D 1	••	тт	•	
Pub	l1C	He	arın	g

	Page 1
1	Charter Review Committee Public Hearing
2	
3	
4	
5	Moderated by Carlo Scissura
6	June 13, 2024
7	5:12 p.m.
8	
9	
10	New York Law School
11	185 West Broadway
12	New York, NY 10013
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	Reported by: Richelle Modestil
18	JOB NO.: 6746044
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 2
1	APPEARANCES
2	List of Attendees:
3	Carlo Scissura, Chair of Charter Revision Commission
4	Dr. Hazel Dukes, Vice Chair of Charter Revision
5	Commission
6	Ken Ngai, Secretary of Charter Revision Commissions
7	(by videoconference)
8	Christopher Lynch, Member on the Panel of Charter
9	Revision Commission
10	Jackie Rowe-Adams, Member on the Panel of Charter
11	Revision Commission
12	Stephanie McGraw, Member on the Panel of Charter
13	Revision Commission
14	Edward Kiernan, Member on the Panel of Charter
15	Revision Commission
16	Kyle Bragg, Member on the Panel of Charter Revision
17	Commission
18	Max Rose, Member on the Panel of Charter Revision
19	Commission
20	Diane Savino, Executive Director of Charter Revision
21	Commission
22	Kathryn Wylde, Partnership for New York City
23	Deborah Glick, Manhattan Assemblymember
24	James Bristow, New York City Office of Management and
25	Budget

Γ

	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd)
2	Matthew Penfold, Assistant Commissioner for Property
3	Tax Research and Analytics, Department of Finance
4	Louisa Chafee, New York City Independent Budget Office
5	Richard 'Bo' Dietl
6	Andrew Rein, Citizens' Budget Commission
7	E.J. McMahon, Empire Center for Public Policy,
8	Manhattan Institute
9	Mitchell Moss
10	Brad Lander, New York City Comptroller
11	Gale Brewer, New York City Councilmember (by
12	videoconference)
13	Barbara Blair, President of Garment District Alliance
14	Erica Vladimir, New York State Sexual Harassment
15	Working Group
16	Jeanne Victor, New York State Equal Employment
17	Practices Commission
18	Howard Slatkin, Citizens' Housing and Planning Council
19	David Schwartz, New York Association of Wholesalers
20	and Distributors
21	Layla Law-Gisiko, City Club of New York
22	Ben Tocker, Yaffed
23	Goran Svorcan
24	Tiffany Fulton, Silent Voices United, Inc., C3
25	Frank Morano (by videoconference)

	Page 4
1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd)
2	Andrea Gordillo, Manhattan Community Board Three
3	Anthony Crowell, Dean, New York Law School
4	Darrell Fulton
5	Harald Wacker
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	MR. SCISSURA: Good evening, everybody.
3	It's great to see everybody on this beautiful summer
4	evening, and we really want to thank you all and
5	welcome you to our third public hearing and our fourth
6	meeting of the 2024 Charter Review Commission and the
7	first of our two public hearings that will be held in
8	Manhattan. And we've gotten a couple of questions
9	about locations, and it's my hope that our next one
10	will happen a little bit further north of where we are
11	today in Manhattan, so we'll work together on that.
12	It's also the first of three issue forums that the
13	commission will host that will take a deep dive into
14	important topics affecting our city.
15	Today we are focusing on fiscal
16	responsibility and budgetary matters. We will hear
17	from a number of experts including our comptroller and
18	friend, the Honorable, Brad Lander. Next week, we
19	will host forums on government and election reform in
20	the Bronx on Monday, June 17th, and public safety in
21	my home borough of Brooklyn on Thursday, June 20th.
22	I should introduce myself for those of
23	you that don't know me. I'm Carlo Scissura, chair of
24	the Charter Review Commission, and I'm honored to be
25	here with such an esteemed and exciting group of

1	individuals. I'm going to acknowledge them now, our
2	vice chair, the one and only, Dr. Hazel Dukes. Kyle
3	Bragg yes, you can applaud them. Christopher
4	Lynch, Max Rose, Jackie Rowe-Adams, Stephanie McGraw,
5	and joining virtually, Ken Ngai. So I thank you all
6	for being here.
7	I generally would have them all say a
8	few words, but we want to jump right into the meeting.
9	We have a lot to cover tonight.
10	Let me thank, of course, president and
11	dean, Anthony Crowell of New York Law School for
12	hosting us and his entire team. Anthony and team, I
13	thank you all so much.
14	And we are also joined today by the
15	staff of the Charter Review Commission, executive
16	director, general counsel, and the entire team, so I
17	thank you all for making time.
18	So you know, I want to just touch on a
19	couple of things. So I mentioned tonight is an issue
20	forum, and I'm going to be talking about fiscal
21	responsibility, but I want to be clear that everyone
22	has an opportunity to testify. So it doesn't matter
23	what the forum is. You can talk about something other
24	than fiscal responsibility tonight at our hearing
25	on or our public forum on public safety. You can

1 talk about whatever you want. It's really an 2 opportunity to hear from New Yorkers. And I think 3 that's real exciting. 4 So the Charter Review Commission is 5 tasked with reviewing the entire city charter and recommending changes that will help city government 6 7 work more efficiently and better serve all New Yorkers. In addition to the -- reviewing the charter, 8 9 we will be soliciting recommendations and comments on 10 how to improve city government from members of the 11 public and experts at forums like this evening. At the end of the process, the 12 13 commission will determine what proposals to take to 14 the voters in the form of ballot questions or 15 question, depends. That will appear on the ballot at 16 the November 5th general election. 17 And as always, we welcome members of the public to come in both in person and via Zoom, and 18 19 we will hear -- be hearing comments, again, in person, 20 virtually. You can submit testimony. We've got you 21 covered on all bases. 22 So this hearing is the third in a 23 series of meetings to hear ideas from the public to 24 improve the city charter. We will be hosting 25 additional input sessions around the city in the

1	coming weeks, as I mentioned, including another one in
2	Manhattan. We encourage you to tell your friends,
3	neighbors, tweet about it, let people know that we are
4	doing this. We all have jobs and and schedules,
5	but we are here because we are committed to helping to
6	improve New York in our little way, and if we can do
7	that, then I think we've done some great things.
8	We will do our best to accommodate
9	everyone that wishes to speak, but if we run out of
10	time before you have a turn, we ask you to come to
11	another meeting or submit your testimony in writing.
12	You can check out all of our dates on our website,
13	www.nyc.gov/charter, and comments and testimony may be
14	submitted in writing to
15	charterinfo@citycharter.nyc.gov between now and the
16	close of business July 12th.
17	So to begin tonight's hearing and
18	forum, let me welcome a true leader in our city, Ms.
19	Kathy Wylde, a treasured colleague. She is the
20	president and CEO of the Partnership for New York
21	City, and she will be followed by an outstanding
22	elected official, assemblymember Deborah Glick.
23	So Ms. Wylde, the floor is yours.
24	MS. WYLDE: Thank you, Chairman
25	Scissura and members of the Charter Revision

Commission. Nice to see you all, for the opportunity
 to testify today.

3 The Partnership for New York City is deeply concerned about the affordability crisis facing 4 5 New Yorkers, and we believe it is directly linked to the fiscal responsibility issues that the commission 6 7 is considering today. Later, you will hear from some real experts on the budget -- which I am not -- but in 8 9 the meantime, I think that the Partnership can provide 10 a good understanding of how legislative and spending practices contribute to the high cost of living and 11 12 doing business in our city.

13 Today, New York is the highest-cost 14 city in America, and the third most expensive city in 15 the world after only Singapore and Zurich. We have an 16 affordability crisis that impacts working people at 17 virtually all income levels, and when local government increases its spending or imposes new mandates on 18 19 employers, those costs are ultimately borne by 20 taxpayers and consumers.

Employers have responded to the affordability crisis by paying salaries in New York City that are about 20 percent higher than for the same job in lower-cost jurisdictions, but this is still often not enough to make up for or to support a

comfortable life in the five boroughs. Our costs are
 that high.

3 Government has responded to the affordability crisis by creating new or expanded 4 5 programs and services to subsidize households that cannot keep up with rising costs. As a result, city 6 7 spending has increased by 50 percent in the last decade, and tax revenues have been increased to meet 8 9 budget needs. Just one example is the case of rising 10 rents, where over 30 percent of rent in a regulated apartment building is attributable to local real 11 12 estate taxes.

13 There is no more important challenge 14 facing municipal government today than making our city 15 more affordable to the average New Yorker while 16 maintaining the programs and services that are 17 essential for a livable and equitable city. What can the Charter Revision -- Charter Commission recommend 18 19 to address this challenge? The answer must be 20 providing more information on the fiscal and economic 21 impact of legislation to help the council and 22 administration make the best informed choices. 23 We believe this commission should 24 recommend language in the city charter that ensures 25 there is meaningful, independent analysis and public

1	discussion of the fiscal and economic impacts of
2	legislation prior to adoption and that any legislation
3	with a budget impact should be subject to disciplined
4	annual budget process. The charter already requires a
5	fiscal impact statement called an FIS before the City
6	Council acts on legislation, but too often this
7	involves only a cursory review that is not subject to
8	serious consideration or public comment.
9	We suggest that the charter stipulate a
10	clear process on fiscal matters of a certain size that
11	would involve three parties: the Council Finance
12	Division, the Independent Budget Office, and the
13	Office of Management and Budget. The FIS should be
14	published online prior to the bill's first hearing and
15	should be updated no later than the time the bill is
16	in final form and laid on the desks of councilmembers.
17	The charter does not currently require
18	consideration of the financial impact of new laws on
19	the city's citizens or its economy. The FIS focuses
20	solely on the city revenues and expenses. The
21	commission should add a requirement for economic
22	impact statements to the existing FIS. This statement
23	should include analysis such as the impact of a bill
24	on the creation or elimination of jobs and businesses,
25	potential changes in costs or prices for consumers and

industries, and changes to the city's ability to
 attract investment.

We think all of these actions will contribute to helping us understand the reasons we're a high-cost and achieving a more affordable city. Thank you.

7 MR. SCISSURA: Thank you, Kathy. I had a question, and then I'll turn it over to my 8 9 colleagues. You mentioned council finance, IBO, and 10 OMB. I just want to make sure I understand your position clearly. Is it your position and the 11 12 Partnership's position that those three entities 13 should create this analysis prior, or is it a different entity that creates the analysis? 14 It's -- we think, and 15 MS. WYLDE: No.

16 it's not explicit, and the idea was created in a city 17 charter amendment and really hasn't been involved in performing that function, but we think that there 18 19 should be a serious look by all three agencies, 'cause 20 OMB has unique insights into the situation, Independent Budget Office, the importance there is --21 22 is the word "independent," is somebody's looking at 23 the consideration, looking at the fiscal impacts, and this obviously -- this is particularly important for 24 25 larger expenditure items -- but for new programs,

1	we've seen in recent years that we've we've had
2	situations where legislation was passed that could
3	cost hundreds of millions of dollars that's outside
4	the budget, so it's there's no appropriation for
5	it. How does it get implemented?
6	And we've also seen other situations
7	where legislation is passed that has a huge impact on
8	the costs of small business without any consideration
9	of what that's going to cost us in terms of jobs, in
10	terms of neighborhood stability, so we think both
11	those things deserve a much more careful look, and
12	that particularly for big items, for new programs, for
13	permanent programs that we're going to have over the
14	long term, you know, we're having this fight over 3K
15	now, because we didn't do this kind of analysis before
16	passing the program, and we feel that for big items,
17	the three entities all should be looking at these
18	issues.
19	MR. SCISSURA: Great. Is there a
20	numerical amount that you think should be a threshold
21	before this all
22	MS. WYLDE: Well, the budget expert who
23	I consulted on that, Carol O'Cleireacain, who was

24 budget director under Mayor Dinkins and is a long-time

25 friend, suggested -- and former Chief Economist at DC

Page 14

1 37 -- suggested to me \$100 million in terms of the 2 complete review on the city spending. 3 MR. SCISSURA: Great. 4 Do any of the commissioners have any 5 questions or comments for Ms. Wylde? DR. DUKES: I just want to say through 6 7 the years, you would do the Partnership and just being a citizen, has always been thoughtful on how we can 8 9 make the city better for all of us to live, so thank 10 you so much, Kathy, for your input. I -- I listened 11 very carefully. I always think communication is so 12 great, and so bringing the three entities financial 13 together did make a lot of sense -- common sense. 14 Thank you, Commissioner MS. WYLDE: 15 Dukes. Much appreciate it. 16 MR. SCISSURA: Thank you. 17 Anybody else? All right. 18 Kathy Wylde, thank you very much. 19 Our next speaker will be Assemblymember 20 Deborah Glick, Manhattan Assemblymember. 21 I don't think I need to introduce you. 22 Everybody knows who you are. Thank you for your 23 service to New York. 24 MS. GLICK: Well, thank you. I'm here because yesterday afternoon, I got an email at my 25

private email about this event, and we searched up
 official email and found that sometime after hours on
 Tuesday, something had actually been sent.

I'm not sure that most of the citizenry 4 5 is particularly well-informed about the process, and so the notion that making information and government 6 7 more transparent, it would have, I think, been maybe helpful for more people -- Manhattan, you know, the 8 9 last major revision was a very robust, long process in 10 which commission staff went to community boards across 11 the city, got testimony on a wide range of issues.

