, including overhead costs incurred by the City attributable to the Leased Property (but less the amount of any governmental operating aid received or receivable within the current Fiscal Year with respect to the System), the cost of materials and supplies, and the amount of any judgment or settlement paid by the City arising out of a tort claim (but only if the costs of such claim are not otherwise reimbursed, the City's liability for such claim is related to Construction of a Water Project or operation or maintenance of the System and the costs of such claims do not exceed for any Fiscal Year 5% of the aggregate revenues shown on the Board's last year-end audited financial statements); (ii) reimburse the City for capital Costs incurred by the City in the Construction of Water Projects (if requested by the City and not otherwise reimbursed) including, without limitation, the payment of any judgment or settlement arising out of a contract claim related to the Construction of any Water Project; (iii) pay the cost of billing and collection services provided by the City; (iv) pay the cost of legal services provided by the City; and (v) reimburse the City for the compensation, or the costs of the services, of any City officers and employees provided on a full-time or part-time basis to the Board. (*Section 8.1*)

Base Rental Payments. In addition, the Board shall pay the City a rental payment for the System, but only to the extent requested by the City, and not to exceed the greater of (i) the principal and interest payable on general obligation bonds issued by the City for water and sewer purposes and certified by the City to be paid within such Fiscal Year, or (ii) 15% of the amount of principal and interest payable on the Bonds of the Authority and certified by the Authority to be paid within such Fiscal Year. (*Section 8.2*)

Method of Payment. The City shall certify within five business days after publication of the City's Executive Budget for the ensuing Fiscal Year the (i) amount which the City reasonably anticipates it will expend in connection with the costs described in Section 8.1 of the Lease and (ii) the amount of the payments described in Section 8.2 of the Lease; provided that, prior to the Board's payment to the City the Board shall have received, in addition to such certification by the City, a certificate of the Consulting Engineer to the effect that such amounts certified by the City for such payments and costs are reasonable and appropriate. Upon the Board's payment of all such amounts so certified or requested and any other payments required under the Act, or, after provisions for their payment have been made, the Board shall pay to the City, as Additional Rent in each Fiscal Year, any surplus of funds received. (*Section 8.3*)

Disposition of Property. The Board agrees that it will not sell, lease, sublease, assign, transfer, encumber (other than Permitted Encumbrances) or otherwise dispose of any part of the Leased Property, or any other real property or personal property which may be acquired by the Board, or its interest in the Lease, without the prior written approval of the City.

The City will not sell, transfer or otherwise dispose of real property or personal property included in the Leased Property without the Board's written consent. In the case of personal property, the value of which is less than \$1 million per unit (or of greater value if the Board designates), the Board will adopt rules and procedures for the expedited disposition thereof. Upon the City's request to dispose of any real property or personal property valued in excess of \$1 million, the Board will give such consent only upon receipt of a certificate signed by the

Consulting Engineer to the effect that such real or personal property may be disposed of without materially adversely affecting the Revenues of the System or impairing the ability of the Board to make any payments required by the Lease or the Agreement or any other agreement to which it may be a party or be bound. The City may also, with the prior written consent of the Board, grant interests in the Leased Property which, in the reasonable judgment of the Board, do not interfere with the operation and maintenance of the System and the collection of the Revenues from the System. (Section 11.1)

Encumbrances. The Board may not encumber the Leased Property without the prior written approval of the City. The City may grant temporary licenses for use of the Leased Property which do not interfere with the operation and maintenance of the System or the collection of Revenues therefrom. (Section 11.3)

Summary of the Resolution

Terms used in this Summary of the Resolution shall have the meanings ascribed thereto in "APPENDIX C—GLOSSARY AND SUMMARY OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS—Glossary".

Pledge of Revenues and Funds. The Authority pledges for the payment of the Principal Installments or Redemption Price of and any interest on the Bonds, in accordance with their terms and the provisions of the Resolution: (i) all Revenues, (ii) all moneys or securities in any of the Funds and Accounts created under the Resolution, except that moneys or securities on deposit in a Special Account are pledged only to the Series of Bonds to which such Account relates and moneys or securities on deposit in the Common Account are pledged only to the Bonds for which a Special Account has not been established pursuant to the General Resolution, and (iii) all other moneys and securities to be received, held or set aside by the Authority or by any Fiduciary pursuant to the Resolution; subject only to the provisions of the Resolution and the Agreement permitting the application of such amounts for or to the purposes and on the terms and conditions therein set forth. It is the intention of the Authority that, to the fullest extent permitted by law, such pledge shall be valid and binding from the time when it is made; that the Revenues, moneys, securities and other funds so pledged, and then or thereafter received by the Authority, shall immediately be subject to the lien of such pledge; and that the obligation to perform the contractual provisions therein contained shall have priority over any or all other obligations and liabilities of the Authority and shall be valid and binding as against all parties having claims of any kind in tort, contract or otherwise against the Authority, irrespective of whether such parties have notice thereof.

As further security for the payment of the Bonds, the Authority, under the Resolution, assigns, transfers and pledges to the Trustee all of its rights and interests under and pursuant to the Agreement (excluding rights to notice and other procedural rights, its right to indemnification and rights and interests not material to Bondholders), including, without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the present and continuing right: (i) to claim, collect or receive from the Board, all Revenues thereunder, (ii) to bring actions and proceedings thereunder for enforcement of such right of collection, and (iii) to do any and all things which the Authority is or may become entitled to do under the Agreement; provided that such assignment shall not impair or diminish any obligation of the Authority under the Agreement.

The Bonds are special obligations of the Authority payable solely from the Revenues and other amounts described in the Resolution and do not and will not constitute an indebtedness of the State, the City or the Board and neither the State, the City nor the Board shall be in any way liable thereon. (Sections 203 and 501)

Establishment of Funds and Accounts. The Resolution establishes the following Funds:

- (1) Construction Fund;
- (2) Revenue Fund;
- (3) Debt Service Fund;
- (4) Authority Expense Fund;
- (5) Debt Service Reserve Fund;

- (6) Subordinated Indebtedness Fund;
- (7) Surplus Fund; and
- (8) Arbitrage Rebate Fund.

The Resolution establishes in the Debt Service Reserve Fund a separate account known as the "Common Account", and provides that any Supplemental Resolution which authorizes a Special Credit Facility may establish one or more "Special Accounts" in the Debt Service Reserve Fund. The Resolution also establishes in the Debt Service Reserve Fund a separate account to be known as the "Capitalized Interest Account".

The Trustee shall hold all of the Funds and Accounts, except the Authority Expense Fund, which shall be held by the Authority.

The Trustee is directed to make withdrawals and transfers from the Funds and Accounts established by the Resolution in order to comply with any agreement entered into upon or after the date of issuance of the Authority's Fiscal 1987 Series C Bonds providing for the rebate of certain arbitrage earnings to the United States. (Section 502)

Construction Fund. The Authority shall deposit from time to time in the Construction Fund the net proceeds from the sale of each Series of Bonds and make the deposits in the Funds and Accounts required by the applicable Supplemental Resolutions. The Authority shall also deposit from time to time in the Construction Fund any other amounts required to be deposited therein pursuant to the Resolution or the Agreement, including amounts received by the Authority for or in connection with the System and determined by the Authority to be deposited therein. Any proceeds of insurance maintained by the Board or the City against physical loss of or damage to the System, or of contractors' performance bonds pertaining to the construction of the System, shall also be paid into the Construction Fund.

Except as otherwise provided, amounts in the Construction Fund may only be expended to pay Costs of Water Projects (including Costs of Issuance). The Trustee shall make payments from the Construction Fund, except as otherwise provided, only upon receipt of a Disbursement Request signed by an Authorized Representative of the Authority.

To the extent that other moneys are not available therefor in any other Fund or Account, amounts in the Construction Fund shall be applied to the payment of principal of and interest on Bonds when due. (Section 503)

Allocation of Revenues—Revenue Fund. The Authority shall cause all Revenues received from the Board pursuant to the Agreement or otherwise to be paid to the Trustee and deposited promptly upon receipt in the Revenue Fund. There shall also be deposited in the Revenue Fund all other amounts required by the Resolution or the Agreement to be so deposited. (Section 504)

Payments Into Certain Funds. From the Revenues in the Revenue Fund, the Trustee shall make, as soon as practicable in each month, the following deposits in the following order:

- (i) to the Debt Service Fund all such amounts until the amount therein on deposit in such month equals the Minimum Monthly Balance for such month for all Series of Bonds Outstanding;
- (ii) from the balance, if any, remaining in such month after making the deposits required in (i) above, to the Authority Expense Fund the entire balance until the total on deposit therein in such month is equal to the product obtained by multiplying (A) the sum of the Authority Expenses for the then current Fiscal Year plus (if included in the Authority Budget for the then current Fiscal Year) an amount (the "Reserve for Expenses") equal to one-sixth (th) of such Authority Expenses by (B) a fraction, the numerator of which is 12 minus the number of full months, excluding the month of calculation, remaining in the Fiscal Year, and the denominator of which is 12;
- (iii) from the balance, if any, remaining after making the deposits required in (i) and (ii) above, first, to the Common Account in the Debt Service Reserve Fund, the amount, if any, necessary to make the total

on deposit in the Common Account equal to the Debt Service Reserve Requirement for the Bonds to which such Common Account relates or, if less than sufficient, the entire balance and, *second*, to each Special Account until the amount therein on deposit equals the Debt Service Reserve Requirement for the Bonds to which each Special Account relates; provided, however, if the balance remaining is less than sufficient to credit in full each Special Account, credit shall be made pro rata among all Special Accounts in the same ratio as the Debt Service Reserve Requirement related to such Special Account bears to the sum of the Debt Service Reserve Requirements for all of the Bonds related to the Special Accounts; and

(iv) from the balance, if any, remaining after making the deposits required in (i), (ii) and (iii) above, to the Subordinated Indebtedness Fund the amount required to be deposited in accordance with the Authority Budget, or the entire balance, if less than sufficient.

Beginning with the first day of each Fiscal Year, the Trustee shall calculate the amounts deposited in the Revenue Fund on a daily basis until the total of all amounts deposited therein during such Fiscal Year is at least equal to the Cash Flow Requirement. On such date, if any, the Trustee is directed to give the notice to the Authority and the Board provided in Section 4.3(b) of the Agreement. Thereafter, during each Fiscal Year, no further Revenues shall be paid to the Trustee pursuant to paragraph Fourth of Section 4.2(c) of the Agreement so long as the Cash Flow Requirement, as the same may be revised from time to time, continues to be met. (*Section 505*)

Debt Service Fund. The Trustee shall, for each Series of Bonds Outstanding, pay from the Debt Service Fund the amounts due on each Bond Payment Date for the payment of the Principal Installments, if any, and from the moneys in the Debt Service Fund, including moneys in the Capitalized Interest Account in such Fund, interest on the Outstanding Bonds and on the redemption date or date of purchase, the amounts required for the payment of accrued interest on Bonds to be redeemed or purchased on such date unless the payment of such accrued interest shall be otherwise provided.

The Trustee may, and if so directed by an Authorized Representative of the Authority shall, prior to the forty-fifth day preceding the due date of each Sinking Fund Installment, apply the amounts accumulated in the Debt Service Fund for such Sinking Fund Installment, together with any interest on the Bonds for which such Sinking Fund Installment was established: (i) to the purchase of Bonds of like Series and maturity at prices (including any brokerage and other charges) not exceeding the Redemption Price payable for such Bonds when such Bonds are redeemable with such Sinking Fund Installment plus unpaid interest accrued or (ii) to the redemption of such Bonds, if redeemable by their terms, at or below said Redemption Price. Upon such purchase or redemption of any Bond, the Trustee shall then credit an amount equal to the principal of the Bond so purchased or redeemed toward the next Sinking Fund Installments thereafter to become due and the amount of any excess over the amount of such Sinking Fund Installment shall be credited against future Sinking Fund Installments in direct chronological order.

In any event, the Trustee shall, as soon as practicable after the forty-fifth day preceding the due date of any such Sinking Fund Installment, call for redemption a sufficient amount of Bonds of like Series and maturity to complete the retirement of the principal amount specified for such Sinking Fund Installment of such Bonds whether or not it then has moneys in the Debt Service Fund to pay the applicable Redemption Price thereof on the redemption date. The Trustee shall apply to the redemption of the Bonds on each such redemption date the amount required for the redemption of such Bonds. (*Sections 506 and 514*)

Authority Expense Fund. The Authority shall apply amounts credited to the Authority Expense Fund to the payment of Authority Expenses. Any moneys in the Authority Expense Fund which the Authority determines are in excess of that needed to meet the sum of the unpaid Authority Expenses for such Fiscal Year plus (if such amount was included in the Authority Budget for such Fiscal Year) the Reserve for Expenses, shall be applied *toward any deficiencies* in the following Funds and Accounts in the order stated: the Debt Service Fund, Debt Service Reserve Fund and Subordinated Indebtedness Fund. Any remaining amounts shall be credited to the Revenue Fund. (*Section 507*)

Debt Service Reserve Fund. The Resolution establishes a Debt Service Reserve Fund and a Common Account therein. In addition, the Resolution provides that any Supplemental Resolution which provides for a Special Credit Facility to secure the principal, interest or Tender Option Price of any Bonds may establish one or more "Special Accounts" in the Debt Service Reserve Fund. From the proceeds of each Series of Bonds there shall be deposited in the Debt Service Reserve Fund the amount, if any, necessary to make the amount on deposit therein equal to the Debt Service Reserve Requirement, after giving effect to the issuance of such Bonds; and all such amounts will be credited to the Common Account, unless a Supplemental Resolution requires a deposit in a Special Account. Amounts on deposit in the Common Account will be applied, to the extent necessary, to pay the Principal Installments of and interest on the Bonds; provided, however, that the amounts in the Common Account may not be applied to pay the Principal Installments or Tender Option Price of or interest on Bonds for which such payments are secured by a Special Credit Facility, if the Supplemental Resolution authorizing such Bonds has established a Special Account. Likewise, amounts in any Special Account may not be applied to pay the Principal Installments of or interest on any Bond for which such payments may be made from the Common Account. Amounts on deposit in each of the Accounts in the Debt Service Reserve Fund shall be applied, to the extent other funds are not available in the Surplus Fund, the Subordinated Indebtedness Fund and the Authority Expense Fund, to pay the Principal Installments of, and interest on the Bonds to which such Account relates when due. Amounts so applied shall be derived first from cash or Investment Securities on deposit, and second from draws and demands on Financial Guaranties.

