

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 20, 2005

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: QUALITY OF LIFE

COMMITTEE VOTE: 4 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 30 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Support of Community Board #1 CERT Teams in our community

WHEREAS: Since 9/11 the importance of Community Emergency Preparedness is more critical then ever, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 support CERT Teams and look to augment, expand and encourage cooperation between Community Board area CERT Teams, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 support the allocation of more funding from the City of New York to Office of Emergency Management for training and equipment for Neighborhood CERT Teams, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 request a line item in the budget of Office of Emergency Management to set aside funding for the support, creation and training of existing and future CERT Teams.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 20, 2005

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	30 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 139 Duane Street, transfer liquor license application for Strongfive LLC
d/b/a Blaue Gans

WHEREAS: The applicant proposes to operate a restaurant with 25 tables and 90 seats,
and

WHEREAS: The proposed maximum hours of operation will be 8 AM until 8 PM, 8
AM until 8 AM on Friday and Saturday, and

WHEREAS: The applicant agreed to have recorded music only as appropriate for an
establishment located where it is, and

WHEREAS: The applicant will be installing kitchen exhaust system, and

WHEREAS: The applicant represented that it will not be seeking a cabaret license or a
sidewalk café license, and

WHEREAS: The applicant agreed to add these conditions to the SLA application, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not oppose the liquor license application for Barbarini, Inc at
225 Front Street for a period of two years.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 20, 2005

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	8 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	30 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 399 Greenwich St., liquor license application for 399 Greenwich Pub, Inc.

WHEREAS: The applicant proposes to operate a restaurant with 12 tables and 50 seats and a bar with 15 seats, and

WHEREAS: The proposed maximum hours of operation will be 11:00 AM until 2:00 AM, Monday through Sunday, and

WHEREAS: The applicant agreed to have quiet background music only as appropriate for an establishment located where it is, and to provide adequate sound-proofing, and

WHEREAS: The applicant represented that it will not be seeking a cabaret license but may seek a sidewalk café license, and

WHEREAS: The applicant agreed to add these conditions to the SLA application, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not oppose the liquor license application for 399 Greenwich Pub., Inc. at 399 Greenwich Street for a period of two years subject to compliance by the applicant with the limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 20, 2005

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: TRIBECA

COMMITTEE VOTE:	11 In Favor	0 Opposed	1 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	30 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 71 North Moore/ 385 Greenwich Street, liquor license application for No Moore Oysters

WHEREAS: The applicant proposes to operate a restaurant with 9 tables and 22 seats and a bar with 5 seats, and

WHEREAS: The proposed maximum hours of operation will be 12:00 PM until 1:00 AM Sunday through Thursday, 12:00 PM until 2:00 AM on Friday and Saturday, and

WHEREAS: The applicant agreed to have quiet background music only as appropriate for an establishment located where it is, and to provide adequate sound-proofing, and

WHEREAS: The applicant represented that it will not be seeking a cabaret license but may seek a sidewalk café license, and

WHEREAS: The applicant agreed to add these conditions to the SLA application and that he would have a valid Certificate of Occupancy, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not oppose the liquor license application for No Moore Oysters at 71 North Moore Street/385 Greenwich Street for a period of two years subject to compliance by the applicant with the limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 - MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 20, 2005

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: INTERNAL WORKINGS TASK FORCE

COMMITTEE VOTE: 14 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 30 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Amendment of CB#1 By-Laws Regarding Removal of a Board Member

WHEREAS The New York City Charter provides that an appointed member of a community board may be removed for cause by the Borough President or “by a majority vote of the community board,” and

WHEREAS: The By-Laws of CB #1 are in conflict with the City Charter because they provide that a member of CB #1 may be removed for cause by the Borough President or “by the Executive Committee of Community Board 1 upon the recommendation of the Board Chair,” and

WHEREAS: In addition, the By-Laws of CB #1 currently state that the By-Laws shall conform to provisions of the City Charter but do not specifically acknowledge that the By-Laws shall also conform to other federal, state and local laws and regulations as well, and

WHEREAS: One of the grounds for removal of a Board member relates to unexcused absences from regular meetings of the Board or meetings of assigned committees, and the current criteria for excused absences relating to illness are ambiguous and potentially overly restrictive, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: The first sentence of section I.A.2. of the By-Laws of CB #1 is hereby revised and amended to conform to the requirements of the City Charter by changing it to read as follows, with deleted language indicated by [brackets] and added language indicated by underscoring:

“Members may be removed for cause by the Borough President or by a majority vote of Community Board 1 [upon the recommendation of the Executive Committee of Community Board 1 upon the recommendation of the Board Chair].”, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: The first paragraph of the By-Laws of CB #1 is hereby revised and amended by changing it to read as follows, with deleted language indicated by [brackets] and added language indicated by underscoring:

“The By-Laws of Community Board 1 shall conform to all New York City Charter provisions pertaining thereto, as well as to all other federal, state and local laws and regulations, and n[Nothing in these By-Laws shall be construed so as to change, modify or amend the New York City Charter or any such other laws or regulations.”, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: Section V.F.1. of the By-Laws of CB #1 relating to attendance and excused absences is hereby revised and amended by changing it to read in its entirety as follows, with deleted language indicated by [brackets]:

“A Board member may be properly excused from Board or committee meetings only for the following reasons: military service; jury duty; [severe] personal illness; [severe] illness or death in the family or household; or attending to Board business at the request of the Chair. No other excuse shall be permitted.”

