

**RESOLUTION**

**Date: October 2, 2013**

**Committees of Origin: Transportation**

**Re: Secondary street naming of the northeast corner of West 77<sup>th</sup> Street and West End Avenue.**

**Full Board Vote: 31 In Favor 1 Against 2 Abstention 0 Present**

*Committee: 8-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 4-0-0-0.*

The following facts were taken into account:

- Miles Davis, one of the most prominent and prolific jazz musicians of our time, lived at 312 West 77<sup>th</sup> Street for 25 years.
- The owner of 312 West 77<sup>th</sup> Street has agreed to a plaque on the building, but the Landmarks Preservation Commission says there are violations on the building, and won't approve any plaques until the violations are corrected.
- Community Board 7 has already approved a resolution asking that all secondary street-namings be revisited every 10 years.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/Manhattan **approves** secondarily-naming the NW corner of 77<sup>th</sup> Street & West End Avenue "Miles Davis Way", with any secondary street-naming to be revisited a) when & if LPC violations are lifted, or b) after 10 years.

**RESOLUTION**

**Date: October 2, 2013**

**Committees of Origin: Transportation**

**Re: Secondary street naming of the northwest corner of West 97<sup>th</sup> Street and Amsterdam Avenue.**

**Full Board Vote: 34 In Favor 1 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present**

*Committee: 10-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Members: 4-0-0-0.*

The following facts were taken into account:

- Ariel Russo's death at age 4 at the corner of 97<sup>th</sup> Street & Amsterdam Avenue was an unbelievable tragedy, brought about by a teenager fleeing a police car.
- Ariel Russo's parents have flyer'd the immediate area, alerting residents of the possible secondary street-naming.
- It was agreed that Ariel's age should also be on the secondary street sign.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/Manhattan **approves** the secondary street-naming of the NW corner of 97<sup>th</sup> Street & Amsterdam Avenue in honor of Ariel Russo, who tragically lost her life at this corner. The sign would say "Ariel Russo Way", and give the years of her short life.

**RESOLUTION**

**Date: October 2, 2013**

**Committees of Origin: Preservation**

**Re: 2211 Broadway, aka 390 West End Avenue, The Apthorp (West 78<sup>th</sup>-79<sup>th</sup> Streets.) Application to the Landmarks Preservation Commission for rooftop addition to the Apthorp.**

**Full Board Vote: 35 In Favor 2 Against 0 Abstentions 1 Present**

*Committee: 5-2-0-0. Non-Committee Board Member: 1-0-0-0.*

The following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusions:

- The proposed rooftop addition would add two stories (approx. 16,000 sf) to the existing 12-story structure along the west, north and south.
- The height of the rooftop addition would not exceed the height of the existing north and south loggias.
- Its mass would be primarily located along West End Avenue, set back 12' and then 25'8" from the street façade but only set back 5'-6" from the interior courtyard façade.
- The additional penthouse elements proposed to be constructed above the northern and southern interior courtyard facades of the building would partially conceal the historic courtyard-facing loggia facades.
- The existing open-air rooftop loggias would be repaired and restored, and the arched loggias would be infilled with deep-set glass panes which together with the courtyard-side additions may reduce their daytime transparency. They would be connected to and incorporated into the new rooftop residences to the west through new one-story glass-and-metal structures.
- The new penthouse structures are proposed to employ a simplified classical architectural vocabulary intended to relate to, but not replicate the existing design of the building. New fenestration would be trabeated, distinguishing it from the existing arched loggia openings and the arched fenestration on the exterior and interior courtyard facades.
- The additions are proposed to be clad in Indiana limestone to match the rest of the building, with bright copper roofs that will age to a green patina over time.
- While the proposed new addition would be predominantly minimally visible from the public way of the surrounding streets and avenues, it will be highly visible from within the courtyard, altering the character of the space.

