1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	NEW YORK CITY WATER BOARD
13	Water Board Meeting
14	June 19, 2020
15	8:30 AM to 9:30 AM
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

[START NYC Water Board Meeting 6.19.2020]

2.0

2.1

MR. AL RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Mr. Chairman, we have a quorum.

CHAIRMAN ALFONSO CARNEY: Thank you very much, Al. Just to make sure that I'm clear on who's on the phone: Demetrius, you're there, Adam, you're there, John, you're there, and Jukay, you're on the phone as well. Am I correct?

DR. DEMETRIUS CAROLINA: Yes.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Okay.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yeah. Those are the people who responded.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Okay. Thank you. Thank you very much, Al. We welcome the members, and staff, and anyone who is, who is joining us today to listen to these deliberations. I welcome you to this phone call. It was probably done with a great deal of stress by Omar Nazem and people who work with him, but it always turns out to be—these calls have turned out to be very substantive, and we get good participation from the members as well. Very pleased about that. This meeting is now known, I guess, as the rate adoption meeting. It's

interesting that we call it, because we do a 1 2. bunch of other things sometimes at this particular meeting. But glad to have all of you 3 on the call. An aside, this meeting happens to have fallen this year on a day that is called Juneteenth, a contraction of June and 19th. 6 7 doubt, all or most of you are aware. You know something about the significance of this date. But, for the record, I thought I would put 9 10 something in just to make a point since the 11 governor has made the decision--made the 12 decision the day before yesterday -- to make this 13 a holiday for state employees. And this is quick. As most of you or all of you know, the 14 15 Emancipation Proclamation was signed on the 1st, 16 I'm sorry, was enacted on the 1st of January, 17 On Juneteenth 1865, a formal declaration was read aloud in a square in, of all places, 18 Galveston, Texas, making it clear that enslaved 19 2.0 people in that state had been free since the 1st 2.1 of January, 1863. So this is nearly two and a 22 half years later. The Texas, I think, was the 23 last state to do this. I'm not absolutely certain, but I believe Texas was the last state 24 to clearly emancipate its enslaved folk. What's 25

significant about that is, for me at least, is 1 2. that this proclamation in Texas resulted in freedom for a quarter of a million people, 3 250,000 folk, a fact which a lot of people don't know, but which ought to become public 6 knowledge. People should talk about that. 7 Juneteenth is a--it's celebrated in 48 states, I think, state holidays. New York is not one of 9 them yet, but we will be. It'll happen soon. 10 That, I just wanted to get that into the record, 11 and to point out something else that I was 12 grateful to get some help on. I called, on 13 Wednesday, called staff on Wednesday to find out whether we could validly hold a meeting today, 14 15 since the governor had declared this a holiday 16 for state employees. And I want to thank 17 everybody who worked on the answers. know, Omar, you did, and, Al, I know you did as 18 19 well. Turns out the answer is yes, it's a state 2.0 holiday--it's a holiday for state employees. Ιt 2.1 is not a city holiday. And so organizations 22 like ours can validly conduct business today, 23 which is a good thing. Let's review the bidding here. Let's get a sense of where we are. 24 25 the 22nd of May, DEP made a rate proposal to

this Board. It proposed that, for several reasons which were, in, I think, every case, connected to the public health crisis, that the Board not increase the rates for the coming fiscal year. It was also proposed that we not assess for the coming fiscal year a 10% surcharge, which would have been assessed on certain multi-family properties. And so those were the two basic DEP proposals to this Board. On the 15th of June, there was a public hearing, and testimony was taken. I think there were five members of the public or six members of the public, some of whom may be on this phone, who actually offered written testimony. And I think all but one of those organizations actually came and presented their testimony orally as well. I've read the testimony, I have made notes. Very, very thoughtful comments from a lot of, from several people, very interested people, people who are concerned about water quality and, in many respects, also concerned about affordable housing and the cost to those good folk, who sometimes, in their views, are not heard as easily. I wasn't able to attend the hearing even by phone, and I regret that.

1

2.

3

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

apologize to the Board and the staff. But I've asked Adam Freed, who was there and chaired the meeting, to summarize some of that material and just give us a quick sense of what was said there and what the, the substantive aspect of the proposals as briefly as you can, Adam. After Adam does all of that, after each of the proposals that he discusses, I'm going to ask the members who are on the phone to introduce themselves first, and then if you have comments or questions--you've all had a chance to read the testimony. So if you do have comments, then please make them now. That is, after each of Adam's, after his review of each of the testimony offered. And with that, Adam, if you're ready, we can do it.