05resdec20

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 - MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 20, 2005

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: INTERNAL WORKINGS TASK FORCE

COMMITTEE VOTE: 14 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 30 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Amendment of CB#1 By-Laws Regarding Open Meetings

WHEREAS: CB #1 strongly supports the principles underlying the New York State Open Meetings Law and wishes to emphasize the importance of strict adherence to its provisions by explicitly citing the law by name in relevant sections of the By-Laws, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Sections IV.D., V.B. and VI.D. of the By-Laws of CB #1, relating to meetings of the Executive Committee; the Board; and Board committees, sub-committees and task forces, respectively, are hereby revised and amended by changing them to read in their entirety as follows, with deleted language indicated by [brackets] and added language indicated by underscoring:

IV.D. “All Executive Committee Meetings [are] shall be open to the public and the public shall be duly notified of such meetings, except as otherwise permitted by State and City laws (e.g., the New York State Open Meetings Law and the City Charter). Such exceptions are generally limited to personal or employment issues concerning particular members of the staff or criminal investigations.”

V.B. “All Community Board meetings [are] shall be open to the public and the public shall be duly notified of such meetings, except as otherwise permitted by State and City laws (e.g., the New York State Open Meetings Law and the City Charter). Such exceptions are generally limited to personal or employment issues concerning particular members of the staff or criminal investigations.”

VI.D. “Committee, sub-committee and task force meetings shall be open to the public and the public shall be duly notified of such meetings, except as otherwise permitted by [City or] State and City laws (e.g., the New York State Open Meetings Law and the City Charter). Such exceptions are generally limited to personal or employment issues concerning particular members of the staff or criminal investigations.”, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED
THAT:

The second sentence of Section IV.H of the By-Laws of CB #1 relating to emergency meetings of the Executive Committee is hereby revised and amended by changing it to read in its entirety as follows, with added language indicated by underscoring:

“Notice for an emergency meeting may include telephonic, electronic, or other rapid means of communication, and notification of such meeting must be sent to all Board members and appropriate elected officials.”, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED
THAT:

The second paragraph of section V.E. of the By-Laws of CB #1, relating to special meetings of the Board, is hereby revised and amended by changing it to read in its entirety as follows, with added language indicated by underscoring:

“A special meeting may be called upon five days’ notice in writing to all Board members and appropriate elected officials, setting forth the purpose of the meeting and the agenda for the meeting. Such meeting shall be governed by the same procedures as a regular Board meeting. The public shall be duly notified of such special meeting, which shall be open to the public unless covered by a permissible State or City legal exception that must be explained in the notification. E-mail list distribution will be deemed acceptable for last minute special meetings.”, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED
THAT:

New section VI.K., relating to special or emergency meetings of Board committees, sub-committees and task forces, is hereby adopted and made a part of the By-Laws, to read in its entirety as follows:

“All Board members, appropriate public officials and the public shall be duly notified of any special or emergency meetings of Board committees, sub-committees and task forces, which shall be open to the public unless covered by a permissible State or City legal exception that must be explained in the notification. E-mail list distribution will be deemed acceptable for last minute special or emergency meetings.”

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 - MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 20, 2005

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: INTERNAL WORKINGS TASK FORCE

COMMITTEE VOTE: 13 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 30 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Amendment of CB#1 By-Laws to Establish a Pre-Election Board Meeting for Candidate Presentations

WHEREAS CB #1 desires that the election of its officers be conducted in a manner that will provide the candidates for the various offices with an adequate opportunity to present to Board members their qualifications for office and their views on the issues and, at the same time, allow Board members to receive this information from the candidates and to question those candidates directly, and

WHEREAS: The By-Laws of CB #1 currently provide only a very limited opportunity for such exchange of information, consisting of a short written statement not to exceed 250 words and only five minutes at the Board meeting at which the election is held to make a statement and respond to questions, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Paragraphs 2 and 3 of Section II.D. of the By-Laws of CB #1 relating to the election of officers are hereby revised and amended, including the addition of a new paragraph numbered 4, by changing them to read in their entirety as follows, with deleted language indicated by [brackets] and added language indicated by underscoring:

“2. Each candidate may submit a written statement of his or her qualifications for office, [not to exceed 250 words,] which shall be [sent] mailed and e-mailed with the Notice of Election to each Board member along with the announcement of the June Board meeting. Each candidate’s statement shall also be posted on the website of the Community Board.”

