

SWPPP Design Guidance

NYC DEP BEPA PERM

Part I: April 2025 Part II: May 2025

Objective To provide an overview of design methodology that can be used on projects that require a Stormwater Construction Permit and stormwater management practices (SMPs).

- Using a case study for reference, this presentation is intended to communicate the appropriate <u>design methodology</u> for establishing and complying with stormwater management permit requirements.
- The presentation will also refer to supporting documentation and calculations that are key to the design process.
- This presentation was given in two parts, as listed below:
 - SWPPP Design Workshop Part I took place on April 29, 2025.
 - SWPPP Design Workshop Part II took place on May 27, 2025.
 - Published slides have been compiled into a single deck for clarity.

Overview

1. Introduction

2. SWPPP Design Process (Part I)

- Permit Applicability
- Criteria Applicability
- Geotechnical Planning
- SMP Siting

3. SWPPP Design Process (Part II)

- Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design
- Tier 3 SMP Design (CSS Only)
- Confirming all Requirement are Met

4. Questions



Introduction & Case Study Overview







Introduction Overview of Case Study Scope

Counseling center on a lot that drains to Combined Sewer System (CSS) is expanding to include in-patient services



Concept Map: Proposed Development

Introduction Important Notes

The SWPPP Design process is highly nuanced and project-specific.

This workshop discusses applicability triggered by the following case study parameters:

- $\checkmark\,$ Disturbance occurs both on-site and in the ROW
- ✓ New impervious area is proposed
- ✓ Development activity modifies site hydrology
- ✓ Project discharges to a combined sewer system

This workshop is <u>not</u> intended to:

- **X** Represent all possible design scenarios
- X Cover all regulated elements of the NYC DEP Stormwater Permitting Program
- × List all required supporting documentation

Introduction Important Notes

The SWPPP Design process is highly nuanced and project-specific.

"Considerations" slides were added for various topics throughout the presentation to:

- Help communicate nuances in the design process
- Provide general guidance on elements that may be outside the scope of the case study



Introduction Visual Examples

Throughout this workshop, example **Concept Maps** will be used to communicate a design concept visually.

- Contents inform SWPPP design components, including drawings, calculations, and construction processes
- Representations of design elements are schematic
- Maps are tagged with the term "Preliminary" when the plan will change throughout the design process



Concept Map: Site Contributing Area (Preliminary)

Introduction Visual Examples

The **Concept Maps** shown throughout this presentation <u>do not</u> include the level of detail required in SWPPP submissions.

SWPPP submissions must include:

- All documents listed in Appendix A of the SWPPP template, in the form of engineering drawings that include all contents listed in bullets under each plan.
- If a plan was not included, a justification that states the reason it is not necessary

Appendix A: Drawin	igs						
Instructions:							
- Check the box for each document included in this appendix. Note that							
several drawings ma	whe submitted for each checklist item to provide all the						
necessary detail. L							
check box.							
- Drawina scale sha							
- A clear, detailed le	 Callouts for each design point, including the IDs of all individual drainage areas that contribute to the design point, the total contributing area to the design point, and the 						
	total area of each surface type within the total contributing area						
 All drawings shall b professional, licens 	Delineation of the limits of disturbance						
- If a document way	Proposed Grading Plan, showing proposed topographic contours, or spot elevations if the site						
in the textbox belo	is relatively flat						
- Please do not inclu	Final Landscaping and Stabilization Plan						
the inclusion of an	 Can include landscaping plan and materials plan/roof plan. Delinaction of all variated graph patient practices to applicate final stabilization. 						
	 Delineation of divegerated areas noting practices to achieve industrabilization Delineation of type of soil disturbance across the entire site, as categorized in NYS DEC. 						
	Stormwater Design Manual Table 5.3						
	 Callouts for each runoff reduction practice that requires Soil Restoration measures to be 						
Decuments included	applied over and adjacent to the practice.						
Documents included:	 Callous for each type of soil astrobance and soil restoration activity (see NTS DEC Stormwater Design Manual Table 5.3) 						
Historical Impervious Area F	SMP Section/Detail Plans showing:						
match current surveyed conc	Elevations for bottom of practice, interface of each media layer, top of ponding, and						
 Delineation of impervi 	top of practice						
Area of impossious an	 Elevations for inverts in, inverts out, and/or overflows 						
 Area or impervisos ar 	 Elevations of any groundwater table or bedrock Elevations for the top and bottom of active storage zones 						
C Suisting Site Diagonals and an income	 Ponding depths 						
Li <u>Existing Site Plan</u> , showing:	 Media slope, depths, and specifications 						
 Total project site greg 	 Any observation wells and their materials specifications 						
 Indicate area disturbe 	Any pre-treatment devices and proprietary SMPs						
boundaries	Drainage Section/Detail Plans, for any manholes, inlets, outlet-control structures, or other						
 Existing site surface feature 	drainage structures.						
surface footprints of a							
 On-site and adjacent 	For projects that will disturb more than 5 acres at any one time,						
 Callouts for key site fe 	<u>Cut and Hill Plan</u> <u>Resting Plan</u> <u>Resting Plan</u>						
	Master Phasing Plan (Include when project is part of a larger Common Plan) showing a						
Existing Drainage Utility Plan	delineation of separate projects under the Larger Common Plan, their projected start/end						
 Existing site surface feature 	dates, and their application IDs.						
surface tootprints of a	If any of the above decompany are not included, evaluin why below						
 Existing drainage struct basins 	il any of the above accuments are not included, explain why below:						
 Existing drainage pipe 	Click or tap here to enter text.						
Subsurface drainage							
different from the surfe							
 Existing on-site sewage 							

Existing topographic c

Design Process



Goal: Determine whether a project needs a Stormwater Construction Permit

Key Questions

- Can the development project drain to an NYC-owned sewer system?
- > How much soil is disturbed?
- > How much new impervious cover is created?

Stormwater Construction Permit Applicability Flow Chart



*Direct discharges to Waters of the State of New York from or through NYC-Owned properties may also be considered covered development projects that require a Stormwater Construction Permit.

Can the development project drain to an NYC-owned sewer system?

Counseling center on a lot that drains to Combined Sewer System (CSS) is expanding to include in-patient services





Concept Map: Existing Site

Concept Map: Proposed Development & Existing Sewer Connection

Permit Applicability How much soil is disturbed?

Disturbance extending outside of the property boundary (within an easement or the right-of-way) must be accounted for in overall disturbance value.

LEGEND



Property Limits: 15,900 sf



Proposed Structures



- **Construction Staging & Egress Areas**
- On-Site Disturbance: 11,996 sf

Off-Site Disturbance: 1,241 sf

Disturbed areas are characterized as soil disturbed by development activities such as clearing, grading, excavation, demolition & construction

Disturbed areas include construction support activities, such as construction staging areas, stockpiling, egress, etc.



Concept Map: Proposed Development, Construction Activities, and Disturbance Delineations

Permit Applicability How much soil is disturbed?

Disturbance extending outside of the property boundary (within an easement or the right-of-way) must be accounted for in overall disturbance value.

LEGEND



Disturbed areas are characterized as Disturbed areas include construction soil disturbed by development activities support activities, such as construction such as clearing, grading, excavation, staging areas, stockpiling, egress, etc. demolition & construction Entrance Building 5 FLRS Proposed In-Patient **Building A** 5 FLRS Proposed Main Building **Existing Main Building** Expansion 10 FLRS 8-FLRS Construction Staging Area & Egress Proposed In Patient Building B

Concept Map: Soil Disturbance

How much soil is disturbed?

Estimating Soil Disturbance

Considerations:

- To avoid delays and costs associated with SWPPP amendments, estimate soil disturbance conservatively
- > Planned limits of work itself, as well as construction support activities.
- In some cases, all areas within the contract limit lines should be included if the contractor is likely to disturb as part of construction support.
- Projects that are close to the 20,000-sf threshold should consider submitting a SWPPP to avoid significant delays if the contractor disturbs more than anticipated.

How much new impervious cover is created?

Impervious area are hard surfaces that cannot effectively infiltrate rainfall, such as rooftops, pavements, sidewalks, and driveways.



Concept Map: Proposed Development Activities

LEGEND



How much new impervious cover is created?

Change in impervious cover is calculated from pre- to post- development conditions for the disturbed area.





Concept Map: Proposed Development

Concept Map: Existing Site

Impervious area are hard surfaces that cannot effectively infiltrate rainfall, such as rooftops, pavements, sidewalks, and driveways.

Permit Applicability

How much new impervious cover is created?

Change in impervious cover is calculated from pre- to post- development conditions for the disturbed area.

<u>LEGEND</u>



Property Limits: 15,900 sf Total Disturbance: 13,237 sf On-Site Disturbance: 11,996 sf Right-of-Way Disturbance: 1,241 sf Existing Structures (Undisturbed) Existing Pervious in Disturbed Area: 5,916 sf Existing Impervious in Disturbed Area: 1,987 sf New Impervious in Disturbed Area: 5,662 sf



Stormwater Construction Permit Applicability Flow Chart



Goal: Determine whether a project needs a Stormwater Construction Permit

Key Questions

- Can the development project drain to an NYC-owned sewer system?
- How much soil is disturbed?
- > How much new impervious cover is created?

A Stormwater Construction Permit is required for this project.

Goal: Establish which stormwater management criteria apply to my project

Key Questions

- Are Erosion & Sediment Controls required?
- > Are long-term SMPs required?
- Do any MS4-Only criteria apply?

Criteria Regulated under Stormwater Construction Permits

When a Stormwater Construction Permit is applicable, a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) **<u>must</u>** be prepared. The contents of the SWPPP will depend on which of the following criteria apply:





Erosion and Sediment Control criteria are **<u>always</u>** required.

NYC SWM Table 2.2 lists covered development projects that only require the implementation of ESC during construction, and therefore "ESC-Only" SWPPP.

If <u>any</u> proposed activities on a project are not listed within this table, long-term stormwater management is required as well as ESC. In this case, an "ESC&SMP" SWPPP must be prepared.

Notes:

Projects should cross reference this table with the 2025 CGP Appendix B Table 1.

Table 2.2. Covered development projects that require the preparation of a SWPPP that includes only erosion and sediment control (ESC) requirements.

 V_{V}

Q_{DRR}

NNI

QP

 Cp_V

Covered Development Activity

 WQ_{V}

 RR_{v}

Installation of underground, linear utilities such as gas lines, fiber-optic cable, cable TV electric, telephone, sewer mains, and water mains

Environmental enhancement projects, such as wetland mitigation projects, stormwater retrofits and stream restoration projects

Pond construction

ESC

Linear bike paths running through areas with vegetative cover, including bike paths surfaced with an impervious cover

Cross-country ski trails and walking/hiking trails

Sidewalk, bike path or walking path projects, surfaced with an impervious cover, that are not park of residential, commercial or institutional development

Sidewalk, bike path or walking path projects, surfaced with an impervious cover, that include incidental shoulder or curb work along an existing highway to support construction of the sidewalk, bike path or walking path

Slope stabilization projects

Slope flattening that changes the grade of the site, but does not significantly change the runoff characteristics

Spoil areas that will be covered with vegetation

Vegetated open space projects (i.e. recreational parks, lawns, meadows, fields, downhill ski trails) excluding projects that alter hydrology from pre- to post-development conditions

Athletic fields (natural grass) that do not include the construction or reconstruction of impervious area and do not alter hydrology from pre to post development conditions

Demolition project where vegetation will be established, and no redevelopment is planned

Overhead electric transmission line project that does not include the construction of permanent access roads or parking areas surfaced with impervious cover

Temporary access roads, median crossovers, detour roads, lanes, or other temporary impervious areas that will be restored to pre construction conditions once the construction activity is complete

Road reconstruction projects where the total soil disturbance from all activities is less than 1-acre

ESC

NYC SWM Table 2.3 is a non-exhaustive list of covered development projects that require longterm stormwater management, as well as ESC.

These projects require an "ESC&SMP" SWPPP.

Cp_V WQ_{V} RR_{v} NNI Q_{DRR} Q_{r} Table 2.3. Covered development projects that require the preparation of a SWPPP that includes ESC requirements, as well as WQ and RR requirements. Covered Development Activity Single family home directly discharging to one of the impaired segments listed in Appendix 2 of the MS4 Permit Single family home that disturbs five (5) or more acres of land Single family residential subdivisions directly discharging to one of the impaired segments listed in Appendix 2 of the MS4 Permit Single family residential subdivisions Multi-family residential developments; includes duplexes, townhomes, condominiums, senior housing complexes, apartment complexes, and mobile home parks Airports Amusement parks Breweries, cideries, and wineries, including establishments constructed on agricultural land Cemeteries that include the construction or reconstruction of impervious area (>5% of disturbed area) or alter that hydrology from pre to post development conditions Commercial developments Churches and other places of worship Golf courses Institutional development; includes hospitals, prisons, schools, and colleges Industrial facilities; include industrial parks

 V_{V}

QP

Athletic fields with artificial turf

Permanent access roads, parking areas, substations, compressor stations and well drilling pads, surface with impervious cover, and constructed as part of an over-head electric transmission line project, wind-power project, call tower project, oil or gas well drilling project, sewer or water main project or other linear utility project

Sidewalk, bike path or walking path projects, surfaced with an impervious cover, that are part of a residential, commercial, or institutional development

Sidewalk, bike path or walking path projects, surfaced with an impervious cover, that are part of a highway construction or reconstruction project

All other covered development projects that include the construction or reconstruction of impervious area or alter the hydrology from pre and post development conditions, and are not listed in Table 2.2.

Notes:

Projects should cross reference this table with the 2025 CGP Appendix B Table 2.

Case Study Scope: Counseling center is expanding to include in-patient services

X Not listed in NYC SWM Table 2.2

Table 2.2. Covered development projects that require the preparation of a SWPPP that includes only erosion and sediment control (ESC) requirements.

