

RESOLUTION

Date: July 17, 2018

Committee of Origin: Transportation

Re: NYC Department of Transportation proposal for Protected Bike Lanes and Bike Safety Improvements on 8th

Avenue and Columbus Circle, between West 56th and 58th Streets.

Full Board Vote: 36 In Favor 2 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 6-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 7-0-0-0.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/Manhattan approves DOT's proposed changes to 8th Avenue & Columbus Circle with the following suggestions for DOT:

- Add physical protection of bikes on inner bike lane in Columbus Circle
- Improve entrance westbound at 59th Street for cyclists
- Improve entrance for bikes heading southbound on Broadway into Columbus Circle bike lane
- Close the gap in bike lanes between 60th & 62nd Streets on the E side of Central Park West

RESOLUTION

Date: July 17, 2018

Committee of Origin: Transportation

Re: NYC Department of Transportation proposal for Protected Bike Lanes and Bike Safety Improvements on

10th Avenue and Amsterdam Avenue, between West 52nd and 72nd Streets.

Full Board Vote: 36 In Favor 2 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 6-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 8-0-0-0.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/Manhattan approves DOT's proposed changes to Amsterdam Avenue south of 72nd Street with the following suggestions for DOT:

- Check on light pattern for buses turning left (west) from northbound Broadway onto westbound 72nd Street to
- Ensure no conflict with bikes.
- Look at eliminating left turn on 71st Street
- Add more loading zones on both sides of Amsterdam Avenue
- Install real concrete pedestrian islands on Amsterdam Avenue - not just paint.

RESOLUTION

Date: July 17, 2018

Committee of Origin: Transportation

Re: West 82nd Street (Columbus - Amsterdam Avenues.)

Full Board Vote: 37 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 6-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 3-0-0-0.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **supports** the efforts of the NYPD-20th Precinct and the Department of Sanitation in their efforts to achieve a new work schedule to allow West 82nd Street between Columbus and Amsterdam Avenues to be cleaned.

RESOLUTION

Date: July 17, 2018

Committee of Origin: Transportation

Re: 43 West 65th Street d/b/a Shun Lee West (Columbus Avenue.)

Full Board Vote: 32 In Favor 2 Against 1 Abstention 0 Present

Committee: 6-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 3-0-0-0.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the request by owner to install a bike corral in front of premises.

RESOLUTION

Date: July 17, 2018

Committee of Origin: Transportation

Re: Theodore Roosevelt Park's Greenmarket.

Full Board Vote: 37 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 6-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 3-0-0-0.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the request by Greenmarket to add an extra day (Wednesday) on the east side of Columbus Avenue between West 79th and 81st Streets during the summer and fall seasons.

RESOLUTION

Date: July 17, 2018

Committee of Origin: Transportation

Re: Secondary Street Naming Guidelines.

Full Board Vote: 350 In Favor 2 Against 1 Abstention 0 Present

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **adopts** the following *Guidelines*:

1. Secondary Street Name signs must be positioned with a Primary Street Sign in order not to confuse visitors. Effort will be made to avoid placing them on busy intersections, intersections that are cluttered with signs, or intersections where there have been a large number of vehicle crashes.
2. After 10 years Secondary Street Names are reviewed.
3. No political organizations will qualify for a Secondary Street Name.
4. Requests for Secondary Street Names must include proof that residents of the block and members of the family do not object. A petition with at least 100 signatures, all of which must come from the block, is required, as well as proof that notices were posted on the street to confirm that notice of the proposed secondary street naming was given, and that residents, institutions, & major organizations were informed.
5. People and Non-Profit Organizations who may be considered for a Secondary Street Name must meet at least one of the following conditions:
 - a. There is compelling evidence that the person or not-for-profit entity proposed for a secondary street naming contributed in an extraordinary way to the welfare of the block and/or the community and the country. The person on organization had a long standing direct presence and relationship with community (10 years or longer).
 - b. The person is deceased and there is no plaque or other official acknowledgement of them in the District (as well as no known plans to do so).
 - c. The person had an untimely death that led to a greater awareness of the cause of death and concerted effort to address the problem.
 - d. The not-for-profit institution or organization requesting a Secondary Street Name is located on the block and has made a transformative contribution to the community for over 25 years; or it is the year of a significant event for the institution (i.e. the 250th anniversary of service to the community). Limit the time of the naming to the year of the significant event.