12 This seems to be a very abbreviated 13 process, which is concerning to me. I appreciate you 14 good souls were picked by the Mayor in his infinite 15 wisdom, so I -- I think that you are -- are troopers 16 for being here, but I do think that the -- that it is 17 concerning that this appeared to be hurried and rushed and to be here today in June for the possible question 18 19 to be on the general election ballot is -- seems to me 20 not sufficient time for there to be the kind of 21 outreach to the public, the input from the public, and 22 the ability for the staff to go through comments and to provide a really robust review of the charter, 23 which all of you have committed to doing, and I thank 24 25 you very much for that.

1	But I am distressed that the majority
2	of our responses to our immediate e-blast yesterday
3	was, you know, "Gee. I had no idea." And so I don't
4	know how many of my constituents could show up on
5	on short notice to be here today when it's so
6	convenient to them, but so not convenient to so many
7	other New Yorkers, so I appreciate your comment at the
8	beginning that you hope that there will be more
9	opportunity for people in in other parts of the
10	city, because I do feel that sometimes people feel
11	overlooked, left out.
12	And the charter this notion of
13	fiscal responsibility. I appreciate the comments from
14	the Partnership. The affordability crisis is and
15	the administration is taking many, many steps that
16	unfortunately, I don't think will result in more
17	affordable housing, but rather more luxury housing,
18	and that is of deep concern to me. I don't know what
19	we could do in the charter that could do more to make
20	the city more affordable for everyday people. The
21	biggest thing is in fact housing, and I'm not sure
22	what this commission can do in terms of the
23	constitution of the City of New York that that
24	impacts that except that many of the people on the
25	panel individually have done a lot of work trying to

1 make this a more affordable city for them, but for the 2 constituency. 3 But I -- I am disappointed in what appears to be to all observers an attempt by this 4 5 mayor to just sort of derail something the council was planning in putting this commission in place, when 6 it's serious business, and at the very least, should 7 not be wasting the time and -- and energy of so many 8 9 wonderful people for such an abbreviated process. 10 Thank you. 11 MR. SCISSURA: Thank you. Are there 12 any comments or questions? 13 Our first panel will be James Bristow from the New York City Office of Management and Budget 14 15 and Assistant Commissioner Matt Penfold from the New 16 York City Department of Finance. 17 MR. BRISTOW: Thank you, members of the 18 Charter Revision Commission. My name is James 19 I am the senior assistant director for Bristow. 20 intergovernmental relations for the mayor's Office of 21 Management and Budget, known as OMB. 22 OMB is the City of New York's chief 23 financial agency. We have a wide range of 24 responsibilities that are critical towards protecting 25 the city's fiscal integrity, which include developing

1	the city's expense and capital budgets including			
2	balancing the city budget as required by law,			
3	overseeing the budgets of nearly 90 city agencies and			
4	related entities, enhancing operations management			
5	citywide, and evaluating the efficiency and			
6	cost-effectiveness of city services and proposals and			
7	the fiscal impact of local, state, and federal			
8	legislation, providing vital information to government			
9	officials from the local, national, and world			
10	economies, as well as to forecasting economic			
11	conditions and estimating city revenues.			
12	Put simply, our staff ensures that city			
13	operations are committed efficiently, effectively, and			
14	the city's resources are managed responsibly. In			
15	light of our role and these responsibilities, we			
16	evaluate potential Charter Commission proposals			
17	through the lens of the potential impact on the city's			
18	fiscal stability. It is our responsibility to make			
19	sure that any change to the laws and principles that			
20	guide our actions are based on sound fiscal management			
21	and build upon the current tools and flexibility			
22	necessary to manage the city's fiscal needs and ensure			
23	that the city remains a safe place safe and clean			
24	place to live, to work, and raise a family.			
25	Over the past four years, the city has			

1	navigated two substantial back-to-back fiscal
2	challenges. While they used a different set of tools
3	to manage through these crises, there's a common
4	denominator: our adherence to strong, fiscal
5	management. This view is supported by ratings
6	agencies which have consistently upheld our strong
7	bond ratings and credit worthiness, citing our strong
8	governance and fiscal best practices, which has also
9	made these finances the envy of nearly every
10	municipality in the world.
11	Finally, we view the Charter Revision
12	Commission as an opportunity. As an institution, OMB
13	values a cautious approach to fiscal planning.
14	However, we also value transparency and innovation and
15	do not have a monopoly on wisdom or ideas. With this
16	in mind, we look forward to reviewing the suggestions
17	that are made to this commission and the
18	recommendations that you will issue.
19	Thank you for the opportunity to speak
20	before you today.
21	MR. SCISSURA: Thank you very much.
22	MR. PENFOLD: Good afternoon. My name
23	is Matt Penfold, and I'm the assistant commissioner
24	for property tax research and analytics in the
25	Division of Tax Policy under the Department of

1 Finance.

2 I'm here to testify in front of the 3 commission today to speak about the work the department does on behalf of the city. The Department 4 5 of Finance is responsible for administering the tax laws and for collecting various types of tax revenue 6 7 on behalf of the city, the most notable being property tax, but also taxes including business corporation 8 9 tax, property transfer tax, utility tax, and 10 commercial rent tax. The Department of Finance collects over \$45 billion annually, revenue that makes 11 12 every city service possible. Public education, police and fire protection, hospitals and healthcare 13 14 facilities, parks and recreational centers, it all 15 starts with the Department of Finance. If you own a 16 home, drive a car, rent an apartment, or start a 17 business, you will interact with the Department of 18 Finance at some point.

All of these taxes come with a great deal of complexity for the taxpayers they apply to. The Department of Finance is around 2,000 employees that administer the tax and revenue laws of the city fairly, efficiently, and transparently to instill public confidence, encourage compliance, while providing exceptional customer service.

1	The Department of Finance endeavors to	
2	ensure fiscal responsibility. Public budgeting can be	
3	described as getting and spending. Our role is the	
4	getting. We work closely with the Office of	
5	Management and Budget and are responsible for setting	
6	and managing the budget. We assist by providing	
7	collections data, comparisons to previous years, and	
8	if there are any, highlight new tax policy proposals	
9	that might impact collections of any of the city's tax	
10	revenue sources. We communicate with the Office of	
11	Management and Budget regularly on collection numbers,	
12	ensure they are equipped with the most up-to-date	
13	information so budget decisions can be made with as	
14	much clarity as possible.	
15	Collections will fluctuate throughout	
16	the year and can be best summarized as there will be	
17	peaks and valleys. The city typically sees an influx	
18	of collections that breaks off at the beginning of the	
19	July, which is the start of the new tax year, but	
20	there will be periods throughout the year where	
21	certain collection streams take off. Understanding	
22	the amount of money that the city will collect and is	
23	therefore able to spend is a crucial part of	
24	government.	
25	Fiscal responsibility includes careful	

1 consideration when proposing changes to a tax system
2 or the collections process. One would find this is
3 not the only part of this equation. We have partners
4 in government that play a major role in this process.
5 For example, the City Council votes on the tax rate
6 for each of the city's four property tax classes each
7 year.

The council has similar authority over 8 9 the interest rates that are applied to late property 10 tax payments. Each of these authorities have 11 parameters that confine the council's latitude. At 12 the end of the day, they do govern its authority, 13 which can have major impact on the city's revenue. 14 More specifically, the interest rates that the city 15 sets on late property tax payments are based off 16 recommendations made by the New York City's banking 17 The banking commission makes these commission. recommendations based on a variety of factors, one of 18 19 the most important being interest rates that other 20 financial institutions are imposing.

21 Comparing interest rates is important 22 when thinking about the number of New Yorkers who 23 would be impacted and how this would impact future 24 collections. For example, if your credit card company 25 has an interest rate of 20 percent, your home repair

1	loan an interest of 15 percent, and your unpaid
2	property tax debt an interest of 2 percent, most New
3	Yorkers are going to prioritize the other payments
4	over their property taxes. This will impact the
5	city's revenue and therefore the overall budget.
6	In conclusion, it is our fundamental
7	belief that the city needs to make decisions
8	holistically, considering all the possible impacts,
9	not just on the city's budget, but on New Yorkers at
10	large. We are grateful for our partners in city
11	government that willingly take on this responsibility.
12	Thank you for allowing me the
13	opportunity to testify before you today.
14	MR. SCISSURA: Thank you very much.
15	Any questions or comments from
16	commissioners?
17	Yeah, Kyle?
18	MR. BRAGG: Thank you for your
19	testimony. I heard some synergies between what I've
20	heard from the Partnership, Kathy Wylde, concerns
21	regarding possibly legislation from the City Council
22	and the impact it might have on fiscal on the
23	health of the city but also its citizens. Am I right
24	in assuming that some of the recommendations that she
25	made are is where you are leaning to?

1	MR. BRISTOW: Just speaking from our
2	side, you know, we certainly are concerned about
3	legislation that that requires a you know,
4	fiscal impact or some sort of funding that occurs
5	outside the budget process. You know, the budget is
6	passed as, you know, as a way to predict the
7	expenditures for the for the upcoming fiscal year,
8	at least the upcoming financial plan, so then
9	legislation is then you know, that has fiscal
10	impact is legislated outside of that process, it, you
11	know, can have negative impact on on the way of
12	recommending the budget. Absolutely.
13	MR. BRAGG: Thank you.
14	DR. DUKES: Did I hear you say that you
15	do forecasts for preparing your budget?
16	MR. BRISTOW: Absolutely. There is,
17	you know, the budget itself, you know, is
18	DR. DUKES: Right.
19	MR. BRISTOW does you know, it
20	does
21	DR. DUKES: But when you say you do
22	forecasts, do you get any input from the citizens of
23	New York, or do you have hearings? I mean, what are
24	your forecasts coming from?
25	MR. BRISTOW: So you know, when I was

1 referring to forecasts just a moment ago, I was saying 2 that the, you know, the budget is -- is, you know, set 3 in place for a year, and that is, you know, to get 4 expenditures for a certain program area or for an 5 agency for the course of that year. As far as public input, however, you know, there is, you know, after 6 7 the January budget that's put out as well as the April budget that's put out, there's a series of hearings at 8 9 which OMB appears as well as all of the largest 10 agencies as well, and there's also a chance for public 11 comment in addition to that. 12 DR. DUKES: Just listening to our 13 assemblywoman, when you says that, what part of the 14 constituency of the city of people -- is it community 15 boards? Is it --16 MR. BRISTOW: So there's also a 17 built-in process for community boards to opine on the budget throughout the -- the course of the fiscal 18 19 And then it can be individual citizens. It can year. 20 be trade associations. It can be advocacy groups 21 that -- that deliver public testimony as well as input 22 that's solicited through elected officials who relay 23 it to the administration. That -- that, you know, 24 pathway is frequently used as well. 25 MR. SCISSURA: Great. Thank you very

	-
	Page 26
1	much.
2	MR. BRISTOW: Thanks.
3	MR. SCISSURA: Our next panel will be
4	the New York City Independent Budget Office, Louisa
5	Chafee, the director, will provide testimony.
6	We're ready when you are.
7	MS. CHAFEE: Okay. Is the acoustics
8	okay?
9	MR. SCISSURA: Yes.
10	MS. CHAFEE: Okay. Good evening,
11	Chairman Scissura, Vice Chair, Dr. Dukes, executive
12	director Savino, and members of the commission. I'm
13	Louisa Chafee, the director of the New York City
14	Independent Budget Office.
15	IBO is a nonpartisan, independent
16	government agency mandated by the New York City
17	charter. IBO's mission is to enhance public
18	understanding of the New York City budget, public
19	policy, and economy through independent analysis.
20	For the fiscal year that starts July
21	1st, 2024, the mayor's proposed budget totals \$111.6
22	billion. The city's budget is the fourth largest in
23	the country. The size alone warrants transparency.
24	You have IBO's written testimony, but
25	I'd like to focus on just a few points this evening.

1	The first, enhancing the city's budget
2	structure to promote transparency. Each year, when
3	the City Council adopts the budget, it authorizes
4	spending in a series of categories, referred to as
5	units of appropriation, or UAs. The idea was for the
6	budget to be assembled with clear, understandable
7	building blocks to show New Yorkers how the city
8	allocates its resources and to facilitate effective
9	oversight. Large mid-year shifts in spending between
10	one UA and another would require City Council
11	approval.
12	In practice though, neither the
13	original goal of transparency nor the City Council
14	review of major spending has really been realized.
15	Some agency budgets include UA categories that are
16	understandable and allow the public to see how
17	programs are funded. The UAs of the Department of
18	Social Services include legal service programs,
19	emergency food assistance, and Fair Shares, so they're
20	grouped by function, but the UAs for many other city
21	agencies are far more opaque. The budgets of all the
22	123 police precincts are combined into a single UA
23	along with all of NYPD's borough-wide offices and
24	various response units or divisions such as detective
25	work, forensic investigation, narcotics and strategic

response. It's a single UA, budgeted at \$1.5 billion
 out of a total agency budget of approximately 5.8
 billion.

