

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: BATTERY PARK CITY

COMMITTEE VOTE: 4 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 37 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Proposed street activity permit application on Sunday, September 16,
2007, Vesey Street between West Street and North End Avenue by the
Battery Park City Neighbor's Association

BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 does not oppose the proposed street activity permit
application submitted by the Battery Pak City Neighbor's Association to
close Vesey Street between West Street and North End Avenue on
Sunday, September 16th during the hours of 8 AM – 7 PM. Event will
take place during the hours of 11 AM to 5 PM.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: BATTERY PARK CITY

COMMITTEE VOTE: 4 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 37 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Proposed street activity permit application on Sunday, September 9, 2007,
Battery Place between West Street and First Place by the Battery Park City
Conservancy

BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 does not oppose the proposed street activity permit
application submitted by the Battery Pak City Conservancy to close
Battery Place between West Street and First Place on Sunday, September
9th during the hours of 9 AM – 9 PM. Event will take place during the
hours of 9 AM to 9 PM.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE:	10 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBER:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	37 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Application for a beer and wine license for Polyanka, Inc., d/b/a Café 88,
88 Fulton Street

WHEREAS: The applicant was notified but did not appear before the Financial
Committee for review, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 asks the State Liquor Authority to not approve the
liquor license application for Polyanka, Inc. d/b/a Café 88 until the
applicant appears before Community Board 1 and the board has an
opportunity to review the project.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE:	10 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBER:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	37 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Application for wine and beer license by Salera Pizza Ltd. for premises at 114 Liberty Street

WHEREAS: The applicant seeks an on-Premises Wine and Beer License for Salero Pizza Ltd d/b/a Pronto Pizza at 114 Liberty Street, and

WHEREAS: The proposed hours of operation are 10 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. seven days a week, and

WHEREAS: The applicant will have recorded music, and

WHEREAS: The space has a total square footage of 1,800, and

WHEREAS: The applicant will not seek a cabaret license, and

WHEREAS: The applicant will not seek a sidewalk café license, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not oppose the liquor license application by Salero Pizza Ltd d/b/a Pronto Pizza subject to compliance by the applicant.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 37 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Proposed street activity permit application on Tuesday, August 28, 2007,
Water Street between Wall and Pine Streets by Mickey Live Inc.

WHEREAS: Ongoing street work and construction have closed portions of Lower
Broadway between Ann Street and Liberty Street, creating a more
congested situation than usual, and

WHEREAS: The Financial District Committee of Community Board #1 did not
approve of Mickey Live's original request for closure of the sidewalk on
Broadway between Liberty and Maiden Lane during the hours of 8 AM to
5 PM, and

WHEREAS: Mickey Live has agreed to withdraw the request for sidewalk closure on
Broadway and instead is requesting sidewalk closure of Water Street (west
side) between Wall and Pine Streets, and

WHEREAS: The Financial District Committee of CB#1 has caucused and considered
the request for closure, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 approves the request by Mickey Live Inc. to close the western
sidewalk of Water Street between Wall and Pine Streets on Tuesday,
August 28, 2007 from 8 AM to 5 PM.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	3 In Favor	3 Opposed	1 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	27 In Favor	8 Opposed	0 Abstained	1 Recused

RE: 11-15 Leonard Street, LPC application for demolition of one story vacant garage structure and construction of new six story plus penthouse residential building

WHEREAS: This controversial application calls for the demolition of two carriage house-like garages in the Tribeca West Historic District, and the construction of a massive new residential building, and

WHEREAS: While 11-13 Leonard Street is more historically distinguished and intact than 15 Leonard Street, it can be argued that, since both were designed and built in the 1920's, they are not precisely congruent with the nature of the District, although they are ideal fabric, contributing to the block's delightful sense of scale and balance, and

WHEREAS: The new building would be seven stories (six stories plus a penthouse level), with 75 feet of street frontage, and

WHEREAS: As we have written previously, the insertion of a new building within an historic district is always a touchy and difficult proposition, often erring toward slavish, anachronistic reproduction on the one hand, or a-contextual and disjunctive contemporary design on the other, and

WHEREAS: In this instance, the architectural style offered may be described as the former, with Romanesque arches on the fifth floor, and all manner of late 19th Century industrial semaphores referring to the Tribeca West Historic District's *ur*-buildings, but with the inevitably compromised flatter window penetrations and ground-floor -glass infill swathes that give away the century that has passed, and

WHEREAS: While a decade ago, buildings such as 124 Hudson Street, in a similar style -- but on the corner of a broad and wide-open avenue, not squeezed into the middle of a low-rise block on a narrow street -- were sanctioned as a solution to new construction in historic districts, now the general conversation has become more complicated, and

WHEREAS: The Landmarks Committee was deadlocked on this application, a very rare circumstance indicating the complexity of the issue, and

WHEREAS: The Community Board One members were generally in favor of this proposed new building, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 recommends the Landmark Preservation Commission approve the application.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	37 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 112–114 Chambers Street, LPC application for 2 story addition and façade restoration