Because of its bulk and massing, and the resultant marked visibility within the courtyard, the Preservation Committee of Community Board 7/ Manhattan believes that as currently designed, the proposed rooftop addition is not appropriate to the historic architecture and character of this individually designated landmark building. However, the Committee would not rule out the possibility that a rooftop addition designed to be more suitably-scaled to and respectful of the courtyard and the exterior facades could be found to be appropriate.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **disapproves** the current form of the proposed rooftop addition because of its bulk, massing, and the resultant impact primarily on the courtyard. The Committee urges the applicant to consider ways of reconfiguring the massing and possibly reducing the bulk of the proposed addition in order to minimize its visual and spatial impact.

**RESOLUTION**

**Date: October 2, 2013**

**Committee of Origin: Preservation**

**Re: 1 Riverside Drive (West 72<sup>nd</sup> Street.) Application #14-5757 to the Landmarks Preservation Commission for Replacement of an exterior hatch exit with a staircase leading to the cellar.**

**Full Board Vote: 37 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present**

*Committee: 5-0-1-0. Non-Committee Board Member: 1-0-0-0.*

The following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusions:

- The existing exit hatch area will be expanded to the west.
- A new concrete stair will be constructed to provide improved egress from the Cellar.
- The east wall of the stair recess which is visible from the street will be faced in limestone, similar to the material with which the walls above the stairway is faced.
- Design and green color of new metal railing at grade level will be modeled on existing window grilles.

The Preservation Committee of Community Board 7/ Manhattan believes that the design of the new exterior stair down to the Cellar is reasonably appropriate to the historic character of the building and of the Historic District.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the replacement and expansion of an existing exterior hatch with a new staircase.

**RESOLUTION**

**Date: October 2, 2013**

**Committee of Origin: Preservation**

**Re: 135 Central Park West (West 73<sup>rd</sup> – 74<sup>th</sup> Street.) Application to the Landmarks Preservation**

**Commission for proposed amendment (Docket #136667) to the top of a "historic" entry gate at rear entry to The Langham.**

**Full Board Vote: 36 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present**

*Committee: 6-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Member: 1-0-0-0.*

The following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusions:

- Existing arched and vertical metal framework and mesh infill which had been added to the top of the historic gate will be removed.
- For augmented security, the original topmost curving metal bar and attached decorative elements will be raised 14 inches to allow for the introduction of additional vertical and curved metal components below, spiked projections above.

The Preservation Committee of Community Board 7/ Manhattan believes that the modifications to the top of the existing service gate on West 73<sup>rd</sup> Street are reasonably appropriate to the historic character of the building and of the Historic District.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the modifications to the top of the existing service gate on West 73<sup>rd</sup> Street.

**RESOLUTION**

**Date: October 2, 2013**

**Committee of Origin: Preservation**

**Re: 211 Central Park West #21-22E (West 81<sup>st</sup> – 82<sup>nd</sup> Street.) Application to the Landmarks Preservation Commission for replacement of windows and terrace doors in Apt. 21-22E.**

**Full Board Vote: 36 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present**

*Committee: 4-2-0-0. Non-Committee Board Member: 1-0-0-0.*

The following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusions:

- The original steel windows were designed as a pair of single-pane casement windows below a single pane transom.
- This window design is retained throughout the building, both in the remaining original steel windows, and in the replacement windows.
- The original penthouse apartment windows were of a similar design.
- The existing penthouse windows and terrace doors are all single pane (not casement) with no transoms. They are in poor condition.
- The proposed new replacement windows look not to match the original penthouse fenestration but to the a-typical existing replacement windows and doors.
- While not visible from the surrounding streets, these windows are clearly visible from an open lawn and the bridle path inside Central Park.
- The fenestration pattern of the building is central to the legibility, articulation and historic significance of this iconic building.

The Preservation Committee of Community Board 7/ Manhattan believes that the design of the replacement windows and doors in Apt. 21-22E, is not in keeping with the original window design and is not appropriate to the historic character of the building and of the Historic District. The Committee strongly recommends that the replacement windows and terrace doors be new aluminum windows modeled on the typical window design prevalent throughout the building, matching the original design in configuration, operation, details and in a light gray color to match the original finish. The Committee also urges the co-op to locate or redo the building's Window Master Plan.

**THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **disapproves** the replacement windows and terrace doors in Apt. 21-22E.**

**RESOLUTION**

**Date: October 2, 2013**

**Committee of Origin: Preservation**

**Re: 128 West 82<sup>nd</sup> Street (Columbus – Amsterdam Avenues.) Application to the Landmarks Preservation Commission for the installation of a window in the rear façade as part of an approved gut renovation of the existing rowhouse.**

**Full Board Vote: 37 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present**

*Committee: 6-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Member: 1-0-0-0.*

The following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusions:

- The existing double-hung one-over-one vinyl-clad windows at the top (fourth) floor will be replaced with new mahogany one-over-one double-hung wood windows to match all the new windows at the front façade.
- The existing third floor window openings will be enlarged, widened and expanded downward to create new door openings for inward-opening, door-height steel casement windows, with Juliet balconies.
- The three existing punched window openings at the second floor will be combined and expanded to create one large central glazed opening with full height steel fixed windows and doors.
- The existing rear yard addition will be reduced in mass, with a side projecting bay window and part of the enclosed space removed.
- At the Ground level, the entire side-facing facade of the modified addition, and the majority of its rear façade will be fully glazed, with floor-to-ceiling glass panes and doors which connect back to a full-height glazed element on the main rear facade
- At the Basement level, the fenestration pattern of punched single-pane window openings will be regularized.

The Preservation Committee of Community Board 7/ Manhattan believes that the design of the fenestration in the renovated rear façade and modified rear yard extension is reasonably appropriate to the historic character of the building and of the Historic District, with two strong recommendations:

- The glazed corner detail at the Ground floor of the rear yard extension be articulated as a butt joint (rather than as a thickened vertical mullion)
- The glass railing proposed for the Second floor terrace be replaced with the same type of painted steel or bronze guardrail proposed for the Ground floor terrace and the Third floor Juliet balcony railings.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the new windows at the rear yard and modified rear yard extension, with the two strong design recommendations – to butt joint the glazed corner, and to **replace** the proposed glass railing with a metal **railing** to match the other two proposed railings.

**RESOLUTION**

**Date: October 2, 2013**

**Committee of Origin: Preservation**

**Re: 514 West End Avenue (West 85<sup>th</sup> Street.) Application to the Landmarks Preservation Commission for a penthouse expansion.**

**Full Board Vote: 35 In Favor 0 Against 1 Abstention 0 Present**

*Committee: 6-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Member: 1-0-0-0.*

The following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusions:

- The existing 16<sup>th</sup> floor penthouse will be expanded in two separate areas:
  - i. The southern section will be expanded several feet east, clad in beige stucco to match the existing penthouse. It will not be visible from the public way.
  - ii. The existing eastern roof deck will be partially enclosed with a new metal greenhouse structure, minimally visible from the east at Broadway and 85<sup>th</sup> Street.
- An exterior stair connecting the 16<sup>th</sup> floor penthouse terrace to the 17<sup>th</sup> floor roof will be removed.
- A new interior stair will be constructed, linking the expanded 16<sup>th</sup> floor penthouse to a new rooftop addition on the 17<sup>th</sup> floor.
- The new rooftop addition will be shaped by sight-lines from the street, in order to be completely invisible from the public way.
- The new rooftop addition will be clad in pre-weathered grey zinc panels, with a large glazed element of full-height, folding glass doors. The doors are to have low E tinted glass set within light metal frames that will darken over time.

The Preservation Committee of Community Board 7/ Manhattan believes that the design of the 16<sup>th</sup> floor penthouse expansion and 17<sup>th</sup> floor rooftop addition are reasonably appropriate to the historic character of the building and of the Historic District. The Committee commends the design of the rooftop addition for its clean lines, inspired shaping and simple but elegant material choices.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the 16<sup>th</sup> floor penthouse expansions and 17<sup>th</sup> floor rooftop addition.