If, as of June 30 of each year, the amount in any Account in the Debt Service Reserve Fund exceeds the applicable Debt Service Reserve Requirement after giving effect to any Financial Guaranty deposited in such Fund, the Trustee shall, on the first business day of the following Fiscal Year, withdraw from such Account the amount of any excess therein over the applicable Debt Service Reserve Requirement as of the date of such withdrawal for deposit into (i) the Arbitrage Rebate Fund, the amount estimated by the Authority to be required by the Code to be rebated to the Department of the Treasury, (ii) the Surplus Fund, the amount required to be deposited therein in accordance with the Authority Budget, and (iii) the Revenue Fund, the amount of any excess then remaining in the Debt Service Reserve Fund over the applicable Debt Service Reserve Fund Requirement.

Whenever the amount (exclusive of Financial Guaranties) in all of the Accounts in the Debt Service Reserve Fund, together with the amount in the Debt Service Fund, is sufficient to pay all Outstanding Bonds in accordance with their respective terms, the funds on deposit in the Debt Service Reserve Fund shall be transferred to the Debt Service Fund and applied to the redemption or payment at maturity of all Bonds Outstanding.

In lieu of the required deposits and transfers to the Debt Service Reserve Fund, the Authority may cause to be deposited into the Debt Service Reserve Fund Financial Guaranties in an amount equal to the difference between the Debt Service Reserve Requirement and the sums, if any, then on deposit in the Debt Service Reserve Fund or being deposited in the Debt Service Reserve Fund concurrently with such Financial Guaranties. The Financial Guaranties shall be payable (upon the giving of notice as required thereunder) on any date on which moneys will be required to be withdrawn from the Debt Service Reserve Account and applied to the payment of a Principal Installment of or interest on any Bonds and such withdrawal cannot be met by amounts on deposit in the Debt Service Reserve Fund. If a disbursement is made pursuant to Financial Guaranties, the Authority shall be obligated either (i) to reinstate the maximum limits of such Financial Guaranties or (ii) to deposit into the Debt Service Reserve Fund, funds in the amount of the disbursement made under such Financial Guaranties, or a combination of such alternatives, as shall provide that the amount in the Debt Service Reserve Fund equals the Debt Service Reserve Requirement.

In the event of the refunding of any Bonds, the Trustee shall, upon the written direction of the Authority, withdraw from the Debt Service Reserve Fund all or any portion of amounts accumulated therein with respect to the Bonds being refunded and deposit such amounts as provided in such written direction; provided that such withdrawal shall not be made unless (a) immediately thereafter the Bonds being refunded shall be deemed to have been paid pursuant to Section 1201 of the Resolution, and (b) the amount remaining in the Debt Service Reserve Fund after such withdrawal shall not be less than the Debt Service Reserve Requirement. (*Section 508*)

Subordinated Indebtedness Fund. The Trustee shall apply amounts on deposit in the Subordinated Indebtedness Fund solely to the maintenance of reserves for, or the payment of, Subordinated Indebtedness (or as otherwise provided by the resolution of the Authority authorizing each issue of Subordinated Indebtedness). The Trustee shall withdraw from the Subordinated Indebtedness Fund any amount necessary to render the balances in the Debt Service Fund or Debt Service Reserve Fund sufficient to meet the requirements of such Funds. *(Section 509)*

Surplus Fund. The Trustee shall, on each Bond Payment Date, apply moneys credited to the Surplus Fund in the following amounts: (i) to the Debt Service Fund the amount, if any, necessary (or all the moneys in the Surplus Fund if less than the amount necessary) to make up any deficiency in the amount required to be on deposit in such Fund and (ii) to the Debt Service Reserve Fund the amount, if any, necessary (or all the moneys in the Surplus Fund if less than the amount necessary) to make up any deficiency in the amount required to be on deposit in any Account in such Fund. Such transfer shall be made notwithstanding any other provisions of this Resolution requiring deposits in such Funds. Amounts on deposit in the Surplus Fund on the last day of a Fiscal Year shall be withdrawn from such Fund and transferred to the Board for deposit in the Local Water Fund. *(Section 510)*

Arbitrage Rebate Fund. Amounts on deposit in the Arbitrage Rebate Fund shall be applied by the Trustee to make payments to the Department of the Treasury of the United States of America. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Trustee shall apply moneys credited to the Arbitrage Rebate Fund in the following amounts: (i) to the Debt Service Fund the amount, if any, necessary (or all the moneys in the Arbitrage Rebate Fund if less than the amount necessary) to make up any deficiency in the amount required to be on deposit in the Debt Service Fund and (ii) to the Debt Service Reserve Fund the amount, if any, necessary (or all the moneys in the Arbitrage Rebate Fund if less than the amount necessary) to make up any deficiency in the amount required to be on deposit in any Account in the Debt Service Reserve Fund.

Amounts on deposit in the Arbitrage Rebate Fund in excess of the amount required to be maintained therein for the purposes of such Fund may be transferred and paid by the Trustee to the Surplus Fund. *(Section 510-a)*

Subordinated Indebtedness. The Authority may issue Subordinated Indebtedness payable out of and secured by a pledge of and lien on amounts in the Subordinated Indebtedness Fund available for such payment. Such Subordinated Indebtedness, however, shall be issued only for the purposes set forth in the Resolution and shall be secured by a pledge subordinate in all respects to the pledge created by the Resolution as security for the Bonds. *(Section 511)*

Depositaries. All moneys or securities held by the Trustee shall constitute trust funds and the Trustee may and shall, if directed by the Authority, deposit such moneys or securities with one or more Depositaries. All moneys or securities held by the Authority in the Authority Expense Fund shall be deposited with one or more Depositaries. All moneys or securities deposited under the provisions of the Resolution with the Trustee or any Depositary shall be held in trust and applied only in accordance with the provisions of the Resolution, and each of the Funds established by the Resolution shall be a trust fund for the purposes thereof.

Each Depositary holding moneys or securities in trust for the Trustee shall be a bank or trust company organized under the laws of the State or a national banking association (having its principal office within the State), having capital stock, surplus and undivided earnings aggregating at least \$100,000,000 and willing and able to accept the office on reasonable and customary terms and authorized by law to act in accordance with the provisions of the Resolution. *(Section 512)*

Investment of Certain Funds. Moneys held in the Debt Service Fund, the Debt Service Reserve Fund and the Subordinated Indebtedness Fund (subject to the terms of any resolutions or other instruments securing any issue of Subordinated Indebtedness) shall be invested and reinvested to the fullest practicable extent in Investment Securities which mature not later than such times as shall be necessary to provide moneys when needed to make payments required from such Funds; provided that in the case of the Debt Service Reserve Fund

maturity may not occur later than fifteen years from the date of such investment, and in the case of the Debt Service Fund, investments shall be of the type described in clauses (ii), (iii) and (vi), and in the case of the Debt Service Reserve Fund, clauses (ii) and (iii), of the definition of "Investment Securities" (in either case, to the fullest extent practicable). Moneys in the Authority Expense Fund, the Revenue Fund, the Construction Fund, the Arbitrage Rebate Fund and the Surplus Fund may be invested in Investment Securities which mature no later than such times as shall be necessary to provide moneys when needed to make payments from such Funds. The Trustee shall make all investments in accordance with written instructions from any Authorized Representative of the Authority. Moneys in any Fund or Account may be combined with moneys in any other Fund or Account for the purpose of making such investments in Investment Securities.

Interest (net of that which represents a return of accrued interest paid in connection with the purchase of any investment) earned on any moneys or investments in such Funds and Accounts, other than the Construction Fund, the Arbitrage Rebate Fund and the Debt Service Reserve Fund, shall be paid into the Revenue Fund as and when received. Interest (net of that which represents a return of accrued interest paid in connection with the purchase of any investment) earned on any moneys or investments in (i) the Debt Service Reserve Fund shall be paid into the Arbitrage Rebate Fund or the Surplus Fund, (ii) the Construction Fund shall be paid to the Board for deposit in the Local Water Fund quarterly, on the 15th day of each July, October, January and April of each Fiscal Year upon receipt of a written request and a certificate of the Authority relating to the satisfaction of the Cash Flow Requirement and (iii) the Arbitrage Rebate Fund shall remain in such fund.

All Investment Securities acquired with moneys in any Fund or Account, including any Fund or Account held by the Authority, shall be held by the Trustee in pledge or by a Depository as agent in pledge in favor of the Trustee. (*Section 514*)

Additional Bonds. The Authority may issue Bonds from time to time without limitation as to amount except as provided in the Resolution or as specified by law to generate funds sufficient to meet the Costs of Water Projects, to make deposits in the Funds and Accounts or to refund Outstanding Bonds, Bond Anticipation Notes, Subordinated Indebtedness or outstanding bonds of the City issued to pay the capital costs of the System. All Bonds shall be issued subject to the terms, conditions and limitations established in the Resolution and in one or more Series as therein provided.

Bonds shall be authenticated and delivered only upon the Trustee's receipt of, among other items:

- (a) a certified copy of the Supplemental Resolution authorizing such Series;
- (b) (i) in the case of the initial Series of Bonds, an executed copy of the Agreement and the Lease; and (ii) in the case of any subsequent Series of Bonds, an executed copy of any amendment or supplement to the Agreement or the Lease not theretofore delivered to the Trustee;
- (c) except in the case of Series of Bonds issued prior to July 1, 1986 and any Series of Refunding Bonds issued pursuant to Section 207 of the Resolution, a certificate of an Authorized Representative of the Authority setting forth (i) the Revenues for either of the last two full Fiscal Years immediately preceding the Fiscal Year in which such Bonds are to be issued and (ii) the Aggregate Debt Service during such Fiscal Year for which Revenues are set forth pursuant to clause (i) above (excluding from Aggregate Debt Service any Principal Installment or portion thereof which was paid from sources other than Revenues) and (iii) the sum of the Operating Expenses and the Required Deposits for such period, and showing that the amount set forth in (i) is at least equal to the sum of (x) an amount equal to 115% of the amount set forth in (ii) and (y) an amount equal to 100% of the amount set forth in (iii);
- (d) except in the case of the initial Series of Bonds under the Resolution and any Series of Refunding Bonds issued pursuant to Section 207 of the Resolution, a certificate of the Consulting Engineer setting forth the projected Operating Expenses for each of the five Fiscal Years following the Issuance of such Series of Bonds (plus the Fiscal Year in which such Bonds are issued);

(e) except in the case of the initial Series of Bonds under the Resolution and any Series of Refunding Bonds issued pursuant to Section 207, a certificate, signed by an Authorized Representative of the Authority setting forth the estimated Required Deposits for each of the five Fiscal Years following the issuance of such Series of Bonds (plus the Fiscal Year in which such Bonds are issued); and

(f) except in the case of the initial Series of Bonds under the Resolution and any Series of Refunding Bonds issued pursuant to Section 207, a certificate of the Rate Consultant (i) setting forth the estimated Revenues for each of the five Fiscal Years following the issuance of such Series of Bonds (plus the Fiscal Year in which such Bonds are issued) after giving effect to any increases or decreases in rates, fees and charges projected for such Fiscal Years and (ii) showing for each such Fiscal Year that the estimated Revenues for such Fiscal Year will be at least equal to the sum of (A) 115% of the maximum estimated Adjusted Aggregate Debt Service on all Bonds then Outstanding including the Bonds to be issued, and (B) 100% of the sum of the projected Operating Expenses and Required Deposits, as shown on the Certificate of the Consulting Engineer delivered pursuant to paragraph (e) above and the Certificate of the Authority delivered pursuant to paragraph (f) above, respectively. (*Sections 204 and 206*)

Refunding Bonds. One or more Series of Refunding Bonds may be issued pursuant to Section 207 of the Resolution at any time to refund any Outstanding Bonds provided that (i) estimated average annual Debt Service on such Series of Refunding Bonds shall not exceed the average annual Debt Service on the Bonds to be refunded and (ii) the maximum Debt Service in any Fiscal Year on such Series of Refunding Bonds shall not exceed the maximum Debt Service in any Fiscal Year on the Bonds to be refunded, all as shown in a Certificate signed by an Authorized Representative of the Authority and delivered to the Trustee prior to the authentication and delivery of such Series of Refunding Bonds. Refunding Bonds shall be issued in a principal amount sufficient, together with other moneys available therefor, to accomplish such refunding and to make the deposits in the Funds and Accounts required by the provisions of the Supplemental Resolution authorizing such Bonds. (*Section 207*)

Bond Anticipation Notes. Whenever the Authority shall authorize the issuance of a Series of Bonds, the Authority may, by resolution, authorize the issuance of notes (and renewals thereof) in anticipation of such Series of Bonds. The principal of and interest on such notes and renewals thereof shall be payable from the proceeds of such notes or from the proceeds of the sale of the Series of Bonds in anticipation of which such notes are issued. The proceeds of such Bonds may be pledged for the payment of the principal of and interest on such notes and any such pledge shall have a priority over any other pledge of such proceeds created by the Resolution. The Authority may also pledge the Revenues to the payment of the interest on, and subject to Section 707 of the Resolution, the principal of such notes. A copy of the Resolution of the Authority authorizing such notes, certified by an Authorized Representative of the Authority, shall be delivered to the Trustee following its adoption, together with such other information concerning such notes as the Trustee may reasonably request. (*Section 208*)

Credit Facilities. In connection with the issuance of any Series of Bonds, the Authority may obtain or cause to be obtained one or more Credit Facilities providing for payment of all or a portion of the Principal Installments, or Redemption Price or interest due or to become due on such Bonds, providing for the purchase of such Bonds by the issuer of such Credit Facility or providing funds for the purchase of such Bonds by the Authority.

The Authority may secure such Credit Facility by an agreement providing for the purchase of the Series of Bonds secured thereby with such adjustments to the rate of interest, method of determining interest, maturity or redemption provisions as specified by the Authority in the applicable Supplemental Resolution. The Authority may also in an agreement with the issuer of such Credit Facility agree to directly reimburse such issuer for amounts paid under the terms of such Credit Facility, together with interest thereon (the "Reimbursement Obligation"); provided, however, that no Reimbursement Obligation shall be created until amounts are paid under such Credit Facility. Any such Reimbursement Obligation (a "Parity Reimbursement Obligation") may be secured by a pledge of, and a lien on Revenues on a parity with the lien created by Section 501 of the

Resolution. Upon the payment of amounts under the Credit Facility which payment results in the Parity Reimbursement Obligation becoming due and payable, such Parity Reimbursement Obligation shall be deemed to be part of the Series of Bonds to which the Credit Facility which gave rise to such Parity Reimbursement Obligation relates.