“3. In the event that there are two or more candidates for at least one of the officer positions, there shall be a separate meeting of the Board not more than three weeks prior to the election, with attendance optional, for the purpose of affording Board members an opportunity to hear the candidates for the various offices debate and/or make statements in support of their respective candidacies. This pre-election meeting shall also provide Board members an opportunity to direct questions to the

candidates. The announcement of this meeting shall be mailed and e-mailed with the Notice of Election to each Board member. This pre-election meeting shall be conducted in accordance with the related “Election Procedures – Pre-Election Meeting With Candidates” that are a part of the Standing Rules of the Community Board included as Attachment A to these By-Laws. These Election Procedures may be amended by the Community Board at any time without the need for a concurrent amendment of these By-Laws.”

“4.[3.] There shall be no further nominations from the floor at the June meeting, unless there is no nominee for an office.”, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED
THAT:

Paragraph 1 of Section II.E. of the By-Laws of CB #1 relating to the election of officers is hereby revised and amended by changing it to read in its entirety as follows, with deleted language indicated by [brackets]:

“Whenever there is more than one candidate for an office, each candidate for a contested office shall have five minutes at the June meeting to state why she or he wishes to serve [and respond to questions from Members].”

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 - MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 20, 2005

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: INTERNAL WORKINGS TASK FORCE

COMMITTEE VOTE: 9 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 30 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Amendment of CB #1 By-Laws Regarding Open Decision Making

WHEREAS: CB #1 wishes to ensure that its decision making process is as open and transparent as possible and that all members of CB #1 are fully informed and involved with respect to significant decisions of the organization, and

WHEREAS: CB #1 recognizes that, in order to achieve the foregoing objectives, it is essential that members of the Board (i) have access to all material documents related to significant CB #1 decisions, (ii) be made aware in a timely manner of the existence of such documents and of any relevant meetings related to such decisions and (iii) have the opportunity to vote on any such decision that will commit CB #1 to a material course of action, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: A new Section VI.L. relating to open decision making by CB #1 is hereby added to and made a part of the organization's By-Laws, to read in its entirety as follows:

“Prior to committing the Community Board to any material course of action, the Board Chair, committee or task force chair, and/or staff shall request a vote on such matters by the appropriate committee or task force and subsequently by the full Board. In order to effectuate the foregoing, all relevant documents, reports and agreements that are key to such Community Board decision making shall be made available by committee or task force chairs or by staff to committee or task force members at the earliest possible time. All material documents, reports and agreements related to such Community Board decision making shall be made available at the Community Board office for any Board member to review.”

“The Board Chair, committee and task force chairs, and staff shall use their good faith efforts to apprise Board members of relevant outside meetings with respect to such the foregoing, and of any related documents, reports and agreements, in a timely manner. After each such meeting, the Board Chair, committee or task force chair, and staff shall report to the committee, task force, or full Board the details of what transpired at the meeting.”

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 20, 22005

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SMALL BUSINESS TASK FORCE

COMMITTEE VOTE: 5 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 30 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

- RE: Financial assistance for relocation of the small business tenants facing imminent displacement due to construction of the MTA Fulton Street Transit Center
- WHEREAS: Construction of the FSTC will displace between 130 and 140 small businesses located in offices and retail stores on or near Broadway between Fulton and John Streets by late 2005, and
- WHEREAS: The majority of the small business owners are critically dependent on their location for accessibility to their clients and customers, and desire to be relocated as near as possible to their current locations, and
- WHEREAS: Said small businesses have made a significant positive contribution to the economy and well-being of the downtown community in the periods before and after 9/11, and
- WHEREAS: That displacement out of the area will result in an essentially irreplaceable loss of important services and amenities to the community, and
- WHEREAS: There is at present a critical shortage of quality affordable office and retail space in the smaller size ranges appropriate for their businesses, and
- WHEREAS: Definite relocation plans have not been made, or presented by the MTA or any other agency or entity for retaining the businesses at nearby locations, and
- WHEREAS: The federal allowances for moving and re-establishment of the commercial tenants are too small to enable the displaced businesses to resettle at nearby locations in offices and shops of quality comparable to what they now enjoy, and
- WHEREAS: Additional funding will be urgently needed to enable the displaced businesses to continue without serious losses or extinction, and
- WHEREAS: LMDC has the resources and the mission of providing needed financial assistance, and the MTA has declared an \$833 million revenue surplus for 2005, and