**RESOLUTION**

**Date: October 2, 2013**

**Committee of Origin: Preservation**

**Re: 272, 274 & 276 West 86<sup>th</sup> Street (Broadway – West End Avenue.) Application #14-3539 to the Landmarks Preservation Commission for rooftop and rear yard additions.**

**i. Full Board Vote to approve : 37 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present**

*Committee: 6-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Member: 1-0-0-0.*

**ii. Full Board Vote to approve: 35 In Favor 0 Against 1 Abstention 0 Present**

*Committee: 6-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Member: 1-0-0-0.*

**iii. Full Board Vote to disapprove: 25 In Favor 6 Against 5 Abstentions 0 Present**

**iv. Full Board Vote to disapprove: 31 In Favor 1 Against 5 Abstentions 0 Present**

The proposed renovation and expansion work affects three of the original four-unit rowhouse grouping. There are four distinct components of the proposed renovation work:

- i.** Restoration work on the front facade
- ii.** New rooftop addition.
- iii.** Rear yard building expansion.
- iv.** Excavation of the rear yard to expand the existing cellar, and to create a ten-foot planted area at the rear of the property.

**i.** Regarding the restoration work on the front façade, the following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusions:

- Thorough restoration work is proposed for the front facades, including removing paint from brick and limestone surfaces, repairing and repointing of existing masonry, stabilization of stone details, replacement of existing windows with new double-hung wood windows, patching cornices, removal of surface-mounted conduit, etc.
- Replacement of existing entry doors with new wood entry doors of unequal width for improved accessibility and ADA compliance.

The Preservation Committee of Community Board 7/ Manhattan believes that the design of the front restoration work is reasonably appropriate to the historic character of the building and of the Historic District. The Committee strongly recommends that while needing to replace the front doors to improve accessibility to the buildings, greater consideration be given to historic design precedents within the ADA-compliant requirements.

**THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the front restoration work, with the strong recommendation to investigate the historic design precedent for the new front doors.

**ii.** Regarding the rooftop addition, the following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusions:

- The new rooftop additions will be set back from the front facades the same distance as the existing rooftop addition at 276 West 86 St.
- They will all be one foot taller.
- They will have occupiable roof decks above, necessitating the addition of metal access ladders mounted to the front facades for egress.

- The additions will extend significantly further back than the existing roof top addition, nearly to the rear facade line.
- The addition will be clad in light color stucco to match the Roman brick color of the front facades below.
- The new windows will be articulated as punched openings, picking up on the three-window pattern below. The front windows will be one-over-one clad wood. The rear façade windows will be one-over-one aluminum.
- While not visible from directly across the street, the additions will be visible from a number of nearby streets and avenues.

Because of the simplistic architectural design and features, the bulk, and the resulting marked visibility, the Preservation Committee of Community Board 7/ Manhattan believes that the proposed rooftop addition is not reasonably appropriate to the historic character of the building and of the Historic District. While not all members of the Preservation Committee objected with equal force to each of the identified concerns, the Committee reached consensus that the addition as proposed was not appropriate.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **disapproves** the current form of the rooftop addition because of its design, materials, bulk and its resulting visibility from the public way. The Committee further urges the applicant to consider eliminating the occupiable roof decks in order to eliminate the need for surface mounted, visually-displeasing ladder structures.

**iii.** Regarding the rear yard building expansion:

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **disapproves** the rear yard addition because of its erosion of the open space in the rear yards.

**iv.** Regarding the excavation of the rear yard to expand the existing cellar, and the creation of a ten-foot planted area at the rear of the property, the following facts and concerns were taken into account in:

- The entire rear yard will be excavated.
- The existing cellar will be extended approximately 20 feet out from the plane of the rear yard addition.
- The cellar roof will be approximately three feet higher than the existing rear yard grade.
- The final ten feet of the rear yard will become cellar-level terraces, with plantings.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **disapproves** the rear yard excavation and cellar expansion as further erosion of the landscape and open space in the rear yards.

