

Baseline characteristics of men who have sex with men (MSM) at sexual and behavioral health programs in NYC by non-occupational post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP) initiation status



Angela Merges¹, Nana Mensah¹, Jessica Klajman¹, Shruti Ramachandran², Zoe Edelstein¹, Julie E. Myers^{1,3} ¹New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, Queens, NY; ²Mount Sinai Health Systems, New York, NY; ³Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY

Background

- The use of post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP) as medical treatment after nonoccupational exposure to HIV (e.g. ,through sexual behavior or injection drug use) has been recommended by the CDC since 2005^{1,2}
- PEP has been increasingly recognized as a tool for HIV prevention, especially for individuals at increased epidemiologic risk, including men who have sex with men (MSM)
- In 2013, the New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (NYC DOHMH) began funding sexual and behavioral health (SBH) programs at NYC clinics
- Goal of SBH program: Provide holistic, co-located sexual and behavioral health services to uninsured/underinsured MSM and other populations at risk for HIV
- SBH services include provision of PEP

Objective

Among program clients who are MSM

- Describe services received, including PEP initiation
- Describe characteristics of clients stratified by PEP initiation status
- Examine associations with PEP initiation on multivariate level

Methods

Study design and population

Retrospective cohort of MSM clients enrolled in the SBH program in 2014. Identification as MSM was determined by self-report of sex with a male in past 12 months

Data source

- Entered by SBH agencies employees into an NYC DOHMH contract monitoring program
- NYC DOHMH staff perform regular checks on the data entered by SBH agencies
- Data included in the analysis were measured at patients' intake exam into program

Data measures

- PEP initiation based on receipt of first dose of PEP at intake
- Factors examined for association with PEP initiation
 - Socio-demographic information (race/ethnicity, age, education)
 - Economic factors (current housing stability, food insecurity in past 90 days)
 - Mental health and substance use screening results, including screening positive for
 - General anxiety through Generalized Anxiety Disorder Assessment (GAD-7)
 - Depression through Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9)
 - Drug use through Drug Abuse Screening Test short form (DAST-10)
 - Alcohol use through Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT)
 - Report of any of the following in the past 30 days
 - Cocaine/crack use
 - Methamphetamines use
 - Ecstasy use
 - Transactional sex
 - Self-reported diagnosis with a sexually transmitted infection (STI) in past 30 days
 - SBH agency at which sought care

Data analysis

- Descriptive statistics to describe those who initiated PEP compared to those who did not
 - Distributions were compared using a Chi-square test
- A multivariate logistic regression model was built to examine associations with PEP initiations
 - Used a backward stepwise selection procedure with bivariate probability value to enter the model set at 0.20
 - Model was additionally adjusted for SBH agency at intake

Results

Descriptive statistics Table 1. Demographics of Clients Enrolled in the Sexual and Behavioral Health • 425 MSM clients were enrolled in the SBH program in 2014 (Table 1) (SBH) Program who are Men who have Sex with Men (MSM), overall and • 53.4% were black or Hispanic stratified by PEP initiation, 2014 (N=425) • 74.4% were less than 35 years of age 80.9% had at least a high school/GED education • 226 (53%) of the clients were enrolled in the SBH program through PEP Characteristic initiation (Figure 1) Race/Ethnicity Other services included: mental health and substance use White, non-Hispanic screening, risk reduction/health education, STI screening and Hispanic treatment, HIV testing, viral hepatitis B or C screening, viral Black, non-Hispanic hepatitis A or B vaccinations, and linkages to primary medical care AI/AN/AS/NH/OPI, no health insurance, house, employment, or legal services Other Age Group (years) <18-24 Initiation of PEP was more frequent among clients who had (Table 1) 25-34 More than a high school education 35-44 Reported food insecurity in the past 90 days 45+ Screened positive for depression at intake Education Used methamphetamines in the past 30 days High school/GED or Sought care at certain agency More than High schoo Homeless/unstably hou Food insecurity in past Mental Health/Substan Depression Having more than a high school education General anxiety Food insecurity in the past 90 days (marginally) Drug use Alcohol use • Crystal meth use in the past 30 days • Attendance at a certain agency Risk behavior, past 30 (Cocaine/crack use Methamphetamine Ecstasy use Exchanged sex for dr (N=425) Diagnosed with an S 100% Agency Agency A Agency B Agency C ¹P value based on Chi square test stratifying by PEP initiation AI/AN/AS/NH/OPI, American Indian or Alaska Native/Asian/Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander Table 2. Multivariate Model of Associations with PEP Initiation among Sexual and Behavioral Health (SBH) Program Clients who are Men who have Sex with Men (MSM), 53% 2014 (N=425) Characteristic Education High school/GED or More than High scho 25% Food insecurity in past 21% Mental Health/Substa Screened positive for Methamphetamine us

Agency

Agency A

Agency B

Agency C

Multivariate analysis (Table 2)

In the multivariate model PEP initiation was associated with

Depression was inversely associated with PEP initiation

Figure 1. Services Received* among Sexual and Behavioral Health (SBH) Program Clients who are Men who have sex with Men (MSM), 2014



*Services received are not mutually exclusive. PEP initiation was measured at intake only. Other services occurred at any time during 2014.