All supporting documents, including signatures, must be received at the Community Board office at least 30 days prior to consideration of the request.

RESOLUTION

Date: July 17, 2018

Committee of Origin: Youth, Education & Libraries

Re: Forced separation of children and families intercepted at the border.

Full Board Vote: 39 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 9-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 2-0-0-0.

The following facts were taken into consideration in connection with this resolution:

1. The federal Department of Homeland Security, through the Immigration and Customs Enforcement agency, at the direction and with the support of the President of the United States, has instituted policies and practices that, among other things, have resulted in the forced separation and federal detention of babies, infants, and youths from their families as they are detained under suspicion of crossing our borders without proper documentation or authorization.
2. The President signed an Executive Order on June 20, 2018, purporting to call for the end of forced separation of children from their families, but which (a) makes no provision for the reuniting of the more than 2,000 children forcibly removed from their families in the period April 11, 2018 to date, (b) incarcerates entire families and makes them potentially subject to expedited removal without due process, (c) categorizes their alleged attempts to enter the country without documentation or authorization as criminal rather than civil offenses, and requires action be taken on asylum claims within defined time periods, creating a likelihood that families will be forcibly separated from one another at a later date.
3. Children separated from their families have been sent to distant locations. Hundreds of children detained in Texas have been sent to facilities in New York City without notice to the appropriate City agencies or local support and faith-based care organizations, raising concerns for their physical and medical care, humane treatment, and opportunity for schooling, and culturally sensitive support, to say nothing of the mental anguish of separating children from their families. The manner in which the children have been dispersed across the country raises concerns as to whether the children can be located if and when an order is given to reunite them with their families.
4. The forced separation and detention of children from or with their families is inhumane, cruel, and profoundly inconsistent with the values of the Upper West Side, the City of New York, the State of New York and of our nation.

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved that Community Board 7/Manhattan:

CONDEMNS the practice of forced separation and detention of children from families as part of immigration enforcement;

DEMANDS that all children forcibly separated and detained apart from their families under these circumstances immediately be reunited with their families in non-custodial environments;

SUPPORTS the efforts of elected officials at every level of government who have taken action to OPPOSE these abhorrent practices; and

CALLS ON our elected officials and City agencies and the members of our community to continue to provide for the needs of the children and families caught in these circumstances through in-kind donations, financial contributions, service as sensitive foster caregivers, and advocacy.

RESOLUTION

Date: July 17, 2018

Committee of Origin: Youth, Education & Libraries

Re: Middle school admissions procedures.

Full Board Vote: 35 In Favor 1 Against 1 Abstention 0 Present

Committee: 9-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 2-0-0-0.

This resolution is based on the following facts:

1. Community School District 3 encompasses the Upper West Side of Manhattan to West 110th Street, as well as the area in Harlem between West 110th to 122nd Streets and between 5th Avenue and Morningside Avenue.
2. Admission to middle schools in CSD3 is through a “choice” process in which 5th grade students rank their preferences on an application form, and schools use screening rubrics of their own design to determine to whom to make offers of admission. The Department of Education recently adopted a change, to be effective with the admissions cycle for the 2019-2020 academic year. Under the prior system, middle schools would have access to the ordinal ranking of each student’s preference for each middle school. Many of the most sought-after middle schools would only consider applicants who ranked that middle school as a 1st choice.
3. Under the new procedure, called “ranking-blind” admissions, adopted by the central Department of Education without community review or input, the students’ ranked preferences will not be made available to the schools.
4. One concern raised by the “ranking-blind” admissions process is that it will empower the highest achieving students to apply to more than one of the four most coveted and highest-performing schools, with the potential result that the existing segregation and isolation of students in CSD3 middle schools based on achievement, special needs, socio-economic status, race or ethnicity would be exacerbated.
5. Of the 14 CSD3-based and 2 Citywide middle schools in the portion of CSD3 that overlaps with CB7’s Community District 7, the students in 10 of those programs consistently score below grade level on State standardized tests. Those same 10 middle school programs have disproportionately high concentrations of students with documented special needs, students who qualify for free/reduced price lunch, and students who identify as African-American or Hispanic. The 4 CSD3-based and 2 Citywide middle school programs, to varying degrees, have student cohorts that do not approach the District averages for these demographics.
6. The achievement gap does not begin in middle school but much earlier, and true equity in education requires quality Pre-K, Kindergarten, and Elementary School education as well.

