

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 15, 2008

BOARD VOTE: 29 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Support of the establishment of Civic Channel TV (CCTV)

WHEREAS: In September, 2006, the New York City Council unanimously passed a resolution authorizing the Mayor's Department of Information Technology and Telecommunications to start re-negotiations with telecommunications companies for the granting of telecommunications franchises to provide cable television services in the City of New York, and

WHEREAS: In 2008, Time Warner, Verizon, Cablevision, and RCN are seeking new or renewal franchise agreements with the City of New York, and

WHEREAS: Public access cablecast channels have long established themselves in local communities nationwide as critical forums for free speech, the development of a marketplace of ideas, and the exercise of residents' First Amendment rights, and

WHEREAS: The City Council Resolution requests that the City of New York require cable companies to provide the capacity and support for Public, Educational and Government (PEG) government access, and

WHEREAS: The Manhattan Neighborhood Network (MNN) is the non-profit organization that administers currently four public access cable channels in Manhattan as part of the City of New York's Public, Educational and Government (PEG) channels, and

WHEREAS: For the last fifteen years, MNN has been providing the residents of Manhattan with video training, television production, equipment, studio facilities, resources for not for profit organizations, youth outreach, and free access to cablecast channels reaching more than half a million subscribers, and

WHEREAS: In a telephone survey conducted by MNN, almost 70 percent of all respondents said it was "important" or "very important" to have local cable television channels that feature programs about Manhattan organizations, residents, ethnic groups, youth seniors, and others, and 100 percent of all respondents from a series of thirteen focus groups felt it was either "very important" (85 percent) or "important" (15 percent) to have

noncommercial cable channels that feature programs about Manhattan residents, organizations, community events and issues, and

WHEREAS: Democracy is strongest when its citizens can engage in the full and free exchange of information and ideas, and

WHEREAS: Critical communication channels between Manhattan residents, local government, and civic leaders must be made open and accessible in order to provide greater opportunity for Manhattanites and all New Yorkers to discuss and debate key issues that affect their communities, and

WHEREAS: There is a need to partner the new digital technologies with the processes of local democracy and civic engagement in the Borough of Manhattan and throughout the City of New York, and

WHEREAS: Such a partnership will use the transformative power of technology and innovation to provide residents with greater engagement in, and knowledge of, local government deliberations and decision-making, and will connect borough residents with each other and with their political and civic leaders in a collective effort to help identify and solve the problems that face Manhattan, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 recognizes and applauds the fine work of Manhattan Neighborhood Network in providing public access to new media skills and services for the last fifteen years, and recognizes the urgent community needs across the Borough for the continued growth and expansion of MNN's multimedia services, the needs to strengthen and deepen media training, support and access for young people, and the need to provide greater access for residents to local government and civic leaders, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 supports the establishment of a professional-quality cablecast channel, "Civic Channel TV," to increase public access to the day-to-day workings of local civic processes and institutions, including the broadcasting of community board meetings, public hearings, public policy fora, issue debates and community events with both live and archived television and internet broadcasts. The channel will also broadcast neighborhood profiles, civil leadership training sessions, educational and historic documentaries about Manhattan and the City of New York, significant community events and round-table discussions featuring

journalists, academics, community leaders, and prominent public figures and others, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 urges the New York City Franchise and Concession Review Committee and the Mayor of the City of New York to ensure increased capital, operating, technology and bandwidth support for Public Access channels and services in the upcoming franchise agreements, and to support the establishment of additional channels, including a new civic channel to be operated by the Manhattan Neighborhood Network.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 15, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 43 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Liquor License Application for West Street Gourmet at 21 West Street

WHEREAS: The applicant seeks an on-Premises Beer and Wine License for West Street Gourmet, at 21 West Street, and

WHEREAS: The proposed hours of operation are 5 a.m. to 10 p.m., and

WHEREAS: The applicant will not have music, and

WHEREAS: The space has a total square footage of 1,000, and

WHEREAS: The applicant will not seek a cabaret license, and

WHEREAS: The applicant will not seek a sidewalk café license, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not oppose the liquor license application by West Street Gourmet, at 21 West Street, subject to compliance by the applicant.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 15, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 43 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Liquor License Application for 25 Broadway Enterprises LLC at 25
Broadway