New UAs are often added each year as a 4 5 part of budget negotiations, but there has not been a systematic approach to the dual challenge of ensuring 6 7 transparency and managerial flexibility. So IBO holds a public commission to examine the charter's UA 8 9 description in light of the goal of increased 10 transparency so that the public can clearly identify how each key function of any agency is provided and 11 12 funded.

13 My second point regards enhancing 14 accessibility of financial impact information. In the 15 launch of this Charter Revision Commission, the 16 mayor's press release stated that this commission is 17 charged "to evaluate processes for determining the financial impact to proposed legislation, whether the 18 19 financial impact is funded, and making that 20 information more transparent to the public." I'm 21 pleased to be here to discuss how IBO's work in 22 support -- do discuss IBO's work in support of these 23 goals. 24 The charter currently requires the City

24 The charter currently requires the City25 Council to prepare fiscal impact statements on each

1 proposed local law prior to a committee vote and 2 directs the IBO to prepare analyses when requested by 3 specific officials. IBO's ability to provide useful fiscal impact statement depends on one, the clarity of 4 5 the proposed legislative language; two, the existence and timeliness of data from the relevant agencies; and 6 7 three, the timing of the request relative to the potential council vote. 8 9 As a point of comparison, the 10 Congressional Budget Office, the federal equivalent of IBO, is legally mandated to price virtually all 11 12 Congressional enactments each year. However, its 13 staff is considerably larger than IBO's, and the 14 Congressional calendar affords significant time

15 between committee vote and adoption, and further, 16 while CPO prices the bills, most cost estimates 17 indicate negligible budgetary impact.

18 Here in New York City, in recent years, 19 the City Council has enacted between 125 and 175 local 20 The council's calendar between laws each year. 21 committee consideration and the full City Council vote 22 is generally extremely short. Similar to the federal 23 arena, most laws do not have significant budget 24 impacts, and some are drafted in a manner that makes 25 estimating costs very difficult.

1	So IBO welcomes a dialogue with the
2	commission as to how IBO might add value in this area,
3	particularly by analyzing proposals that have the
4	potential to trigger large cost increases in amounts
5	that would be material to the city's overall budget.
6	The third point I'd like to talk about
7	is ensuring cost-effective services to New Yorkers.
8	So New Yorkers are not well-served, unless city
9	agencies administer the budget both effectively and
10	efficiently, ensuring that the city gets real value
11	for its spending. Emergency contracting is ripe for
12	reform. IBO's analysis of an area such as
13	asylum-seeker services and the city's COVID-19
14	response raised concerns that the city has incurred
15	costs due to government agencies' continued reliance
16	on contracts that were first awarded at the height of
17	the crisis emergency contracts. It makes sense
18	that our agencies must award emergency contracts with
19	little if none competition in the crisis. But they
20	are often extended long past when it is both feasible
21	and prudent to seek other vendors at lower costs.
22	So IBO supports this commission's
23	examination to establish a two-year time limitation on
24	these contracts and only allowing ongoing use when
25	both the comptroller and the mayor renew their joint

1 determination that the emergency procurement method 2 continues to be appropriate. 3 IBO welcomes the opportunity to work 4 with you and your staff around these and other ideas 5 in the testimony in enhancing financial responsibility 6 and increasing transparency. I'm available to you if

7 you have any questions this evening or in the weeks to
8 come, and I thank you for the opportunity to testify.

9 MR. SCISSURA: Great. Thank you. I 10 want to just make sure I understand something. So is 11 it your testimony that you would like to see -- or IBO 12 would like to see for emergency contracts, two years 13 max?

MS. CHAFEE: IBO would recommend that -- that emergency contracts last two years, unless at the two-year mark, the two entities that must agree before an emergency contract begins, the mayor and the comptroller, again agree at two years that the emergency is still warranted.

20 MR. SCISSURA: Got it. So it's two 21 years max, subject to an agreement of those two 22 entities?

MS. CHAFEE: Exactly. And it's those
two officials that start the emergency contract.
MR. SCISSURA: Great. Thank you.

1	Anybody else?
2	MS. MCGRAW: Yeah, I'd like to
3	MR. SCISSURA: Ms. McGraw?
4	MS. MCGRAW: Yes. Hi. I'd like to
5	can you explain when you say, "emergency contracts,"
6	what would be considered as an emergency contract?
7	Are you saying that you want them to go on two-year
8	terms? What can you elaborate a little on that?
9	MS. CHAFEE: I'd be happy to, but I'll
10	try and not go into such depth that you fall asleep.
11	MR. SCISSURA: Thank you very much.
12	MS. CHAFEE: So there are many
13	different ways there are many different ways that
14	the city buys services, procures services through
15	competitive bidding, but also in very certain
16	circumstances when an official emergency has been
17	declared, the mayor and the comptroller can agree that
18	it is an emergency, and they will embark upon services
19	without any competition.
20	So for example, after Superstorm Sandy,
21	during the beginning of COVID, and again with the
22	asylum-seeker arrival beginning, there were situations
23	so sudden and so grave that the mayor and the
24	comptroller the two entities with the
25	responsibility agreed that this was not a place

1	where traditional competition should occur but rather
2	they would embark upon the emergency contract vehicle
3	is what it's called in the world of procurement, so
4	they do some special type of contract.
5	MS. MCGRAW: Thank you.
6	MS. CHAFEE: But it doesn't require
7	competition, and the pricing is often very high
8	compared to competition, because the reality is that
9	competition brings pricing down. So why spending of
10	dollars is often linked to some form of vendors
11	competing for business.
12	MS. MCGRAW: Thank you.
13	MR. LYNCH: I would just like to say,
14	that's a great way to put it, two years, and putting
15	that limitations on it, because many times you see
16	that city contracts are given out and these contracts
17	go on years and years and years, and then a contractor
18	will go from \$5 million to ten years later, we're
19	spending \$60 million on a contract, so I think that's
20	a great thing you pointed out.
21	We're talking about fiscal
22	responsibility and transparency, but we have these
23	contracts that are just going on without any
24	oversight, any interaction with these agency. They're
25	just going on, so this evening, just hearing about all

Page 34 1 the fiscal -- fiscal responsibility and how the --2 these agencies work together, it will help New 3 Yorkers, save them money, and also perhaps then help with the -- with the home -- with the real estate 4 5 issues that we talked about that are pricing people 6 out of the city. 7 MR. SCISSURA: Great. Thank you. 8 Okay. 9 Ms. Chafee, appreciate it, and we 10 appreciate your written testimony. It will give us 11 some work to do over the weekend. 12 MS. CHAFEE: Thank you. 13 MR. SCISSURA: I'm going to ask a 14 speaker to come up. 15 Richard -- I didn't know your name was 16 Richard, by the way -- Richard -- Richard 'Bo' Dietl. 17 Please come up and present your testimony. And following this, we will have the 18 19 Citizen's Budget Commission and Empire Center. 20 MR. DIETL: Thank you. 21 MR. SCISSURA: And we're going to ask 22 you to stay within three minutes if you can. 23 MR. DIETL: First of all, I want to 24 thank the commission and my friend over here, the 25 co-chairman over here, Hazel Dukes, I've known many,

Pa	ge	35

many years. It's an honor to be here with you and Mr.
 Chairman.

First of all, I -- I want to let people know I'm here now as a father and grandfather, and my family still lives in New York City, so this is one of the most important issues, I really believe, facing us right now.

For people who don't know my 8 9 background, I was a New York City homicide detective. 10 I retired after 15 years. My career in law 11 enforcement spans now almost 55 years of working in 12 security and investigations. Right now, I have a 13 company out of New York. I have over 500 employees. 14 Ninety-eight percent are minorities, and I continue to 15 work trying to protect the city. In the New York City 16 Police Department, I was involved with over 1500 17 felony arrests. I was involved with 80 citations. Ι was also one of the original decoys back in the early 18 19 '70s when we were surged with robberies. I used to 20 dress up with theatrical makeup and would go around 21 New York City and get mugged. I was stabbed, I was 22 shot up, I was hospitalized dozens of times. 23 I love this city, and that's why I'm

24 here today, and please bear with me a little bit. I 25 may ramble a little bit, but I think it's one of the

1	most important issues.
2	Right now, we have a major threat.
3	That's the public safety and crime that's going on out
4	there. My own family, my sons, my daughters, my
5	grandchildren, I have a really vested interest here.
6	The City Council's decisions are
7	impeding effective policing with excessive directives
8	on on bans, on restraints, in the name of
9	preventing excess force excessive force. The ban,
10	for instance, on a headlock. They call it a
11	chokehold. And this was never disputed or never
12	debated in the City Council. They have a diaphragm
13	law. I ask anyone, if you have someone resisting
14	arrest, and you're trying to handcuff them, I can take
15	a 90-year-old female, and if she's fighting with me,
16	it's going to be very, very difficult not to touch
17	them, and that's one of the issues that the City
18	Council the mayor put something out. The City
19	Council puts a directive, and all the sudden the
20	mayor the vetoes it, and the next thing, the
21	City Council overrides his veto.
22	The mayor's a former police captain.
23	He knows about policing, and the City Council comes,
24	and they put all these directives. Every time the
25	mayor tries to do something, it it doesn't happen.

1 This is really an important issue because of morale. 2 Now the NYPD's mandated to provide 3 detail reports. If someone goes up to a police officer on the street and asks them directions to 4 5 Times Square, they got to stop, and they got to print out a report onto their iPhone, and they got to send 6 7 All these things are only increasing. it in. The reporting's taking away from the actual policing. 8 9 This is contributing to the rising in crime and the 10 slow of the response time for serious crimes. This police officer's safety's at risk and prevent 11 12 effective policing in high-risk situations. Our 13 officers hands are tied due to these new policies, 14 making fewer arrests. 15 Crime statistics are falsely reported 16 as downward. The numbers are not accurate because 17 less cooperation from the public as the police are portrayed as their enemy. Additionally, less emphasis 18 19 on protecting and policing has allowed criminals to 20 become brazen to our police officers. We see it all 21 They spit at them, they do everything. the time. 22 In 2021, the city passed a significant 23 police reform legislation that included measures to 24 make it easier to sue police officers, the removal of 25 qualified immunity. Now you have a police officer

1 that comes on the force. All the sudden, he's trying 2 to do his job, he gets sued, not just losing his job, 3 he gets sued. The home that he's been paying into, they could take it away from it. Why don't -- why are 4 5 we losing the police officer? Why -- they retired and the best of these are going out. Without our police 6 7 officers, then we're going to have anarchy in this 8 city.

9 New York's anti-mask law, that was put 10 into effect because of COVID. That was in 2020, but right now, we have facial recognition, and we all 11 12 know, and we all could read the newspapers, we have 13 people coming through our southern border, coming into 14 New York City, and then they're coming into New York 15 Some of these on the terrorist watch list. City. 16 When they wear a mask, you can't facially identify 17 them as being terrorists. And it's going to happen in New York. I was here 9/11. I went down there. Even 18 19 though I was retired, I went down there the first day. 20 I stayed for day after day. I remember 9/11, and this 21 is one of the issues.

Immigration/migration, look it. I am really out there for the American Dream. I see families. I see ladies sitting on the street with their babies on their back, selling fruit. I feel for

1 that. I'm really open for that. That's not what I'm 2 worried about. 3 I'm worried about the criminal element 4 of gangs, i.e. those two officers in Queens that were

5 shot by an illegal from Venezuela who had been arrested on other crimes, but we can't turn him over 6 7 to ICE. You tell me why when you're a person who gets arrested on a felony, and you're illegally in this 8 9 country, why can't we turn it over to ICE? It's time 10 for that to change, and I know I -- I talked to this mayor before. I know he tries to go, and every time 11 12 he tries to go with something, the City Council

13 overrides his veto.

14

My own son was hit --

MR. SCISSURA: We're going to ask youto wrap up if you can.

MR. DIETL: Okay. I got -- right here. My own son was hit by an illegal scooter going to lunch from my office. He had a severe injury to his knee, ACL, he had to get operated on. Okay, real -we'll wrap it up.

Officers are feeling unsupported by the city leadership. Officers' hands are tied. We need to strip the power of the progressive City Council and give it back to this mayor who knows about law and

order and can help the police officers in this city,
'cause without the police, we are going to be in real
trouble, and I'll tell you today you can mark my
words we will have a terrorist attack soon. We
don't have any idea. We have no identity on these
people that have come through our southern border, and
if we don't turn them over to ICE, there are a lot of
people on that watch list that are going to cause
destruction.
I'm worried about my grandson that's
sitting over there, my daughter, and my family. I'm
not here as a cop. I'm here as a New York citizen to
say please, please, we have to be together, and we
have to fight this crime wave that is destroying our
beautiful City of New York. Everybody says, "Bo. You
got a lot of money. Move to Florida." I'm not moving
to Florida. I'm staying. You got to support this
city to make this city safe for everyone. Please.
MR. SCISSURA: Thank you very much.
MR. DIETL: Thank you.
MR. SCISSURA: Yes?
MS. ROWE-ADAMS: What a presentation.
MR. DIETL: Thank you.
MS. ROWE-ADAMS: It is the truth, and
that's why we're here, not only for public safety, but

1	the city period, being safe, and people like you to
2	speak up and speak out. How do you see our Charter
3	Revision Commission working on this mission?
4	MR. DIETL: Okay. Thank you. That's a
5	very beautiful question.
6	MR. SCISSURA: Big question.
7	MR. DIETL: Okay. What we can actually
8	do is put it on the ballot in November to give the
9	policing policy I'm talking about law
10	enforcement crime in New York City is the biggest
11	issue in my heart. Now I believe in the housing and
12	all that, but I'm talking about the crime. Put it on
13	the ballot in November. Let the people of New York
14	vote if they want to support our cops and support our
15	mayor to make our city safer. That's what I'd like to
16	do. I'll show you. People from all over our city
17	will vote to support our police, 'cause that's what we
18	want to do, be safe.
19	My grandson can't walk around. The
20	other day he was attacked. My daughters, they get
21	attacked. I am scared. I carry a gun. They can't.
22	I'm scared for everyone in your families up here.
23	This is real.
24	MR. SCISSURA: Thank you.
25	MR. DIETL: Thank you.

