

RESOLUTION

Date: July 1, 2014

Committee of Origin: Transportation

Re: Southeast corner of Broadway and West 78th Street in front of 2194 Broadway.

Full Board Vote: 30 In Favor 6 Against 3 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 6-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 1-0-0-0.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** Application #6422-2014-ANWS to the Department of Consumer Affairs by Sultana Razia to construct and operate a newsstand in front of 2194 Broadway.

RESOLUTION

Date: July 1, 2014

Committees of Origin: Youth, Education & Libraries and Parks & Environment

Re: Playground 89 (West 89th Street, Columbus-Amsterdam Avenues, adjacent to PS166.)

Proposal by the Department of Parks & Recreation on the proposal to rehabilitate the playground.

Full Board Vote: 40 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 13-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 5-0-0-0.

The following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusion:

Playground 89, at PS 166, is used by the school as an outdoor recreation area for its students in all seasons, and is the only space available to the school for that purpose. It is also open to the public during certain non-school hours for general recreation. It is heavily used.

In February, 2012 the Principal of the school and certain parent representatives presented to the Parks and Environment Committee of Community Board 7/Manhattan significant concerns about the condition of the playground, including concerns that the existing installation had deteriorated, and that the “amphitheater” feature was unnecessarily dangerous, had contributed to many injuries and was not amenable to clearing of snow, so that outdoor time for the school population was sometimes restricted in the winter. At that time, the school constituencies had been in preliminary discussions with the Department of Parks and Recreation about renovating the playground to address these concerns.

In March, 2012 Community Board 7/Manhattan adopted a resolution proposed by the Parks and Environment Committee in which it “urge[d] DPR to continue to work with the parent group and the school in the immediate future to design, obtain financing for and perform a renovation of the play yard that will make it substantially safer and that will better meet the recreational needs of the students of the school and of the public into the future.”

In response to the CB7 resolution, and to continued input from the PS 166 Principal and the PTA concerning additional injuries and other problems with existing conditions at the playground, then-Council Member Gale A. Brewer and then-Borough President Scott M. Stringer sponsored the allocation of \$300,000 each, for a total of \$600,000, to renovate the playground to meet current needs and current safety standards.

As DPR was gearing up to finalize plans for the renovation and begin construction, certain members of the community organized opposition to the renovation, forming a group called Friends of Playground 89 (“FOP 89”), and largely opposing the modification of the “amphitheater” feature. In its existing condition, the circumference of the amphitheater area is a wall of large, irregular granite blocks (“Belgian block”), with a 40 degree slope, which is inherently unstable, to which many injuries had been attributed by advocates of the renovation. The playground in its existing condition does not meet ADA accessibility standards.

On July 8, 2013, DPR presented its plans for the renovation of Playground 89 at a special joint meeting of the Parks and Environment Committee and Youth Education and Libraries Committee of CB7. The design then presented involved, among other things, modification of the amphitheater feature, including removal of the Belgian block and reconfiguration of the grading of the amphitheater area to

close to flat, though the design retained the amphitheater's signature maze element in its paving. As part of the design process leading to the July, 2013 presentation, the DPR design staff had consulted with design personnel at M. Paul Friedberg and Partners, the firm of the original designer of the playground, M. Paul Friedberg.

After a presentation and discussion that lasted approximately three hours, and included testimony from many member of the school community and of the neighborhood -- including the PTA and the Principal of the school, who supported the design -- the members of the joint committees concluded that the proposed renovation was an appropriate design for the space, particularly in light of its programmatic needs, and was a reasonable accommodation to the spirit of the original design elements that also recognized current needs and restrictions governing the design and use of the playground. The joint committees recommended to the CB7 Full Board, by a vote of 8-0-2-0, that the proposed design then proposed be approved by CB7.

On July 9, 2013, DPR presented its design to the Community Board 7 Full Board at its monthly meeting. Again, many members of the public spoke, and the discussion of the proposed design was extensive. The Community Board 7 Full Board, by a vote of 27-3-8-0, passed a resolution approving the design then presented. In light of the extensive amount of time that had passed in the design process, and the continuing safety concerns of the Principal and the PTA representatives, in its resolution CB7, among other things, urged DPR to perform the renovation at the earliest possible time.