WHEREAS: Assemblymember Deborah Glick and Councilmember Alan Gerson have also advocated support for these businesses, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 requests that additional funds be provided by either or both LMDC and MTA sufficient to retain the displaced businesses in the vicinity of their current locations through subsidies and incentives to the tenants and their new landlords, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 requests that in view of the urgency of the situation, the City, State and the downtown business groups assist relocation efforts by starting as soon as possible to coordinate activities between funding entities, property owners, and the tenants of the Ground Zero Small Business Association to obtain an agreement on aid and make the necessary funds available before it is too late, and

BE IT

FURTHER

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 would like the offices of Alan Gerson and Deborah Glick to facilitate and expedite a pre-notification meeting with the MTA and the Ground Zero Small Business Association.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 20, 22005

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: WTC REDEVELOPMENT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 14 In Favor 0 Opposed 2 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 27 In Favor 0 Opposed 2 Abstained 1 Recused

RE: Liberty Bond Funding for Lower Manhattan

WHEREAS: The Liberty Bond program was approved as part of a larger Federal aid program to help redevelop the WTC site and Lower Manhattan in the wake of September 11, 2001, and

WHEREAS: The “New York Liberty Zone” is defined as “the area located on or south of Canal Street, East Broadway (east of its intersection with Canal Street), or Grand Street (east of its intersection with East Broadway) in the Borough of Manhattan in the City of New York, New York,” and

WHEREAS: World Trade Center Properties LLC (Silverstein Properties) has applied for the remaining \$3.345 billion of Liberty Bonds to provide them with a portion of the necessary funding to build several of the remaining office buildings (Sites #1, 2, 3, and possibly #4) slated for the WTC site, and

WHEREAS: The events of September 11 greatly disrupted and diminished the economy of Lower Manhattan as this area lost many large and small businesses representing tens of thousands of jobs, and

WHEREAS: Our residents have also been forced to endure great hardships since September 11 and continue to contend with massive, noisy construction projects closing many streets, on-going respiratory and mental health problems, the loss of retail services and cultural facilities, and a huge, empty hole in the center of our neighborhood where the vibrant World Trade Center complex once was, and

WHEREAS: CB #1 is the fastest growing residential community in the City and we strongly support the development of additional ground -level retail (including ground-level retail that faces the street) on the WTC site in the belief that, as Jane Jacobs wrote in “The Death and Life of Great American Cities,” it is vitally important to “insure a safer and more neighborly community,” and

WHEREAS: The remaining \$3.345 billion of Liberty Bonds should be allocated to projects that will help rebuild a dynamic mixed-use community in Lower Manhattan as expeditiously as possible, and help to assure the rapid rebuilding of the WTC site, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 opposes the use of Liberty Bonds to support development outside the Liberty Bond Zone and strongly urges that Liberty Bonds be used only for projects located within the Liberty Bond Zone, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 urges the use of the remaining Liberty Bonds for the rebuilding of the WTC site as well as to support projects throughout Lower Manhattan, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 supports the use of a portion of the remaining Liberty Bonds to help finance the construction of commercial buildings planned for the WTC site predicated on the following conditions:

1. Any building to receive Liberty Bond funding must be ready for and begin construction immediately after the Port Authority makes the site available upon completion of the new slurry wall/bathtub adjacent to the site; and
2. Any building to receive Liberty Bond funding must provide substantial retail space at ground-level (including ground-level retail that faces the street), as well as above and below grade, for example by using elevated lobbies, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 asks that any grant of any Liberty Bonds to World Trade Center Properties LLC be subject to a limitation or claw-back provision whereby proceeds from such bonds will only be disbursed if the applicant is in compliance with items 1 and 2 as cited in the resolution above, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 also strongly urges that any ground floor lobbies in buildings 2 and 3 be minimized so that the maximum amount of retail space at ground-level (including ground-level retail that faces the street) can be created, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 wishes to clearly restate its support of /cultural and educational facilities/ on and around the WTC site including construction of the proposed Frank Gehry-designed Performing Arts Center, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 urges that the relevant decision makers, in consultation with Community Board #1, reexamine WTC Sites 4 and 5 to clearly determine who is best suited to develop these sites in the fastest way possible, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 requests that the Mayor and the Governor (and the New York City Industrial Development Agency and all other relevant state or city agencies) consult with and keep CB#1 fully informed as to the proposed allocation of Liberty Bonds in connection with the ongoing redevelopment of the WTC site and Lower Manhattan.

