

NEW YORK CITY WATER & SEWER SYSTEM 2011

Carlo An A COMPONENT UNIT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK A COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL REPORT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTORY SECTION
Letter of Transmittal
Organizational Chart
Certificate of Achievement
System Officials
FINANCIAL SECTION
Independent Auditor's Report
Management's Discussion and Analysis
Combining Balance Sheet – June 30, 2011
Combining Balance Sheet – June 30, 2010
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets for 2011
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets for 2010
Combining Statements of Cash Flows for 2011
Combining Statements of Cash Flows for 2010
Notes to Financial Statements
Required Supplementary Information

S	TATISTICAL SECTION	51
Sc	hedules of Financial Trends Information	53
Sc	hedules of Revenue Capacity Information	54
Sc	hedules of Debt Capacity Information	55
Sc	hedules of Demographic and Economic Information	56
Sc	hedules of Operation Information	58

. . . .



Situated in Downsville New York, the Pepacton Reservoir is New York City's largest reservoir by volume and supplies the city with 25% of its drinking water. The Reservoir's name was derived from "Peapackton", the Lenape word for "marriage of the waters." It has been active since 1955, and its primary inflows come from the East Branch of the Delaware River.

## PEPACTON RESERVOIR



#### CANNONSVILLE RESERVOIR

Location: Delaware County, New York Max. Length: 16.8 miles Max.Width: 1.0 miles

One of four reservoirs in the City's Delaware system and the newest in New York City's water supply, the Cannonsville Reservoir was formed by damming the West Branch of the Delaware River. It has the largest drainage basin of the New York reservoirs.

#### LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

November 10, 2011

#### Members of the Board of the New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority. Members of the New York City Water Board. The Commissioner of the New York City Department of Environmental Protection

We are pleased to submit to you this Comprehensive Annual Financial Report ("CAFR") of the New York City Water and Sewer System (the "System") for the year ended June 30, 2011. The financial section of this CAFR includes management's discussion and analysis, the general-purpose financial statements and the combining financial statements and schedules, as well as the independent auditors' report on these financial statements and schedules.

The System is responsible for the accuracy, completeness and fairness of the presentation, including all disclosures. The management's discussion and analysis provides an overview of the System's financial results.

The reporting entity consists of two separate and independent corporate bodies that are combined for reporting purposes: the New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority (the "Authority") and the New York City Water Board (the "Water Board"). In addition, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection ("DEP") operates the System. The passage of the New York City Municipal Finance Authority Act of 1984 (the "Act") by the New York State Legislature authorized this financing and operating relationship. The System is a component unit of the City of New York (the "City") for financial reporting purposes.

The Authority is authorized to issue bonds and other debt instruments for construction of and improvements to the System. The Authority also has the power to refund its bonds and notes and the general obligation bonds of the City issued for water or sewer purposes. The Authority is administered by a Board of Directors composed of seven members, four of whom serve ex-officio, two of whom are appointed by the Mayor of the City and one of whom is appointed by the Governor of the State of New York (the "State"). The staff of the Authority operates

under the direction of a Chief Executive Officer and an Executive Director.

The Water Board leases the operating system from the City, sets rates, and collects System revenue. The Lease Agreement dated July 1, 1985 (the "Lease"), provides for a lease term until all the bonds of the Authority are paid in full, or provision for payment has been made. The Lease requires the Water Board to make a payment to the City which is no more than the greater of: i) principal and interest for the fiscal year on City general obligation bonds issued for water and sewer purposes, or ii) fifteen percent of principal and interest on Authority debt for the fiscal year. The Water Board is obligated to first allocate the revenues of the System to debt service on Authority bonds and to the Authority's expense budget, after which revenues are allocated to the Water Board's expenses, DEP's cost of operating and maintaining the System, and to rental fees paid to the City under the terms of the Lease.

DEP works to protect the environmental welfare and health of the City's residents and natural resources, manages the City's water supply, transmission and distribution system, and collects, treats, and disposes of waste and storm water.

The Water Board consists of seven members who are appointed by the Mayor. The Act requires that at least one member have experience in the science of water resource development. Members of the Water Board cannot be members of the Board of Directors of the Authority. The Mayor appoints the Chairman. The staff of the Water Board operates under the direction of an Executive Director.

The operation and maintenance of the water and sewer system is performed by DEP. DEP is managed by a Commissioner who is appointed by the Mayor and oversees a workforce of over 5,700 people. DEP works to protect the environmental welfare and health of the City's residents and natural



#### NEW CROTON RESERVOIR

Location: Westchester County, New York Max. Length: 9.4 miles Max. Width: 0.8 miles

The New Croton Reservoir was placed into service in 1905 and is the largest of the 12 reservoirs in the Croton System. Located approximately 22 miles from New York City, it replaced the City's first upstate water supply. resources, manages the City's water supply, transmission and distribution system, and collects, treats, and disposes of waste and storm water. DEP supplies water and sewer service to the Boroughs of the Bronx, Brooklyn, Manhattan, Queens and Staten Island, an area of over 300 square miles, and serves over 8.2 million people. The City is also required by State law to sell water in counties where its water supply facilities are located. The System currently provides water to approximately one million people located in Westchester, Putnam, Orange and Ulster Counties.

The System provides an average of approximately I billion gallons per day of water. In addition to a system of dams, reservoirs, aqueducts, and water tunnels, DEP maintains approximately 6,700 miles of water mains. DEP also maintains approximately 7,400 miles of sewers that collect and transport waste and storm water for treatment at the City's 14 wastewater treatment plants. Additionally, the System operates four combined sewer overflow retention facilities, wastewater pump stations, laboratories, sludge dewatering facilities and inner-harbor vessels which transport sludge between facilities. The System collects and treats an average of approximately 1,260 million gallons per day of sewage. Sewer service is provided to virtually the entire City, except for parts of the Borough of Staten Island, and the Borough of Queens communities of Breezy Point. Sewer service is also provided to certain upstate communities in System watershed areas.

#### Credit Ratings

The Authority's bonds continue to be highly rated by all three rating agencies. The Authority's ratings reflect the credit strengths resulting from the strong legal protections provided to bondholders and structural features, which provide a true gross pledge of System revenue to bondholders for debt payments. Standard and Poor's Ratings Services rates the Authority's first general resolution debt "AAA", their highest rating. The Authority's second resolution debt is rated AA+ by Standard and Poor's. Fitch Ratings rates both the Authority's first and second general resolution debt "AA+". Moody's Investors Service rates the first and second general resolution debt "Aal" and "Aa2", respectively.

The Authority's ratings reflect the credit strengths resulting from the strong legal protections provided to bondholders and structural features, which provide a true gross pledge of System revenue to bondholders for debt payments.

New York State Environmental Facilities Corporation ("EFC") Clean Water and Drinking Water Revolving Funds Revenue Bonds, issued for eligible projects are rated "AAA" from all three rating agencies. EFC's subordinated state revolving fund bonds are rated "AA+" by Standard & Poor's, "Aa I" by Moody's and "AA+" by Fitch. The Bonds that the Authority places with EFC are an element of security for EFC's bonds, but are unrated second resolution bonds of the Authority.

#### Internal Controls

The management of the Water Board and the Authority is responsible for establishing and maintaining an internal control structure designed to provide reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that the assets of the System are protected from loss, theft or misuse, and that accounting policies are complied with and the preparation of financial statements conform with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Because the cost of internal control should not exceed anticipated benefits, the objective is to provide reasonable, rather than absolute, assurance that the financial statements are free of any material misstatements. Internal control cannot provide absolute assurance of achieving financial reporting objectives because of its inherent limitations. Internal control involves human diligence and compliance; it is subject to human failures and may be circumvented. Because of such limitations, the Authority and the Board have designed into the process safeguards to reduce, though not eliminate, this risk.

DEP is subject to the internal control directives and memorandums that originate from the New York City Comptroller's Office. These directives establish internal controls



#### NEVERSINK RESERVOIR

Location: Sullivan County, New York Max. Length: 5.5 miles Max.Width: 1.5 miles

With a drainage basin of 92 square miles, the Neversink Reservoir is fed by the Neversink River, which is the longest tributary of the Delaware River.The Neversink is part of the Delaware System.

and accountability, which safeguard City assets. Years 2011 through 2021. The City's Current In addition, DEP is subject to audit by the City Comptroller's Office, whose auditors periodically audit the City's agencies adherence to internal control policies and procedures.

#### Budgetary Controls

The Water Board and the Authority maintain separate control structures for their specific areas of responsibility. The Water Board and the Authority establish separate operating budgets approved by their respective Boards.

### Capital Program Goals

The goals of the System's capital program are:

- To maintain the guality of the water in the City's watersheds and, where necessary, treat the supply to ensure its high quality and compliance with federal and State water quality standards;
- To maintain and improve the transmission and distribution capacity and the condition of the City's water supply system;
- To improve the quality of the surrounding waters by upgrading the City's wastewater treatment facilities, by complying with federal and State standards for treatment and by reducing pollution caused by combined sewer overflows; and
- To maintain and improve the condition of the sewer system and prevent flooding by replacing failing sewers and extending service to underserved areas of the City.

DEP's capital and operation and maintenance budgets are appropriated through the City's annual capital and operating budgets, respectively. The City also maintains an encumbrance accounting system as another technique of accomplishing budgetary control. The Authority and the Board adopt their budgets conterminously with the City's operating budget cycle.

#### Capital Improvement Program and Financing Program

The City updates its Ten Year Capital Strategy (the "Strategy") every two years. The City released the Strategy in May 2011. The Strategy included the projected capital improvements to the System for Fiscal

Capital Plan, which covers Fiscal Years 2012 through 2015, was updated in September 2011. It is updated three times each fiscal year and the May 2011 release supersedes the Strategy for Fiscal Years 2012 through 2015. The Strategy, together with the Current Capital Plan, comprises the Capital Improvement Program (the ''CIP'').

The CIP is designed to maintain a satisfactory level of service and improve the operation of the System. The CIP establishes long-range programmatic goals for the System and reflects a review of the present condition and long-term needs of the plant and equipment constituting the System. The CIP also incorporates the System's requirements for meeting legal mandates, the present replacement cycle for System facilities, extensions to the present service area, and programs to enhance and optimize the operation of the System. Allowances are included in the CIP for emergency repair and replacement. An annual allowance for cost escalation due to inflation is also included. The total capital

commitments projected to be provided from System funds, most of which will come from the proceeds of notes and bonds of the Authority, is \$12.9 billion for Fiscal Years 2012-2021. The following table reflects the CIP as of September 2011, and is the basis for the System's annual cash flow requirements. For a number of reasons, including unforeseen cost inflation and changes in plans, actual costs may vary from the CIP set forth below. The CIP is divided into five project types, each discussed below. The capital commitments shown in each year represent capital contracts authorized to be entered into in each year that will be paid from City funds and reimbursed by the Authority, largely from bond proceeds. Actual expenditures from such capital contracts and the issuance of Authority bonds to fund such expenditures occur in the current and subsequent years.

#### Water Supply & Transmission

This component of the CIP includes approximately \$479 million for Stages I and II of the City's Water Tunnel No. 3. Water Tunnel No. 3 will augment the transmission capacity from the watersheds into the City, permit the inspection and rehabilitation of Tunnels

(\$, in 000's)	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Total
Water Supply and Transmission	159,707	564,782	322,393	716,417	1,442	123,467	217,475	2,482	2,000	302,000	2,412,165
Water Distribution	944,673	645,859	251,099	124,090	297,790	162,368	83,313	104,922	417,266	102,041	3,233,421
Water Pollution and Control	786,368	354,744	616,584	243,981	566,382	481,819	386,670	513,687	375,492	211,711	4,537,438
Sewers	594,248	248,272	246,728	44, 34	139,296	83,666	70,814	188,520	71,518	172,061	1,959,257
Equipment	120,756	123,700	176,125	104,072	74,075	48,810	48,798	26,338	39,500	28,162	790,336
Total City Funds	2,605,752	1,937,357	1,612,929	1,332,694	1,078,985	900,130	907,070	835,949	905,776	815,975	12,932,617

I and 2, and provide delivery alternatives to the City in the event of disruption in Tunnels I or 2. Stage I of Tunnel No. 3 commenced operation in July 1998. Construction of Stage Il continues towards its expected operational date of 2021.

Stage II will extend from the end of Stage I to supply Queens, Brooklyn and the Richmond Tunnel servicing Staten Island and from the valve chamber at Central Park into Lower Manhattan. When Stage II comes on line, DEP will have achieved full redundancy of Tunnels No. I and 2. This will allow DEP to inspect and repair these tunnels for the first time since they were put into operation in 1917 and 1936, respectively.

The CIP includes \$1.9 billion for water conveyance projects. Funds included in the CIP for conveyance include the research and development of alternate conveyance conduits and water supplies for the City in order to provide more dependability within the water system, including the Rondout-West Branch Tunnel. DEP has completed an evaluation of alternatives to mitigate the leak in the Rondout-West Branch tunnel and has elected to construct an approximately three mile long bypass tunnel. Funding for the first time, a 10-year FAD to the City. USEPA bypass is included in the CIP.

#### Water Distribution & Treatment

The System's drinking water is rated among the best in the country. The CIP projects spending of nearly \$3.2 billion for the protection, expansion, and distribution of the City's water supply, including \$1.0 billion for trunk and distribution water main replacements and extensions. Additionally, \$259 million is included for completion of construction of

NEW YORK CITY WATER & SEWER SYSTEM

#### CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

a full-scale filtration plant for the treatment of water from the Croton watershed, along with \$455 million for the reconstruction of Gilboa Dam.

### The System's drinking water is rated among the best in the country.

The program also calls for \$1.2 billion to be committed to on-going water quality preservation and protection. To ensure its continuing quality, and to comply with federal and State standards, DEP is pursuing a comprehensive program to protect the relatively pristine Catskill and Delaware watersheds. Water quality preservation projects include the completion of an ultraviolet light disinfection facility for treatment of water from the Catskill and Delaware systems, a continuation of the acquisition of environmentally sensitive property in the upstate watershed, and other ongoing projects associated with the Filtration Avoidance Determination ("FAD") issued by the US Environmental Protection Agency ("USEPA"). The FAD allows the City to avoid filtering water from the Catskill and Delaware Systems. In July 2007, USEPA issued, for the has previously issued a series of FADs to the City for shorter terms, since 1993.

#### Water Pollution Control

To improve the quality of the City's estuaries and surrounding waterways and to comply with federal Clean Water Act mandates, \$4.5 billion is included in the CIP for water pollution control programs.

The CIP allocates \$2.2 billion for the replacement or reconstruction of components at

the City's wastewater treatment facilities to ensure their continuous and reliable operations including nitrogen removal at five wastewater treatment plants. Additionally, the CIP includes nearly \$220 million to complete mandated projects to achieve secondary treatment at the System's largest treatment plant, Newtown Creek.

DEP's CIP also includes \$1.75 billion for mandated projects to reduce combined sewer overflows ("CSO"). CSOs are currently a source of pollution in the waterways surrounding the City. CSO events occur during and after heavy rainstorms, when the flow of wastewater and storm water in the sewers exceeds the treatment capacity of a wastewater treatment plant and enters surrounding waterways untreated. In September 2010, DEP released a green infrastructure plan presenting an alternative approach to reducing CSOs. The plan uses a mix of green infrastructure to prevent storm water from reaching the sewers and cost-effective traditional infrastructure that will reduce sewer overflows into waterways.

#### Sewers

Approximately \$2.0 billion is projected in the CIP to be committed to replace existing sewers in areas requiring increased capacity, to extend sewers to unserved or underserved areas, and to replace failing, flawed, or collapsed sewer mains.

#### Equipment

Programs in this category of the CIP include water meter installation, automated meter reading systems, the procurement of vehicles and equipment, management information systems, and utility relocation for sewers



#### RONDOUT RESERVOIR

Location: Sullivan County, New York Max. Length: 6.8 miles Max. Width: 0.8 miles

Seventy-five miles from New York City in the Catskills, the Rondout Reservoir is the central collection point for the Delaware System. The reservoir is the product of the Merriman Dam, which is on Rondout Creek.

and water mains. A total of \$790 million is included in the CIP for these projects.