WHEREAS: This application calls for the “restoration” of the façade of these joined buildings in the Tribeca South Historic District Extension, and

WHEREAS: The nature of the restoration is unclear, since the second-floor show window on 114 Chambers Street is clearly not original, and the applicants have no intention of bringing it back to an historic condition, and

WHEREAS: The application also calls for a two-story rooftop extension, quite visible from Church Street between Reade and Chambers Streets, and

WHEREAS: While we might not be altogether opposed to a rooftop addition, we are opposed to one that is two stories, 20 feet 6 inches, and quite visible, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission reject this application.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	37 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 120 Broadway, LPC application for replacement of windows, awnings, lights, signage and a new door opening

WHEREAS: This important individual landmark was the former Equitable Building which has had appropriate storefront amendments performed under a master plan in the past, and

WHEREAS: The applicant seeks to add 9 black drop awnings, 6 with lettering for the “Capital Grill” tenant and 3 blank, to match those already existing on the building, 2 lanterns and a brass name plaque – all following LPC guidelines for size and lettering, and

WHEREAS: The existing main original revolving door will be restored, and

WHEREAS: A new double door on Pine Street will be installed at grade to enable ADA compliance, this door will match the original doors and be made of nickel steel and clear glass, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 recommends the Landmark Preservation Commission approve the application.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	37 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 428 Greenwich Street, LPC application for storefront renovation

WHEREAS: The application is to create a storefront restaurant in this 19' wide building, and

WHEREAS: The cast iron columns will be restored and a clear glass upper glazed metal door – matching the building next door - will be installed, and

WHEREAS: The other two doors will be raised panel metal painted doors, and

WHEREAS: The applicant was not able to design an entrance that is ADA compliant as the building is too narrow to install code ramps, the Committee wanted to note that if LPC requires ADA compliance that the railings be 1' ½" and painted black, and

WHEREAS: The signage will be painted on the raised panel on the cast iron column, and

WHEREAS: The Committee did not like the exterior roller shutter and requested that the applicant remove it, which they agreed to amend the application before presenting to LPC, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 recommends the Landmark Preservation Commission approve the application on the condition that the exterior roller shutter be removed.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	7 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	37 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 57 Laight Street, LPC Application for changes to ground floor windows and creation of a new ground floor entrance with awning on Collister Street

WHEREAS: The application is to remove the 1980's storefront and metal grill work and clean the graffiti from the building, and

WHEREAS: The new storefront will be made of appropriately painted wood and clear glass and have one single door entrance and minimal painted signage, and

WHEREAS: The existing entrance on Collister Street will be replaced with a single solid wood painted door and elevator cover, and

WHEREAS: A new metal awning – typical of this part of Northern Tribeca will be installed, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 recommends the Landmark Preservation Commission approve the application.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: PLANNING AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE

COMMITTEE VOTE: 12 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 37 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Text Changes to the Urban Plaza Regulations

WHEREAS: The 1961 NYC Zoning Resolution initiated “incentive and bonus” provisions that created privately owned (remains on the tax rolls and is owned and maintained by the adjacent property owner) publicly used plazas in return for increased bulk (FAR), and

WHEREAS: These incentives have given the City approximately 250 such privately owned public plazas in residential and commercial neighborhoods, and

WHEREAS: In the past the design, operations and compliance regulations frequently failed to produce hospitable plazas in many cases, and

WHEREAS: The new proposed City-wide Text changes would vastly improve the regulations by amending the text in the following ways:

- a. Creating one set of regulations and standards for all plazas, thus eliminating the differences between residential and commercial plaza regulations.
- b. Creating clearer standards for all signage.
- c. Mandating more varied seating modes.
- d. Permitting more flexible tree planting, based on trunk width and not number of trees.
- e. Mandating better lighting all night.
- f. Keeping plazas open 24 hours unless the developer receives an official authorization for an earlier closing.
- g. Standardizing Gating controls.
- h. Mandating a 3 year Compliance Report with photos to the DOB, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 recommends approval of the proposed text changes with the following suggestions:

1. Create a pro-active process to ensure that the lighting at night will not shine into residential windows.
2. Explore if there should be a maximum number of trees to make sure that people are not excluded.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: PLANNING AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE

COMMITTEE VOTE: 12 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 37 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Text Changes for Open At Grade Parking Lot Design

WHEREAS: The improved design regulations for open, at-grade, 18 parking space or more parking lots will create open parking lots with better design and environmental standards, (trees etc.), and

WHEREAS: This text change will probably not affect CB#1 since it pertains to newly created or enlarged parking lots and these are things that are disappearing in our Board, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends approving the proposed text amendment as presented.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: QUALITY OF LIFE AND AFFORDABLE HOUSING

COMMITTEE VOTE:	8 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	37 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Adopting Labor Standards Development Projects in Community Board 1

WHEREAS: Our community is facing a boom in development projects, and it is vital that both workers and the community be protected by the highest labor employment and safety standards, and

WHEREAS: There is a disturbing trend of compromised safety standards and substandard working conditions on development projects in New York City, and

