

Rating Action: Moody's affirms New York City, NY's Aa2 issuer rating; outlook stable

20 Feb 2024

New York, February 20, 2024 -- Moody's Investors Service has affirmed the City of New York, NY's Aa2 issuer rating and the outlook remains stable. Additionally, Moody's has assigned a Aa2 rating to the city's \$1.3 billion General Obligation Bonds, Fiscal 2024 Series C, expected to price the week of February 26. The affirmation also applies to the Aa2 ratings assigned to approximately \$41 billion of outstanding general obligation bonds; the Aa2 ratings on \$2.5 billion of Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corporation (HYIC) revenue bonds; and the Aa3 ratings assigned to approximately \$530 million of outstanding appropriation debt issued through the New York City Health and Hospitals Corporation (HHC), and the New York City Industrial Development Agency (IDA) (New York Stock Exchange Project).

Moody's has also affirmed the Aa1 ratings assigned to \$41 billion of outstanding New York City Transitional Finance Authority (TFA) subordinate lien future tax secured revenue bonds, and the VMIG 1 ratings assigned to variable rate demand general obligation and TFA bonds with conditional liquidity support. The stable outlook also applies to the general obligation, HYIC, appropriation, and TFA bonds.

The rating affirmation is driven by New York City's post-pandemic economic recovery, including record-high private employment and ongoing moderate tax revenue growth. It also reflects successful implementation of budget measures to help close budget gaps in the current and succeeding fiscal year primarily caused by the migrant crisis.

The stable outlook reflects continuing economic expansion and tax revenue growth, coupled with robust financial management, which is anticipated to help mitigate budget pressures from the migrant crisis and the end of pandemicera federal aid.

RATINGS RATIONALE

The Aa2 issuer rating reflects New York City's post-pandemic economic recovery, including record-high private employment, positive trends in assessed property values despite commercial real estate challenges, steady tax revenue growth, and strong tourism metrics. The expanding economy is driven by the city's competitive advantages: a young, highly-skilled labor pool that over time has helped make New York City households wealthier; strong higher education and medical centers that also contribute higher paying jobs; and strong domestic and international transportation links that support New York City's position as a global economic, financial and cultural hub. Very strong institutional strength and financial governance have allowed successful implementation of budget control measures to close budget gaps in the current and succeeding fiscal year primarily caused by the migrant crisis. Projected gaps remain in fiscal years 2026 through 2028 but are manageable in size and based on a restrained revenue forecast. New York City's financing responsibilities are broader than most local governments, since it is a city, five counties and

the nation's largest school district and its debt burden is above-average because of this operational scope. Despite those responsibilities, the city's fixed costs for debt service, pensions and retiree healthcare are among the strongest of the nation's largest local governments.

The city's strengths are balanced by several challenges. Commercial real estate, especially office space still adjusting to hybrid/remote work patterns, will see elevated vacancy rates through the fiscal 2028 horizon of the city's current financial plan. The city's high cost of living and especially its high housing costs are also a challenge. With a residential rental vacancy rate of only 1.4%, housing demand far exceeds supply and particularly pressures residents with moderate incomes who play an important role in the city's service economy. This requires significant city fiscal effort through various affordable housing programs, one of which could be implementation of an expensive rental voucher program. While budget basis reserves are healthy at more than 10% of city funds revenue, Moody's calculation of available fund balance is thin at less than 1% of governmental fund revenue. This reflects capital spending from the General Fund outpacing debt financings, causing a large deficit in the Capital Projects Fund. The city faces significant ongoing capital financing needs, including to mitigate above-average risks from storm surge, extreme rainfall and sea level rise, while staying within state-mandated debt limits.

The Aa2 general obligation rating is the same as New York City's issuer rating given the city's full faith and credit pledge to pay the bonds.

The affirmation of the Aa2 HYIC rating reflects steady growth in the recurring property tax-like revenue used to pay debt service on the bonds, and the expectation that the city will not have to appropriate any interest support payments for the remaining life of the bonds, although its obligation to do so if needed remains in place. Based on fiscal 2023 tax equivalency payments (TEPs) (which require annual city appropriation) and PILOT revenue (which does not require appropriation) recurring revenue provides 2.1x debt service coverage in 2023, expected to increase to 2.3x in fiscal 2024.