1	MR. SCISSURA: Yes, Mr. Rose?
2	MR. ROSE: Thanks for your testimony.
3	Nice to see you.
4	MR. DIETL: Thank you.
5	MR. ROSE: I'm just confused about one
6	thing. All right? Just a couple questions. One, do
7	you see any point of the City Council?
8	MR. DIETL: No. It's not the point of
9	the City Council. It's the point about that what do
10	they know actually? When we had the headlock, the
11	choke thing that came in from that incident that
12	that happened in Staten Island, all of a sudden, I
13	used the the I call it a headlock. I used it
14	hundreds of times. We have to take each one of these
15	directives and evaluate what it is. A chokehold is a
16	chokehold. A headlock is a headlock.
17	MR. ROSE: I get that. I guess, I'm
18	just asking a more philosophical question here, but in
19	democracy, right, and in any legislature, people
20	legislate all the time about things that they don't
21	have any immediate experience of. You see it in
22	Congress. There's people sitting on the Armed
23	Services Committee that have never served in the
24	military and so on and so forth.
25	So I guess I'm trying to ask you here,

1	do you think that any city council is unprepared to
2	legislate on public safety issues, or is your problem
3	about this City Council in New York City?
4	MR. DIETL: That's a very good
5	question. You know, you have an executive branch.
6	Our mayor's the executive branch. When you you
7	could put an emergency executive order that we will
8	turn over any felony people that are in this country
9	illegal to ICE. The mayor could do that. And the
10	City Council doesn't have to do it. That's why you
11	have an executive branch, and then you have the
12	law-making branch that you are part of.
13	My point is we could work with the City
14	Council, but when they override vetoes that this mayor
15	knows are wrong, what do you do to stop it? I say we
16	have a democracy in New York and America; right? And
17	in New York. Why not put it on the ballot in
18	November, our public safety and policing and let the
19	people of New York. I don't care if we win by one
20	vote. Democracy is the should be the ones that
21	control.
22	MR. ROSE: I get it. And thank you for
23	the clarification.
24	By the way, with that tan, you look
25	like you spent at least some time in Florida.

1	MR. DIETL: Well, I'm going to tell you
2	something, I'd rather be here in New York fighting for
3	New York City for all the people and a lot of people
4	don't know. When I was a decoy, I used to decoy up in
5	Harlem when they told me you can't go to Harlem, Bo.
6	It's too dangerous. I said, "Well, I'm going up there
7	to protect the people," and that's what I'm here
8	today. You want to see some knife wounds on me? I
9	got them. I was hospitalized dozens of times.
10	MR. SCISSURA: We can see that
11	post-charter.
12	MR. DIETL: All right. All right. And
13	thank you so much. You guys and the other lady before
14	said you're not going to do anything. I think you can
15	do something. Let's let the people of New York vote
16	for public safety. Thank you very much.
17	MR. SCISSURA: Thank you very much. We
18	appreciate your thoughts.
19	All right. Let me welcome Andrew Rein,
20	the president of Citizens' Budget Commission.
21	MR. REIN: How you doing?
22	MR. SCISSURA: And E.J. McMahon from
23	Empire Center for Public Policy and the Manhattan
24	Institute.
25	And Dean Crowell, I thanked you

1 earlier, but I will thank you now that you're actually 2 I appreciate you allowing us to visit and spend here. some time at New York Law School. What do you say, 3 4 you are -- we are New York's law school; is that 5 right? Well, please give a round of applause 6 7 to Dean Anthony Crowell. MR. SCISSURA: Oh, actually, I'm being 8 9 told that Professor Mitchell Moss, you are going to 10 join this panel as well. So it's great to see you. 11 Please join us. 12 But gentlemen, you can begin. 13 MR. REIN: Thanks for the opportunity 14 to speak right after Bo Dietl. Good evening. Thank you for the 15 16 opportunity to participate and thank you all for your 17 service to the people of New York. 18 We're -- I'm Andrew Rein, president of 19 the Citizens' Budget Commission. We are at your 20 service to help you through this process, not only 21 with our recommendations but providing any feedback on 22 issues that you want to raise to us. 23 We're pleased to provide our following 24 recommendations and options, which are briefly 25 reviewed. We've provided a more detailed memo. Given

1	the CRC's accelerated timeframe, these are
2	preliminary, and we're providing some of the details,
3	and we'll get them to you, of course, in time so you
4	could do your work both fast and with high quality.
5	We have seven preliminary recommendations, focused on
6	three areas. First are five to improve the city's
7	fiscal integrity and stability.
8	The number one is to create a rainy-day
9	fund deposit, withdrawal, and balance rules. The last
10	rainy-day fund created the last charter revision
11	commission created the rainy-day fund. This is the
12	Charter Revision Commission that can bring it home
13	with those rules. Currently, deposits aren't
14	mandated, making it unlikely the city's going to make
15	sufficient deposits in good times to protect New
16	Yorkers in the future.
17	Furthermore, you can actually use the
18	funds for almost anything. At minimum, the charter
19	should require mandatory deposits, withdrawals be
20	limited to a recession or severe emergency, and the
21	target size. We're currently developing more specific
22	language to include the economic conditions under
23	which to require those deposits, guidance on those
24	mandatory deposits, and how to define a recession or a
25	severe emergency.

1	Second, we have a retiree health
2	benefits trust. We think it should be created in the
3	charter and established rules. Right now, it's
4	established in law, and it's frankly used as a de
5	facto rainy-day fund. The charter shoulder establish
6	the RHBT to ensure it's available to accumulate the
7	resources so that we can pay the city's long-term
8	liability for retiree benefits, and the charter should
9	also require annual deposits and start to fill up that
10	fund so that we have that money available for those
11	retirees and also can limit the eliminate the
12	ability to use it as a rainy-day fund, 'cause now we
13	got a good one.
14	The last the next two can be seen as
15	a pair to improve the accuracy and relevance of the
16	legislative and executive fiscal elements
17	estimates, and these have long been an issue, but
18	they've come to a head in the last year.
19	The next recommendation is to
20	strengthen the requirements for legislative fiscal
21	impact statements. I've heard these discussed today.
22	Legislation has often been introduced and sometimes
23	passed that has massive and potentially destabilizing
24	fiscal impacts. Charter-required added sunshine can
25	increase the likelihood that fiscal needs are

1	considered when passing this legislation. The charter
2	should first require that impact statements be
3	published much earlier, so the council and the public
4	can have time to consider them, and then to increase
5	the likelihood that long-term affordability is
6	actually better promoted and considered by the
7	council, CBC is developing two possible approaches.
8	One is through to require the fiscal
9	impact statement to identify a preferred way to pay
10	for it, whether to be offsetting savings or locally
11	controlled revenues. That's not to lock it in and
12	require it, but to put it on record, saying how are we
13	going to pay for this big piece of legislation.
14	And the other is whether and how to
15	limit the city's obligation to implement newly acted
16	policy that has major budget implications if it has
17	not been incorporated into the budget. Of course,
18	such a requirement only should be designed so that it
19	does not remove the appropriate authority of the
20	legislative branch. This is a delicate balance.
21	On the executive side, our
22	recommendation is to improve the accuracy of financial
23	plan estimates. The charter has a requirement that
24	revenues and expenditure estimates be reasonable and
25	appropriate, and that's good, but it should be

bolstered. Underbudgeting has increased to
 unprecedented levels, distorting the budget picture
 and the fiscal debate.

CBC's recommending three improvement 4 5 approach, the first being to require the city to provide details of its estimates if the city and state 6 7 comptrollers identify that those estimates are varying significantly from their own estimates. Second is to 8 9 require the executive to explain if there is 10 significant year-to-year changes such as when dollars fall off the fiscal cliff, but the program is -- is 11 12 assumed to continue. That deserves an explanation. 13 And the third is to consider whether there should be 14 comptroller certification.

15 The fifth fiscal -- impact of the fifth 16 fiscal recommendation is to cap debt service at 15 17 percent of tax revenue so that debt is not only affordable for the economy but for the operating 18 19 budget and does not squeeze out other priorities. 20 Two quick ones to go. The second improvement area is management quality and efficiency. 21 22 We need quality management, and we need delivering 23 good services to the people. Performance management

requires both robust data and a management review andaccountability process. The charter establishes the

1 mayor's manager comport, but to complement that, the 2 charter should set forth the framework, the 3 management, planning, scope, periodicity, how often, 4 and the reporting of a comprehensive performance 5 management process.

And our final area of improvement is to 6 improve resident feedback. The charter should require 7 the city to conduct and publish a statistically valid 8 9 resident feedback survey at least once every five 10 years with results of the community board level so we know what every neighborhood's thinking, and by 11 12 different demographic groups, race, ethnicity, income 13 level, so we can say, find out straight from New 14 Yorkers what is the quality of city services and what is the quality of life. 15 That feedback straight from 16 New Yorkers is what city government needs to deliver 17 to New Yorkers.

With that, I'm happy to answer any
questions, and thank you again for the opportunity to
be here, and thank you again for your service.

21MR. SCISSURA: Andrew, appreciate you,22as always.23MR. REIN: That I can speak this fast?

24 But it's great to be able to do.

MR. SCISSURA: I'm going to let the

25

1 other two panelists go, and then we'll -- we'll do 2 questions if we have any. 3 Sir, you're up. 4 MR. MCMAHON: Thank you very much. 5 Thank you for this opportunity to speak to you tonight on this important subject. 6 7 From a historical standpoint, this is very well-timed, because remind you as we -- as we 8 9 gather here this evening, we're approaching the 50th 10 anniversary of the fiscal crisis, which was the city's virtual bankruptcy, which is the event that shaped 11 12 many of the -- of the -- much of the budget process 13 that we're talking about had a profound influence on 14 the charter provisions as they now stand. The budget 15 process that developed in the wake of that crisis as 16 shaped by city charter and state law I think has --17 has paid numerous dividends and strengthened and improved the budget process a lot, but that doesn't 18 19 mean updates are not clearly in order after all these 20 years. 21 You've already heard a lot of good 22 ideas in several subjects here this -- this evening or 23 this afternoon. I agree with -- with much of what 24 Andrew just -- just proposed, particularly in -- for 25 greater accountability and better -- better financial

1 impact statements. Also what was suggested by the IBO 2 director and especially, for instance, the two-year 3 contract limit and again, strength in role for IBO in 4 doing -- more regularly doing estimates of major 5 proposals.

I'm going to touch on three areas, two 6 7 of which are -- you've heard these other speakers touch on, one of which is the first to raise. The 8 9 first stems from what's the largest wild card in the 10 state's -- in the city's current financial plan, which 11 is the City Council's expansion of the Family 12 Homelessness and Eviction Prevention Supplement 13 program, also known as FHEPS or FHEPS. I'll go with 14 FHEPS at the risk of annoving people. The five-year 15 cost estimates for the -- for the entitlement 16 expansion enacted by the council range from a low of 17 just under \$11 billion, of which is the council's estimate, to over \$17 billion, which is the mayor's 18 19 estimate.

Even if the lower figure is accurate, this may rank as the most expensive initiative ever launched by the council or any council outside the budget process. As the social services commissioner said in her December letter to the deputy council speaker, the local laws creating or expanding the

1 program, "Raised substantial financial, operational, 2 and legal issues."

However, putting those issues aside, 3 aside from all the substance associated with this 4 5 policy change, the way the FHEPS expansion came about via four local laws enacted outside the budget 6 7 process, kind of beside it, but outside it, clearly violated the spirit if not the letter of the city 8 9 charter. As you know, the city now faces a class 10 action lawsuit on this matter aimed at forcing the mayor to implement the program. The plaintiffs' legal 11 12 brief in that case asserts among other things that, 13 "No New York City charter provision supports the 14 notion that a local law must be specifically funded by 15 an appropriation for its implementation to be valid." 16 Now, it could be argued in response to 17 that that the charter implicitly requires an appropriation for such expenditures, but in any case, 18 19 lawsuit aside, the requirement needs to be clarified 20 once and for all. 21 So I began with in this first area, 22 three specific recommendations, the wording of the 23 provision changes I -- I give here, but the most 24 important is no local law requiring additional city

25 funds expenditures shall take place unless supported

by a separate and distinct item of appropriation.
 That's basic.