1

2.

3

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

MR. ADAM FREED: Great. Thank you. And, for the record, this is Adam Freed. And thank you, Chair, for asking for this. I'd also like to thank you for your comments regarding Juneteenth, and hope that next year it's also a city-recognized holiday as we continue to evolve in the recognition of the significance of the day and other issues surrounding it. I wanted to touch on five comments that were received

really substantively throughout the testimony, 1 2 both the written and orally. I also want to thank the Water Board's staff and DEP for 3 responding in great detail to the Board. received a packet with detailed responses to each of the points that were raised in the 6 7 testimony. I hope that those Board members on the call have had a chance to review those, and 9 all Board members, as there is a lot of detail 10 and information in the responses there. first comment or substantive area was related to 11 12 the rate appeals process, and a number of 13 requests were made on how to make it more accessible not only for residents and customers, 14 15 but also for people who advocate on their 16 behalf. Acknowledge that the Water Board and DEP has amended its policies to accept email 17 18 submissions for rate disputes and ensure that those can be submitted in a timely manner, and 19 2.0 also, as we've had challenges with notarization 2.1 and signing legal documents throughout COVID-19, amending that for e-verification for notarized 22 23 documents and continuing to allow technology to catch up while also maintaining the integrity of 24 25 the process. So a set of documents around that

were received. Second area was concern raised 1 about the multiplier used to determine the sewer 2. This is something that we, as a Board, 3 have discussed and something that we continue to look forward, look into as we modernize our 6 customer billing system. I'll talk a little bit 7 more about that as we get into NRDC's comments, but it was raised by a resident, asking about 9 how the sewer rates are applied to their bill. 10 The third area of both NRDC and SWIM Coalition 11 talked about the expansion of affordability 12 provisions within the water rates, particularly 13 related to COVID-19 and the economic crisis that is facing not only New York City, but the rest 14 15 of the country, talking about the need to avoid 16 water shutoffs, as handwashing is a health and 17 safety issue, and certainly the first and foremost way of preventing the spread of 18 Coronavirus. I note that water shutoffs have 19 2.0 not occurred in many years in New York City, 2.1 that it is something that the Water Board and 22 the water system is able to do for nonpayment, 23 but not something that they have practiced, particularly in direct response to the health 24 and safety concerns that come from that, that, 25

throughout the past year, as we've extended 2. many of the provisions in the rate and also policies, including freezing rates this year, to 3 take into account the affordability challenges that many New Yorkers and customers face within 6 the water system. But we have the need to 7 balance the financial condition space now with the avoidance of future shocks in this system, 9 and I think that's something we continue to 10 And I want to thank DEP for focusing balance. 11 on that. The fourth area is something that you 12 know is close to my heart, a call for a separate stormwater charge. The NRDC and the SWIM 13 Coalition have come repeatedly, asking for DEP 14 15 to look into this. This is something that I 16 want to make sure we echo in my comments, and as 17 we continue to modernize the customer service 18 system, as we think about GIS systems that can be in place to look at a separate stormwater 19 2.0 charge and one that can be tied to a - - area. 2.1 There's a lot of good testimony around that from 22 both of those members. I would urge the Board 23 to continue to look into that. I would also ask DEP to come back. They provided us some detail 24 25 on that, but I would love to make sure we can

1

get a briefing on that this summer in great 1 detail, and also ask for monthly updates similar to what they've done in the past for OpX and 3 other large-scale projects. If we could get a proactive update on that, both around the modernization of the customer service and 6 7 billing systems, around the GIS and associated studies, and also around public engagement 9 related to this stormwater charge to make sure 10 that we can stay actively engaged in that 11 And then the fifth comment was around process. 12 expansion of the Multi-Family Water Assistance 13 The University Neighborhood Housing Program. Program has been a consistent voice through our 14 15 rate-making process and testimony. They were 16 seeking an expansion of that program, wanted to 17 know that we've significantly expanded affordability programs in the past few years, 18 something that we continue to look into, and 19 2.0 find opportunities to do so in future years. 2.1 Again, the need to balance the needs of the 22 financial system and the fiscal integrity of the 23 entire water system with our normal fixed costs with the economic crisis we're facing is 24 something that's been contemplated, and this 25

resulted in the freezing of the rates for this year. So that was the tone and tenor of the comments that we received. I'll pause to see if other members have issues that they'd like to raise or comments on that.