7. The long-term goal must be for all elementary and middle schools in our District and beyond to be high-performing, offer true equity of educational opportunity, and end the cycle of identifying only a handful of schools as “coveted” or “sought-after.”
8. CSD3, after extensive outreach to and meaningful consultation with the Community Education Council (“CEC3”), principals, parents, other educators, the District Leadership Team, and other stakeholders, has adopted a further amendment to the middle school choice process with the intention of counter-acting the anticipated exacerbation of segregation of students by needs, performance and demographics.
9. CEC3 alone convened more than 25 meetings between January and June 2018 at which potential scenarios then under consideration to address these inequalities were presented or discussed.
10. The further amendment adopted by CSD3 for the admissions cycle for the 2019-20 year will reserve at least 25% of seats at every middle school program for students who (a) score below grade level on the 4th grade State English Language Arts and Math standardized tests; (b) qualify for free/reduced price lunch; and (c) have report card grades in English Language Arts and Math that are below grade level.
11. CB7’s Core Principles include holding as part of our guiding tenets “inclusion: to value diversity, consideration of others, and promotion of the common good.”
12. Research reveals that schools that reflect the diversity of the community at large and at which students are not segregated by achievement or demographics yield observable advantages in learning and achievement for all students, both high and low performing, and for the school as a whole.
13. The efforts by CSD3 and CEC3 to counteract the anticipated exacerbation of the segregation of students are a necessary and essential step to ensure that our schools support a vision of a sustainable community that is consonant with our Core Principles.
14. It is essential that addressing the potential exacerbation of isolation of students by achievement, needs, race or ethnicity not end with this further revision to the middle school choice process, and that the achievement gap in our District and our City be the subject of a sustained, innovative, and inspired effort that is worthy of one of the wealthiest and most progressive cities in our nation.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/Manhattan supports the efforts of CSD3 and CEC3 to respond to the imposition of ranking-blind admissions with positive steps toward achieving equity of opportunity and inclusion and to address the historic inequalities that have plagued our District, and supports the change to the middle schools admissions process, and calls on our elected officials at every level of government to provide the additional funding and attention necessary to ensure that the segregation of students by achievement, needs and demographics is reduced in all CSD3 schools.

RESOLUTION

Date: July 17, 2018

Committee of Origin: Land Use

Re: 118 West 72nd Street, d/b/a Dakota Personal Training & Pilates (Columbus Avenue.)

Full Board Vote: 37 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** application #2018-66-BZ to the Board of Standards and Appeals by Sheldon Lobel, PC to permit the legalization of a physical culture establishment within the existing building.

RESOLUTION

Date: July 17, 2018

Committee of Origin: Land Use

Re: 344 Amsterdam Avenue d/b/a Equinox (West 76th Street.)

Full Board Vote: 36 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** application #272-07-BZ to the Board of Standards and Appeals by Equinox 76th Street, Inc. to allow a physical culture establishment within portions of the building.

RESOLUTION

Date: July 17, 2018

Committee of Origin: Preservation

Re: 2080 Broadway, d/b/a Mattress Firm (West 71st – 72nd Streets.) Application to the Landmarks Preservation Commission for restoration of the Broadway and West 72nd Street parapets and new signage.