The automated meter reading system will transmit water usage information by radio signal to DEP. DEP has installed over 765,000 transmitters, covering 92% of all customers. DEP also plans to replace over 400,000 old water meters.

#### Risk Management

In accordance with the Lease, the Water Board is required to reimburse the City for any judgment or settlement paid by the City arising out of a tort claim to the extent that the City's liability is related to capital improvements and the operation or maintenance of the System. However, the yearly payment made to the City is limited to 5% of the aggregate revenues shown on the last year-end audited financial statements of the System. In addition, the System is required to reimburse the City to the extent requested by the City, for the payment of any judgment or settlement arising out of a contract claim with respect to the construction of capital improvements. The City has agreed, subject to certain conditions, to indemnify the Authority and the Water Board against any and all liability in connection with any act done or omitted in good faith.

#### Cash Management

Both the Authority and the Water Board invest funds. The Authority's investments must conform to the policies set forth in the Authority's investment guidelines, dated March 20, 2009. The Authority employs various methods for the investment of its funds. The Authority's management is responsible for the investment of certain funds, including

investments in investment agreements. The Authority also uses an investment manager for the active management of some funds. The Water Board makes its own investments through the City Comptroller's investment group.

Funds are invested based upon cash flow requirements and subject to the restrictions on investments set forth in the Authority's bond resolutions and the investment guidelines. Daily cash from customer payments is received into a lock box by the Water Board and is transferred daily to the Authority for debt service payments and to the City Comptroller to pay for the operation and maintenance of the System. The Water Board retains no cash until all requirements for debt service, Authority and Board expenses, operation and maintenance, and rental payments are met. The Water Board retains any surplus cash over these requirements for use in the following year to pay required deposits, which include Authority debt service and expenses.

#### Independent Audit

Section 6.11 (b) of the Financing Agreement by and among the City, the Authority and the Water Board dated as of July 1, 1985, requires that the Authority shall submit to the Mayor, the Comptroller and the Director of Management and Budget of the City, audited annual financial statements of the Authority and the Water Board. The financial section of the 2011 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report begins with the report of our independent auditors, Deloitte & Touche LLP. This report expresses an unqualified opinion as to the fairness of the presentation of our financial statements.



Since 1926, the Schoharie Reservoir has been providing water to the people of New York. The Reservoir is located in the Catskill Mountains and was created by impounding Schoharie Creek through the construction of Gilboa Dam. The reservoir is the northernmost of the New York City system and is part of the Catskill System.

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas G. Paolicelli Executive Director

Michele Mark Levine Comptroller

## SCHOHARIE RESERVOIR









Midway along the length of Esopus Creek lies the Ashokan Reservoir. The first reservoir to be built in the Catskill Watershed in 1915, this reservoir is also New York City's deepest. The reservoir has a western basin and a slightly

## ASHOKAN RESERVOIR

Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting

Presented to

New York City Water and Sewer System

> New York For its Comprehensive Annual **Financial Report** for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010

A Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting is presented by the Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada to government units and public employee retirement systems whose comprehensive annual financial reports (CAFRs) achieve the highest standards in government accounting and financial reporting.

VITED STATE

Dinta C. Dandom President Geffrey R. Ener

**Executive Director** 

## System Officials

#### NEW YORK CITY MUNICIPAL WATER FINANCE AUTHORITY

#### **Board of Directors**

Mark Page, ex officio Member Carter H. Strickland Jr., ex officio Member David M. Frankel, ex officio Member Joseph J. Martens, ex officio Member Marc V. Shaw, Member

#### Staff

Alan L. Anders, Chief Executive Officer Thomas G. Paolicelli, Executive Director Marjorie E. Henning, Secretary Michele Mark Levine, Comptroller Robert L. Balducci, Deputy Comptroller Prescott D. Ulrey, Assistant Secretary Jeffrey M. Werner, Assistant Secretary Valerie Mehallow, Finance Manager Raymond J. Orlando, Director of Media and Investor Relations

#### NEW YORK CITY WATER BOARD

#### Members

Alan M. Moss, Chairman Marcia Bystryn, Member Donald A. Capoccia, Member Alfonso L. Carney Jr., Member Mehul J. Patel, Member Arlene M. Payne, Member Benjamin A. Tisdell, Member

#### Staff

Steve Lawitts, Executive Director Mathilde O. McLean, Treasurer Greg L. Ascierto, Deputy Treasurer Albert F. Moncure, Jr. Secretary

#### NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION**

Carter H. Strickland Jr., Commissioner

Steve Lawitts, Chief Financial Officer

**Operations and Strategic Planning** Kathryn Garcia, Deputy Commissioner

Legal Affairs Robin Levine, General Counsel

Water and Sewer Operations James Roberts, P.E., Deputy Commissioner

Engineering Design and Construction Kathryn Mallon, P.E., Deputy Commissioner

Wastewater Treatment Vincent Sapienza, P.E., Deputy Commissioner

Water Supply Paul Rush, P.E., Deputy Commissioner

**Customer Services** Joseph Singleton, Deputy Commissioner

Sustainability Vacant

**Environmental Planning** Angela Licata, Deputy Commissioner

Human Resources and Administration ZoeAnn Campbell, Deputy Commissioner

Police and Security Kevin McBride, Deputy Commissioner

# **Deloitte**.

**Deloitte & Touche LLP** Two World Financial Center New York, NY 10281-1414 USA Tel: +| 2|2 436 2000 Fax: +1 212 436 5000 www.deloitte.com

#### **INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT**

To the Joint Audit Committee of New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority and New York City Water Board:

We have audited the accompanying combining balance sheets of the business-type activities of the New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority and the New York City Water Board, which collectively comprise the New York City Water and Sewer System (the "System"), a component unit of The City of New York, New York, as of June 30, 2011 and 2010, and the related combining statements of revenues, expenses and changes in net assets (deficit), and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the System's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the System's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the business-type activities of the New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority and the New York City Water Board of the System as of June 30, 2011 and 2010, and the respective changes in their net assets and cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying management's discussion and analysis ("MD&A") on pages 13–19 and the Schedule of Funding Progress on page 50 are not a required part of the basic financial statements, but are supplementary information required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. This supplementary information is the responsibility of the System's management. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the supplementary information. However, we did not audit such information, and we do not express an opinion on it.

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements taken as a whole. The introductory section on pages 1–11 and the statistical section on pages 53–59 are presented for the purpose of additional analysis and are not a required part of the financial statements. This supplemental information is the responsibility of the System's management. Such information has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in our audits of the financial statements and accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

Udate & Louch LLP

October 7, 2011

### **OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The following is an overview of the financial activities of the New York City Water and Sewer System (the "System") for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. The System is a joint operation consisting of two legally separate and independent entities, the New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority (the "Authority") and the New York City Water Board (the "Board"). The System is a component unit of The City of New York ("The City").

#### Financial Analysis and Results of Operations

The following summarizes the activities of the System for the fiscal years 2011, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

#### **REVENUES:**

Water supply and distribution
Sewer collection and treatment
Other operating revenues
Total operating revenues
Subsidy income
Investment income
Total revenues

#### **EXPENSES:**

Operations and maintenance Other operating expenses Bad debt expense Administration and general Depreciation and amortization Capital distribution Loss on retirement of capital assets Interest expense Total expenses Net loss before capital contributions

CAPITAL CONTRIBUTIONS CHANGE IN NET ASSETS NET (DEFICIT) ASSETS — Beginning Restatements of beginning net assets NET (DEFICIT) ASSETS — Ending

Member of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu

The basic financial statements of the System, which include the balance sheets, the statements of revenues, expenses and changes in net assets and the statements of cash flows, are presented for the purposes of displaying entity-wide information, in accordance with Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) standards. These financial statements are prepared using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting.

				۷	arianc	e
2011	2010	2009	2	011 v 2010	2	010 v 2009
\$ 1,158,977	\$ 1,005,045	\$ 920,033	\$	153,932	\$	85,012
1,797,777	1,562,777	1,430,588		235,000		32, 89
111,552	190,251	97,946		(78,699)		92,305
3,068,306	2,758,073	 2,448,567		310,233		309,506
180,986	28,  0	108,708		52,876		19,402
38,313	65,760	106,234		(27,447)		(40,474)
3,287,605	2,951,943	2,663,509		335,662		288,434
1,294,533	1,539,846	1,249,514		(245,313)		290,332
103,334	289,989	198,754		(186,655)		91,235
76,799	4,032	36,060		62,767		(22,028)
40,424	40,257	50,581		167		(10,324)
628,339	574,483	696,345		53,856		(121,862)
53,591	32,580	51,921		21,011		( 9,34 )
3,426	23,254	299,450		(19,828)		(276,196)
1,178,226	1,019,633	929,333		158,593		90,300
3,378,672	3,534,074	3,511,958		(155,402)		22,116
(91,067)	(582, 3 )	(848,449)		491,064		266,318
18,696	30,424	11,529		(  ,728)		18,895
(72,371)	(551,707)	(836,920)		479,336		285,213
(280,517)	271,190	1,165,891		(551,707)		(894,701)
		 (57,781)				57,781
\$ (352,888)	\$ (280,517)	\$ 271,190	\$	(72,371)	\$	(551,707)

#### **OPERATING REVENUE**

#### 2011-2010

Operating revenues increased by \$310.2 million or 11.2% due predominantly to a rate increase of 12.9%.

#### 2010-2009

Operating revenues increased by 12.6% primarily due to a rate increase of 12.9%.

The following summarizes other operating revenues for fiscal years 2011, 2010, and 2009 (in thousands):

					Va	riance	e
	2011	2010	2009	20	)   v 2010	20	010 v 2009
Upstate water fees	\$ 64,737	\$ 40,876	\$ 42,197	\$	23,861	\$	(1,321)
Late payment fees	30,270	29,107	8,708		1,163		10,399
Change in residual interest in sold liens	(1,734)	2,156	21,681		(3,890)		(19,525)
Release of escrow	4,406	98,820	_		(94,414)		98,820
Federal funding	2,504	2,733	_		(229)		2,733
Litigation settlement receipt	_	8,867	_		(8,867)		8,867
Connection fees and permits	11,369	7,692	15,360		3,677		(7,668)
Total other operating revenues	\$ 111,552	\$  90,25	\$ 97,946	\$	(78,699)	\$	92,305

#### **OTHER OPERATING REVENUE**

#### 2011-2010

In fiscal 2011 the System received \$4.4 million from two trust accounts established in 2004. These trust funds were established to pay for fines pertaining to nitrogen removal and combined sewer overflow abatement pursuant to consent orders to New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. By meeting certain conditions, the System was entitled to use the funds from the trust accounts.

Upstate water fees increased by \$23.9 million or 58.4% compared to 2010. The increase is due primarily to consumption related to weather in the summer of 2010 and a rate increase of 24.7%.

The change in residual interest in sold liens decreased by \$3.9 million.

Connection fees and permits increased by \$3.7 million or 47.8% due to increased new construction activity.

Federal funding was received by the Water Board in fiscal 2011 to support technical assistance in developing a Contamination Warning System Demonstration Pilot Program.

#### 2010-2009

In fiscal 2010 the System received \$98.8 million from an escrow account established in 1989 for penalties pertaining to ocean dumping. By meeting certain conditions, the System was entitled to use the funds from the escrow account for certain operations and maintenance costs. The System also received a litigation settlement from a group of settling defendant companies of \$8.8 million relating to contamination of ground water by the gasoline additive methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE).

Late payment fees increased by \$10.4 million compared to 2009, when a payment incentive program resulted in late payment charge forgiveness for participants. The change in residual interest in sold liens decreased by \$19.5 million.

Connection fees and permits decreased by almost 50% due to the economy.

Federal funding was received by the Water Board in fiscal 2010 to support technical assistance in developing a Contamination Warning System Demonstration Pilot Program.

#### **INVESTMENT INCOME**

#### 2011-2010

Investment income decreased by \$27.4 million or 41.7%. The decrease was due to lower interest rates on invested assets and an unrealized loss of \$14.4 million due to the change in the fair market value of agreements to purchase securities in fiscal 2011 compared to a loss of \$0.3 million in fiscal 2010. The market value of these assets is affected by changes in the fair values of the contracts caused by changes in market interest rates.

#### 2010-2009

Investment income decreased by \$40.4 million or 38.1%. The decrease was due to lower interest rates on invested assets and an unrealized loss of \$0.3 million on agreements to purchase securities in fiscal 2010 compared to a gain of \$17.9 million in fiscal 2009. Additionally, the fiscal 2009 investment income has been restated to include a \$7.1 million gain in the value of non-hedge derivatives, as discussed further below.

#### **OPERATING EXPENSES**

#### 2011-2010

Operations and maintenance expenses decreased by \$245.3 million or 15.9% because of a large collective bargaining settlement that had increased operations and maintenance costs in fiscal 2010. Water Board general and administration expenses decreased by \$3.4 million due to decreases in certain outside contractor costs. Water Authority general and administration expenses increased by \$3.6 million primarily for increases in fees related to variable rate debt.

#### 2010-2009

Operations and maintenance expenses increased by \$290.3 million or 23.2%. The increase is due primarily to a large retroactive collective bargaining settlement covering certain City employees involved in operations of the System costing \$267.4 million. Other operating expenses increased by \$91.2 million. The increase is due to pollution remediation expense increased by \$71.7 million and payments for upstate watershed improvements increased by \$19.5 million. Water Board general and administration expenses decreased by \$17.0 million due to decreases in certain outside contractor costs. Water Authority general and administration expenses increased by \$6.7 million primarily for fees related to new variable rate debt.

#### **NON-OPERATING EXPENSES**

#### 2011-2010

Interest expense increased by \$158.6 million or 15.6%, primarily due to an increase in bonds outstanding of \$2.5 billion or 10.6%.

#### 2010-2009

Interest expense increased by \$90.3 million or 9.7%, primarily due to an increase in bonds outstanding of \$2.1 billion or 9.8%.

#### **CHANGES IN NET ASSETS**

#### 2011-2010

The change in net assets represents the net total of operating income, non-operating losses, and capital contributions. Net assets decreased by \$72.4 million in fiscal year 2011.

#### 2010-2009

The change in net assets represents the net total of operating income, non-operating losses, and capital contributions. Net assets decreased by \$551.7 million in fiscal year 2010.

#### Restatement of 2009 Beginning Net Assets — In

November 2006 GASB issued Statement No. 49, ("GASB 49"), Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pollution Remediation Obligations. The Statement established accounting and financial reporting standards for obligations to address current or potential detrimental effects of existing pollution. GASB 49 is effective for financial statements for periods beginning after December 15, 2007, and was implemented by the System for its Board's fiscal 2009. The implementation of GASB 49 resulted in a restatement of the fiscal 2009 beginning net asset balance of \$61.6 million, reflecting the pollution remediation obligation as of June 30, 2008.

In June 2008 GASB issued Statement No. 53, ("GASB 53"), Accounting and Financial Reporting for Derivative Instruments. This Standard established guidance on the recognition, measurement, and disclosures related to derivative instruments entered into by governmental entities. GASB 53 requires that most derivative instruments be reported at fair value, and requires governmental entities to determine if derivatives are effective hedges of risks associated with related hedgeable items. Generally, for derivatives that are effective hedges, changes in fair values are deferred whereas for others the changes in fair value are recognized in the current period. GASB 53 was effective for financial statements for periods beginning after June 15, 2009 and was implemented by the System for its fiscal 2010. The

implementation of GASB 53 resulted in a restatement (discussed further below) of the Authority's fiscal 2009 beginning net asset balance of \$3.8 million reflecting the fair value of the non-hedge derivative as of June 30, 2008.

The implementation of GASB 49 and GASB 53 have resulted in restatements to reduce the System's fiscal 2009 beginning net asset balance by \$57.8 million, reflecting the pollution remediation obligation as of June 30, 2008 and the fair value of non-hedge derivatives as of June 30, 2008. For further information pertaining to the pollution remediation obligations, see Note 15, and for further information pertaining to the derivative instruments, see Note 5.

