

Minutes of the Open Meeting of the New York City Conflicts of Interest Board

Date: January 9, 2020

Location: Conflicts of Interest Board, 2 Lafayette Street, Suite 1010, New York, New York

Present:

Board Members: Chair Richard Briffault and Members Fernando A. Bohorquez, Jr., Anthony Crowell, Jeffrey D. Friedlander, and Erika Thomas.

Board Staff: Ethan Carrier, Chad Gholizadeh, Ana Gross, Christopher Hammer, Gavin Kendall, Julia Lee, Carolyn Miller, Katherine Miller, Ari Mulgay, Yasong Niu, Jeffrey Tremblay, Clare Wiseman, and Juliya Ziskina.

Guests: Thomas Speaker, Reinvent Albany

The meeting was called to order by the Chair at approximately 10:15 a.m. The Chair stated that the meeting was being conducted pursuant to the New York State Open Meetings Law and designated the undersigned as the Recording Secretary for purposes of the meeting.

The Chair stated that the meeting was called to discuss proposed amendments to Board Rules §§ 1-13, 1-17, 1-07, and 1-01(h).

Board Rules §§ 1-13 and 1-17

After a brief introduction, the Chair asked for any comments by the Board or Staff.

The following comments constitute the changes as agreed upon by the Board and Staff to the proposed amendments to Board Rules §§ 1-13 and 1-17:

- Statement of Basis and Purpose, p. 3, line 7: revise paragraph to clarify which bullet points refer to the two Advisory Opinions
- Explain in Statement of Basis and Purposes that the three options in Board Rules § 1-13(c)(1)(ii) are disjunctive
- Board Rules § 1-13(f): replace “themselves” with “their position”

Upon motion duly made and seconded, the Board unanimously voted to adopt the proposed amendments incorporating the changes as discussed as the final rules.

Board Rules § 1-07

After an introduction by Staff, the Chair asked for any comments by the Board or Staff.

The following constitutes the comments by the Board and Staff:

§ 1-07(a) Post-Employment Appearances:

- Start Board Rules § 1-07(a) with core prohibited conduct from the City Charter
- End Board Rules § 1-07(a)(2) after the word “matters.”
- Incorporate the examples listed in Board Rules §1-07(a)(2)(i) through (iii) into the Statement of Basis and Purpose

- Harmonize use of “appear” instead of “communicate” throughout rule

§ 1-07(b): Date of Termination of City Service: No comments

§ 1-07(c): Waivers of Post-Employment Restrictions

The Board and Staff discussed generally the history, purpose, and reasons for codifying into a rule the factors to be used in granting waivers of post-employment restrictions. The discussion focused on what factors should be considered, the revision and reformatting of language for clarification, and the inclusion of the historic four-factor “exigent circumstances” test and a detailed analysis of the Advisory Opinions in the Statement of Basis and Purpose. The discussion concluded with the Board and Staff agreeing that the rule would provide guidance to both the public servant requesting the waiver and to the Board and Staff in deciding to grant or deny the request.

The following constitutes specific changes as agreed upon by the Board and Staff:

- Board Rules § 1-07(c)(1); remove “and that is benefits the City”
- Board Rules § 1-07(c)(1): replace “bv weighing each of the” with “ “including but not limited to” language with respect to the individual factors
- Board Rules § 1-07(c)(1)(iii) and (x): move these two factors into another section
- Board Rules § 1-07(c)(1)(iv) and (v): consider combining into one factor
- Board Rules § 1-07(c)(1)(vii): consider revising so that it is clear to in which direction the factor flows
- Board Rules § 1-07(c)(1)(viii); clarify about inside information/connections
- Board Rules § 1-07(c)(1)(ix): replace “fewer than 60 days” with general language such as “relatively short period of time”
- Add as a factor the third factor from the four-factor exigent circumstances test concerning likelihood of harm to other similar organizations
- Combine other factors wherever possible so that there are fewer factors
- Add to Statement of Basis and Purpose the four-factor “exigent circumstances” test and an explanation of why the Board is moving away from that test
- Reorganize the Statement of Basis and Purposes in order to group the Advisory Opinions by subject area rather than the string cite on pages 2 and 3.

§ 1-07(d): Consulting for a Former City Agency:

- Board Rules § 1-07(d)(1)(ii): replace “six months” with non-specific time frame, proportional to the work being undertaken
- Board Rules § 1-07(d)(2): replace “subsequent employer” with “private firm”
- Board Rules § 1-07(d)(2)(ii): remove “substantial”

Upon motion duly made and seconded, the Board unanimously voted to continue discussions at the next open meeting.

Board Rules § 1-01(h)

After a brief introduction, the Chair asked for any comments by the Board or Staff. The Board and Staff agreed to replace “a” with “the” in Board Rules §1-10(h)(1)(iv).

Upon motion duly made and seconded, the Board unanimously voted to adopt the proposed amendments incorporating the one change discussed as the final rule.

The open meeting was adjourned at approximately 11:25 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Julia H. Lee
Recording Secretary