

Research Update:

New York City Fiscal 2026 Subseries H-1 And H-6 GO Bonds Rated 'AA'; Outlook Stable

April 15, 2026

(Editor's Note: We have updated this article, originally published on April 7, 2026, to reflect adjusted par amounts and maturity dates for the 2026 series H bonds, which has been split into two issues, prospectively.)

Overview

- S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'AA' long-term rating to [New York City](#)'s \$2.25 billion fiscal 2026 series H, subseries H-1 taxable general obligation (GO) bonds and \$50 million fiscal 2026 series H, subseries H-6 taxable GO bonds.
- The outlook is stable.

Rationale

Security

New York City's faith and credit, including the city's obligation to levy and collect ad valorem taxes without limitation as to rate or amount, secures the subseries H-1 and H-6 bonds.

Bond proceeds will be used to fund citywide capital expenditures and for the payment of certain costs of issuance. As of Dec. 31, 2025, the city had approximately \$49 billion of GO debt outstanding.

Credit highlights

The rating reflects New York City's fundamental credit strengths, including the city's deep, dynamic, and resilient economy and tax base, as well as robust governance framework, financial planning, and oversight that have underpinned the city's ability to weather economic and fiscal uncertainties. That said, we believe New York City will walk a tightrope as it faces financial uncertainty in its fiscal 2027 budget cycle and over its five-year financial plan, particularly as it recognizes substantial underbudgeted expenses and the costs of complying with state and local mandates that previous budgets and financial plans did not fully fund, in conjunction with potentially less predictable outyear federal and state funding. At the same time, geopolitical risks have risen, increasing uncertainty for New York City's economy, including the city's tourism and financial sector and the revenue forecasts guiding budget negotiations.

We view New York City's credit as stable as we monitor budget solutions developed over a months-long process that could affect the city's structural budget balance and the trajectory of

Primary Contact

Thomas J Zemetis
New York
1-212-438-1172
thomas.zemetis
@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact

Victor M Medeiros
Boston
+ 1 (617) 530 8305
victor.medeiros
@spglobal.com

reserves. The preliminary budget represents the beginning of a multifaceted budget development process, and we expect proposals and negotiations will likely evolve over the coming months. Key milestones we are watching include outcomes from the ongoing city-state budget negotiations and the completion of the New York State budget--with negotiations currently extended through at least April 7--the presentation of New York City's executive budget on or by May 1, and mayor-council negotiations leading up to the final budget adoption for the new fiscal year beginning July 1.

We believe the mayor's \$127 billion fiscal 2027 preliminary budget and financial plan enhance transparency of current needs and future budget gaps, but they also present a stark picture of New York City's near-term structural mismatch between the city's revenue forecast and the growth trajectory of current and future spending commitments. The budget reflects \$4.63 billion in incremental spending above revised fiscal 2026 expenditures, a 3.8% increase. Although the city satisfied the legal balanced-budget requirement for both fiscal years 2026 and 2027, the city's administration identified \$13.4 billion of underbudgeted expenses and costs of complying with state and local mandates that previous budgets and financial plans did not fully fund. This cost recognition brings the administration's financial picture into closer alignment with published independent assessments from the New York City and New York State comptrollers, as well as the New York City Independent Budget Office--each of which identified past chronic underbudgeting as a latent risk to the city's finances.

Importantly, we will monitor whether subsequent budget proposals and the final adopted budget incorporate more durable gap-closing measures and the trajectory of the city's surplus roll and financial reserves. That said, certain assumptions and proposed measures to balance the preliminary fiscal 2027 budget, if implemented, carry downside risks that could affect our view of the city's financial performance or weaken financial flexibility to manage future uncertainties. For more information, see our [last report](#) published on March 20, 2026, and our commentary "[What We're Watching As New York City's Fiscal Realities Bite Into The Big Apple's Preliminary Fiscal 2027 Budget](#)," March 9, 2026).