 V_{V}

Q_{DRR}

NNI

QP

 Cp_V

Covered Development Activity

 WQ_{V}

 RR_{v}

Installation of underground, linear utilities such as gas lines, fiber-optic cable, cable TV electric, telephone, sewer mains, and water mains

Environmental enhancement projects, such as wetland mitigation projects, stormwater retrofits and stream restoration projects

Pond construction

ESC

Linear bike paths running through areas with vegetative cover, including bike paths surfaced with an impervious cover

Cross-country ski trails and walking/hiking trails

Sidewalk, bike path or walking path projects, surfaced with an impervious cover, that are not park of residential, commercial or institutional development

Sidewalk, bike path or walking path projects, surfaced with an impervious cover, that include incidental shoulder or curb work along an existing highway to support construction of the sidewalk, bike path or walking path

Slope stabilization projects

Slope flattening that changes the grade of the site, but does not significantly change the runoff characteristics

Spoil areas that will be covered with vegetation

Vegetated open space projects (i.e. recreational parks, lawns, meadows, fields, downhill ski trails) excluding projects that alter hydrology from pre- to post-development conditions

Athletic fields (natural grass) that do not include the construction or reconstruction of impervious area and do not alter hydrology from pre to post development conditions

Demolition project where vegetation will be established, and no redevelopment is planned

Overhead electric transmission line project that does not include the construction of permanent access roads or parking areas surfaced with impervious cover

Temporary access roads, median crossovers, detour roads, lanes, or other temporary impervious areas that will be restored to pre construction conditions once the construction activity is complete

Road reconstruction projects where the total soil disturbance from all activities is less than 1-acre

Case Study Scope: Counseling center is expanding to include in-patient services

X Not listed in NYC SWM Table 2.2

&

Listed in NYC SWM Table 2.3

١	WQ _V	RR _v	Q _{DRR}	NNI	Cp _V	Q _F		
	Table 2.3. as well as \	Covered development p WQ and RR requirement	projects that require th s.	e preparation of a	SWPPP that include	s ESC requirements,		
	Covered D	Development Activity						
	Single fami	gle family home directly discharging to one of the impaired segments listed in Appendix 2 of the MS4 Permit						
	Single fami	gle family home that disturbs five (5) or more acres of land						
Single family residential subdivisions directly discharging to one of the impaired segments listed in Appendix MS4 Permit								
	Single family residential subdivisions Multi-family residential developments; includes duplexes, townhomes, condominiums, senior housing complexes, apartment complexes, and mobile home parks							
	Airports							
	Amusement parks							
	Breweries, cideries, and wineries, including establishments constructed on agricultural land							
	Cemeteries that include the construction or reconstruction of impervious area (>5% of disturbed area) or alter that hydrology from pre to post development conditions							
	Commercia	al developments						
	Churches a	and other places of worst	hip					

Golf courses

ESC

Institutional development; includes hospitals, prisons, schools, and colleges

Industrial facilities; include industrial parks

Athletic fields with artificial turf

Permanent access roads, parking areas, substations, compressor stations and well drilling pads, surface with impervious cover, and constructed as part of an over-head electric transmission line project, wind-power project, call tower project, oil or gas well drilling project, sewer or water main project or other linear utility project

Sidewalk, bike path or walking path projects, surfaced with an impervious cover, that are part of a residential, commercial, or institutional development

Sidewalk, bike path or walking path projects, surfaced with an impervious cover, that are part of a highway construction or reconstruction project

All other covered development projects that include the construction or reconstruction of impervious area or alter the hydrology from pre and post development conditions, and are not listed in Table 2.2



Case Study Scope: Counseling center is expanding to include in-patient services

X Not listed in NYC SWM Table 2.2

&

Listed in NYC SWM Table 2.3

Project requires an "ESC&SMP" SWPPP.

Once this is established, projects must check for the applicability of each of the long-term stormwater management criteria.



WQv and RRv apply to <u>all</u> projects that require long-term stormwater management, and therefore an "ESC&SMP" SWPPP.



Are long-term SMPs required?

Sewer Operations criteria apply when a site discharges to City sewers.



Concept Map: Proposed Cover and Contributing Area Drainage (Preliminary)


Criteria Requirements

Do any MS4-Only criteria apply?

No-Net-Increase criteria apply when a project meets <u>**all**</u> of the following conditions:

- Project disturbs 20,000 SF or more of soil, or creates 5,000 sf or more of new impervious area ✓
- 2. Project increases site imperviousness 🗸
- 3. Project discharges to MS4 system Must be confirmed.
- 4. Project discharges to an impaired waterbody



Channel Protection, Overbank Flood Control, and Extreme Flood Control criteria apply when a project meets <u>all</u> of the following conditions:

- Project disturbs 20,000 sf or more of soil, or creates 5,000 sf or more of new impervious area√
- 2. Project discharges to MS4 system ------ Must be confirmed.
- 3. Site discharges to non-tidal waters. X

Notes:

Channel protection, overbank flood control, and extreme flood control requirements are not common in NYC projects. However, Designers must review the applicability criteria in the New York State Construction General Permit (2025 CGP Part II.C.2.a.iii) to confirm applicability.

38



The following steps may be used to determine the sewershed type:

- 1. Locate project on <u>NYC DEP MS4 Interactive Map</u>
- 2. Confirm findings by requesting official record via <u>NYC DEP PARIS</u>
- 3. Resolve any inconsistencies by requesting a <u>Pre-Application Meeting</u> with DEP (as needed)



1. Locate project on <u>NYC DEP</u> <u>MS4 Interactive Map</u>

Notes:

- MS4 Interactive Map provides approximate boundaries for areas discharging to MS4 system and impaired waterbodies.
- It is helpful in determining an MS4 area's receiving waterbody and impairment.
- In some cases, the ownership and discharge area is inaccurate or unknown and must be verified separately.





2. Confirm findings by requesting official record via NYC DEP PARIS

() Login

Permit and Review Information System (PARIS)

Welcome to the New York City Department of Environmental Protection (DEP)

Permit and Review Information System (PARIS)

The Permit and Review Information System (PARIS) is an online platform for Professional Engineers, Registered Architects, and Licensed Master Plumbers to apply for water and sewer permits. This system will replace the Water & Sewer Permitting System (WSPS), in phases, over the next few years.

First Time Users:

Protectio

To register, click "Login". After you are redirected to the login page, click "Sign up now". After registering, login to access Permit and Review Information System (PARIS).

Returning Users:

Click "Login". After you are redirected to the login page, enter your email and password to access Permit and Review Information System (PARIS). Log in to DEP's Permit and Review Information System (PARIS) to:

- · Submit and Pay for Hydrant Flow Tests and Access Hydrant Flow Test Results
- Submit for Sewer Repair, Sewer Relay, Water Repair, Water Relay, New Sewer Connection, Sewer Plug, Tap Permit, Wet Connection Permit, Tap & Plug Permits and Wet Connection & Plug Permits
- · Submit a Tap Card Form (Self-Certification) to close out online permits
- Submit a Standalone Tap Card Form to close out paper permits

Note:

Detailed PARIS steps are not shown on this slide, but verification was completed for the case study.



3. Resolve any inconsistencies by requesting a Pre-Application Meeting with DEP via the <u>Stormwater Permit Inquiry Form</u> (as needed)



Stormwater Permits Inquiry

You can reach out to the Stormwater Permits Team by submitting your contact information and inquiry below. Be sure to have look at the <u>Stormwater Permit FAQs</u> before submitting your inquiry.

Name *	
First Last	
Email * Phone Number ### ####	
Subject * Requesting a SWPPP Pre-Application Meeting	If you have questions related to multiple subjects, please submit a separate form for each subject.
This site is protected by reCAPTCHA Enterprise and the Google Service apply.	e <u>Privacy Policy</u> and <u>Terms of</u>



No-Net-Increase criteria apply when a project meets <u>**all**</u> of the following conditions:

- Project disturbs 20,000 SF or more of soil, or creates 5,000 sf or more of new impervious area ✓
- 2. Project increases site imperviousness 🗸
- 3. Project discharges to MS4 system X
- 4. Project discharges to an impaired waterbody X



Channel Protection, Overbank Flood Control, and Extreme Flood Control criteria apply when a project meets <u>**all**</u> of the following conditions:

- Project disturbs 20,000 sf or more of soil, or creates 5,000 sf or more of new impervious area√
- 2. Project discharges to MS4 system X
- 3. Site discharges to non-tidal waters. X

Notes:

Channel protection, overbank flood control, and extreme flood control requirements are not common in NYC projects. However, Designers must review the applicability criteria in the New York State Construction General Permit (2025 CGP Part II.C.2.a.iii) to confirm applicability.

44

Criteria Applicability

Goal: Establish which stormwater management criteria apply to my project

Key Questions

- Are Erosion & Sediment Controls required?
- Are long-term SMPs required?
- Do any MS4-Only criteria apply?

The following criteria apply:

- ✓ Erosion and Sediment Control (ESC)
- ✓ Water Quality Volume (WQ_V)
- ✓ Runoff Reduction Volume (RR_V)
- ✓ Sewer Operations Volume (V_V) & Maximum Release Rate (Q_{DRR})

Goal: Develop and Implement a Geotechnical Investigation Plan

Key Questions

- > What site constraints limit the geotechnical testing area?
- Where should boring and permeability tests be conducted?
- > What are the infiltration rates on site?
- > Was groundwater or bedrock discovered?

Geotechnical Planning Applicability

On-site geotechnical investigations are **required*** when a stormwater management practices (SMP) is proposed to comply with the NYC DEP Stormwater Construction Permit.

Note:

* Geotechnical investigations are not required when a lot line building is proposed that does not increase impervious surface.

Geotechnical Planning Purpose

The type of proposed SMP should be determined based on a constraints analysis. The SWPPP <u>must</u> document constraints analyzed that impact SMP selection.



What site constraints limit the geotechnical testing area?

Surface Constraints:

- Parking lot in adjacent property
- ✓ Paved building access pathways
- ✓ Sidewalk requirement in ROW

Space Constraints:

- ✓ 10 ft Setback from Building Foundations
- ✓ 5 ft Setback from Property Line

Other Potential Constraints:

- Areas of contamination
- Areas of historical high groundwater or bedrock

References:

1. NYC Stormwater Manual Appendix C



Concept Map: Geotechnical Testing Constraints

Where should boring and permeability tests be conducted?

Select testing locations based on:

- Desktop analysis of existing site conditions
- Review regulatory guidance that may impact SMP location & design
- Create a preliminary constraints map to determine where SMPs cannot be located
- Create a preliminary boring plan to ensure the minimum number of tests will be performed at all feasible SMP locations
- Review results as they are performed to confirm current investigation is consistent with historic borings

References:

1. <u>NYS DEC Stormwater Management Design Manual – Appendix D</u>

2. BEPA PERM Geotech Investigation Workshop

Concept Map: Boring Plan



How many boring and permeability tests should be conducted?

- Geotechnical Investigation Planning

Considerations:

- Project may choose to conduct geotechnical testing in two rounds—first to confirm hydrologic soil groups and constraints across the site, then to confirm suitable soil conditions for each proposed infiltration practice.
- Alternatively, projects could choose to conduct one round of more comprehensive testing to avoid a second geotechnical mobilization. This more comprehensive testing may also help eliminate additional geotechnical work if practices are found to be infeasible during construction.
- In all cases, DEP recommends having the geotechnical professional communicate closely with the design professional during geotechnical investigation testing in order to effectively alter the testing plan based on site conditions and design requirements.

Geotechnical Planning Results Analysis

Refer to the <u>Geotechnical Investigation Workshop</u> for additional guidance on reviewing and interpreting results from a geotechnical investigation.

What are the infiltration rates on site?

All permeability tests found infiltration rates below 0.5 in/hr.



References:

- 1. <u>NYS DEC Stormwater Management Design Manual Appendix D</u>
- 2. BEPA PERM Geotech Investigation Workshop

Concept Map: Boring Plan

Was groundwater or bedrock discovered?

Boring tests did not discover groundwater or bedrock.

Existin Staff Building Existing 5 FLRS Walkway Existing Main Building 10 FLRS Existing Green Space Existing Walkway LEGEND **Property Line** Boring Log Permeability Test Limit of Disturbance Surface Constraints **Space Constraints**

Concept Map: Boring Plan

References:

1. <u>NYS DEC Stormwater Management Design Manual – Appendix D</u>

2. BEPA PERM Geotech Investigation Workshop

Goal: Develop and Implement a Geotechnical Investigation Plan

Key Questions

- What site constraints limit the geotechnical testing area?
- Where should boring and permeability tests be conducted?
- What are the infiltration rates on site?
- > Was groundwater or bedrock discovered?

Geotechnical Investigation results:

- ✓ Infiltration rate < 0.5 in/hr across site
- ✓ No shallow groundwater or bedrock



SMP Siting <u>Goal:</u> Establish Potential SMP Types & Locations

Key Questions

- > What soil, subsurface, and hotspot constraints are present?
- What space and subsurface constraints are present?
- > Which Tier 1 SMPs are feasible?
- Where can I site Tier 1 SMPs?

SMP Siting **SMP Hierarchy**



- The SMP hierarchy creates clear and consistent approach for the selection of SMPs.
- Designers must assess and implement SMPs in higher tiers to the maximum extent practicable before moving to lower tier systems.
- Tiers for SMPs are intended to guide designs towards SMPs most effective at meeting NYC goals.

 Bioretention Rain garden Stormwater planter Green roof Tree planting / preservation Dry basin Grass filter strip Vegetated swale Other dual function systems with detention capability Non-vegetated Retention Dry well Stormwater gallery Stone trench Porous pavement Synthetic turf field Other dual function systems with detention capability Other dual function systems with detention capability Non-vegetated Detention . Wet basin / pond . Subsurface gallery . Blue roof . Detention tank . Other dual function systems with detention capability	Vegetated Retention	Vegetated Detention
Vegetated swale Other dual function systems with retention capability Non-vegetated Retention Dry well Stormwater gallery Stone trench Porous pavement Synthetic turf field Other dual function systems Won-vegetated Detention • Wet basin / pond • Subsurface gallery • Blue roof • Detention tank • Other dual function systems with detention capability	 Rain garden Stormwater planter Green roof Tree planting / preservation Dry basin Grass filter strip 	 Constructed wetland Other dual function systems with detention capability
RetentionDetentionDry well• Wet basin / pondStormwater gallery• Subsurface galleryStone trench• Blue roofPorous pavement• Detention tankSynthetic turf field• Other dual function systemsOther dual function systemswith detention capability	 Vegetated swale Other dual function systems with retention capability Non-vegetated 	Non-vegetated
Dry well• Wet basin / pondStormwater gallery• Subsurface galleryStone trench• Blue roofPorous pavement• Detention tankSynthetic turf field• Other dual function systemsOther dual function systemswith detention capability	Retention	Detention
other dual tendion systems	 Dry well Stormwater gallery Stone trench Porous pavement Synthetic turf field Other dual function systems 	 Wet basin / pond Subsurface gallery Blue roof Detention tank Other dual function systems with detention capability

CSS Areas

with rete

Secondary Goal: Vegetated

Primary Goal: Retention

MS4 Areas

Primary Goal: Retention

Vegetated Retention

- Bioretention
- Rain garden
- Stormwater planter
- Green roof
- Tree planting / preservation
- Dry basin
- Grass filter strip
- Vegetated swale
- · Other dual function systems with retention capability

Non-vegetated Retention

Dry well

Secondary Goal: Vegetated

- Stormwater gallery
- Stone trench
- Porous pavement
- Synthetic turf field
- Other dual function systems with retention capability

Non-vegetated Treatment

Vegetated Treatment

SMP Siting Constraints

Projects **must** document constraints that limit the use of Tier 1 or Tier 2 practices when lower tier practices are used:





× Constraint evaluated limits use of SMP function

SMP Siting What soil, subsurface, and hotspot constraints are present?