3 Another, repeating a figure that was mentioned in the IBO testimony earlier, when it comes 4 5 to big, very significant program expansions, no separate and distinct appropriation with a certified 6 7 fiscal impact of \$100 million or more in additional city funds when fully effected should begin to take 8 9 effect in any year unless contingent upon and linked 10 to reductions totally the same or more in other city funds expenditures. Provided, however, this 11 12 requirement could be waived by a mayor.

13 By the way, my \$100 million, as was 14 mentioned in Kathy Wylde's testimony, \$100 million is 15 the figure in the financial emergency law that 16 represents the maximum deficit the city can run before 17 triggering a control period, or at least used to. My next recommendation is -- is aimed 18 19 at dealing with -- with an issue that Andrew raised 20 via the CBC, which is, as he said, underbudgeting in 21 the mayor's financial plan has reached unprecedented 22 levels. This has been a long-time feature and syndrome of city budgeting. It didn't begin with this 23 24 It goes back at least to the early Guiliani mayor. 25 But it has been quite extreme in recent years. days.

1	For instance, in the fiscal '25 plan, examples include
2	overtime costs which the city comptroller estimates
3	will total a billion dollars more than the mayor
4	estimates and the remainder of this year and all of
5	next, and welfare cash assistance which the
6	comptroller says the mayor is low-balling to the tune
7	of \$500 million next year. The state comptroller's
8	report, I think, roughly agreed with that, and both of
9	the comptroller estimates are far more credible than
10	the estimate in the mayor's financial plan.
11	To deal with that issue, I suggest I
12	have a similar suggestion to CBC's, but starting
13	earlier in the process, as follows: any budget line
14	for which the mayor's financial plan forecasts a
15	year-to-year expenditure decrease shall be accompanied
16	by a detailed supporting statement explaining why and
17	how such a decrease is expected to materialize. That
18	doesn't happen now. It just puts it blithely stated.
19	It needs to be backed up with details so that it can
20	be considered and and its credibility can be
21	weighed.
22	I want to one last area I'm going to
23	talk about deals with the subject that was the basic
24	underlying original sin behind the fiscal crisis,
25	which is the use of long-term and and borrowed

1	money to cover operating expenses and the expense
2	budget. You've got a charter provision then on
3	section 217-C that was designed to cover that by
4	saying the city may issue capital debt only to finance
5	capital projects, and the capital budget may not
6	include expense items that are properly includable
7	only in the expense budget. That's designed to
8	prevent what the city was doing for 15 years or 14
9	before before it collapsed.
10	Nonetheless, in fiscal years 2002 and
11	2003, the city's budget was balanced in part by \$2.1
12	billion in fiscal recovery notes issued by the
13	transitional finance authority in the wake of 9/11.
14	Approval for that borrowing authority came from the
15	legislature days after the 9/11, the World Trade
16	Center attack. But it quickly became apparent, while
17	the human cost of of the World Trade Center attack
18	was incalculable, the actual fiscal impact in terms of
19	reconstruction and recovery costs on the city was
20	minimized by by a very generous outpouring of
21	federal aid.
22	Those two bond issues' total of \$2.1
23	billion beginning under Mayor Guiliani and again under
24	the first year of Mayor Bloomberg essentially served
25	to paper over a budget gap that was clearly present in

1	Mayor Guiliani's last budget before the attack. It
2	was basically a garden putting aside the very
3	serious attack, the fiscal situation really amounted
4	to a aside from that, which was covered by the federal
5	government. It was a garden-variety fiscal crisis
6	shortfall driven by a recession and by expenditures
7	running in excess of likely revenues.
8	We ended up it took it took 20
9	years to pay off that debt to the tune of up to \$180
10	million a year. As recently as 2019, there was \$558
11	million in recovery bonds still outstanding. That
12	should not happen again. Mayor De Blasio in 2020
13	attempted to repeat that and sought state authority to
14	issue \$5 billion in bonds to help cover anticipated
15	revenue shortfalls due to the COVID crisis. That
16	proposal was slow-walked, sidetracked by then Governor
17	Cuomo, and ultimately proved moot because of all the
18	federal aid the city got.
19	But the precedent is there, and that
20	door should be shut, and the way to do it is easy, and
21	there should be a an added provision in the charter
22	that reads as follows: proceeds of long-term bonds
23	and notes shall in no case be used to pay for
24	operating expenses. Full stop.
25	I'll conclude there. Thank you for the

	Page 58
1	opportunity, and I look forward to answering any
2	questions.
3	MR. SCISSURA: Thank you.
4	Professor Mitchell Moss, it's always a
5	pleasure to see you.
6	MR. MOSS: Thank you, Chairman
7	Scissura. I just want to thank you, the members of
8	the panel, for inviting me, and I just also want to
9	acknowledge my friend, Anthony Crowell, President and
10	Dean of New York Law School for making the space
11	available for this hearing.
12	My name is Mitchell Moss. I have been
13	a resident of New York for most of my life. I've been
14	teaching at NYU since 1973 including the past 53 years
15	courses on New York City, and I just want to say that
16	I'm a graduate of every public school I've ever
17	attended in New York. Public School 3, Public School
18	137, Forest Hills High School.
19	And my message is very simple. It's
20	going to be very brief, and I'm going to live within
21	the three-minute time limit.
22	We cannot take the strength of the
23	city's economy for granted. We've been living in
24	unusual times. We have the benefit of Nancy Pelosi
25	giving \$5 billion to the City of New York, which the

previous mayor found a way to spend as quickly as he could. We also have benefitted from a almost zero percent interest rate, which has allowed revenues to boom as well as the equity market, and I think we should be recognizing that these special moments are not going to continue forever.

7 Simply put, we need a new culture of fiscal prudence in making any commitments to spending 8 9 funds collected by those who work here, live here, 10 study here, shop here, and visit. Because \$80 billion 11 according to the New York City Office of Management 12 and Budget -- \$80 billion is money that we collect. 13 We get very little from the federal government, and 14 despite all the attention about who the president is 15 and the members of the Congress, they only give us 16 about \$10 billion a year, and the state gives us \$20 17 billion, and that's all categorical money, so the future is in our hands, not who we elect to go to 18 19 Washington, and I think this is very important and why 20 the mayor is such a pivotal force in determining the 21 future of the city.

22 So if you can recognize that the 23 federal spending for the pandemic which helped us 24 immensely is over or just about over and that we're 25 not going to see that repeat itself in the interim,

1	the question's what should we do, and let me say
2	Albany thinks it's much tougher times in the city,
3	because Albany is dependent almost two-thirds on the
4	income tax. It's a much narrower fiscal base. It's
5	much more fragile. It's not as well run as the city,
6	which is why New York City has much more people
7	working in the government than the state of New York.
8	That's true if you look at the state rules and what
9	they pay, and I'm not even going to go into the city
10	more. I'm not even talking about living in Albany.
11	Now what do we mean by fiscal prudence?
12	I think it has to be a core value. You can't put it
13	in the charter, but it's got to be a core value
14	underlying any time you decide to expand or launch a
15	new program. And since the Budget Commission that
16	we've heard today has talked about the importance of
17	having stronger methods of identifying the fiscal
18	impact of new spending, I have a suggestion that's
19	simpler. We cannot allow the people who propose
20	spending to judge its impact. This is not something
21	that's so profound. If someone's going to say, "We
22	need this," we should have someone decide whether it's
23	warranted and what the cost will be.
24	And by doing that, I suggest we have

25 the Office of Management and Budget and the IBO, two

1 organizations who don't agree on many things, be involved in reviewing what the City Council wants to 2 3 Rather than let the City Council determine what do. to do and how to spend it, we should have an 4 5 independent city agency -- two city agencies -- be involved in making sure that that spending is prudent. 6 7 This allows us to do it without hiring new outside consultants or contractors or professional experts, 8 9 but by simply using the brains we have on the city 10 payroll to make sure that the energy and talent of the City Council is allowed to work its will without any 11 12 constraint.

13 The second point I want to make -- and 14 this is a little different -- is this: the Office of 15 Management and Budget does a phenomenal job presenting 16 the budget and then reviewing it and projecting the 17 revenues. You've heard this already. I believe we don't do enough though. We should determine what is 18 19 the share of the city's spending as its share of the 20 total city's economic product. In other words, we 21 shouldn't allow spending by the city government to 22 grow faster than the economy of the city as a whole, because we depend on that economy to pay for the 23 24 police, to pay for billions of gallons of water 25 delivered every day, to educate 900,000 students, to

provide 1.5 million emergency medical calls, and to
 operate 30,000 acres of public park, 6,000 streets,
 and about 879 bridges.

4 Simply put, the -- we are dependent 5 upon the economy which generates the revenue and that has to be considered, so we cannot be growing our 6 7 expenses faster than the sources of that, which are the economy itself. And how do we do this? 8 I want to 9 propose that we draw upon the City University of New 10 York's Institute for State and Local Finance run by former Commissioner of Corrections Michael Jacobson, a 11 12 former deputy mayor, Mark Sure, to provide the 13 technical expertise working with OMB to provide this 14 analysis required every year in January when the mayor 15 presents his budget. 16 Thank you very much. 17 MR. SCISSURA: Thank you very much. This was a lot -- a lot to take 18 Okav. 19 I'm going to turn it over to anyone who has in. 20 questions. But I think you gave us homework to do 21 this weekend.

22 MR. LYNCH: I just had a question. If 23 you could expand on the retiree health benefits trust? 24 I think you were talking about the benefits and how 25 the city makes payment based on when it was secure and

1	then the excess money?
2	MR. MCMAHON: So the retiree health
3	benefits trust in concept is a trust so that the city
4	puts in money to pay for retiree health benefits. You
5	work for the city, you get retiree health benefits.
6	I'm eligible. Thank you. And and every year, when
7	people current workers are are working,
8	they're they're getting rights to benefits that'll
9	be paid later. So the conceptual idea is let's fill
10	up this trust akin to a pension fund that when those
11	bills come due.
12	That's not how we use it. We basically
13	use it just to pay each year's bill. You know, every
14	year. At a certain point, those bills are going to go
15	up, and and we have a big liability, and
16	something's going to really hit the operating budget.
17	The the way it's structured though, 'cause we
18	couldn't have a real rainy-day fund until it was
19	created in the last charter revision commission, is
20	Mayor Bloomberg, Mayor De Blasio used it as a
21	rainy-day fund, 'cause they didn't have another thing.
22	What we're saying now that we have a
23	rainy-day fund, let's not use it that way. Let's use
24	it for its purpose, and it costs a lot of money to
25	fill up that fund every year. Let's pay the current

1	bill. Then let's add a little more, and over time, we
2	can start to build up that fund so that when those
3	retirees need those benefits, the money's there, and
4	they're not hitting that year's city's tax base
5	exponentially just to pay for, you know, your kids'
6	your your you know, the teacher you had in first
7	grade. Does that make sense?
8	MR. LYNCH: Yeah.
9	MR. MCMAHON: Okay. Thank you.
10	MR. SCISSURA: So I have a question for
11	Professor Moss. Your trigger of OMB and IBO, do you
12	have a numerical amount? Are you are you sticking
13	to the hundred million, as a few people said already?
14	MR. MOSS: You know, I have to know my
15	limits. I'll let the bookkeepers next to me have
16	that.
17	MR. SCISSURA: Then Mr. McMahon, 217-C
18	that you spoke about, would you eliminate that with
19	your wording that you closed with?
20	MR. MCMAHON: No. I would add just
21	add to it.
22	MR. SCISSURA: So you would keep 217-C?
23	MR. MCMAHON: It's would be
24	deliberate redundancy.
25	MR. SCISSURA: I'm sorry?

Page 65 1 MR. MCMAHON: Deliberate redundancy. 2 Just to make it crystal clear, you -- you should not 3 do this. 4 MR. SCISSURA: Got it. 5 MR. MCMAHON: Do not borrow your way 6 to -- into insolvency. 7 MR. SCISSURA: Got it. MR. MCMAHON: Or risk it. 8 9 MR. SCISSURA: I think we can -- we can 10 all agree we never want a repeat of the 1970s. 11 Okay. Any other questions? 12 I imagine that we will hear some 13 follow-up, particularly from you, Andrew. 14 MR. REIN: We will -- we will provide 15 some follow-ups and details and language to help be 16 more specific. 17 MR. SCISSURA: And we may have you back. We may have you back again. 18 19 MR. REIN: Anything we can do to help. 20 MR. SCISSURA: I appreciate you all. 21 Thank you very much. 22 MR. MCMAHON: Thank you. 23 MR. MOSS: Thank you. 24 MR. REIN: Thank you. 25 MR. SCISSURA: I believe our Okay.