WHEREAS: The applicant seeks an on-Premises Liquor License for 25 Broadway
Enterprises LLC, at 25 Broadway, and

WHEREAS: The applicant intends to operate a catering facility with live and recorded
music, and

WHEREAS: The proposed hours of operation will vary in accordance with how the
facility is booked, and

WHEREAS: The space has a total square footage of 30,000, and

WHEREAS: The applicant will seek a cabaret license, and

WHEREAS: The applicant will not seek a sidewalk café license, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not oppose the liquor license application by 25 Broadway
Enterprises LLC, at 25 Broadway, subject to compliance by the applicant.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 15, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 43 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Liquor License Application for 120 Greenwich Street Café Corp., d/b/a Panini & Co., at 120 Greenwich Street

WHEREAS: The applicant seeks an on-Premises Liquor License for 120 Greenwich Street Café Corp., d/b/a Panini & Co., at 120 Greenwich Street, and

WHEREAS: The proposed hours of operation are 9 a.m. to midnight, seven days a week, and

WHEREAS: The applicant will have background music, and

WHEREAS: The space has a total square footage of 2,000, and

WHEREAS: The applicant will not seek a cabaret license, and

WHEREAS: The applicant will not seek a sidewalk café license, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not oppose the liquor license application by 120 Greenwich Street Café Corp., d/b/a Panini & Co., at 120 Greenwich Street, subject to compliance by the applicant.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 15, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

BOARD VOTE: 43 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Application for Renewal of Beer and Wine License
5 Hanover Farm, Inc.
5 Hanover Square

WHEREAS: There have been complaints from neighbors regarding the manner in which garbage has been disposed by the applicant, and

WHEREAS: The applicant has prepared a letter and delivered it to CB#1 stating that in the future refuse from 5 Hanover Farm, Inc. will be left on Beaver Street as requested by neighbors, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not oppose the application for renewal of the Beer and Wine license for 5 Hanover Farm, Inc. at 5 Hanover Square subject to compliance by the applicant with the terms of the letter delivered to CB #1.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 15, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 1 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 30 In Favor 6 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Battery Maritime Building

WHEREAS: This complicated proposal calls for the adaptive re-use of the Battery Maritime Building, one of New York City's noblest individual landmarks, for a century the great portal to Manhattan from the sea, and

WHEREAS: Its very name reveals both the grandness of the structure and its archaic purpose, and

WHEREAS: The proposal would significantly alter this building, as it exists and with the addition of a dramatic four-story addition, and

WHEREAS: It has been conceived by a consortium responding to a \$60 million stabilization and basic restoration project, completed recently by the City in the hope that the effort would lead to a viable 21st century program for the maritime terminal, and to raise public revenue. The New York City Economic Development Corporation has been in charge of this effort, and

WHEREAS: A part of the building would continue to serve as a ferry terminal to Governors Island, but the rest of the interior, including what was once the amazing, skylit, columned and coffered main concourse, would have an as-yet undefined food usage, and the four-story addition would be a hotel, and

WHEREAS: The team of Rogers Marvel Architects as designer, The Dermot Company as developer, and The Poulakakos Family as operating partners each have years of experience working with, restoring and reusing historic buildings, not least in downtown Manhattan and nearby Brooklyn, where the Maritime Building's glory is best appreciated, and

WHEREAS: They have made elaborate presentations to the Landmarks Committee of Community Board No. 1 on at least three occasions, and have responded to a number of concerns, and

WHEREAS: The street-side modifications are now handsome, and include the loggia and a contextual new main entrance with a discreet folded steel canopy, and

WHEREAS: The west façade has evolved into a reasonable design, with large, simple window penetrations. The ghastly elevator tower -- slapped onto the building at some later date – could only be improved, and indeed it is, incorporating lights on its surface, and