2.0

2.1

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: If you are going to comment, remember to introduce yourself for the record.

DR. DEMETRIUS CAROLINA: Demetrius Carolina. I want to thank Chairman Carney. Certainly, I want to thank Adam for that great summarization of what took place, and, certainly, for DEP and for the members of our wonderful Water Board.

Just wanted to echo that the sentiments of Adam in terms of sustainability, modernization, affordability of the Water Board of New York City, and it was just a great meeting. So, thank you.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Sorry I couldn't be there,
Demetrius. From the testimony that I've read,
it's clear that people were being very, very
thoughtful. These were not casual comments;
these were comments that we designed to make it
clear that there are improvements that we can
undertake. The question is whether we can

afford them and when, and if so, when we can afford them. But thank you for that comment.

3 Are there others who would like to comment?

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

MR. JONATHAN GOLDIN: This is Jonathan Goldin. I would like to also just express my personal thanks to DEP for all its hard work and under less than optimal conditions, to say the least. Our team at DEP has done a great job under these tough circumstances. And I also wanted, specifically, to thank you, Chairman Carney, for your leadership and, Adam, for the effective summary that you provided. I agree that we got incredible thoughtful comments this year, and I also wanted to thank UNHP and NRDC, and the American Utility Consultants, and Mr. Martay [phonetic] and the SWIM Coalition, and the Rent Stabilization Association, all of whom, obviously, thought long and hard and carefully about the issues that they were raising. And I hope that they take heart in knowing that the Board has, is considering those comments carefully, is getting input from staff on those issues, where we were able to make an immediate theme, namely, in connection with the email submission that's been incorporated. And I

applaud DEP for making that theme on an expedited basis so that we could have that in the version we're going to vote on today. also just want to say that I'm very happy that we have been able to, subject to the Board's approval, maintain a zero percent rate increase. I'm, personally, very supportive of the proposal, and I'm delighted that, in these circumstances, we don't have to impose a greater economic burden on our customers, especially given that we can do that in a way that is economically prudent, fiscally prudent. part of that relates to how carefully managed the funds of the system are, and so the Water Authority is to be commended for that. respect to the comments, I think, Adam, you did a great job summarizing them, so thank you. too, would ask that we continue to keep a few of the issues that were raised on the agenda and make sure that they are a subject of Board focus and ongoing staff attention. In particular, the affordability issues and the expansion of the Home Water Assistance Project and other efforts to help customers going through tough times, especially right now, and when it can especially

1

2.

3

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

be used, but in general, it's an important 1 thing for us to consider, and then, on a larger 2. scale, the reconsideration of the more equitable 3 rate structure that may be more progressive and that handles stormwater charges in a way that 6 may be more fair. And I think there are a 7 couple of other points that point to, that we should be focusing on how to plan not only to be 9 fiscally prudent in the current environment, but 10 also make sure that we can try and avoid large 11 increases as we go forward. And in that 12 connection, try and ensure a measure of 13 predictability with respect to the system's obligations to the city so that we can set rates 14 in a way that enables us to be confident that we 15 16 can meet the obligations of the water system as 17 we're required to do. I also very much 18 appreciate the comments around Juneteenth. I think that in future years, hopefully, as 19 2.0 others noted, that we would not be conducting 2.1 business on this day and would respect it as an 22 important day. Obviously, it's not something 23 that was on the, as Chairman Carney noted, was an issue in New York State, at least from a 24 legal perspective, and so it certainly made 25

sense for us to proceed this year. But it's something we should take into account for future years. So, thank you.