Under the mayor's executive order 12, each city agency's chief savings officer (CSO) submitted savings plans that featured a combination of program efficiencies, consolidation and insourcing, and elimination or sunseting of programs by March 20, 2026. The city is targeting 1.5% (or \$710 million) in spending reductions for fiscal 2026 and 2.5% (or \$1.06 billion) for fiscal 2027. On March 25, 2026, the city announced that it had received savings proposals exceeding the combined \$1.7 billion target, and that the city already approved approximately \$237 million in savings. The city's Office of Management and Budget expects to review the remaining items and provide more detail from the savings plans in the executive budget (on or by May 1). We will continue to monitor what savings are identified, whether the city can achieve proposed savings targets, and if these savings will remain durable over time.

In addition, we believe state-level budget outcomes will play a pivotal role for New York City's budget development process. We are continuing to monitor the ongoing New York State fiscal 2027 budget negotiations, with the state's new fiscal year beginning April 1. Ahead of the preliminary budget release, the state committed to providing \$1.5 billion in one-time or temporary financial support over two years. On March 10, 2026, the New York State Senate and Assembly One House Budgets put forth proposals that, if enacted, would provide approximately \$5 billion of additional state resources to New York City. The proposals feature a combination of new state aid for education and capital projects, restoration of other state funding to the city, and the expansion of the city revenue-raising authority. Notably, both the senate and assembly proposals estimate \$2.8 billion-\$3.1 billion of new funding would come from new or increased tax revenue for the city. On March 31, 2026, New York State approved an extender bill through April 7 to

continue funding state operations while the legislature and governor work to resolve remaining budget and policy differences. While proposals are subject to the legislature and the governor's approval, we generally believe that the proposed measures could help bring New York City's fiscal 2027 budget into structural alignment and narrow projected outyear budget gaps to more manageable levels over the 2026-2030 financial plan. In its February 2026 five-year financial plan update, New York City projects gaps could reach \$6.7 billion for fiscal 2028, \$6.8 billion for fiscal 2029, and \$7.1 billion for fiscal 2030.

At the same time, we view New York City's budget as having exposure to periodic events and uncertainties that have disrupted financial performance, complicating the city's ability to absorb these additional costs given the balanced budget requirements under the Financial Emergency Act. In the outyears of New York City's financial plan, shifts in the federal funding and policy landscape pose potential long-term risks. Key provisions from the U.S. tax and spending bill--including reductions to Medicaid, supplemental nutrition assistance, and downstream effects of federal agency program and staffing cuts--feature a deferred implementation period, making it too early to determine the full budgetary effects and credit implications, if any, that these changes will have for New York City. While recent federal delays, clawbacks, and reductions have had a marginal impact on the city operations, we believe further retrenchment in areas where federal funding has traditionally played a large role for New York City could make it difficult to budget and deliver essential services.

In our view, New York City's economy continues to exhibit resilience, and we generally expect that the city will experience slow growth at a rate mirroring that of the U.S. over the outlook period. S&P Global Economics' current U.S. economic outlook forecasts baseline U.S. real GDP growth will hold at 2.2% in 2026, 2.0% in 2027, 1.8% in 2028, and 1.9% in 2029 (for more information, see ["Economic Outlook U.S. Q2 2026: Curb Your Enthusiasm,"](#) March 25, 2026). S&P Global Economics believes that the U.S. economy now appears at risk of losing several of the engines that were supporting further expansion heading into 2026 due to heightened geopolitical risks from the Middle East conflict and higher energy prices that threaten to undermine economic activity. S&P Global Economics forecasts that this shock could push near-term headline inflation toward 4%, while core inflation will move moderately higher (3%) since the base case assumes the supply disruption will be temporary. S&P Global Economics also raised its probability of recession in the next 12 months to 30% from the 20% previously as risks to the growth outlook are squarely to the downside. Depending on the magnitude and duration of the conflict, it could be disruptive to energy markets, supply chains, and business capital investments while also squeezing consumer spending (for more information, see ["New U.S. Inflation Risks Emerge While Price Pressures Build For Producers,"](#) March 3, 2026, and ["Credit Conditions Special Update: Conflict In Middle East Casts New Light On Established Risks,"](#) March 5, 2026). For New York City, we will monitor the effects of these evolving conditions on consumer spending, Wall Street profits, and the city's tax revenue in future years. In addition, certain segments of New York City's economy, including construction, leisure, and hospitality, could experience disproportionate inflationary wage and cost increases stemming from more restrictive immigration policy.