Full Board Vote: 36 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 5-0-0-0.

The following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusion:

- The two street-facing parapets above the second floor storefront windows consist of a large expanse of 4" thick hollow terracotta units, slightly concave-faced, dusty light blue in color, capped by a two-part terracotta cornice comprised of a decorative egg and dart band capped by a simple, square-edged coping.
- Within the expanse of terracotta blocks, during structural analysis of the parapet wall recessed sign bands were exposed, 4" deep and centered over the long bands of glazing on both the 72nd Street and Broadway facades.
- The entire parapet assembly is deteriorated and will require replacement. While the decorative terracotta egg and dart band is in reasonably good condition and can be repaired and restored, the terracotta face blocks below (including the 8" thick brick parapet wall structure behind) and the coping cap above need to be rebuilt.
- In order to reduce the load of the new terra cotta face blocks and coping, these will be constructed using lightweight FRP (Fiber Reinforced Polymer). The FRP coping will approximate the off-white/ light gray color of the original coping, and the new FRP panels will be 3/16" thick in the same light blue color as the face of the original terracotta blocks, now UV-resistant. The new panels will be secured to a lightweight steel framing back-up attached to the reconstructed 8" thick brick parapet wall behind.
- The original sign bands will be recreated at their original locations, recessed 4" from the face of the new panels to allow for a flush installation of the new signage when installed, as originally designed. No specific signage was presented as part of this application.

The Preservation Committee of Community Board 7/ Manhattan believes that the proposed work to rebuild and restore the two street-facing parapets, including the signage bands is reasonably appropriate to the historic character of the building and the Historic District.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the restoration of the parapet and the signage bands.

RESOLUTION

Date: July 17, 2018

Committee of Origin: Preservation

Re: 3 Riverside Drive (West 72nd – 73rd Streets.) Application to the Landmarks Preservation Commission for restoration of front stoop and areaway, new openings at the 2nd and 3rd floors, rooftop elevator and stair bulkhead, rear-yard addition and 7'-deep rear addition at 2nd floor.

Full Board Vote A: 35 In Favor 0 Against 1 Abstention 0 Present | Committee: 5-0-1-0.

Full Board Vote B: 35 In Favor 0 Against 1 Abstention 0 Present | Committee: 6-0-0-0.

Full Board Vote C: 36 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present | Committee: 6-0-0-0.

The following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusion:

- A. Regarding the proposed reconstruction of the front entry steps and areaway, the following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusion:
- When originally constructed in 1899, the building had an areaway enclosed by low walls extending west into the sidewalk, and an exterior staircase down accessing the Cellar.
 - At the entry court to the south of the areaway, a short run of steps leading up to the entry doors extended out to the areaway enclosure wall.
 - When the six-story building to the south was constructed half a century later, the steps extending past the building line of this newer building were eliminated, the areaway was covered over and the existing staircase was enclosed under a sidewalk hatch.
 - The proposal is to construct a new areaway with low walls modelled on the original design, but which would extend approximately 1'-9" further west than the original in order to provide sufficient space for a new Cellar stair with a shallower pitch. New steps up to the entry court would be added, aligning with the location of the new areaway enclosure walls.
 - At its narrowest point, the unobstructed sidewalk width would be reduced to approximately 20 feet.

The Preservation Committee of Community Board 7/ Manhattan believes that the proposed design of the reconstructed front entry steps and areaway, in extending further out than those in the original design, are inappropriate to the historic character of the building and the Historic District.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **disapproves** the proposed reconstruction of the front entry steps and areaway.

- B. Regarding the rear yard addition, and the modification of the south façade at the front entry court, the following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusion:
- A new two-story brick clad rear yard addition is proposed.