WHEREAS: The waterside elevation is the focus of the huge rooftop addition, and it has gone through at least three versions. First was basically a glass rectangle. Second was a glass rectangle articulated with various bends suggestive of the existing three docking bays and four piers, and

WHEREAS: The current plan pushes back the glass rectangle nine feet from its previous position. In front of it, the four cupolas and aerials original to the building and removed in the 1930s will be recreated, and

WHEREAS: Economic and structural issues are represented as dictating the basic size and placement of this new chunk, and no amount of finessing can disguise the sheer mass of what is being added, and

WHEREAS: The Battery Maritime Building is one of those few places so important and having such architectural integrity that -- like the Woolworth Building, where glass penthouses projecting out from the tower were proposed a few years ago – any major extension becomes not an interesting mixture of the old and new but an oxymoron, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 Manhattan praises the efforts of all concerned in attempting to resurrect this treasure, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 Manhattan recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve the existing building modifications, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 Manhattan raises strong objection to the bulk of the new addition, and urges the Landmarks Preservation Commission to work with the applicant to rectify this problem.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 15, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	8 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	0 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	36 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 26 Broadway, LPC application for exterior signage

WHEREAS: The application is to install three new flags poles to this previously approved application, and

WHEREAS: The applicant is Beyer Binder Belle and has done an excellent job on the buildings restoration, and

WHEREAS: They now seek two additional flag poles on Broadway and one on Beaver Street, and

WHEREAS: The new flagpoles will match the existing, and

WHEREAS: The Committee felt this minor change to the excellent restoration of this important building was appropriate, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve this application.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 15, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	1 Opposed	2 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	0 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	34 In Favor	0 Opposed	2 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 87 Chambers Street, LPC application for restoration of the façade and additional floor on roof

WHEREAS: The application is rebuild all but the facades of the landmark building with the intention of creating an economically viable hotel, and

WHEREAS: The applicant innovatively proposes to leave the “skin” of the building – which is a through building between Chambers and Reade and construct a steel and glass new building set back internally eight feet from the historic facades, and

WHEREAS: The 10’ roof addition will bring the total height to only 80’ but is visible due to the low adjoining Ralph’s Discount Store and the present vacant parking lot, and

WHEREAS: The Committee was concerned about fitting a seven story hotel – plus roof addition – into the present spacious 5 storey loft building and felt that the steel and glass interior was too divorced from the landmark building, and

WHEREAS: The Committee commended the applicant on the innovative design and the enormous care taken to protect the landmarked façade – in planning to replace the original six missing cast iron columns on Reade street, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission reject this application.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 15, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	0 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	36 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 35 N. Moore Street, application for reconfiguration of north skylight and replacement of windows and platting material

WHEREAS: The applicants request significant modification of a highly visible skylight extension atop a building located on the south side of the Holland Tunnel rotary, and within the Tribeca West Historic District, and

WHEREAS: The alteration would raise the angle of the skylight's pitch from the current 40 degrees to 15 degrees, and

WHEREAS: While the enclosure would extend somewhat towards the front of the roof, the height of the extension would not change, and

WHEREAS: It was represented that the original structure was constructed poorly, and that the applicants would rebuild it using high-quality steel and glass, and

WHEREAS: Many larger rooftop extensions of more questionable design and permitted by the Landmarks Preservation Commission in the past surround the rotary, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 Manhattan recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve this application.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 15, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 2 Opposed 2 Abstained 0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS: 3 In Favor 0 Opposed 1 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 42 In Favor 0 Opposed 2 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Washington Market Park Comfort Station

WHEREAS: The Department of Parks and Recreation presented a proposal to construct a comfort station (ie: bathroom) in Washington Market Park, and

WHEREAS: The comfort station will be a 340 square feet red brick structure with small windows and two stalls, and

WHEREAS: The Department of Parks and Recreation will consider ways to make the stalls accessible to children, and

WHEREAS: The comfort station will be open in daylight hours only, and

WHEREAS: The Department of Parks and Recreation will use existing personal for maintenance, and

WHEREAS: The construction of the comfort station will take approximately one year, and

WHEREAS: In order to construct the building several long time community gardens will be destroyed mainly due to the use of a back-hoe, and