Following is a summary of the System's assets, liabilities and net assets as of June 30, (in thousands):

				Var	iance	
	2011	2010	2009	2011 v 2010	2	010 v 2009
Current Assets	\$ 2,251,021	\$ 2,132,321	\$ 2,446,524	\$   8,700	\$	(3 4,203)
Residual Interest in Sold Liens	39,000	40,734	38,578	(1,734)		2,156
Deferred outflows from Hedging	53,216	71,930	47,745	( 8,7 4)		24,185
Deferred Bond and Financing Expenses	176,139	163,703	52,5 6	12,436		, 87
Capital Assets	24,988,836	23,016,469	21,139,238	I,972,367		1,877,231
Total Assets	\$ 27,508,212	\$ 25,425,157	\$ 23,824,601	\$ 2,083,055	\$	l,600,556
Long-Term Liabilities	\$ 26,115,749	\$ 23,549,533	\$ 21,458,003	\$ 2,566,216	\$	2,091,530
Current Liabilities	1,745,351	2,156,141	2,095,408	(410,790)		60,733
Total liabilities	27,861,100	25,705,674	23,553,411	2,155,426		2,152,263
Net Assets (Deficit):						
Invested in capital assets— net of related debt	(2 5,322)	920,728	1,253,882	(1,136,050)		(333,154)
Restricted for debt service	573,461	239,192	285,348	334,269		(46,156)
Restricted for operations and maintenance	199,636	191,772	195,844	7,864		(4,072)
Unrestricted (deficit)	(910,663)	(1,632,209)	(1,463,884)	721,546		(168,325)
Total net (deficit) assets	(352,888)	(280,5   7)	271,190	(72,371)		(551,707)
Total Liabilities and Net Assets	\$ 27,508,212	\$ 25,425,157	\$ 23,824,601	\$ 2,083,055	\$	1,600,556

#### 2011-2010

Current assets increased by \$118.7 million or 5.6%. The increase is due to excess monies held by the Authority for debt service in fiscal 2012.

Deferred outflows from hedging decreased by \$18.7 million due to an increase in the fair value of the hedging derivative instruments.

Long term liabilities increased by \$2.6 billion primarily due to the increase in long term portion of bonds payable of \$2.5 billion.

Pollution remediation obligations have increased by \$28.4 million.

Current liabilities decreased by \$410.8 million or 19.1% primarily due to a decrease of \$285.6 million in the amount payable to The City primarily for capital costs, a decrease of \$200 million in commercial paper notes payable, and offset by other changes.

#### 2010-2009

Current assets decreased by \$314.2 million or 12.8%. Investments, including cash equivalents, decreased by \$437.9 million primarily in the construction and escrow funds. Construction Funds decreased due to the timing of bond issuances and payments to The City for capital costs and payments from escrow account to defeased bonds. Net receivables increased by \$123.7 million.

Deferred outflows from hedging increased by \$24.1 million due to a decline in the fair value of the hedging derivative instruments. The System implemented GASB 53 in fiscal 2010, which resulted in the restatement of fiscal 2009 beginning net asset balance of \$3.8 million, the recording of a miscellaneous revenue, an asset, deferred outflows from hedging, of \$47.7 million and a liability, interest rate swap agreement — net, of \$36.8 million.

Long term liabilities increased by \$2.1 billion due to the increase in long term portion of bonds payable of \$2.0 billion.

#### **CAPITAL ASSETS**

The System's capital assets include buildings, equipment, vehicles, water treatment systems and water collection systems. Capital assets as of June 30, are detailed as follows (in thousands):

					Va	rianc	e
	2011	2010	2009	2	2011 v 2010	2	2010 v 2009
Utility plant under construction	\$ 7,804,563	\$ 6,112,362	\$ 5,072,496	\$	,692,20	\$	1,039,866
Utility plant in service:							
Buildings	34,877	24,193	24,193		10,684		_
Equipment	1,723,907	1,538,451	1,375,904		185,456		162,547
Vehicles	50,59	157,179	55,3 8		(6,588)		1,861
Water supply and wastewater treatment systems	5,943,523	5,424,628	4,382,432		518,895		,042, 96
Water distribution and sewage collection systems	8,463,662	8,3   6,   90	8, 65,6 2		47,472		150,578
Total utility plant in service	 26,316,560	 25,460,641	 24,103,459		855,919		1,357,182
Less accumulated depreciation for:							
Buildings	(18,447)	( 6,444)	( 6, 38)		(2,003)		(306)
Equipment	(667,675)	(573,595)	(499,543)		(94,080)		(74,052)
Vehicles	(93,086)	(98,852)	(93,242)		5,766		(5,610)
Water supply and wastewater treatment systems	(5,451,319)	(5,056,201)	(4,701,459)		(395,118)		(354,742)
Water distribution and sewage collection systems	(2,901,760)	(2,8  ,442)	(2,726,335)		(90,318)		(85,107)
Total accumulated depreciation	(9, 32,287)	(8,556,534)	 (8,036,717)		(575,753)		(5 9,8 7)
Total — net utility plant in service	  7, 84,273	6,904, 07	16,066,742		280,166		837,365
Total capital assets, net	\$ 24,988,836	\$ 23,016,469	\$ 21,139,238	\$	1,972,367	\$	1,877,231

Pollution remediation has decreased by \$44.7 million as the System has performed remediation of known pollution.

Current liabilities increased by \$60.7 million or 2.9% due to an increase of \$87.2 million in the amount payable to The City for capital costs and an increase of \$98.5 million in current portion of bonds and notes payable which was mostly offset by decrease in revenues received in advance, decrease in commercial paper payable and decrease in refunds payable to customers.

The increase in the System's capital assets, net of depreciation during fiscal 2011 was \$1.9 billion or 8.6%. Capital asset additions for fiscal 2011 were \$2.6 billion. See Note 3 (Utility Plant) for further details.

The net increase in the System's capital assets net of depreciation during fiscal 2010 was \$1.9 billion or 8.9%. Capital asset additions for fiscal 2010 were \$2.4 billion.

#### DEBT ADMINISTRATION

The Authority issues debt to pay for the capital improvements to the System and certain related costs. Certain costs related to the System's filtration avoidance determination, including land acquisition in the upstate watershed and costs associated with pollution remediation are financed with debt, but they are not recorded as System assets on the balance sheet. These costs or distributions are reported as expenses in the System's combining statements of revenues, expenses and changes in net assets (deficit) in the years incurred. Land purchased is granted to The City and becomes The City's capital asset because it is not subject to the capital lease under which the System reports water distribution and sewer collection and treatment capital assets.

The debt program of the Authority includes commercial paper, long-term debt of the Authority and a bond anticipation note and subsidized bonds issued through the New York State Environmental Facilities Corporation ("EFC"). The commercial paper program is the main source of financing to reimburse The City for payments made for water and sewer projects. The Authority then issues longterm debt of its own or through EFC to retire outstanding commercial paper. The Authority also periodically issues refunding bonds to refinance higher-coupon debt.

At June 30, 2011, the total outstanding debt of the System was \$26.9 billion, of which \$400 million was commercial paper.The remaining \$26.5 billion consisted of variable and fixed-rate bonds and notes maturing in varying installments through 2044. The total outstanding long-term debt at June 30, 2011 was as follows (in thousands):

#### Issue Date

2011	\$ 4,569,736
2010	3,130,424
2009	3,494,572
2008	2,843,358
2007	2, 37, 57
2006 and prior	10,333,622
Total long-term debt	\$ 26,508,869

In the summary above, bonds retired through refunding in fiscal 2011 are removed from the year in which the refunded bonds were issued, and the refunding bonds are included in the fiscal 2011 amount.

In fiscal 2011, the Authority issued \$3.8 billion of water and sewer revenue bonds directly to the public, including \$1.4 billion of refunding bonds and \$2.4 billion of new money bonds. The Authority also issued \$678.8 million of Clean Water and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund ("SRF") bonds to EFC, including \$478.8 million of new money bonds and \$200 million of refunding bonds. The Authority used new money bond proceeds to finance capital improvements to the System, to provide long-term financing of commercial paper notes, which had previously financed capital improvements to the system, and to pay the costs of issuance on the bonds.

On September 23, 2010, the Authority issued \$750.0 million of fixed rate new money second general resolution revenue bonds, Fiscal 2011 Series AA. The bonds are taxable Build America Bonds. Build America Bonds (BABs) allow the Authority to issue higher cost taxable bonds and receive a reimbursement from the federal government for 35% of the annual interest on the bonds. This bond issue included two term bonds maturing in 2041 and 2043. The Authority used the bond proceeds to pay the costs for improvements to the System, to refund the Authority's commercial paper notes series 8 and to pay the costs of issuance of the bonds.

Additionally, on September 30, 2010, the Authority issued \$210.0 million of tax-exempt fixed rate refunding bonds under its second general resolution. The Fiscal 2011 Series BB bonds refunded a portion of the Fiscal 2001 Series D and 1999 Series A first resolution bonds and paid the costs of issuance. The Fiscal 2011 Series BB bonds included serial bonds maturing from 2011 through 2043.

On November 18, 2010, the Authority issued \$750.0 million of fixed rate, new money second general resolution revenue bonds, Fiscal 2011 Series CC bonds. The bonds are taxable BABs and include two term bonds maturing in 2042 and 2044. The Authority used the bond proceeds to refund its commercial paper notes series I, series 6 and series 7, to pay the costs for improvements to the System and to pay the costs of issuance of the bonds.

Also on November 18, 2010, the Authority issued \$275.0 million of adjustable rate new money and refunding second general resolution revenue bonds, Fiscal 2011 Series DD. These bonds issues included term bonds

maturing in 2043. The Authority used the bond proceeds to pay the costs for improvements to the System, to refund its commercial paper notes series 5 A&B and to pay the costs of issuance of the bonds. The commercial paper notes series 5 will not be reissued.

On January 27, 2011, the Authority issued \$450.0 million of tax-exempt fixed rate, new money second general resolution revenue bonds, Fiscal 2011 Series EE. This bond issue included three term bonds maturing in 2040 and 2043. The Authority used the bond proceeds to refund the Authority's commercial paper notes series 6 and series 8, to pay the costs for improvements to the System and to pay the costs of issuance of the bonds.

On March 3, 2011, the Authority issued \$200.0 million of tax-exempt, adjustable rate, new money second general resolution revenue bonds, Fiscal 2011 Series FF. The Authority used the bond proceeds to pay the costs for improvements to the System and to pay the costs of issuance of the bonds. The Fiscal 2011 Series FF bonds included term bonds maturing in 2044.

On March 31, 2011, the Authority issued \$541.8 million of tax-exempt, fixed rate new money and refunding second general resolution revenue bonds, Fiscal 2011 Series GG. This bond issue included two term bonds maturing in 2042. The Authority used the bond proceeds to refund the Authority's commercial paper notes Series 7, to refunded a portion of its 2001 Series D, 2001 Series E, 2002 Series B, 2002 Series C, 2002 series D, 2002 Series E, 2002 Series F and 2003 Series D first resolution bonds, to pay the costs for improvements to the System and to pay the costs of issuance of the bonds.

On June 17, 2011, the Authority issued fixed-rate new money and refunding second general resolution revenue bonds, Fiscal 2011 Series 1 and 2 to EFC, in the respective par amounts of \$564.5 million and \$114.3 million. The bonds included serial bonds maturing from 2012 to 2041 and term bonds maturing in 2036 and 2041. The Authority used the bond proceeds for new money projects, to refund its 2001 Series C and 2001 Series D bonds and to pay the costs of issuance of the bonds.

On June 23, 2011, the Authority issued \$662.2 million of tax-exempt fixed rate refunding second general resolution revenue bonds, Fiscal 2011 Series HH. This bond issue included four term bonds maturing in 2026, 2029, 2031 and 2032. The Authority used the bond proceeds to refund the remaining portion of Authority's 2001 Series E, 2002 Series A, 2002 Series B, 2002 Series C, 2002 series E, 2002 Series F and 2002 Series G first resolution bonds, to pay the costs for improvements to the System and to pay the costs of issuance of the bonds.

#### ECONOMIC FACTORS AND NEXT YEAR'S RATES

Rates are adopted each year by the Board in May for the following fiscal year. A rate increase of 7.5% for fiscal 2012, based on projected revenues and cost, became effective July 1,2011.

### **REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

This financial report is provided as an overview of the System's finances. Questions concerning any of the information in this report or requests for additional information should be directed to Raymond Orlando, Director of Media and Investor Relations, New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, 75 Park Place, New York, New York 10007. His phone number is (212) 788-5875 and his fax number is (212) 788-9721.

\*\*\*\*\*

#### COMBINING BALANCE SHEET

JUNE 30, 2011 (In thousands)

	New	York (	City		
	Water Board		unicipal Water nce Authority	Eliminations	Total
ASSETS					
CURRENT ASSETS:					
Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents	\$ 14,866	\$	9	\$ _	\$ 14,875
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	173,276		1,045,479	_	1,218,755
Restricted investments	26,360		340,397	_	366,757
Accrued interest and subsidy receivable	_		24	_	24
Accounts receivable:					
Billed — less allowance for uncollectible water and sewer receivables of \$310,776	340,039		_	_	340,039
Unbilled	274,283		_	_	274,283
Receivable from The City of New York	36,288		_	_	36,288
Total current assets	865,112		1,385,909	_	2,251,021
NON-CURRENT ASSETS:					
Utility plant in service — less accumulated depreciation of \$9,132,287	7, 84,273		_	_	7, 84,273
Utility plant construction	7,804,563		_	_	7,804,563
Total capital assets	24,988,836		_	_	24,988,836
Residual interest in sold liens	39,000		_	_	39,000
Deferred outflows from hedging	_		53,216	_	53,216
Long-term deferred bond and financing expenses	_		76, 39	_	76, 39
Revenue required to be billed by and received from the Board	-		15,519,332	(15,519,332)	_
Total non-current assets and deferred items	25,027,836		15,748,687	( 5,5 9,332)	25,257,191
TOTAL	\$ 25,892,948	\$	17,134,596	\$ (15,519,332)	\$ 27,508,212

See notes to combining financial statements.

(Continued)

#### COMBINING BALANCE SHEET

JUNE 30, 2011 (In thousands)

#### LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS LONG-TERM LIABILITIES: Bonds and notes payable — net of current portion Net premium on bonds and notes payable Unamortized deferred bond refunding costs Pollution remediation obligation OPEB Liability Interest rate swap agreement — net Revenue requirements payable to the Authority Total long-term liabilities CURRENT LIABILITIES: Accounts payable and accrued expenses Revenue received in advance Commercial paper payable Current portion of bonds and notes payable Payable to The City of New York Refunds payable to customers Total current liabilities Total liabilities NET ASSETS: Invested in capital assets — net of related debt Restricted for debt service Restricted for operations and maintenance Unrestricted (deficit) Total net assets (deficit) TOTAL See notes to combining financial statements.

(Concluded)

	New	York	City		
	Water Board		lunicipal Water ance Authority	Eliminations	Total
tion	\$ _	\$	26,078,417	\$ _	\$ 26,078,417
	_		197,357	—	197,357
	_		(300,850)	_	(300,850)
	102,652		_	-	102,652
	_		699	_	699
	_		37,474	-	37,474
,	5,5 9,332		_	( 5,5 9,332)	_
	5,62 ,984		26,013,097	(15,519,332)	26,115,749
	45,052		61,618	_	106,670
	61,517		-	_	61,517
	_		400,000	_	400,000
	_		430,452	_	430,452
	_		682,345	_	682,345
	64,367		_	_	64,367
	170,936		1,574,415	_	1,745,351
	15,792,920		27,587,512	( 5,5 9,332)	27,861,100
	24,988,836		(25,204,158)	—	(2 5,322)
	—		573,461	—	573,461
	199,636		_	_	199,636
	(15,088,444)		4, 77,78	_	(910,663)
	0, 00,028		(10,452,916)	_	(352,888)
	\$ 25,892,948	\$	7, 34,596	\$ ( 5,5 9,332)	\$ 27,508,212

#### COMBINING BALANCE SHEET

JUNE 30, 2010 (In thousands)

		New	York (	City		
	-	Water Board		inicipal Water nce Authority	Eliminations	Total
ASSETS						
CURRENT ASSETS:						
Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents	\$	6,133	\$	9	\$ _	\$ 6,142
Restricted cash and cash equivalents		165,204		952,616	_	1,117,820
Restricted investments		26,578		349,602	_	376,180
Accrued interest and subsidy receivable		178		1,288	_	1,466
Accounts receivable:						
Billed — less allowance for uncollectible water and sewer receivables of \$233,977		299,528		_	_	299,528
Unbilled		242,944		_	_	242,944
Receivable from The City of New York		88,241		_	_	88,241
Total current assets		828,806		1,303,515	_	2,132,321
NON-CURRENT ASSETS:						
Utility plant in service — less accumulated depreciation of \$8,556,534		6,904, 07		_	_	6,904,   07
Utility plant construction		6,112,362		_	_	6,112,362
Total capital assets		23,016,469		_	_	23,016,469
Residual interest in sold liens		40,734		_	_	40,734
Deferred outflows from hedging		_		71,930	_	71,930
Long-term deferred bond and financing expenses		_		163,703	_	163,703
Revenue required to be billed by and received from the Board		_		4,500,294	(14,500,294)	_
Total non-current assets and deferred items		23,057,203		4,735,927	(14,500,294)	 23,292,836
TOTAL	\$	23,886,009	\$	16,039,442	\$ (14,500,294)	\$ 25,425,157

See notes to combining financial statements.