- **Soil:** Areas where permeability tests indicate soil infiltration rates <0.5 in/hr
- **Subsurface:** Areas where boring tests indicate that the bottom of the SMP would be <3 ft from groundwater or bedrock, or <4 ft for sole source aquifers.
- **Hotspot:** Areas where land use, soil conditions, or other factors pose risk of contaminating infiltration

Constraint Impact on SMP Siting* Tier 1: ✓ Vegetated Evapotranspiration SMPs × Vegetated Infiltration SMPs Tier 2: × Non-Vegetated Infiltration SMPs

*Guidance is generalized; designer must comply with NYC SWM Section 4.2 when siting practices.

SMP Siting

What soil, subsurface, and hotspot constraints are present?

Soil, Subsurface, and Hotspot Constraint Plan

must be included in the SWPPP submission when these constraints impact the use of SMPs.



Concept Map: Soil, Subsurface and Hotspot Constraints

Notes:

- The constraints plan shown was simplified for clarity.
- SWPPP submissions should include notes with justification of each constraint and references to supporting documentation

Surface Constraints **Space Constraints**



Subsurface Constraints (N/A)

Hotspot Constraints (N/A)



× Constraint evaluated limits use of SMP function

SMP Siting What surface and space constraints are present?

• **Surface:** Areas where regulations require the use of paved surfaces



SMP Siting*

Tier 1:

- × Vegetated Evapotranspiration SMPs
- × Vegetated Infiltration SMPs

Tier 2:

Non-Vegetated Infiltration SMPs

*Guidance is generalized; designer must comply with NYC SWM Section 4.2 when siting practices.



× Constraint evaluated limits use of SMP function

SMP Siting What surface and space constraints are present?

• **Space:** Areas where regulations require setbacks from structures, utilities, property lines, existing trees, or other site features



Tier 1:

- Vegetated Evapotranspiration SMPs
- × Vegetated Infiltration SMPs

Tier 2:

× Non-Vegetated Infiltration SMPs

*Guidance is generalized; designer must comply with NYC SWM Section 4.2 when siting practices.

SMP Siting What surface and space constraints are present?

Surface Constraints:

- Parking lot in adjacent property
- Paved building access pathways
- Sidewalk requirement in ROW

Space Constraints (At Grade):

- ✓ 10 ft Setback from Building Foundations
- ✓ 5 ft Setback from Property Line



Concept Map: Constraints at Grade

SMP Siting What surface and space constraints are present?

Surface Constraints:

- Parking lot in adjacent property
- Paved building access pathways
- ✓ Sidewalk requirement in ROW

Space Constraints (At Grade):

- ✓ 10 ft Setback from Building Foundations
- ✓ 5 ft Setback from Property Line

Space Constraints (Roof Only):

- ✓ 6 ft Perimeter Access on Building Frontage
- ✓ 3 ft Radius Clearance from Roof Door
- ✓ 6 ft Clear Paths every 100 ft
- ✓ 3 ft clearance from Mechanical Equipment

References:

- 1. RCNY Chapter 5, FDNY Requirements Section 504.4
- 2. Local Laws 92/94: Solar & Green Roofs
- 3. FDNY Clearances Presentation





Mechanical Equipment clearance

Sustainable Roofing Zone

Proposed Recreational Area (not a constraint)



SMP Siting

What surface and space constraints are present?

Surface and Space Constraint Plan must be

included in the SWPPP submission when these constraints impact the use of SMPs.

Notes:

- The constraints plan shown was simplified for clarity.
- Constraints plans submitted with the SWPPP may be separated or combined as needed for clarity.
- SWPPP submissions should include notes with justification of each constraint and references to supporting documentation

References:

1. <u>NYC DEP SWPPP Template – Appendix A</u>

<u>LEGEND</u>

Existing Structures
 Proposed Structures Roof Area
 Surface Constraints
 Space Constraints





SMP Siting What Tier 1 SMPs are feasible?

This project has surface, space, soil constraints.

Feasible Tier 1 SMPs are all Evapotranspiration Practices

11010101000

1. <u>NYS DEC Stormwater Management Design Manual</u> <u>– Appendix A</u>

SMP HIERARCHY CHECKLIST - CSS AREAS		Percent o	f SMP volum	e applied ^a	Site constraints that limit SMP feasibility ^b					
√ier ^c	Function Type ^d	Practice Type ^e	WQv	RRv	٧v	Soil	Subsurface	Hotspot	Surfaces	Space
SMP HIERAR		Bioretention	100	100	100	×	X	×	×	×
		Rain garden	100	100	100	×	X	×	×	×
		Stormwater planter	100	100	100	×	X	×	×	×
	Infiltration (Vegetated)	Tree planting / preservation	SC	SC	0					
	(vegetated)	Dry basin	100	100	100	×	X	×	×	×
Tier 1		Grass filter strip	SC	SC	0	×	X	×	X	×
		Vegetated swale	SC	SC	0	X	X	X	X	X
		Rain garden	100	100	0		X		X	×
		Stormwater planter	100	100	0				×	
	Evapotranspiration	Tree planting / preservation	SC	SC	0					
		Green roof	100	100	0					
	Infiltration (Non-vegetated)	Dry well	100	100	100	×	×	×		×
		Stormwater gallery	100	100	100	×	×	×		×
Tier 2		Stone trench	100	100	100	×	×	×	×	×
		Porous pavement	100	100	100	×	X	×		×
		Synthetic turf field	100	100	100	×	×	×	X	×
Anytime /	Paulaa	Rain tank	100	100	SC					
Optional	Reuse	Cistern	100	100	SC					
		Dry basin	100	0	100		X		×	×
		Constructed wetland	100	0	100		×		×	×
Tion 2	e u obi	Wet basin / pond	100	0	100		X		×	×
Tier 3	Detention	Stormwater gallery	100	0	100		X			×
		Blue roof	100	0	100					
		Detention tank	100	0	100		1 1			

68

SMP Siting Where Can I Site Tier 1 SMPs?

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

Concept Map: Tier 1 SMP Feasibility



LEGEND

Existing Structures

Proposed Structures Roof Area

Surface Constraints

Space Constraints

Feasible Tier 1 SMP Locations (ET Only)

SMP Siting Where Can I Site Tier 1 SMPs?

• Prioritizing Vegetated Retention Practices

Considerations:

- 15 RCNY Chapter 19.1 requires projects to maximize the use of vegetated retention practices, defined in NYC SWM as "Tier 1".
- Projects are required to site Tier 1 practices to the maximum extent practicable first. Once Tier 1 SMPs have been sited, projects must then revisit their constraints plan to evaluate the feasibility of Tier 2 SMPs, then and Tier 3 SMPs, progressively.
- Recreational areas excluded in the Sustainable Roofing Zone may still be feasible for stormwater management requirements; they are not considered a valid constraint.

SMP Siting <u>Goal:</u> Establish Potential SMP Types & Locations

Key Questions

- What soil, subsurface, and hotspot constraints are present?
- What space and subsurface constraints are present?
- Where can I site SMPs?

This project has surface, space, soil constraints.

Feasible vegetated retention SMPs (Tier 1) include:

- ✓ Green Roofs
- ✓ Stormwater Planters
- ✓ Rain Gardens

Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design
Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design

Goal: Design SMPs to Meet the Applicable Tier 1 & 2 Stormwater Management Criteria

Key Questions

- \triangleright What is the site-wide WQ_v and RR_v?
- > What are the Tier 1 SMP design requirements?
- > What are the Tier 2 SMP design requirements?
- > Are all requirements met?

SMP Design Numerical Criteria

Designers must use technical guidance in NYC SWM Chapter 2 to calculate numerical requirements for the applicable criteria:

- ✓ Water Quality Volume (WQ_∨)
- ✓ Runoff Reduction Volume (RR_V)
- ✓ Sewer Operations Volume (V_V)
- ✓ Maximum Release Rate (Q_{DRR})

Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design What are the site-wide WQ_v and RR_v?

Calculating Site WQv:

- Step 1: Identify Equation
- Step 2: Identify Site Contributing Area
- Step 3: Calculate Runoff Coefficient
- **Step 4:** Complete Site-Wide Calculation

Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design What are the site-wide WQ_v and RR_v?

WQ_v Step 1: Identify Equation Use NYC SWM Eq. 2.1 to calculate WQ_v

EQ 2.1

$$WQ_V = \frac{1.5''}{12} * A * R_V$$

where:

WQ_v: water quality volume (cf) A: contributing area (sf) R_v: runoff coefficient relating total rainfall and runoff R_v: 0.05 + 0.009(I), I: percent impervious cover

Concept Map: Site Contributing Area (Preliminary)

Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design What are the site-wide WQ_v and RR_v ?

WQ_v Step 2: Identify Site Contributing Area

The Contributing Area (A) includes all areas within the Limit of Disturbance that are listed in NYC SWM Table 2.3, as well as areas outside the Limit of Disturbance that drain to those areas.

 $A_{Site} = 6,408 \, sf$



LEGEND Limit of Property: 15,900 sf Limit of Disturbance (LOD): 13,237 sf On-Site Disturbance: 11,996 sf ROW Disturbance: 1,241 sf



Contributing Area in LOD: *6,408 sf* Non-Contributing Areas in LOD: *7,237 sf* Non-Contributing Areas outside LOD

Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design What are the site-wide WQ_v and RR_v ?

Establishing the Contributing Area

Considerations:

- Areas disturbed for construction support that are restored to pervious conditions and do not drain to developed areas are typically not included in the site Contributing Area (A), except in cases where DEP determines that these areas pose significant pollution risks
- Areas disturbed by activities listed in NYC SWM Table 2.2 must be included in the site Contributing Area
 (A) if they drain to portions of the site that have development activities listed in NYC SWM Table 2.3.
- Areas outside the Limit of Disturbance or Property Line that drain to portions of the site that have development activities listed in NYC SWM Table 2.3 must be included in the site Contributing Area (A).

78

Concept Map: Site Contributing Area (Preliminary)

Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design What are the site-wide WQ_v and RR_v ?

WQ_v Step 3: Calculate Runoff Coefficient

This coefficient relates the total rainfall to runoff on the project site and is based on the percent impervious cover (I) in the proposed condition.

 $R_V = 0.05 + 0.009(I)$

I = 100%

 $R_V = 0.05 + 0.009(100) = 0.95$



LEGEND Limit of Property: 15,900 sf Limit of Disturbance (LOD): 13,237 sf On-Site Disturbance: 11,996 sf ROW Disturbance: 1,241 sf



Contributing Area in LOD: *6,408 sf* Non-Contributing Areas in LOD: *7,237 sf* Non-Contributing Areas outside LOD

Concept Map: Site Contributing Area (Preliminary)

SMP Design What are the site-wide WQ_v and RR_v ?

WQ_v Step 4: Complete Site-Wide Calculation Use NYC SWM Eq. 2.1 to calculate WQ_v

$$WQ_V = \frac{1.5''}{12} * A * R_V$$

 $A_{Site} = 6,408 \, sf$

$$R_{V,Site} = 0.05 + 0.009(100) = 0.95$$

 $WQ_{V,Site} = \frac{1.5''}{12} * 6,408 * 0.95$

 $WQ_{V,Site} = 761 \, cf$





Contributing Area in LOD: 6,408 sf
Non-Contributing Areas in LOD: 7,237 sf
Non-Contributing Areas outside LOD

Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design What are the site-wide WQ_v and RR_v ?

Calculating Site WQv:

- Step 1: Identify Equation
- Step 2: Identify Site Contributing Area
- Step 3: Calculate Runoff Coefficient
- **Step 4:** Complete Site-Wide Calculation

 $WQ_{V,Site} = 761 \, cf$

Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design

What are the site-wide WQ_v and RR_v ?

Calculating Target RRv and Minimum RRv:

- Step 1: Establish Target RR_V
- Step 2: Identify Minimum RR_V Equation
- Step 3: Establish New Impervious Area Proposed
- Step 4: Calculate the Specific Reduction Factor
- **Step 5:** Complete Site-Wide Minimum RR_V Calculation

Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design What are the site-wide WQ_v and RR_v?

RR_v Step 1: Establish Target RR_v

15 RCNY Chapter 19.1 requires the use of vegetated retention practices to the maximum extent practicable. Therefore, projects should always aim to reduce the entire WQ_V using Tier 1 practices.

Target RR_V is met when the site WQ_V is managed using Tier 1 and Tier 2 practices.

 $Target RR_V = WQ_{V,Site} = 761 \, cf$

Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design What are the site-wide WQ_v and RR_v?

RR_v Step 2: Identify Minimum RR_v Equation

Use NYC SWM Eq. 2.2 to calculate Minimum RR_V

Minimum RR_V must be met for all projects, without exception.

Meeting Minimum RR_V does not exempt projects from having to demonstrate that they have met Target RR_V to the maximum extent practicable.

EQ 2.2

$$RR_V = \frac{1.5''}{12} * 0.95 * Aic * S$$

* Min

where:

Aic: total area of new impervious cover (sf) S: specific reduction factor, see Table 2.5

Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design What are the site-wide WQ_v and RR_v ?

RRv Step 3: Establish New Impervious Area Proposed

Compare pre- and post-development site plans to determine how much new impervious area is proposed in the developed area.



Concept Map: Existing Site

Concept Map: Site Contributing Area (Preliminary)

Concept Map: Site Contributing Area & Existing Impervious Area

Concept Map: Site Contributing Area & Existing Impervious Area

Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design What are the site-wide WQ_v and RR_v?

RR_v Step 3: Establish New Impervious Area Proposed

Compare pre- and post-development site plans to determine how much new impervious area is proposed in the developed area.

 $A_{Site} = 6,408 \, sf$

 $Aic_{Existing} = 747 \, sf$

 $Aic = 6,408 - 747 = 5,661 \, sf$

Aic = 5,661 *sf*





Limit of Property: 15,900 sf
Limit of Disturbance (LOD): 13,237 sf
On-Site Disturbance: 11,996 sf
ROW Disturbance: 1,241 sf



Contributing Area in LOD: 6,408 sf

Non-Contributing Areas in LOD: 7,237 sf

Non-Contributing Areas outside LOD

Existing Impervious in Contributing Area: 747 sf

Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design What are the site-wide WQ_v and RR_v ?

RRv Step 4: Calculate the Specific Reduction Factor

This value depends on the soils on the project site and can be calculated as follows:

• Establish Hydrologic Soil Groups (HSG) on the project site.