Any such Credit Facility shall be for the benefit of and secure such Series of Bonds or portion thereof, as specified in the applicable Supplemental Resolution. *(Section 209)*

Indebtedness and Liens. The Resolution provides that the Authority shall not issue any bonds, or other evidences of indebtedness, other than the Bonds, Bond Anticipation Notes, Subordinated Indebtedness and Parity Reimbursement Obligations, secured by a pledge of or other lien on the Revenues and shall not create or cause to be created any lien on such Revenues or on any amounts held by any Fiduciary, under the Resolution; however, the Authority may: (i) issue notes payable from the proceeds of Bonds or other obligations for the corporate purposes of the Authority payable or secured by Revenues derived on and after such date as the pledge of the Revenues provided in the Resolution is discharged and satisfied and (ii) issue bonds or other obligations for the corporate purposes of the Authority payable out of or secured by the pledge of amounts in the Local Water Fund after satisfaction of the Cash Flow Requirement for the then current Fiscal Year, and which recite on their face that such pledge of said amounts is and shall be in all respects subordinate to the provisions of the lien and pledge created by the Resolution. *(Section 707)*

Agreement of the State. In accordance with Section 1045-t of the Act, the Authority agrees, for and on behalf of the State, that the State will not alter or limit the rights vested by the Act in the Authority or the Board to fulfill the terms of any agreement made with or for the benefit of the Bondholders, or in any way impair the rights and remedies of Bondholders, until the Bonds, together with the interest thereon, with interest on any unpaid installment of interest, and all costs and expenses in connection with any action or proceeding by or on behalf of such holders, are fully met and discharged. *(Section 711)*

Authority Budget. The Authority shall, on or before May 1, in each Fiscal Year, adopt and file with the Trustee, the Board and the City, a certified copy of the Authority Budget showing the estimated Cash Flow Requirement and the components thereof (on a monthly basis) for the ensuing Fiscal Year, together with any other information required to be set forth therein by the Resolution or the Agreement. Such Authority Budget may set forth such additional information as the Authority may determine or as the Board or the City may request. If for any reason the Authority shall not have adopted the Authority Budget before such May 1, the Authority Budget for the then current Fiscal Year shall be deemed to be the Authority Budget for the ensuing Fiscal Year until a new Authority Budget is adopted. The Authority may at any time adopt an amended Authority Budget for the then current or ensuing Fiscal Year, but no such amended Authority Budget shall supersede any prior Budget until the Authority shall have filed with the Trustee, the Board and the City a copy of such amended Authority Budget. Each month the Authority shall recalculate the Cash Flow Requirement. *(Sections 712 and 713)*

Enforcement and Amendment of Agreement and Lease. The Authority shall enforce or cause to be enforced the provisions of the Agreement and the Lease and duly perform its covenants and agreements under the Agreement. The Authority will not consent or agree to or permit any rescission of or amendment to or otherwise take any action under or in connection with the Agreement or the Lease except in accordance with Article X of the Agreement of the Resolution. *(Section 714)*

Supplemental Resolutions. The Resolution permits the modification or amendment of the rights and obligations of the Authority and of the holders of the Bonds thereunder by a Supplemental Resolution, with the written consent of the holders of two-thirds of the principal amount of: (i) the Bonds then Outstanding and (ii) in case less than all of the several Series of Bonds then Outstanding are affected by the modification or amendment, of the Bonds of the Series so affected and then Outstanding; however, if such modification or amendment will, by its terms, not take effect so long as any Bonds of any specified like Series and maturity remain Outstanding, the consent of the holders of such Bonds shall not be required and such Bonds shall not be deemed to be

Outstanding for the purpose of modification; provided no such modification or amendment shall change the terms of redemption, maturity of principal, installment of interest, or reduce the principal amount, Redemption Price, or rate of interest without the consent of the holder of the affected Bond, or reduce the percentages of consents required to effect any future modification or amendment.

The Authority may adopt (without the consent of any holders of the Bonds) supplemental resolutions to authorize additional Bonds; to add to the restrictions contained in the Resolution upon the issuance of additional indebtedness; to add to the covenants of the Authority contained in, or surrender any rights reserved to or conferred upon it by, the Resolution; to confirm any pledge under the Resolution of Revenues or other moneys; to preserve the Federal tax exemption of interest on the Bonds; or otherwise to modify any of the provisions of the Resolution (but no such other modification may be effective while any of the Bonds of any Series theretofore issued are Outstanding); or to cure any ambiguity, supply any omission or to correct any defect in the Resolution or to insert such provisions clarifying matters or questions arising under the Resolution as are necessary or desirable, and are not contrary to or inconsistent with the Resolution as theretofore in effect or to provide for additional duties of the Trustee (provided that the Trustee shall consent thereto). (*Arts. VIII and IX*)

Defaults and Remedies. The Resolution provides that if one or more of the following Events of Default shall occur, namely: (i) a default in the payment of the principal or Redemption Price of any Bond; (ii) a default in payment of any installment of interest on any Bond; (iii) a default by the Authority in the performance or observance of any other of its covenants, agreements or conditions in the Resolution for a period of 45 days after written notice thereof; (iv) a default under the Agreement or the Lease by the Board or the City for a period of 45 days after written notice thereof; or (v) a filing of a petition for relief under any Federal or State bankruptcy or similar law by the Authority; then, upon the happening and continuance of any Event of Default, the Trustee may, and upon the written request of the holders of not less than a majority in principal amount of the Bonds Outstanding the Trustee shall, declare the principal and accrued interest on all the Bonds then Outstanding, due and payable immediately subject, however, to rescission of such declaration and annulment of the default upon the remedying thereof.

The Authority covenants that upon the occurrence of an Event of Default, the books of record and account of the Authority shall at all times be subject to the inspection and use of the Trustee and of its agents and attorneys and that, upon demand of the Trustee, the Authority will account, as if it were the trustee of an express trust, for all Revenues and other moneys, securities and funds pledged or held under the Resolution for such period as shall be stated in such demand.

Upon default, the Trustee may proceed to protect and enforce its rights and the rights of the holders of the Bonds under the Resolution forthwith by a suit or suits in equity or at law, whether for the specific performance of any covenant therein contained, or in aid of the execution of any power therein granted, or for an accounting against the Authority as if the Authority were the trustee of an express trust, or in the enforcement of any other legal or equitable right as the Trustee, being advised by counsel, shall deem most effectual to enforce any of its rights or to perform any of its duties under the Resolution. During the continuance of an Event of Default, Revenues shall be applied first, to the reasonable and proper charges and expenses of the Trustee; then (unless the principal of all of the Bonds shall have been declared payable) to the payment of all unpaid interest ratably, and then to unpaid principal or Redemption Price, ratably; and if all of the principal of the Bonds shall be due and payable, to the payment of unpaid principal and interest, without preference or priority of interest over principal, principal over interest or of any Bond or installment over any other Bond or installment, without any discrimination or preference. No Bondholder has any right to institute suit to enforce any provision of the Resolution or the execution of any trust thereunder or for any remedy thereunder, unless the Trustee has been requested by the holders of at least a majority in principal amount of the Bonds to take such action and has been offered adequate security and indemnity and has failed to commence such suit in the manner provided in the Resolution. The right to appoint a statutory trustee under Section 1045-p of the Act is expressly abrogated. (*Art. X*)

Defeasance of Bonds Other than Variable Rate or Option Bonds. Any Outstanding Bond shall prior to the maturity or redemption date thereof be deemed to have been paid and shall cease to be entitled to any lien, benefit or security under the Resolution if (i) in the case of any Bonds to be redeemed prior to their maturity, the Authority shall have given to the Trustee irrevocable instructions accepted in writing by the Trustee to publish on such date the notice of redemption therefor (other than Bonds purchased by the Trustee prior to the publication of the notice of redemption), (ii) there shall have been deposited with the Trustee either moneys in an amount sufficient, or Defeasance Obligations the principal of and the interest on which, when due, without reinvestment, will provide moneys which, together with the moneys deposited shall be sufficient, to pay when due the principal or Redemption Price (if applicable) and interest due and to become due on said Bonds and (iii) in the event said Bonds are not by their terms subject to redemption within the next succeeding 60 days, the Authority shall have given the Trustee irrevocable instructions to publish, as soon as practicable, a notice to the holders of such Bonds that the deposit required above has been made with the Trustee and that said Bonds are deemed paid in accordance with the Resolution and stating such maturity or redemption date upon which moneys are to be available to pay the principal or Redemption Price, if applicable, on such Bonds (other than Bonds purchased by the Trustee prior to the publication of the notice of redemption); provided that any notice published for Bonds constituting less than all of the Outstanding Bonds of any maturity within a Series shall specify the letter and number or other distinguishing mark of each such Bond. The Trustee shall, to the extent necessary, apply moneys to the retirement of said Bonds in amounts equal to the unsatisfied balances of any Sinking Fund Installments thereto.

The Trustee shall, if so directed by the Authority prior to the maturity date of Bonds deemed to have been paid which are not to be redeemed prior to their maturity date or prior to the publication of the above notice of redemption for Bonds deemed paid and to be redeemed, apply moneys deposited with the Trustee in respect of such Bonds and redeem or sell Defeasance Obligations so deposited with the Trustee and purchase such Bonds and the Trustee shall immediately thereafter cancel all such Bonds so purchased; provided, however, that the moneys and Defeasance Obligations remaining on deposit with the Trustee after the purchase and cancellation of such Bonds shall be sufficient to pay when due the Principal Installment or Redemption Price, if applicable, and interest due or to become due on all Bonds.

Defeasance of Variable Rate Bonds. The Resolution provides that for the purposes of determining whether Variable Rate Bonds shall be deemed to have been paid prior to the maturity or redemption date thereof, by the deposit of moneys, or Defeasance Obligations and moneys (if any), the interest due on such Bonds shall be calculated at the maximum rate permitted; provided, however, that if, as a result of such Bonds having borne interest at less than the maximum rate for any period, the total amount of moneys and Investment Securities on deposit with the Trustee for the payment of interest on such Bonds exceeds the total amount required to be deposited with the Trustee, the Trustee shall, if requested by the Authority, pay the amount in excess to the Authority free and clear of any lien or pledge securing the Bonds or otherwise existing under the Resolution.

Defeasance of Option Bonds. Under the Resolution, Option Bonds shall be deemed paid in accordance with the Resolution only if, in addition to satisfying several of the requirements applicable to other than Variable Rate or Option Bonds, there shall have been deposited with the Trustee moneys in an amount which shall be sufficient to pay the maximum amount of principal of and premium due, if any, and interest on such Bonds which could become payable to the holders of such Bonds upon the exercise of any options provided to the holders of such Bonds; provided, however, that if the options originally exercisable by the holder of an Option Bond are no longer exercisable, such Bond shall not be considered an Option Bond. (*Section 1201*)

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

**FISCAL YEAR 1998 AND 1999 AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF
THE NEW YORK CITY WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM**

INDEX

	<u>Page</u>
Report of Independent Auditors	D-3
Combined Balance Sheets for the Years Ended June 30, 1999 and 1998	D-4
Combined Statements of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Retained Earnings for the Years Ended June 30, 1999 and 1998	D-5
Combined Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended June 30, 1999 and 1998	D-6
Notes to Combined Financial Statements—June 30, 1999 and 1998	D-7
Combined Schedule of Cash Receipts and Disbursements for the Years Ended June 30, 1999 and 1998	D-19
Combining Balance Sheets for the Year Ended June 30, 1999	D-20
Combining Balance Sheets for the Year Ended June 30, 1998	D-21
Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Retained Earnings for the Year Ended June 30, 1999	D-22
Combining of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Retained Earnings for the Year Ended June 30, 1998	D-23
Combining Statement of Cash Flows for the Year Ended June 30, 1999	D-24
Combining Statement of Cash Flows for the Year Ended June 30, 1998	D-25
Combining Schedule of Cash Receipts and Disbursements for the Year Ended June 30, 1999	D-26
Combining Schedule of Cash Receipts and Disbursements for the Year Ended June 30, 1998	D-27

Report of Independent Auditors'

Members of the Boards
New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority
and
New York City Water Board

We have audited the accompanying combined balance sheets of the New York City Water and Sewer System (the "System") as of June 30, 1999 and 1998, and the related combined statements of revenues, expenses and changes in retained earnings, and cash flows for the years then ended. These combined financial statements are the responsibility of the System's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these combined financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the combined financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the New York City Water and Sewer System as of June 30, 1999 and 1998, and the results of its operations and changes in retained earnings and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

The Year 2000 required supplementary information included in Note 14 is not a required part of the combined financial statements, but is supplementary information required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, and we did not audit and do not express an opinion on such information. Further, we were unable to apply to the Year 2000 supplementary information certain procedures prescribed by professional standards because of the nature of the subject matter underlying the disclosure requirements and because sufficiently specific criteria regarding the matters to be disclosed have not been established. In addition, we do not provide assurance that the System is nor will become Year 2000 compliant, that the System's Year 2000 remediation efforts will be successful in whole or in part, or that parties with which the System does business are or will become Year 2000 compliant.

October 26, 1999

KPMG LLP

NEW YORK CITY WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM
COMBINED BALANCE SHEETS
Years Ended June 30, 1999 and 1998
(in thousands)

	1999	1998
Assets		
Utility plant in service, less accumulated depreciation of \$3,986,940 in 1999 and \$3,669,170 in 1998 (notes 2, 5 and 7)	\$ 9,550,064	8,246,999
Construction work-in-progress	2,855,474	3,648,921
	12,405,538	11,895,920
Current assets:		
Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents (note 6)	35,854	6,572
Investments (note 6)	3,425	—
Accounts receivable:		
Billed, less allowance for uncollectible water and sewer receivables of \$287,085 in 1999 and \$183,917 in 1998	286,055	291,028
Unbilled	105,000	90,000
Receivable from the City (note 8)	69,673	35,373
Other	12	35,088
Total current assets	500,019	458,061
Restricted assets (notes 6 and 10):		
Cash and cash equivalents	509,507	770,671
Investments	499,594	403,178
Accrued interest receivable	1,775	3,917
Total restricted assets	1,010,876	1,177,766
Deferred bond and financing expenses	103,963	104,527
Total assets	\$14,020,396	13,636,274
Liabilities and Equity		
Long-term liabilities:		
Bonds and notes payable, less current portion (note 9)	\$ 8,623,232	8,151,872
Net discount on bonds and notes payable	(336,988)	(333,646)
Deferred bond refunding costs (note 2)	(237,149)	(239,052)
Total long-term liabilities	8,049,095	7,579,174
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	22,718	21,007
Revenues received in advance	80,262	87,578
Current portion of bonds and notes payable (note 9)	748,478	729,134
Payable to the City (note 8)	235,143	198,847
Refunds payable to customers	14,500	16,500
Total current liabilities	1,101,101	1,053,066
Total liabilities	9,150,196	8,632,240
Equity:		
Contributed capital, net of allocated depreciation (note 2)	4,696,778	4,817,668
Retained earnings	173,422	186,366
Total equity	4,870,200	5,004,034
Commitments and contingencies (note 11)		
Total liabilities and equity	\$14,020,396	13,636,274

See accompanying notes to combined financial statements.