WHEREAS: The Manhattan Borough President's Community and Labor Task Force, a coalition of Community Board representatives, labor leaders, and other community leaders, has recommended a set of standards that promote the welfare of workers and the surrounding community, and

WHEREAS: The standards include hiring contractors with clean work histories, with no history of defaulting on a project, no previous violations of wage law, and no history of violating federal or state safety and environmental laws, in order to ensure that construction sites are managed by law-abiding professionals who respect safety, environmental and labor standards, and

WHEREAS: The standards include hiring contractors that abide by current wage rates and provide workers with appropriate benefits, in order to ensure that worksites attract trained professionals and provide economic opportunities to workers, and

WHEREAS: The standards include hiring contractors who participate in a federal or state Class A Apprenticeship Program, in order to ensure that workers are well-trained in their trade, and

WHEREAS: The standards include hiring general contractors and subcontractors that have the resources and qualifications to perform the contract and the proper insurance and bonding to correct any problems should they arise, in order to ensure a properly and efficiently run worksite, and

WHEREAS: The standards include disclosing a complete list of general contractor and subcontractors on a project, in order to ensure that the public and the community have the opportunity to know which businesses will be building in the community, and raise any concerns to the Board about those businesses, should any arise, and

WHEREAS: The standards include maximizing opportunities for minority- and women-owned business enterprises (MWBE), in order to ensure a diverse workplace with economic opportunities provided for those who need them most, and

WHEREAS: Community Board 1 agrees with the purposes of these standards and supports safe worksites in our community, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board 1 hereby endorses the Manhattan Borough President's Community and Labor Task Force standards as goals we would like development in our community to meet, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: In an effort to improve the safety of construction sites and promote the welfare of both workers and the surrounding community, Community Board 1 will make the achievement of these goals part of our dialogue with the State and City government, and with private developers, about development projects that occur in our community.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: QUALITY OF LIFE AND AFFORDABLE HOUSING

COMMITTEE VOTE:	10 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	2 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	37 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: TrafficStat

WHEREAS: There is a need for greater enforcement of traffic rules and regulations, and

Whereas: In light of increased construction activity, there is greater concern in Lower Manhattan regarding enforcement of traffic regulations, and

WHEREAS: The Manhattan Borough President has called upon the City to increase enforcement of regulations concerning vehicles blocking intersections and the Mayor's Office and the Manhattan Borough President's Office have an initiative to increase the enforcement of these regulations as part of PlanNYC, and

WHEREAS: The NY Police Department has a monitoring function called TrafficStat, and

WHEREAS: CB1 has extended invitations to TrafficStat personnel to attend CB1 meetings and explain the program and the way it works but has not yet received such a presentation, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 requests that the Police Department present or provide information regarding TrafficStat and engage with CB1 and other concerned Community Boards in an effort to improve TrafficStat and traffic regulations and increase the effectiveness of Police Department efforts to enforce traffic regulations in the City, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB1 would welcome assistance from the Manhattan Borough President's Office and the Mayor's Community Assistance Unit in facilitating the flow of information from the Police Department regarding TrafficStat and traffic enforcement efforts.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE: 5 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 37 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Proposed street activity permit application on Saturday, September 1 –
Monday, September 3, 2007, Dutch Street between John Street and Fulton
Street by Pace University

BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 does not oppose the proposed street activity permit
application submitted by Pace University to close Dutch Street between
John Street and Fulton on Saturday, September 1st – Monday, September
3rd during the hours of 8 AM – 5 PM. Event will take place during the
hours of 8 AM to 5 PM.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE: 5 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 37 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Proposed street activity permit application on Saturday, September 1 –
Monday, September 3, 2007, Spruce Street between Park Row and Gold
Street by Pace University

BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 does not oppose the proposed street activity permit
application submitted by Pace University to close Spruce Street between
Park Row and Gold Street on Saturday, September 1st – Monday,
September 3rd during the hours of 8 AM – 5 PM provided that residents of
the area with appropriate identification are allowed to pass and that
parking will be restricted on Frankfort Street. Event will take place during
the hours of 8 AM to 5 PM.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE: 4 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 37 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Application for renewal of Wine and Beer license for A. J. Café
d/b/a Harbour Café
29 Peck Slip

WHEREAS: CB #1 has not received any complaints about this establishment, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not oppose the application for renewal of a liquor license for
Harbour Café at 29 Peck Slip.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE: 4 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 37 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Application for an unenclosed sidewalk cafe license for Goat Fifty, LLC
36 Peck Slip
Doing Business as: Nelson Blue

WHEREAS: The applicant has applied for an unenclosed sidewalk cafe license for 12
tables and 29 seats, and

WHEREAS: The applicant agrees to operate no later than 10:30 PM, will not have
music and will maintain a minimum of 8' 9' sidewalk clearance, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 approves the unenclosed sidewalk license for Goat Fifty LLC at 36
Peck Slip for a period of two years subject to compliance by the applicant
with the limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE: 6 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 37 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Request for a Temporary Dog Run in Seaport/Financial District by
Coalition of Dog Owners/Lovers for a Dog Run