- The ground level of the rear yard addition will fill the entire rear yard, with no window penetrations to its vertical enclosure walls.
- The second story of the rear yard addition will be set back, projecting 7 feet from the building's rear facade, to allow skylights to be installed over the eastern end of the first floor space below.
- The second floor fenestration will be glass and steel windows, different from all the other proposed replacement windows, which are to be double-hung, insulated one-over-one wood windows.
- The rear yard addition is not visible from the public way.
- At the front façade, along the south-facing façade of the entry court, new window openings are proposed at the second and third floors, and an enlarged door opening, shifted to the east is proposed at the fourth floor. These new windows and doors are to be wood with insulated glass, designed to match the rest of the new replacement windows throughout.
- Along the south wall of the entry court, limestone cladding will be installed at the ground level, to mask the brick façade cladding of the building to the south.

The Preservation Committee of Community Board 7/ Manhattan believes that the proposed design of the rear yard addition and the proposed modifications to the south façade front entry court are appropriate to the historic character of the building and the Historic District.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the rear yard addition and the modifications to the south façade of the front entry court.

- C. Regarding the rooftop addition, the following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusion:
- The rooftop addition will be constructed on the rear half of the existing rooftop, including a 940 sf Mechanical Room, and a similar amount of vertical and horizontal circulation space (stairs, a hallway and elevator.)
 - The existing external rooftop HVAC units will be replaced with more efficient and more extensive mechanical equipment, now enclosed in the new mechanical room.
 - The rear facade of the rooftop addition will be enclosed by metal louvers painted greyish red to match the brick at the addition's side walls.
 - A small stair bulkhead and elevator overrun shaft will be constructed along the north edge of the rooftop, abutting the adjacent high-rise apartment building. They will extend 8 and 11 feet respectively above the rooftop addition.
 - The rooftop addition and extensions are partially visible from various viewpoints to the west, south and east.

The Preservation Committee of Community Board 7/ Manhattan believes that the proposed design of the rooftop addition is unnecessarily overscaled for the building and is inappropriate to the historic character of the building and the Historic District.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **disapproves** the rooftop addition.

RESOLUTION

Date: July 17, 2018

Committee of Origin: Preservation

Re: 163 West 76th Street (Amsterdam Avenue.) Application #LPC-19-20777 to the Landmarks Preservation Commission to construct rear yard and rooftop additions.

Full Board Vote A: 34 In Favor 1 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present | Committee: 6-0-0-0.

Full Board Vote B: 28 In Favor 3 Against 2 Abstentions 0 Present | Committee: 5-1-0-0.

The following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusion:

- A. Regarding the rear yard addition, the following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusion:
 - A new three-story full-width rear yard addition will replace the existing three-story partial width addition and the garden level greenhouse. Its rear façade is located four feet back from the neighboring building to the west.
 - The existing fourth level will be expanded out to the rear slightly to align with the adjacent existing rear façade to the west.
 - The existing top floor will be expanded slightly, set back five feet from the existing adjacent building to the west.
 - Resulting from prior interior reconfiguration and adjustment of several upper floor levels in conjunction with the 1980’s construction of the existing rooftop addition, the top two stories of the building have higher interior floor-to-ceiling heights than the original rowhouse, and so the height of the rear façade windows in these two top floors is taller, and the heads no longer align with the windows in the rear facades of the adjacent rowhouses.
 - The existing rear façade is clad in brick, whitewashed.
 - The new rear façade and the rear yard addition will be clad in common red brick.
 - All fenestration will be new wood multi-pane French doors with fixed transoms, painted black. --The width of the French doors will decrease in size as the building rises: three contiguous pairs at the garden level, three wide individual pairs at the next two floors of the rear yard addition, then narrower pairs to approximate the solid-to-void relationship of the typical punched openings at the rear facades. At the top floor, there will be no transoms above the French doors, to roughly align with the heads of the adjacent windows to the west.
 - All new openings will be capped by cast stone lintels. At the rear yard addition, the lintels will be continuous across the three openings. At the two top two floors there will be separate lintels for the three separate openings.
 - Railings at the Juliet balconies will all be vertical wrought iron bars, painted black.
 - Railings at the upper level terraces and rooftop addition will be clear glass.

It should be noted that there will be no HVAC units at the roof level. Instead, they will be located in the excavated Cellar. There will also be excavation in the rear yard to create a U-shaped trench along the north, west and south edges of the garden, providing space for supply and return air to enter and exit at the garden level.