NEW YORK CITY WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM
COMBINED STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENSES
AND CHANGES IN RETAINED EARNINGS

Years ended June 30, 1999 and 1998
(in thousands)

	1999	1998
Operating revenues:		
Water supply and distribution	\$ 583,394	560,956
Sewer collection and treatment	857,204	819,662
Other operating revenues	85,903	102,540
Total operating revenues	1,526,501	1,483,158
Operating expenses:		
Operation and maintenance (notes 3 and 7)	777,652	822,791
Provision for bad debts	103,960	149,748
Administration and general	10,879	11,217
Total operating expenses	892,491	983,756
Excess of operating revenues over operating expenses before depreciation and amortization	634,010	499,402
Depreciation and amortization	380,023	281,943
Operating income	253,987	217,459
Nonoperating revenues (expenses):		
Interest expense	(476,675)	(465,819)
Investment income	81,465	93,883
Net Loss	(141,223)	(154,477)
Depreciation allocated to contributed capital (note 2)	128,279	110,138
Retained earnings at beginning of year	186,366	230,705
Retained earnings at end of year	\$ 173,422	186,366

See accompanying notes to combined financial statements.

NEW YORK CITY WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM
COMBINED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
Years ended June 30, 1999 and 1998
(in thousands)

	1999	1998
Cash flows from operating activities:	\$ 253,987	217,459
Operating income		
Adjustments to reconcile operating income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:	380,023	281,943
Depreciation and amortization	103,960	149,748
Provision for bad debts		
Changes in assets and liabilities:	(113,987)	(144,043)
(Increase) in receivable	(34,300)	(29,041)
(Increase) in receivable from the City	965	(35,033)
(Increase) decrease in other assets	36,296	(78,641)
(Decrease) increase in payable to the City	(95)	(9,556)
(Decrease) in accounts payable and accrued expenses	(7,316)	18,931
(Decrease) increase in revenues received in advance	(2,000)	11,225
(Decrease) increase in refunds payable to customers		
Total adjustments	363,546	165,533
Net cash provided by operating activities	617,533	382,992
Cash flows from capital and related financing activities:	1,284,953	2,521,837
Proceeds from issuing bonds, notes and other borrowings, net of issue costs	(831,343)	(1,961,328)
Repayments of bonds, notes and other borrowings	(464,319)	(461,929)
Interest paid on bonds, notes and other borrowings	(822,471)	(665,527)
Acquisition and construction of capital assets		
Net cash used in capital and related financing activities	(833,180)	(566,947)
Cash flows from investing activities:	1,007,812	6,107,849
Proceeds from sales and maturities of investments	(1,107,651)	(5,929,285)
Purchases of investments	83,604	97,739
Interest on investments		
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(16,235)	276,303
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(231,882)	92,348
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	777,243	684,895
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 545,361	777,243

Reconciliation of Cash and Cash Equivalents Per Statement of Cash Flows to the Balance Sheet

	Assets		Total
	Unrestricted	Restricted	
Cash and cash equivalents—beginning	\$ 6,572	\$ 770,671	\$ 777,243
Net increase (decrease)	29,282	(261,164)	(231,882)
Cash and cash equivalents—ending	\$35,854	\$ 509,507	\$ 545,361

The following are the noncash capital and related financing activities:

- Interest expense includes the accretion of capital appreciation bonds discount in the amount of \$10,547 in 1999 and \$10,958 in 1998
- Capital expenditures in the amount of \$235,143 and \$135,620 had been incurred but not paid at June 30, 1999 and 1998, respectively
- The System received capital assets of \$7,389 in 1999 and \$20,155 in 1998 which represent capital contributed by the City

See accompanying notes to combined financial statements.

NEW YORK CITY WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM
NOTES TO COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 1999 and 1998

(1) Organization

The New York City Water and Sewer System (the "System") provides water supply and distribution, and sewage collection, treatment, and disposal for The City of New York (the "City"). The System, as presented in the accompanying combined financial statements, began operations on July 1, 1985 and is a joint operation consisting of two legally separate and independent entities, the New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority (the "Authority") and the New York City Water Board (the "Board"). The Authority is a public benefit corporation created in accordance with the New York City Municipal Water Finance Act (the "Act"), duly enacted into law as Chapter 513 of the laws of 1984 of the State of New York, as amended by Chapter 514 of the laws of 1984 of the State of New York. The Board was created by Chapter 515 of the laws of 1984 of the State of New York. The Act empowers the Authority to issue bonds or notes to finance the cost of capital improvements to the System, and to refund any and all outstanding bonds and general obligation bonds of the City issued for water and sewer purposes. The Act empowers the Board to lease the System from the City and to fix and collect rates, fees, rents and other charges for the use of, or for services furnished, rendered, or made available by the System, to produce cash sufficient to pay debt service on the Authority's bonds and to place the System on a self-sustaining basis.

The physical operation and capital improvements of the System are performed by the City's Department of Environmental Protection subject to contractual agreements with the Authority and Board.

In accordance with Statement 14 of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB), the Board and the Authority are combined for general purpose external reporting purposes since the Board and the Authority are fiscally interdependent. The System, in turn, is included for reporting purposes as a discretely presented component unit in the City's financial statements.

(2) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The accompanying combined financial statements of the System have been prepared on the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when earned and expenses recognized when incurred. GASB Statement 20, "Accounting and Financial Reporting for Proprietary Funds and Other Government Entities that Use Proprietary Funds," provides proprietary activities with a choice of authoritative guidance issued after November 30, 1989. The System has elected to follow GASB pronouncements exclusively after that date. Other significant accounting policies are:

(a) Investments and Cash Equivalents

Investments and cash equivalents consist principally of securities of the United States and its agencies, certificates of deposit, and repurchase agreements with maturity periods of one year or less, and are carried at amortized cost, which approximates fair value. For purposes of the combined statements of cash flows, the System generally considers all highly liquid debt instruments purchased with a maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

In fiscal 1998 the System implemented GASB Statement No. 31, "Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and for External Investment Pools." The statement requires that most investments be reported in the balance sheet at fair value, and that all investment income including changes in the fair value of investments be reported in the statement of operations. The adoption of the Statement did not have a material impact on the System's financial statements.

NEW YORK CITY WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM
NOTES TO COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(b) Restricted Assets

Proceeds from the issuance of debt and monies set aside for the operation and maintenance of the System are classified as restricted by applicable bond indentures.

(c) Bond Discount and Bond Issuance Costs

Bond discount and bond issuance costs are amortized over the life of the related bond issue, using the effective yield method of amortization for bond discount and the straight-line method for bond issuance costs.

(d) Utility Plant in Service

Utility plant in service acquired through purchase or internal construction is recorded at cost net of retirements. Contributed utility plant in service is recorded at its estimated historical cost based on appraisals or other methods when historical cost information is not available, net of depreciation. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method based upon estimated useful lives as follows:

	<u>Years</u>
Buildings	40-50
Water supply and waste water treatment systems	15-50
Water distribution and sewage collection systems	15-75
Equipment	5-35

Depreciation on contributed utility plant in service is allocated to contributed capital after the determination of net income.

Maintenance and repairs of property are charged to maintenance expense. Replacements and betterments are recorded as utility plant in service.

(e) Operating Revenues

Revenues are based on billing rates imposed by the Board based upon customers' water and sewer usage. The System records estimated unbilled revenue at its year end.

(f) Deferred Revenues

Revenues received in advance of the period to which they relate are deferred and recorded as revenue when earned.

(g) Deferred Bond Refunding Costs

Deferred bond refunding costs represent the accounting loss incurred in advance refundings of outstanding bonds. In accordance with the provisions of GASB Statement 23, "Accounting and Financial Reporting of Debt Reported by Proprietary Activities", gains or losses arising from debt refundings are deferred and amortized over the lesser of the remaining life of the old debt or the life of the new debt.

(h) Contributed Capital

In accordance with the lease of the System from the City to the Board, the City transferred its water and sewer-related physical assets at historical cost, net of depreciation, and all work-in-progress, at cost, to the Board

NEW YORK CITY WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM
NOTES TO COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

at July 1, 1985. Capital improvements financed by sources other than the proceeds of Authority revenue bonds (e.g., capital grants) are recorded as additions to contributed capital.

Changes in contributed capital for the fiscal years ended June 30, 1999 and 1998 are as follows:

	<u>1999</u>	<u>1998</u>
Contributed capital, beginning of year.....	\$4,817,668	4,907,561
Plant and equipment contributed	7,389	20,155
Depreciation allocated to contributed capital	(128,279)	(110,138)
Contributed capital, end of year	<u>\$4,696,778</u>	<u>4,817,668</u>

(i) Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications to the 1998 figures have been made in order to conform to the 1999 combined financial statement presentation.

(j) Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual amounts could differ from those estimates.

(3) Financing Agreement

The Financing Agreement (the "Agreement") provides that the Authority will issue bonds to finance the cost of capital investment in the water and sewer system serving the City. It also sets forth the funding priority for the debt service costs of the Authority, operating costs of the water and sewer system, and the rental payment to the City.

(4) Cumulative Retained Earnings

At June 30, 1999 and 1998, the Authority had a cumulative deficit of \$2,959 million and \$2,572 million, respectively, which amount is less than the \$3,133 million and \$2,758 million cumulative retained earnings of the Board at June 30, 1999 and 1998, respectively.

(5) Utility Plant in Service

Utility plant in service at June 30, 1999 and 1998 is comprised as follows:

	<u>1999</u>	<u>1998</u>
	(in thousands)	
Buildings	\$ 5,677	\$ 5,677
Water supply and waste water treatment systems	6,643,497	6,376,406
Water distribution and sewage collection systems	6,758,577	5,423,759
Equipment.....	129,253	110,327
	<u>13,537,004</u>	<u>11,916,169</u>
Less accumulated depreciation	<u>3,986,940</u>	<u>3,669,170</u>
	<u>\$ 9,550,064</u>	<u>8,246,999</u>

NEW YORK CITY WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM
NOTES TO COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(6) Investments, Cash Equivalents and Cash Deposits

The Water and Sewer General Revenue Bond Resolution (the "Resolution") authorizes the investment of bond proceeds. The guidelines issued by the Office of the New York State Comptroller and the Resolution establish the criteria for permissible investments of the System. In addition, the Water Board and the Water Authority have investment guidelines approved by their respective Boards of Directors. The System may invest in Federal government obligations or any subdivision or instrumentality thereof, obligations of the State of New York or any subdivision or instrumentality thereof provided that they are in the two highest rating categories of a rating agency, bankers' acceptances or certificates of deposit (CDs) issued by a New York State commercial bank with capital or surplus in excess of \$100 million, corporate securities or commercial paper rated highest by a rating agency when compared to similar-type securities, or repurchase agreements that are collateralized by obligations of the Federal government.

Investments and deposits held by the System at June 30, 1999 and 1998 comprised:

	1999	1998
	(in thousands)	
Unrestricted cash, cash equivalents and investments (plus accrued interest)	\$ 39,279	\$ 6,572
Restricted cash, cash equivalents and investments (plus accrued interest)	1,010,876	1,177,766
	\$1,050,155	\$1,184,338
This amount is comprised of:		
Carrying amount of deposits (includes CDs)	\$ 243,207	\$ 25,946
Investments (plus accrued interest)	806,948	1,158,392
	\$1,050,155	\$1,184,338

Cash Deposits

The System follows the New York City Banking Commission designations for the System's bank depositories. The Commission consists of the comptroller, the mayor, and the finance commissioner and uses independent bank rating agencies in part to assess the financial soundness of each bank, and the banking relationships are under constant operational and credit reviews. Each bank in which the System's cash is deposited is required to have its principal office in New York State and have capital stock, surplus, and undivided earnings aggregating at least \$100 million. The System had \$200 thousand on deposit at June 30, 1999 and 1998, which were covered by Federal depository insurance.

Investments

The System's investments are categorized to give an indication of the level of risk assumed by the System at year end. Category 1, the lowest risk, includes investments that are insured or registered, or for which the securities are held by the System or its agent in the System's name. Category 2 includes uninsured and unregistered investments for which the securities are held by the counterparty's trust department or agent in the System's name. Category 3, the highest risk, includes uninsured and unregistered investments for which the securities are held by the counterparty, or by its trust department or agent but not in the System's name.

NEW YORK CITY WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM
NOTES TO COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Investments (inclusive of certain investments reported as cash equivalents) held by the System at June 30, 1999 and 1998 were all classified as Category 1 investments, and are comprised of:

	1999*		1998*	
	Cost	Fair Value	Cost	Fair Value
	(in thousands)			
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 49,397	49,398	402	402
Federal agency issues	647,923	649,514	1,040,658	1,043,694
Commercial paper	55,888	55,888	230	230
Repurchase agreements	—	—	113,185	113,185
Mutual Funds	11,162	11,162	—	—
Guaranteed Investment Contract	40,803	40,803	—	—
	<u>\$805,173</u>	<u>806,765</u>	<u>1,154,475</u>	<u>1,157,511</u>

* Includes \$302,154 at cost and \$302,154 at market (1999) and, \$751,297 at cost and \$754,392 at market (1998) of investments reported as cash equivalents.

(7) Lease Agreement

The Board is party to a long-term lease (the "Lease") with the City, which transfers all the water and sewer related real and personal property to the Board for the term of the lease. The lease term commenced on July 1, 1985 and continues until the later of the fortieth anniversary of the commencement of the lease or the date on which all bonds, notes or other obligations of the Authority are paid in full or provisions for such payment have been made pursuant to the applicable debt instrument. The lease provides for payments to the City to cover the following:

- (a) an amount sufficient to pay the cost of administration, maintenance, repair and operation of the leased property, which includes overhead costs incurred by the City attributable to the leased property, net of the amount of any Federal, State, or other operating grants received by the City;
- (b) an amount sufficient to reimburse the City for capital costs incurred by the City for the construction of capital improvements to the leased property which are not paid or reimbursed from any other source, to the extent requested by the City;
- (c) an amount sufficient to pay the cost of other services provided by the City;
- (d) an amount sufficient to pay the cost of legal services provided by the City;
- (e) an amount sufficient to reimburse the City for the costs of the services of any city officer and employee provided on a full-time or part-time basis to the Board; and
- (f) the amount of any reconciliation payments, as defined.