Affirmation of the Aa3 ratings assigned to the Health and Hospital Corporation's health system bonds reflects the essential city services it provides; the strong relationship between HHC and the City of New York, including the city's statutory obligation to restore HHC's capital reserve fund if necessary; and other features of the moderate legal structure including a gross pledge of HHC's sizeable health care reimbursement revenue and a lock box mechanism that collects the revenue and uses it to pay debt service before it flows to HHC for operations.

Affirmation of the Aa3 ratings assigned to the IDA special revenue bonds is based on the less essential nature of the economic development project and a moderate legal structure that reflects the city's absolute and unconditional obligation to make lease payments, subject to appropriation.

Affirmation of the Aa1 subordinate ratings on TFA's future tax secured bonds reflect strong debt service coverage provided by the pledge of City of New York personal income tax and sales tax revenues; a strong legal structure that insulates TFA from potential city fiscal stress; the open nature of the senior and subordinate liens that permits future leverage of the pledged revenues; and New York State's ability to repeal the statutes imposing the pledged revenues.

The affirmation of the short-term ratings reflects (i) the credit quality of the various banks acting as liquidity support

providers under the standby bond purchase agreements (SBPAs); and (ii) our assessment of the likelihood of an early termination of each SBPA without a mandatory tender.

RATING OUTLOOK

The stable outlook reflects the city's continued economic expansion and tax revenue growth, and the expectation that strong financial management will help the City navigate ongoing budget pressures in fiscal years 2026 through 2028 driven by continued but waning costs of the migrant crisis and the end of pandemic-era federal aid. A strong institutional framework, including generally conservative revenue forecasts, frequent multiyear forecasting and multiyear phase-ins of changes in commercial property assessed values, provide the city ample time to make budget adjustments when necessary.

FACTORS THAT COULD LEAD TO AN UPGRADE OF THE RATINGS

- Continued economic recovery that brings tax revenue growth closer to the 5.4% pre-pandemic trend, and ongoing structurally-balanced budgets
- Stronger reserves, including deposits to the Revenue Stabilization Fund
- Reduction of fixed costs ratio closer to Aa median of about 11%

FACTORS THAT COULD LEAD TO A DOWNGRADE OF THE RATINGS

- Additional spending pressure that pushes forecast budget gaps closer to 10% of city funds revenue
- Further declines in GAAP-basis available fund balance, or use of OPEB assets to balance the budget
- Economic events such as sustained declines in equity prices, or trends that create significant structural budget imbalances beyond those caused by the current migrant crisis
- Divergence from well-established fiscal practices and strong budgetary management

LEGAL SECURITY

New York City's general obligation bonds are full faith and credit obligations of the city, secured by a real property tax levied without limitation as to rate or amount. All of the city's property tax is deposited into the general debt service fund which is administered and maintained by the state comptroller. State law requires that the real property tax-which is used to pay general obligation debt service-be segregated into a general debt service fund held by the state comptroller. The state also statutorily covenants not to impair the rights of city bondholders to be paid when due. Thos features do not create a statutory lien on the property tax in favor of general obligation bondholders, but are strengths in the payment mechanism that are not found in most local government general obligation bonds.

The Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corporation revenue bonds are payable primarily by payments in lieu of taxes (PILOTs) collected by HYIC from commercial properties in the Hudson Yards area and, subject to appropriation, tax

equivalency payments (TEPs) from residential properties and hotels collected by the city and appropriated to HYIC. In addition, the city has pledged to cover interest, subject to annual appropriation, for the life of the bonds if the pledged revenues are insufficient. The ratings, therefore, are derived from the city's credit quality.

HHC's bonds are paid by a gross pledge of its health care reimbursement revenues and a lock box mechanism that collects the revenues and uses them to pay debt service before they flow to HHC for operations. The rating is derived from New York City's legal obligation to fund any shortfall in HHC's Capital Reserve Fund, subject to annual appropriation.

The IDA bonds are payable from monthly city rental payments equal to the sum of principal and interest coming due in the next succeeding month and any amounts owed under any credit facility. The city's obligation to make rental payments is absolute and unconditional, subject to annual appropriation.

The Transitional Finance Authority's bonds are payable from pledged personal income and sales taxes collected by the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance and held by the state comptroller, who makes daily transfers to the trustee (net of refunds and the costs of collection). The trustee makes quarterly set-asides of amounts required for debt service due in the following quarter on the outstanding bonds.