The Committee strongly urges the applicant to take great care with the excavation work in order to protect and to preserve the existing tree at the rear yard, and also if possible the mulberry tree at the neighboring garden to the west, which overhangs this rear yard. In the event these mature trees and plantings cannot be saved, they should be replaced with suitable replacements.

The Preservation Committee of Community Board 7/ Manhattan believes that the proposed design of the rear yard addition is appropriate to the historic character of the building and the Historic District.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the rear yard addition and urges the applicant to take great care with the excavation work to preserve the existing mature trees to the extent possible.

B. Regarding the rooftop addition, the following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusion:

- During the 1980's construction project, a small rooftop addition was created by restructuring several upper floor levels and the roof. This work will be replaced by a larger and taller rooftop addition in a similar location, with a new elevator bulkhead. It will not be visible from the public way.
- The new rooftop addition will be clad in textured spruce boards at the front and rear, with dark wood windows. The side walls will be clad in red brick to match the rear façade.
- A new metal ladder will provide access up to the penthouse roof deck.

The Committee urges the applicant to reduce the overall height of the rooftop addition by one foot.

The Preservation Committee of Community Board 7/ Manhattan believes that the proposed design of the rooftop addition is appropriate to the historic character of the building and the Historic District.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the rooftop addition.

The Committee commends the applicant on working with both the Committee and the neighbors to create a more harmonious and appropriate design within the context of the block.

RESOLUTION

Date: July 17, 2018

Committee of Origin: Preservation

Re: 410 Amsterdam Avenue, d/b/a Boka (West 79th – 80th Streets.) Application #LPC-19-21829 to the Landmarks Preservation Commission to replace storefront infill.

Full Board Vote: 32 In Favor 1 Against 1 Abstention 0 Present

Committee: 4-0-0-0.

The following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusion:

- In November 2016, the Preservation Committee reviewed this application and approved it unanimously:

410 Amsterdam Avenue (West 79th -80th Streets.) Application to the Landmarks Preservation Commission for a modification of existing storefront.

The following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusion:

- The existing wood panel and glass storefront consists of a central door and side light flanked symmetrically by two door pairs and two outer fixed sidelights.
- The new design is modelled on the existing storefront design of the neighbor directly to the north at 412 Amsterdam Avenue.
- The new design replicates the wood panel and glass storefront configuration of 412 Amsterdam: an uneven door pair at the entrance, now shifted to the south, with two tripartite hinged door panel sections extending across the rest of the storefront opening.
- The new storefront would maintain the black color of the existing storefront.

The Preservation Committee of Community Board 7/ Manhattan believes that the design modifications to the existing storefront are reasonably appropriate to the historic character of the building and of the Historic District.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the modification of the existing storefront.

Committee: 6-0-0-0.

- The approved design was never brought to Landmarks, so the approval expired.
- The current applications is for the same design, with a new applicant who is the new architect of record, which he intends to file with Landmarks.

The Preservation Committee of Community Board 7/ Manhattan believes that the proposed design for a storefront modification, in being the same as the one approved 17 months ago is reasonably appropriate to the historic character of the building and the Historic District.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the storefront modification.

RESOLUTION

Date: July 17, 2018

Committee of Origin: Preservation

Re: 466 Amsterdam Avenue (West 82nd – 83rd Streets.) Application to the Landmarks Preservation Commission for a new wood storefront with bi-fold windows.

Full Board Vote: 32 In Favor 1 Against 1 Abstention 0 Present

Committee: 4-0-0-0.

The following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusion:

- The new storefront will be constructed entirely of wood, painted dark gray.
- It consists of a projecting central window box supported on decorative brackets and an entry recess to the north.
- The fenestration is two bi-fold window pairs with fixed transoms above.
- The front door is glass and wood, with a single sidelight to the south and a transom above.
- Flat wood facing boards sheathe the facade below the window box.
- The existing cornice, molding and sign band above the dark wood storefront will be repaired, repainted tan color to match existing.