In addition to the payments described above, the Board pays rent to the City to the extent requested by the City in each fiscal year in an amount not to exceed the greater of (a) the principal and interest payable on general obligation bonds issued by the City for water and sewer purposes certified by the City to be paid within such fiscal year or (b) 15% of principal and interest payable on the bonds of the Authority to be paid within such fiscal year. After all amounts certified by the City have been paid and all other required payments have been made, any surplus funds received by the Board in the current fiscal year are to be placed into the Board's general account in the operating and maintenance reserve fund.

NEW YORK CITY WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM
NOTES TO COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

A summary of operation and maintenance expenses at June 30, 1999 and 1998 is as follows:

	1999	1998
	(in thousands)	
Water transmission and distribution	\$233,221	211,015
Sewer collection systems	300,406	320,659
City agency support cost	31,879	34,191
Fringe benefits	58,950	51,500
Judgments and claims	5,330	28,944
	629,786	646,309
Rental payments to the City	147,866	176,482
	\$777,652	822,791

(8) Payable to and Receivable from the City

As of June 30, 1999 and 1998, all construction work-in-progress recorded by the System, which has not been reimbursed to the City, has been recorded as a payable to the City, net of the amount of any State or Federal capital grants received by the City.

As of June 30, 1999 and 1998, the System has a receivable from the City for overpayment of operations and maintenance expenses.

(9) Bonds and Notes Payable

The Authority issues revenue bonds to finance a portion of the costs of the capital renovation and improvements program to the System, to fund certain reserves, to pay costs of issuance and to advance refund certain outstanding principal amounts of bonds.

Bonds, notes payable, and commercial paper comprise the following for the year ended June 30, 1999:

	Balance at June 30, 1998	Issued	Retired	Balance at June 30, 1999
	(in thousands)			
1987 Fiscal Series A—5.00% to 7.00% Serial and Term Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2017	\$ 69,690	—	—	69,690
1987 Fiscal Series B—5.00% to 7.90% Serial, and Capital Appreciation Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2017	13,255	—	—	13,255
1989 Fiscal Series B—5.75% to 7.50% Serial, Term, and Capital Appreciation Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2013	81,891	—	75,385	6,506
1990 Fiscal Series A—6.00% to 7.375% Serial, Term, and Capital Appreciation Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2019	52,153	—	42,525	9,628
1990 Fiscal Series B—6.70% to 7.60% Serial and Term Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2020	145,035	—	12,965	132,070
1991 Fiscal Series A—6.00% to 7.50% Serial, Term and Capital Appreciation Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2020	2,585	—	2,585	—

NEW YORK CITY WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM
NOTES TO COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

	<u>Balance at June 30, 1998</u>	<u>Issued</u>	<u>Retired</u>	<u>Balance at June 30, 1999</u>
	(in thousands)			
1991 Fiscal Series B—6.00% to 7.25% Serial and Term Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2012	62,625	—	11,460	51,165
1992 Fiscal Series A—5.30% to 7.10% Serial and Term Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2021	279,380	—	96,435	182,945
1992 Fiscal Series B—5.20% to 6.875% Serial and Term Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2014	68,443	—	12,105	56,338
1993 Fiscal Series A—3.10% to 6.15% Serial, Term, and Capital Appreciation Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2020	1,067,480	—	14,315	1,053,165
1993 Fiscal Series C—Adjustable rate Term Bonds maturing 2022 ...	100,000	—	—	100,000
1994 Fiscal Series 1—3.00% to 6.00% Serial and Term Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2015	576,495	—	34,021	542,474
1994 Fiscal Series B—4.625% to 5.40% Fixed Rate Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2008	659,025	—	3,745	655,280
1994 Fiscal Series C—Adjustable Rate term bonds maturing in 2023	200,000	—	—	200,000
1994 Fiscal Series D—Auction Rate Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2013	83,500	—	—	83,500
1994 Fiscal Series E—Inverse Rate Bonds, maturing in varying installments through 2013	83,500	—	—	83,500
1994 Fiscal Series F—4.75% to 6.00% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2021	213,150	—	200	212,950
1994 Fiscal Series G—5.50% to 5.678% Adjustable, Auction and Leveraged Reverse Rate Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2024	205,000	—	—	205,000
1995 Fiscal Series A—Adjustable Rate Term Bonds Maturing in varying installments through 2025	216,700	—	—	216,700
1995 Fiscal Series 1—5.25% to 6.875% Serial and Term Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2016	59,981	—	3,925	56,056
1996 Fiscal Series 1—4.3% to 6.00% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2017	105,735	—	3,945	101,790
1996 Fiscal Series 2—2.95% to 5.20% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2017	26,955	—	1,080	25,875
1996 Fiscal Series 3—3.60% to 5.85% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2015	41,605	—	1,400	40,205
1996 Fiscal Series A—4.1% to 6.00% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2009	253,660	—	14,920	238,740
1996 Fiscal Series B—5.75% to 6.25% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2026	520,100	—	—	520,100
1996 Fiscal Series C—4.2% to 5.75% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2012	78,180	—	280	77,900
1997 Fiscal Series A—4.85% to 6.00% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2026	365,125	—	—	365,125
1997 Fiscal Series B—5.50% to 5.75% Serial Bonds maturing in varying Installments through 2029	700,000	—	—	700,000

NEW YORK CITY WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM
NOTES TO COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	<u>Balance at June 30, 1998</u>	<u>Issued</u> <u>Retired</u>		<u>Balance at June 30, 1999</u>
		(in thousands)		
1998 Fiscal Series 1—4.00% to 5.35% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2017	43,385	—	1,370	42,465
1998 Fiscal Series 2—4.00% to 6.00% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2019	111,368	—	2,948	108,420
1998 Fiscal Series 3—4.30% to 6.00% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2016	450,035	—	—	450,035
1998 Fiscal Series 4—3.60% to 5.20% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2018	15,749	—	570	15,179
1998 Fiscal Series 5—4.61% to 5.10% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2019	87,873	—	2,350	85,523
1998 Fiscal Series 6—4.70% to 5.10% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2019	19,228	—	559	18,669
1998 Fiscal Series A—4.25% to 5.125% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2022	283,850	—	—	283,850
1998 Fiscal Series B—5.125% to 5.25% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2030	449,525	—	—	449,525
1998 Fiscal Series C—4.00% to 5.125% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2021	88,310	—	235	88,075
1998 Fiscal Series D—4.25% to 5.00% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2025	399,985	—	2,865	397,120
1999 Fiscal Series 1—4.00% to 5.25% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2020	—	121,435	2,852	118,583
1999 Fiscal Series 2—4.00% to 5.25% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2020	—	158,510	307	158,203
1999 Fiscal Series A—4.75% to 5.00% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2031	—	301,470	—	301,470
1999 Fiscal Series B—3.80% to 5.25% Serial and Capital Appreciation Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2020	—	245,865	—	245,865
1999 Fiscal Series C—5.00% to 5.75% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2001	—	13,320	4,550	8,770
Commercial Paper Series 1—Variable Rate, Short-term Rolling Maturity Backed by L.O.C.	200,000	—	—	200,000
Commercial Paper Series 3—Variable Rate, Short-term Rolling Maturity Backed by L.O.C.	100,000	—	25,000	75,000
Commercial Paper Series 4—Variable Rate, Short-term Rolling Maturity Backed by L.O.C.	100,000	25,000	—	125,000
Commercial Paper Series 5—Variable Rate, Short-term Rolling Maturity Backed by L.O.C.	200,000	—	—	200,000
Total debt payable	<u>\$8,881,006</u>	<u>865,601</u>	<u>374,897</u>	<u>9,371,710</u>
Current portion of bonds and notes payable	<u>729,134</u>	<u>7,979</u>	<u>(11,365)</u>	<u>748,478</u>
Bonds and notes payable, less current portion	<u>\$8,151,872</u>	<u>857,622</u>	<u>386,262</u>	<u>8,623,232</u>

NEW YORK CITY WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM
NOTES TO COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

With respect to all series, the Board has agreed to maintain rates and charges to provide revenues at levels sufficient to pay principal and interest requirements as well as to meet certain debt service coverage and operating cost funding requirements. All series are specific obligations of the Authority payable solely from and secured by a pledge of and lien on the gross revenue of the System, as defined.

Certain bonds issued by the Authority involve the concurrent issuance of long-term variable rate securities that are matched with long-term floating rate securities. These obligations, taken together as a whole, yield a fixed rate of interest at all times. These securities have been issued to achieve a lower prevailing fixed rate of interest in relation to traditional fixed rate bonds.

Although the advance refundings resulted in accounting losses of \$17.1 million and \$141.1 million for the years ended June 30, 1999 and 1998, respectively, the Authority in effect reduced its aggregate debt service by approximately \$143.9 million and \$110.7 million respectively and obtained an economic gain of \$100.8 million and \$77.0 million respectively. During 1999 and 1998, the Authority issued \$259.2 million and \$1.959 billion of bonds respectively to advance refund \$213 million and \$1.106 billion of outstanding bonds respectively.

The Authority had defeased cumulatively \$4.087 billion and \$3.874 billion of outstanding bonds as of June 30, 1999 and 1998, respectively, by placing proceeds of refunding bonds issued in an irrevocable escrow account to provide for all future debt service payments. Proceeds were used to purchase U.S. Government Securities that were placed in the irrevocable escrow account. The investments and fixed earnings from the investments are sufficient to fully service the defeased debt until the debt is called or matured. Accordingly, the escrow account assets and liability for the defeased bonds are not included in the Authority's financial statements.

As of June 30, 1999 and 1998, \$2.435 billion and \$2.231 billion of the defeased bonds respectively had been retired from the assets of the escrow accounts.

Debt service requirements to maturity at June 30, 1999 are as follows:

<u>Year ending June 30</u>	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Total</u>
		(in thousands)	
2000	\$ 748,478	459,289	1,207,767
2001	162,680	451,333	614,014
2002	164,938	442,924	607,862
2003	174,706	434,637	609,343
2004	184,466	425,976	610,442
Thereafter until 2030	<u>7,936,442</u>	<u>6,225,432</u>	<u>14,161,874</u>
Total	<u>\$9,371,710</u>	<u>8,439,591</u>	<u>17,811,302</u>

(10) Segment Information

The System is comprised of two entities, the Water Authority which issues bonds to finance the cost of capital improvements, and the Water Board which leases the System from the City and fixes and collects rates, fees and other charges for the use of the System.

NEW YORK CITY WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM
NOTES TO COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

Segment information for these entities as of June 30, 1999 is as follows:

	<u>New York City Water Board</u>	<u>New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority</u>
	(in thousands)	
Total Operating Revenues	\$1,480,673	45,828
Depreciation and Amortization	354,353	25,670
Income from Operations	242,817	11,170
Net Income (Loss)	245,991	(387,214)
Utility Plant In Service Additions	1,657,418	—
Utility Plant in Service Deletions	36,583	—
Net Working Capital	404,945	(1,006,027)
Total Assets	13,021,795	6,095,878

(11) Restricted Assets

Certain cash and investments, plus accrued interest, of the System are restricted as follows:

	<u>1999</u>	<u>1998</u>
	(in thousands)	
<i>The Board</i>		
Operation and maintenance reserve account	\$ 116,243	111,143
Operation and maintenance reserve general account	10	10
	<u>116,253</u>	<u>111,153</u>
<i>The Authority</i>		
Revenue fund	32,803	61,425
Debt service reserve fund	540,511	529,408
Construction fund	321,309	475,183
Arbitrage rebate fund	—	597
	<u>894,623</u>	<u>1,066,613</u>
	<u>\$1,010,876</u>	<u>1,177,766</u>

The operation and maintenance reserve account is established as a depository to hold the operations and maintenance reserve fund as required by the General Bond Resolution. It is required to hold one-sixth of the operating expenses as set forth in the annual budget. It is funded through the cash receipts of the Board. The operation and maintenance reserve general account is established as a depository to hold all excess funds of the Board after all legally mandated transfers have been made. It is available to meet any deficiencies in the flow of funds including debt service and alternatively can be used as a financing source for capital expenditures.

The revenue fund is established as a depository to fund the debt service, Authority expense, debt service reserve and arbitrage funds. It is funded through cash transfers from the Water Board. The debt service reserve fund is established as a depository to hold the maximum annual debt service requirement for the next current or any future fiscal year. It is funded through revenue bond proceeds and the revenue fund. The debt service fund is established as a depository to pay all principal and interest payments on the Authority's debt for the current fiscal year. It is funded through the revenue fund. The construction fund is established as a depository to pay all capital construction costs incurred by the City and reimbursed by the Authority. It is funded through the proceeds of bond and note sales. The arbitrage rebate fund is established to provide for arbitrage rebate payments to the U.S. Department of Treasury. It is funded through the revenue fund.

NEW YORK CITY WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM
NOTES TO COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(12) Commitments and Contingencies

Construction

The System has contractual commitments of approximately \$2.319 billion at June 30, 1999 for water and sewer projects.

Contingencies

Claims and Litigation

In accordance with the lease, the Board is required to reimburse the City for any judgment or settlement paid by the City arising out of a tort claim to the extent that the City's liability is related to capital improvements and the operation or maintenance of the System. However, in no event shall the payment made to the City, in any fiscal year, exceed an amount equal to 5% of the aggregate revenues shown on the last year-end audited financial statements of the System. In addition, the System is required to reimburse the City, to the extent requested by the City, for the payment of any judgment or settlement arising out of a contract claim with respect to the construction of capital improvements. In addition, the City has agreed, subject to certain conditions, to indemnify the Authority, the Board and their staffs against any and all liability in connection with any act done or omitted in the exercise of their powers which is taken or omitted in good faith in pursuance of their purposes under the Act. Currently, the City is a defendant in a significant number of lawsuits pertaining to the System. The litigation includes, but is not limited to, actions commenced and claims asserted against the City arising out of alleged torts, alleged breaches of contract, condemnation proceedings and other alleged violations of law. As of June 30, 1999, the potential future liability attributable to the System for claims outstanding against the City was estimated to be \$31.0 million. This amount is included in the City's General Long-Term Obligations Account Group. The potential future liability is the City's best estimate based on available information. The estimate may be revised as further information is obtained and as pending cases are litigated.