05resdec20

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 20, 22005

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: WTC REDEVELOPMENT

COMMITTEE VOTE: 14 In Favor 0 Opposed 2 Abstained 0 Recused
BOARD VOTE: 29 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 1 Recused

RE: Community Representation on the LMDC

WHEREAS: The Lower Manhattan Development Corporation is a quasi-public agency charged with planning and overseeing the redevelopment of the WTC site and Lower Manhattan in the aftermath of September 11, 2001, and

WHEREAS: The Mayor recently appointed a number of senior administration advisors to serve as members of the Board of Directors of the LMDC and the Governor also recently appointed an additional member to fill a vacancy on the Board, and

WHEREAS: The Board of Directors of the LMDC should have additional members who are familiar with local issues and concerns to represent the interests of the residents, workers and small businesses of Lower Manhattan, and

WHEREAS: The Chair of CB#1 has in the past been a member of the Board of Directors of the LMDC, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 is encouraged by the fact that Mayor Bloomberg appears to be taking a more active role in the ongoing redevelopment process in Lower Manhattan, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 strongly supports the appointment of one or more additional residents of Lower Manhattan to the Board of Directors of the LMDC to represent the interests of the local community, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 urges the amendment of the LMDC bylaws to provide that at all times the sitting Chair of Community Board#1 shall serve as a member of the Board of Directors of the LMDC, in addition to any currently serving residents on the LMDC Board, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED

THAT: CB#1 recommends that Julie Menin, as the current Chair of CB#1, immediately be appointed to the Board of Directors of the LMDC.

05resdec20

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 20, 2005

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE: 6 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 27 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Decorative lighting for South Street Seaport

WHEREAS: Many cobblestone streets in the South Street Seaport Historic District are scheduled to be reconstructed and the Department of Transportation would like to install decorative historic lighting fixtures during the course of this work, and

WHEREAS: DOT has sufficient funds set aside for the cobblestone work but needs to identify funds for the decorative lighting, and

WHEREAS: Assembly Speaker Sheldon Silver did set aside \$400,000 several years ago as seed money to get this project off the ground, and

WHEREAS: DOT has approached the Speaker's office to see if some of this funding could be used for the lighting, and

WHEREAS: The Speaker's office requested the recommendation of Community Board #1 on this matter, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 supports the use of funds provided by Speaker Sheldon Silver for new decorative historic lighting fixtures in the South Street Seaport provided that all the necessary funding is in place for the needed cobblestone street reconstruction.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 20, 2005

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE: 4 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 27 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 213 Front Street, liquor license application for One Stella Maris Corp.
 d/b/a Mara

WHEREAS: The applicant failed to appear before the committee, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends the SLA not approve a liquor license for 213 Front
 Street unless and until the applicant presents this application before the
 Seaport/Civic Center Committee.

05resdec20

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 20, 2005

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE: 4 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 27 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: 80 Beekman Street, wine and beer license for Squires Coffee Shop

WHEREAS: The applicant proposes to operate a restaurant with approximately 65 seats, and

WHEREAS: The proposed maximum hours of operation will be 6:00 AM until 10:00 PM Sunday through Saturday, and

WHEREAS: The applicant agreed to have quiet background music only as appropriate for an establishment located where it is, and to provide adequate sound-proofing, and

WHEREAS: The applicant represented that it will not be seeking a cabaret license but will seek a sidewalk café license, and

WHEREAS: The applicant agreed to add these conditions to the SLA application, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not oppose the beer and wine license application for Squires Coffee Shop at 80 Beekman Street for a period of two years subject to compliance by the applicant with the limitations and conditions set forth above.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 20, 2005

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: SEAPORT/CIVIC CENTER

COMMITTEE VOTE: 6 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 27 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Co-naming of Lafayette Street for NYS Court Officers

WHEREAS: Last month the Community Board approved a resolution to co-name portions of Baxter Street for the three NYS Court Officers who lost their lives on 9/11, and

WHEREAS: It has subsequently been determined that one of the Baxter Street corners was already co-named for a Chinatown resident, and

WHEREAS: Council Member Alan Gerson and Court Officers Lodge 35 are instead recommending that the corners of Lafayette Street at Leonard, Franklin and White Streets be co-named and that this portion of Lafayette Street be co-named Court Officer Memorial Way, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 approves the co-naming of the above cited portions of Lafayette Street for the three Court Officers who lost their lives on 9/11.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 20, 2005

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: ARTS & ENTERTAINMENT TASK FORCE

COMMITTEE VOTE: 8 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 27 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Cultural enhancement funds (LMDC) for not-for-profit organizations

WHEREAS: The LMDC has established a \$35 million Cultural Enhancement Fund and plans to utilize these funds to provide grants to cultural groups south of Houston Street, and

WHEREAS: Eligible organizations must be not-for-profit groups that run, or would like to run, dance, theatre, arts or other cultural programs, and

WHEREAS: LMDC intends to award these grants based upon input from LMDC staff, the LMDC Board, and the Advisory Panel of experts that has been assembled, and