1

2

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Thank you for those comments, Jon. Thank you for all of your comments. I don't disagree with anything. I don't think I disagree with anything anybody I would probably--I wasn't there to hear said. the testimony, but I've read the NRDC testimony. And it was not just thoughtful; it was, in many respects, in every respect, I thought, accurate. We're facing a hugely difficult financial crunch in New York City. They certainly have an interest, as we all do on this Board, in knowing how to budget reliably. They make the point in their testimony that -- and they make it extremely well--that we had to deal this year with a request from the city, who's in dire financial circumstances, for some portion of the rental payment. It was half, I think. I don't know what we do as a Board to address that. It makes planning very difficult. It is important to note that that decision not to request the rental payment was made in 2016. So we had several years of having the city stand down.

believe I have a sense of the financial crisis 1 that the city is facing now, and I believe that 2. it's appropriate to reach out where you can and 3 make a decision, revert on a decision that... They got back to us, and they have made it clear 6 that they needed the money, wanted the money, 7 and they got it. I think going forward, we have an obligation to think hard and seriously about 9 how we can know in advance whether or not this sort of thing may happen. The Coronavirus -- and 10 11 I'm assuming that that's a lot of the reason for 12 the city's decision--was completely unpredictable. And the city had given relief, 13 as I pointed out in previous years, but, I 14 15 guess, I'd like to have the Board discuss at 16 some point going forward during the summer -- if 17 we're going to meet during the summer--or if we're not going to meet during the summer, in 18 the fall, how we might shore up our ability to 19 2.0 rely on what the city's going to need and want 2.1 to do. Not taking issue with the city's decision to do it this year, but, because I 22 understand it, or at least I think I do. 23 would be great to have a discussion about how 24 better to--we need more predictability. And it 25

1 | would be great if we could do that, get that.

That said, thank you all very much for your comments. I am very grateful for them.

MR. FREED: Mr. Chair, before we move on.

This is Adam Freed.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Yeah.

2.

2.0

2.1

MR. FREED: I would just ask, given, I think, the significance and importance of those issues, both the stormwater charge and ways of thinking about future rate changes, and the rental payment, if we could schedule a meeting for July or August to make sure we can get those onto the agenda and begin the process of regular updates to the Board on that rather than waiting for the fall.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: I don't disagree with that, Adam. If staff believes... People have summer plans. We don't normally meet in the summer, but it would be great if we could. These teleconference calls seem to work; we get great attendance. So if it's doable, Joe or Omar, you'll have to let us know. Why don't we just make a point that the Board would like to do that, say, in August?

MR. JOE MURIN: Mr. Chair. Sorry. Yes, Mr.

Chair, this is Joe Murin, Chief Financial 1 Officer for the Department of Environment 2. Protection. So, yes, we hear the request and we 3 will work with--Omar and myself will work with the Board to see what we could schedule for 6 preferably August. I may say that we may want 7 to even think perhaps September. I think what we want to also be able to be cognizant of, 9 particularly around the issue raised regarding 10 the rental payment as to what the, what the 11 respective financial condition's going to be for 12 both the city as well as the system. I think 13 we're still getting a lot of data in, and it's not yet clear that... We're probably as--we had a 14 15 presentation from the budget director and the 16 first deputy mayor the other night about the 17 city's fiscal situation. And as you noted, Mr. Chair, it is very, very grim, and I think it's 18 also equally very dire, for lack of a better 19 2.0 word, at the state level as well. So that's 2.1 going to have a lot of implications across the 22 city. We are right now not as in, I think, a 23 bad a situation, but we don't know what's going to happen during the fall. So I think we want 24 to be able to have a better sense of where 25

and also the consumption decline that we talked to when we had the Board presentation. So I think August or September probably could work.

And we could talk about both the financial situation of the system as well as the status of the rate study, I think a status update on the billing system, which is also, I think, we noted has been slightly delayed due to the COVID situation, and then also about what that may mean if there is any prospect of--I don't expect it--of the rental payment being requested for fiscal year '21 as well.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Okay.

2.

2.0

2.1

MR. FREED: And, this is Adam Freed again.

Joe, appreciate the comments. And if it turns out we want to wait on the fiscal conversation for the fall, for September, I just urge us to not have that be the delay on the stormwater charge associated studies. And it seems like within ten weeks in August, we should be able to schedule a time for that pending everyone's availability. So just urge us to try to do that if we need to.

MR. MURIN: Okay. And I'll--

1 MS. ARLENE SHAW: [Interposing]

2 [Crosstalk]

2.0

2.1

3 MR. MURIN: Oh, I'm sorry. Go ahead, Mr. 4 Chair.

MS. SHAW: No, go ahead, Joe. That was Arlene. Go ahead.