Hydrologic Soil Group and Surface Runoff–Queens County, New York					
Map symbol and soil name	Pct. of map unit	Surface Runoff	Hydrologic Soil Group		
JFA—Urban land-Flatbush complex, 0 to 3 percent slopes					
Urban land, outwash substratum	75	Very high			
Flatbush	12	Low	В		
Greenbelt	5	Low	В		
Riverhead	3	Very low	A		
Bigapple, non-dredge material	2	Very low	A		
Centralpark	1	Very low	A		
Laguardia	1	Low	С		
North meadow, outwash substratum	1	Low	с		

United States Department of Agriculture NRCS Natural Resources Conservation Service A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants Custom Soil Resource Report for Queens County, New York



Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design What are the site-wide WQ_v and RR_v?

- Establishing Hydrologic Soil Groups

Considerations:

- Projects may use their own geotechnical tests or the USGS Web Soil Survey Map to establish HSG on their site.
- Unranked soils should be classified per the USDA NRCS Part 630 National Engineering Handbook Ch. 7. Otherwise, the soil should assume the properties of HSG-A for the Minimum RR_v requirement.

Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design What are the site-wide WQ_v and RR_v ?

RRv Step 4: Calculate the Specific Reduction Factor

This value depends on the soils on the project site and can be calculated as follows:

- Establish Hydrologic Soil Groups (HSG) on the project site.
- Refer to NYC SWM Table 2.5 to identify reduction factors for each HSG

 Table 2.5. Specific reduction factors based on

 hydrologic soil group (HSG).

S	Description
0.55	HSG-A
0.40	HSG-B
0.30	HSG-C
0.20	HSG-D

Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design What are the site-wide WQ_v and RR_v ?

RRv Step 4: Calculate the Specific Reduction Factor

This value depends on the soils on the project site and can be calculated as follows:

- Establish Hydrologic Soil Groups (HSG) on the project site.
- Refer to NYC SWM Table 2.5 to identify reduction factors for each HSG.
- Calculate the Specific Reduction Factor based on findings.

HSG	Reduction Factor	% of Map Unit (NRCS WSS Report)	Specific Reduction Factors
HSG-A	0.55	81%	0.446
HSG-B	0.40	17%	0.068
HSG-C	0.30	2%	0.006
HSG-D	0.20	0%	0
Sp	ecific Reduction Facto	or S	0.52

90

Conceptual Preliminary Site Contributing Area Plan with Existing Impervious Areas

Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design What are the site-wide WQ_v and RR_v?

RR_v Step 5: Calculate Site-Wide Minimum RR_v Calculation Use NYC SWM Eq. 2.2 to calculate Minimum RR_v

$$Min \ RR_V = \frac{1.5''}{12} * 0.95 * Aic * S$$

Aic = 5,661 *sf*

S = 0.52

$$Min \ RR_V = \frac{1.5''}{12} * 0.95 * 5,661 * 0.52$$

 $Min RR_V = 350 cf$



LEGEND Property Lot: 15,900 sf Limit of Disturbance (LOD): 13,237 sf On-Site Disturbance: 11,996 sf ROW Disturbance: 1,241 sf



Contributing Area in LOD: 6,408 sf

Non-Contributing Areas in LOD: 7,237 sf

Non-Contributing Areas outside LOD

Existing Impervious in Contributing Area: 747 sf

Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design What are the site-wide WQ_v and RR_v ?

Calculating Site WQv:

- Step 1: Identify Equation
- Step 2: Identify Site Contributing Area
- Step 3: Calculate Runoff Coefficient
- Step 4: Complete Site-Wide Calculation

Calculating Target RRv and Minimum RRv:

- Step 1: Establish Target RR_V
- Step 2: Identify Minimum RR_V Equation
- Step 3: Establish New Impervious Area Proposed
- Step 4: Calculate the Specific Reduction Factor
- Step 5: Complete Site-Wide Minimum RR_V Calculation

 $WQ_{V,Site} = 761 \, cf$ $Target RR_V = 761 \, cf$ $Min RR_V = 350 \, cf$

Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design

Practice-Based Requirements

In addition to Site-Wide Requirements, Designers must calculate the practice-based WQ_V for each SMP using the *practice* contributing area.

SMP design consists of establishing:

✓ Required WQ_{V,SMP}

This is the volumetric requirement that each SMP must meet, based on its contributing area.

✓ V_{SMP}

This is the storage volume that the SMP is designed to provide.

Provided WQ_V, RR_V, V_V

These are the contributions that the SMP makes to each requirement, based on its V_{SMP} and function.

Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design

What are the Tier 1 SMP design requirements?

SMPs Design Steps:

- **Step 1:** Review Drainage Areas & Constraints
- Step 2: Site SMP Footprint
- **Step 3:** Determine SMP Contributing Area
- Step 4: Determine Runoff Coefficient of SMP Contributing Area
- Step 5: Calculate SMP Required WQ_{V,SMP}
- Step 6: Design SMP V_{SMP} to Meet Required $WQ_{V,SMP}$
- Step 7: Check SMP Contributions to Site-Wide Requirements

Iterate process until all requirements are met

SMP Step 1: Review Drainage Areas & Constraints Constraints may be limited to one portion of the site. It is helpful to evaluate constraints within individual, nonoverlapping drainage areas to site SMPs.

Drainage Area 8 594 at Alige Area (408 at Existing Building Pitched Reof Drainage Area 3 3,464 st iposed Ma Area 4 596 sf Drainage Area 9 646 st Invituction Diagin Area & Egrees ····· ····· LEGEND Soil Constraint

Concept Map: Constraints and Drainage Area Delineation

Existing Structures Proposed Structures Roof Area Surface Constraints Space Constraints



SMP Step 2: Site SMP Footprint

Site Tier 1 practices on unconstrained areas to the maximum extent practicable, based on site constraints.

Notes:

- The sustainable roofing zone definition allows the exclusion of recreational spaces that are integral to the principal use of the building. However, they are not considered a constraints under 15 RCNY Chapter 19.1.
- Meeting the stormwater management requirements outlined in 15 RCNY Chapter 19.1 takes precedence over Local Laws 92/94.

SMP ID	SMP Footprint (sf)
GR-1	371
GR-2	643
GR-3	891

GB-1 GR-3 LEGEND



Soil Constraint

Green Roof

Drainage Area Delineation

Proposed Recreational Area (not a constraint)

Concept Map: Proposed Tier 1 SMPs (Preliminary)

SMP Step 3: Determine SMP Contributing Area Refer to NYC SWM Design Table 4.3 to identify any limits to SMP loading ratio or contributing area for ET SMPs.

SMP ID	SMP Footprint (sf)	SMP Contributing Area, A (sf)
GR-1	371	371
GR-2	643	643
GR-3	891	891

Concept Map: Green Roof Contributing Areas (Preliminary)



LEGEND

Limit of Property: 15,900 sf Limit of Disturbance (LOD): 13,237 sf On-Site Disturbance: 11,996 sf ROW Disturbance: 1,241 sf



SMP Contributing Areas

Non-Contributing Areas



Concept Map: Green Roof Contributing Areas (Preliminary)

<u>LEGEND</u>



 Image: Description of the second s

SMP Step 4: Determine Runoff Coefficient of SMP Contributing Area

This coefficient relates the total rainfall to runoff on the project site and is based on the percent impervious cover (I) in the proposed condition.

Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design

What are the Tier 1 SMP

requirements?

SMP ID	SMP Footprint (sf)	SMP Contributing Area, A (sf)	Percent Impervious Cover, I (%)	Runoff Coefficient, R _v (-)
GR-1	371	371	100	0.95
GR-2	643	643	100	0.95
GR-3	891	891	100	0.95

$$WQ_{V,SMP} = \frac{1.5''}{12} * A_{SMP} * R_V$$

Note: When calculating WQ_v for a vegetated SMP, the SMP footprint should be considered 100% impervious in order for the practice to manage itself.

Concept Map: Green Roof Contributing Areas (Preliminary)

LEGEND





SMP Step 5: Calculate SMP Required $WQ_{V,SMP}$

Use NYC SWM Eq. 2.1 to calculate WQ_V

Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design

What are the Tier 1 SMP

requirements?

• The Total $WQ_{V,SMP}$ is based on the entire contributing area to a practice

 $WQ_{V,SMP} = \frac{1.5''}{12} * A_{SMP} * R_V$

SMP ID	SMP Footprint (sf)	SMP Contributing Area, A (sf)	Percent Impervious Cover, I (%)	Runoff Coefficient, R _v (-)	Total WQ _{V,SMP} (cf)
GR-1	371	371	100	0.95	44
GR-2	643	643	100	0.95	76
GR-3	891	891	100	0.95	106

Concept Map: Green Roof Contributing Areas (Preliminary)

LEGEND





SMP Step 5: Calculate SMP Required $WQ_{V,SMP}$

Use NYC SWM Eq. 2.1 to calculate WQ_V

Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design

What are the Tier 1 SMP

requirements?

- The Total $WQ_{V,SMP}$ is based on the entire contributing area to a practice
- When upstream practices are present, the volume they managed must be subtracted from the Total WQ_{V,SMP} to establish the practice's Required WQ_{V,SMP}

$$WQ_{V,SMP} = \frac{1.5''}{12} * A_{SMP} * R_V$$

SMP ID	SMP Footprint (sf)	SMP Contributing Area, A (sf)	Percent Impervious Cover, I (%)	Runoff Coefficient, R _v (-)	Total WQ _{V,SMP} (cf)	Volume Managed by Upstream SMPs	Required WQ _{V,SMP} (cf)
GR-1	371	371	100	0.95	44	-	44
GR-2	643	643	100	0.95	76	-	76
GR-3	891	891	100	0.95	106	-	106

SMP Step 6: Design SMP V_{SMP} to Meet Required WQ_{V,SMP} Use NYC SWM Eq. 4.3 to calculate V_{SMP}

EQ 4.1

$$V_{SMP} = V_P + V_S + V_I + V_D$$

where:

 V_{SMP} = storage volume of SMP (cf) V_{p} = volume of surface ponding (cf) V_{s} = volume of voids in the soil media layer (cf) V_{i} = volume of voids created by internal structures such as chambers or pipes (cf) V_{p} = volume of voids in the drainage media (cf)

SMP Step 6: Design SMP V_{SMP} to Meet Required WQ_{V,SMP} Use NYC SWM Eq. 4.3 to calculate V_{SMP}

• Determine Applicable Volume Parameters for each SMP type



where:

$$\begin{split} V_{\text{SMP}} &= \text{storage volume of SMP (cf)} \\ V_{\text{p}} &= \text{volume of surface ponding (cf)} \\ V_{\text{s}} &= \text{volume of voids in the soil media layer (cf)} \\ V_{\text{i}} &= \text{volume of voids created by internal structures} \\ \text{such as chambers or pipes (cf)} \\ V_{\text{d}} &= \text{volume of voids in the drainage media (cf)} \end{split}$$

$V_{SMP,GR} = V_{S,GR}$

- V_P is not applicable \rightarrow GR cannot pond
- V_s is <u>applicable</u>
- V_1 is not applicable \rightarrow GR do not have internal storage structures
- V_D is not applicable \rightarrow GR drainage media cannot store water

SMP Step 6: Design SMP V_{SMP} to Meet Required WQ_{V,SMP} Use NYC SWM Eq. 4.3 to calculate V_{SMP}

- Determine Applicable Volume Parameters for each SMP type
- Refer to the appropriate equations in NYC SWM Section 4.2 to calculate the storage volume provided by each applicable parameter



where:

 V_s = volume of voids in the soil media layer (cf) A_{sMP} = area of the SMP (sf) D_s = depth of soil media layer (ft) n_s = available porosity of soil media (cf/cf)

$$V_{SMP,GR} = V_{S,GR} = A_{SMP} * D_S * n_S$$

SMP Step 6: Design SMP V_{SMP} to Meet Required WQ_{V,SMP} Use NYC SWM Eq. 4.3 to calculate V_{SMP}

- Determine Applicable Volume Parameters for each SMP type
- Refer to the appropriate equations in NYC SWM Section 4.2 to calculate the storage volume provided by each applicable parameter
- Refer to NYC SWM Design Table 4.3 to identify design requirements for ET SMPs and complete design calculation.

SMP ID	A _{SMP} (sf)	D _s (in)	n _s (cf/cf)	V _S = V _{SMP} (cf)
GR-1	371	8	0.2	45
GR-2	643	8	0.2	78
GR-3	891	8	0.2	108



where:

 V_s = volume of voids in the soil media layer (cf) A_{SMP} = area of the SMP (sf) D_s = depth of soil media layer (ft) n_s = available porosity of soil media (cf/cf)

$$V_{SMP,GR} = V_{S,GR} = A_{SMP} * D_S * n_S$$

SMP Step 6: Design SMP V_{SMP} to Meet Required WQ_{V,SMP} Use NYC SWM Eq. 4.3 to calculate V_{SMP}

- Determine Applicable Volume Parameters for each SMP type
- Refer to the appropriate equations in NYC SWM Section 4.2 to calculate the storage volume provided by each applicable parameter
- Refer to NYC SWM Design Table 4.3 to identify design requirements for ET SMPs and complete design calculation.
- Confirm that storage volume is sufficient to meet Required WQ_{V,SMP}

SMP ID	A _{SMP} (sf)	D _s (in)	n _s (cf/cf)	V _S = V _{SMP} (cf)	Required WQ _{V,SMP} (cf)	
GR-1	371	8	0.2	45	≥ 44	\checkmark
GR-2	643	8	0.2	78	> 76	\checkmark
GR-3	891	8	0.2	108	≥ 106	\checkmark



$V_{SMP,GR} = V_{S,GR} = A_{SMP} * D_S * n_S$

$$\begin{split} &V_S = \text{Volume of voids in the soil media layer [cf]} \\ &A_{SMP} = \text{Area of SMP [sf]} \\ &D_S = \text{Depth of soil media layer [ft]} \\ &n_S = \text{Available porosity of soil media [cf/cf]} \end{split}$$

Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design

What are the Tier 1 SMP requirements?



Considerations:

- Green roof depth must be greater than 7.5 inches to manage its full water quality volume.
- Green roofs may be designed with smaller depths, but remaining unmanaged volume will need to be routed to downstream practices.

SMP Step 7: Check SMP Contributions to Site-Wide Requirements Use NYC SWM Table 4.1 to determine the percent contributions of each SMP.

SMP ID	Required WQ _{V,SMP} (cf)	V _{SMP} (cf)
GR-1	44	49
GR-2	76	86
GR-3	106	119

Table 4.1. Percent of SMP volume that may be applied toSW management criteria by SMP function.