Fixed costs, including pension and other postemployment benefit (OPEB) costs, remain manageable relative to the revenue and economic base, but we believe future debt issuance to support New York City's substantial capital needs will keep per capita metrics elevated compared with those of peers. To provide more room for the city to address its capital needs, New York State's enacted fiscal 2026 budget amended the New York City Transitional Finance Authority Act, increasing the amount of future-tax-secured bonds authorized to be outstanding that will not be subject to the city's debt limit to \$30.5 billion from \$27.5 billion, effective July 1, 2025, with New York City expecting to issue bonds in future years to finance its share of the capital funding

commitment toward the Metropolitan Transportation Authority's 2025-2029 capital program. In June 2025, the New York Court of Appeals reversed a lower court's ruling that blocked the city from implementing a plan to move retirees to Medicare Advantage. However, New York City decided as a policy matter not to move forward with implementation. The city continues to explore solutions to address its large OPEB liabilities and is making payments under its OPEB plan.

The long-term rating reflects our view of New York City's:

- Economic dynamism, resiliency, and diversity. New York City is the largest commercial and population center in the U.S. and a globally recognized economic hub. The metropolitan statistical area has per capita personal income and gross metro product metrics that compare favorably with those of the U.S. New York City's economy is anchored by the presence of first-class universities and health care providers, an expansive and active finance and venture capital industry, and the city's attractiveness as a leisure and business travel destination.
- History of achieving overall balanced financial performance through a combination of better-than-forecast revenue due to the city's post-pandemic resiliency and robust business income and personal income tax revenue, cost-savings measures, and significant inflows of federal and state aid that supported financial stability. Our assessment of New York City's financial performance also factors in exposure to disruptive events and financial uncertainties that can affect economically sensitive revenue sources and materially increase costs that the city has absorbed into its budget, which can make balancing the budget more difficult.
- Large financial reserves of almost \$11 billion (9.4% of general fund expenditures) at fiscal year-end 2025 (including an approximately \$3.8 billion surplus roll), which provide an important buffer against potential budgetary pressures. However, New York City's financial flexibility could weaken in the near term if the city taps financial reserves and depletes the surplus roll to close budget gaps in fiscal years 2026 and 2027, as proposed in the mayor's preliminary fiscal 2027 budget.
- Sophisticated management with comprehensive financial policies, long-term financial planning, and practices that we believe support effective monitoring of the budget and additionally include risk management strategies to mitigate cybersecurity threats, preparedness for physical risk events, and transparency for stakeholders.
- Nominally large but manageable debt service costs relative to the city's budget that remain below the 15% of tax revenues threshold, although the inclusion of pension and OPEB contributions pushes costs to 23.3%. Combined debt and pension liabilities compared with the revenue base are approximately 120% of total government fund revenue and less than 10% of the full value of the city's taxable real estate, which we view as comparable with those of other large U.S. cities. However, a very high net OPEB liability of nearly \$96.4 billion in fiscal 2025 offsets this in part, precluding a higher rating in the near term.
- Institutional framework that we consider more predictable than is typical for New York municipalities, coupled with the city's autonomy to raise property tax rates above the annual property tax levy limitations imposed on other New York municipalities, and the city's status as one of only two in the state that levies a New York Legislature-approved personal income tax surcharge on residents, which provides additional revenue flexibility to match ongoing expenditures. For more information on our institutional framework assessment for New York municipalities, see "[Institutional Framework Assessment: New York Local Governments](#)," Sept. 10, 2024.