(Continued)

#### COMBINING BALANCE SHEET

JUNE 30, 2010 (In thousands)

#### LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS LONG-TERM LIABILITIES: Bonds and notes payable — net of current portion Net premium on bonds and notes payable Unamortized deferred bond refunding costs Pollution remediation obligation OPEB Liability Interest rate swap agreement — net Revenue requirements payable to the Authority Total long-term liabilities CURRENT LIABILITIES: Accounts payable and accrued expenses Revenue received in advance Commercial paper payable Current portion of bonds and notes payable Payable to The City of New York Refunds payable to customers Total current liabilities Total liabilities NET ASSETS: Invested in capital assets — net of related debt Restricted for debt service Restricted for operations and maintenance Unrestricted (deficit) Total net assets (deficit) TOTAL See notes to combining financial statements.

(Concluded)

	New	York	City		
	Water Board		unicipal Water ance Authority	Eliminations	Total
tion	\$ -	\$	23,613,153	\$ _	\$ 23,613,153
	-		115,844	-	115,844
	_		(309,288)	_	(309,288)
	74,260		-	-	74,260
	_		534	_	534
	_		55,030	_	55,030
,	4,500,294		_	(14,500,294)	_
	14,574,554		23,475,273	( 4,500,294)	23,549,533
	44,974		56,623	_	101,597
	57,329		-	—	57,329
	_		600,000	_	600,000
	—		364,562	—	364,562
	_		967,943	_	967,943
	64,710		_	_	64,710
	67,0 3		1,989,128	_	2,156,141
	4,74 ,567		25,464,401	(14,500,294)	25,705,674
	23,016,469		(22,095,741)	—	920,728
	_		239,192	_	239,192
	191,772		_	_	191,772
	(14,063,799)		2,43 ,590	_	(1,632,209)
	9,144,442		(9,424,959)	_	(280,517)
	\$ 23,886,009	\$	16,039,442	\$ ( 4,500,294)	\$ 25,425,157

#### COMBINING STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN NET ASSETS (DEFICIT)

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011 (In thousands)

		New	York City	
	-	Water Board	Municipal Water Finance Authority	Total
OPERATING REVENUES:				
Water supply and distribution	\$	1,158,977	\$ –	\$ 1,158,977
Sewer collection and treatment		1,797,777	_	1,797,777
Other operating revenues		,552	_	,552
Total operating revenues		3,068,306	_	3,068,306
OPERATING EXPENSES:				
Operation and maintenance		1,294,533	_	1,294,533
Bad debt expense		76,799	_	76,799
Administration and general		6,409	34,015	40,424
Other operating expenses		103,334	_	103,334
Total operating expenses		1,481,075	34,015	1,515,090
DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION		593,996	34,343	628,339
OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)		993,235	(68,358)	924,877
NON-OPERATING REVENUE (EXPENSES):				
Interest expense		_	(1,178,226)	( , 78,226)
Loss on retirement of capital assets		(3,426)	_	(3,426)
Subsidy income		_	180,986	180,986
Capital distribution		(53,591)	_	(53,591)
Investment income		672	37,641	38,313
NET INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE CAPITAL CONTRIBUTIONS		936,890	(1,027,957)	(91,067)
CAPITAL CONTRIBUTION		18,696	_	l 8,696
CHANGE IN NET ASSETS		955,586	(1,027,957)	(72,371)
NET ASSETS (DEFICIT) — Beginning of year		9,144,442	(9,424,959)	(280,517)
NET ASSETS (DEFICIT) — End of year	\$	0, 00,028	\$ (10,452,916)	\$ (352,888)

See notes to combining financial statements.

#### COMBINING STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN NET ASSETS (DEFICIT)

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2010 (In thousands)

	New	York(	City	
	Water Board		unicipal Water Ince Authority	Total
OPERATING REVENUES:				
Water supply and distribution	\$ 1,005,045	\$	_	\$ 1,005,045
Sewer collection and treatment	1,562,777		_	I,562,777
Other operating revenues	190,251		_	190,251
Total operating revenues	2,758,073		_	2,758,073
OPERATING EXPENSES:				
Operation and maintenance	1,539,846		_	1,539,846
Bad debt expense	14,032		_	14,032
Administration and general	9,837		30,420	40,257
Other operating expenses	289,989		_	289,989
Total operating expenses	1,853,704		30,420	,884, 24
DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION	539,008		35,475	574,483
OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)	365,361		(65,895)	299,466
NON-OPERATING REVENUE (EXPENSES):				
Interest expense	_		(1,019,633)	(1,019,633)
Loss on retirement of capital assets	(23,254)		_	(23,254)
Subsidy income	_		28,  0	28,  0
Capital distribution	(32,580)		_	(32,580)
Investment income	723		65,037	65,760
NET INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE CAPITAL CONTRIBUTIONS	310,250		(892,381)	(582, 3 )
CAPITAL CONTRIBUTION	30,424		_	30,424
CHANGE IN NET ASSETS	340,674		(892,381)	(551,707)
NET ASSETS (DEFICIT) — Beginning of year	8,803,768		(8,532,578)	271,190
NET ASSETS (DEFICIT) — End of year	\$ 9,144,442	\$	(9,424,959)	\$ (280,517)

See notes to combining financial statements.

#### COMBINING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011 (In thousands)

	New	York	City	
	Water Board		unicipal Water ance Authority	Total
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:				
Receipts from customers	\$ 2,922,730	\$	_	\$ 2,922,730
Payments for operations and maintenance	(1,242,579)		_	(1,242,579)
Payments for administration	(3,826)		(33,419)	(37,245)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	1,676,325		(33,419)	1,642,906
CASH FLOWS FROM CAPITAL AND RELATED FINANCING ACTIVITIES:				
Proceeds from issuing bonds, notes and other borrowings — net of issuance costs	_		6,410,737	6,410,737
Acquisition and construction of capital assets	_		(2,965,506)	(2,965,506)
Payments by the Board to the Authority	(1,661,360)		1,661,360	_
Repayments of bonds, notes and other borrowings	_		(4,069,142)	(4,069,142)
Interest paid on bonds, notes and other borrowings	_		(956,950)	(956,950)
Net cash (used in) provided by capital and related financing activities	(1,661,360)		80,499	(1,580,861)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:				
Proceeds from sales and maturities of investments	1,134		75,289	76,423
Purchases of investments	_		(80,969)	(80,969)
Interest on investments	706		51,463	52,169
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	1,840		45,783	47,623
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH Equivalents	l 6,805		92,863	109,668
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS — Beginning of year	171,337		952,625	1,123,962
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS — End of year	\$   88,   42	\$	1,045,488	\$ 1,233,630

See notes to combining financial statements.

(Continued)

#### COMBINING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011 (In thousands)

#### **RECONCILIATION OF OPERATING (LOS** NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING A Operating income Adjustments to reconcile operating income (loss) provided by operating activities: Depreciation and amortization

- Operations and maintenance expense paid for
- Pollution remediation expense
- Changes in assets and liabilities (net): Pollution remediation liability
- Receivables net
- Receivable from The City
  - Residual interest in sold liens
  - Accounts payable
- Revenues received in advance
- Refunds payable

#### NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPH

The following are the noncash capital and related financing activities:

See notes to combining financial statements.

(Concluded)

	New	York C	City	
	Water Board		inicipal Water nce Authority	Total
SS) INCOME TO ACTIVITIES:				
	\$ 993,235	\$	(68,358)	\$ 924,877
s) to net cash				
	593,996		34,343	628,339
or with bond proceeds	56,040		_	56,040
	18,902		_	18,902
	28,392		_	28,392
	(71,850)		_	(71,850)
	51,953		_	51,953
	1,734		_	1,734
	78		596	674
	4,188		_	4,188
	(343)		_	(343)
ERATING ACTIVITIES	\$ I,676,325	\$	(33,419)	\$ 1,642,906

Interest expense includes the amortization of net (premium) and discount in the amount of (\$979) in 2011.

Capital expenditures in the amount of \$635,323 had been incurred but not paid at June 30, 2011.

The Board received capital assets of \$18,412 in 2011 which represented capital contributed by The City.

The Board received capital assets of \$284 in 2011 which represented capital contributed by Westchester County.

#### COMBINING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2010 (In thousands)

	New	York (	City	
	Water Board		unicipal Water Ince Authority	Total
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:				
Receipts from customers	\$ 2,550,371	\$	_	\$ 2,550,371
Payments for operations and maintenance	(1,507,072)		_	(1,507,072)
Payments for administration	(9,074)		(29,231)	(38,305)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	1,034,225		(29,231)	1,004,994
CASH FLOWS FROM CAPITAL AND RELATED FINANCING ACTIVITIES:				
Proceeds from issuing bonds, notes and other borrowings — net of issuance costs	_		4,361,789	4,361,789
Acquisition and construction of capital assets	_		(2,689,060)	(2,689,060)
Payments by the Board to the Authority	(1,039,425)		1,039,425	_
Repayments of bonds, notes and other borrowings	_		(2,304,113)	(2,304,113)
Interest paid on bonds, notes and other borrowings	_		(888,099)	(888,099)
Net cash (used in) provided by capital and related financing activities	(1,039,425)		(480,058)	(1,519,483)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:				
Proceeds from sales and maturities of investments	91,130		386,721	477,85 l
Purchases of investments	(26,578)		(54,855)	(81,433)
Interest on investments	1,995		69,596	71,591
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	66,547		401,462	468,009
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	61,347		(107,827)	(46,480)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS — Beginning of year	109,990		1,060,452	1,170,442
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS — End of year	\$ 171,337	\$	952,625	\$ 1,123,962

See notes to combining financial statements.

(Continued)

#### COMBINING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2010 (In thousands)

	New York City				
	Municipal Water Board Finance Author			Total	
RECONCILIATION OF OPERATING (LOSS) INCOME TO NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES:					
Operating income	\$ 365,363	\$	(65,895)	\$	299,468
Adjustments to reconcile operating income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:					
Depreciation and amortization	539,008		35,475		574,483
Operations and maintenance expense paid for with bond proceeds	85,599		_		85,599
Pollution remediation expense	249,091		_		249,091
Changes in assets and liabilities (net):					
Pollution remediation liability	(44,700)		_		(44,700)
Receivables — net	(109,699)		_		(109,699)
Receivable from The City	(74,913)		_		(74,913)
Residual interest in sold liens	(2,156)		_		(2,156)
Accounts payable	(1,972)		1,189		(783)
Revenues received in advance	(20,343)		_		(20,343)
Accrued interest receivable	182		_		182
Refunds payable	48,765		_		48,765
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES	\$ 1,034,225	\$	(29,231)	\$	1,004,994

The following are the noncash capital and related financing activities: Interest expense includes the amortization of net (premium) and discount in the amount of (\$1,177) in 2010. Capital expenditures in the amount of \$967,943 had been incurred but not paid at June 30, 2010. The Board received capital assets of \$30,424 in 2010 which represented capital contributed by The City.

See notes to combining financial statements.

(Concluded)

#### 1. ORGANIZATION

The New York City Water and Sewer System (the "System") provides water supply and distribution, sewage collection, treatment, and disposal for The City of New York ("The City"). The System, as presented in the accompanying financial statements, began operations on July 1, 1985 and is a joint operation consisting of two legally separate and independent entities, the New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority (the "Authority") and the New York City Water Board (the "Board"). The Authority is a public benefit corporation created in accordance with the New York City Municipal Water Finance Act (the "Act"), duly enacted into law as Chapter 513 of the laws of 1984 of the State of New York, as amended by Chapter 514 of the laws of 1984 of the State of New York. The Board was created by Chapter 515 of the laws of 1984 of the State of New York. The Act empowers the Authority to issue bonds or notes to finance the cost of capital improvements to the System, and to refund any and all outstanding bonds and general obligation bonds of The City issued for water and sewer purposes. The Act empowers the Board to lease the System from The City and to fix and collect rates, fees, rents and other charges for the use of, or for services furnished, rendered, or made available by, the System, to produce cash sufficient to pay debt service on the Authority's bonds and to place the System on a selfsustaining basis.

The Financing Agreement (the "Agreement") provides that the Authority will issue bonds to finance the cost of capital investment and related costs in the System serving The City. It also sets forth the funding priority for the debt service costs of the Authority, operating costs of the System, and the rental payment to The City.

The physical operation and capital improvements of the System are performed by The City's Department of Environmental Protection subject to contractual agreements with the Authority and the Board.

In accordance with Governmental Accounting Standards Board ("GASB") standards, the Board and the Authority are considered to be part of the same reporting entity (the "System") since they are fiscally interdependent. Accordingly, the accompanying financial statements for the System present the individual financial statements of the Board and the Authority as major funds. In addition, the accompanying financial statements present a total column which represents the entity-wide financial statements of the System. Transactions and balances between the Board and the Authority are eliminated in the entity-wide financial statements.

#### 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT **ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

The accompanying financial statements of the System have been prepared on the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when earned and expenses are recognized when incurred. Private sector standards of accounting and financial reporting issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") prior to December 1, 1989 are followed by the System to the extent that those standards do not conflict with or contradict guidance of GASB. The System has elected to follow GASB pronouncements exclusively after that date. Other significant accounting policies are:

**Component Unit** — The System is a component unit of The City. The System leases the water and sewer related properties from The City, which is responsible for the operations, maintenance and capital improvement of the system. The System reimburses The City for costs incurred for operations and maintenance and issues debt to pay for capital improvements.

**Investments and Cash Equivalents** — Investments and cash equivalents consist principally of securities of the United States and its agencies, certificates of deposit, guaranteed investment contracts, and repurchase agreements. All investments are carried at fair value with the exception of money market funds which are carried at cost plus accrued interest. For purposes of the statement of cash flows and statement of net assets, the System generally considers all highly liquid debt instruments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

#### Restricted Assets — Net Asset Classification –

Proceeds from the issuance of debt and monies set aside for the operation and maintenance of the System are classified as restricted based on the requirements of the applicable bond indentures in the net asset classification.

Lien Sales and Residual Interest in Sold Liens — The City periodically sells tax liens secured by water and sewer rents and surcharges, for which the Board receives the applicable sale proceeds. At the time of sale, the Board recognizes the proceeds as operating revenue and removes the related receivables. The Board maintains a residual interest in the liens, which represents the amount estimated to be received by the Board if and when liens held by the purchasing trusts generate cash flows above the amounts needed by the trusts to pay their bondholders and satisfy reserve requirements.

#### 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT **ACCOUNTING POLICIES** (continued)

Bond Discount and Bond Issuance Costs — Bond discount and bond issuance costs are amortized over

the life of the related bond issue, using the effective yield method of amortization for bond discount and bond issuance costs.