MR. MURIN: Oh, I'm sorry, Arlene. And I know that Deputy Commissioner Angela Licata is also on the call, and she's going to be the one leading her and her staff on the rate study. So I would defer to her in terms of letting guide us in terms of what may be the most opportune. Right now, the study is, the contract has been submitted to the controller's office, and we're awaiting registration of that contract. So now, Arlene, I'll turn now to you.

MS. SHAW: Arlene Shaw. I just wanted to...

Everyone's said all the things that I wanted to say, but I just want to reiterate the Chair's comments around understanding the rental payment and what happens if that will go forward, and I just want to emphasize the things Adam was talking about as well. I think these are all important points that we need to make sure that we stay on stop of and they don't get lost in

the - .

1

2

3

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Thank you very much, Arlene. I absolutely agree with you. agree with you, Adam. If there are things we can get done sooner rather than later, that is at the end of the summer rather than in September, then let's try to do it then. Let's have that telephone conference call if that's what it's going to be, because it's a lot easier to do it. Staff may have difficulty collecting all the information that you, and Arlene, and I, and Jon, and Demetrius might want to, and Jukay might want to have. But the fact is that it's, it is available information and can be collected in part, at least, and it's the part... I don't want for staff to wait until it's collected all the information we've talked about on this call. If there is information that can be, can be gathered sooner, then let's have that conference It won't be a long one, but, I guess, it call. has to be noticed and all the other things that this public organization has to do. So just give us a sense. You can give me a call or call the members and say, We're able to get X, Y, and Let's have the meeting in August. We can't

get A, B, and C until late September, but we can get it all, get all of it in separate parts.

Let's do it that way.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Understood, Mr. Chair. We'll follow through on that.

2.0

2.1

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: And let me say before I forget, and I will forget, it is extraordinary the degree to which you all have been able to maintain the information gathering for this Board, submissions to this Board, electronic submissions, paper submissions, FedEx packages. And the information is high quality information. I want to commend you all. I should have done this at the end of the meeting, but I was afraid I'd forget. Since we're having a discussion that relates to the quality of the work, thank you all very much for what you do for this Board. It's been fabulous. It's just been [crosstalk]--

MR. FREED: [Interposing] Here, here.

CHAIRMAN CARNEY: All right. Let's get on with the agenda. The first item is the approval, I'm sorry, the approval of the minutes of the 22 May meeting. They were in your packets, digital packets or paper packets. Has

everybody had a chance to review the minutes? 1 2. Are there any questions or corrections? MR. FREED: Mr. Chair, this is Adam Freed 3 4 again. CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Yes. MR. FREED: I'd just like to note and 6 7 propose an amendment to the minutes. actually had a fairly robust discussion during 8 9 that meeting about the reinstatement of the rental payment by the city, the need to balance 10 11 the economic realities that we are facing today 12 from COVID and the economic downturn with - -13 year cost increases and --14 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: [Interposing] Yes. 15 MR. FREED: --trying to prevent--16 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: [Interposing] Yes. 17 MR. FREED: --double-digit rate increases, 18 as well as a request for an update of DEP's 19 efforts for the separated stormwater charge 2.0 rate. And just would like to see those 2.1 discussion points reflected in the minutes. CHAIRMAN CARNEY: It's fair. I don't know 22 23 who does our minutes, but Adam has just made 24 three points that were not included in the

minutes with a lot of vigor. Can we get that

25

1 done? MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes, Mr. Chairman. 2 This is Al Rodriguez. We'll make sure those, the 3 4 minutes are amended... 5 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Okay. 6 MR. RODRIGUEZ: ...to that effect. 7 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Those are fairly substantive amendments. Would you recommend 8 9 then that we delay voting on the --10 MR. RODRIGUEZ: [Interposing] Yes. 11 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: -- of the minutes as 12 written? 13 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes, sir. I would recommend 14 that we amend the minutes and distribute them 15 prior to the next meeting... 16 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Terrific. Thank you. 17 MR. RODRIGUEZ: ...for approval at that point. 18 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: At the next meeting. 19 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes, sir. 2.0 Okay. That's fine. CHAIRMAN CARNEY: 2.1 That's fine. The next agenda item is the 22 adoption of the Board's minutes for fiscal 2021. 23 Is anybody going to make a brief presentation on 24 the budget? We've all seen it; we've all read 25 it. Is the plan to include some discussion of