Arbitrage Rebate

To maintain the exemption from Federal income tax of interest on bonds issued subsequent to January 1, 1986, the System will fund amounts required to be rebated to the Federal Government pursuant to Section 148 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended ("the Code"). The Code requires the payment to the United States Treasury of the excess of the amount earned on all non-purpose obligations over the amount that would have been earned if the gross proceeds of the issue were invested at a rate equal to the yield on the issue, together with any earnings attributable to such excess. Construction funds, debt service funds or any other funds or accounts funded with proceeds of such bonds, including earnings, or pledged to or expected to be used to pay interest on such bonds are subject to this requirement. Issues with respect to which all gross proceeds are expended for the governmental purpose of the issue within six months after the date of issue and debt service funds with annual gross earnings of less than \$100,000 are exempt from this requirement. Payment is to be made after the end of the fifth bond year and after every fifth bond year thereafter, and within 60 days after retirement of the bonds. At June 30, 1999 and 1998, the System has not accrued for any such liability. However, the System believes the amounts, if any, to be rebated will not significantly affect the accompanying combined financial statements.

(13) Subsequent Events

On October 6, 1999, the Water Authority issued Fiscal 2000 Series A Water and Sewer First Resolution Bonds in the aggregate principal amount of \$275.7 million to reimburse outstanding commercial paper notes, and to pay certain costs of issuance.

NEW YORK CITY WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM
NOTES TO COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS—(Continued)

(14) Required Supplementary Information—Year 2000 Computer Systems (Unaudited)

The Year 2000 presents potential operational problems for computerized data files and computer programs which may recognize the year 2000 as the year 1900, resulting in possible systems failures or miscalculations. The year 2000 compliance issues affecting the Water and Sewer System are being addressed in three organizations. The Water Authority replaced its computer operating system with a new system that it believes to be Year 2000 compliant. The Department of Environmental Protection, which operates the System, has completed remediation work on its operations support and billing systems. New York City is responsible for the personnel management and financial systems used by the Department of Environmental Protection and is actively taking steps toward Year 2000 compliance.

The computer systems may not all be Year 2000 compliant in a timely manner, and there could be an adverse impact on the System's operations or revenues as a result. The System is in the process of developing contingency plans for all mission-critical and high priority systems, if such systems are not year 2000 compliant by pre-determined dates. The system is also in the process of contacting its significant third part vendors, regarding the Year 2000 issue and the status of their compliance. Year 2000 compliance by third parties is not within the System's control, and therefor the System cannot assure the timing of such efforts or that there will not be any adverse effects on the System resulting from any failure of these third parties to achieve Year 2000 compliance.

NEW YORK CITY WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM
COMBINED SCHEDULE OF CASH RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS

Years ended June 30, 1999 and 1998
(in thousands)

	<u>1999</u>	<u>1998</u>
Cash receipts:		
Water supply and distribution and sewer collection and treatment	\$1,279,729	1,209,934
Other operating revenues	40,075	34,818
Revenues received in advance	54,386	60,753
Investment income	83,604	97,739
Subsidy income	45,828	67,722
Total cash receipts	<u>1,503,622</u>	<u>1,470,966</u>
Cash disbursements:		
Operation and maintenance	814,316	843,909
Administration and general	10,836	11,099
Interest payments	464,319	461,929
Prepaid construction	—	34,111
Amounts refunded to customers	14,454	16,430
Total cash disbursements	<u>1,303,925</u>	<u>1,367,478</u>
Excess of cash receipts over cash disbursements before financing uses . . .	<u>199,697</u>	<u>103,488</u>
Financing sources (uses):		
Proceeds from bond and note sales, net of issuance costs	1,284,953	2,384,189
Investments	162,150	82,016
Construction payments	(786,175)	(744,167)
Repayment of bonds and notes	(831,343)	(1,823,680)
Total financing uses	<u>(170,415)</u>	<u>(101,642)</u>
Excess of cash receipts over cash disbursements	29,282	1,846
Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	6,572	4,726
Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents at end of year	<u>\$ 35,854</u>	<u>6,572</u>

See accompanying independent auditors' report.

**NEW YORK CITY WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM
COMBINING BALANCE SHEET**

**June 30, 1999
(in thousands)**

	<u>New York City Water Board</u>	<u>New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority</u>	<u>Eliminations</u>	<u>Total</u>
Assets:				
Utility plant in service, less accumulated depreciation of \$3,986,940	\$ 9,550,064	—	—	9,550,064
Construction work-in-progress	2,855,474	—	—	2,855,474
	<u>12,405,538</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>12,405,538</u>
Current assets:				
Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents	35,851	3	—	35,854
Investments	3,425	—	—	3,425
Accounts receivable:				
Billed, less allowance for uncollectible water and sewer receivables of \$287,805	286,055	—	—	286,055
Unbilled	105,000	—	—	105,000
Receivable from the City	69,673	—	—	69,673
Other	—	12	—	12
Total current assets	<u>500,004</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>500,019</u>
Restricted assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	116,111	393,396	—	509,507
Investments	—	499,594	—	499,594
Accrued interest receivable	142	1,633	—	1,775
Total restricted assets	<u>116,253</u>	<u>894,623</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1,010,876</u>
Revenue requirement to be billed by and received from the Board	—	5,097,277	—	—
Deferred bond and financing expenses	—	103,963	—	103,963
Total assets	<u>\$13,021,795</u>	<u>6,095,878</u>	<u>(5,097,277)</u>	<u>14,020,396</u>
Liabilities and Equity:				
Long-term liabilities:				
Bonds and notes payable, less current portion	\$ —	8,623,232	—	8,623,232
Net discount on bonds and notes payable	—	(336,988)	—	(336,988)
Deferred bond refunding costs	—	(237,149)	—	(237,149)
Revenue requirement payable to the Authority	5,097,277	—	—	—
Total long-term liabilities	<u>5,097,277</u>	<u>8,049,095</u>	<u>(5,097,277)</u>	<u>8,049,095</u>
Current liabilities:				
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	297	22,421	—	22,718
Revenues received in advance	80,262	—	—	80,262
Current portion of bonds and notes payable	—	748,478	—	748,478
Payable to the City	—	235,143	—	235,143
Refunds payable to customers	14,500	—	—	14,500
Total current liabilities	<u>95,059</u>	<u>1,006,042</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1,101,101</u>
Total liabilities	<u>5,192,336</u>	<u>9,055,137</u>	<u>(5,097,277)</u>	<u>9,150,196</u>
Equity:				
Contributed capital, net of allocated depreciation	4,696,778	—	—	4,696,778
Retained earnings (deficit)	3,132,681	(2,959,259)	—	173,422
Total equity	<u>7,829,459</u>	<u>(2,959,259)</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>4,870,200</u>
Commitments and contingencies	—	—	—	—
Total liabilities and equity	<u>\$13,021,795</u>	<u>6,095,878</u>	<u>(5,097,277)</u>	<u>14,020,396</u>

See accompanying independent auditors' report.

NEW YORK CITY WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM
COMBINING BALANCE SHEET

June 30, 1998

	<u>New York City Water Board</u>	<u>New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority</u>	<u>Eliminations</u>	<u>Total</u>
Assets:				
Utility plant in service, less accumulated depreciation of \$3,669,170	\$ 8,246,999	—	—	8,246,999
Construction work-in-progress	3,648,921	—	—	3,648,921
	<u>11,895,920</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>11,895,920</u>
Current assets:				
Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents	6,570	2	—	6,572
Accounts receivable:				
Billed, less allowance for uncollectible water and sewer receivables of \$183,917	291,028	—	—	291,028
Unbilled	90,000	—	—	90,000
Receivable from the City	35,373	—	—	35,373
Other	34,111	977	—	35,088
Total current assets	<u>457,082</u>	<u>979</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>458,061</u>
Restricted assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	110,967	659,704	—	770,671
Investments	—	403,178	—	403,178
Accrued interest receivable	186	3,731	—	3,917
Total restricted assets	<u>111,153</u>	<u>1,066,613</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1,177,766</u>
Revenue requirement to be billed by and received from the Board	—	4,783,865	(4,783,865)	—
Deferred bond and financing expenses	—	104,527	—	104,527
Total assets	<u>\$12,464,155</u>	<u>5,955,984</u>	<u>(4,783,865)</u>	<u>13,636,274</u>
Liabilities and Equity:				
Long-term liabilities:				
Bonds and notes payable, less current portion	\$ —	8,151,872	—	8,151,872
Net discount on bonds and notes payable	—	(333,646)	—	(333,646)
Deferred bond refunding costs	—	(239,052)	—	(239,052)
Revenue requirement payable to the Authority	4,783,865	—	4,783,865	—
Total long-term liabilities	<u>4,783,865</u>	<u>7,579,174</u>	<u>(4,783,865)</u>	<u>7,579,174</u>
Current liabilities:				
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	133	20,874	—	21,007
Revenues received in advance	87,578	—	—	87,578
Current portion of bonds and notes payable	—	729,134	—	729,134
Payable to the City	—	198,847	—	198,847
Refunds payable to customers	16,500	—	—	16,500
Total current liabilities	<u>104,211</u>	<u>948,855</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1,053,066</u>
Total liabilities	<u>4,888,076</u>	<u>8,528,029</u>	<u>(4,783,865)</u>	<u>8,632,240</u>
Equity:				
Contributed capital, net of allocated depreciation	4,817,668	—	—	4,817,668
Retained earnings (deficit)	2,758,411	(2,572,045)	—	186,366
Total equity	<u>7,576,079</u>	<u>(2,572,045)</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>5,004,034</u>
Commitments and contingencies				
Total liabilities and equity	<u>\$12,464,155</u>	<u>5,955,984</u>	<u>(4,783,865)</u>	<u>13,636,274</u>

See accompanying independent auditors' report.

NEW YORK CITY WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM
COMBINING STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES
AND CHANGES IN RETAINED EARNINGS

Year ended June 30, 1999
(in thousands)

	New York City Water Board	New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority	Eliminations	Total
Operating revenues:				
Water supply and distribution	\$ 583,394	—	—	583,394
Sewer collection and treatment	857,204	—	—	857,204
Other operating revenues	40,075	45,828	—	85,903
Total operating revenues	<u>1,480,673</u>	<u>45,828</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1,526,501</u>
Operating expenses:				
Operation and maintenance	777,652	—	—	777,652
Provision for bad debts	103,960	—	—	103,960
Administration and general	1,891	8,988	—	10,879
Total operating expenses	<u>883,503</u>	<u>8,988</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>892,491</u>
Excess of operating revenues over operating expenses before depreciation and amortization	597,170	36,840	—	634,010
Depreciation and amortization	<u>354,353</u>	<u>25,670</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>380,023</u>
Operating income	242,817	11,170	—	253,987
Nonoperating revenue (expenses):				
Interest expense	—	(476,675)	—	(476,675)
Investment income	3,174	78,291	—	81,465
Net income (loss)	<u>245,991</u>	<u>(387,214)</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>(141,223)</u>
Depreciation allocated to contributed capital	128,279	—	—	128,279
Retained earnings (deficit) at beginning of year	<u>2,758,411</u>	<u>(2,572,045)</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>186,366</u>
Retained earnings (deficit) at end of year	<u>\$3,132,681</u>	<u>(2,959,259)</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>173,422</u>

See accompanying independent auditors' report.

NEW YORK CITY WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM
COMBINING STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES
AND CHANGES IN RETAINED EARNINGS

Year ended June 30, 1998
(in thousands)

	<u>New York City Water Board</u>	<u>New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority</u>	<u>Eliminations</u>	<u>Total</u>
Operating revenues:				
Water supply and distribution	\$ 560,956	—	—	560,956
Sewer collection and treatment	819,662	—	—	819,662
Other operating revenues	58,928	43,612	—	102,540
Total operating revenues	<u>1,439,546</u>	<u>43,612</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1,483,158</u>
Operating expenses:				
Operation and maintenance	822,791	—	—	822,791
Provision for bad debts	149,748	—	—	149,748
Administration and general	2,716	8,501	—	11,217
Total operating expenses	<u>975,255</u>	<u>8,501</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>983,756</u>
Excess of operating revenues over operating expenses before depreciation and amortization				
	464,291	35,111	—	499,402
Depreciation and amortization	<u>255,910</u>	<u>26,033</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>281,943</u>
Operating income	208,381	9,078	—	217,459
Nonoperating revenue (expense):				
Interest expense	—	(465,819)	—	(465,819)
Investment income	3,514	90,369	—	93,883
Net income (loss)	211,895	(366,372)	—	(154,477)
Depreciation allocated to contributed capital	110,138	—	—	110,138
Retained earnings (deficit) at beginning of year	2,436,378	(2,205,673)	—	230,705
Retained earnings (deficit) at end of year	<u>\$2,758,411</u>	<u>(2,572,045)</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>186,366</u>

See accompanying independent auditors' report.

NEW YORK CITY WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM
COMBINING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

Year ended June 30, 1999
(in thousands)

	<u>New York City Water Board</u>	<u>New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority</u>	<u>Total</u>
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Operating income	\$ 242,817	11,170	253,987
Adjustments to reconcile operating income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	354,353	25,670	380,023
Provision for bad debts	103,960	—	103,960
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
(Increase) in receivables	(113,987)	—	(113,987)
(Increase) in receivable from the City	(34,300)	—	(34,300)
Decrease in other	—	965	965
Increase in payable to the City	—	36,296	36,296
Increase (Decrease) in accounts payable and accrued expenses	164	(259)	(95)
(Decrease) in revenues received in advance	(7,316)	—	(7,316)
(Decrease) in refunds payable to customers	(2,000)	—	(2,000)
Increase in payable to the Authority (receivable from the Board)	313,412	(313,412)	—
Total adjustments	614,286	(250,740)	363,546
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	857,103	(239,570)	617,533
Cash flows from capital and related financing activities:			
Proceeds from issuing bonds, notes and other borrowings, net of issuance costs ..	—	1,284,953	1,284,953
Repayments of bonds, notes and other borrowings	—	(831,343)	(831,343)
Interest paid on bonds, notes and other borrowings	—	(464,319)	(464,319)
Acquisition and construction of capital assets	(822,471)	—	(822,471)
Net cash provided by (used in) capital and related financing activities	(822,471)	(10,709)	(833,180)
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Proceeds from sales and maturities of investments	—	1,007,812	1,007,812
Purchases of investments	(3,425)	(1,104,226)	(1,107,651)
Interest on investments	3,218	80,386	83,604
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(207)	(16,028)	(16,235)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	34,425	(266,307)	(231,882)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	117,537	659,706	777,243
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 151,962	393,399	545,361

Reconciliation of Cash and Cash Equivalents Per Statement of Cash Flows to the Balance Sheet

	<u>Assets</u>		
	<u>Unrestricted</u>	<u>Restricted</u>	<u>Total</u>
Cash and cash equivalents—beginning	\$ 6,572	770,671	777,243
Net increase (decrease)	29,282	(261,164)	(231,882)
Cash and cash equivalents—ending	\$ 35,854	509,507	545,361

The following are the noncash capital and related financing activities:

- Interest expense includes the accretion of capital appreciation bonds discount in the amount of \$10,547.
- Capital expenditures in the amount of \$235,143 had been incurred but not paid at June 30, 1999.
- The Water Board received capital assets of \$7,389 in 1999 which represent capital contributed by the City.