WHEREAS: Many of these gardeners attended the committee meeting requesting that Parks Department pick an alternative location within the park as to avoid any destruction of the existing gardens, and

WHEREAS: The Department of Parks and Recreation has agreed to work with community gardeners on a landscape plan that would maintain as many of the existing community gardens as possible, provide watering equipment for the eight that will be displaced and provided a temporary alternate location with appropriate water supply for any gardens that will be destroyed during the construction period as soon as possible and to maintain the existing tool shed, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 approves the proposal for construction of a comfort station in Washington Market Park subject to compliance by the applicant to conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 15, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE: 9 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS: 4 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 42 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 40 Walker Street, application for City Planning Commission Section 74-711 Special Permit

WHEREAS: 40 Walker Street LLC has applied to the City Planning Commission for a Section 74-711 Special Permit to allow Residential Use in Floors 2 Through 6 and office space or retail space in the cellar and ground floor of existing building, and

WHEREAS: Community Board 1 on December 9, 2006 approved a Landmarks Preservation Commission application for this project on the basis that the restoration will be a great improvement to this building which is not in good condition, and

WHEREAS: Community Board 1 on April 17, 2007 approved a City Planning Commission certification to allow residential use of the second floor, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board 1 recommends that the City Planning Commission approve the grant of a Section 74-711 Special Permit for 40 Walker Street to allow residential and office or retail use.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 15, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE: 11 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS: 4 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 42 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 25 N. Moore Street, rescinding of previous resolution for an application for on-premises (OP) license 200 Water Group LLC Inc.

WHEREAS: Community Board No.1 (CB1) voted to approve an on-premises (OP) liquor license for 200 Water Group LLC Inc. located at 25 N. Moore Street on November 20th, 2007, and

WHEREAS: Recently discovered information showed that applicant and their attorney made misrepresentations in their method of operation application(s) to CB1 and SLA, and

WHEREAS: There is a detailed explanation in a TriBeCa committee resolution with the heading; RE: 25 N. Moore Street, reconsideration of previous CB1 resolution for on-premises (OP) liquor license for 200 Water Group LLC Inc., now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board No.1 rescinds its approval resolution of November 20th, 2007 for 200 Water Group LLC Inc.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 15, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE: 10 In Favor 0 Opposed 1 Abstained 0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS: 4 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 41 In Favor 0 Opposed 1 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 25 N. Moore Street, reconsideration of previous CB1 resolution for on-premises (OP) liquor license for 200 Water Group LLC Inc.

WHEREAS: Community Board No.1 (CB1) voted to approve an on-premises (OP) liquor license for 200 Water Group LLC Inc. located at 25 N. Moore Street on November 20th, 2007, and

WHEREAS: The applicant, Ross Provenzano and attorney Warren Pesetsky presented to the TriBeCa committee on November 14th, 2007 their State Liquor Authority (SLA) application and CB1 check list questioner which also included a floor plan (attached) for their Method of Operation which was represented as a 'with table cloth restaurant' along with the following information;

Shape of bar:.....L-shaped.
Location of bar:.....Rear west wall.
Bar area:.....Approximately 20% of the floor area.
Seats at the bar:.....16
Stools seats at high bat tables:..18
Total bar seating:.....34
Number of high bar tables:.....3
Tables for eating:.....29
Seats for eating:.....58

WHEREAS: At the January 10, 2008 meeting of the TriBeCa a large number of concerned neighborhood residents along with their attorney, Barry Mallin opposed to the OP application (petition and sign-in sheet attached) presented compelling evidence gathered through a Freedom of Information request (FOIL) to the SLA that the applicant misrepresented their true Method of Operation to CB1 and the SLA, and

WHEREAS: The residents and their attorney presented the following information which was obtained from the FOIL request showing what the applicant presented to the SLA including a different floor plan during their January 3rd, 2008 500' rule hearing, and