WHEREAS: The Coalition for a Dog Run has requested that the New York City
Economic Development Corporation (NYCEDC) incorporate a dog run
into the design of the East River Waterfront Park to serve the
Seaport/Financial District residents, and

WHEREAS: The park is in the planning stages and there is a necessity for a short-term
solution until the park is built, and

WHEREAS: NYCEDC is proposing the location of a temporary Dog Run
approximately 45' x 90' beneath the FDR Drive at Maiden Lane, and

WHEREAS: NYCEDC will fund site preparation, provide a source of water and
arrange for insurance, and

WHEREAS: The Coalition for a Dog Run will clean and maintain the Dog Run and
provide all parties with a list of rule and regulations standard to other dog
runs, and

WHEREAS: All involved parties will jointly inspect the Dog Run after 1-2 months in
operating to make sure it is functioning to everyone's satisfaction, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB 1 supports the proposal of NYCEDC to locate a temporary Dog Run
beneath the FDR Drive at Maiden Lane until such time as it can provide a
permanent Dog Run as part of east River Waterfront Park.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE: 4 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 36 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 1 Recused

RE: No Permit Parking Zone on Frankfort Street from Gold Street to Pearl Street

WHEREAS: Frankfort Street is a narrow street with bus stops and has many pedestrian and vehicle safety issues, and

WHEREAS: Police officers and other city employees park on this street using their Police and DOT authorized parking permits, increasing the danger to pedestrians and vehicles, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB 1 requests that the Department of Transportation create a “No Permit Parking Zone” on the South Side of Frankfort Street from Gold Street to Pearl Street.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	12 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	4 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	36 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: DSNY Manhattan 1/3/5 Garage Complex at Spring Street

WHEREAS: The Manhattan Borough President convened a meeting of representatives from Community Boards 1, 2 and 4 and local Elected Officials on July 12th, and

WHEREAS: The purpose of this meeting was to engage the NYC Department of Sanitation (DSNY) in a dialogue to directly address the issues raised by the Release of a Final Scoping Document for a Consolidated Sanitation Garage for Community Districts 1,2 and 5 at Spring Street, and

WHEREAS: The "Working Group" now awaits DSNY's written response to the Manhattan Borough President to questions about the financial modeling and design criteria used to evaluate Block 675 (29th-30th Streets) as a potential site for DSNY garage operations, and

WHEREAS: The "working group" now awaits a DSNY written response to the Manhattan Borough President about concerns that the air quality in the vicinity of the Spring Street proposal will be impossible to mitigate, specifically noting that while air pollution from "particulates" might decline (assuming the City actually implemented the clean fuel options it is touting), the concentration of particulate pollution would increase and that the air pollution mitigation measures the City appears to be relying on - including improved catalytic converters – actually change the chemical nature of the resulting emissions, creating highly toxic compounds that have been linked to demonstrable adverse health impacts, and

WHEREAS: Community Board 1 and 2 share a common boarder and there have been many land use issues of mutual concern along the border that have affected both Community Boards, and

WHEREAS: Both Boards 1 and 2 have worked jointly in the past on issues of mutual concern, and

WHEREAS: The Proposed Consolidated Sanitation Garage is located along our common border and will have major impacts throughout Lower Manhattan, and

WHEREAS: Both Community Boards have passed resolutions in strong opposition to this proposal, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED
THAT:

Both Community Boards 1 and 2 declare the Proposed Sanitation Garage to be an issue of mutual concern and pledge to work cooperatively on the Proposed Consolidated Sanitation Garage for Manhattan Districts 1, 2 & 5, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED
THAT:

Community Boards 1 and 2 agree to hold a joint Public Hearing for the Uniform Land Use Review Procedure (ULURP) if the applicant is certified, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED
THAT:

The DSNY Spring Street facility as presently envisioned poses an unacceptable threat to air quality and public health, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED
THAT:

Community Boards 1 and 2 calls for a moratorium on DSNY garage site location plans in our districts until these issues have been addressed in writing to the satisfaction of the Borough President and his "working group".

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	7 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	2 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	28 In Favor	6 Opposed	1 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Proposed street activity permit application on Saturday, October 13, 2007,
Greenwich Street between Chambers Street and Murray Street by Mosaic
Manhattan Church

BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 does not oppose the proposed street activity permit
application submitted by the Mosaic Manhattan Church to close
Greenwich Street between Chambers Street and Murray Street on
Saturday, October 13th during the hours of 8 AM – 5 PM. Event will take
place during the hours of 11 AM to 3 PM.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	7 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	2 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	32 In Favor	3 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Proposed street activity permit application on Monday, November 19, 2007, Lafayette Street between White Street and Walker Street by the New York City Rescue Mission

BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 does not oppose the proposed street activity permit application submitted by the New York City Rescue Mission. Closure of sidewalk for a tent during the hours of 7 AM – 7 PM. Event will take place during the hours of noon to 7 PM.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	7 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	2 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	28 In Favor	6 Opposed	1 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Proposed street activity permit application on Sunday, October 14, 2007,
Duane Street between Church Street and West Broadway by the Jewish
Community Project Downtown

BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 does not oppose the proposed street activity permit
application submitted by the Jewish Community Project Downtown to
close Duane Street between Church and West Broadway on Sunday,
October 14th during the hours of 8 AM – 6 PM. Event will take place
during the hours of 10 AM – 4 PM.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	8 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	4 In Favor	1 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	35 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Application for transfer of a liquor license from Roisden Dobh
to Brick
d/b/a Brick
22 Warren Street between Church Street and Broadway

WHEREAS: CB #1 has not received any complaints about this establishment, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not oppose the application for transfer of a liquor license for
Brick at 22 Warren Street.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	35 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Application for Renewal of Liquor License
Shinobi New York LLC Ninja New York
25 Hudson Street at Reade Street

WHEREAS: CB #1 has not received any complaints about this establishment, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not oppose the liquor license renewal application for Ninja
New York at 25 Hudson Street.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	8 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	4 In Favor	2 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	35 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Application for renewal of liquor license of M1-5 license
52 Walker Street between Church Street and Broadway

WHEREAS: CB #1 has received numerous complaints about this establishment due to excessive noise, loitering and disorderly behavior on the street outside this establishment, and

WHEREAS: Neighbors have persistently filed complaints with the First Precinct and the State Liquor Authority regarding noise and inappropriate behavior on the street outside this establishment, and

WHEREAS: Neighbors of this establishment appeared at a Tribeca Committee meeting to describe the disturbances created by this establishment, and the applicant was invited to appear at the Tribeca Committee meeting to discuss these complaints and chose not to appear, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 opposes the liquor license renewal application for M1-5 at 52 Walker Street.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	35 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Application for Renewal of Wine and Beer License
The Bigger Place Restaurant
61 Warren Street at West Broadway

WHEREAS: CB #1 has not received any complaints about this establishment, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not oppose the liquor license renewal application for The
Bigger Place Restaurant at 61 Warren Street.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	10 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	4 In Favor	1 Opposed	1 Abstained	1 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	35 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Application for Hotel Liquor License
85 West Broadway Owner LLC Corporation d/b/a Smyth Hotel
85 West Broadway at Chambers Street

WHEREAS: The applicant proposes to operate a liquor license, and

WHEREAS: The proposed establishment is a hotel with a lobby bar, room service and mini-bars, and

WHEREAS: The total square footage of the bar area is 1,800 and the public assembly capacity is 79, and the number of seats is seven bar stools, and

WHEREAS: The proposed closing time of liquor service will be will be 4:00 am, and

WHEREAS: The lobby bar music is recorded background only, and

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 approves the liquor license application for Smyth Hotel at 85 West Broadway for a period of two years subject to compliance by the applicant with the limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	35 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Application for Renewal of Liquor License
Bubby's Pie Co. Inc. dba Bubby's Restaurant
120 Hudson Street at N. Moore Street

WHEREAS: CB #1 has not received any complaints about this establishment, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not oppose the liquor license renewal application for Bubby's
Restaurant at 120 Hudson Street.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	35 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Application for Renewal of Wine and Beer License
Franklin Street Cafe
222 West Broadway at Franklin Street

WHEREAS: CB #1 has not received any complaints about this establishment, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not oppose the liquor license renewal application for Franklin
Street Café at 222 West Broadway.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	7 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	3 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	34 In Favor	2 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 240 West Broadway, application for a BSA variance to permit construction of a new nine-story building with residential use in a M1-5 zoning district in the Special Tribeca Mixed Use District

WHEREAS: The applicant seeks a variance for use and bulk to construct a new nine-story residential building, and

WHEREAS: The applicant has proposed a 5.5 FAR new building to be no more than 108 feet in height and to setback after 72 feet, and

WHEREAS: The applicant has agreed that If construction will include pile-driving the owner/applicant use a method of pile-driving such as Auger-Drilling and not use a hydraulic pile-driving method to avoid dangerous vibrations that may cause damage to the surrounding buildings, and that this requirement be cited in the BSA resolution, and

WHEREAS: The applicant has agreed to comply with New York City Department of Buildings regulation TPPN DOB #10/88 as it relates to the surrounding landmark buildings and that this requirement be cited in the BSA resolution, and

WHEREAS: The applicant has agreed to return to the Tribeca committee at the point in time that a building design has been completed, and

WHEREAS: There is a need for more neighborhood retail establishments in this area of Tribeca, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board One recommends that the Board of Standards and Appeals approve the request for a variance for use and bulk for a new nine story residential building at 240 West Broadway, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board One recommends that the applicant include space for neighborhood retail use on the ground floor for other than eating and drinking establishments.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	35 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Application for Renewal of Liquor License
241 West Broadway Café, Inc. d/b/a Cercle Rouge
241 West Broadway at N. Moore Street

WHEREAS: CB #1 has not received any complaints about this establishment, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not oppose the liquor license renewal application for Cercle Rouge at 241 West Broadway.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	35 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Application for Renewal of Wine and Beer License
Pichmor 251 Corp. d/b/a Darlene Restaurant
251 Church Street at Leonard Street