Environmental, social, and governance

New York City benefits from a governance structure under the Financial Emergency Act that requires maintenance of a balanced budget, and from strong planning practices that mitigate risk and ensure that the financial plan addresses out-year budget gaps. In addition, the city established a formal rainy-day reserve in fiscal 2021, bolstering our view of governance, but has not yet created a regular mechanism for annual reserve contributions.

We view social capital risks as a potential weakness in terms of recovery and long-term economic growth. For example, New York City's high cost of living underscores the disparity in housing affordability, which could lead to a longer-term shift in demographic trends. To help mitigate this risk, the city continues planning and providing financing for the development of affordable housing units in all its neighborhoods. In addition, it continues to prioritize resources and efforts to reduce crime.

We believe New York City's exposure to the Atlantic coastline presents chronic and acute physical climate risks that are elevated compared with those of peers and could more materially affect credit quality in the longer term, should mitigation and adaptation plans fail. However, the city is ahead of peers in planning for and mitigating these risks, such as its integration of climate scenarios underpinned by local scientific projections into its climate resiliency design guidelines that consider various climate hazards, including heat, precipitation, flooding, and sea-level rise. Furthermore, New York City is pursuing infrastructure projects to raise seawalls, floodgates, and berms, as well as other measures that could help protect vulnerable areas and buffer residents from the effects of climate change. Finally, New York City is recruiting the offshore wind industry to diversify its economy and energy production in the face of decarbonization and net-zero policy initiatives. In our view, its development of key performance indicators to monitor its sustainability and resiliency efforts, as well as its publication of annual reports showing progress toward its goals, are positive for transparency and accountability.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our view of New York City's resilient economy, and our expectation that steady economic and revenue growth conditions over the outlook horizon will continue to support ongoing budgetary needs. The outlook further incorporates the city's robust governance framework and financial management, in conjunction with internal and independent oversight, that underpin New York City's ability to navigate uncertainties and maintain financial stability amid its current financial challenges, shifting geopolitical risks, and federal and state funding dynamics.

Downside scenario

We could take a negative rating action if New York City's revenue performance falters compared with projections and the adaptability of the city's governance and policy frameworks to reduce or eliminate structural budget gaps proves ineffective. If such a situation occurred, it could lead the city to use one-time solutions and draw on liquidity to address imbalances, resulting in an erosion of New York City's reserve position to a level we believe materially diminishes the city's capacity to absorb an economic downturn. In addition, we could lower the rating if national macroeconomic weakness or an exogenous shock event impedes New York City's economic, revenue, and expenditure growth expectations, or if longer-term population trends alter the city's property tax values or financial forecast.

Upside scenario

Should the city's economic trajectory and financial reserves remain stable and we come to view debt and OPEB liabilities metrics as materially improved from currently elevated levels, we could raise the rating or revise the outlook to positive.

Tables

New York City--credit summary

Institutional framework (IF)	1
Individual credit profile (ICP)	2.25
Economy	1
Financial performance	3
Reserves and liquidity	2
Management	1
Debt and liabilities	4.25

New York City--key credit metrics

	Most recent	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021
Economy						
GMP per capita % of U.S.*	144	144	143	143	146	145
Metro area PCPI % of U.S.*	128	128	128	129	131	131
Market value (\$000s)	1,581,487,913	1,467,525,682	1,362,308,248	1,348,408,001	1,271,275,008	1,177,160,961
Market value per capita (\$)	184,223	170,948	171,485	163,284	152,506	139,021
Top 10 taxpayers % of taxable value	10.4	10.4	10.2	9.2	9.0	8.0
City unemployment rate (%)	5.2	5.2	5.3	5.0	5.7	10.1
Local median household EBI % of U.S.	97	--	97	101	103	100
Local per capita EBI % of U.S.	105	--	105	112	115	112
Local population	8,584,629	8,584,629	8,478,072	8,390,888	8,356,179	8,453,772
Financial performance						
Operating fund revenues (\$000s)	--	117,208,228	112,387,407	107,779,550	106,795,525	99,182,509
Operating fund expenditures (\$000s)	--	117,538,683	112,539,397	107,714,699	105,487,753	99,591,563
Net transfers and other adjustments (\$000s)	--	205,907	217,898	169,391	147,672	96,428
Operating result (\$000s)	--	(30,455)	65,908	234,242	1,455,444	(312,626)
Operating result % of revenues	--	(0.2)	0.1	0.2	1.4	(0.3)