The Preservation Committee of Community Board 7/ Manhattan believes that the proposed design of the new wood storefront with bi-fold windows is reasonably appropriate to the historic character of the building and the Historic District.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the new wood storefront with bi-fold windows.

RESOLUTION

Date: July 17, 2018

Committee of Origin: Preservation

Re: 309 West 85th Street (West End Avenue.) Application to the Landmarks Preservation Commission to legalize window replacement without a permit.

Full Board Vote: 34 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 4-0-0-0.

The following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusion:

- The existing pair of 8-over-8 double-hung wood windows was replaced by a pair of double-hung thermal aluminum windows, with 8-over-8 simulated muntins installed between the two panes of glass.
- No application for this window replacement was filed with LPC.
- These windows are located in the Parlor Floor of the rowhouse and highly visible from the sidewalk level.

The Preservation Committee of Community Board 7/ Manhattan believes that the simulated muntins installed between the glass panes of the aluminum windows is inappropriate to the historic character of the building and the Historic District.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **disapproves** the replacement windows and doors.

RESOLUTION

Date: July 17, 2018

Committee of Origin: Parks & Environment

Re: American Museum of Natural History relocation of the *Times* Capsule in Theodore Roosevelt Park in connection with Gilder Center project.

Full Board Vote: 34 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 4-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 1-0-0-0.

The following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusions:

The *New York Times* Capsule is a time capsule incorporating a sculpture by Santiago Calatrava that was installed outside the American Museum of Natural History's west (Columbus Avenue) entrance in 2001, to be opened in 3000. Because the footprint occupied by the capsule will be incorporated into the planned Gilder Center, it must be stored during the Gilder Center construction period and then reinstalled in a new location. The Museum proposes that the new location be near the museum's south façade, adjacent to the entrance to the Rose Center. Calatrava and the *New York Times* have approved the new location, and the location was discussed and favorably received by the Park Working Group that worked with the Museum and DPR in planning changes to Theodore Roosevelt Park to accommodate the Gilder Center.

The time capsule will be installed on a green planted surface, behind a low fence to protect it from children using it for unintended active recreation, which has been a frequent occurrence in its current location. An identifying plaque will be set into the pavement outside of the fence.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/Manhattan **approves** the new location of the *Times* Capsule.

RESOLUTION

Date: July 17, 2018

Committee of Origin: Business & Consumer Issues

Re: 2756 Broadway (West 106th Street.)

Full Board Vote: 30 In Favor 1 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 6-1-0-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 1-0-0-0.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **disapproves** the application by Awesome Beer & Burger LLC, d/b/a To be Determined, unless the following stipulations are added to the method of operation by Awesome Beer LLC, 2756 Broadway, NY, NY 10025, and adopted by Manhattan Community Board 7 Full Board, at the July 17, 2018 meeting:

- **Hours of Operation**
 - Interior: Sun – Wed, 10 AM – 3 AM; Thursday – Saturday 10 AM – 4 AM
 - Exterior: Close Mon – Wed at 10 pm; Thursday – Saturday 11 PM;
 - Exterior and Interior: Open 10 AM for brunch Saturday and Sunday.

- **Music:**
 - Interior: On occasion for special events –
 - Live amplified
 - Live acoustical
 - DJ
 - Background always.

 - Exterior: NO MUSIC IN BACKYARD.

- **Sound Control / Sound Proofing for backyard:**
 - Describe existing/ planned provisions. (To be discussed at Full Board).
 - Installation of noise abatement fabric on:
 - Building surrounding garden;
 - Sound absorbing umbrellas and materials on table.
 - Landscaping.

- **Sidewalk Café hours** – 10am – midnight, 7 days/week

RESOLUTION

Date: July 17, 2018

Committee of Origin: Business & Consumer Issues

Re: 417 Amsterdam Avenue (West 80th Street)

Full Board Vote: 31 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 7-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 1-0-0-0.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** new applications to the SLA for two-year liquor license by BL 417 Amsterdam LLC, d/b/a Bluestone Lane.