Percent of SMP Volume Applied to Requirement (F_A)

SMP Function	WQv	RRv	Vv
Infiltration	100	100	100
Evapotranspiration	100	100	0
Reuse ^A	100	100	50
Filtration	100 ^B	40 ^c	0
Detention	100 ^D	0	100

Table 4.1. Percent of SMP volume that may be applied toSW management criteria by SMP function.

Percent of SMP Volume Applied to Requirement (F_A)

SMP Function	WQv	RRv	Vv
Infiltration	100	100	100
Evapotranspiration	100	100	0
Reuse ^A	100	100	50
Filtration	100 ^B	40 ^c	0
Detention	100 ^D	0	100

Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design What are the Tier 1 SMP requirements?

SMP Step 7: Check SMP Contributions to Site-Wide Requirements Use NYC SWM Table 4.1 to determine the percent contributions of each SMP.

SMP ID	Required WQ _{v,SMP} (cf)	V _{SMP} (cf)	Provided WQ _{v,} (cf)	Provided RR _v (cf)	Provided V _v (cf)
GR-1	44	49	44	44	0
GR-2	76	86	76	76	0
GR-3	106	119	106	106	0

Note:

Oversizing an SMP will not increase the volume it receives from a 1.5-in rain event and therefore does not increase its contribution to the Provided WQ_V . Therefore, when V_{SMP} > Required $WQ_{V,SMP}$, Provided $WQ_{V,SMP}$ = $F_A x$ Required $WQ_{V,SMP}$
Percent of SMP Volume Applied to Requirement (F_A)

Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design What are the Tier 1 SMP requirements?

SMP Step 7: Check SMP Contributions to Site-Wide Requirements Use NYC SWM Table 4.1 to determine the percent contributions of each SMP.

SMP Function	WQv	RRv	Vv
Infiltration	100	100	100
Evapotranspiration	100	100	0
Reuse ^A	100	100	50
Filtration	100 ^B	40 ^c	0
Detention	100 ^D	0	100

SMP ID	Required WQ _{V,SMP} (cf)	V _{SMP} (cf)	Provided WQ _{v,} (cf)	Provided RR _v (cf)	Provided V _v (cf)
GR-1	44	49	44	44	0
GR-2	76	86	76	76	0
GR-3	106	119	106	106	0

The sum of the volumes provided by	Site-Wide Parameters	WQ _v (cf)	RR _v (cf)	V _v (cf)
each SMP should be	Total Volume Provided	226	226	0
greater than the site-	Total Volume Required	761	350 (Minimum)	TBD*
wide requirements.	Remaining Volume to be Managed	535	124	TBD*

*The sewer operations volume V_v requirements depends on the site cover types in the proposed conditions. Therefore, it must be calculated after Tier 1 and Tier surface SMPs have been sited.

Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design

What are the Tier 1 SMP design requirements?

SMPs Design Steps:

- **Step 1:** Review Drainage Areas & Constraints
- Step 2: Site SMP Footprint
- Step 3: Determine SMP Contributing Area
- Step 4: Determine Runoff Coefficient of SMP Contributing Area
- Step 5: Calculate SMP Required WQ_{V,SMP}
- Step 6: Design SMP V_{SMP} to Meet Required $WQ_{V,SMP}$
- Step 7: Check SMP Contributions to Site-Wide Requirements

Iterate process until all requirements are met

Iteration 1: Three Green Roofs proposed

Note:

Green roofs alone will never meet site-wide WQv requirements because:

- 1. Their contributing area is always equal to their footprint
- 2. Roof constraints will always prevent a green roof footprint from covering the full roof area

- Meeting Minimum RRv

Considerations:

- There are no exceptions to meeting Minimum RRv.
- Recreational areas excluded in the Sustainable Roofing Zone may still be feasible for stormwater management requirements.
- To meet Minimum RRv, project must include enough retention practice either on the rooftop or at grade.

SMPs Tier 1 & 2 Design Steps:

- **Step 1:** Review Drainage Areas & Constraints
- Step 2: Site SMP Footprint
- **Step 3:** Determine SMP Contributing Area
- Step 4: Determine Runoff Coefficient of SMP Contributing Area
- Step 5: Calculate SMP Required WQ_{V,SMP}
- Step 6: Design SMP V_{SMP} to Meet Required $WQ_{V,SMP}$
- Step 7: Check SMP Contributions to Site-Wide Requirements

Iterate process until all requirements are met

Iteration 1: Three Green Roofs proposed

- Iteration 2: Four Green Roofs and Two Stormwater Planters proposed
 Contributing Area Expanded
 - ⇒ Contributing Area Expanded

SMP Step 1: Review Drainage Areas & Constraints Constraints may be limited to one portion of the site. It is helpful to evaluate constraints within individual, nonoverlapping drainage areas to site SMPs.



Sustainable Roofing Zone

Concept Map: Constraints and Drainage Area Delineation



Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design Where Can I Site Tier 1 SMPs?

SMP Step 1: Review Drainage Areas & Constraints Constraints may be limited to one portion of the site. It is helpful to evaluate constraints within individual, nonoverlapping drainage areas to site SMPs.

Concept Map: Tier 1 SMP Feasibility



LEGEND

Existing Structures

Proposed Structures Roof Area

Surface Constraints



Space Constraints

Feasible Tier 1 SMP Locations (ET Only)

Concept Map: Proposed Tier 1 SMPs (Preliminary)

Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design What are the Tier 1 SMP requirements?

SMP Step 2: Site SMP Footprint Site Tier 1 practices on unconstrained areas to the maximum extent practicable, based on site constraints.

SMP ID	SMP Footprint (sf)	
GR-1	371	
GR-2	643	
GR-3A	891	
GR-3B	223	
SP-1	371	
SP-2	643	







Stormwater Planter



Proposed Recreational Area

(not a constraint)



Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design What are the site-wide WQ_v and RR_v ?

In WQ_v Step 2, the Contributing Area (A) was defined as areas within the Limit of Disturbance that are listed in NYC SWM Table 2.3, as well as areas outside the Limit of Disturbance that drain to those areas.

 $A_{Site} = 6,408 \, sf$

Concept Map: Site Contributing Area (Preliminary)



LEGEND Limit of Property: 15,900 sf Limit of Disturbance (LOD): 13,237 sf On-Site Disturbance: 11,996 sf ROW Disturbance: 1,241 sf

Contributing Area in LOD: 6,408 sf
Non-Contributing Areas in LOD: 7,237 sf
Non-Contributing Areas outside LOD



Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design What are the site-wide WQ_v and RR_v?

The addition of the two planters at grate expanded the site contributing area (A).



Concept Map: Site Contributing Area (Preliminary) $A_{site} = 6,408 \text{ sf}$

WQ_v and RR_v must be re-calculated.



Concept Map: Site Contributing Area (Updated) $A_{Site} = 7,022 \ sf$ ^{16 June 2025}



Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design What are the site-wide WQ_v and RR_v?

Design steps below were completed but are not shown in detail.

Calculating Site WQv:

- Step 1: Identify Equation
- Step 2: Identify Site Contributing Area
- Step 3: Calculate Runoff Coefficient
- **Step 4:** Complete Site-Wide Calculation

Calculating Target RRv and Minimum RRv:

- Step 1: Establish Target RR_V
- Step 2: Identify Minimum RR_V Equation
- Step 3: Establish New Impervious Area Proposed
- Step 4: Calculate the Specific Reduction Factor
- Step 5: Complete Site-Wide Minimum RR_V Calculation

$$WQ_{V,Site} = 834 cf$$

 $Target RR_V = 834 cf$
 $Min RR_V = 350 cf^*$

*The Minimum RR_v requirement does not increase because the stormwater planters (pervious surface) are proposed on an existing pervious surface.



Design steps completed for GR-3B but not shown:

- Step 3: Determine SMP Contributing Area
- **Step 4:** Determine Runoff Coefficient of SMP Contributing Area
- Step 5: Calculate SMP Required WQ_{V,SMP}
- Step 6: Design SMP V_{SMP} to Meet Required $WQ_{V,SMP}$
- Step 7: Check SMP Contributions to Site-Wide Requirements

Concept Map: Green Roof Contributing Areas (Preliminary)



LEGEND

Limit of Property: *15,900 sf* Limit of Disturbance (LOD): *13,237 sf* On-Site Disturbance: *11,996 sf* ROW Disturbance: *1,241 sf*



SMP Contributing Areas

Non-Contributing Areas

Non-Contributing Areas outside LOD



SMP Step 2: Site SMP Footprint

Site Tier 1 practices on unconstrained areas to the maximum extent practicable, based on site constraints.

SMP ID	SMP Footprint (sf)
SP-1	371
SP-2	643

Concept Map: Proposed Tier 1 SMPs (Preliminary)



LEGEND

Existing Structures
Proposed Structures Roof Area
Surface Constraints
Space Constraints
Soil Constraint
Green Roof
Stormwater Planter
Drainage Area Delineation
Proposed Recreational Area

(not a constraint)

- DP- # Drainage Point and ID
 - Proposed Inlet
- Proposed Internal Drainage
- ••••• Existing Sewer Connection
- NYC Combined Sewer System
- Proposed Site Sewer Connection
- Flow Control Structure

SMP Step 3: Determine SMP Contributing Area

Concept Map: Stormwater Planter 1 Contributing Area



Notes:

- Multiple green roofs can be designed simultaneously because their contributing areas are restricted to their footprints and independent from each other.
- In cases where SMPs have upstream managed areas, the design must be performed for each practice individually, starting with the upstream-most practice.

LEGEND

Limit of Property: *15,900 sf* Limit of Disturbance (LOD): *13,237 sf* On-Site Disturbance: *11,996 sf* ROW Disturbance: *1,241 sf*



SMP Contributing Areas



- Non-Contributing Areas outside LOD
- Areas Managed Upstream

LEGEND



 Image: state stat

SMP Step 3: Determine SMP Contributing Area

What are the Tier 1 SMP

requirements?

Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design

• Identify the true contributing area to the practice, based on the sum of its drainage areas.

SMP ID	SMP Footprint (sf)	SMP Total Contributing Area (sf)	
SP-1	251	1,402	

LEGEND





Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design What are the Tier 1 SMP requirements?

SMP Step 3: Determine SMP

Contributing Area

- Identify the true contributing area to the practice, based on the sum of its drainage areas.
- Refer to NYC SWM Design Table 4.3 to identify any limits to SMP contributing area or loading ratio for ET SMPs.

SMP ID	SMP Footprint (sf)	SMP Total Contributing Area (sf)	Max. Contributing Area (sf)
SP-1	251	1,402	1,255
			→ For

For stormwater planters, the maximum contributing area is the lesser of:

- 15,000 sf
- A 5:1 practice-to-contributing area ratio (i.e. 5x the practice footprint)

LEGEND





SMP Step 4: Determine Runoff Coefficient of SMP Contributing Area

This coefficient relates the total rainfall to runoff on the project site and is based on the percent impervious cover (I) in the proposed condition.

Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design

What are the Tier 1 SMP

requirements?

 $R_V = 0.05 + 0.009 * I$

SMP ID	SMP Footprint (sf)	SMP Total Contributing Area (sf)	Max. Contributing Area (sf)	Runoff Coefficient, R _v (-)
SP-1	251	1,402	1,255	0.95

Note: When calculating WQ_V for a vegetated SMP, the SMP footprint should be considered 100% impervious in order for the practice to manage itself.

LEGEND





SMP Step 5: Calculate SMP Required WQ_{V,SMP}

Use NYC SWM Eq. 2.1 to calculate WQ_V

Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design

What are the Tier 1 SMP

requirements?

- The Total $WQ_{V,SMP}$ is based on the entire contributing area to a practice
- When upstream practices are present, the volume they managed must be subtracted from the Total WQ_{V.SMP} to establish the practice's Required WQ_{V.SMP}



SMP ID	SMP Footprint (sf)	P Footprint (sf) SMP Total Contributing Area (sf)		Runoff Coefficien R _v (-)	Total t, WQ _{v,SMP} (cf)
SP-1	251	1,402	1,255	0.95	166
					Total

Total $WQ_{V,SMP}$ was calculated based on the SMP Total Contributing Area

LEGEND





SMP Step 5: Calculate SMP Required $WQ_{V,SMP}$

Use NYC SWM Eq. 2.1 to calculate WQ_V

Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design

What are the Tier 1 SMP

requirements?

- The Total $WQ_{V,SMP}$ is based on the entire contributing area to a practice
- When upstream practices are present, the volume they managed must be subtracted from the Total WQ_{V.SMP} to establish the practice's Required WQ_{V.SMP}

$$WQ_{V,SMP} = \frac{1.5''}{12} * A_{SMP} * R_V$$

SMP ID	SMP Footprint (sf)	SMP Total Contributing Area (sf)	Max. Contributing Area (sf)	Runoff Coefficient, R _v (-)	Total WQ _{v,SMP} (cf)	Volume Managed by Upstream SMPs
SP-1	251	1,402	1,255	0.95	166	44

Provided WQ_{V,SMP} of GR-1, which is upstream of SP-1

LEGEND





SMP Step 5: Calculate SMP Required $WQ_{V,SMP}$

Use NYC SWM Eq. 2.1 to calculate WQ_V

Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design

What are the Tier 1 SMP

requirements?

- The Total $WQ_{V,SMP}$ is based on the entire contributing area to a practice
- When upstream practices are present, the volume they managed must be subtracted from the Total WQ_{V.SMP} to establish the practice's Required WQ_{V.SMP}

$$WQ_{V,SMP} = \frac{1.5''}{12} * A_{SMP} * R_V$$

SMP ID	SMP Footprint (sf)	SMP Total Contributing Area (sf)	Max. Contributing Area (sf)	Runoff Coefficient, R _v (-)	Total WQ _{V,SMP} (cf)	Ma U	Volume inaged by pstream SMPs	,	Required WQ _{v,SMP} (cf)
SP-1	251	1,402	1,255	0.95	(166)	-	(44)	=	(122)

Calculated by subtracting the upstream managed volume from the Total WQ_{V,SMP}

LEGEND





SMP Step 5: Calculate SMP Required $WQ_{V,SMP}$

Use NYC SWM Eq. 2.1 to calculate WQ_V

Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design

What are the Tier 1 SMP

requirements?

- The Total $WQ_{V,SMP}$ is based on the entire contributing area to a practice
- When upstream practices are present, the volume they managed must be subtracted from the Total WQ_{V.SMP} to establish the practice's Required WQ_{V.SMP}



SMP ID	SMP Footprint (sf)	SMP Total Contributing Area (sf)	Max. Contributing Area (sf)	Runoff Coefficient, R _v (-)	Total , WQ _{v,SMP} (cf)	Volume Managed by Upstream SMPs	Required WQ _{V,SMP} (cf)	Max. WQ _{v,SMP} (cf)	
SP-1	251	1,402	1,255	0.95	166	44	122	149	

The Required $WQ_{V,SMP}$ Required may not exceed that Max. $WQ_{V,SMP}$

LEGEND





SMP Step 5: Calculate SMP Required $WQ_{V,SMP}$

Use NYC SWM Eq. 2.1 to calculate WQ_V

Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design

What are the Tier 1 SMP

requirements?