Shortly after, DPR submitted the design that CB7 had approved at its July, 2013 meeting to the Public Design Commission. The PDC never scheduled a hearing on the proposed design or acted on it formally.

In the meantime, DPR again contacted the Friedberg office about the proposed design. M. Paul Friedberg worked personally on a volunteer basis with DPR over the ensuing months on modifying the proposed design, including meeting on several occasions with DPR designers.

On May 22, 2014 -- just a few days after having made a revised design available to CB7 and interested members of the public -- DPR presented that revised design at a joint meeting of the Parks and Environment Committee and the Youth, Education and Libraries Committee of CB7. The revised design, most notably, retained the existing recessed amphitheater feature, though with some modifications from its existing condition, including eliminating the existing Belgian block at the perimeter and providing access by ramp, to provide ADA-compliant and safe access to the amphitheater.

Many speakers presented their views of the revised design to the joint committees at the May 22 meeting. The school Principal expressed several concerns, as did some school parents and PTA representatives. At the conclusion of the meeting, the joint committees asked DPR to consider the comments made at that meeting, particularly but not exclusively those from the Principal, and to return with a potentially further refined design at the committees' next monthly meeting.

Shortly before that next joint committee meeting, DPR made available a somewhat further refined design, largely responding to comments and concerns voiced by the Principal and certain parents and PTA representatives at the May 22, 2014 meeting. DPR advised CB7 that Mr. Friedberg had met with it and the Principal as part of the process of reaching this design modification. In this iteration, the recessed amphitheater area and the adjacent safety surface play area at grade were separated by a curved pavement of mortared granite blocks, at an ADA-compliant five percent slope. The ramps, with railings, which numerous members of the public, and the Principal, had stated that they found problematic in the design presented on May 22, had been eliminated in favor of this simpler and barrier-free delineation. The usable play surface in the amphitheater was substantially increased in square footage from the version presented on May 22, by the removal of one six-inch stair at the perimeter of

the amphitheater area. The existing decorative maze element was replicated in the new paved surface proposed for the amphitheater.

Prior to the scheduled June 19, 2014 joint committee meeting at which the newly revised design would be presented, Borough President Gale A. Brewer sponsored an informal meeting of interested user groups, attended by the Principal, PTA representatives, other parents, FOP 89 representatives, and CB7 representatives, at which the user group representatives discussed with DPR their concerns, if any, with the most recent revised plan. In response to concerns expressed at that informal meeting by persons affiliated with FOP 89, DPR further revised its proposed design, adding three curved benches to the granite block slope separating the amphitheater area and the safety surface play area.

DPR presented the further revised design to the joint committees at their June 19, 2014 meeting. Again, the committees heard comments from many members of the public, including representatives of FOP 89 and of the PTA, and from the Principal. Comments from community members with otherwise diverse views were heavily weighted against the newly-added curved benches. The members of the joint committees also felt that the curved benches would constitute more of a safety hazard to users than a benefit, and could block the ability to plow snow effectively at their location.

A representative of FOP 89, in his statement at the June 19, 2014 meeting, in addition to opposing the curved benches, questioned whether there were safety issues at the existing playground. He also circulated a written statement that said that there were “no documented injuries” from the existing “sloped [Belgian] blocks”. However, the same statement noted 22 accidents at the playground where the injury report had not attributed the injury to any particular playground feature or location. Further, the P.S. 166 Aide and Playground Assistant had stated at the May 22, 2014 joint committee meeting that he had brought ten children who had tripped and fallen on the Belgian blocks to the nurse’s office this past school year.

The members of the joint committees believe that the totality of the safety issues raised, and the lack of ADA-compliance in the existing playground, argue strongly for the proposed renovation of this playground. The members of the committees also believe that the design, as revised from the July, 2013 design, pays very substantial homage to the original and existing Friedberg elements of the playground, while tweaking those elements to make the playground safer and to meet current and anticipated future needs.

The joint committees voted unanimously to recommend that CB7 approve the design presented at the June 19, 2014 meeting, but without the curved benches between the amphitheater and the safety surface play area. However, at the July 1, 2014 Full Board meeting of CB7, several members argued in favor of the curved benches, and the majority of Board members present favored keeping the benches in the design.