New York City--key credit metrics

	Most recent	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021
Operating result three-year average %	--	0.0	0.5	0.4	0.3	(0.1)
Reserves and liquidity						
Available reserves % of operating revenues	--	9.4	10.1	11.8	12.6	10.9
Available reserves (\$000s)	--	10,980,972	11,399,240	12,755,406	13,445,348	10,826,057
Debt and liabilities						
Debt service cost % of revenues	11.7	11.7	10.0	11.2	11.4	14.4
Net direct debt per capita (\$)	13,431	13,431	12,667	12,030	11,184	10,761
Net direct debt (\$000s)	115,296,132	115,296,132	105,294,603	99,346,568	93,228,845	91,122,490
Direct debt 10-year amortization (%)	46	46	50	--	--	--
Pension and OPEB cost % of revenues	12	12	11	11	13	12
NPLs per capita (\$)	3,828	3,828	4,260	4,830	5,055	1,267
Combined NPLs (\$000s)§	32,864,113	32,864,113	35,413,950	39,884,157	42,136,889	10,720,448

*2024 and 2025 GMP and PCPI data are forecast. §Pension calculations reflect information for the NYC City Police Pension Fund and NYC Fire Pension Fund, NYC Teachers Retirement System, NYC Employee Retirement System, and NYC Board of Education Retirement System reported as of June 30, 2025. Financial data may reflect analytical adjustments and are sourced from issuer audit reports or other annual disclosures. Economic data is generally sourced from S&P Global Market Intelligence, the Bureau of Labor Statistics, Claritas, and issuer audits and other disclosures. Local population is sourced from Claritas. Claritas estimates are point in time and not meant to show year-over-year trends. GCP--Gross county product. PCPI--Per capita personal income. EBI--Effective buying income. OPEB--Other postemployment benefits. NPLs--Net pension liabilities.

Related Research

[Big Apple Checks And Balances: Governance Relationship Between New York City And New York State Could Provide Stability Amid Political Change](#), Nov. 5, 2025

Ratings List

New Issue Ratings

US\$2,250,000,000 New York City, New York, Taxable General Obligation Bonds, Fiscal 2026 Series H, Subseries H-1, dated: Date of Delivery, due: February 1, 2052

Long Term Rating AA/Stable

US\$50,000,000 New York City, New York, Taxable General Obligation Bonds, Fiscal 2026 Series H, Subseries H-6, dated: Date of Delivery, due: February 1, 2039

Long Term Rating AA/Stable

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at <https://disclosure.spglobal.com/ratings/en/regulatory/ratings-criteria> for further information. A description of each of S&P Global Ratings' rating categories is contained in "S&P Global Ratings Definitions" at <https://disclosure.spglobal.com/ratings/en/regulatory/article/-/view/sourceId/504352>. Complete ratings information is available to RatingsDirect subscribers at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings referenced herein can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.spglobal.com/ratings.

New York City Fiscal 2026 Subseries H-1 And H-6 GO Bonds Rated 'AA'; Outlook Stable

Copyright ©2026 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software, or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced, or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees, or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness, or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Some of the Content may have been created with the assistance of an artificial intelligence (AI) tool. Published Content created or processed using AI is composed, reviewed, edited, and approved by S&P personnel.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment, and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors, and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.spglobal.com/ratings (free of charge) and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.spglobal.com/usratingsfees.