**RESOLUTION**

**Date: October 2, 2013**

**Committee of Origin: Preservation**

**Re: 189 Columbus Avenue d/b/a Vive La Crepe (West 68<sup>th</sup> – 69<sup>th</sup> Street.) Application to the Landmarks Preservation Commission to legalize an existing storefront.**

**Full Board Vote: 37 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present**

*Committee: 6-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Member: 1-0-0-0.*

The following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusions:

- The existing storefront opening is approximately nine feet wide.
- The new storefront infill will be constructed of three fully openable aluminum and glass panels below a fixed glass and aluminum transom.
- The color of the aluminum frame will match the dark brown color of the adjacent restaurant storefront.
- A new beige colored awning will align with the adjacent storefront awning when extended.

The Preservation Committee of Community Board 7/ Manhattan believes that the glass and metal storefront and the new awning are reasonably appropriate to the historic character of the building and of the Historic District.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the legalization of the existing storefront and the proposed awning.

**RESOLUTION**

**Date: October 2, 2013**

**Committee of Origin: Preservation**

**Re: 313 West 74<sup>th</sup> Street (West End Avenue – Riverside Drive.) Application to the Landmarks Preservation Commission for legalization of a rooftop addition.**

**Full Board Vote: 32 In Favor 0 Against 2 Abstentions 0 Present**

*Committee: 5-0-1-0. Non-Committee Board Member: 1-0-0-0.*

The following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusions:

- The original rooftop structure consisted of a taller bulkhead element to the west, lower in height to the east and north. Only the taller bulkhead element was visible from the street,
- The original structure was clad in brick and stucco with a roof-access door, primarily unfenestrated.
- The renovated structure occupies the same footprint, and retains the same massing, as the original.
- The brick cladding remains at the top of the structure above the new fenestration.
- Renovation work includes roof replacement, opening up of the stucco enclosure with new glass doors and a continuous band of aluminum and glass windows on a wooden base.
- The original brick bulkhead is still the only part of the rooftop structure visible from the street.

The Preservation Committee of Community Board 7/ Manhattan believes that the design of the façade renovations to the existing rooftop addition is reasonably appropriate to the historic character of the building and of the Historic District.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the renovation of the existing rooftop addition.

**RESOLUTION**

**Date: October 2, 2013**

**Committee of Origin: Health & Human Services**

**Re: Risk to the exposure of Radon.**

**Full Board Vote: 31 In Favor 2 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present**

*Committee: 7-0-0-0.*

The following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusions:

- Radon is a colorless, odorless, tasteless radioactive gas which results from the natural breakdown of uranium in soil, rock and water.
- Radon is recognized to be the second leading cause of lung cancer after smoking and is the leading cause of lung cancer in nonsmokers.
- Radon is present in shale gas, and is potentially more concentrated in gas from Marcellus Shale wells.
- The main source of potential exposure to Radon in New York City is through the domestic gas supply.
- The World Health Organization and the U.S. Department of Environmental Protection have established that exposure levels to Pico-Curies of Radon over a certain level are hazardous.
- New pipelines are being constructed to bring more shale gas, especially from the Marcellus Shale wells, into the domestic gas supply of New York City.
- Lengthy transmission times of gas, primarily from the Gulf Coast, which last days, allow for a greater breakdown and diminution of the Pico-Curies of Radon in the gas, but would-be transmission times from the Marcellus Shale wells are measured in hours rather than days thus increasing the likelihood that the Pico-Curies of Radon have less time to break down and would likely appear in more concentrated quantities in the New York City gas supply.
- Gas distributors are unwilling or unable to predict levels of Radon that will be delivered to New York City residents and currently there are no regulations requiring the monitoring of levels of Radon delivered to New York City residents, even as the percentage of gas from the Marcellus Shale wells increases.
- Assembly Member Linda B. Rosenthal has recognized the need to monitor and mitigate against Radon in the gas supply of New York City residents and has introduced legislation in the State Assembly, with same-as legislation introduced in the State Senate by Senator Diane Savino.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/Manhattan supports the Assembly Member's efforts and similar efforts in the State Senate to pursue and pass legislation requiring the monitoring of the domestic gas supply for Radon and to mandate mitigation to prevent New York City residents from being exposed to Radon through the domestic gas supply; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7 urges the New York City Council to introduce legislation which will monitor and mitigate the risk of exposure to Radon; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7 urges that New York City's public officials continue to make efforts to keep the general public informed about the risks of Radon in New York City's gas supply, including through public hearings; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7 urges New York City's public officials and agencies to focus their efforts by supporting the development of safer alternative energy sources for the general public, such as wind, solar and hydropower sources of energy.