Community Board 7/Manhattan **approves** the design for the renovation of Playground 89 at PS 166 presented at the June 19, 2014 joint meeting of its Parks and Preservation Committee and Youth, Education and Libraries Committee, as also presented at the July 1, 2014 Full Board meeting, including installation of the curved benches.

CB7 also **commends** DPR for continuing to obtain input from user groups and from the original designer during the period since July, 2013, in order to maximize, to the extent possible, school and general community satisfaction with the playground into the future.

Community Board 7 **urges** the Public Design Commission to approve the proposed design at the earliest practical time.

CB7 again **urges** DPR to proceed with the renovation of Playground 89 at the earliest practical time, consistent with the least possible interruption of use of the playground.

RESOLUTION

Date: July 1, 2014

Committee of Origin: Land Use

Re: 248 West 80th Street d/b/a New York Sports Club (Broadway-West End Avenue.)

Full Board Vote: 37 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 8-0-0-0.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** application #169-93BZ to the Board of Standards & Appeals by Fredrick Becker, LLP for the New York Sports Club to allow continued use of the premises as a physical culture establishment.



RESOLUTION

Date: July 1, 2014

Committee of Origin: Land Use

Re: 139 West 91st Street (Columbus-Amsterdam Avenues.)

Full Board Vote: 35 In Favor 2 Against 3 Abstentions 0 Present

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **disapproves** application #117-14-BZ to the Board of Standards & Appeals by Trinity School to allow the expansion of the existing campus.

Finding A – agree finding has been met: Full Board Vote 31-5-0-0

Finding B - not required to be met

Finding C – agree that finding has not been met: Full Board Vote 33-4-2-0

Finding D – agree that finding has been met: Full Board Vote 24-8-6-0

Finding E – agree that finding has been met: Full Board Vote 34-1-3-0

Trinity Episcopal School located at 139 West 91st Street, New York, New York has applied to the Board of Standards and Appeals pursuant to Section 72-21 of the Zoning Resolution and Section 666 of the Charter for a variance to permit a 57,200 square foot enlargement of its school facility. The proposed enlargement would raise the height of the existing turf playing field by two floors. The playing field would be enclosed by a structure of metal trusses supporting steel netting and covered over 65% of its surface by a translucent screen. The proposed enlargement would abut directly the fifth floor loggia of the adjacent Trinity House apartment building, necessitating the closure of the west view of the loggia, which is otherwise open on all four sides.

Trinity asserts that the proposed addition is necessary to alleviate cramped conditions at the school and permit an expansion of curriculum, but not to increase enrollment. The proposed enlargement requires waivers of the 60-foot rear yard equivalent (§ZR24-382); lot coverage limited by §ZR24-11 to 65%; initial 20 feet setback on West 92nd Street; sky exposure plane and minimum 50 foot distance between the proposed enlargement and residential windows providing legal light and air.

Community Board 7 has considered the application and the findings required to be made to permit a variance. Based upon the application, numerous speakers representing the Board, Trinity and the neighboring resident MTS, CB7’s conclusions with respect to the required findings are as follows:

Finding A: There are unique physical conditions or exceptional topographical conditions peculiar to and inherent in the zoning lot which create practical difficulties and unnecessary hardship in complying with the bulk limitations of the Zoning Resolution which are not due to circumstances created generally by the strict application of the provisions of the Zoning Resolution in the neighborhood or district in which the zoning lot is located.

This finding has been met. The as-built condition of the Zoning Lot has made it difficult to construct an as of right alternative which would meet the problematic needs of the Trinity School. The as of right alternative would require a taller, narrow structure on West 92nd Street which would not permit classrooms of an optimal size for instruction. Further, the School’s current circulation problems would be difficult to address in an as of right structure.

Moreover, a complying development would limit much of the School's existing turf playing field which is integral to the School's educational programs.