WHEREAS: CB #1 has not received any complaints about this establishment, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not oppose the liquor license renewal application for Darlene
Restaurant at 251 Church Street.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	35 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Application for Renewal of Liquor License
 H & M Bar LLC d/b/a Canal Room
 285 West Broadway at Canal Street

WHEREAS: CB #1 has not received any complaints about this establishment, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not oppose the liquor license renewal application for Canal
 Room at 285 West Broadway.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	7 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	1 In Favor	2 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	34 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 462 Greenwich Street, request for a BSA special permit to allow the operation of a physical health and cultural establishment in an M1-5 District in the Special Tribeca Mixed Use District

WHEREAS: The applicant seeks a special permit to allow legalization of a Physical Health & Culture Establishment located in the first floor and cellar at 462 Greenwich Street by The Tribeca Spa of Tranquility, and

WHEREAS: The applicant opened for business on April 10, 2007 without first obtaining the necessary approval, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board One recommends that the Board of Standards and Appeals approve the request for a special permit for a physical health and culture establishment for The Tribeca Spa of Tranquility.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	7 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	3 In Favor	1 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	32 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Application for an unenclosed sidewalk cafe license for Ivy's Bistro at 385 Greenwich Street at N. Moore Street

WHEREAS: The applicant has applied for an unenclosed sidewalk cafe license for 8 tables and 16 seats, and

WHEREAS: The applicant agrees to operate the proposed sidewalk café no later than 11:00 pm, and

WHEREAS: The applicant agrees to maintain 8 feet clearance from the planters to the curb, and

WHEREAS: The applicant agreed to place all tables on the Greenwich Street sidewalk only, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 approves the unenclosed sidewalk café license for Ivy's Bistro, at 385 Greenwich Street, for a period of two years subject to compliance by the applicant with the limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	8 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	2 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	33 In Favor	0 Opposed	2 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 349 Broadway, application for a cabaret license for Eros, Inc.

WHEREAS: The request is to allow a cabaret license to be granted to Eros, Inc, which can accommodate up to 300 people in the basement and on the first floor, and

WHEREAS: The establishment proposes to be open until 4:00 am and will host a variety of live bands, DJ's and promoters, and

WHEREAS: Residents of the neighborhood appeared at the Tribeca Committee meeting who have long complained of the noise and congestion this establishment generated on the street when it was known as Peppers, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 opposes the grant of a cabaret license for Eros, Inc. at 349 Broadway.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: WATERFRONT

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	3 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	37 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Governor's Island Design Competition

WHEREAS: Community Board One recognizes the extensive work the Governor's Island Preservation and Education Corporation (GIPEC) has done to try to open up Governor's Island to the public, and

WHEREAS: Pursuant to this effort, GIPEC is currently sponsoring a process to select a design firm to plan for the southern portion of the Park, also known as the "South Island," a space of approximately forty acres, and

WHEREAS: Popular public amenities will make Governor's Island a core destination point for many residents in the area, further opening up the Island to thousands of people on a regular basis, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board One does not select any of the design teams, since it is impossible for the Board to determine which team is most appropriate from the information that we have received over the past four months, and the single date, June 20, 2007, that the five design teams presented their ideas was not a date that many Community Board One members were able to attend, and Community Board One would have benefited from its own presentation by the five design team finalists, as well as a designated seat on the jury making the final selection, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board One has specific design and use requirements that we want to see in any final plan for the southern portion of the Island, regardless of the design team selected. These requirements include:

1. Maximal use of the island's existing landscape and inherent natural qualities (its view of the harbor, its proximity to the water, its location between Brooklyn and Manhattan, its open spaces). Creating overly artificial landscapes should be avoided because a) such landscapes would be expensive

to create, possibly driving up demand for commercial development, and b) they would overlook the existing, unparalleled natural qualities of sky and water that the Island already possesses and taking into account not to disrupt the beach and existing wildlife habitats.

2. Athletic fields.
3. Lawns, public walkways, bike and pedestrian pathways.
4. Full options for the public to enjoy water-related activities such as boating, historic ship docking, kayaking, floating swimming pools, environmental programming and research, waterborne transportation, etc., since the potential for water-access on Governor's Island is immense and unavailable in most other public park venues, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED
THAT:

Any plan selected should enhance the Governor's Island protected historic area to the north, ensuring that there is real integration between the new design scheme, the development plan, and the historic area controlled by the National Parks Service.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: WATERFRONT

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	3 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	37 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Governor's Island Design Competition Jury

WHEREAS: Governor's Island is part of Community Board One, and

WHEREAS: The Waterfront Committee has reviewed the known facts surrounding the current GIPEC design competition, and

WHEREAS: Planning for Governor's Island would benefit by the input of the community board it is a part of, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board One requests a seat on the jury designated to select one of the five finalists in the design competition for the southern portion of Governor's Island.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: WATERFRONT

COMMITTEE VOTE:	6 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	1 In Favor	1 Opposed	1 Abstained	1 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	37 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: Henry Hudson Parkway Scenic Byway Designation