Shape of bar:.....U-shaped. (changed from L-shaped)
Location of bar:.....Center of floor. (changed from Rear west wall.)
Bar area:.....Approximately 50% of the floor area.
(increase of 30%)
Seats at the bar:.....30 (increase of 14)
Stools seats at high bat tables:..20 (increase of 2)
Total bar seating:.....50 (increase of 16)
Number of high bar tables:.....5 (increase of 2)
Tables for eating:.....22 (decrease of 7)
Seats for eating:.....44 (decrease of 14)

WHEREAS: CB1 voted on January 15, 2008 to rescind the resolution granting an OP license which was voted on November 20th, 2007, and

WHEREAS: It appears that once discovering the residents FOIL request the attorney for the applicant resubmitted the floor plan to the SLA that was originally presented to CB1 in November and provided an affidavit to the TriBeCa committee stating so, and

WHEREAS: A change in an applicants method of operation as the applicant represented at their 500' rule hearing would require the applicant to file a new application with SLA and to appear before the community board for consideration, and

WHEREAS: It should be noted that applicant Ross Provenzano was the owner of a sports bar named Buster Garage located at 180 West Broadway also in TriBeCa, and

WHEREAS: Buster's Garage had numerous 'quality of life' complaints from neighborhood residents due to excessive noise from patrons on the patio that was within the property line, the widows being left open late night, loud television sounds and/or loud music until the late hours, and traffic congestion problems, and

WHEREAS: Assemblywoman Deborah Glick is opposed to the granting of a liquor license, and

WHEREAS: CB1 believes the applicant never had any intention to open a 'with table cloth restaurant' and that the applicant and their attorney misrepresented their method of operation to the TriBeCa committee in November and again to the SLA at the their 500' rule hearing in order to open a sports bar like their previous establishment Busters Garage, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board No.1 strongly recommends that due to applicant's misrepresentations in their application(s) to the CB and SLA as well the neighborhood and elected officials opposition, and all the quality of life problems that would be created, that the State Liquor Authority not grant an OP license to 200 Water Group LLC Inc.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 15, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE: 4 In Favor 4 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS: 0 In Favor 3 Opposed 1 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: TABLED

RE: 130 Duane Street, application for wine and beer license for 130 Duane Street Hotel

WHEREAS: 130 Duane Street Hotel, aka: Hersha Hotel is applying for the wine and beer license, and

WHEREAS: The Hersha Hotel has been referred to as a so called 'boutique hotel', and

WHEREAS: There has been a long history of mistrust by the community associated with this project and location, and

WHEREAS: The Sam Chang Company which erected the building caused many quality of life problems for the neighbors during construction, and

WHEREAS: The Sam Chang Company refused to cooperate with the residents and Community Board during the building process, and

WHEREAS: Community Board No.1 voted to disapprove an on-premises (OP) liquor licenses last year, and

WHEREAS: With strong community opposition including the Duane Street Block Association which represents 11 buildings, the State Liquor Authority as well denied the applicant an on-premises (OP) liquor license last year, and

WHEREAS: The Duane Street Block Association also opposes the granting of a wine and beer license application as well, and

WHEREAS: Councilman Gerson also opposes the granting of a wine and beer license (letter attached), and

WHEREAS: The applicants representatives who appeared before TriBeCa committee stated that they no longer have a business relationship with the Sam Chang Company, and

WHEREAS: The applicant stated the Duane Street Hotel will employ 22 people, and

WHEREAS: Some committee members felt that the bar / restaurant was most likely not large enough to have a significant impact on the neighborhood, and

WHEREAS: Some other committee members felt that bar / restaurant at this location even with a wine and beer license would cause noise and traffic along with other quality of life problems, and

WHEREAS: Some of these committee members further felt that a bar / restaurant was not necessary in a such a small 'boutique hotel' and it would set a bad precedent for the neighborhood, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board No.1 disapproves the application for a wine and beer license for 130 Duane Street Hotel, aka: Hersha Hotel, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board No.1 approves the application for a wine and beer license for 130 Duane Street Hotel, aka: Hersha Hotel.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 15, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE: 9 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS: 3 In Favor 1 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 42 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 181 Duane Street , application for renewal of wine and beer license for
181 Duane Ristorante, Inc. d/b/a MAX.