- The Total $WQ_{V,SMP}$ is based on the entire contributing area to a practice
- When upstream practices are present, the volume they managed must be subtracted from the Total WQ_{V,SMP} to establish the practice's Required WQ_{V,SMP}

$$WQ_{V,SMP} = \frac{1.5''}{12} * A_{SMP} * R_V$$

SMP ID	SMP Footprint (sf)	SMP Total Contributing Area (sf)	Max. Contributing Area (sf)	Runoff Coefficient, R _v (-)	Total WQ _{v,SMP} (cf)	Volume Managed by Upstream SMPs	Required WQ _{V,SMP} (cf)		Max. WQ _{v,SMP} (cf)	
SP-1	251	1,402	1,255	0.95	166	44	122	≤	149	

The Required $WQ_{V,SMP}$ Required may not exceed that Max. $WQ_{V,SMP}$

SMP Step 6: Design SMP V_{SMP} to Meet Required WQ_{V,SMP} Use NYC SWM Eq. 4.3 to calculate V_{SMP}

- Determine Applicable Volume Parameters for each SMP type
- Refer to the appropriate equations in NYC SWM Section 4.2 to calculate the storage volume provided by each applicable parameter
- Refer to NYC SWM Design Table 4.3 to identify design requirements for ET SMPs and complete design calculation.



$$V_{SMP} = V_P + V_S + V_I + V_D$$
$$V_{SMP,SP} = V_{P,SP} + V_{S,SP}$$
$$V_{SMP,SP} = (A_{SMP} * D_P) + (A_{SMP} * D_S * n_S)$$

SMP ID	A _{SMP} (sf)	D _P (ft)	V _P (cf)	D _S (ft)	n _s (cf/cf)	V _s (cf)	V _{SMP} (cf)	Required SM WQ _v (cf)	Ρ
SP-1	251	0.25	62.75	1.5	0.2	75.3	138	≥ 122	

Design steps completed for SP-2 but not shown:

- Step 3: Determine SMP Contributing Area
- **Step 4:** Determine Runoff Coefficient of SMP Contributing Area
- Step 5: Calculate SMP Required WQ_{V,SMP}
- Step 6: Design SMP V_{SMP} to Meet Required $WQ_{V,SMP}$



LEGEND

- Limit of Property: *15,900 sf* Limit of Disturbance (LOD): *13,237 sf* On-Site Disturbance: *11,996 sf* ROW Disturbance: *1,241 sf*
- SMP Contributing Areas
 - Non-Contributing Areas
 - Non-Contributing Areas outside LOD
- Areas Managed Upstream

Concept Map: Stormwater Planter 2 Contributing Area

Percent of SMP Volume Applied to Requirement (F_A)

Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design What are the Tier 1 SMP requirements?

SMP Step 7: Check SMP Contributions to Site-Wide Requirements Use NYC SWM Table 4.1 to determine the percent contributions of each SMP.

SMP Function	WQv	RRv	Vv
Infiltration	100	100	100
Evapotranspiration	100	100	0
Reuse ^A	100	100	50
Filtration	100 ^B	40 ^c	0
Detention	100 ^D	0	100

SMP ID	Required WQ _{v,SMP} (cf)	V _{SMP} (cf)	Provided WQ _{v,} (cf)	Provided RR _v (cf)	Provided V _v (cf)
GR-1	44	49	44	44	0
GR-2	76	86	76	76	0
GR-3A	106	119	106	106	0
GR-3B	27	30	27	27	0
SP-1	122	138	122	122	0
SP-2	169	200	169	169	0
	Site Wid	o Paramotore	WQv	RRv	Vv

Site-Wide Parameters	WQ _v (cf)	RR _v (cf)	V _v (cf)	
Total Volume Provided	544	544	0	
Total Volume Required	834	350 (Minimum)	TBD*	
Remaining Volume to be Managed	290	0	TBD*	132

Tier 1 & 2 SMP Design

What are the Tier 1 SMP design requirements?

SMPs Tier 1 and 2 Design Steps:

- **Step 1:** Review Drainage Areas & Constraints
- Step 2: Site SMP Footprint
- **Step 3:** Determine SMP Contributing Area
- Step 4: Determine Runoff Coefficient of SMP Contributing Area
- Step 5: Calculate SMP Required WQ_{V,SMP}
- Step 6: Design SMP V_{SMP} to Meet Required $WQ_{V,SMP}$
- Step 7: Check SMP Contributions to Site-Wide Requirements

Iterate process until all requirements are met

Iteration 1: Three Green Roofs proposed

 X Iteration 2: Four Green Roofs and Two Stormwater Planters proposed
 ⇒ Contributing Area Expanded

Tier 2 SMPs are not feasible because:

- Soil, Surface, and Space constraints • prevent the project from using them
- Space that would have been available was used to site Tier 1 Practices

References:

1. NYS DEC Stormwater Management Design Manual Appendix A

SMP HIERARCHY CHECKLIST - CSS AREAS			Percent o	of SMP volum	e applied ^a	Site constraints that limit SMP feasibility ^D					
Tier ^c	Function Type ^d	Practice Type [®]	WQv	RRv	Vv	Soil	Subsurface	Hotspot	Surfaces	Space	
		Bioretention	100	100	100	×	×	×	X	×	
		Rain garden	100	100	100	×	×	×	×	×	
In	Infiltration	Stormwater planter	100	100	100	×	×	×	×	×	
	(Vegetated)	Tree planting / preservation	SC	SC	0						
	(vegetated)	Dry basin	100	100	100	×	×	×	×	×	
Tier 1		Grass filter strip	SC	SC	0	×	X	×	×	×	
		Vegetated swale	SC	SC	0	×	X	×	×	×	
		Rain garden	100	100	0		X		X	×	
	Evenetroperivation	Stormwater planter	100	100	0				×		
	Evapotranspiration	Tree planting / preservation SC SC 0									
		Green roof	100	100	0						
		Dry well	100	100	100	X	X	×		X	
	Infiltration	Stormwater gallery	100 100 100 X X X X 100 100 100 X X X X	×							
Tier 2	(Non-vegetated)	Stone trench	100	100	100	X	X	×	X	X	
		Porous pavement	100	100	100	×	X	×		×	
		Synthetic turf field	100	100	100	X	X	×	X	X	
Anytime /	Reuse	Rain tank	100	100	SC						
Optional	Reuse	Cistern	100	100	SC						
		Dry basin	100	0	100		×		×	×	
		Constructed wetland	100	0	100		×		×	×	
Tior 3	Detention ^{g,h,i}	Wet basin / pond	100	0	100		×		×	×	
	Detention	Stormwater gallery	100	0	100		×			×	
		Blue roof	100	0	100						
		Detention tank	100	0	100						

Site constraints that limit SMP feasibility^b

Tier 2 SMPs are not feasible.

Establish detention requirements then move to Tier 3 SMP design

16 June 2025

135

Tier 3 SMP Design (css Only)

Tier 3 SMP Design (CSS Only) Goal: Design Tier 3 SMPs to Meet the Applicable Stormwater Management Criteria

Key Questions

- > Which criteria dictate Tier 3 Design Requirements?
- > What are the Tier 3 Design Requirements?
- > Can the Tier 3 Design be optimized?

Tier 3 SMP Design (CSS Only) Which criteria dictate Tier 3 SMP requirements?

Tier 3 SMP requirements depend on the applicability of the Sewer Operations volume (V_V) requirement.

If V_V does not apply, detention practices should be sized to meet remaining WQ_V If V_V applies, detention practices must be sized to meet V_V & Q_{DRR} $V_V = ??$ $Q_{DRR} = ??$

Tier 3 SMP Design (CSS Only) What are the Tier 3 SMP design requirements?

SMP Tier 3 Design Steps [when driven by Sewer Operations Requirements]:

- Step 1: Determine Contributing Areas
- Step 2: Calculate Weighted Runoff Coefficient C_W
- Step 3: Calculate Sewer Operations Volume V_V
- Step 4: Calculate Maximum Release Rate Q_{DRR}
- Step 5: Calculate Developed Flow Q_{DEV} to Confirm Q_{DRR} Applicability
- Step 6: Design Detention System to meet V_V & Q_{DRR}

Tier 3 SMP Design (CSS Only) What are the Tier 3 SMP design requirements?

Step 1: Determine Contributing Areas

In this case, all developed areas drain to the detention tank, including overflow from all upstream SMPs

 $A = 7,022 \, sf$

Concept Map: Cover and Contributing Area Drainage Plan





Tier 3 SMP Design (CSS Only) What are the Tier 3 SMP design requirements?

Step 2: Calculate Weighted Runoff Coefficient

• Complete surface cover design

The sewer operations volume V_V requirements depends on the site cover types in the proposed condition. Therefore, it must be calculated <u>after</u> Tier 1 and Tier 2 surface SMPs have been sited.

Tier 3 SMP Design (CSS Only) What are the Tier 3 SMP design requirements?

Step 2: Calculate Weighted Runoff Coefficient

- Complete surface cover design
- Establish area of all cover types on the proposed site

Concept Map: Cover and Contributing Area Drainage Plan



Paved Area: 96 sf

- **Existing Sewer Connection**
 - NYC Combined Sewer System

Note:

C values vary by **<u>surface</u>** type, not by pervious or impervious cover which is used for WQv calculations.

Tier 3 SMP Design (CSS Only) What are the Tier 3 SMP design requirements?

Step 2: Calculate Weighted Runoff Coefficient

- Complete surface cover design
- Establish area of all cover types on the proposed site
- Refer to NYC SWM Table 2.8 to find C values for each surface type.

Table 2.8. C values for various surface types.

С	Surface Description	
0.95	Roof areas	$A_{Roof} = 4,184 sf$
0.85	Paved areas	$A_{Paved} = 96 sf$
0.70	Green roof with min. 4 in. growing media	$A_{GR} = 2,128 sf$
0.70	Porous asphalt/Porous Concrete ^a	un j
0.70	Synthetic turf fields ^a	
0.65	Gravel parking lot	
0.30	Undeveloped areas	
0.20	Grass, bio-swales, or landscaped areas	$A_{Landscaped} = 614 st$

^a Using a C value of 0.7 for the indicated surface types typically requires the use of an outlet pipe, with approval at the discretion of DEP.

$$C_W = \frac{4,184 * 0.95 + 96 * 0.85 + 2,128 * 0.70 + 614 * 0.20}{7,022}$$

 $C_W = 0.81$

Tier 3 SMP Design (CSS Only) What are the Tier 3 SMP design requirements?

Step 3: Calculate Sewer Operations Volume V_V

• Use NYC SWM Eq. 2.3 to calculate V_V

EQ 2.3

$$V_V = \frac{R_D}{12} * A * C_W$$

where:

- V_v: sewer operations volume (cf)
- R_D: rainfall depth (in)
- A: contributing area (sf)
- C_w: weighted runoff coefficient relating peak rate of rainfall and runoff
Step 3: Calculate Sewer Operations Volume V_V

- Use NYC SWM Eq. 2.3 to calculate V_V
- Refer to NYC SWM Table 2.7 to establish rainfall depth based on the sewershed type (CSS/MS4) and proposal type for the project (HCP/SCP).

Table 2.7. Applied rainfall depth by sewershed type andconnection proposal type.

R _D	Description	
1.85	CSS areas with SCP	
1.50	CSS areas with HCP	
1.50	MS4 areas with SCP	
1.10	MS4 areas with HCP	

 $R_D = 1.85$

Step 3: Calculate Sewer Operations Volume V_V

- Use NYC SWM Eq. 2.3 to calculate V_V
- Refer to NYC SWM Table 2.7 to establish rainfall depth based on the sewershed type (CSS/MS4) and proposal type for the project (HCP/SCP).
- Finalize calculation using contributing area from Step 1 and weighted runoff coefficient from Step 2.

EQ 2.3

$$V_V = \frac{R_D}{12} * A * C_W$$

where:

rainfall and runoff

V_v: sewer operations volume (cf) R_D: rainfall depth (in) A: contributing area (sf) C_w: weighted runoff coefficient relating peak rate of

$$R_{D} = 1.85 in$$

$$A = 7,022 sf$$

$$C_{W} = 0.81$$

$$V_{V} = \frac{1.85}{12} * 7,022 * 0.81$$

$$V_V = 874 \ cf$$

Step 4: Calculate maximum release rate Q_{DRR}

• Use NYC SWM Eq. 2.5 to calculate Q_{DRR} for the tax lot

EQ 2.5

$$Q_{DRR} = \frac{q\left(\frac{cfs}{acre}\right) * A(sf)}{43560(\frac{sf}{acre})} \text{ or } 0.046 \text{ [whichever is greater]}$$

Q_{DRR}: maximum release rate, site (cfs)
q: maximum release rate, per acre (cfs/acre)
A: contributing area (sf)

Step 4: Calculate maximum release rate Q_{DRR}

- Use NYC SWM Eq. 2.5 to calculate Q_{DRR} for the tax lot
- Refer to NYC SWM Table 2.9 to establish max release rate per acre based on the sewershed type.

 Table 2.9. Maximum release rate per acre (cfs/acre) by sewershed type.

q (cfs/acre)	Description
1.0	MS4 areas
0.1	CSS areas

$$q = 0.1 \frac{cfs}{acre}$$

Step 4: Calculate maximum release rate Q_{DRR}

- Use NYC SWM Eq. 2.5 to calculate Q_{DRR} for the tax lot
- Refer to NYC SWM Table 2.9 to establish max release rate per acre based on the sewershed type.
- Complete calculation using contributing area from Step 1.