1	city comptroller, Brad Lander, has joined us, so
2	Comptroller Lander, your timing is actually
3	impeccable.
4	We are ready whenever you are.
5	MR. LANDER: All right. Good evening.
6	Thank you to Chair Scissura and all the members of the
7	Charter Revision Commission including many, many
8	friends. It's I'm grateful for the opportunity to
9	testify before you tonight.
10	I'm New York City Comptroller Brad
11	Lander, charged with the responsibility of being a
12	steward of the city's fiscal health and attending to
13	its fiscal responsibility, and so I'm especially
14	grateful to be here tonight to testify on that theme.
15	I'll be outlining five proposals to
16	strengthen the city's fiscal management, all of which
17	are in this report which we've just given to you guys
18	that we released last week. These proposals would
19	ensure that we one, accumulate sufficient reserves for
20	times of economic recession, as you heard on the last
21	panel. Two, run city agencies more efficiently while
22	avoiding cuts to essential services. Three, maintain
23	the affordability of the city's debt. Four, overhaul
24	our capital planning for a range of reasons to better
25	face the future given the aging infrastructure and the

1 era of the climate crisis. And fifth, start paying 2 our vendors including our MWBEs and our number of 3 human service providers on time. I won't read everything that's in my 4 5 written testimony, which you have before you in addition to the report. You know, but I think it is 6 7 just worth noting here we are, just about five decades on from the fiscal crisis. Next year will be the 50th 8 9 anniversary. And at -- you know, that was caused in 10 part by changes in the broader -- broader global 11 economy, but in part by the city's own weaknesses in 12 its fiscal management that we did not know what 13 resources were coming and what obligations we had

14 coming out of that. As a result of the Financial 15 Emergency Act, a really sound set of fiscal management 16 practices were put in place that have served us very 17 well for the last 50 years. But 50 years later, it's 18 time to take a serious look at what 21st century 19 fiscal management should look like, and that really is 20 what we detail here.

21 So first builds -- and three of them 22 are pretty close to what Citizens' Budget Commission 23 is proposing, so I won't go into excruciating detail, 24 but number one is to put in the charter, require the 25 adoption of a rainy-day fund policy to make sure that

1	there's a clear target for where it's supposed to be
2	and a formula for annual contributions and rules for
3	withdrawals rather than leaving that to the
4	vicissitudes of each annual budget negotiation. What
5	we're proposing is that you say in the charter the
6	city, the mayor, the council, and the comptroller have
7	to work together to adopt that policy.
8	We have made recommendations for what
9	the policy should be, but I don't think you should put
10	the policy in the charter. I think you should mandate
11	the development of a policy. Our proposal is
12	sufficient reserves for the length of an average
13	recession, so you could get through a recession. That
14	would be 16 percent of revenues.
15	We propose a deposit formula that's a
16	little complicated, but that would automate when
17	you've got a little more tax revenue each year, half
18	of it would go into reserves automatically, and so you
19	build up the reserves without having to have the
20	council and the mayor kind of fighting it out about
21	what that should be.
22	And third, that you only do withdrawals
23	in periods of general recession, and you can see our
24	proposal in detail, but what we propose is that you
25	mandate the establishment of a formula and some rules,

1	because right now, the voters said, "Let's create a
2	rainy-day fund," but there's no requirements to
3	actually fill it up. So it's like, a kind of
4	half-empty vessel with no clarity about how things get
5	in it or how things get out of it.
6	Second, we propose a formula for a
7	better way of looking at city savings programs.
8	The the way that the budget process works under the
9	Fiscal Emergency Act is four adjustments each year,
10	and the rules for what has to be in them is pretty
11	straightforward, but it doesn't include savings and
12	efficiencies, and so mayors, at their own,
13	essentially, kind of whim or decision, decide when to
14	do PEG announcements programs to eliminate the
15	gap but it's not in any predictable time horizon,
16	and so they often come at the last minute. There's
17	two weeks, agencies, give us your savings, and it
18	doesn't allow commissioners to do long-term planning.
19	There's no incentives to think over a
20	few years and try to come up with things that actually
21	will save money in the long term, to think what could
22	we do less of? I know what I'd like to do more of.
23	If I could phase this down, I can phase that up, so we
24	outline a way that that could be built into the
25	budgeting process to help us achieve savings without

1 cutting essential services.

2 And one part of that is to require that 3 agencies have on their budgets the claims that the city pays out as a result of harms caused by those 4 5 agencies. Right now, if it's a traffic crash caused by a city vehicle or an incident of police misconduct, 6 7 those are paid out of the general fund. If you move responsibility for those payments onto the books of 8 9 the city agency, then they would have a financial 10 incentive to bring them down. They could keep some of the savings and 11 12 invest them in programs that they believe are needed 13 and save the city money. 14 Third is a proposal to address a debt 15 affordability, and I'm not going to go into in detail 16 here, but basically, we have a debt management policy 17 that we shouldn't spend more than 15 percent of our annual budget on debt service, 'cause we need the rest 18 19 for our teachers and our firefighters and our social 20 services and our public safety, but there's no clear 21 policy for actually maintaining it. We have a 22 proposal for how to guarantee that we stay below 15 percent of our spending on debt service that could be 23 24 put in the charter and would help make sure that is --25 works over time.

1	Fourth and Chair, I know this one
2	will be close to your heart is to overhaul our
3	approach to infrastructure and capital planning.
4	Right now, honestly, we have no idea what it would
5	cost to put our infrastructure in the state of good
6	repair. We do an annual
7	MR. SCISSURA: A lot of money.
8	MR. LANDER: Well, yes. But we spend a
9	lot of money on an annual assessment that doesn't tell
10	us anything the AIMS report is, but we did an audit of
11	it. It's basically totally worthless. And some of
12	that is because of what's required by the charter and
13	not required by the charter. So you could adjust the
14	charter to require an assessment that's actually
15	usable in the budget, and a budget that sets
16	priorities instead of being, kind of, an agency
17	laundry list. And we detail in here the Government
18	Finance Officers' Association and Municipal Financial
19	Officers' Association have a set of best practices
20	that we believe the city should adopt.
21	And last, but not least, is to mandate
22	timeframes for each stage of the procurement process.
23	I don't know how many people of you or how many people
24	in this room have done city procurement with a
25	nonprofit or an MWBE, but you know, the average

1	nonprofit contract is not registered, doesn't arrive
2	at my office for registration until ten months after
3	its effective date. It's really unconscionable. It's
4	bad financial practice. We, by the charter, have a
5	30-day deadline for registering or returning a
6	contract, and we've done over 30,000 contracts just in
7	this term, the last three years, and we've met our
8	30-day timeline on every single one.
9	No one else in the process has a
10	mandated timeline, and so they go over, so our
11	proposal here is that the charter would mandate that
12	the procurement policy board set timelines for each
13	step of the process so that we can hold the agencies
14	themselves, the contracting agencies, DOE and all the
15	others, and the oversight agencies, mayor's office,
16	the contract services, Office of Management and
17	Budget, and the Law Department, accountable to
18	timeframes just like we are accountable to one. Maybe
19	no one loves getting assigned a deadline, but nothing
20	compels you to hit a deadline like having one.
21	I'm happy to talk and and any
22	questions about it. We think those are good, concrete
23	proposals, all actionable with charter action, and all
24	real concrete steps forward to stronger fiscal
25	management.

1	I will say on the way in, Barbara Blair
2	gave me her testimony on the city's Fair Share
3	policies, which are really land use and not fiscal,
4	but I wholeheartedly endorse what she is proposing on
5	the land use side on a better Fair Share policy, and I
6	thank you for the opportunity this evening.
7	MR. SCISSURA: Great. Always a
8	pleasure to see you. So I you know, by the way, I
9	think first of all, let me complement you, because
10	these are very, very well thought out. I think for
11	those of us that that live in different jobs and
12	organizations, we we've been affected by one or
13	more of these in our professional worlds and personal
14	worlds. I I have a question about number one and
15	five, and I want to make sure I'm I'm clear. On
16	both, are you suggesting that we put in the charter
17	language that there should be a rainy-day policy and
18	language that there should be timeframes in your fifth
19	point on the way you have 30 days on other agencies,
20	but are you are you saying that we just do that
21	without
22	MR. LANDER: Assign clear
23	responsibility for promulgating the policy, so the
24	you can mandate
25	MR. SCISSURA: So you're not saying for

1	us to write the policy?
2	MR. LANDER: You aren't going to be
3	able to know how long it should take the Law
4	Department versus OMB to sign off, so the the
5	proposal would be for number five, to require that the
6	Procurement Policy Board promulgate deadlines by some
7	date for each of the contracting and oversight
8	agencies, and report, you know, regularly, quarterly,
9	annually, in real time on how the agencies are doing
10	at hitting theirs.
11	And then similarly for the rainy-day
12	fund policy, to require we propose that the mayor,
13	the council, and the comptroller set policy. Now, you
14	know, the mayor and the council would do things
15	through their normal process. How you would include
16	the comptroller in that, we'd be glad just to give our
17	ideas.
18	MR. SCISSURA: Great.
19	Any questions, comments?
20	DR. DUKES: Yes, hi.
21	MR. LANDER: Great to see you, Mama
22	Dukes.
23	DR. DUKES: Over the years, from small
24	nonprofit groups, way before your time, it's been a
25	real curse in our community for nonprofits. They go

1 in their pockets to pay. There -- you said you have a 2 30-day in your office, but you have ten days from the 3 time they're to be -- or the contract is to be 4 implemented before -- thank you, Mr. Chairman -before these persons get paid. Is that -- and I want 5 to piggyback on what my colleague said. We have 6 7 people being vendors in the city for thousands of years, ever since we had a city. Do they have to have 8 9 the same kind of scrutiny that people who are new 10 coming in? 11 MR. LANDER: Well, so you know, we 12 obviously give more scrutiny to a vendor the first 13 time that we're contracting with them than when we're 14 renewing and have a track record, but there's 15 integrity reviews even if you get your contract 16 Yeah. So we have over the years, renewed. 17 unfortunately, built up a system in which most of our 18 contracts are not registered for payment. So the 19 charter provides -- you go to any agency, whatever it 20 is -- Department of Youth and Community Development 21 enters into a contract with you. You might have 22 responded to an RFP or you know, some various other 23 procurement methods. They say we want to enter into a 24 contract with you. That takes a while for them to 25 negotiate the contract, but you get that contract

1 negotiated. That might be, you know, so they say, 2 "Oh, good news. The council allocated some money." 3 Part of the problem is you only find out in June, you know, find out June 28th the council 4 5 allocated you some money, but the year starts July 1st, and so now you're kind of already behind the 6 7 eight-ball, and then by the time you get your award letter, it's September. And then by the time the 8 9 Department of Youth and Community Development sends you a draft contract, it's October or November, and 10 then you say, "Well you got this part wrong. We're 11 12 doing this service, not that service," and by the time 13 they finalize the contract with you, it's January. 14 You still got to be providing the work starting back 15 last July, because it all has to be provided in that 16 year. 17 And now it goes to the Law Department, and they take a while, because they don't have a time 18 19 deadline, and then it goes to the mayor's office 20 contract services and goes to OMB. It used to be on 21 big contracts, OMB reviewed it twice. We got one of 22 those removed. And then eventually it gets to my

24 up to the point I've just described has any deadline

25 requirements.

23

office for -- for registration. No one in the process

1	Once it gets to our office, there is a
2	charter requirement that we, once it's submitted to
3	us, review it, register it, or return it, because it's
4	flawed, but you know, 99 percent of them get
5	registered, but we have returned some significant
6	ones, because they were procured improperly within
7	30 days. And we've done every one of the 30,000 we've
8	received in 30 days. I got a staff that does it.
9	They're good. I watch a dashboard and make sure it
10	happens.
11	But there's no timelines on anybody
12	else in the process. So yes, what we're proposing is
13	that you mandate the Procurement Policy Board to set
14	timelines for the other procuring and oversight
15	agencies. You know, that one always obviously, if you
16	already know you got the money from the council on
17	June 28th, and the council starts on July 1st, it's
18	going to be a little take a couple of months for
19	that, but it could be three months instead of ten
20	months.
21	And yes, that would save many
22	organizations. It affects nonprofits, but it also
23	really affects our minority and women-owned businesses
24	who also are not strongly capitalized, and if they get
25	a contract, can't wait ten months, or they got to go

1 get a loan. Maybe the bank's charging them a ton of 2 interest. So yes. And you'll find if you talk to 3 any -- basically any nonprofit or any MWBE that does 4 business with the city, they will --5 DR. DUKES: All the time? MR. LANDER: Yes. 6 7 DR. DUKES: Yes. 8 MR. BRAGG: I just want to thank you 9 for -- I'm sorry. I cut you off. 10 MS. ROWE-ADAMS: Thank you. Thank you 11 for your testimony and we hear you. But Hazel asked a 12 great question, because what about the new -- the new 13 vendors or the ones that's established? Is there a 14 difference? Do they get different treatment? That'd 15 be very important to know. 16 MR. LANDER: There's not a different 17 legal treatment. I mean, so there's many different 18 procurement methods. The city might put out an RFP 19 and multiple bidders bid. But there's something 20 called noncompetitive small -- noncompetitive small 21 procurement. For MWBE specifically up to now, \$1.5 22 million where if an agency knows a qualified MWBE, 23 they can hire them for a qualified service without 24 going out to bid. 25 So if you've got an RFP, everybody has