**Utility Plant** — Utility plant acquired through purchase or internal construction is recorded at cost, net of retirements. It is the Board's policy to capitalize assets with a cost of \$35,000 or more and a useful life of five years or longer. Contributed utility plant is recorded at its estimated historical cost based on appraisals or other methods when historical cost information is not available, net of depreciation. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method based upon estimated useful lives, as follows:

#### Buildings

Water supply and wastewater treatment systems Water distribution and sewage collection systems Equipment Vehicles

Maintenance and repairs of property are charged to maintenance expense. Replacements and betterments are recorded as additions to utility plant. The System pays for some improvements for assets that are not owned by The City or the System, as well as certain pollution remediation activities, through bond proceeds. These costs are shown as other operating expenses in the statements of revenues, expenses and changes in net assets.

#### Operating Revenues and Operating Expenses —

Operating revenues consist of customer payments for services of the System. Revenues are based on billing rates imposed by the Board and upon customers' water and sewer usage or, in some cases, characteristics of customer properties. The System records estimated unbilled revenue at year-end. Operating expenses include administration, maintenance, repair and operations of the System; administration costs of the Board and the Authority; rental payments to The City and bad debt expense.

**Deferred Revenues** — Revenues received in advance of the period to which they relate are deferred and recorded as revenue when earned. Customer account credit balances are included in refunds payable not in accounts receivables.

(CONTINUED)

	Years
	40–50
	15-50
5	15-75
	5-35
	10

Deferred Bond Refunding Costs — Deferred bond refunding costs represent the gains or losses incurred in advance refundings of outstanding bonds. Gains or losses arising from debt refundings are deferred and amortized over the lesser of the remaining life of the old debt or the life of the new debt.

Use of Estimates — The preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions in determining the amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

**New Accounting Standards** — In November 2010, GASB issued Statement No. 60, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Service Concession Agreements. The Statement establishes the financial reporting for service concession agreements. The Statement is effective for financial statement periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The System has not completed the process of evaluating GASB Statement No. 60, but it does not expect it to have an impact on its financial statements.

In November 2010, GASB issued Statement No. 61, The Financial Reporting Entity: Omnibus — An Amendment of GASB Statement No. 14 and No. 34. The Statement amends existing standards relating to the composition and reporting of the governmental financial reporting entity. The statement is effective for financial statement periods beginning after June 15, 2012. The System has not completed the process of evaluating GASB Statement No. 61, but it does not expect it to have an impact on its financial statements.

In December 2010, GASB issued Statement No. 62, Codification of Accounting and Financial Reporting Guidance Contained in Pre-November 30, 1989 GASB and AICPA Pronouncements. The Statement incorporates a large volume of FASB and AICPA accounting pronouncements into the GASB hierarchy of generally accepted accounting principles for governments. The statement is effective for financial statement periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The System has not completed the process of evaluating GASB Statement No. 62, but it does not expect it to have an impact on its financial statements.

In June 2011, GASB issued Statement No. 63, Financial Reporting of Deferred Outflows of Resources, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position. The Statement

#### 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT **ACCOUNTING POLICIES** (continued)

establishes new reporting requirements of two elements (deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources) and renames the statement of net assets to statement of net position, as well as reported net assets, and components thereof, to net position. The Statement is effective for financial statements for periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The System has not completed the process of evaluating GASB Statement No. 63, but it is expected to change only the formatting and naming of The System's statement of position and components thereof, with no overall financial impact.

In June 2011, GASB issued Statement No, 64, Derivative Instruments: Application of Hedge Accounting Termination *Provision.* The Statement clarifies the existing requirements for the termination of hedge accounting upon default or termination of a swap counterparty or swap counterparty's credit support provider. The Statement is effective for financial statements for periods beginning after June 15, 2011. The System has not completed the process of evaluating GASB Statement No. 64, but it does not expect it to have an impact on its financial statements.

#### 3. UTILITY PLANT

The following is a summary of utility plant activity for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

	Balance at June 30, 2009	Additions	Deletions	Balance at June 30, 2010	Additions	Deletions	Balance at June 30, 2011
Nondepreciable assets/utility construction	\$ 5,072,496	\$ 2,439,493 \$	\$ 1,399,627 \$	\$ 6,112,362	\$ 2,569,789	\$ 877,588 \$	\$ 7,804,563
Depreciable assets/utility plant in service							
Buildings	24,193	_	_	24,193	10,684	_	34,877
Equipment	1,375,904	162,547	_	1,538,451	185,577	2	1,723,907
Vehicles	55,3 8	1,861	_	157,179	6,826	3,4   4	50,59
Water supply and wastewater treatment systems	4,382,432	1,042,196	_	5,424,628	518,895	_	15,943,523
Water distribution and sewage collection systems	8,165,612	193,023	42,445	8,3   6,   90	155,605	8,133	8,463,662
Total depreciable assets	24,103,459	1,399,627	42,445	25,460,641	877,587	21,668	26,316,560
Less accumulated depreciation f	for:						
Buildings	( 6, 38)	(306)	_	( 6,444)	(2,003)	_	(18,447)
Equipment	(499,543)	(74,052)	_	(573,595)	(94,080)	_	(667,675)
Vehicles	(93,242)	(5,610)	_	(98,852)	5,766	_	(93,086)
Water supply and wastewater treatment systems	(4,701,459)	(354,742)	_	(5,056,201)	(395,118)	_	(5,451,319)
Water distribution and sewage collection systems	(2,726,335)	(104,297)	(19,190)	(2,811,442)	(90,318)	_	(2,901,760)
Total accumulated depreciation	(8,036,717)	(539,007)	(19,190)	(8,556,534)	(575,753)		(9, 32,287)
Total utility plant in service — net	6,066,742	860,620	23,255	6,904, 07	301,834	21,668	7, 84,273
Total capital assets — net	\$ 21,139,238	\$ 3,300,113 \$	\$ 1,422,882 \$	\$ 23,016,469	\$ 2,871,623	\$ 899,256	\$ 24,988,836

### 4. INVESTMENTS AND CASH DEPOSITS

**Investments** — Pursuant to the Water and Sewer General Revenue Bond Resolution and the Authority's and the Board's investment guidelines, the Authority and the Board may generally invest in obligations of, or guaranteed by, the U.S. government, certain highly rated obligations of the State of New York or any subdivision or instrumentality thereof, certain certificates of deposit and similar instruments issued by highly rated commercial banks, certain highly rated corporate securities or commercial paper securities, certain repurchase agreements with highly rated institutions, certain investment agreements with highly rated institutions, certain highly rated money market funds, and other certain highly rated municipal obligations.

Cash Deposits — The System follows the New York City Banking Commission designations for the System's bank depositories. The Commission consists of the Comptroller, the Mayor, and the Finance Commissioner of The City and uses independent bank rating agencies in part to assess the financial soundness of each bank, and the banking relationships are under constant operational and credit reviews. Each bank in which the System's cash is deposited is required to have its principal office in New York State and have capital stock, surplus, and undivided earnings aggregating at least \$100 million. The System had \$546 million and \$750 thousand respectively, on deposit at June 30, 2011 and 2010, which was covered by Federal depository insurance. The remaining balances at June 30, 2010 were uncollateralized and uninsured.

Cash and cash equivalents, including restricted and unrestricted balances were comprised of the following at June 30, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

	2011	2010
Cash	\$ 546,833	\$ 53,966
Cash equivalents	686,797	1,069,996
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,233,630	\$ 1,123,962

The System had the following investments at June 30, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

		Fair Value						
Investments	-	2011		2010				
U.S. government sponsored entities	\$	673,660	\$	1,050,994				
New York State instrumentalities		232,649		169,947				
New York City authority securities		_		38,282				
Government money market		39,497		64,781				
Guaranteed investment contracts		92,913		95,052				
Forward purchase agreements market value adjustment		14,835		27,120				
Total investments including cash equivalents		1,053,554		1,446,176				
Less amounts reported as cash equivalents		(686,797)		(1,069,996)				
Investments	\$	366,757	\$	376,180				

The System invests funds which are not immediately required for operations, debt service or capital project expenses and funds that are held for debt service and operations and maintenance reserves. Each account of the Authority is held pursuant to the Resolution and may be invested in securities or categories in investments that are specifically enumerated as permitted investments for such account pursuant to the Resolution. Reserves for operations and maintenance are invested as permitted by the Board's investment guidelines.

Credit Risk — Investments held by the System at June 30, 2011 and 2010 include obligations of, or guaranteed by, the United States of America, the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, the Federal National Mortgage Association or the Federal Farm Credit System, and shares of money market funds, all of which are rated "AAA" or "A-I+" by S&P and "Aaa" or "P-I" by Moody's. Also held by the Authority are direct obligations of, or obligations guaranteed by the State of New York or direct obligations of any agency or public authority thereof, which are rated, at the time of purchase, in one of the two highest rating categories. In addition, the Authority has entered into investment agreements and guaranteed investment contracts with financial institutions whose long term debt obligations, or whose obligations under such an investment agreement

#### 4. INVESTMENTS AND CASH DEPOSITS (continued)

or guaranteed investment contract, are guaranteed by a financial institution whose senior long term debt obligations have a rating in one of the two highest rating categories for comparable types of obligations by each rating agency then

Agreements to purchase securities is highly susceptible to changes in market interest rates; however the System does

#### 5. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

As of June 30, 2011 the Authority had the following:

Туре	Notional Amount	Effective Date	Maturity Date	Terms	Fair Value (000)	Counterparty Credit Rating (Moody's/S&P/Fitch)
Hedging Derivative						
Muni-CPI	\$20,000,000	7/9/2002	6/15/2013	Pay 4.15% receive muni-CPI rate	\$ (197)	A2/A/A
Synthetic fixed rate	240,600,000	10/26/2007	6/15/2036	Pay 3.439% receive 67% of I-month LIBOR	(3 ,8  )	Aa1/AAA/NR
Synthetic fixed rate	I 60,400,000	10/26/2007	6/15/2036	Pay 3.439% receive 67% of I-month LIBOR	(21,208)	Aa3/A+/A+
Investment Derivative						
Synthetic variable rate	200,000,000	2/23/2003	6/15/2014	Pay SIFMA Index receive 3.567%	5,742	Aa2/AA/AA

#### Hedging Derivative Instruments

The Authority executed an interest rate exchange agreement (the "Muni-CPI agreement") effective July 9, 2002 in conjunction with its sale of \$20 million of muni-CPI Bonds in the 2013 maturity of its Fiscal 2003 Series A Water and Sewer System Revenue Bonds (the "CPI Bonds"). The CPI Bonds pay the holder a floating rate tied to the consumer price index (a fixed spread of 1.53% plus a floating rate equal to the change in the Consumer Price Index — Urban ("CPI-U") for a given period). Under the interest rate exchange agreement, the Authority pays the counterparty a

fixed interest rate of 4.15% while it receives a floating interest rate matching the rate on the CPI Bonds. This allowed the Authority to achieve a fixed rate 10 basis points lower than conventional fixed rate debt in the 2013 maturity at the time of issuance. The interest rate exchange agreement terminates upon the maturity of the CPI Bonds on June 15, 2013. The Authority's obligations under the interest rate exchange agreement are payable as Authority expenses.

not expect these Agreements to terminate. Additionally,

in investments that are expected to be held to maturity.

The remainder of the System's investments will mature

approximately 14 % of the System's other investments are

within a year after June 30, 2011; for these investments the

System's risk that changes in interest rates will adversely

or in the Board's name by its custodian bank.

#### 5. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS (continued)

The Authority executed two interest rate exchange agreements (the "synthetic fixed rate agreements") effective October 24, 2007, in conjunction with its sale of \$401 million of Adjustable Rate Fiscal 2008 Series BB Second Resolution Bonds on October 24, 2007. Under these agreements, the Authority pays a fixed interest rate of 3.439% in exchange for a floating rate based on 67% of one-month LIBOR on the combined notional amount of \$401 million. The agreements are with two counterparties, with one agreement in the amount of \$240.6 million and the second agreement in the amount of \$160.4 million. These agreements allowed the Authority to achieve a fixed rate cost lower than conventional fixed rate debt at the time of issuance. The Authority's obligations under these interest rate exchange agreements are payable on a parity with the related second resolution bonds.

**Credit Risk** — The Authority is exposed to the risk that the counterparty (or its guarantor) will default under its agreement. For the Muni-CPI agreement, the Authority would have to pay another counterparty to assume the position of the defaulting counterparty or face unhedged risk on changes in the CPI-U. The Authority has the right to terminate the Muni-CPI interest rate exchange agreement if the counterparty is downgraded below BBB- by Standard and Poor's or Baa3 by Moody's. Under the synthetic fixed rate agreements, the Authority has the right to terminate the swap, regardless of collateral posting, if the counterparty's ratings fall below both A3 and A-.

The counterparties under the interest rate exchange agreements must post collateral if their ratings fall below A3 by Moody's or A- by Standard and Poor's and the amount the counterparty would owe the Authority upon termination exceeds specified threshold amounts.

The Authority may exercise its right to assign the agreements to another counterparty, if necessary, in its judgment, to mitigate counterparty Risk, even in the absence of a significant credit rating downgrade.

**Termination Risk** — The counterparties could terminate the agreements upon the occurrence of certain events, when the mark-to-market value is such that the Authority would owe a termination payment to the counterparty. The counterparties may terminate the agreement only upon the occurrence of certain events such as payment defaults by the Authority, other defaults which remain uncured for 30 days after notice, bankruptcy or insolvency of the Authority (or similar events) or a downgrade of the Authority's credit rating below BBB-/Baa3.

NEW YORK CITY WATER & SEWER SYSTEM

(CONTINUED)

affect the fair value of investments is very limited. maintaining a rating on the Authority's bonds at the time Custodial Credit Risk — For an investment, custodial such agreement or contract was entered into. credit risk is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the Interest Rate Risk — Approximately 40 % of the custodian, the System may not be able to recover the value System's investments at June 30, 2011 are Agreements to of its investment or collateral securities that are in the purchase securities or Guaranteed Investment Contracts possession of an outside party. The System's investments, with guaranteed fixed rates of return. The fair value of the other than repurchase agreements, are not collateralized. All investments are held in the Trustee's name by the Trustee

**Basis Risk** — Since, during the term of the agreement, the rate on the CPI Bonds will exactly match the rate being paid by the counterparty, there is no basis risk for the Muni-CPI agreement. The Authority is exposed to basis risk on its synthetic fixed rate agreements because the amount the Authority receives under the synthetic fixed rate interest rate exchange agreement may be lower than the average monthly variable interest paid on the bonds associated with the agreement, which would require the Authority to make up the shortfall.

**Interest Rate Risk** — The Authority is exposed to the risk that changes in interest rates will adversely affect the fair values of the Authority's financial instruments or cash flows. There is no interest rate risk with the Muni-CPI agreement. The fixed rate paid by the Authority on its synthetic fixed rate agreements may exceed the rate received (67% of LIBOR).

#### Investment Derivative

The Authority executed an interest rate exchange agreement (the "synthetic variable rate agreement") against its existing portfolio of second general resolution fixed rate bonds issued through the New York State Environmental Facilities Corporation ("EFC"). Pursuant to the interest rate exchange agreement, the Authority receives a fixed payment of 3.567% and pays a floating rate based on the SIFMA Municipal Swap Index. The agreement provides the Authority with floating rate debt at a lower cost than variable rate demand bonds. The Authority's obligations under the Interest Rate Exchange Agreement are payable as operating expenses.

**Credit Risk** — The counterparty under this interest rate exchange agreement must post collateral if its ratings fall below A3 by Moody's or A- by Standard and Poor's and the amount the counterparty would owe the Authority upon termination exceeds specified threshold amounts.

The Authority has the right to terminate the swap, regardless of collateral posting, if the counterparty's ratings fall below both A3 and A-. If interest rates at the time of Counterparty default are lower than they were at the time the transaction was entered into, the Authority may not be able to replace the Counterparty on the same terms and conditions without incurring added cost.

Interest Rate Risk — During the term of the synthetic variable rate agreement, the rate paid by the Authority (SIFMA Municipal Swap Index) may exceed the fixed rate received.

#### 5. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS (continued)

Financial Statements Effect — The market value of derivatives at June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010, was \$37.5 million and \$55.0 million, respectively. The market value of hedge derivatives at June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010, was \$53.2 million and \$71.9 million, respectively. These amounts are shown as deferred outflows in the balance sheet. The increase/decrease in market value of the non-hedge derivative at June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010 was \$1.2 million and \$6.0 million, respectively.