WHEREAS: The applicant is applying for a wine and beer renewal license, and

WHEREAS: The second floor tenant who lives directly above the restaurant appeared
before the TriBeCa committee and stated that the speakers in the ceiling of
the restaurant are causing vibrations and loud noise in his home which has
deprived him of sleep, and

WHEREAS: Tenant further states that he has complained to the owner Luigi Iasilli who
was also present at the TriBeCa committee who has done nothing to
correct the speaker problem, and

WHEREAS: However, an agreement was reached at the committee between the owner
and the tenant and

WHEREAS: As a condition for approval of the wine and beer license the owner has
agreed to remove all the speakers from the ceiling and move them on to
the walls in a configuration that will not cause vibrations and/or loud noise
to the apartment above, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not oppose the granting of a wine and beer license for 181
Duane Ristorante, Inc. d/b/a MAX for a period of 2 years subject to
compliance by the applicant with the limitations and conditions set forth
above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 15, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS: 2 In Favor 0 Opposed 1 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 42 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 189 Franklin Street, application for liquor license for New York Steak and Burger Co. Inc. d/b/a New York Steak and Burger Co.

WHEREAS: The applicant, New York Steak and Burger Co. Inc, proposes to operate an on-premise liquor license, and

WHEREAS: The proposed establishment is a restaurant with bar, and

WHEREAS: The total square footage of the restaurant is 1,200 sq. ft, and the number of tables is 15 with 60 seats, and the bar is for service only, and

WHEREAS: The establishment proposes to be open from 11:00 am to 11:00 pm weekdays and weekends, and

WHEREAS: There will be background recorded music only, and

WHEREAS: The applicant stated that they will apply for a sidewalk café in the future, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not oppose the grant of a liquor license for New York Steak and Burger Co., Inc for a period of two years subject to compliance by the applicant with the limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 15, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	7	In Favor	0	Opposed	0	Abstained	0	Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	4	In Favor	0	Opposed	0	Abstained	0	Recused
BOARD VOTE:	42	In Favor	0	Opposed	0	Abstained	0	Recused

RE: 22 Warren Street, application for liquor license for Tom Stagias/or Corp.
to be formed

WHEREAS: The applicant did not appear before the Committee for review, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 asks that the State Liquor Authority hold off on approving the liquor license application for Tom Stagias/or Corp. to be named located at 22 Warren Street until proper review by the Community Board.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 15, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

PUBLIC MEMBERS: 2 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 42 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Application for renewal of unenclosed sidewalk café
Nonna Restaurant d/b/a Roc Restaurant
190A Duane Street

WHEREAS: The applicant has applied for renewal an unenclosed sidewalk cafe license for 20 tables and 40 seats, and

WHEREAS: The applicant has agreed to wrap garbage securely and to power wash the Duane Street sidewalk, and

WHEREAS: The proposed hours of operation will be no more than 11:00 am Sunday through Thursday and 1:00 am on Friday and Saturday, and

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends approval of the application for renewal of an unenclosed sidewalk café for Nonna Restaurant Corp. at 190A Duane Street for a period of two years subject to compliance by the applicant with the limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 15, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS: 2 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 42 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Application for renewal of unenclosed sidewalk café
Cup Café NY LLC d/b/a Mocca
78-82 Reade Street

WHEREAS: The applicant has applied for renewal an unenclosed sidewalk cafe license for 5 tables and 11 seats and to increase the number of tables to 10 and the number of seats to 22 seats, and

WHEREAS: The applicant has agreed to reduce the requested increased number of tables and seats down to 9 tables and 19 seats to reduce congestion at the corner, and

WHEREAS: The owner has agreed to set back the rail used to enclose the sidewalk café at the corner location where the one table and three chairs would have been which as now been eliminated, and