**RESOLUTION**

**Date: October 2, 2013**

**Committee of Origin: Health & Human Services**

**Re: The New York City Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NYC HANES).**

**Full Board Vote: 28 In Favor 0 Against 1 Abstention 0 Present**

*Committee: 5-0-1-0.*

The following facts and concerns were taken into account in arriving at our conclusions:

- The 2004 New York City Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NYC HANES), tested a random sample of 3000 residents for heavy metals, second hand smoke and diabetes, collecting data that resulted in positive health reforms such as a ban on smoking on beaches and in parks, the attempt to cut back on the size of sugar-sweetened drinks, and monitoring of mercury levels.
- Random testing of 3000 blood, urine and saliva samples plus mental health questionnaires in 2013, funded by private and public grants, is already endorsed by the NYC and NYS Commissioners of Public Health, more than a dozen health centers, five city council members and five Community Boards.
- The purpose of this list of supporters works to encourage survey participation by reassuring potential participants of its value to public health in NYC.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/Manhattan agrees to allow CUNY and the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene to indicate publicly the support of Community Board 7 by adding its name to the list of endorsers.

**RESOLUTION**

**Date: October 2, 2013**

**Committee of Origin: Business & Consumer Issues**

**Re: 483 Amsterdam Avenue (West 83<sup>rd</sup> Street.)**

**Full Board Vote: 28 In Favor 0 Against 1 Abstention 0 Present**

*Committee: 10-0-1-0.*

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the application to the State Liquor Authority for a two-year liquor license by Nicky Meatballs, Inc, d/b/a Polpette.

**RESOLUTION**

**Date: October 2, 2013**

**Committee of Origin: Business & Consumer Issues**

**Re: Unenclosed Sidewalk Café Renewal Applications.**

**Full Board Vote: 25 In Favor 0 Against 1 Abstention 0 Present**

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the following unenclosed sidewalk café applications:

- **313 Amsterdam Avenue** (West 75<sup>th</sup> Street.) Renewal application DCA# 1471902 to the Department of Consumer Affairs by Baby Oliver, LLC, d/b/a Piccolo Cafe, for a two-year consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café with 12 tables and 24 seats.  
*Committee: 11-0-1-0.*
- **359 Columbus Avenue** (West 76<sup>th</sup> – 77<sup>th</sup> Street.) Renewal application DCA# 0953473 to the Department of Consumer Affairs by 359 Columbus Avenue, LLC, d/b/a Isabella's, for a two-year consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café with 28 tables and 74 seats.  
*Committee: 12-0-1-0. Non-Committee Board Member: 1-0-0-0.*
- **568 Amsterdam Avenue (West 87<sup>th</sup> – 88<sup>th</sup> Street.)** Renewal application DCA# 1273996 to the Department of Consumer Affairs by Mermaid 88, LLC, d/b/a The Mermaid Inn, for a two-year consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café with 10 tables and 20 seats.  
*Committee: 9-1-0-1. Non-Committee Board Member: 0-0-1-0.*



**RESOLUTION**

**Date: October 2, 2013**

**Committee of Origin: Business & Consumer Issues**

**Re: 522 Columbus Avenue (West 85<sup>th</sup> Street.)**

**Full Board Vote: 24 In Favor 0 Against 1 Abstention 0 Present**

*Committee: 12-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Member: 1-0-0-0.*

The applicant agreed to remove 2 tables and submit stamped revised plans to CB7;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the revised renewal application DCA# 0895505 to the Department of Consumer Affairs by Barjer Corp., d/b/a Firehouse Restaurant, for a two-year consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café with 9 (instead of 11) tables and 29 seats.