	_	Initiated	Did not initiate		
	Total	PEP at SBH	PEP at SBH		
	<u>(N=425)</u>	<u>(N=226)</u>	<u>(N=199)</u>		
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	P ¹	
				0.52	
	156 (36.7)	82 (36.3)	74 (37.2)		
	160 (37.6)	79 (35.0)	81 (40.7)		
	67 (15.8)	40 (17.7)	27 (13.6)		
on-Hispanic	32 (7.5)	20 (8.8)	12 (6.0)		
	10 (2.4)	5 (2.2)	5 (2.5)		
				0.64	
	95 (22.4)	49 (21.7)	46 (23.1)		
	221 (52.0)	122 (54.0)	99 (49.7)		
	83 (19.5)	44 (19.5)	39 (19.6)		
	26 (6.1)	11 (4.9)	15 (7.5)		
				0.01	
ess	81 (19.1)	33 (14.6)	48 (24.1)		
ol/GED	344 (80.9)	193 (85.4)	151 (75.9)		
used	4 (0.9)	3 (1.3)	1 (0.5)	0.40	
90 days	28 (6.6)	20 (8.8)	8 (4.0)	0.05	
ice Use Screens					
	203 (47.8)	92 (40.7)	111 (55.8)	0.08	
	194 (45.6)	96 (42.5)	98 (49.2)	0.79	
	237 (55.8)	121 (53.5)	116 (58.3)	0.87	
	108 (25.4)	55 (24.3)	53 (26.6)	0.52	
days					
	49 (11.5)	24 (10.6)	25 (12.6)	0.78	
Jse	39 (9.2)	26 (11.5)	13 (6.5)	0.03	
	30 (7.1)	16 (7.1)	14 (7.0)	0.77	
ugs money or shelter	15 (3 5)	6 (2 7)	9 (4 5)	0.60	
ri	33 (7.8)	13 (5.8)	20 (10 1)	0.00	
	33 (7.8)	13 (3.0)	20 (10.1)	0.00	
	147 (34 6)	65 (28 8)	82 (41 2)	0.005	
	79 (18 6)	40 (17 7)	39 (19 6)		
	199 (16 R)	121 (52 5)	78 (29.2)		
			10(33.2)		

	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	Р
ess	Referent	
ol/GED	1.72 (1.03, 2.87)	0.04
90 days	2.27 (0.95 <i>,</i> 5.41)	0.07
nce Use Screens		
depression	0.65 (0.42 <i>,</i> 0.99)	0.05
e in past 30 days	2.67 (1.18, 6.05)	0.02
	Referent	
	0.59 (0.38, 0.92)	0.02
	0.70 (0.41, 1.21)	0.20

- only

 - limited

- markers of social service needs
- Findings highlight the importance of

patients (right)



References

¹Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Antiretroviral Postexposure Prophylaxis After Sexual, Injection-Drug Use, or Other Nonoccupational Exposure to HIV in the United States. MMWR 2005;54(RR02);1-20. ² Jain, S. and K. H. Mayer. Practical guidance for nonoccupational postexposure prophylaxis to prevent HIV infection: an editorial review. AIDS 2014; 28(11): 1545-1554.

We would like to acknowledge the SBH clinic staff



Contact: Angela Merges amerges@health.nyc.gov 347-396-6039

Limitations

Associations with PEP initiation were measured among the SBH patient population

> Unknown whether similar associations would be found with a comparison group that included other MSM Generalizability of our findings to other patient populations may be quite

• Measure of PEP from programmatic data; may not be a complete record of PEP use E.g., measured initial dose of PEP at an SBH agency, but not other locations

Discussion

• In a patient population that is both at high risk of HIV and uninsured/underinsured, those who initiated PEP had greater odds of having

> Increasing awareness and use of biomedical interventions among those of lower socio-economic status, particularly among those with less education Providing PEP as part of a holistic sexual and behavioral health strategy

• In addition to SBH, NYC DOHMH has supported awareness campaigns (Figure 2) to address disparities that may impact PEP access

Figure 2. Examples of recent NYC DOHMH materials for potential PEP prescribers (left) and



Acknowledgements