See accompanying independent auditors' report.

NEW YORK CITY WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM
COMBINING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

Year ended June 30, 1998
(in thousands)

	New York City Water Board	New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority	Total
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Operating income	\$208,381	9,078	217,459
Adjustments to reconcile operating income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	255,910	26,033	281,943
Provision for uncollectible accounts	149,748	—	149,748
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
(Increase) decrease in receivables	(148,521)	4,478	(144,043)
(Increase) in receivable from the City	(29,041)	—	(29,041)
(Increase) in other assets	(34,111)	(922)	(35,033)
(Decrease) in payable to the City	—	(78,641)	(78,641)
(Decrease) in accounts payable and accrued expenses	(195)	(9,361)	(9,556)
Increase in revenues received in advance	18,931	—	18,931
Increase in refunds payable to customers	11,225	—	11,225
Increase (decrease) in payable to the Authority (receivable from the Board)	229,347	(229,347)	—
Total adjustments	453,293	(287,760)	165,533
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	661,674	(278,682)	382,992
Cash flows from capital and related financing activities:			
Proceeds from issuing bonds, notes and other borrowings, net of issuance costs	—	2,521,837	2,521,837
Repayments of bonds, notes and other borrowings	—	(1,961,328)	(1,961,328)
Interest paid on bonds, notes and other borrowings	—	(461,929)	(461,929)
Acquisition and construction of capital assets	(665,527)	—	(665,527)
Net cash provided by (used in) capital and related financing activities	(665,527)	98,580	(566,947)
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Proceeds from sales and maturities of investments	38,680	6,069,169	6,107,849
Purchases of investments	—	(5,929,285)	(5,929,285)
Interest on investments	5,173	92,566	97,739
Net cash provided by investing activities	43,853	232,450	276,303
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	40,000	52,348	92,348
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	77,537	607,358	684,895
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$117,537	659,706	777,243

Reconciliation of Cash and Cash Equivalents Per Statement of Cash Flows to the Balance Sheet

	Assets		
	Unrestricted	Restricted	Total
Cash and cash equivalents—beginning	\$4,726	680,169	684,895
Net increase	1,846	90,502	92,348
Cash and cash equivalents—ending	\$6,572	770,671	777,243

The following are the noncash capital and related financing activities:

- Interest expense includes the accretion of capital appreciation bonds discount in the amount of \$10,958.
- Capital expenditures in the amount of \$135,620 had been incurred but not paid at June 30, 1998.
- The Water Board received capital assets of \$20,155 in 1998 which represent capital contributed by the City.

See accompanying independent auditors' report.

NEW YORK CITY WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM
COMBINING SCHEDULE OF CASH RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS

Year ended June 30, 1999
(in thousands)

	New York City Water Board	New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority	Eliminations	Total
Cash receipts:				
Water supply and distribution and sewer collection and treatment	\$1,279,729	—	—	1,279,729
Other operating revenues	40,075	—	—	40,075
Revenues received in advance	54,386	—	—	54,386
Investment income	3,218	80,386	—	83,604
Subsidy Income	—	45,828	—	45,828
Total cash receipts	<u>1,377,408</u>	<u>126,214</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1,503,622</u>
Cash disbursements:				
Operation and maintenance	814,316	—	—	814,316
Administration and general	1,727	9,109	—	10,836
Interest payments	—	464,319	—	464,319
Amounts refunded to customers	14,454	—	—	14,454
Total cash disbursements	<u>830,497</u>	<u>473,428</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1,303,925</u>
Excess (deficit) of cash receipts over cash disbursements before financing sources (uses)	<u>546,911</u>	<u>(347,214)</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>199,697</u>
Financing sources (uses):				
Proceeds from bond and note sales, net of issuance costs	—	1,284,953	—	1,284,953
Transfers from the Board, net	—	509,060	(509,060)	—
Investments	(8,570)	170,720	—	162,150
Construction payments	—	(786,175)	—	(786,175)
Repayment of bonds and notes	—	(831,343)	—	(831,343)
Transfers to the Authority, net	(509,060)	—	509,060	—
Total financing sources (uses)	<u>(517,630)</u>	<u>347,215</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>(170,415)</u>
Excess of cash receipts over cash disbursements	29,281	1	—	29,282
Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	<u>6,570</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>6,572</u>
Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents at end of year	<u>\$ 35,851</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>35,854</u>

See accompanying independent auditors' report.

NEW YORK CITY WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM
COMBINING SCHEDULE OF CASH RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS

Year ended June 30, 1998
(in thousands)

	<u>New York City Water Board</u>	<u>New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority</u>	<u>Eliminations</u>	<u>Total</u>
Cash receipts:				
Water supply and distribution and sewer collection and treatment	\$1,209,934	—	—	1,209,934
Other operating revenues	34,818	—	—	34,818
Revenues received in advance	60,753	—	—	60,753
Investment income	5,173	92,566	—	97,739
Subsidy income	24,110	43,612	—	67,722
Total cash receipts	<u>1,334,788</u>	<u>136,178</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1,470,966</u>
Cash disbursements:				
Operation and maintenance	843,909	—	—	843,909
Administration and general	2,909	8,190	—	11,099
Interest payments	—	461,929	—	461,929
Prepaid Construction	34,111	—	—	34,111
Amounts refunded to customers	16,430	—	—	16,430
Total cash disbursements	<u>897,359</u>	<u>470,119</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>1,367,478</u>
Excess (deficit) of cash receipts over cash disbursements before financing sources (uses)	<u>437,429</u>	<u>(333,941)</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>103,488</u>
Financing sources (uses):				
Proceeds from bond and note sales, net of issuance costs	—	2,384,189	—	2,384,189
Transfers from the Board, net	—	435,741	(435,741)	—
Investments	178	81,838	—	82,016
Construction payments	—	(744,167)	—	(744,167)
Repayment of bonds and notes	—	(1,823,680)	—	(1,823,680)
Transfers to the Authority, net	(435,741)	—	435,741	—
Total financing sources (uses)	<u>(435,563)</u>	<u>333,921</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>(101,642)</u>
Excess (deficit) of cash receipts over cash disbursements	1,866	(20)	—	1,846
Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	<u>4,704</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>4,726</u>
Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents at end of year	<u>\$ 6,570</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>6,572</u>

See accompanying independent auditors' report.

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

FORM OF OPINION OF BOND COUNSEL

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

FORM OF OPINION OF BOND COUNSEL
(Fiscal 2000 Series B Bonds)

, 2000

New York City Municipal
Water Finance Authority
75 Park Place, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10007

Ladies and Gentlemen:

We have examined a record of proceedings relating to the issuance of \$323,730,000 aggregate principal amount of Water and Sewer System Bonds, Fiscal 2000 Series B (the "2000 Series B Bonds") by the New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority (the "Authority"), a body corporate and politic constituting a public benefit corporation of the State of New York (the "State"), created and existing under and pursuant to the Constitution and statutes of the State, including the New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority Act, being Title 2-A of Article 5 of the Public Authorities Law of the State, as amended (which, together with Section 1046 of the Public Authorities Law of the State, is herein referred to as the "Act").

The 2000 Series B Bonds are issued under and pursuant to the Act and a resolution of the Authority adopted November 14, 1985 entitled "Water and Sewer System General Revenue Bond Resolution," as supplemented the date hereof (the "Resolution"), including by a resolution adopted June 2, 2000 entitled "Forty-first Supplemental Resolution Authorizing the Issuance of \$323,730,000 Water and Sewer System Revenue Bonds, Fiscal 2000 Series B" (the "Forty-first Supplemental Resolution") authorizing the 2000 Series B Bonds. Capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined have the respective meanings given to them in the Resolution.

Pursuant to the Act, the New York City Water Board (the "Board"), a public benefit corporation of the State, created and existing under the laws of the State, and The City of New York (the "City"), a municipal corporation of the State, have entered into a lease agreement, dated as of July 1, 1985, as amended (the "Lease"), whereby the Board has leased the New York City Water and Sewer System from the City for a term ending on the date on which all bonds, notes or other obligations of the Authority have been paid in full or provision for such payment shall have been made in accordance with the instruments under which they were issued. Pursuant to the Act, the Authority, the Board and the City have entered into a financing agreement, dated as of July 1, 1985, as amended (the "Financing Agreement"), relating to, among other things, the financing of Water Projects.

The 2000 Series B Bonds are part of an issue of bonds of the Authority (the "Bonds") which the Authority has created under the terms of the Resolution and is authorized to issue from time to time for the purposes authorized by the Act and the Resolution, as then in effect, and without limitation as to amount except as provided in the Resolution or as may be limited by law. The 2000 Series B Bonds are being issued for the purposes of the Resolution.

The Authority is authorized to issue Bonds, in addition to the 2000 Series B Bonds, only upon the terms and conditions set forth in the Resolution, and such Bonds, when issued, shall, with the 2000 Series B Bonds and with all other such Bonds therefore issued, be entitled to the equal benefit, protection and security of the provisions, covenants and agreements of the Resolution.

The 2000 Series B Bonds are dated the date hereof and mature on June 15 in the years and in the respective principal amounts, and bear interest at the respective rates per annum, set forth below:

<u>Year</u>	<u>Principal Amount</u>	<u>Interest Rate</u>
2031	\$ 60,000,000	6.50%
2031	70,000,000	6.10
2033	193,730,000	6.00

Interest on the 2000 Series B Bonds is payable on December 15, 2000 and semiannually thereafter on June 15 and December 15 in each year.

The 2000 Series B Bonds are subject to redemption in the manner and upon the terms and conditions set forth in the Resolution. The 2000 Series B Bonds are issuable in the form of fully registered Bonds in denominations of \$5,000 or integral multiples thereof.

We are of the opinion that:

1. The Authority is a body corporate and politic constituting a public benefit corporation of the State, duly created and existing under the laws of the State with the right and lawful authority and power to enter into the Financing Agreement, to adopt the Resolution and the Forty-first Supplemental Resolution and to issue the 2000 Series B Bonds.

2. The Resolution and the Forty-first Supplemental Resolution have been duly and lawfully adopted by the Authority, are in full force and effect and are the legal, valid and binding agreements of the Authority enforceable in accordance with their terms. The Resolution and the Forty-first Supplemental Resolution create the valid, binding and perfected pledges they purport to create of the Revenues and any moneys or securities on deposit in the Funds and Accounts created thereby, subject only to the provisions of the Resolution, the Forty-first Supplemental Resolution and the Financing Agreement permitting the application thereof for or to the purposes and on the terms and conditions permitted thereby, including the making of any required payments to the United States with respect to arbitrage earnings.

3. The 2000 Series B Bonds have been duly and validly authorized and issued. The 2000 Series B Bonds are valid and binding special obligations of the Authority payable as provided in the Resolution, are enforceable in accordance with their terms and the terms of the Resolution and are entitled, together with all other Bonds issued under the Resolution to the benefits of the Resolution and the Act.

4. The 2000 Series B Bonds are payable solely from the Revenues and other amounts pledged to such payment under the Resolution. The 2000 Series B Bonds are not a debt of the State, the City or the Board and neither the State, the City, the Board nor any other political subdivision of the State is liable thereon.

5. The Lease and the Financing Agreement have been duly authorized, executed and delivered by the respective parties thereto and constitute valid and binding obligations of such parties, enforceable in accordance with their terms.

6. The Revenues derived from the operation of the System are the property of the Board. The Financing Agreement validly transfers the right, title and interest of the Board in the Revenues to the Authority to the extent and as provided in the Financing Agreement, subject only to the provisions of the Act, the Financing Agreement and the Resolution permitting the application thereof for or to the purposes, and on the terms and conditions, therein set forth.

7. By virtue of the Act, the Authority has a valid, binding and perfected statutory lien upon the Revenues to be paid by the Board to the Authority pursuant to the Financing Agreement and such lien constitutes a first priority security interest therein.

8. The Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the "Code") imposes certain requirements which must be met subsequent to the issuance and delivery of the 2000 Series B Bonds for interest thereon to be and remain excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes. Noncompliance with such requirements could

cause the interest on the 2000 Series B Bonds to be included in gross income for federal income tax purposes retroactive to the date of issue of the 2000 Series B Bonds. Pursuant to the Forty-first Supplemental Resolution, the Authority has covenanted to maintain the exclusion from gross income of the interest on the Bonds pursuant to Section 103 of the Code, in furtherance thereof, to comply with the Tax Certificate as to Arbitrage and the Provisions of Sections 103 and 141-150 of the Code, with respect to the 2000 Series B Bonds for federal income tax purposes and that it shall provide for any required rebate to the United States.

9. Under existing law and assuming compliance with the aforementioned tax covenants, interest on the 2000 Series B Bonds is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes under Section 103 of the Code. We are also of the opinion that such interest on the 2000 Series B Bonds is not treated as a preference item in calculating the alternative minimum tax imposed under the Code with respect to individuals and corporations. Interest on the 2000 Series B Bonds is, however, included in the adjusted current earnings of certain corporations for purposes of computing the alternative minimum tax on such corporations.

Interest on the 2000 Series B Bonds is exempt, under existing law, from personal income tax of the State of New York and its political subdivisions, including The City of New York.

The difference between the principal amount of the 2000 Series B Bonds maturing on June 15, 2031 bearing interest at 6.50% (the "Premium Bonds") and the initial offering price to the public (excluding bond houses, brokers or similar persons or organizations acting in the capacity of underwriters or wholesalers) at which price a substantial amount of Premium Bonds of the same maturity was sold constitutes to an initial purchaser amortizable bond premium which is not deductible from gross income for federal income tax purposes. The amount of amortizable bond premium for a taxable year is determined actuarially on a constant interest rate basis over the term of each Premium Bond based on the purchaser's yield to maturity (or, in the case of Premium Bonds callable prior to their maturity, over the period to the call date and giving effect to any call premium). For purposes of determining gain or loss on the sale or other disposition of a Premium Bond, an initial purchaser who acquires such obligation in the initial offering to the public at the initial offering price is required to decrease such purchaser's adjusted basis in such Premium Bond annually by the amount of amortizable bond premium for the taxable year. Such amortized premium is not deductible in determining taxable income. The amortization of bond premium may be taken into account as a reduction in the amount of tax-exempt income for purposes of determining various other tax consequences of owning Premium Bonds.