**RESOLUTION**

**Date: October 2, 2013**

**Committee of Origin: Business & Consumer Issues**

**Re: 414 Amsterdam Avenue (West 80<sup>th</sup> Street.)**

**Full Board Vote: 26 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present**

*Committee: 12-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Member: 1-0-0-0.*

The applicant did not attend the committee meeting;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **disapproves without prejudice** new application DCA# 1471611 to the Department of Consumer Affairs by 4SK-414 Amsterdam Avenue LLC, d/b/a Pinkberry, for a two-year consent to operate an unenclosed sidewalk café with 5 tables and 11 seats.

**RESOLUTION**

**Date: October 2, 2013**

**Committee of Origin: Business & Consumer Issues**

**Re: Enclosed Sidewalk Café Renewal Applications.**

**Full Board Vote: 19 In Favor 6 Against 0 Abstentions 1 Present**

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** the following enclosed sidewalk café renewal applications:

- **2020 Broadway (West 69<sup>th</sup> Street.)** Renewal application DCA# 0769760/ ULURP# N110004ECM to the Department of Consumer Affairs by First 69th Street Realty Corp., d/b/a Westside Restaurant, for a two-year consent to operate an enclosed sidewalk café with 17 tables and 34 seats.  
*Committee: 11-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Member: 1-0-0-0.*
- **300 Amsterdam Avenue (West 74<sup>th</sup> Street.)** Renewal application DCA# 1218880/ ULURP# N120359ECM to the Department of Consumer Affairs by American Specialty Foods, Inc., d/b/a Josie Restaurant, for a two-year consent to operate an enclosed sidewalk café with 11 tables and 27 seats.  
*Committee: 12-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Member: 1-0-0-0.*
- **502 Amsterdam Avenue (West 84<sup>th</sup>-85<sup>th</sup> Streets.)** Renewal application DCA#1146560/ ULURP# N120361ECM to the Department of Consumer Affairs by Romagica, Corp., d/b/a Celeste, for a two-year consent to operate an enclosed sidewalk café with 4 tables and 10 seats.  
*Committee: 11-0-1-0. Non-Committee Board Member: 1-0-0-0.*
- **2483 Broadway (West 92<sup>nd</sup>- 93<sup>rd</sup> Street.)** Renewal application DCA# 0916146/ ULURP# N120331ECM to the Department of Consumer Affairs by Hussien Environment, Inc., d/b/a Cleopatra's Needle, for a two-year consent to operate an enclosed sidewalk café with 9 tables and 16 seats.  
*Committee: 12-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Member: 1-0-0-0.*
- **2787 Broadway (West 107<sup>th</sup> Street.)** Renewal application DCA# 1147364/ ULURP# N120346ECM to the Department of Consumer Affairs by Hillview Specialty Food Inc., d/b/a 107th West Restaurant, for a two-year consent to operate an enclosed sidewalk café with 13 tables and 26 seats.  
*Committee: 12-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Member: 1-0-0-0.*

**RESOLUTION**

**Date: October 2, 2013**

**Committee of Origin: Business & Consumer Issues**

**Re: 441 Amsterdam Avenue (West 81<sup>st</sup> Street.)**

**Full Board Vote: 26 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present**

*Committee: 12-0-0-0. Non-Committee Board Member: 1-0-0-0.*

The applicant did not attend the committee meeting;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **disapproves without prejudice** renewal application DCA# 1283643/ ULURP# N120344ECM to the Department of Consumer Affairs by JPS Ventures, Inc. d/b/a St. James Cafe, for a two year consent to operate an enclosed sidewalk café with 5 tables and 16 seats.

**RESOLUTION**

**Date: October 2, 2013**

**Committee of Origin: Steering**

**Re: Request for a leave of absence.**

**Full Board Vote: 26 In Favor 0 Against 0 Abstentions 0 Present**

*Committee: 19-0-0-0.*

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Community Board 7/ Manhattan **approves** a leave of absence for Elizabeth Starkey from October 1 through December 31, 2013.