it in this meeting from staff to the Board? 1 2 MR. OMAR NAZEM: This is Omar Nazem. The numbers are substantially 3 Alfonso. identical from what we had shown in the PowerPoint from May 22nd. 5 6 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Right, right. 7 MR. NAZEM: That May 22nd PowerPoint just for the public is available right now on the 8 9 Water Board's website, as well as there being a more streamlined budget document on the website. 10 11 If anyone wants to view either of those 12 documents, it's, again, NYC.gov\NYCWaterBoard. 13 Those are viewable for the public. Besides that, we have no comments since they're 14 15 essentially the same numbers we showed back in 16 May. 17 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Okay. Are there any 18 questions then for Omar or Joe? Hearing none, 19 may I have a motion, please, to approve the 2.0 budget as presented? 2.1 DR. CAROLINA: Demetrius Carolina. So move. 22 MR. FREED: Adam Freed. Second. 23 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Adam, thank you. All in 24 favor, please indicate with aye.

25

MR. GOLDEN:

Aye.

1 MR. JUKAY HSU: Aye. 2 DR. CAROLINA: Aye. 3 MS. SHAW: Aye. 4 MR. FREED: Aye. Adam Freed. 5 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Thank you. 6 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Point of order, Mr. 7 Chairman. CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Yes, sir. 8 9 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Could I make a suggestion that you conduct a roll call of each of the 10 11 members that are present on the, on the call? CHAIRMAN CARNEY: And I will do that if you 12 13 prefer, but my plan was to do what I just did and then to ask whether there's any opposition. 14 15 I would then say that the--16 MR. RODRIGUEZ: [Interposing] Okay. 17 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: -- the resolution was 18 approved... MR. RODRIGUEZ: I think that --19 2.0 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: ...unanimously. 2.1 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I think that works, too. 22 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Okay. 23 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Just because we're on the 24 phone, I think that --25 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: [Interposing] I know, I

1 know. But I think asking whether there's 2 opposition actually solves the --MR. RODRIGUEZ: [Interposing] Yes, yes. 3 4 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: --the [crosstalk]. MR. RODRIGUEZ: I agree. 5 6 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Okay. Is there any 7 opposition to this resolution? Hearing none, the resolution passes unanimously. The last 8 9 agenda item is the adoption of the Board's rate schedule. Is there, is there any discussion, 10 11 any further discussion? Hearing none, may I 12 have a motion, please, to approve the rate 13 schedule resolution? MR. FREED: This is Member Freed. So moved. 14 15 MR. GOLDIN: So moved. 16 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Thank you, Adam. 17 This is Jon Goldin. Second. MR. GOLDIN: 18 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Jon, thank you. Thank you both very much. All in favor, indicate with 19 2.0 aye. DR. CORNELIUS: Aye. 2.1 22 MR. GOLDIN: Aye. 23 MR. FREED: Aye. 24 MS. SHAW: Aye. 25 CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Okay.

1	MR. HSU: Aye.
2	CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Are there any opposed?
3	Then, then the rate schedule is adopted
4	unanimously. I want to thank you all very much.
5	Is there any further business to come before
6	this Board at this teleconference meeting?
7	There being none, may I have a motion, please,
8	to adjourn?
9	MR. GOLDIN: So moved. Jonathan Goldin.
10	CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Thanks, Jon. Second?
11	DR. CAROLINA: Demetrius Carolina.
12	CHAIRMAN CARNEY: And as there is no
13	opposition, we are adjourned.
14	MR. FREED: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
15	CHAIRMAN CARNEY: The pleasure's mine.
16	Thank you all for attending.
17	MR. GOLDIN: Thank you.
18	MS. SHAW: Great day.
19	MR. GOLDIN: Thank you, everyone.
20	MR. HSU: Be well.
21	CHAIRMAN CARNEY: You all take care of
22	yourselves. Be safe.
23	MS. SHAW: Bye-bye, everybody.
24	CHAIRMAN CARNEY: Bye-bye.
25	AUTOMATED VOICE: This meeting is no longer

1	being recorded or transcribed.
2	[END RECORDING]
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## 

The prior proceedings were transcribed from audio files and have been transcribed to the best of my ability. I further certify that I am not connected by blood, marriage or employment with any of the parties herein nor interested directly or indirectly in the matter transcribed.

C E R T I F I C A T E

Signature Melissa Strickland

Date \_\_\_\_\_June 23, 2020\_