1	to comply with the same rules, and they all get
2	vetted. If you use one of those other procurement
3	methods, you know, it's much more likely to be a
4	vendor that the city agency has used before. The
5	procurement process then is the same.
6	There's what used to be called Vendex,
7	the integrity reviews, and everyone has to do that,
8	you know, new or old. Now if your Vendex forms are
9	already on file with the city, you know, you don't
10	have to file new ones, so there's some steps you can
11	skip if you're already in the system, but but for
12	each individual contract, it still has to go through
13	this process.
14	MS. MCGRAW: Yes. I have two
15	questions. Thank you, Comptroller. So the rainy-day
16	money, where did that rainy-day money come from? Is
17	it a process where it will be put to the side, and
18	what would the rainy-day money be used for? That's
19	one part to it.
20	MR. LANDER: Yeah. So the idea of a
21	
	rainy-day fund is, in my opinion, that it should be
22	rainy-day fund is, in my opinion, that it should be for times of economic recession. You know, you build
22 23	
	for times of economic recession. You know, you build

1	know, and then, you know, that's kind of always going,
2	trending up, and that's fine as long as your revenues
3	are trending up, as they are right now.
4	Then you hit a recession, and your
5	revenues go down, but you have that work force and the
6	services that you're obligated to provide and for that
7	period of time of recession, you're in trouble. And
8	so if you've saved for to have savings to replace
9	revenue when it goes down, now you can cover a
10	recession, and you don't have to be laying off
11	teachers just at the moment when your city's in
12	recession.
13	It's not easy to do that, because then
14	each year, you'd rather spend that money on your many
15	other needs. You need affordable housing, and you
16	need public safety, and you don't really want to put
17	money away for a rainy day, because you need it now,
18	but if you don't put it away for the rainy day, when
19	that recession comes, you're really going to be at the
20	worst time, having to cut.
21	MR. SCISSURA: And we have seen rain.
22	MR. LANDER: Yes.
23	MR. SCISSURA: We have seen rain.
24	MR. LANDER: No, no. We've had this, I
25	mean, you know, this we've we've all been

1 through periods when there did have to be layoffs or 2 hard cuts, at exactly the worst times when you --3 people need more services, and you want the city to keep investing. So the idea is you build up those 4 5 reserves. We weren't allowed to do it. We didn't have a policy that allowed the city to stay, which I 6 know sounds weird, but there's like weird accounting 7 rules, and until 2019 when the state legislature and 8 9 the previous charter revision commission authorized a 10 rainy-day fund, so now we have the fund, but we don't have any rules for putting money in it or how big it's 11 12 supposed to get.

Our -- our proposal is just that you say those rules have to be set. We think the rules should be the target size should be what's necessary to get the city through the length of an average recession based on the ones that we've had over the last 50 years.

And our proposal for how much to put in is basically you look at, you know, your revenues and what you've projected, and when your tax revenues for things other than property taxes, your sales tax, your business tax, your income tax, when they go over what you had had in previous years, that's new money. Take half that new money and put it in your rainy-day fund.

1	You can still use the other half on new needs, but you
2	didn't now didn't have to cut anything. You even
3	have a little more to spend in good times, but half of
4	it automatically goes into your rainy-day fund.
5	It will build up over time. You don't
6	have to leave it to the council and the mayor. Look,
7	when you get to the end of the budget process, and
8	they're fighting over like, what council and mayor
9	are going to be like, you know what we should do with
10	that last \$500 million is put it in the rainy-day
11	fund? They're going to say, "No. Our kids need this.
12	Our our neighborhoods need that."
13	But then you won't have it in your
14	rainy day, so a formula like the one I've described,
15	you don't have to cut anything, but you don't have to
16	leave it to politics to it's like an automatic
17	savings program. You do a payroll deduction. You
18	say, "Just take it before I even see it. Please put
19	it in my savings account, so I'm not tempted to spend
20	it, and then it'll be there when I need it."
21	MR. SCISSURA: Great.
22	MS. MCGRAW: Thank you so much. And
23	the other question is, you mentioned about some of the
24	different agencies that your agency, it takes you 30
25	days, that's when your

	rage of
1	MR. LANDER: Usually 17. Our average
2	is 17 days.
3	MS. MCGRAW: So what are you asking the
4	Charter Commission to do? Are you asking us to
5	that all the other agencies have that same policy
6	so so some agencies might not start to lose
7	their their nonprofits, because they take them nine
8	months, you mentioned sometimes it takes nine months.
9	And so and the ones that really suffer in this
10	process is our Black and brown organizations with very
11	low budgets and and some of the marginalized
12	communities, so that's what that is a big concern
13	to me.
13 14	to me. MR. LANDER: I'm recommending that
14	MR. LANDER: I'm recommending that
14 15	MR. LANDER: I'm recommending that you there's this there's an entity called the
14 15 16	MR. LANDER: I'm recommending that you there's this there's an entity called the Procurement Policy Board, which is three mayoral reps
14 15 16 17	MR. LANDER: I'm recommending that you there's this there's an entity called the Procurement Policy Board, which is three mayoral reps and two comptroller reps, and it sets procurement
14 15 16 17 18	MR. LANDER: I'm recommending that you there's this there's an entity called the Procurement Policy Board, which is three mayoral reps and two comptroller reps, and it sets procurement policy. You could mandate them to set specific
14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. LANDER: I'm recommending that you there's this there's an entity called the Procurement Policy Board, which is three mayoral reps and two comptroller reps, and it sets procurement policy. You could mandate them to set specific timelines for each of the agencies within the
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. LANDER: I'm recommending that you there's this there's an entity called the Procurement Policy Board, which is three mayoral reps and two comptroller reps, and it sets procurement policy. You could mandate them to set specific timelines for each of the agencies within the procurement process. It's a little different if
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. LANDER: I'm recommending that you there's this there's an entity called the Procurement Policy Board, which is three mayoral reps and two comptroller reps, and it sets procurement policy. You could mandate them to set specific timelines for each of the agencies within the procurement process. It's a little different if you're actually the agency developing the contract.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 21 22	MR. LANDER: I'm recommending that you there's this there's an entity called the Procurement Policy Board, which is three mayoral reps and two comptroller reps, and it sets procurement policy. You could mandate them to set specific timelines for each of the agencies within the procurement process. It's a little different if you're actually the agency developing the contract. You need longer than the law department does to review

1 procurement policy board by a date to, a reasonable 2 date, give them -- some -- us -- I'm a member of a procurement policy board -- mandate the Procurement 3 4 Policy Board to set those timelines. 5 MR. SCISSURA: Great. Okay. Yes, sir? 6 7 MR. BRAGG: Thank you, Comptroller, for your testimony. I appreciate your thinking and your 8 proposals on, particularly one and five. 9 10 We all know that when we get into 11 crisis, that the easiest way to deal with the fiscal 12 emergencies is to lay off city employees who are 13 providing much-needed services to the city. So I -- I 14 appreciate your thinking there. 15 And also on number five, because MWBEs 16 are challenging, not necessarily all of them can --17 can withstand the -- the long process that it takes to 18 get them paid, and that is a problem that needs to be 19 looked at and also corrected. You -- you pointed out 20 very aptly that, you know, they -- they sometimes are 21 forced to go to banks to take loans in order to 22 survive, and those loans, the interest rates of those 23 loans can do more harm to them than good, and so your office's -- I commend you, has done an excellent job 24 25 at turning over and making sure these contracts were

	Page 85
1	done on a timely basis and everybody should be held to
2	the same high standard. Thank you.
3	MR. LANDER: Thank you very much.
4	MR. SCISSURA: Thank you very much.
5	Comptroller Lander, we appreciate you
6	taking the time.
7	MR. LANDER: An honor to appear before
8	you. Thank you very much.
9	MR. SCISSURA: We may have some
10	follow-up.
11	MR. LANDER: Great. We'll be happy to
12	talk.
13	MR. SCISSURA: Okay. I'm going to call
14	up three speakers at a time. Jeanne Victor, Erica
15	Vladimir, and Barbara Blair, and while you are you
16	three are coming up, I know that we have councilmember
17	and former borough president, Gale Brewer, on the
18	Zoom, so Councilmember Brewer, I'm going to have you
19	jump in. I know you've got probably 20 things on
20	tonight, so we really appreciate you jumping on.
21	MS. BREWER: Thank you very much, Mr.
22	Chair.
23	I am attending one of the events, and I
24	will provide testimony. I think you're having two
25	more, so I will be better equipped at the next meeting

1 to be able to participate in a meaningful way, but I 2 appreciate the opportunity just to let you know I'm 3 paying attention, and so far the testimony has been 4 excellent.

5 I have to say having been through a lot of charters myself, I do think that hopefully you will 6 7 take everybody's suggestions and focus on, you know, a variety of things. In terms of the City Council, we 8 9 have another topic we want to discuss, but I hope that 10 you would take all of this seriously, and I think you Thank you very much. 11 will. I look forward to the 12 next meeting.

13 MR. SCISSURA: Councilmember Brewer, 14 Thank you. It's always a pleasure to see you. 15 Why don't I start with Barbara? Okay. 16 MS. BLAIR: Okay. Good evening, Mr. 17 My name's Barbara Blair. Chair, and the committee. I'm the president of the Garment District Alliance. 18 19 The most recent New York City charter 20 was passed in November of 2019 with a serious 21 It recognized but did not codify the omission. 22 concept of Fair Share for all our communities or 23 remove the loopholes that allow for bad faith placement of challenging facilities. Fair Share was 24 25 intended to establish criteria that would foster the

1	equitable citywide distribution of assets to a
2	community such as open space parks and other positive
3	community services while preventing the clustering of
4	undesirable uses such as methadone clinics, needle
5	exchanges, shelters, and other social service entities
6	that serve challenged communities.
7	In addition to not adhering to the
8	concepts of Fair Share, the city has also failed to
9	provide a basic level of transparency about the
10	concentration of services or any public outreach
11	required by the city charter. The New York City
12	charter has a mandate to locate city services and
13	facilities in an equitable way. A recent audit and
14	report from the New York City Comptroller, Brad
15	Lander, found that that the city unevenly distributes
16	city facilities while keeping critical data and a
17	concentration of services in neighborhoods unavailable
18	and failing to produce mandated analysis of
19	neighborhood impact.
20	The Garment District Alliance requests
21	that this committee ensure that Fair Share is codified
22	in a public planning and approval process. We ask
23	that the city Charter Revision Committee establish
24	clear, centralized city oversight over Fair Share
25	compliance, improve and regularly update the Fair

1	Share criteria, improve public access access to
2	information on sitings, facility capacity, and
3	concentration, reform the citywide statement of needs,
4	prohibit unfair sitings in oversaturated districts
5	through a local law that amends the New York City
6	charter to reduce overconcentration of city facilities
7	in certain community districts including the siting
8	city facility of facilities contracted by the city to
9	perform services on their behalf or otherwise
10	receiving any city financial contribution of support
11	including emergency-contracted facilities in any
12	community district highly concentrated with such
13	facilities.
14	An agency proposing a siting could
15	overcome the prohibition by demonstrating the facility
16	services the needs of the population in the immediate
17	geographic community except where the need was created
18	by an unfair concentration of similar existing
19	facilities.
20	Unlike applications for liquor and
21	cannabis licenses, which are subject to community
22	reviews that consider all elements of the immediate
23	area, social service providers are not subjected to
24	such a review.
25	We hope this committee will correct

1	this situation, and I thank you for the opportunity to
2	speak.
3	MR. SCISSURA: Thank you. Great to see
4	you.
5	Erica?
6	MS. VLADIMIR: Sure. Hello, everybody.
7	Commissioners, thank you so much for hosting these
8	hearings and the opportunity for the public to
9	testify.
10	My name is Erica Vladimir. By day, I
11	am a nonprofit communications professional. In my
12	free time, I am a cofounder of the New York State
13	Sexual Harassment Working Group. I am a New York City
14	Democratic State Committee member for the 76th
15	Assembly District. I am active in the Four Freedoms
16	Democratic Club on the Upper East Side, and I am
17	called the Best Aunt Ever.
18	So I have three proposals that I want
19	to share with you. I'm not going to go into great
20	detail, and I will be submitting written testimony. I
21	will have more detail. I'm happy to answer any
22	questions you might have or follow up before you have
23	to submit your recommendations in August.
24	So first, the mayor has specifically
25	asked the commission to look at public safety and

1 fiscal management. And so my proposal is that the 2 Civilian Complaint Review Board should have an 3 independent budget that is not tied to political negotiations between the mayor and City Council. 4 We 5 already see this with the Independent Budget Office, which gets a set percentage of OMB's budget every 6 7 I believe that the Civilian Complaint Review vear. Board budget should be tied to the NYPD budget. 8 Second, you know, New York City has 9 10 taken considerable strides in protecting workers 11 against harassment and discrimination. There is still 12 a lot of work to be done in all spaces, but as someone 13 who is a cofounder of the Sexual Harassment Working 14 Group, I would like to propose something that is more 15 focused on public servants. I would like to propose 16 an independent investigatory body that reviews 17 harassment and discrimination claims against elected 18 and appointed officials. 19 We understand that there is a unique

power dynamic, especially if a staffer is going to come forward, and right now in certain situations, you have colleagues who have to investigate their colleagues for such types of claims. This is not independent. Other things, such as politics, always get in the way as much as we don't want them to, and

we say they don't. They do. And it can create
 conflicts of interest, and the -- the process itself
 might not be the priority.

This is something that I've been 4 5 working and fighting for on the state level. I know that there are some City Council members who are 6 7 calling for this as well. But this would be a great opportunity to make sure that we don't lose public 8 servants who are leaving the public workforce because 9 10 of the type of harassment and discrimination that's inflicted upon them by folks who are higher up than 11 12 them.