WHEREAS: LMDC intends to base funding decisions on programmatic excellence, financial and technical ability, and ability to spur the cultural and overall revitalization of Lower Manhattan with priority to be given to capital projects, and

WHEREAS: Several organizations planning to submit grant applications for these funds have reached out to the Community Board to obtain a letter of support from the Community Board, now

THEREFORE

BE IT

RESOLVED

THAT: Community Board #1 authorizes that letters of support be provided to each of the following organizations which presented their proposals before our Arts & Entertainment Task Force:

- 1) Proposed Partnership between NYC Opera and the High School of Economics and Finance
This program will introduce students to the art and business of opera and provide year long internships for students who wish to learn more about the operations of a large cultural organization.
- 2) Church Street School of Music and Art
With enrollment growing at a rate of 15% per year, the school is seeking capital construction funding to expand its studio space and practice rooms.

- 3) Manhattan Youth Recreation and Resources
MYRR is applying for capital funding for the build-out of its new community center which will include an Arts Activity Center featuring a multi-media studio, a live performance theatre and screening room, music studio rehearsal rooms, two art studios, a culinary arts center, and multi-use space for larger shows.
- 4) Poets House
The Poets House is moving to a new 10,000 s.f. space in Battery Park City. They seek capital support to built out their facility which will contain a reading room, listening facilities, a children's room, and space to house their poetry collections and programs.
- 5) The River Project
The River Project proposes to construct City Fish, a temporary modular outdoor aquarium exhibit and environmental education building on the bikepath/walkway just north of Chambers Street. These aquaria will allow passers-by to view native Hudson River fish, invertebrates and sea weeds, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED
THAT:

All Cultural Enhancement Fund applicants are welcome to present their proposals to CB #1 to solicit our support.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 - MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 20, 2005

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: INTERNAL WORKINGS TASK FORCE

COMMITTEE VOTE: 10 In Favor 0 Opposed 1 Abstained 0 Recused

TABLED BOARD VOTE: 20 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 0 Recused

RE: Hiring, Evaluation and Termination of Staff

WHEREAS: CB #1 wishes to establish a more formal process to facilitate the recruiting and hiring of a new District Manager or Assistant District Manager should either position become vacant, and

WHEREAS: CB #1 wishes to further improve its personnel practices by specifying in more detail the procedures to be followed with respect to a formal annual evaluation of the job performance of the District Manager and the Assistant District Manager, and

WHEREAS: Consistent with the foregoing, CB #1 believes that it is also desirable to provide a more formal process for the termination or removal of members of its staff should that action ever become necessary, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: Subsection q) of Section III.C.1. of the By-Laws of CB #1 relating to the duties of the Chairperson is hereby revised and amended, by changing it to read in its entirety as follows, with deleted language indicated by [brackets] and added language indicated by underscoring:

“q) To provide direction to and oversight of the District Manager; perform an annual review of the District Manager and recommend annual salary adjustments [for Executive Committee approval] in accordance with the procedures described under “Staff Employment and Evaluation Procedures – Evaluation of District Manager” that is a part of the Standing Rules of the Community Board included as Attachment A to these By-Laws; and [recommend and] oversee [a] the process for recruiting and hiring a new District Manager as described under “Staff Employment and Evaluation Procedures – Hiring of District Manager” in the aforementioned Standing Rules, should the position become vacant.”, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED
THAT:

Paragraphs A and B.5.a. of Section X. of the By-Laws of CB #1 relating to the District Manager are hereby revised and amended by changing them to read in their entirety as follows, with added language indicated by underscoring:

“A. Within budgetary appropriations and in accordance with the City Charter, Personnel Policies of the City of New York, and other governing regulations and requirements, the Board shall appoint a District Manager in accordance with the process described under “Staff Employment and Evaluation Procedures – Hiring of District Manager” in the Standing Rules of the Community Board included as Attachment A to these By-Laws. The Board may remove the District Manager in accordance with the process described under “Staff Employment and Evaluation Procedures – Removal of District Manager” in the aforementioned Standing Rules.”

“B.5. Maintain, administer, and manage the Community Board Office.
a. Select, hire, supervise, and terminate staff, in consultation with the Board Chair; provided, however, that hiring, evaluation and removal of the Assistant District Manager shall be carried out in accordance with the processes described in the paragraphs titled “Hiring of Assistant District Manager”, “Evaluation of Assistant District Manager” and “Removal of Assistant District Manager”, respectively, under “Staff Employment and Evaluation Procedures” in the aforementioned Standing Rules.”, and

BE IT
FURTHER
RESOLVED
THAT:

Paragraph E of Section IV. of the By-Laws of CB #1 relating to the Executive Committee is hereby revised and amended by changing it to read in its entirety as follows, with added language indicated by underscoring:

“Review and approve the Board Chair’s recommendation for the District Manager’s salary and annual adjustments in accordance with the procedures described under “Staff Employment and Evaluation Procedures – Evaluation of District Manager” that is a part of the Standing Rules of the Community Board included as Attachment A to these By-Laws. Also participate in the hiring and evaluation of the Assistant District Manager as provided for in the paragraphs titled “Hiring of Assistant District Manager” and “Evaluation of Assistant District Manager”, respectively, under “Staff Employment and Evaluation Procedures” in the aforementioned Standing Rules. The foregoing duties of the Executive Committee shall be carried out in executive session, i.e., with only members of the Executive Committee present.”