Committee Vote:

Met: 7 Not Met*:0 Abstain:1 Present: 0*

** "Met:" means the finding has been met; "Not Met" means, the finding has not been met.*

Non-Committee Board Member Vote:

Met: 1 Not Met: 0 Abstain: 1 Present: 0

Finding B: Because of such physical condition there is no reasonable possibility that the development of the zoning lot in strict conformity with the provisions of the Zoning Resolution will bring a reasonable return, and the grant of a variance is therefore necessary to enable the owner to realize a reasonable return from such zoning lot, except that this finding shall not be required for the granting of a variance to a non-profit organization.

Because Trinity is a not-for-profit organization, this finding is not required in order to grant a variance.

Finding C: The variance, if granted, would not alter the essential character of the neighborhood or district in which the zoning lot is located, would not impair the appropriate use and development of adjacent property, and would not be detrimental to the public welfare.

CB7 is unable to make this finding for three reasons: First, the proposed development will block off the entire West side of the fifth floor loggia at the Trinity House, a space used by Trinity residents which provides views, light and air in all four directions. At present, the view to the West from the loggia is not obstructed, a valuable amenity to the residents. The proposed development would create a corridor at the second and third floor levels, linking the existing school structures with the classrooms and laboratories on the West 92nd Street side. Removal of approximately 30 feet in width of the proposed structure at the third floor level reduces square footage available for classes, which footage could be captured elsewhere on the project. Circulation could be maintained through the second floor corridor and a staircase at either end to the third floor.

Second, the proposed enlargement would entail the construction of large air vent structures within the Trinity House loggia, further reducing the utility of that amenity.

Third, the structural frame and cable netting, is located within seventeen (17) feet of the residential tower and is thirty-eight feet seven inches (38'-7") tall. The translucent material proposed to cover 65% of the turf playing field will be an unnecessary eyesore. The School states that the purpose of the covering is to avoid overheating from the sun in the summer months (when school is not in session), to shelter the turf from rain and snow. The present turf area, of approximately the same size at the second floor, is uncovered. Weighing the utility of this covering against its visual impact, CB7 concludes that the covering is an inappropriate and unnecessary amenity.

For the foregoing reasons, CB7 concludes that Finding C cannot be met. Should the applicant amend its application by eliminating approximately 30 feet in width at the third floor level so as to avoid blocking off the West face of the loggia, and if the air vents are moved from the loggia or substantially reduced in size, and if the applicant removes the covering of the turf field, Finding C would be met.

Committee Vote: Met: 3 Not Met: 4 Abstain: 0 Present: 0

Non-Committee Board Member Vote: Met: 1 Not Met: 1 Abstain: 0 Present: 0

Finding D: The practical difficulties and unnecessary hardship have not been created by the owner or its predecessor in title.

There is no evidence that the practical difficulties and hardship were created by the Trinity School. In this connection, we rely on the School's representation that it does not plan to increase its student enrollment.

Committee Vote:

Met: 6 Not Met: 0 Abstain: 1 Present: 0

Non-Committee Board Member Vote:

Met: 2 Not Met: 0 Abstain: 0 Present: 0

Finding E: Within the intent and purposes of the Zoning Resolution, the variance, if granted, is the minimum variance necessary to afford relief.

For the reasons set forth in the above discussion of Finding C, Finding E cannot be met. As with Finding C, Finding E would be met if the project were modified to maintain light and air on the west face of the loggia, relocate the air vents and eliminate the translucent cover over the turf field.

Committee Vote:

Met: 1 Not Met: 6 Abstain: 0 Present: 0

Non-Committee Board Member Vote:

Met: 0 Not Met: 2 Abstain: 0 Present: 0

* * *

During the course of hearings on this application, several additional issues of concern to the neighboring residents were raised, among them the following:

- a) the prevalence of vermin on the West 92nd Street side;
- b) the construction process, including obstruction of traffic on West 92nd Street, noise and hours of operation;
- c) garbage collection and removal on West 92nd Street; and
- d) the loss of revenue to Trinity House caused by the reduction in available parking space in the garage under the playing field.

Following the Land Use Committee hearing on this matter, CB7 received written assurances from the School that these matters will be addressed and that the community will be involved and kept abreast at all stages of the process, and we rely on those assurances. A copy of the School's letter dated June 25, 2014, is attached to this resolution.