USE OF PROCEEDS

Proceeds of the Fiscal 2024 Series C bonds will be used to finance various capital projects. In addition, the city will reoffer four series of outstanding auction rate bonds (Fiscal 2006 Series J, Subseries J-2; Fiscal 2006 Series J, Subseries J-3; Fiscal 2008 Series A, Subseries A-4; and Fiscal 2008 Series C, Subseries C-4) and one series of outstanding variable rate demand bonds (Fiscal 2009 Series B, Subseries B-3) as fixed rate bonds.

PROFILE

New York City is the largest city in the US by population (estimated at 8.34 million as of July 2022) and by the size of it economy (real GDP of \$1.1 trillion). New York City's GDP is larger than all but four states. The size and scope of the city's operations are broader than most local governments: in addition to the city government, New York City is five counties and the nation's largest public school system, with approximately 1 million students.

METHODOLOGY

The principal methodology used in the issuer, general obligation, and underlying appropriation-backed ratings was US Cities and Counties Methodology published in November 2022 and available at https://ratings.moodys.com/rmc-documents/386953. The principal methodology used in the special tax ratings was US Public Finance Special Tax Methodology published in January 2021 and available at https://ratings.moodys.com/rmc-documents/70024. The principal methodology used in the short-term ratings was US Municipal Short-term Debt Methodology published in May 2023 and available at https://ratings.moodys.com/rmc-documents/398329. Alternatively, please see the Rating Methodologies page on https://ratings.moodys.com/rmc-documents/398329. Alternatively, please see the Rating Methodologies page on https://ratings.moodys.com/rmc-documents/398329. Alternatively, please see the Rating

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For further specification of Moody's key rating assumptions and sensitivity analysis, see the sections Methodology Assumptions and Sensitivity to Assumptions in the disclosure form. Moody's Rating Symbols and Definitions can be found on https://ratings.moodys.com/rating-definitions.

For ratings issued on a program, series, category/class of debt or security this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series, category/class of debt, security or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the credit rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular credit rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the issuer/deal page for the respective issuer on https://ratings.moodys.com.

The ratings have been disclosed to the rated entity or its designated agent(s) and issued with no amendment resulting from that disclosure.

These ratings are solicited. Please refer to Moody's Policy for Designating and Assigning Unsolicited Credit Ratings available on its website https://ratings.moodys.com.

Regulatory disclosures contained in this press release apply to the credit rating and, if applicable, the related rating outlook or rating review.

The Global Scale Credit Rating(s) discussed in this Credit Rating Announcement was(were) issued by one of Moody' affiliates outside the EU and UK and is(are) endorsed for use in the EU and UK in accordance with the EU and UK CRA Regulation.

Please see https://ratings.moodys.com for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the issuer/deal page on https://ratings.moodys.com for additional regulatory disclosures for each credit rating.

Nicholas Samuels
Lead Analyst
State Ratings
Moody's Investors Service, Inc.
7 World Trade Center
250 Greenwich Street
New York 10007

JOURNALISTS: 1 212 553 0376 Client Service: 1 212 553 1653

Timothy Blake Additional Contact State Ratings

JOURNALISTS: 1 212 553 0376 Client Service: 1 212 553 1653

Releasing Office:

Moody's Investors Service, Inc. 250 Greenwich Street New York, NY 10007

U.S.A

JOURNALISTS: 1 212 553 0376 Client Service: 1 212 553 1653

© 2024 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AFFILIATES ARE THEIR CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MATERIALS, PRODUCTS, SERVICES AND INFORMATION PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S (COLLECTIVELY, "PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE SUCH CURRENT OPINIONS. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT OR IMPAIRMENT. SEE APPLICABLE MOODY'S RATING SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS PUBLICATION FOR INFORMATION ON THE TYPES OF CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS ADDRESSED BY MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS, NON-CREDIT ASSESSMENTS ("ASSESSMENTS"), AND OTHER OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. AND/OR ITS AFFILIATES. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE,

SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS DO NOT COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS AND OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLISHES ITS PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS, AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS OR PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the credit rating process or in preparing its Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors an suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is

not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors an suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY CREDIT RATING, ASSESSMENT, OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,000 to approximately \$5,000,000. MCO and Moody's Investors Service also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of Moody's Investors Service credit ratings and credit rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold credit ratings from Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and,

consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY100,000 to approximately JPY550,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.