The difference between the principal amount of the 2000 Series B Bonds maturing June 15, 2033 (the "Discount Bonds") and the initial offering price to the public (excluding bond houses, brokers or similar persons or organizations acting in the capacity of underwriters or wholesalers) at which price a substantial amount of Discount Bonds of the same maturity was sold constitutes original issue discount which is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes to the same extent as interest on the 2000 Series B Bonds. Further, the original issue discount accrues actuarially on a constant interest rate basis over the term of the Discount Bonds and the basis of such Discount Bonds acquired at the initial offering price by its initial purchaser will be increased by the amount of the accrued original issue discount. The accrual of original issue discount may be taken into account as an increase in the amount of tax-exempt income for purposes of determining various other tax consequences of owing Discount Bonds, even though there will not be a corresponding cash payment.

Except as stated in the preceding paragraphs, we express no opinion as to any other federal or state tax consequences of the ownership or disposition of the 2000 Series B Bonds. Furthermore, we express no opinion as to any federal, state or local tax law consequences with respect to the 2000 Series B Bonds, or the interest thereon, if any action is taken with respect to the 2000 Series A Bonds or the proceeds thereof upon the advice or approval of other counsel.

We have examined an executed 2000 Series B Bond, and, in our opinion, the form of said bond and its execution are regular and proper. However, we have not verified and express no opinion as to the accuracy of, *any*, "CUSIP" identification number which may be printed on any of the 2000 Series B Bonds.

The above opinions are qualified to the extent that the enforceability of rights and remedies may be limited by bankruptcy, insolvency, or other laws affecting creditors' rights and the unavailability of equitable remedies.

In rendering the opinions set forth in paragraphs 5 and 6 above, we wish to advise you that we have, with your consent, relied upon the opinion of the Corporation Counsel of The City of New York dated the date hereof and addressed to you as to the validity, binding effect and enforceability of the Financing Agreement and the Lease with respect to the Board and the City. In rendering the priority of lien opinion set forth in paragraph 7 above, we have (i) relied upon a certification by the Board that it has not made or granted a pledge of or security interest in the Revenues to any person other than the Authority and that it has not taken any action which could result in the imposition by operation of law of any lien, charge or encumbrance upon the Revenues, and (ii) assumed, without making any independent investigation, that (1) no lien, charge or encumbrance upon the Revenues has been imposed or exists by operation of law that is prior to the lien in favor of the Authority and (2) no facts or circumstances have occurred or exist which could result in the imposition by operation of law of any lien, charge or encumbrance upon the Revenues that is prior to the lien in favor of the Authority.

Very truly yours,

BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY FORM

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY FORM

The Depository Trust Company ("DTC") will act as securities depository for the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds. The Fiscal 2000 B Bonds will be issued as fully-registered securities registered in the name of Cede & Co. (DTC's partnership nominee). One fully-registered bond certificate will be issued for each maturity of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds, each in the aggregate principal amount of such maturity, and will be deposited with DTC. If, however, the aggregate principal amount of any maturity exceeds \$200 million, one certificate will be issued with respect to each \$200 million of principal amount and an additional certificate will be issued with respect to any remaining principal amount of such maturity.

DTC is a limited-purpose trust company organized under the New York Banking Law, a "banking organization" within the meaning of the New York Banking Law, a member of the Federal Reserve system, a "clearing corporation" within the meaning of the New York Uniform Commercial Code, and a "clearing agency" registered pursuant to the provisions of Section 17A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. DTC holds securities that its Participants deposit with DTC. DTC also facilitates the settlement among Participants of securities transactions, such as transfers and pledges, in deposited securities through electronic computerized book-entry changes in Direct Participants' accounts, thereby eliminating the need for physical movement of securities certificates. Direct Participants include securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, clearing corporations, and certain other organizations ("Direct Participants"). DTC is owned by a number of its Direct Participants and by the New York Stock Exchange, Inc., the American Stock Exchange, Inc., and the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. Access to the DTC system is also available to others such as securities brokers and dealers, banks, and trust companies that clear through or maintain a custodial relationship with a Direct Participant, either directly or indirectly ("Indirect Participants"). The Rules applicable to DTC and its Participants are on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Purchases of Fiscal 2000 B Bonds under the DTC system must be made by or through Direct Participants, which will receive a credit for the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds on DTC's records. The ownership interest of each actual purchaser of each Fiscal 2000 B Bond ("Beneficial Owner") is in turn to be recorded on the Direct and Indirect Participants' records. Beneficial Owners will not receive written confirmation from DTC of their purchase, but Beneficial Owners are expected to receive written confirmations providing details of the transaction, as well as periodic statements of their holdings, from the Direct or Indirect Participant through which the Beneficial Owner entered into the transaction. Transfers of ownership interests in the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds are to be accomplished by entries made on the books of participants acting on behalf of Beneficial Owners. Beneficial Owners will not receive certificates representing their ownership interests in Fiscal 2000 B Bonds, except in the event that use of the book-entry system for the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds is discontinued.

To facilitate subsequent transfers, all Fiscal 2000 B Bonds deposited by Direct Participants with DTC are registered in the name of DTC's partnership nominee, Cede & Co. The deposit of any series of bonds with DTC and their registration in the name of Cede & Co. effect no change in beneficial ownership. DTC has no knowledge of the actual Beneficial Owners of any Fiscal 2000 B Bonds: DTC's records reflect only the identity of the Direct Participants to whose accounts such bonds are credited, which may or may not be the Beneficial Owners. The Participants will remain responsible for keeping account of their holdings on behalf of their customers.

Conveyance of notices and other communications by DTC to Direct Participants, by Direct Participants to Indirect Participants, and by Direct Participants and Indirect Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by arrangements among them, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time.

Redemption notices shall be sent to Cede & Co. If less than all of the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds within a maturity are being redeemed, DTC's practice is to determine by lot the amount of the interest of each Direct Participant in such maturity to be redeemed.

Neither DTC nor Cede & Co. will consent or vote with respect to Fiscal 2000 B Bonds. Under its usual procedures, DTC mails an Omnibus Proxy to the Authority as soon as possible after the record date. The Omnibus Proxy assigns Cede & Co.'s consenting or voting rights to those Direct Participants to whose accounts the Bonds are credited on the record date (identified in a listing attached to the Omnibus Proxy).

Principal, premium, if any, and interest payments on the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds, will be made to DTC. DTC's practice is to credit Direct Participants' accounts on a payment date in accordance with their respective holdings shown on DTC's records unless DTC has reason to believe that it will not receive payment on a payment date. Payments by Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by standing instructions and customary practices, as is the case with securities held for the accounts of customers in bearer form or registered in "street name," and will be the responsibility of such Participant and not of DTC, the Trustee, or the Authority, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. Payment of principal, premium, if any, and interest to DTC is the responsibility of the Authority or the Trustee, disbursement of such payments to Direct Participants shall be the responsibility of DTC, and disbursement of such payments to the Beneficial Owners shall be the responsibility of Direct and Indirect Participants.

DTC may discontinue providing its services as securities depository with respect to the Fiscal 2000 B Bonds at any time by giving reasonable notice to the Authority or the Trustee. Under such circumstances, in the event that a successor securities depository is not obtained, bond certificates are required to be printed and delivered.

The Authority may decide to discontinue use of the system of book-entry transfers through DTC (or a successor securities depository). In that event, bond certificates will be printed and delivered.

According to DTC, the foregoing information with respect to DTC has been provided to the Industry for informational purposes only and is not intended to serve as a representation, warranty, or contract modification of any kind.

Unless otherwise noted, certain of the information contained in the preceding paragraphs of this subsection "Book-Entry-Only System" has been extracted from information given by DTC. Neither the Authority, the Trustee nor the Underwriters makes any representation as to the completeness or the accuracy of such information or as to the absence of material adverse changes in such information subsequent to the date hereto.

NEITHER THE AUTHORITY NOR THE TRUSTEE WILL HAVE ANY RESPONSIBILITY OR OBLIGATION TO SUCH DTC PARTICIPANTS, INDIRECT PARTICIPANTS, OR THE PERSONS FOR WHOM THEY ACT AS NOMINEES WITH RESPECT TO THE PAYMENTS TO OR THE PROVIDING OF NOTICE FOR SUCH DTC PARTICIPANTS, INDIRECT PARTICIPANTS, OR THE BENEFICIAL OWNERS.



DO NOT STAPLE THIS FORM

114309

S R B

FORM G - 36 (05) - FOR OFFICIAL STATEMENTS

SECTION I - MATERIALS SUBMITTED

THIS FORM IS SUBMITTED IN CONNECTION WITH (check one):

1. A FINAL OFFICIAL STATEMENT RELATING TO A PRIMARY OFFERING OF MUNICIPAL SECURITIES (enclose two (2) copies)

(a) DATE RECEIVED FROM ISSUER: 06/12/2000

(b) DATE SENT TO MSRB: 06/12/2000

2. AN AMENDED OFFICIAL STATEMENT WITHIN THE MEANING OF RULE G-36(d) (enclose two (2) copies)

(a) DATE RECEIVED FROM ISSUER: _____

(b) DATE SENT TO MSRB: _____

IF MATERIALS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FORM CONSIST OF MORE THAN ONE DOCUMENT (e.g. preliminary official statement and wrap, even if physically attached), PLEASE CHECK HERE:

C. IF THIS FORM AMENDS PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED FORM WITHOUT CHANGING MATERIALS SUBMITTED, PLEASE CHECK HERE (include copy of original form G-36 (05)):

SECTION II - IDENTIFICATION OF ISSUE(S)

Each issue must be listed separately.

If more space is needed to list additional issues, please include on a separate sheet and check here:

NAME OF ISSUER DESCRIPTION ISSUE NEW YORK CITY MUNICIPAL WATER FINANCE AUTHORITY WATER AND SEWER REVENUE FISCAL 2000 SERIES B 2000 SERIES B

STATE: NY
DATED
DATE: 06/20/2000

NAME OF ISSUER DESCRIPTION ISSUE _____
STATE: _____
DATED _____
DATE: _____

NAME OF ISSUER DESCRIPTION ISSUE _____
STATE: _____
DATED _____
DATE: _____

SECTION III - TRANSACTION INFORMATION

LATEST FINAL MATURITY DATE OF ALL SECURITIES IN OFFERING: 06/15/2033

DATE OF FINAL AGREEMENT TO PURCHASE, OFFER OR SELL SECURITIES (Date of Sale): 06/02/2000

ACTUAL OR EXPECTED DATE OF DELIVERY OF SECURITIES TO UNDERWRITER(S) (Bond Closing): 06/20/2000

IF THESE SECURITIES ADVANCE REFUND ALL OR A PORTION OF ANOTHER ISSUE, PLEASE CHECK HERE:

A separate Form G-36(ARD) and copies of the advance refunding documents must be submitted for each issue advance refunded.

SECTION IV - UNDERWRITER ASSESSMENT INFORMATION

The information will be used by the MSRB to compute any rule A-13 underwriting assessment that may be due on this offering. The managing underwriter will be sent an invoice if a rule A-13 assessment is due on the offering.

MANAGING UNDERWRITER

PaineWebber Incorporated

SEC REG. NUMBER: 8-16267

TOTAL PAR VALUE OF ALL SECURITIES IN OFFERING \$ 323,730,000

PAR AMOUNT OF SECURITIES UNDERWRITTEN (if different from the amount shown in item B above): \$

CHECK ALL THAT APPLY

1. At the option of the holder thereof, all securities in this offering may be tendered to the issuer of such securities or its designated agent for redemption or purchase at par value of more at least as frequently as every nine months until maturity, earlier redemption, or purchase by the issuer or its designated agent.
2. At the option of the holder thereof, all securities in this offering may be tendered to the issuer of such securities or its designated agent for redemption or purchase at par value of more at least as frequently as every two years until maturity, earlier redemption, or purchase by the issuer or its designated agent.
3. This offering is exempt from SEC rule 15c2-12 under section (c)(1) of that rule. Section (c)(1) of SEC rule 15c2-12 states that an offering is exempt from the requirements of the rule if the securities offered have authorized denominations of \$100,000 or more and sold to no more than 35 persons each of whom the participating underwriter believes: (1) has the knowledge and expertise necessary to evaluate the merits and risks of the investment; and (2) is not purchasing for more than one account, or with a view toward distributing the securities.

SECTION V - CUSIP INFORMATION

MSRB rule G-34 requires that CUSIP numbers be assigned to each new issue of municipal securities unless the issue is ineligible for CUSIP number assignment under the eligibility criteria of the CUSIP Service Bureau.

CUSIP-9 NUMBERS OF THE ISSUE(S)

Maturity Date	CUSIP Number	Maturity Date	CUSIP Number	Maturity Date	CUSIP Number
06/15/2031A	64970KMC8	06/15/2031B	64970KMD6	06/15/2033A	64970KME4

IF ANY OF THE ABOVE SECURITIES HAS A "CUSIP-6" BUT NO "CUSIP-9", CHECK HERE AND LIST THEM BELOW: []

(Please see instructions in Form G-36 Manual)

LIST ALL CUSIP-6 NUMBERS ASSIGNED: _____


State the reason why such securities have not been assigned a "CUSIP-9": _____

IF ANY OF THESE SECURITIES IS INELIGIBLE FOR CUSIP NUMBER ASSIGNMENT, PLEASE CHECK HERE: []

State the reason why such securities are ineligible for CUSIP number assignment: _____

SECTION VI - MANAGING UNDERWRITER'S CERTIFICATION AND SIGNATURE

THE UNDERSIGNED CERTIFIES THAT THE MATERIALS ACCOMPANYING THIS FORM ARE AS DESCRIBED IN SECTION I ABOVE AND THAT ALL OTHER INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS TRUE AND CORRECT. THE UNDERSIGNED ACKNOWLEDGES THAT SAID MATERIALS WILL BE PUBLICLY DISSEMINATED.



PRINT NAME

SECTION IV ABOVE

SIGNATURE

NAME (PRINT)

(Managing Underwriter)

PHONE

PHONE: (Include phone and fax numbers at which you are most likely to be reached regarding accompanying materials)

and

1. Please refer to form G-36 Manual for detail instructions.
2. All items on this form must be completed or noted as CORRECTION.
3. Two properly completed copies of this form and two copies of the accompanying materials must be included to be considered sent to the MSRB within 10 business days of the date of the offering.
4. Submit this form and accompanying materials to MSRB, MSIL System, 1090 King Street, Suite 200, Alexandria, Virginia 22314.

FORWARDED FOR

statement must

Alexandria, Virginia