RESOLUTION

Date: July 1, 2014

Committee of Origin: Business & Consumer Issues

Re: 462 Amsterdam Avenue (West 82nd Street.)

Full Board Vote: 32 In Favor 1 Against 2 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 6-0-0-1.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** application to the State Liquor Authority for a two-year liquor license by Jin Upper West Side Corp, d/b/a Jin Ramen.

RESOLUTION

Date: July 1, 2014

Committee of Origin: Business & Consumer Issues

Re: 891 Amsterdam Avenue (West 103rd Street.)

Full Board Vote: 32 In Favor 1 Against 2 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 7-0-0-0.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** application to the State Liquor Authority for a two-year liquor license by America Youth Hostels Inc, d/b/a/ Hostelling International USA.



RESOLUTION

Date: July 1, 2014

Committee of Origin: Business & Consumer Issues

Re: Unenclosed sidewalk café renewal applications:

Full Board Vote: 35 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 7-0-0-0.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the following unenclosed café renewal applications:

- **241 Columbus Avenue (West 71st Street.)** Renewal application #0895637-DCA to the Department of Consumer Affairs by Burrito Junction, Inc., d/b/a Harry's Burrito Junction, for a two-year consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café with 12 tables and 36 seats.
- **433 Amsterdam Avenue (West 80th-81st Streets.)** Renewal application #1027125-DCA to the Department of Consumer Affairs by Haru Amsterdam Avenue Corp., d/b/a Haru, for a two-year consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café with 7 tables and 22 seats.

RESOLUTION

Date: July 1, 2014

Committee of Origin: Business & Consumer Issues

Re: 2130 Broadway (West 75th Street.)

Full Board Vote: 29 In Favor 3 Against 2 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 1-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 1-1-1-0.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** new application #6949-2014-ASWC to the Department of Consumer Affairs by Beacway Operating, LLC, d/b/a Hotel Beacon, for a two-year consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café with 8 tables and 32 seats.

RESOLUTION

Date: July 1, 2014

Committee of Origin: Preservation

Re: 141 West 81st Street (Amsterdam - Columbus Avenues.) Application #14-8148 to the Landmarks Preservation Commission to construct rooftop and rear yard additions.

Full Board Vote: 29 In Favor 2 Against 3 Abstentions 0 Present

Rooftop Addition Committee: 3-1-0-0. Rear Yard Addition Committee 3-0-1-0.

Regarding the rooftop addition, the following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusion:

- The new rooftop addition is not visible from the public way. Its front façade is set back from the front façade's gable front where the existing 5th floor (rooftop) structure begins. Its rear façade is in line with the existing 5th floor structure.
- The new rooftop addition will be enclosed by masonry-clad walls, sheathed in white painted stucco. The front and side façade walls will be completely solid. Only the rear façade will be glazed, using the same materials and design approach as proposed for the entire facade of the new rear yard addition: full-height, full-width, low-E, low-iron (clear) butt-jointed glass, with extruded aluminum framing, white finish.

The Preservation Committee of Community Board 7/ Manhattan believes that the design of the rooftop addition is minimally appropriate to the historic character of the building and of the Historic District.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the rooftop addition.

Regarding the rear yard addition, the following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusion:

The existing rowhouse is one of four similar rowhouses, with partial width rear yard L-shaped extensions” and two pairs of adjoining four-story angled bays. The rear facades are all masonry, with individual punched window openings

- The proposed rear yard addition is full-width and full-height, created by extending the existing partial width structure to the west.
- The side walls of the new rear yard addition would be solid, clad in masonry, sheathed in stucco painted white.
- When the new straight side wall meets the original rear façade, it would effectively reduce the light and open space of the windows in the neighbor's remaining angled bay wall.
- The entire rear façade would be glazed: composed of large, unmodulated, full-width glazing panels, made of low-E, low-iron (clear) butt-jointed glass, with extruded aluminum framing, white finish.
- Balcony and deck railings would also be of similar butt-jointed glass with extruded white aluminum framing.