#### 6. LEASE AGREEMENT

The Board is party to a long-term lease (the "Lease") with The City, which transfers the water and sewer related property to the Board for the term of the Lease. The Lease term commenced on July 1, 1985, and continues until the later of, the fortieth anniversary of the commencement of the lease or the date on which all bonds, notes or other obligations of the Authority are paid in full or provision for such payment has been made pursuant to the applicable debt instrument. The Lease provides for payments to The City to cover the following:

- a. an amount sufficient to pay the cost of administration, maintenance, repair and operation of the leased property, which includes overhead costs incurred by The City attributable to the leased property, net of the amount of any Federal, State, or other operating grants received by The City;
- b. an amount sufficient to reimburse The City for capital costs incurred by The City for the construction of capital improvements to the leased property which are not paid or reimbursed from any other source.

In addition to the payments described above, the Board pays rent to The City in each fiscal year in an amount not to exceed the greater of (a) the principal and interest payable on general obligation bonds issued by The City for water and sewer purposes certified by The City to be paid within such fiscal year or (b) 15% of principal and interest payable on the bonds of the Authority to be paid within such fiscal year. A summary of operation and maintenance and rental expenses for the years ended June 30 is as follows (in thousands):

0	/	1	1	
program, and	it also mana	ges other pr	ojects with long-	
term benefits	to the Syste	m which do	not result in capital	

The City's Department of Environmental Protection

manages both the System's operations and its capital

assets of the System and which are paid for using Authority debt proceeds, similarly to capital projects. Such long-term benefit projects include payment for environmental protection and related improvement in the watershed areas, and pollution remediation projects throughout the System.

### 9. SHORT TERM DEBT

In fiscal 2011 and 2010, the changes in short-term debt were as follows (in thousands):

	Balance at June 30, 2009	Additions	Deletions	Balance at June 30, 2010	Additions	Deletions	Balance at June 30, 2011
Commercial paper <sup>(I)</sup>	\$700,000	\$1,200,000	\$1,300,000	\$600,000	\$1,800,000	\$2,000,000	\$400,000

<sup>(1)</sup> Commercial paper is used to pay construction costs in notes payable on the System's balance sheets.

Commercial paper activity is comprised of the following for the year ended June 30, 2011 (in thousands):

	Balance at June 30, 2010	lssued	Retired	Balance at June 30, 2011
Commercial Paper Series 1 — Variable Rate, Short-term Rolling Maturity Backed by Letter of Credit	\$ –	\$ 400,000	\$ 400,000	\$ -
Commercial Paper Series 5 — Variable Rate, Short-term Rolling Maturity Backed by Letter of Credit	200,000	_	200,000	_
Commercial Paper Series 6 — Variable Rate, Short-term Rolling Maturity Backed by Letter of Credit	_	600,000	600,000	_
Commercial Paper Series 7 — Variable Rate, Short-term Rolling Maturity	200,000	400,000	400,000	200,000
Commercial Paper Series 8 — Variable Rate, Short-term Rolling Maturity	200,000	400,000	400,000	200,000
Total commercial paper payable	\$ 600,000	\$ 1,800,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 400,000

#### 10. LONG-TERM DEBT

In fiscal 2011, the changes in long-term debt were as follows (in thousands):

Bonds Payable	Balance at June 30, 2010	Additions	Deletions	Balance at June 30, 2011
First resolution	\$ 10,398,518	\$ –	\$ 1,584,841	\$ 8,813,677
Second resolution	3,579, 97	4,570,266	454,271	17,695,192
Total bonds payable	23,977,715	4,570,266	2,039,112	26,508,869
Due within one year	(364,562)	_	_	(430,452)
Less: discounts (net)	(  5,844)	(72,852)	8,661	(197,357)
Less: deferred refunding costs	309,288	17,307	(25,745)	300,850
Total long-term bonds payable	\$ 23,419,709	\$ 4,625,811	\$ 2,056,196	\$ 25,974,924

2010

420.880

697,095

67,413

171,438

11.859

1,368,685

171,161

2011

\$

402,603

494,740

62,181

117,961

11.658

1,089,143

205,390

2011

47,294

56,040

\$ 103,334

\$

2010

85,599

\$ 204,390

\$ 289,989

\$

Total operations maintenance \$ 1,294,533 \$ 1,539,846

7. PAYABLE TO AND RECEIVABLE FROM

As of June 30, 2011 and 2010, all utility construction and

other projects financed by Authority debt recorded by the

System which have not been reimbursed to The City have

been recorded as a payable to The City, net of the amount

of any State or Federal capital grants received by The City.

payable of \$646.1 million and \$879.7 million, respectively, to

The City for payments of utility construction and for over-

As of June 30, 2011 and 2010, the System had a net

payment of operations and maintenance expense.

A summary of other operating expenses for the year

8. OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES

ended June 30, is as follows (in thousands):

Water transmission and

Sewer collection systems

City agency support cost

Judgments and claims

Operations and

Rental payments to The City

maintenance

and rental payments

THE CITY

Pollution remediation

Total other operating

improvements

expenses

Payments for watershed

distribution

Fringe benefits

<sup>(1)</sup> Commercial paper is used to pay construction costs in advance of long-term bond financing. It is reported as part of the current portion of bonds and

With respect to all series, the Board has agreed to maintain rates and charges to provide revenues at levels sufficient to pay principal and interest requirements as well as to meet certain debt service coverage and operating cost funding requirements. All series are specific obligations of the Authority payable solely from and secured by a pledge of and lien on the gross revenue of the System, as defined.

As part of the American Recovery and Reimbursement Act of 2009, the System has and will receive funding through EFC of \$217.5 million for certain projects. Each project included is tracked for spending, and funding is received from EFC after submission of required documentation. The funding is in the form of a bond anticipation note payable by the Authority. The total \$217.5 million note is expected to be forgiven by EFC after the note is fully drawn. Based on the expected length of projects, the note is a long-term liability.

During fiscal 2011, the Authority issued \$1.56 billion of bonds to refund \$1.43 billion of outstanding bonds and \$200 million of commercial paper 5. These refundings

resulted in a loss of \$17.3 million. The Authority in effect reduced its aggregate debt service for principal and interest by \$139 million.

During fiscal 2011, the Authority defeased \$243.97 million of outstanding bonds using current revenue. This resulted in a gain of \$3.3 million.

During fiscal 2010, the Authority issued \$577.9 million of bonds to refund \$614.97 million outstanding bonds. These refundings resulted in a loss of \$16.6 million. The Authority in effect reduced its aggregate debt service by \$72.2 million.

The Authority has defeased cumulatively \$13.1 billion and \$11.6 billion of outstanding bonds as of June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, by placing proceeds of refunding bonds issued in an irrevocable escrow account to provide for all future debt service payments on defeased bonds. Proceeds were used to purchase U.S. Government securities that were placed in the irrevocable escrow account. Accordingly, the escrow account assets and liabilities for the defeased bonds are not included in the Authority's financial statements. As of June 30, 2011 and 2010, \$11.7 billion and \$11.1 billion of the defeased bonds, respectively, had been retired using the assets of the escrow accounts.

Debt service requirements to maturity, including amounts relating to commercial paper, at June 30, 2011 are as follows (in thousands):

	Principa	(1)	Interest <sup>(2)</sup>	Total
2012	\$ 830,4	52 \$	971,313	\$ 1,801,765
2013	370,02	27	951,279	1,321,306
2014	406,00	)4	942,258	1,348,262
2015	367,5	3	933,238	1,300,801
2016	453,19	2	922,301	1,375,493
2017–2021	2,900,4	52	4,359,690	7,260,142
2022–2026	3,223,5	0	3,873,035	7,096,605
2027–2031	3,894,4	0	3,234,943	7,129,353
2032–2036	4,875,9	′5	2,477,054	7,353,029
2037–2041	6,132,82	20	1,421,641	7,554,461
2042–2046	3,454,4	)4	163,030	3,617,434
	\$ 26,908,8	59 \$	20,249,782	\$ 47,158,651

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes \$400 million of commercial paper due in 2012.

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes interest for variable rate bonds estimated at 0.19%, which is the rate at the end of the fiscal year. Variable rate bonds are remarketed daily or weekly, and interest rates are determined by the market on the day of sale.

#### 10. LONG-TERM DEBT (continued)

Bonds, notes payable, and commercial paper are comprised of the following for the year ended June 30, 2011 (in thousands):

1991 Fiscal Series B — 7.00% Serial and Term Bo in varying installments through 2012 1992 Fiscal Series B — 6.66% to 6.81% Serial and maturing in varying installments through 2014 1993 Fiscal Series A — 5.50% Serial, Term, and Ca Appreciation Bonds maturing in varying installme 2012 1994 Fiscal Series 1 — 5.75% to 5.88% Serial Bo in varying installments through 2013 1995 Fiscal Series 1 — 6.88% Serial Bonds matur installments through 2016 1997 Fiscal Series A — 6.00% Serial Bonds matur installments through 2021 1998 Fiscal Series D — Capital Appreciation Bor in varying installments through 2020 1998 Fiscal Series 1 — 5.20% to 5.35% Serial Bo in varying installments through 2017 1998 Fiscal Series 3 — 6.00% Serial Bonds matur installments through 2012 1998 Fiscal Series 4 — 5.00% to 5.20% Serial Bo in varying installments through 2018 1999 Fiscal Series A — 4.75% to 5.00% Serial Bo in varying installments through 2031 2000 Fiscal Series C — Adjustable Rate Term Bor in 2033 2000 Fiscal Series 2 — 5.50% to 5.96% Serial Bo in varying installments through 2019 2001 Fiscal Series C — 5.13% Term Bonds matur installments through 2033 2001 Fiscal Series D — Capital Appreciation Bon invarying installments through 2021 2001 Fiscal Series E — 4.50% to 5.25% Serial and maturing in varying installments through 2031 2001 Fiscal Series F — Adjustable Rate Bonds ma varying installments through 2033 2002 Fiscal Series A — 5.00% Serial and Term Bo in 2031 and 2032 2002 Fiscal Series B — 4.00% Serial and Term Bo invarying installments through 2026 2002 Fiscal Series C — 4.10% to 5.13% Serial an maturing in varying installments through 2032 2002 Fiscal Series D — 3.88% to 4.90% Serial an maturing in varying installments through 2020 (Continued)

NEW YORK CITY WATER & SEWER SYSTEM

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AS OF AND FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

	Balance at June 30, 2010	Issued	Retired/ Defeased	Balance at June 30, 2011
onds maturing	\$ ١,760	\$ _	\$ 1,145	\$ 615
nd Term Bonds	2,358	_	1,291	1,067
Capital ents through	19,500	_	19,500	_
onds maturing	26,585	_	6,445	20,140
uring in varying	5,  0	_	3,335	11,775
uring in varying	25,000	_	—	25,000
nds maturing	0,330	_	_	110,330
onds maturing	21,405	_	2,580	18,825
uring in varying	87,765	_	43,850	43,915
onds maturing	7,250	_	835	6,415
onds maturing	8 ,270	_	181,270	_
onds maturing	107,500	_	_	107,500
onds maturing	7,295	_	645	6,650
iring in varying	2,040	_	_	2,040
nds maturing	232,060	_	52,2 5	79,845
nd Term Bonds	86,105	_	86,105	_
naturing in	84,   30	_	_	84, 30
onds maturing	6,305	_	6,305	_
onds maturing	170,455	_	170,455	_
nd Term Bonds	46,580	_	46,580	_
nd Term Bonds	41,215	_	41,215	_

### 10. LONG-TERM DEBT (continued)

	Balance at June 30 2010	,	Retired/ Defeased	Balance at June 30, 2011
2002 Fiscal Series E — 3.88% to 5.00% Serial and Term Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2026	\$ 213,405	5 \$ -	\$ 213,405	\$ –
2002 Fiscal Series F — 3.88% to 5.00% Serial and Term Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2029	105,145		105,145	_
2002 Fiscal Series G — 5.00% Term Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2034	216,375	- -	116,375	100,000
2002 Fiscal Series I — 5.06% to 5.25% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2031	157,276	- -	157,276	_
2002 Fiscal Series 2 — 4.30% to 5.00% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2031	51,461	-	51,461	_
2002 Fiscal Series 3 — 4.04% to 5.22% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2031	402,707	7	5,833	386,874
2002 Fiscal Series 4 — 5.06% to 6.74% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2023	165,504	+ –	1,105	154,399
2002 Fiscal Series 5 — 3.82% to 5.27% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2031	4 ,472		5,959	35,5 3
2002 Fiscal Series 6 — 3.71% to 5.40% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2019	60,443	-	5,832	54,611
2002 Fiscal Series 7 — 7.50% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2012	1,025		665	360
2003 Fiscal Series A — 4.13% to 5.38% Serial, Term and Muni- CP1 Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2034	672,015	- -	181,855	490,   60
2003 Fiscal Series D — 4.00% to 5.25% Serial and Term Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2017	192,260	) —	35,6 5	56,645
2003 Fiscal Series E — 5.00% Term Bonds maturing in 2034 and 2038	367,265		-	367,265
2003 Fiscal Series F — Adjustable Rate Bonds maturing in 2035	201,655		-	201,655
2003 Fiscal Series 1 — 4.62% to 4.89% Serial Bonds maturing invarying installments through 2032	7,827		5,081	112,746
2003 Fiscal Series 2 — 4.97% to 5.24% Serial Bonds maturing invarying installments through 2028	511,760	) —	3,762	497,998
2003 Fiscal Series 3 — 0.48% to 5.75% Serial Bonds maturing invarying installments through 2025	16,895	- -	805	6,090
2003 Fiscal Series 4 — 0.35% to 5.80% Serial Bonds maturing invarying installments through 2025	26,860	) —	1,290	25,570
2003 Fiscal Series 5 — 3.36% to 5.00% Serial Bonds maturing invarying installments through 2032	241,169	)	9,411	231,758
2004 Fiscal Series A — 5.00% Term Bonds maturing in 2027 and 2035	217,000	) —	_	217,000
2004 Fiscal Series B — 3.00% to 5.00% Serial and Term Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2023	336,720	) —	3,560	333,160
2004 Fiscal Series C — 2.88% to 5.00% Serial and Term Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2035	593,375		625	592,750

(Continued)

### 10. LONG-TERM DEBT (continued)

	Balance June 3 201	0,	Retired/ Defeased	Balance at June 30, 2011
2004 Fiscal Series 1 — 3.58% to 5.00% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2033	\$ 251,32	2 \$-	\$ 9,357	\$ 241,964
2004 Fiscal Series 2 — 1.70% to 4.84% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2026	217,38		8,229	209,155
2005 Fiscal Series $A = 5.00\%$ Term Bonds maturing in 2039	150,00	- 00		150,000
2005 Fiscal Series B — 3.25% to 5.00% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2036	916,67		1,160	915,510
2005 Fiscal Series C — 3.25% to 5.00% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2031	572,68		820	571,860
2005 Fiscal Series D — 5.00% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2039	559,20	)5 –	· _	559,205
2005 Fiscal Series 1 — 3.98% to 5.00% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2034	196,69	93 –	6,923	189,770
2005 Fiscal Series 2 — 2.58% to 5.00% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2034	333,63	- 00	12,236	321,394
2006 Fiscal Series A — 3.50% to 5.00% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2039	517,75		405	517,350
2006 Fiscal Series B — 5.00% Term Bonds maturing in 2036	150,00	. — 00		150,000
2006 Fiscal Series C — 4.50% to 4.75% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2033	350,34	+5 –		350,345
2006 Fiscal Series D — 4.50% to 5.00% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2038	406,20	)5 –	· _	406,205
2006 Fiscal Series AA — Adjustable rate bonds maturing in varying installments through 2032	400,00	- 00		400,000
2006 Fiscal Series BB — 3.50% to 5.00% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2016	60,00	- 00	10,000	50,000
2006 Fiscal Series I — Adjustable rate bonds maturing invarying installments through 2035	199,45		7,168	192,285
2006 Fiscal Series 2 — Adjustable rate bonds maturing in varying installments through 2036	183,80	)9 —	5,643	78, 66
2006 Fiscal Series 3 — Adjustable rate bonds maturing in varying installments through 2036	234,66		7,050	227,613
2007 Fiscal Series A — 4.25% to 4.75% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2039	587,97			587,975
2007 Fiscal Series AA — 4.50% to 5.00% Term Bonds maturing in 2037	99,9	0 –	· _	199,910
2007 Fiscal Series BB — 3.75% to 5.00% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2021	3 ,74	+5 –	_	3 ,745
2007 Fiscal Series CC — Adjustable rate bonds maturing in 2038	210,50	- 00		210,500
2007 Fiscal Series DD — 4.75% to 5.00% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2039	395,00	- 00		395,000
2007 Fiscal Series 1 — 2.55% to 5.00% Serial Bonds maturing invarying installments through 2036	210,15	52 –	6,798	203,354
(Continued)				