WHEREAS: The proposed hours of operation will be no more than 11:00 am Sunday through Thursday and 1:00 am on Friday and Saturday, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends approval of the application for renewal of an unenclosed sidewalk café for Cup Café NY LLC d/b/a Mocca, with an increase to 9 tables and 19 seats at 78-82 Reade Street for a period of two years subject to compliance by the applicant with the limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 15, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS: 2 In Favor 0 Opposed 2 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 42 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Application for renewal of unenclosed sidewalk café
136 West Broadway, Inc. d/b/a Edward's
136 West Broadway

WHEREAS: The applicant has applied for renewal an unenclosed sidewalk cafe license for 6 tables and 12 seats, and

WHEREAS: The applicant has agreed to maintain a minimum 8 foot clearance between the sidewalk café and other street obstructions, and

WHEREAS: The proposed hours of operation will be no more than 11:00 am Sunday through Thursday and 1:00 am on Friday and Saturday, and

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends approval of the application for renewal of an unenclosed sidewalk café for 136 West Broadway, Inc. d/b/a Edward's at 136 West Broadway for a period of two years subject to compliance by the applicant with the limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 15, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: WTC REDEVELOPMENT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 14 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 43 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Intro 650 to NYC Council’s Committee on Public Safety

WHEREAS: New York City Council’s Public Safety Committee is revising a bill (Intro 650) that will require the New York Police Department (NYPD) to allocate permits for all biological, chemical, and radiological atmospheric testing devices, and

WHEREAS: The stated purpose of this legislation is to avoid “excessive false alarms and unwarranted anxiety that a large-scale public emergency may be occurring,” and

WHEREAS: In the aftermath of the World Trade Center attacks, residents and workers were repeatedly lied to about the quality of the air they breathed by government agencies, and therefore, any concern about maintaining the right to independently test the air is certainly far from “unwarranted,” and

WHEREAS: Residents should be empowered to hold the government accountable and confirm for themselves, in a timely fashion, that their air is free of hazardous chemicals, and

WHEREAS: Intro 650 is also opposed by U.S. Rep. Nadler, Manhattan Borough President Stringer, Assembly Member Glick and Council Member Gerson; and

WHEREAS: The current form of this bill is too broad and will unfairly obstruct the independent collection of scientific data, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 opposes the implementation of the proposed permitting system for environmental sampling instruments.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JANUARY 15, 2008

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: WTC REDEVELOPMENT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 14 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 43 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Action to Reduce Diesel Particulates in Our Air

WHEREAS: Community Board#1 has been concerned about air quality since September 11, 2001, and

WHEREAS: In response to the sobering findings (November 2007) of the World Trade Center Health Registry that children in Lower Manhattan have an increased likelihood of being diagnosed with asthma post-9/11, Council Member Alan Gerson has announced the following 5-Point Plan to reduce diesel particulates in our air that if passed would address certain gaps in applicable federal and local environmental regulations (specifically Local Law 77, which requires use of Ultra Low Sulfur Diesel (ULSD) fuel and the best available technology by non-road vehicles in city construction, http://www.nycouncil.info/pdf_files/bills/law03077.pdf)

1. Extend Local Law 77 to require all construction sites, private or public, to use ULSD fuel in their equipment and generators, and
2. Pass Intros 168 and 169 to require the Staten Island Ferry and all city owned and private ferries to utilize ULSD and the best available retrofits, and
3. Pass a law to require portable generators used in outside movie shoots to use ULSD, and extend the law to cover other portable generators, and
4. Prohibit all buses, including school buses, long distance buses, which do not use ULSD and best available retrofits from picking up and dropping off passengers in Lower Manhattan and other residential communities, and
5. Immediately undertake street-level air monitoring for particulate matter on the Canal Street corridor at intervals throughout Lower Manhattan and in vicinities of parks and playgrounds in Lower Manhattan and in other neighborhoods with heightened asthma rates (LS #3251), and

WHEREAS: Diesel exhaust not only aggravates asthma but it may cause asthma and it also appears to play a role in respiratory and allergic diseases, according to the journal Environmental Health Perspectives, February 2002, (<http://www.ehponline.org/docs/2002/suppl-1/103-112pandya/abstract.html>), now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board 1 wholeheartedly supports Council Member Alan Gerson's Action Agenda to reduce diesel particulates in our air.