WHEREAS: Henry Hudson Parkway is an eleven-mile linear park which is an outstanding historic, scenic and cultural resource of the city, state and nation, and

WHEREAS: Henry Hudson Parkway has been designated for preparation of a Corridor Management Plan, and

WHEREAS: Henry Hudson Parkway has been proposed for designation as a Scenic Byway by the New York State Department of Transportation, and which will entitle it to benefit from new sources of state and federal funding, and

WHEREAS: Designation furthers the goals of Mayor's Bloomberg's PlaNYC2030 by creating a model for managing a state parkway along the city's waterfront, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board 1 support's the preparation of a Henry Hudson Parkway Corridor Management Plan as a prelude to its designation as a Scenic Byway.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: WEST STREET TASK FORCE

COMMITTEE VOTE:	2 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC MEMBERS:	2 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	37 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: West Street Safety

WHEREAS: The CB 1 West Street Task Force has successfully advocated for the City and State to reverse plans to construct dangerous left turn lanes onto Warren Street, and

WHEREAS: The CB1 West Street Task Force has successfully advocated for the placement of nearly three dozen new school crossing signs within several blocks of PS/IS89, and

WHEREAS: Due to speeding automobiles, West Street (south of Canal Street to the Battery) continues to be very unsafe to cross for children waking to school and residents living in the area and there have been numerous reported accidents on West Street involving students at the school and children from the neighborhood, and

WHEREAS: The City and State Depts. of Transportation have given far greater consideration to the perceived necessity of moving more vehicles more "efficiently" than for the safety of children and residents living, working and going to school in the area, and

WHEREAS: This situation will only get worse due to the constantly increasing volume of pedestrians crossing West Street in Lower Manhattan, and with the construction of two high rise residential buildings within two blocks south of Chambers Street, and is expected to grow even larger in the coming years with the continued development of Lower Manhattan, including additional residential construction in BPC and on the east side of West Street, the construction of Goldman Sachs' world headquarters, the rebuilding of Piers 25 and 26 in Hudson River Park, and the rebuilding on the World Trade Center site, and

WHEREAS: IS 89 students working with Transportation Alternatives documented many speeding cars at the time of middle school dismissal, and

WHEREAS: DOT removed stop signs as part of a new traffic plan in Northern Battery Park City without consulting or informing the community, and

WHEREAS: It has been observed that during non –rush hours the speeds on West Street often exceed 55 miles per hour as drivers are unaware of west street as a 35 mile per hour zone, and

WHEREAS: The community board has made recommendations in a letter November 17 2005 to State and City DOT. We think these recommendations are still important and deserve implementation (attached letter), now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: We demand New York Department of Transportation take effective measures to ensure the safety of pedestrians. This should include:

- 1) DOT reassess traffic and pedestrian volume at Battery Park City North intersections and report back to the Battery Park City Committee.
- 2) Until this reassessment is reported and discussed, all Northern Battery Park City signage should be restored, ensuring community safety.
- 3) Thinking out of the box with dramatic signage not the current signs which are often not noticed by drivers.
- 4) Place a sign on the Stuyvesant school bridge alerting drivers that there is a school crossing.
- 5) Locate advance stop bars for automobiles on west and warren and west and chambers to reduce the problem of autos in crosswalks while people are crossing west.
- 6) In addition install an advance stop on Chambers and on the east side of west so that trucks can turn right off of west. Currently the street is too narrow for buses and trucks creating a problem for pedestrians and northbound traffic.
- 7) That NYS DOT changes the speed limit to 25 mph during the hours of 8 am to 6 pm weekdays with flashing lights to alert drivers.
- 8) Utilize the “variable message signs “installed at great tax payer expense to alert drivers there is a school ahead and to slow down. These signs are useless and could be used for driver education and again to slow cars down.
- 9) Enforcement and Implementation that cars turning, actually stay in accordance with traffic regulations and turn into proper lanes. We are attempting to prevent two cars turning in the same direction at nice and blind siding pedestrians, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: We demand:

- 1) The NYC police department begins immediate enforcement of the 35 mph law and enforcement of unsafe drivers violating the crosswalk while pedestrians are in it.
- 2) Those NYC Police departments take steps to enforce drunken driving laws, on West Street especially stopping cars that are speeding in the late evenings, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED
THAT:

Community Board One calls upon the Governor and the Mayor to heed the warning being made here that adequate safety precautions are NOT being taken to ensure the safety of people in the area and that we demand that the Governor and the Mayor designate officials at appropriate levels of responsibility for the formulation of public policy, from their own offices, the Police Department, the Education Department, economic development agencies, Lower Manhattan Development Corporation, Lower Manhattan Construction Coordinator, Battery Park City Authority, Hudson River Park Trust, as well as the City and State Depts. of Transportation, to participate under the auspices of CB 1, and with the continued involvement of local elected officials, in an ongoing program to assure that necessary measures are taken by City and State governments to maximize the safety of pedestrians crossing West Street in Lower Manhattan, and that active and positive consideration be given to measures such as the measures mentioned in this resolution.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: WTC REDEVELOPMENT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 10 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 37 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Taskforce to Address the Interim Period between the Opening of the Memorial (2009) and the World Trade Center Vehicular Center (2011 or 2012)