<sup>(</sup>Continued)

### 10. LONG-TERM DEBT (continued)

		ince at ine 30, 2010	lssued	Retired/ Defeased	Balance at June 30, 2011
2007 Fiscal Series 2 — 2.60% to 4.80% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2036	\$ 26	67,830	\$ _	\$ 8,487	\$ 259,343
2007 Fiscal Series 3 — 4.17% to 6.42% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2024		19,330	_	-	149,330
2008 Fiscal Series A — 5.00% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2038	44	16,245	_	-	446,245
2008 Fiscal Series B — Adjustable rate bonds maturing in varying installments through 2025	53	35,000	_	_	535,000
2008 Fiscal Series C — 3.00% to 5.25% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2021	I	8,585	_	9,795	108,790
2008 Fiscal Series AA — 4.50% to 5.00% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2039	4(	)0,000	_	_	400,000
2008 Fiscal Series BB — Adjustable rate bonds maturing in varying installments through 2036	4(	000,10	_	_	401,000
2008 Fiscal Series DD — 4.50% to 5.00% Serial Bonds maturing invarying installments through 2039	50	)4,905	_	_	504,905
2008 Fiscal Series 1 — 3.00% to 5.00% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2037	25	50,643	_	2,72	237,922
2008 Fiscal Series 2 — 3.04% to 5.00% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2037	2	6,378	_	6,882	209,496
2009 Fiscal Series AA — 3.25% to 5.00% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2022	33	34,075	_	_	334,075
2009 Fiscal Series BB — Adjustable rate bonds maturing in varying installments through 2039	20	)0,870	_	_	200,870
2009 Fiscal Series CC — 4.98% to 5.00% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2034	15	50,100	_	_	50, 00
2009 Fiscal Series A — 5.00% to 5.63% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2040	53	36,030	_	_	536,030
2009 Fiscal Series DD — 5.25% to 6.00% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2040	32	25,580	_	_	325,580
2009 Fiscal Series EE — 2.50% to 5.50% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2040	64	15,455	_	_	645,455
2009 Fiscal Series FF — 3.00% to 5.50% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2040	36	62,830	_	_	362,830
2009 Fiscal Series 1 — 3.86% to 5.16% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2038	36	66,021	_	2,430	363,591
2009 Fiscal Series 2 — 4.87% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2038	7	79,333	_	3,292	76,041
2009 Fiscal Series GG — 4.13% to 5.25% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2040	50	0,000	_	_	500,000
2010 Fiscal Series AA — 5.75% to 6.25% Term Bonds maturing in 2041	50	)4,240	_	_	504,240
2010 Fiscal Series BB — 2.50% to 5.00% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2027	2	8,820	_	_	218,820

<sup>(</sup>Continued)

### 10. LONG-TERM DEBT (continued)

	Balance at June 30, 2010		Retired/ Defeased	Balance at June 30, 2011
2010 Fiscal Series CC — Adjustable rate bonds maturing in 2041	\$ 200,000	\$ –	\$ –	\$ 200,000
2010 Fiscal Series DD — 5.95% to 6.45% Term Bonds maturing in 2041 and 2042	400,000	-	_	400,000
2010 Fiscal Series EE — 6.01% to 6.49% Term Bonds maturing in 2041 and 2042	500,000	-	-	500,000
2010 Fiscal Series FF — 3.00% to 5.00% Serial Bonds maturing invarying installments through 2031	359,110	-	-	359,110
2010 Fiscal Series 2 — 0.13% to 5.00% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2039	140,684	_	9,365	3 ,3 9
2010 Fiscal Series 3 — 3.61% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2039	67,420	-	990	66,430
2010 Fiscal Series 4 — 4.98% to 5.81% Serial Bonds maturing installments through 2039	196,460	-	-	196,460
2010 Fiscal Series GG — 5.72% to 6.12% Term Bonds maturing in 2042	554,045	-	-	554,045
2011 Fiscal Series AA — 5.44% to 5.79% Term Bonds maturing in 2041 and 2043	_	750,000	-	750,000
2011 Fiscal Series BB — 3.00% to 5.00% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2031	-	210,040	530	209,510
2011 Fiscal Series CC — 5.88% to 6.28% Term Bonds maturing in 2042 through 2044	_	750,000	_	750,000
2011 Fiscal Series DD — Adjustable rate bonds maturing in 2043	_	275,000	-	275,000
2011 Fiscal Series EE — 5.38% to 5.50% Term Bonds maturing in 2040 through 2043	_	450,000	_	450,000
2011 Fiscal Series FF — Adjustable rate bonds maturing in 2044	_	200,000	-	200,000
2011 Fiscal Series GG — 2.50% to 5.00% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2026	_	541,810	_	541,810
2011 Fiscal Series HH — 4.00% to 5.00% Serial Bonds maturing in 2026 through 2032	-	662,245	-	662,245
2011 Fiscal Series 1 and 2 — 3.58% Serial Bonds maturing in varying installments through 2041	_	678,761	-	678,761
2010 BAN	_	52,410	_	52,410
Total bonds payable	23,977,715	\$ 4,570,266	\$ 2,039,112	26,508,869
Current portion of bonds and notes payable	364,562			430,452
Bonds and notes payable — less current portion	\$ 23,613,153	_	-	\$ 26,078,417

(Concluded)

#### **11. RESTRICTED ASSETS**

As of June 30, 2011 and 2010, certain cash, investments, and accrued interest of the System are restricted as follows (in thousands):

	2011	2010
THE BOARD:		
Operation and maintenance reserve account	\$ 199,626	\$ 191,772
Operation and maintenance reserve general account	10	10
Subtotal — Board	199,636	191,782
THE AUTHORITY:		
Revenue fund	363,608	239,192
Debt service reserve fund	865,727	883,85 I
Debt service fund	5,517	9,489
Construction fund	151,024	50,3 6
Escrow fund	_	19,370
Subtotal — Authority	1,385,876	,302,2 8
Total restricted assets	\$ 1,585,512	\$ 1,494,000

The operation and maintenance reserve account is established as a depository to hold the operations and maintenance reserve fund as required by the Resolution. It is required to hold one-sixth of the operating expenses as set forth in the annual budget. It is funded through the cash receipts of the Board. The operation and maintenance reserve general account is established as a depository to hold all excess funds of the Board after all legally mandated transfers have been made. It is available to meet any deficiencies in the flow of funds including debt service and alternatively can be used as a financing source for capital expenditures.

The revenue fund is established as a depository to fund the debt service, Authority expenses, debt service reserve and escrow funds. It is funded through cash transfers from the Board. The debt service reserve fund is established as a depository to hold the First Resolution Bond maximum annual debt service requirement for the next current or any future fiscal year. It is funded through revenue bond proceeds and the revenue fund.

The debt service fund is established as a depository to pay all principal and interest payments on the Authority's debt for the current fiscal year. It is funded through the revenue fund. The construction fund is established as a depository to pay all capital construction costs incurred by The City and reimbursed by the Authority. It is funded through the proceeds of commercial paper, bond and note sales. The escrow fund is established as a depository to refund debt in future years. It is funded through bond proceeds.

#### **12. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**

- a. Construction The System has contractual commitments of approximately \$7.5 billion and \$6.6 billion at June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, for water and sewer projects.
- **b.** Risk Financing Activities The System is self insured and carries no commercial or insurance policies other than Directors and Officers insurance for the Authority. Any claims made against the System are resolved through The City's legal support, and the amounts of the maximum liability for such judgments are described in (c) below. The System is subject to claims for construction delays, property damage, personal injury and judgments related to delays in construction deadlines under consent agreements.
- c. Claims and Litigation In accordance with the Lease, the Board is required to reimburse The City for any judgment or settlement paid by The City arising out of a tort claim to the extent that The City's liability is related to capital improvements and the operation or maintenance of the System. However, in no event shall the payment made to The City, in any fiscal year, exceed an amount equal to 5% of the aggregate revenues shown on the prior year's audited financial statements of the System. In addition, the System is required to reimburse The City, to the extent requested by The City, for the payment of any judgment or settlement arising out of a contract claim with respect to the

#### **12. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES** (continued)

construction of capital improvements of the System. In addition, The City has agreed, subject to certain conditions, to indemnify the Authority, the Board and their staffs against any and all liability in connection with any act done or omitted in the exercise of their powers, which is taken or omitted in good faith in pursuance of their purposes under the Act. Currently, The City is a defendant in a significant number of lawsuits pertaining to the System. The litigation includes, but is not limited to, actions commenced and claims asserted against The City arising out of alleged torts, alleged breaches of contract, condemnation proceedings and other alleged violations of law. As of June 30, 2011, the potential future liability attributable to the System for claims outstanding against The City was estimated to be \$182.3 million. This amount is included in the estimated liability for unsettled claims, which is reported in The City's balance sheet. The potential future liability is The City's best estimate based on available information. The estimate may be revised as further information is obtained and as pending cases are litigated.

d. Arbitrage Rebate — To maintain the exemption from Federal income tax of interest on bonds issued subsequent to January 1, 1986, the System will fund amounts required to be rebated to the Federal Government pursuant to Section 148 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the ''Code''). The Code requires the payment to the United States Treasury of the excess of the amount earned on all non-purpose obligations over the amount that would have been earned if the gross proceeds of the issue were invested at a rate equal to the yield on the issue, together with any earnings attributable to such excess. Construction funds, debt service funds or any other funds or accounts funded with proceeds of such bonds, including earnings, or pledged to or expected to be used to pay interest on such bonds are subject to this requirement. Payment is to be made after the end of the fifth bond year and after every fifth bond year thereafter, and within 60 days after retirement of the bonds. During fiscal 2011 and 2010, the System paid \$2.1 million and \$0.54 million, respectively, in rebates. At June 30, 2011 and 2010, the Authority had a liability of \$7.9 million and \$8.2 million, respectively. These amounts are included in accrued payable expense in the balance sheets.

#### **13. PENSION PLANS**

During fiscal 2011, the Authority was billed and contributed \$112.9 thousand for 11 employees who participate in the defined benefit pension plan. All other personnel are employees of The City and are covered under The City's pension plan. The System pays the costs of The City employees' pension through an allocation of fringe benefit costs, which is included principally within operations and maintenance expenses in the accompanying financial statements.

The following table shows the amount the Authority was billed and contributed (in thousands):

Date	Required Contribution	Actual Contribution	%
June 30, 2011	\$ 113	\$ 113	100 %
June 30, 2010	2	2	100
June 30, 2009	52	52	100

#### **14. OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS**

**Plan Description** — The Authority's policy is to provide certain health and related benefits to eligible retirees of the Authority, which constitutes an other postemployment benefit ("OPEB") plan (the "Plan") in accordance with GASB Statement No. 45, ("GASB 45") Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions.

The Authority's policy is to follow the eligibility criteria applicable to retirees of The City and to provide benefits substantially the same as those provided to City retirees and eligible beneficiaries/dependents. OPEB benefits include health insurance, Medicare Part B premium reimbursements and employee welfare fund contributions.

Funding Policy — The Authority is not required to provide funding for OPEB, other than the pay-as-you-go amount necessary to provide current benefits to retirees and eligible beneficiaries/dependents. For the years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, the Authority had three retirees and made contributions of \$5.7 thousand and \$4.4 thousand respectively. Members are not required to contribute; although, retirees may elect basic health insurance programs and/or optional coverage that require contributions.

14. OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

(continued)

Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligation —

The Authority's annual OPEB cost (expense) is calculated based on the annual required contribution of the employer

("ARC"), an amount that was actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB 45. The frozen entry age cost method was used in the actuarial valuation prepared as of June 30, 2010, which was the basis for the fiscal 2011 ARC calculation.

(CONTINUED)

The following table shows the elements of the Authority's annual OPEB cost, the amounts actually contributed, and changes in the Authority's net OPEB obligation for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

	2011	2010
Annual required contribution	\$ 705	\$ 538
Interest on net OPEB obligations	21	16
Adjustment to annual required contribution	(555)	(4    )
Annual OPEB cost	171	143
Payments	(6)	(4)
Net OPEB obligation — beginning of year	534	395
Net OPEB obligation — end of year	\$ 699	\$ 534

The Authority's annual OPEB cost, the percentage of annual OPEB cost contributed, and the net OPEB obligation for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2009 through 2011 were as follows (in thousands):

Fiscal Years	Annual OPEB cost	Percentage of Annual OPEB Cost Contributed	Net OPEB Obligation
June 30, 2011	\$ 171	3.3 %	\$ 699
June 30, 2010	43	3.1	534
June 30, 2009	86	8.6	395

**Funded Status and Funding Progress** — As of June 30, 2010, the most recent actuarial valuation date, the cost was 0% funded. The actuarial accrued liability for benefits was \$562.5 thousand, and the actuarial value of assets was \$0, resulting in an unfunded actuarial accrued liability ("UAAL") of \$562.5 thousand. The covered payroll (annual payroll of active employees covered by the Plan) was \$1,026 thousand, and the ratio of the UAAL to the covered payroll was 54.8%.

Actuarial Methods and Assumptions — Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future, such as assumptions about future employment, mortality and the healthcare cost trend. Amounts determined regarding the funded status of the Plan and the annual required contributions of the Authority are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future. Projections of benefits for financial reporting purposes are based on the types of benefits provided at the time of each valuation and the historical pattern of sharing of benefit costs between the employer and employees to that point. The actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities, consistent with the long-term perspective of the calculations.

#### 14. OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (continued)

The System's funding progress information as of June 30, 2011 is as follows:

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) — Entry Age	Unfunded AAL (UAAL)	Funded Ratio	Covered Payroll	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll
June 30, 2010	\$ -	\$563	\$563	- %	\$1,026	54.8%

The schedule of funding progress, presented as required supplementary information following the notes to the combined financial statements, presents multiyear trend information about whether the actuarial value of plan assets are increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liability for benefits.

#### 15. POLLUTION REMEDIATION OBLIGATIONS

The System reports pollution remediation obligations ("PROs") as required by GASB standards. The System's PROs may arise as a result of: (1) federal, state and local laws and regulations, (2) violations of pollution-related permits or licenses, (3) because the System has determined that there is an imminent endangerment to public health and safety as a result of an existing pollution condition, (4) because the System has been named in a lawsuit to compel remediation or has been identified by a regulator as a party responsible or potentially responsible for remediation and/or (5) because the System has voluntarily commenced remediation. As of June 30, 2011 and 2010, the System reported \$102.6 million and \$74.3 million of liabilities for known PROs, respectively.

The System has estimated these amounts based on the current value of outlays expected to be incurred for pollution remediation which it is currently obligated to perform. Actual future outlays will differ from the estimated amounts if the prices or techniques for remediation measures change or differ from estimates, if and when additional information about existing pollution conditions becomes known to the System in the future and/or if applicable laws or regulations change.

Remediation outlays for certain pollution conditions currently known to the System are not included in the reported liabilities because they are not yet reasonably estimable. These include certain locations that the System has been informed may be designated, under federal law, as Superfund sites, to address hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants at these sites and for which the System may be named as a potentially responsible party for the remediation because there are System facilities operated at these locations.

#### **16. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS**

During fiscal 2011 and 2010 the Authority bought \$6.28 million and \$31.90 million, respectively of New York City Transitional Finance Authority ("TFA") bonds. At June 30, 2011 and 2010, the Authority held \$0 million and \$38.30 million, respectively, in TFA bonds.