EQ 2.5

$$Q_{DRR} = \frac{q\left(\frac{cfs}{acre}\right) * A(sf)}{43560(\frac{sf}{acre})} \text{ or } 0.046 \text{ [whichever is greater]}$$

Q_{DRR}: maximum release rate, site (cfs)
q: maximum release rate, per acre (cfs/acre)
A: contributing area (sf)

$$q = 0.1 \frac{cfs}{acre}$$

$$Q_{DRR,Calc} = \frac{0.1 \frac{cfs}{acre} * 7,022 sf}{43560 \frac{sf}{acre}}$$

$$Q_{DRR,Calc} = 0.016 \ cfs$$

Step 4: Calculate maximum release rate Q_{DRR}

- Use NYC SWM Eq. 2.5 to calculate Q_{DRR} for the tax lot
- Refer to NYC SWM Table 2.9 to establish max release rate per acre based on the sewershed type.
- Complete calculation using contributing area from Step 1.
- Select the appropriate Q_{DRR} for the tax lot

EQ 2.5

$$Q_{DRR} = \frac{q\left(\frac{cfs}{acre}\right) * A(sf)}{43560(\frac{sf}{acre})} \text{ or } 0.046 \text{ [whichever is greater]}$$

Q_{DRR}: maximum release rate, site (cfs)
q: maximum release rate, per acre (cfs/acre)
A: contributing area (sf)

 $-Q_{DRR,Calc} = 0.016 \, cfs$

0.016 *cfs* < 0.046 *cfs*

 $Q_{DRR,TaxLot} = 0.046 \ cfs$

Step 4: Calculate maximum release rate Q_{DRR}

- Use NYC SWM Eq. 2.5 to calculate Q_{DRR} for the tax lot
- Refer to NYC SWM Table 2.9 to establish max release rate per acre based on the sewershed type.
- Complete calculation using contributing area from Step 1.
- Select the appropriate Q_{DRR} for the tax lot
- Adjust the Max Release Rate as applicable for the site

$$Q_{DRR,Site} = Q_{DRR,TaxLot} * \frac{Managed Area}{Tax Lot Area}$$
$$= 0.046 * \frac{7,022 sf}{15,900 sf}$$

$$Q_{DRR,Site} = 0.020 \ cfs$$

Concept Map: Area Managed by Detention System (preliminary)



When the contributing area is less than the total lot area, multiply the max. release rate by the ratio

Drainage Point and ID

of the altered area to the total site area (15 RCNY § 31-03(a)(3)).

Note:

If the developed flow (Q_{DEV}) is greater than the maximum release rate (Q_{DRR}) ,

Designer must design detention practices in accordance with Chapter 4 of the NYC SWM to ensure that the maximum release rate for the site is not exceeded.

Step 5: Calculate Developed Flow Q_{DEV} to Confirm Q_{DRR} Applicability

• Refer to NYC SWM p. 2-18 to calculate Q_{Dev}

$$Q_{Dev} = \frac{C_{WS}A_S}{7,320}$$

where:

 Q_{Dev} = the developed site average storm runoff rate of flow in cfs, based on a rainfall event with a 5 yr. return period, and a 6 minute (min.) time of concentration C_{WS} = the weighted runoff coefficient for the site A_s = the site area in ft²

7,320 = 43,560 ft²/ac divided by 5.95 inches per hour (in/hr), the average rainfall intensity for the event with a 5 yr. return period and a 6 min. time of concentration

Step 5: Calculate Developed Flow Q_{DEV} to Confirm Q_{DRR} Applicability

- Refer to NYC SWM p. 2-18 to calculate Q_{Dev}
- Complete calculation using contributing area from Step 1 and weighted runoff coefficient from Step 2.

 $Q_{Dev} = \frac{C_{WS}A_S}{7,320}$

$$Q_{Dev} = \frac{0.8073 * 7,022}{7,320}$$

Step 5: Calculate Developed Flow Q_{DEV} to Confirm Q_{DRR} Applicability

- Refer to NYC SWM p. 2-18 to calculate Q_{Dev}
- Complete calculation using contributing area from Step 1 and weighted runoff coefficient from Step 2.
- Confirm whether Q_{DRR} Applies

$$Q_{Dev} = \frac{C_{WS}A_S}{7,320}$$

$$Q_{Dev} = \frac{0.8073 * 7,022}{7,320}$$

$$Q_{Dev} = 0.7744 \ cfs > Q_{DRR,Site} = 0.020 \ cfs$$

Since $Q_{Dev} > Q_{DRR}$ the detention system must be designed to meet Q_{DRR}

Select Tier 3 SMPs to meet remaining site requirements:

- In this case, a detention tank may be \checkmark used to meet all remaining requirements.
- The detention tank must be sized to meet \checkmark the full Vv, which will also manage remaining WQv.

SMP HIERARCHY CHECKLIST - CSS AREAS		Percent of SMP volume applied ^a			Site constraints that limit SMP feasibility ^b					
Tier ^c	Function Type ^d	Practice Type ^e	WQv	RRv	Vv	Soil	Subsurface	Hotspot	Surfaces	Space
		Bioretention	100	100	100	×	X	×	×	×
		Rain garden	100	100	100	×	×	×	×	×
	Infiltration	Stormwater planter	100	100	100	×	X	×	×	×
		Tree planting / preservation	SC	SC	0					
	(Vogotatod)	Dry basin	100	100	100	×	X	×	×	×
Tier 1		Grass filter strip	SC	SC	0	×	×	×	×	×
		Vegetated swale	SC	SC	0	×	X	×	×	X
	Evapotranspiration ^f	Rain garden	100	100	0		X		×	×
		Stormwater planter	100	100	0				×	
		Tree planting / preservation	SC	SC	0					
		Green roof	100	100	0					
	Infiltration (Non-vegetated)	Dry well	100	100	100	×	X	×		X
		Stormwater gallery	100	100	100	×	×	×		×
Tier 2		Stone trench	100	100	100	×	X	×	×	X
		Porous pavement	100	100	100	×	×	×		×
		Synthetic turf field	100	100	100	×	X	×	×	X
Anytime /	Reuse	Rain tank	100	100	SC					
Optional	i lease	Cistern	100	100	SC					
Tier 3	Detention ^{g,h,i}	Dry basin	100	0	100		X		×	×
		Constructed wetland	100	0	100		X		×	×
		Wet basin / pond	100	0	100		X		×	X
		Stormwater gallery	100	0	100		X			X
		Blue roof	100	0	100					
		Detention tank	100	0	100					

156

When sewer operations requirements apply, detention SMP design consists of ensuring:

\checkmark V_{SMP} > V_V

The SMP active storage volume must exceed the Required Sewer Operations Volume

\checkmark **Q**_o < **Q**_{DRR}

The release rate from the SMP controlled-flow device (Q_{o}) must not exceed the Maximum Release Rate (Q_{ore}) for the contributing area when the 10-year detention volume is being provided (V_V) .



Step 6: Design Detention System

- Refer to NYC SWM Section 4.10 for guidance on designing SMP components
- Select the orifice type and diameter for the detention SMP

Design Decisions for Case Study:

- ✓ Detention tank has a re-entrant orifice tube outlet
- Smallest allowable orifice diameter set in NYC Stormwater Manual is 1 inch
- ✓ Utilizing the smallest orifice diameter will minimize the SMP footprint by allowing a greater S_{DR}
- Therefore, the Case Study's controlled-flow orifice size is set to the minimum of 1 inch

Step 6: Design Detention System

- Refer to NYC SWM Section 4.10 for guidance on designing SMP components
- Select the orifice type and diameter for the detention SMP
- Use NYC SWM Eq. 4.16 to calculate the maximum detention storage depth for a reentrant orifice tube outlet, based on the maximum release rate and orifice diameter

EQ 4.16

$$S_{DR} = 1,930(Q_{DRR})^2/(d_0)^4 + d_0/24$$

where:

 S_{DR} = the maximum storage depth in ft. for a Re-entrant orifice tube outlet Q_{DRR} = the detention facility maximum release rate in cfs

 d_{o} = the nominal dia. of the orifice tube outlet in in.

$$S_{DR} = \frac{1,930 \cdot Q_{DRR}^2}{d_0^4} + \frac{d_0}{24}$$

$$S_{DR} = \frac{1,930*0.020^2}{1^4} + \frac{1}{24}$$

 $S_{DR} = 0.827 ft$

Step 6: Design Detention System

- Refer to NYC SWM Section 4.10 for guidance on designing SMP components
- Select the orifice type and diameter for the detention SMP
- Use NYC SWM Eq. 4.16 to calculate the maximum detention storage depth for a reentrant orifice tube outlet, based on the maximum release rate and orifice diameter
- Establish the required SMP footprint to meet volumetric requirements

$$S_{DR} = 0.827 ft$$

$$V_V = S_{DR} * A_{SMP}$$

$$A_{SMP} = \frac{874 \ cf}{0.827 ft} = 1,056 \ sf$$

Concept Map: Detention Tank Footprint (Preliminary)



DT-1 Proposed Dimensions:

- 9 in Depth
- 53 ft W x 22 ft L Footprint



LEGEND



- Detention Tank: 2,128 sf
- Drainage Point and ID
- Schematic Inlet & Internal Drainage
- Proposed Site Sewer Connection
- Existing Sewer Connection
 - NYC Combined Sewer System

Step 6: Design Detention System

- Refer to NYC SWM Section 4.10 for guidance on designing SMP components
- Select the orifice type and diameter for the detention SMP
- Use NYC SWM Eq. 4.16 to calculate the required area of the orifice, based on the maximum release rate.
- Establish the required SMP footprint to meet volumetric requirements
- Design detention tank active storage zone dimensions to meet volumetric requirements.

Concept Map: Detention Tank Design (Preliminary)

LEGEND

- Property Lot: 15,900 sf
 - Limit of Disturbance (LOD): 13,237 sf
 - Green Roof Area: 2,128 sf
 - Stormwater Planter Area: 614 sf
 - Detention Tank: 1,166 sf
 - Paved Area: 48 sf
 - Non-Contributing Areas in LOD: 7,237 sf
 - Non-Contributing Areas outside LOD: 3,264 sf

EQ 4.5

$$V_I = V_M * N_M$$

where:

V₁ = volume of voids created by internal structure (cf)

 V_{M} = interior volume of one modular structure (cf)

 $N_{_{\rm M}}$ = number of modular structures (unit less)



$$V_{SMP} = V_P + V_S + V_I + V_D$$
$$V_{SMP,DT} = V_{I,DT} = V_M * N_M$$

$$V_{SMP,DT} = V_{I,DT} = \left(\frac{9}{12}\right) * 53 * 22 * 1$$

 $V_{SMP,DT} = 875 \ cf \ge V_V = 874 \ cfs$

Step 6: Design Detention System

- Refer to NYC SWM Section 4.10 for guidance on designing SMP components
- Select the orifice type and diameter for the detention SMP
- Use NYC SWM Eq. 4.16 to calculate the required area of the orifice, based on the maximum release rate.
- Establish the required SMP footprint to meet volumetric requirements
- Design detention tank active storage zone dimensions to meet volumetric requirements.
- Use to NYC SWM Eq. 4.15 to confirm that the maximum release rate is not exceeded.

Concept Map: Detention Tank Design (Preliminary)

LEGEND

EQ 4.15

where:

(re-entrant)

the orifice (ft)

AO = area of orifice (ft2)

- Property Lot: 15,900 sf
 - Limit of Disturbance (LOD): 13,237 sf
 - Green Roof Area: 2,128 sf
 - Stormwater Planter Area: 614 sf
 - Detention Tank: 1,166 sf
 - Paved Area: 48 sf
 - Non-Contributing Areas in LOD: 7,237 sf

 $Q_o = C_D * A_o * \sqrt{2gH}$

QO = maximum release rate of orifice (cfs)

g = acceleration due to gravity, 32.2 (ft/s2)

CD = coefficient of discharge; 0.61 (flush), 0.52

H = maximum hydraulic head above the centerline of

Non-Contributing Areas outside LOD: 3,264 sf

 $C_D = 0.52$

$$A_{0} = \frac{\pi}{4} * D_{0}^{2} = \frac{\pi}{4} * \left(1in * \frac{1 ft}{12 in}\right)^{2} = 0.00545 ft^{2}$$
$$H = 9in * \frac{1 ft}{12 in} = 0.75 ft$$

$$Q_0 = 0.52 * 0.00545 ft^2 * \sqrt{2 * 32.2 \frac{ft}{s^2} * 0.75 ft}$$

$$Q_0 = 0.019 \ cfs \leq Q_{DRR} = 0.020 \ cfs$$

16 June 2025 163



Concept Map: Detention Tank Footprint (Preliminary)



DT-1 Proposed Dimensions:

- 9 in Depth
- 53 ft W x 22 ft L Footprint

 $V_{SMP,DT} = 875 cf \geq V_V = 874 cfs$

$$Q_0 = 0.019 \, cfs \leq Q_{DRR} = 0.020 \, cfs \checkmark$$



LEGENDProperty Lot: 15,900 sfDetLimit of Disturbance (LOD): 13,237 sfDraRoof Area: 4,184 sfSchGreen Roof Area: 2,128 sfProStormwater Planter Area: 614 sfExisPaved Area: 96 sfNY

- Detention Tank: 2,128 sf
- Drainage Point and ID
- Schematic Inlet & Internal Drainage
- Proposed Site Sewer Connection
- Existing Sewer Connection
 - NYC Combined Sewer System

Tier 3 SMP Design (CSS Only) Can the Tier 3 Design be optimized?

Approach 1: Manage a larger portion of the lot

Since the Maximum Release Rate for a site is a function of the proportion of the site that is developed, managing a larger area will increase the allowable Q_{DRR} , and as a result, increase the allowable detention tank depth

Approach 2: Add upstream detention systems

Adding detention in series allows you to reduce the downstream site runoff coefficients, resulting in lower downstream V_V requirements

Tier 3 SMP Design (CSS Only) Can the Tier 3 Design be optimized?

SMP Tier 3 Design Steps [when driven by Sewer Operations Requirements]:

- **Step 1:** Determine Contributing Areas
- Step 2: Calculate Weighted Runoff Coefficient C_W
- Step 3: Calculate Sewer Operations Volume V_V
- Step 4: Calculate Maximum Release Rate Q_{DRR}
- Step 5: Calculate Developed Flow Q_{DEV} to Confirm Q_{DRR} Applicability
- **Step 6:** Design Detention System

Approach 1: Manage a larger portion of the lot

Step 1: Determine Contributing Areas

In the base detention design, all developed areas drain to the detention tank, including overflow from all upstream SMPs

$$A_{Site} = 7,022 \, sf$$

Concept Map: Area Managed by Detention System (Preliminary)





Step 1: Determine Site Contributing Area

In Approach 1, rather than connecting to the existing sewer, the detention system will be built in line with the existing sewer. As a result, it will manage:

- All developed areas within the LOD
- The existing building outside the LOD

 $A_{Site} = 7,022 \, sf + 3264 \, sf$

 $A_{Site} = 10,286 \, sf$

Concept Map: Area Managed by Detention System (Preliminary)



LEGEND



ightarrow	Detention System Design Point
DP- #	Drainage Point and ID
	Schematic Inlet & Internal Drainage
	Proposed Site Sewer Connection
	Existing Sewer Connection
-	NYC Combined Sewer System

Note:

C values vary by **<u>surface</u>** type, not by pervious or impervious cover which is used for WQv calculations.