The Standing Rules of Manhattan Community Board 1

II. STAFF EMPLOYMENT AND EVALUATION PROCEDURES

A. Hiring of District Manager

When the District Manager position becomes vacant, the Board Chair shall nominate to the full Board at the meeting following the vacancy, five Board Members who, together with the Chair, shall constitute a search and screening committee. The full Board would then have the option to nominate other candidates from the floor to serve on this committee. By a vote of the Board, the top five Board members receiving the most votes comprise the committee together with the Board Chair. The Board Chair will be the chair of the search and screening committee. This committee would screen resumes and interview candidates. The Committee would then vote to propose a candidate to the full Board together with a proposed salary. The District Manager position would be filled only upon a majority vote of the Board agreeing to the candidate and his/her salary.

B. Evaluation of District Manager

After appointment of the District Manager, he/she will be evaluated based on approved evaluation process once per year by the Chair who will submit this evaluation as well as any proposed salary adjustments to the Board's Executive Committee for its review in executive session. The Chair will then report the results of the Executive Committee's review to the full Board. The full Board will vote on any proposed salary adjustments recommended by the Executive Committee.

C. Removal of District Manager

The District Manager may be removed by a majority vote of the full Board. A vote on such action can result from a recommendation directly from the Board Chair or from the Executive Committee. In addition, by petition of 20 Board members the Executive Committee shall consider a request for removal. The Executive Committee would then issue a recommendation to the full Board for final decision within two months of receiving such a request. These deliberations would be in executive session.

D. Hiring of Assistant District Manager

In the case of a vacancy in the Assistant District Manager position, the District Manager, in consultation with the Board Chair, will conduct a search and will select a candidate to fill the vacancy. The District Manager will subsequently present the preferred candidate and recommended salary to the Executive Committee for review and approval. The District Manager will also provide the names of two semi-finalists to the Executive Committee. The Executive Committee will consider the approval of the preferred candidate and, if necessary, the two additional candidates. Once the Executive Committee approves one of the candidates and the salary, that candidate and the recommended salary will be forwarded to the full Community Board for final approval.

E. Evaluation of Assistant District Manager

The Assistant District Manager shall be evaluated once per year by the District Manager in consultation with the Chair. The Chair, prior to finalizing the evaluation, would review the evaluation with the Executive Committee in executive session.

F. Removal of Assistant District Manager

The Assistant District Manager may be removed according to the procedures of that employee's civil service title as well as any applicable City regulations and laws.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 - MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 20, 2005

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: FINANCIAL DISTRICT

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	1 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	30 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 87-89 Greenwich Street, beer and wine license for Rector Street Food Enterprises d/b/a George's

WHEREAS: The applicant will operate a restaurant with 35 tables and 75 seats, and

WHEREAS: The hours of operation will be 6:00 AM until 10:00 PM Monday to Friday and 6:00 AM until 8:00 PM on Saturday and Sunday, and

WHEREAS: The applicant will have background music only and agreed to provide adequate sound-proofing, and

WHEREAS: The applicant will not be seeking a sidewalk café license nor will he be seeking a cabaret license, and

WHEREAS: The applicant agreed to add these conditions to the SLA application, now community, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 does not oppose the new beer and wine license application for Rector Street food Enterprises d/b/a George's located at 87-89 Greenwich Street for a period of two years.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 20, 2005

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: ARTS & ENTERTAINMENT TASK FORCE

COMMITTEE VOTE: 7 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 1 Recused

BOARD VOTE: 27 In Favor 0 Opposed 0 Abstained 1 Recused

RE: Adding Harold Reed to the Cultural Enhancement Fund Expert Panel

WHEREAS: The LMDC has established a \$35 million Cultural Enhancement Fund and plans to utilize these funds to provide grants to non-profit cultural organizations located south of Houston Street, and

WHEREAS: Funding decisions will be made by LMDC staff, its board and the Advisory Panel of experts assembled by the LMDC, and

WHEREAS: This important funding/decision-making process does not allow for any input from the community or the Community Board, and

WHEREAS: This Community Board and others were very critical of LMDC for failing to allow for community input during the selection process for the WTC cultural organizations several years ago and wishes to make sure that this mistake is not repeated, and