#### **17. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

On July 19, 2011 the Authority issued \$200 million of commercial paper notes, Series 1, to pay for construction costs of the System.

On August 16, 2011 the Authority issued \$200 million of commercial paper notes, Series 6, to pay for construction costs of the System.

On August 9, 2011 the Authority drew down \$6.62 million of Fiscal 2010 Series 1 BANS.

On September 16, 2011 the Authority drew down an additional \$4.87 million of Fiscal 2010 Series 1 BANS.

On September 13, 2011 the Authority issued Fiscal 2012 Series AA Bonds in the amount of \$450.9 million to refund principal of \$112.0 million and \$100.0 million of Series 2001C and 2002G, respectively, to pay the costs of issuance of the bonds, and to deposit \$267.2 million to the Construction Fund.

On September 29, 2011 the Authority issued Fiscal 2012 Series A Bonds in the amount of \$200 million to pay for the Authority's commercial paper notes Series 8, to pay the costs of issuance of the bonds, and to deposit \$8.1 million to the Debt Service Reserve Fund.

#### 17. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS (continued)

On September 29, 2011 the Authority closed on the Fiscal 2012 Series 1 BANS. The total amount of the BANS will be \$30 million and no money has been drawn down as of October 7, 2011.

**Impact of Hurricane Irene** — On August 27 and 28, 2011, Hurricane Irene moved through the City and upstate watershed. The impact to in-City DEP facilities was relatively minor, but it was more extensive in the watershed. DEP immediately took steps to inspect and repair the damage. It is anticipated that the majority of the expenses incurred by DEP to return to normal operations will be reimbursable with federal emergency management agency funds for these counties in the watershed.

North River Wastewater Treatment Plant Fire/Notice of Violation — On July 20, 2011, a four-alarm fire in the North River wastewater treatment plant (the "Plant") caused a full evacuation of the plant and a shutdown of plant operations and resulted in discharges of untreated wastewater into the Hudson River. New York State Department of Environmental Conservation subsequently issued a Notice of Violation for the discharge, but has not made a specific penalty demand and DEP's potential liability is difficult to estimate at this time. The cost of bringing the plant back online and making needed repairs is approximately \$30 million, which is not in the Capital Improvement Program.

\*\*\*\*\*

Required Supplementary Information

### SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS FOR THE OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFIT PLAN (UNAUDITED)

JUNE 30, 2011, 2010 AND 2009 (In thousands)

Year Ended	Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets	Accrued Liability (AAL) — Entry Age	Unfunded AAL (UAAL)	Funded Ratio	Covered Payroll	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll
June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010	\$ -	\$ 563	\$ 563	- %	\$1,026	54.8%
June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009	_	431	431	_	676	63.7
June 30, 2009	June 30, 2008	—	317	317	_	729	43.5

Statistical Section

### SCHEDULES OF FINANCIAL TRENDS INFORMATION

### Net Assets by Category—Ten Year Trend

									2003	
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	Restated	2002
Invested in capital assets net of related debt	(\$215,322)	\$920,728	\$1,253,882	\$1,737,181	\$2,056,879	\$2,556,766	\$2,803,031	\$3,368,355	\$3,271,440	\$2,663,804
Restricted for:										
Debt service	573,461	239,192	285,348	209,130	6 ,66	171,859	152,544	179,106	203,695	245,072
Operations and maintenance	199,636	191,772	195,844	200,438	175,161	157,806	145,693	35,70	32, 07	8,848
Unrestricted (deficit)	(910,633)	(1,632,209)	(1,474,823)	(980,858)	(667,418)	12,950	53,836	(128,979)	147,223	1,584,435
Total net assets	(\$352,858)	(\$280,517)	\$260,25 I	\$1,165,891	\$1,726,283	\$2,899,381	\$3,155,104	\$3,554,183	\$3,754,465	\$4,6 2, 59

### Changes in Net Assets—Ten Year Trend

	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003 Restated	2002
Operating Revenues										
Water Supply and distribution	\$1,158,977	\$1,005,045	\$920,033	\$784,856	\$796,404	\$735,200	\$755,693	\$713,097	\$690,093	\$648,319
Sewer collection and treatment	1,797,777	1,562,777	I,430,588	1,220,653	1,238,612	1,143,424	1,043,575	984,753	952,985	907,324
Other operating revenues	111,552	190,251	97,946	97,778	98,061	100,306	85,459	75,283	68,842	116,512
Total operating revenues	3,068,306	2,758,073	2,448,567	2,103,287	2,133,077	1,978,930	1,884,727	1,773,133	1,711,920	1,672,155
Operating Expenses										
Operation and maintenance	1,397,867	1,829,835	1,448,268	I,320,439	1,147,157	1,056,379	944,919	933,736	875,762	857,907
Bad debt expense	76,799	14,032	36,060	-	226,028	87,222	4,702	6, 08	89,400	92,481
Administration and general	40,424	40,257	50,58 I	44,027	35,493	26,727	23,168	19,853	5, 8	4, 7
Total operating expenses	1,515,090	1,884,124	1,534,909	1,364,466	1,408,678	1,170,328	1,082,789	1,069,697	980,343	964,559
Depreciation and amortization	628,339	574,483	696,345	646,377	579,860	500,161	515,325	451,585	389,626	320,382
Operating income	924,877	299,466	2 7,3 3	92,444	144,539	308,441	286,613	251,851	341,951	387,214
Nonoperaing revenue (expenses)										
Interest expense	(1,178,226)	(1,019,633)	(929,333)	(834,085)	(771,656)	(731,563)	(668,675)	(6 2,054)	(584,347)	(556,784)
Loss on retirement of fixed assets	(3,426)	(23,254)	(299,450)	( 4,598)	(23,257)	(7,046)	(7,971)	(25,214)	_	_
Subsidy income	180,986	28,  0	108,708	104,234	90,601	88,447	78,834	68,311	65,816	-
Capital distribution	(53,591)	(32,580)	(51,921)	(24,619)	(33,133)	_	_	-	_	-
Investment income	38,313	65,760	99,122	108,892	98,132	105,239	97,362	86,949	96,236	97,543
Net income (loss) before capital contributions	(91,067)	(582, 3 )	(855,561)	(567,732)	(494,774)	(236,482)	(2 3,837)	(230,157)	(80,344)	(72,027)
Capital contributions	18,696	30,424	11,529	7,340	12,357	(19,241)	(185,242)	29,875	7,233	2,303
Change in net assets	(72,371)	(551,707)	(844,032)	(560,392)	(482,417)	(255,723)	(399,079)	(200,282)	(73,   )	(59,724)
Net assets - beginning of year	(280,517)	260,25 I	1,165,891	1,726,283	2,899,381	3,155,104	3,554,183	3,754,465	3,827,576	4,671,883
Restatement of beginning net assets	-	10,939	(61,608)	-	(690,681)	_	_	-	_	-
Net assets - end of year	(\$352,888)	(\$280,517)	\$260,251	\$1,165,891	\$1,726,283	\$2,899,381	\$3,155,104	\$3,554,183	\$3,754,465	\$4,612,159

This page intentionally left blank.

#### SCHEDULES OF REVENUE CAPACITY INFORMATION

#### Water and Sewer Rate Increases—Ten Year Trend

Effective Date	Changes in Flat-Rate Water/ Metered Water	Metered Water Rate (per ccf) <sup>(1)</sup>	Change in Sewer
July 1, 2002	Increased 6.5%	1.44	No change
July 1, 2003	Increased 5.5%	1.52	No change
July 1, 2004	Increased 5.5%	1.60	No change
July 1, 2005	Increased 3.0%	1.65	No change
July 1, 2006	Increased 9.4%	1.81	No change
July 1, 2007	Increased 11.5%	2.02	No change
July 1, 2008	Increased 14.5%	2.3	No change
July 1, 2009	Increased 12.9%	2.61	No change
July 1, 2010	Increased 12.9%	2.95	No change
July 1, 2011	Increased 7.5%	3.17	No change

<sup>(1)</sup> ccf equals 100 cubic feet or approximately 748 gallons

#### Average Daily Water Consumption—Ten Year Trend

Fiscal Year	Total (mgd) <sup>(1)</sup>	Upstate Counties (mgd) <sup>(1)</sup>	City (mgd) <sup>(1)</sup>	Per capita (gals/day) <sup>(2)</sup>
2002	1,281	124	1,157	44
2003	1,232	119	1,113	138
2004	1,221	8	1,103	137
2005	1,215	8	١,097	136
2006	1,210	122	1,088	136
2007	1,218	120	۱,098	137
2008	1,235	119	1,116	139
2009	1,152	4	1,038	129
2010	1,127	112	1,018	126
2011	1,152	7	I,035	127

 $^{(1)}$  mgd = millions of gallons used per day

<sup>(2)</sup> Population source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.

#### SCHEDULES OF DEBT CAPACITY INFORMATION

#### Revenue Bond Coverage Last Ten Fiscal Years

YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2002 - 2011 (in thousands)

		Debt Service Requirements <sup>(1)</sup>				
Year	Cash Receipts	Principal	Interest	Total	First Resolution Debt Service Coverage	Second Resolution Debt Service Coverage
2002	\$1,592,393	\$ 90,648	\$ 405,745	\$ 496,393	3.21	3.21
2003	1,653,733	196,036	300,688	496,724	3.33	3.33
2004	1,754,336	52, 32	338,216	490,348	3.58	3.58
2005	1,829,806	175,729	335,408	5  , 37	3.91	3.58
2006	1,931,927	197,602	439,839	637,441	3.76	3.03
2007	1,994,909	200,780	483,661	684,441	3.83	2.91
2008	2,236,541	201,791	556,627	758,418	4.23	2.95
2009	2,400,849	204,530	575,476	780,006	4.66	3.07
2010	2,622,290	249,093	592,194	841,287	5.24	3.12
2011	3,039,374	345,591	778,235	1,123,826	5.77	2.70

<sup>(1)</sup> Debt service requirements include all First Resolution debt service and Second Resolution debt service, net of subsidy from the NYS Environmental Facilities Corporation and surplus revenue carried forward from the prior fiscal year.

#### Ratio of Debt Outstanding—Ten Year Trend

YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2002 - 2011 (in thousands)

Year	Total Debt Outstanding <sup>(1)</sup>	Debt per Capita	Name	2011 Billed
2002	\$ 2, 47,004	\$1.50	New York City Housing Authority	\$ 4 , 39,898
2003	3,483,   50	1.66	The City of New York	74,703,813
2004	4,032,9 7	1.72	Consolidated Edison Inc.	15,677,389
2005	5,434,05	1.88	Columbia University	10,817,338
2006	6,285,35	1.97	New York City Health and Hospital Corp.	10,375,786
2007	8,07   ,   84	2.17	Port Authority of NY and NJ	9,495,284
2008	20,018,937	2.40	New York Presbyterian Hospital	6,397,535
2009	22,534,797	2.69	Peter Cooper Village/Stuyvesant Town	5,965,607
2010	24,577,715	3.07	NYS Office of Mental Health	2,825,645
2011	26,908,869	n/a	Visy Paper	2,754,038

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes both short and long term debt outstanding

#### Ten Largest Customers

#### SCHEDULES OF DEMOGRAPHIC AND ECONOMIC INFORMATION (continued)

#### Ten Largest Employers

Ν	am	e	

Name	2010
City of New York	52,836
New York City Department of Education	121,255
Metropolitan Transportation Authority	66,240
United States Government	52,800
New York City Health and Hospitals Corp.	36,964
State of New York	26,500
J.P. Morgan Chase & Co	24,927
Citigroup Inc.	24,442
North Shore-LIJ Health System	19,872
Continuum Health Partners Inc.	18,974

Source: Crain's New York Business - April 11-17, 2011

#### SCHEDULES OF DEMOGRAPHIC AND ECONOMIC INFORMATION

#### Population—Ten Year Trend

Year	United States	Percentage change from prior Period	City of New York	Percentage change from prior Period
2001	284,968,955	0.99%	8,063,137	0.60%
2002	287,625,193	0.93	8,092,749	0.37
2003	290,107,933	0.86	8,126,718	0.42
2004	292,805,298	0.93	8,169,940	0.53
2005	295,516,599	0.93	8,213,839	0.54
2006	298,379,912	0.97	8,250,567	0.45
2007	301,231,207	0.96	8,310,212	0.72
2008	304,093,966	0.95	8,346,794	0.44
2009	306,771,529	0.88	8,391,881	0.54
2010	308,745,538	0.64	8,175,133	-2.58

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Census

#### Personal Income—Ten Year Trend

		Personal Income			Per Capita		
Year	United States (\$ billions)	City of New York (\$ billions)	New York City as a Percentage of the United States	United States	City of New York	New York City as a Percentage of the United States	
2000	\$8,555	\$293.2	3%	\$30,318	\$36,576	121%	
2001	8,879	299.0	3%	31,145	37,078	119%	
2002	9,055	299.5	3%	31,461	37,012	8%	
2003	9,369	305.7	3%	32,271	37,621	117%	
2004	9,929	327.6	3%	33,881	40,101	8%	
2005	10,477	351.6	3%	35,424	42,805	121%	
2006	11,257	386.7	3%	37,698	46,869	124%	
2007	,900	416.5	4%	39,461	50,124	127%	
2008	12,380	424.7	3%	40,674	50,881	125%	
2009	2, 65	408.0	3%	39,635	48,620	123%	

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis.

#### SCHEDULES OF OPERATING INFORMATION

#### Water Pollution Control Plants Daily Flow

		12 Month Avg. (mgd) <sup>(1)</sup>		
Plant	Design Flow (mgd) <sup>(1)</sup>	July 09 - July 10	July 10 - July 11	
Wards Island	275	204	200	
North River	170	124	124	
Hunts Point	200	3	126	
26th Ward	85	51	48	
Coney Island	110	86	86	
Owls Head	120	94	92	
Newtown Creek	310	243	226	
Red Hook	60	29	29	
Jamaica	100	81	79	
Tallmans Island	80	58	56	
Bowery Bay	150			
Rockaway	45	22	18	
Oakwood Beach	40	29.5	29.8	
Port Richmond	60	29	27	
Total	I ,805	1,292.5	1,251.8	

 $^{(1)}$  mgd = millions of gallons of water

### SCHEDULES OF OPERATING INFORMATION (continued)

#### Water System Tunnels and Aqueducts

	Connections	Length (miles)	Diameter (feet)	In Service Date
Tunnels Upstate				
Shandanken		8.	$11.5 \times 10.25^{(1)}$	1924
West Delaware		44.0	11.33	1964
East Delaware		25.0	11.33	1955
Neversink		6.0	10	1954
Aqueducts				
New Croton	New Croton to Jerome Park	24.0	$3.5 \times 13.6^{(1)}$	1893
	Jerome Park to 135 St. Gatehouse	9.0	$12.25 - 10.5^{(2)}$	1893
Catskill	Ashokan to Kensico	75.0	$ 7 \times  7.5^{(1)} $	1915
	Kensico to Hillview	17.0	$17 \times 18^{(1)}$	1915
Delaware	Rondout to West Branch	44.2	13.5	1944
	West Branch to Kensico	27.2	15	1943
	Kensico to Hillview	13.6	19.5	1942
Tunnels Downstate				
Tunnel I	Hillview to distribution system	8.0	5-   <sup>(2)</sup>	1917
Tunnel 2	Hillview to distribution system	20.0	$ 7- 5^{(2)}$	1936
Tunnel 3, Stage 1	Hillview to distribution system	3.0	24-20 <sup>(2)</sup>	1998
<b>Richmond Tunnel</b>	Tunnel 2 to Staten Island Uptake Shaft	5.0	10	1970

<sup>(1)</sup> Tunnels are not round <sup>(2)</sup> Variable diameter tunnels

#### Number of Employees—Department of Environmental Protection—Ten Year Trend

Year	Number of Employees	Percentage change from prior Period
2002	5,430	0.30%
2003	5,478	0.88%
2004	5,781	5.53%
2005	5,644	-2.37%
2006	5,675	0.55%
2007	5,844	2.98%
2008	5,895	0.87%
2009	5,785	-1.87%
2010	5,749	-0.62%
2011	5,653	-1.67%

This page intentionally left blank.