Tier 3 SMP Design (CSS Only) What are the Tier 3 SMP requirements?

Step 2: Calculate Weighted Runoff Coefficient

- Complete surface cover design
- Establish area of all cover types on the proposed site
 - In this case, the roof area and site area both increase as a result of Adjustment 1.
- Refer to NYC SWM Table 2.8 to find C values for each surface type.

Table 2.8. C values for various surface types.

С	Surface Description
0.95	Roof areas
0.85	Paved areas
0.70	Green roof with min. 4 in. growing media
0.70	Porous asphalt/Porous Concrete ^a
0.70	Synthetic turf fields ^a
0.65	Gravel parking lot
0.30	Undeveloped areas
0.20	Grass, bio-swales, or landscaped areas

^a Using a C value of 0.7 for the indicated surface types typically requires the use of an outlet pipe, with approval at the discretion of DEP.

$$C_W = \frac{(4,184 + 3264) * 0.95 + 96 * 0.85 + 2,128 * 0.70 + 614 * 0.20}{7,022 + 3264}$$

$$C_W = 0.85$$

Step 3: Calculate Sewer Operations Volume V_V

• Recalculate using the updated contributing area from Step 1 and weighted runoff coefficient from Step 2

Step 4: Calculate Maximum Release Rate Q_{DRR}

Recalculate using the updated contributing area from
 Step 1

Step 5: Calculate Developed Flow Q_{DEV} to Confirm Q_{DRR} Applicability

• Recalculate using the updated contributing area from Step 1 and weighted runoff coefficient from Step 2 $V_V = 1,352 \ cf$ +478 cf relative to initial design

 $Q_{DRR} = 0.030 \ cfs$ +0.01 cfs relative to initial design

 $Q_{Dev} = 1.198 cfs$ +0.424 cfs relative to initial design

Step 6: Design Detention System

- Refer to NYC SWM Section 4.10 for guidance on designing SMP components
- Select the orifice type and diameter for the detention SMP
- Use NYC SWM Eq. 4.16 to calculate the maximum detention storage depth for a reentrant orifice tube outlet, based on the maximum release rate and orifice diameter
- Establish the required SMP footprint to meet volumetric requirements



 $S_{DR} = 1.727 ft$ +11-in relative to initial design

$$V_V = S_{DR} * A_{SMP}$$

$$A_{SMP} = \frac{1,352 \ cf}{1.727 \ ft} = 784 \ sf$$
-247 sf relative to initial design

DT-1 Proposed Dimensions:

- 20 in Depth
- 37 ft W x 22 ft L Footprint

 $V_{SMP,DT} = 1,357 \ cf \geq V_V = 1,352 \ cfs$

$$Q_0 = 0.029 \ cfs \leq Q_{DRR} = 0.030 \ cfs$$

 \checkmark

Concept Map: Detention Tank Footprint (Preliminary)



Tier 3 SMP Design (CSS Only) Can the Tier 3 Design be optimized?

SMP Tier 3 Design Steps [when driven by Sewer Operations Requirements]:

- **Step 1:** Determine Contributing Areas
- Step 2: Calculate Weighted Runoff Coefficient C_W ←
- Step 3: Calculate Sewer Operations Volume V_V
- Step 4: Calculate Maximum Release Rate Q_{DRR}
- Step 5: Calculate Developed Flow Q_{DEV} to Confirm Q_{DRR} Applicability
- **Step 6:** Design Detention System

Approach 2: Add upstream detention

Tier 3 SMP Design (CSS Only) Can the Tier 3 Design be optimized?

Adding blue roofs upstream of the detention tank reduces the effective weighted runoff coefficient of the proposed building roof. As a result, the sewer operations volume required in the downstream detention system will be reduced.

Refer to NYC SWM Appendix G for guidance on detention-in-series design processes.

1. NYC SWM Appendix G Workbook





Step 1: Determine Contributing Areas

• In this case, all proposed buildings are converted into blue roofs.

 $A_{BlueRoof} = 6,311 \, Sf$

Concept Map: Blue Roof Contributing Area Plan



LEGEND



Step 1: Determine Contributing Areas		SYSTEM					
 In this case, all proposed buildings are converted into blue roofs. Input upstream & downstream contributing areas into the Appendix G spreadsheet 	Permit Type name COS - COT (UPSTREAM SYS	Total Contributing Area sf 10286	Maximum Release Rate cfs	Required Detention Volume cf	Effective C-value #	OUTPUTS	
Downstream Detention Tank $A_{Site} = 10,286 sf$	TDA ID name BlueRoofZones AllOthers	TDA Area sf 6311 3975	C-value #	Detention System Type name Blue Roof None	Maximum Release Rate cfs	Required Detention Volume cf	Effective C-value #
Upstream Blue Roof $A_{BlueRoof} = 6,311 sf$							
Upstream Undetained Areas $A_{Non-BlueRoof} = 3,975 \ sf$				Re. 1. 2. 3. 4.	ferences: <u>NYC SWM Appe</u> <u>NYC SWM Appe</u> <u>DOB Plumbing (</u> <u>BWSO Criteria f</u>	endix G Workbook endix G Examples Code (2022) for Detention Facil	<u>(</u> ity Design

Step 2: Calculate Weighted Runoff Coefficient

- Re-calculate Weighted Runoff Coefficients for the Downstream Systems
- Calculations must be updated based on the cover types in each contributing area



Concept Map: Blue Roof Weighted Runoff Coefficient Areas



Step 2: Calculate Weighted Runoff Coefficient

- Re-calculate Weighted Runoff Coefficients for the Downstrear **Systems**
- Calculations must be updated based on the cover types in • each contributing area

3264 * 0.95 + 96 * 0.85 + 614 * 0.2 $C_{W,Non-BlueRoof} = C_{W,Non-BlueRoof}$ 3264 + 96 + 614

 $C_{W,Non-BlueRoof} = 0.832$

Concept Map: Non-Blue Roof Weighted Runoff Coefficient Areas



Roof Area: 3,264 sf

Stormwater Planter Area: 614 sf

Paved Area: 96 sf

Note:

*The downstream weighted runoff coefficient for the detention tank is calculated automatically by the Appendix G spreadsheet, once all other inputs are entered. Refer to the Appendix G guidance for detailed equations use at each step.

Tier 3 SMP Design (CSS Only) What are the Tier 3 SMP requirements?



Note:

*The upstream and downstream detention volume requirements are calculated automatically by the Appendix G spreadsheet, once all other inputs are entered. Refer to the Appendix G guidance for detailed equations use at each step.

Tier 3 SMP Design (CSS Only) What are the Tier 3 SMP requirements?

Step 3: Calculate Sewer Operations Volume V_V

- The Appendix G spreadsheet is built to calculate upstream and downstream Vv
- Return to this step after Step 4.

Downstream Detention Tank $V_{V,Site} = ??^*$

Upstream Blue Roof

$$V_{V,BlueRoof} = ??^*$$

Upstream Undetained Areas

$$V_{V,Non-BlueRoof} = N/A$$

DOWNSTREAM SYSTEM						
INPUTS			OUTPUTS			
Permit Type	Total Contributing Area	Maximum Release Rate	Required Detention Volume	Effective C-value		
name	ST	CTS	ct	#		
CSS - SCP	10286					
UPSTREAM SYST	ГЕМ					
INPUTS					OUTPUTS	
TDA ID	TDA Area	C-value	Detention System Type	Maximum Release Rate	Required Detention Volume	Effective C-value
name	sf	#	name	cfs	cf	#
BlueRoofZones	6311	0.865	Blue Roof	(
AllOthers	3975	0.832	None			0.83

References:

- 1. NYC SWM Appendix G Workbook
- 2. NYC SWM Appendix G Examples
- 3. DOB Plumbing Code (2022)
- 4. <u>BWSO Criteria for Detention Facility Design</u>
Step 4: Calculate Maximum Release Rate Q_{DRR}

• The downstream Q_{DRR} does not change relative to that calculated in Approach 1.

D	DOWNSTREAM SYSTEM						
I	NPUTS			OUTPUTS			
P	ermit Type	Total Contributing Area	Maximum Release Rate	Required Detention Volume	Effective C-value		
n	ame	sf	cfs	cf	#		
С	SS - SCP	10286	0.030	894	0.56		
U	PSTREAM SYST	ГЕМ					
11	NPUTS					OUTPUTS	
т	DA ID	TDA Area	C-value	Detention System Type	Maximum Release Rate	Required Detention Volume	Effective C-value
n	ame	sf	#	name	cfs	cf	#
В	llueRoofZones	6311	0.865	Blue Roof	0.161	501	0.39
A	llOthers	3975	0.832	None			0.83

Downstream Detention Tank

 $Q_{DRR,Site} = 0.030 \, cfs$

References:

- 1. NYC SWM Appendix G Workbook
- 2. NYC SWM Appendix G Examples
- 3. DOB Plumbing Code (2022)
- 4. BWSO Criteria for Detention Facility Design

Step 4: Calculate Maximum Release Rate Q_{DRR}

- The downstream Q_{DRR} does not change relative to that calculated in Approach 1.
- The blue roof max release rate Q_{Roof} is a function of the blue roof depth, the number of drains proposed, and the release rate of each drain. See references for additional guidance.

Downstream Detention Tank	

$$Q_{DRR,Site} = 0.030 \, cfs$$

DOWNSTREAM SYSTEM						
INPUTS			OUTPUTS			
	Total Contributing	Maximum Release	Required			
Permit Type	Area	Rate	Detention Volume	Effective C-value		
name	sf	cfs	cf	#		
CSS - SCP	10286	0.030	894	0.56		
UPSTREAM SYS	UPSTREAM SYSTEM					
INPUTS					OUTPUTS	
			Detention	Maximum Release	Required	
TDA ID	TDA Area	C-value	System Type	Rate	Detention Volume	Effective C-value
name	sf	#	name	cfs	cf	#
BlueRoofZones	6311	0.865	Blue Roof	0.161	501	0.39
AllOthers	3975	0.832	None			0.83
-	•	•	•	· • • •		•

$$Q_{Roof} = \frac{Q_i * N_{RD} * d_{max}}{499} = \frac{5\frac{gpm}{in} * 5 * 2.90in}{499\frac{gpm}{cfs}} = 0.161cfs$$

Upstream Blue Roof

Upstream Undetained Areas

 $Q_{Non-BlueRoof} = N/A$

- References:
- 1. NYC SWM Appendix G Workbook
- 2. NYC SWM Appendix G Examples
- 3. DOB Plumbing Code (2022)
- 4. BWSO Criteria for Detention Facility Design

Step 3: Calculate Sewer Operations Volume V_V

- The Appendix G spreadsheet is built to calculate upstream and downstream Vv.
- Continue to Step 6 to design detention systems.

DOWNSTREAM S	YSTEM					
INPUTS			OUTPUTS			
Permit Type	Total Contributing Area	Maximum Release Rate	Required Detention Volume	Effective C-value		
name	sf	cfs	Cf	#		
CSS - SCP	10286	0.030	894	0.56		
			\sim			
UPSTREAM SYSTEM						
INPUTS					OUTPUTS	
		C velue	Detention	Maximum Release	Required	Effective Civelue
TDATD	TDA Area		System Type	Rate		
name	sf	#	name	cfs	cf	#
BlueRoofZones	6311	0.865	Blue Roof	0.161	501	0.39
AllOthers	3975	0.832	None			0.83

Downstream Detention Tank $V_{\rm U}$

$$V_{V,Site} = 894 \, cf$$

Upstream Blue Roof

Upstream Undetained Areas $V_{V,Non-}$

$$V_{V,Non-BlueRoof} = N/A$$

References:

- 1. NYC SWM Appendix G Workbook
- 2. NYC SWM Appendix G Examples
- 3. DOB Plumbing Code (2022)
- 4. <u>BWSO Criteria for Detention Facility Design</u>

Step 6: Design Detention System

- Design blue roofs to manage their required detention volume
 - Exclude roof areas where mechanical equipment and parapets are provided from the SMP footprint
 - Account for sloped roofs in V_{SMP} calculation
- Design downstream detention tank to manage remaining volume

Upstream Blue Roof $A_{SMP,BR} = 1,016 \ sf$ $d_{SMP,BR} = 2.90 \ in$

Downstream Detention Tank

 $S_{DR} = 1.727 ft$ +11-in relative to initial design +0-in relative to Approach 1

 $V_{V,DT} = 894 \ cf$ +20-cf relative to initial design -458-cf relative to Approach 1

$$V_V = S_{DR} * A_{SMP}$$

$$A_{SMP} = \frac{893 \ cf}{1.727 \ ft} = 517 \ sf$$

-539 sf relative to initial design -266 sf relative to Approach 1

Concept Map: Detention Tank Footprint (Preliminary)

Tier 3 SMP Design (CSS Only) What are the Tier 3 SMP requirements?

DT-1 Proposed Dimensions:

• 20 in Depth

• 25 ft W x 22 ft L Footprint

$$V_{SMP,DT} = 917 cf \geq V_V = 894 cf$$

$$Q_0 = 0.029 \, cfs \leq Q_{DRR} = 0.030 \, cfs$$





Stormwater Planter Area: 614 sf

Paved Area: 96 sf

- **Proposed Site Sewer Connection**
- **Existing Sewer Connection**
- NYC Combined Sewer System

Tier 3 SMP Design Can the Tier 3 Design be optimized?



Original Design

DT-1 Proposed Dimensions:

- 9 in Depth
- 53 ft W x 22 ft L Footprint



Approach 1: Manage a larger portion of the lot

DT-1 Proposed Dimensions:

- 20 in Depth
- 37 ft W x 22 ft L Footprint



Approach 2: Add upstream detention systems

DT-1 Proposed Dimensions:

- 20 in Depth
- 25 ft W x 22 ft L Footprint

Confirming all Requirement are Met

Confirming All Requirements Were Met

Are all requirements met?

SMP ID	Required WQ _{V,SMP} (cf)	V _{SMP} (cf)	Provided WQ _{v,} (cf)	Provided RR _v (cf)	Provided V _v (cf)
GR-1	44	49	44	44	0
GR-2	76	86	76	76	0
GR-3A	106	119	106	106	0
GR-3B	27	30	27	27	0
SP-1	122	138	122	122	0
SP-2	169	200	169	169	0
BR-1	501*	618	290	0	501
DT-1	894*	917	0	0	894
	Site-Wide Parameters		WQ _v (cf)	RR _v (cf)	V _V (cf)
	Total Vol	Total Volume Provided Total Volume Required		544	894
	Total Volu			406 (Minimum)	894
	Remaining Volume to be Managed		0	0	0

16 June 2025

Questions