RESOLUTION

Date: July 17, 2018

Committee of Origin: Business & Consumer Issues

Re: 519 Columbus Avenue (West 85th Street)

Full Board Vote: 31 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 7-0-0-0.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** new applications to the SLA for two-year liquor license by A. Shapiro d/b/a To be Determined.

RESOLUTION

Date: July 17, 2018

Committee of Origin: Business & Consumer Issues

Re: Unenclosed Sidewalk Café Renewals:

Full Board Vote: 31 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 7-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 1-0-0-0.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the following:

- **269 Columbus Avenue** (West 73rd Street.) Renewal application #1423787-DCA to the Department of Consumer Affairs by 72nd & Columbus Restaurant, LLC, d/b/a AG Kitchen, for a four-year consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café with 8 tables and 16 seats.
- **422 Amsterdam Avenue** (West 80th Street.) Renewal application #2036391-DCA to the Department of Consumer Affairs by Beer Shop, LLC, d/b/a Beer Shop NYC, for a four-year consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café with 4 tables and 8 seats.
- **429 Amsterdam Avenue** (West 80th – 81st Streets.) Renewal application #1421365-DCA to the Department of Consumer Affairs by Vai UWS, LLC, d/b/a VAI, for a four-year consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café with 16 tables and 32 seats.
- **718 Amsterdam Avenue** (West 95th Street.) Renewal application #1277777-DCA to the Department of Consumer Affairs by Iano Corp., d/b/a Acqua, for a four-year consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café with 16 tables and 32 seats.

RESOLUTION

Date: July 17, 2018

Committee of Origin: Business & Consumer Issues

Re: 180 Columbus Avenue (West 68th Street.)

Full Board Vote: 17 In Favor 9 Against 3 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 7-0-0-0.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** renewal application ULURP# N180102ECM/ DCA #13399-2017-ASWC to the Department of Consumer Affairs by COBP, Corp., d/b/a Il Violino, for a four-year consent to operate an enclosed sidewalk café with 15 tables and 36 seats.

RESOLUTION

Date: July 17, 2018

Committee of Origin: Business & Consumer Issues

Re: 44 West 63rd Street (Columbus Avenue.)

Full Board Vote: 19 In Favor 8 Against 2 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 7-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 1-0-0-0.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** renewal application ULURP# N180110ECM/ DCA #1229629-DCA to the Department of Consumer Affairs by ERS Enterprises, Inc., d/b/a PJ Clarke's, for a four-year consent to operate an enclosed sidewalk café with 22 tables and 46 seats.

RESOLUTION

Date: July 17, 2018

Committee of Origin: Business & Consumer Issues

Re: New Unenclosed Sidewalk Cafés:

Full Board Vote: 31 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the following:

- **247 Columbus Avenue** (West 71st – 72nd Streets.) New application #6375-2018-ASWC to the Department of Consumer Affairs by Joe & The Juice New York, LLC, d/b/a Joe & The Juice, for a four-year consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café with 1 table and 3 seats.
Committee: 7-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 1-0-0-0.
- **411A Amsterdam Avenue** (West 79th – 80th Streets.) New application #11260-2018-ASWC to the Department of Consumer Affairs by Shree Laxmi Restaurant, Inc., d/b/a Swagat, for a four-year consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café with 4 tables and 9 seats.
Committee: 7-0-0-0.
- **464 Amsterdam Avenue** (West 82nd – 83rd Streets.) New application #11272-2018-ASWC to the Department of Consumer Affairs by Danica, LLC, d/b/a Danica, for a four-year consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café with 10 tables and 20 seats.
Committee: 7-0-0-0.
- **938 Amsterdam Avenue** (West 106th Street.) New application #9936-2018-ASWC to the Department of Consumer Affairs by Seinfeld Squared, LLC, d/b/a Dive 106, for a four-year consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café with 10 tables and 23 seats.
Committee: 7-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 1-0-0-0.