WHEREAS: CB#1 has expressed numerous times its concern about the handling of tour bus parking during the period of at least two years between the opening of the Memorial in 2009 and the opening of the World Trade Center Vehicular Center in late 2011 or 2012, which will create serious traffic and health problems in a very densely populated area, and

WHEREAS: We understand that the opening of the WTC Memorial Plaza will attract visitors in addition to those coming to view the WTC site, that there is a potential for even more visitors when the Memorial Museum will be open on or about 2011 or 2012 and that it is anticipated that the number of visitors arriving in tour buses will continue to increase, and

WHEREAS: Traffic congestion and air quality continue to be major issues since September 11, 2001 and need to be dealt with to make Lower Manhattan livable during the next five years of major construction and thereafter, and

WHEREAS: CB#1 had not yet received any update on how this serious issue will be handled, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 calls on Governor Spitzer and Mayor Bloomberg to establish a task force to address tour bus parking, idling and security issues during the period between the opening of the Memorial and the opening of the WTC Vehicular Security Center, and

BE IT

FURTHER

THAT: Each of the various agencies and key organizations involved in the WTC rebuilding process, including LMDC, LMCCC, the Memorial Foundation, PANYNJ, NYCDOT, the Downtown Alliance, elected officials and CB#1, be invited to participate in the Task Force.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: WTC REDEVELOPMENT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 10 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 37 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: New Construction Noise Rules

WHEREAS: New York City adopted a new noise code that became effective on July 1, 2007 (<http://www.nyc.gov/html/dep/html/airnoise.html>), and

WHEREAS: These rules established a comprehensive noise mitigation plan for construction sites and offer alternatives for contractors to continue their important construction tasks while reducing the impact of construction noise on surrounding neighborhoods, and

WHEREAS: The details of the Department of Environmental Protection Notice of Adoption of Rules for Citywide Construction Noise Mitigation (Chapter 28) can be found in a 32-page document which goes into extensive detail regarding abatement measures including perimeter noise barriers and temporary or portable noise barriers (http://www.nyc.gov/html/dep/pdf/noise_constr_rule.pdf), and

WHEREAS: The WTC site is currently exempt from noise mitigation and other requirements established under the new noise code, and

WHEREAS: There have been complaints from residents in the vicinity of the WTC site due to WTC related redevelopment, which has been a serious and persistent problem for the past six years since September 11, 2001, and

WHEREAS: Construction noise is a problem on the WTC site itself and will continue to be a problem over the next five years of redevelopment on the WTC site and on the “southern site” including Tower 5, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 calls on PANYNJ immediately to adopt the new New York City Noise Code for all construction at the WTC site to address this very important quality of life issue, especially for those who reside in the neighborhoods surrounding the WTC site, including the Financial District, Battery Park City and Greenwich South.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: JULY 31, 2007

COMMITTEES OF ORIGIN: YOUTH AND EDUCATION &
NEW SCHOOL TASK FORCE

COMMITTEES VOTE: 6 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 37 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Project Arts (Arts Restoration to the Schools)

WHEREAS: Project ARTS (Arts Restoration to the Schools) is for all K-12 students of general education, special education and English language learners and focuses on the arts, i.e. music, visual arts, dance and drama/theater arts, and has been the catalyst for the growth and expansion of arts education over the past ten years, and

WHEREAS: The number of full-time arts specialists is 2,299; the number of part-time arts specialists is 288; the number of schools without any arts specialists is at least 268; the level of funding of Project ARTS has remained at \$67.5 million for the past five years and the school system is not meeting the minimum New York State requirements for arts education, and

WHEREAS: The Department of Education has proposed, as part of its Children First reforms, to change the Project ARTS funding status from categorical to “unrestricted,” which could result in the elimination of these funds towards arts education, and

WHEREAS: The proposal eliminates salaries for Regional Arts Supervisors, leaving principals without key support, and

WHEREAS: Principals’ effectiveness will be more heavily judged based on student testing in areas such as math and English, which may lead to their directing more funds into these areas at the expense of the arts, and

WHEREAS: The arts program at each school must improve outcomes for all students in order to qualify for increased arts funding in the proposed system and this “proof” needs at least a year to eighteen months to show up on evaluations, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 urges the DOE to continue Project ARTS due to the critical importance of Project ARTS in paying for services of cultural organizations, funding arts specialist positions, paying for supplies and professional development, thus allowing time to help seed spending for arts education across the system during this major period of transition. This would create a stronger comparison from baseline to the end of the next school year, as well as provide time to develop performance-based assessments, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 urges incorporating Regional Arts Supervisors into any new structure to provide key support for principals and sustain partnerships with the cultural community, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 urges an increase to Project Arts funding proportionate to the total increase that the DOE will be receiving from the State budget and the Campaign for Fiscal Equity settlement.