WHEREAS: A sensible mechanism to ensure community input would be the appointment of a community representative to the Advisory Panel, and

WHEREAS: Harold Reed, who chairs the Community Board #1 Arts and Entertainment Task Force, is eminently qualified to serve on this panel having been active in the arts in NYC for over thirty years as producer of award winning plays, a theatrical agent, an art dealer, a member of numerous boards of cultural organizations, and currently is a member of the Arts Advisory Committee of the Select Committee of the NY City Council, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: In order to provide our community with meaningful input in this decision making process, Community Board #1 strongly urges that Harold Reed be added as a full voting member of LMDC Advisory Panel for their Cultural Enhancement Fund.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 20, 2005

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	3 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	2 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	28 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 319 Church Street, application to alter the ground floor and install a new storefront

WHEREAS: The applicant intends to remove the poor storefront infill, roller shutters, signage, and

WHEREAS: The proposal is to restore the storefront by exposing the original cast iron columns, and to use wood and clear glass to renovate to the condition shown in the designation photograph, and

WHEREAS: There will be two wooden, painted black, and clear glass doors with a glass transom, and

WHEREAS: There will be no signage other than historically appropriate back painted window signage, and

WHEREAS: There will be two small lights attached to the wooden frame of the residential entrance, and

WHEREAS: The Committee felt this would be a great improvement, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve the application.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 20, 2005

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	2 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	28 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 105 Franklin Street, application to remove a fire escape, install new storefront infill and rooftop bulkhead

WHEREAS: The applicant presented the amended application taking into account the Committee's comments in the November meeting, and

WHEREAS: The proposal is to remove an existing and highly visible bulkhead with a new bulkhead that is taller (8' 6" X 25') but set back from the building so that is only visible 400' away on Church Street. The bulkhead will be made of stucco and painted a balsa color, and

WHEREAS: The fire escape will be carefully removed, which the Committee agreed with, and

WHEREAS: The storefront will be replaced with wood and clear glass and painted an historically appropriate color to be consistent with other renovated storefronts on the street, and

WHEREAS: The Committee did not feel the light fittings for the residential entrance were appropriate. The applicant has agreed to consider the Committee's comments, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve this application with consideration given to a more historically appropriate lighting proposal.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 20, 2005

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	5 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	2 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	28 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 115 Broadway, application to install awnings

WHEREAS: The application is to install awnings over three windows of the northern tower of the Trinity Centre at Thames Street and Trinity Place to provide signage for The Original Soup Man Store, and

WHEREAS: The proposal is to follow previously, approved designs which the Committee established for the Mens Warehouse in the same tower, and

WHEREAS: The awnings would be a red dye to match the Master Plan and would be carefully attached to window mullions, and

WHEREAS: The dimensions would be 4 ½' X 8' on Trinity Place and two of 4' X 8' on Thames Street with a depth of 3', a 10" fascia and 6-7" signage letters, and

WHEREAS: The Committee was concerned that exactly the right red dye be used from the same fabricator to match the existing and that there be no signage on the sloping face of the awning, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission approve this application.

COMMUNITY BOARD #1 – MANHATTAN
RESOLUTION

DATE: DECEMBER 20, 2005

COMMITTEE OF ORIGIN: LANDMARKS

COMMITTEE VOTE:	8 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
PUBLIC VOTE:	2 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused
BOARD VOTE:	28 In Favor	0 Opposed	0 Abstained	0 Recused

RE: 211-215 Pearl Street, proposal to designate an historic landmark

WHEREAS: The Committee has worked in the past to protect 211-215 Pearl Street – formerly the warehouse for William Colgate and a very rare example of early warehouse architecture to survive the great fire – and was successful in designating the front elevation of the building which is now the entrance to a Rockrose Development parking lot, and

WHEREAS: Further research into the western first floor wall reveals a detail of pyramidal forms connoting ideas of geometry and alchemy designed into the brick wall, and

WHEREAS: The research has not drawn any conclusive evidence of the meaning of the form feature but they may be related to the work of Phial Town an important architect of the time or Colgate’s association with the American Bible Society – which he founded, and

WHEREAS: The form feature is presently covered by plywood and the adjoining wall of the next door building is being worked on, so there is concern that the form may be damaged, and

WHEREAS: An application has been made to SHIPO for consideration to list the form feature on the National Register, and

WHEREAS: The Committee suggested that the historian work with Alan Gerson’s office (who were present at the meeting and agreed to help) to approach Rockrose Development to protect the form feature from damage while an application be made to LPC to more formally protect this unique piece of Lower Manhattan’s history, now

THEREFORE
BE IT
RESOLVED

THAT: CB #1 recommends that the Landmarks Preservation Commission urgently give consideration to how best to protect this unique piece of Lower Manhattan’s rich architectural history.