The Preservation Committee of Community Board 7/ Manhattan believes that the while the design of the rear yard addition is elegant, within this context the proposed design is inappropriate to the historic character of the building and of the Historic District, because of the vast expanse of unmodulated glass, lack of scale and detail in relation to its rear-yard context, over-sized bulk, and inappropriate material and color choice.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **disapproves** the rear yard addition.

RESOLUTION

Date: July 1, 2014

Committee of Origin: Preservation

Re: 225 Central Park West (West 82nd Street.) Application to the Landmarks Preservation Commission for Building Wide Master Plan window replacement.

Full Board Vote: 31 In Favor 2 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 3-0-1-0.

Regarding proposed Window Master Plan, the following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusion:

- The original windows were all six-over-one double hung, single pane wood windows.
- None of the building's original windows remain.
- The existing windows from a mid-1970's window replacement program are all one-over-one, aluminum double-hung, thermal windows, bronze finish. They are at the end of their service life, in need of repair or replacement.
- The proposed replacement windows will be one-over-one aluminum double-hung, thermal windows, bronze finish. The proportions of the windows and the framing will be narrower than the existing replacement windows, closer to the proportions of the original wood windows (excluding the original muntins)

The Preservation Committee of Community Board 7/ Manhattan believes that while it would be preferable to implement a Master Plan based upon the original six-over-one window design in order to restore the missing architectural character and texture to the building, the Committee realizes that since none of the original windows remain, this would result in disrupting the uniformity of the one-over-one fenestration pattern which is now the norm, and create a mismatched jumble of windows across the facades for many decades during the transition period. Introducing erratic disorder in to what is currently a clean and uniform fenestration pattern is not desirable. As a result, the Committee believes that the proposed Master Plan for building-wide window replacement is reasonably appropriate to the historic character of the building and of the Historic District.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan approves the Building Wide Window Master Plan rooftop addition.



RESOLUTION

Date: July 1, 2014

Committee of Origin: Preservation

Re: 505 Columbus Avenue (West 84th – 85th.) Application #14-8148 to the Landmarks Preservation Commission for an extension of front fire escape on second and third floor.

Full Board Vote: 32 In Favor 0 Against 1 Abstention 0 Present

Committee: 4-0-0-0.

Regarding the front fire escape extensions, the following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusion:

- The existing fire escape is centered on the Columbus Avenue façade.
- The fire escape is composed of simple square-edged black metal bars, with no decorative details.
- At the second and third floors only, extensions to the central fire escape are proposed to both the north and the south of the existing fire escape, in order to maintain symmetry. The extensions will be constructed of similar square-edged black metal bars, secured to the unadorned areas of the masonry façade s using simple metal brackets.

The Preservation Committee of Community Board 7/ Manhattan believes that the design of front fire escape extensions is reasonably appropriate to the historic character of the building and of the Historic District.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the extension of the front fire escapes at the second and third floors.



RESOLUTION

Date: July 1, 2014

Committee of Origin: Parks & Environment

Re: Broadway Mall Association. Presentation on the 2014 Fall Art Exhibition by contemporary artists, called *Broadway Morey Boogie*, on the malls at 64th (north end of Dante), 72nd (2), 79th, and 96th Streets.

Full Board Vote: 34 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 7-0-0-0.

The following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusions:

- Periodic temporary art exhibits on the Broadway Malls are a welcome amenity for the CB7 community.
- The sculptures proposed for the 2014 exhibition do not appear likely to interfere with pedestrian circulation, nor to present hazards to the public.
- A recent sculpture exhibition at Dante Park (which was not sponsored by the Broadway Malls Association) was anchored in a manner that damaged the newly-installed paving surface at that location. The Broadway Malls Association and the Department of Parks and Recreation have committed to the Parks and Environment Committee of Community Board 7/Manhattan that the sculptures in this proposed exhibit, including the one proposed for Dante Park, will be installed in a manner that will not disturb existing paving surfaces.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/Manhattan **approves** the proposed 2014 Fall Art Exhibition proposed by the Broadway Mall Association.

RESOLUTION

Date: July 1, 2014

Committee of Origin: Steering

Re: Request for a leave of absence.

Full Board Vote: 33 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present

Committee: 13-0-0-0.

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the request by Anne Raphael for a three-month leave of absence beginning on June 1, 2014.