13 And then finally, I believe that we can 14 create a better line of succession from the mayorality 15 should that need to be considered. Right now, if the 16 mayor resigns or is no longer mayor, the public 17 advocate is the one who becomes mayor. But their ideologies, the way they want to run the city don't 18 19 always align; right? They -- they are elected 20 separately. But that can cause chaos and dysfunction 21 at a time when the city needs stability, when we are 22 transitioning to someone else being in charge. 23 And so I believe that, you know, we

24 most often see mayors put their first deputy mayor in 25 charge if they're leaving on a trip or something like

1	that. Why not have the first deputy mayor be the
2	person who's next in line of succession, and yes,
3	you're most people would argue, but they're not
4	elected, and you're right. So why don't we follow the
5	federal government and have the first deputy mayor run
6	on a ticket with the mayor, similar to president and
7	vice president? This way, we know that this is
8	someone who the mayor or the candidate at hand will
9	trust and will be able to create continuity instead of
10	chaos in case the mayor needs to resign or is just no
11	longer fit for office.
12	So thank you very much. Those are my
13	three proposals.
14	MR. SCISSURA: Thank you very much.
15	MS. MCGRAW: Thank you. That's a good
16	idea.
17	MS. VICTOR: Good evening, Chair
18	Scissura, Vice Chair Dukes, and all of the members of
19	the New York City Charter Revision Commission. Thank
20	you for allowing me to speak on behalf of the New York
21	City Equal Employment Practices Commission. I'm the
22	executive director, Jeanne Victor, and my office
23	supports our board, Chair Aldrin Bonilla, Vice Chair,
24	Elaine Reese, Commissioner Menaska Alcantara,
25	Commissioner Nicole Yearwood, and Commissioner Ngozi

1	Ocaro.
2	This year marks the 35th anniversary of
3	the creation of the Equal Employment Practices
4	Commission, or we call it the EEPC. The EEPC was
5	created in 1989 by an amendment to the city charter,
6	specifically charter chapter 36, as an independent,
7	non-mayoral city agency empowered to audit and
8	evaluate the employment practices and procedures of
9	city agencies and their efforts to ensure fair and
10	effective equal employment opportunities for people of
11	color and women, who are either employees or
12	applicants for employment with the city.
13	The EEPC currently audits the EEO
14	programs of 143 city agencies. In addition, we're
15	charged with conducting a ten-year study of the
16	underutilization of women and people of color in the
17	city's work force. This is pursuant to local law 13
18	of 2019. We've completed four years of Local Law 13
19	reports, which may be found on our website.
20	Although the EEPC performs its
21	functions, it's continued success and our ability to
22	look more deeply into audits and our Local Law 13
23	analyses and mandates is hampered by questions
24	regarding our lack of enforcement power, our our
25	questions of jurisdiction and independence, and a lack

1	of secure and an autonomous budget. To address these
2	areas of concern, a brief summary of the proposed
3	change as follows. I'm only proposing three changes.
4	One, fiscal independence: when I came
5	on board three years ago, the EEPC had a head count of
6	14. It was cut down to 12, so we're very small. Over
7	the last few years, the EEPC's budget has been
8	essentially flat, with the exception of the recent
9	PEGs that were assessed against it, and of course, the
10	loss of head count. This has caused us to struggle to
11	fulfill our charter mandate.
12	In addition, Local Law 13 requires the
13	EEPC to make legislative, regulatory, and budgetary
14	recommendations to the Department of Citywide
15	Administrative Services, the Office of the Mayor, and
16	the New York City Council.
17	The EEPC is asking to change the
18	charter in order to properly establish and preserve
19	independence in its budget structure by using a
20	comparative appropriations model similar to the fiscal
21	structure of the Independent Budget Office. In our
22	case, we've compared our functions to that of the New
23	York City Comptroller due to its similarity in
24	independence and its duty to audit the programs and
25	activities of each city entity. Similar to the New

York City Comptroller, the EEPC is charged with
 safeguarding the city from "fraud, waste, and abuse in
 employment practices."

4 The proposed strategy of anchoring the 5 amount of the EEPC's budget to a set percentage of 6 that of the New York City Comptroller will stabilize 7 the fiscal structure of the EEPC and its ability to 8 operate independently, thus providing the City of New 9 York with a consistent and well-run EEO program across 10 all city entities.

Number two is additional enforcement 11 12 The EEPC's enforcement authority is measures. 13 currently limited to publishing a report and 14 recommending to the mayor the appropriate corrective 15 action the EEPC deems necessary to ensure compliance 16 with the city charter provisions. Such a repercussion 17 for failure to implement and assign corrective actions creates minimal consequences for noncompliance, 18 19 especially for non-mayoral entities. The proposed 20 language of section 832-C strengthens the EEPC's 21 enforcement authority by permitting it to recommend 22 budgetary consequences for an entity's failure to 23 correct areas of EEO noncompliance. 24 And lastly, jurisdiction: throughout

25 the years, a considerable amount of the EEPC's time

1 has been spent responding to challenges pertaining to its authority and jurisdiction. To provide clarity in 2 3 jurisdictional arguments, the definition of agency established in the city charter Section 831 warrants 4 5 additional clarification and a clearer delineation of those entities subject to the EEPC's authority. 6 The 7 additional language proposed is consistent with criteria applied in New York Corporation Counsel 8 opinion number 11-90, and subsequent opinions which 9 10 examined whether and to what extent the provisions of 11 city charter Chapter 36 extend to which governmental 12 entities.

13 While these charter changes are 14 relatively minor, we believe that they will have a 15 significant impact towards helping to ensure that city 16 entities establish and maintain a fair and equitable 17 workplace. We believe that such actions will do more to address inequities in the workplace, reduce 18 19 litigation, and resulting settlements and judgments 20 that ultimately draw city funds away from the city's 21 priorities. For these reasons, we believe that such 22 minor changes to the charter make good fiscal sense 23 for the city.

24 25 Thank you for your time this evening. MR. SCISSURA: Thank you very much.

Page 9	97	
--------	----	--

1	Do you have any comments or questions?
2	Yes, sir?
3	MR. BRAGG: I have one. Thank you. I
4	apologize. I had to step out of the room. Nature
5	called. But the young woman in the middle. I didn't
6	get to catch your name, 'cause I was out of the room.
7	I just had a quick question on the CCRB is separate,
8	being enjoined to the PD budget. I didn't I don't
9	quite understand the rationale, so could you elaborate
10	on why you think that's necessary for the group, the
11	two being attached?
12	MS. VLADIMIR: Yeah. Absolutely. So
13	the Civilian Complaint Review Board is is tasked
14	with holding NYPD accountable, and one of the things
15	that we can do to keep New Yorkers safe is to make
16	sure that the NYPD is following through with any
17	orders and maintaining safety as opposed to harming
18	New York City residents.
19	Because they are in its essence tasked
20	with supporting and helping to keep New York City
21	residents safe, I believe that the CCRB should be
22	have their funding tied to what the NYPD's budget is.
23	I I don't want to give a percentage, because that's
24	just not my wheelhouse. I'm not an economist. I went
25	to law school, so I don't I don't have to work with

1	numbers. But you know, I think it's similar to the
2	way that the Independent Budget Office is tied to OMB.
3	They're what they provide is is a little
4	different, but it is still in the same purview of what
5	the city is asking for them to do.
6	MR. BRAGG: Okay. I I hear you.
7	I'm just struggling with the connection between CCRB's
8	budget and the side of PD and attachment to PD's
9	budget. I don't somehow, I'm missing the
10	relevance.
11	MS. VLADIMIR: I see them as both
12	public safety agencies, and so, you know, again, if
13	you all were were tasked with looking at these
14	proposals. If you have something, I would love to
15	hear or like, you know, discuss at a later date of how
16	we can just ensure that CCRB's budget remains
17	consistent and independent from political
18	negotiations.
19	Right now, from 2023 to 2024, their
20	budget went down by \$1.4 million, and yet we are
21	seeing the rise in lawsuits against the NYPD to
22	increase, which means that there probably needs to be
23	similar level of accountability. We shouldn't be
24	taking an oversight and accountability agency's
25	funding away from them when they are tasked with that

Page 99 1 level of accountability. 2 MR. BRAGG: That I do. Thank you. 3 MR. SCISSURA: All right. Thank you 4 very much. 5 MS. VLADIMIR: Thank you. MR. SCISSURA: Howard Slatkin, David 6 7 Schwartz, and Layla Law-Gisiko. I hope I got that right. So we got Howard Slatkin, David Schwartz. 8 9 MR. SLATKIN: Good evening, Chair --10 MR. SCISSURA: We're going to ask if 11 you could try to be within three minutes. 12 MR. SLATKIN: Absolutely. I thank you 13 for the opportunity to -- to testify this evening. 14 I'm Howard Slatkin. I'm the executive director of 15 Citizens' Housing and Planning Council, a nonprofit 16 organization dedicated to the well-being of the city's 17 housing stock and the people it serves. I will spend my three minutes talking 18 19 about the need for a candid accounting of costs 20 imposed by government actions, in particular on the 21 creation and operation of housing. As noted by others 22 in their thoughtful remarks earlier in this hearing, 23 the charters today contain requirements for fiscal 24 impact statements estimating the effect of new legislation on city revenues and expenditures. 25

1	And as noted, these statements lack not
2	only teeth, but also relevance to decisions that
3	produce after public hearings and debate on
4	legislation, and they're frequently I don't want to
5	say fudged ascribing zero fiscal impact to bills
6	that do demand significant agency resources and reduce
7	agency capacity for other important business. They
8	as a result, these are these statements are widely
9	ignored, and we're effectively covering our eyes and
10	ears about the true cost of implementing these laws
11	and the capacity of agencies to do all the work that
12	they need to do.
13	The impact of these statements would be
14	improved by requiring publication of the statement
15	prior to a hearing on the legislation or other means
16	of improved transparency or consequences for
17	inadequate statements, and I know that others have
18	recommended some thoughtful ideas on the subject. But
19	I also want to talk about that you've heard several
20	speakers observe that housing and housing
21	affordability is one of the greatest challenges that
22	the city faces today. And a similar lack of
23	transparency is helping to fuel our housing
24	affordability crises. We've been using our housing
25	stock in a way as a credit card on which we charge the

1 costs of other public policy goals without attention 2 to the size of the bill or ultimately, who pays it. 3 When laws or rules add cost to building and operating housing, they contribute to rising 4 5 rents, deferred maintenance, and reduced resident This poses a particular risk for affordable 6 services. 7 housing and supportive housing, which cannot raise rents to cover the higher costs that we face. While 8 9 rising construction costs may have many causes, they 10 are a key driver of our housing shortage and affordability crises. Every dollar of increase in 11 12 these costs decreases the amount of affordable housing the city's budget can support and further limits 13 14 private housing construction to the sort of product 15 that can be marketed to a luxury audience. 16 Of course, laws and regulations do 17 advance important goals for safety, sustainability, consumer protection, and numerous other public 18 19 purposes, but ignoring their financial consequences 20 prevents thoughtful policy design that would limit the 21 adverse effects on residents, and people who are 22 seeking housing. For instance, recently enacted 23 changes to construction site safety requirements can 24 add as much as \$17,000 per unit to the cost of new 25 midrise apartment buildings. Whether that's important

or not, those are the kind of sound decisions that can
 only be made about tradeoffs if they're disclosed in a
 clear and timely manner for decision makers to
 consider.

5 The commission should consider amending the charter to require something similar, a housing 6 7 financial impact assessment prior to public hearing for legislative or rule-making decisions that would 8 9 impose significant costs on the construction and 10 operation of housing in order to provide general 11 accounting of these costs. The purpose would be to 12 inform, debate, and decisions, enable the housing 13 budget to go further, and prevent our city from stumbling deeper into a housing crisis. 14 15 Thank you. 16 MR. SCISSURA: Thank you very much. 17 David? Hello. I'm David 18 MR. SCHWARTZ: 19 I'm a New York lawyer, but I'm here on Schwartz. 20 behalf of the New York Association of Wholesalers and 21 Distributors. 22 New York State over the last 15 years 23 has lost \$30 billion due to untaxed cigarettes. 24 Illegal, untaxed cigarettes. New York City over the 25 last 15 years has lost \$10 billion. It's roughly a

third of the state. \$10 billion due to untaxed,
 illegal cigarettes coming up the I-95 corridor every
 day, millions and millions and millions of cigarettes
 coming up.

5 So what I'm going to talk about today, 6 and I can talk about a lot of topics, but what I'm 7 going to talk about today is very germane to the issue of this hearing today. I applaud Mayor Adams. He's 8 9 the first mayor to take some steps to -- to stop this 10 problem with that -- with the Smoke Out Act that was 11 passed in the New York State budget. Sheriff Miranda 12 is doing a great job getting out there every single 13 day, but he has limited resources, and so far, we have 14 thousands, as you know, because we all know, 'cause we 15 walk through the streets of the City of New York, we 16 have thousands of illegal stores.

17 Now the focus is -- has been on 18 marijuana, legal cannabis, but those stores are 19 criminal enterprises. They're selling illegal 20 cigarettes, untaxed, illegal cigarettes. They're 21 selling illegal, untaxed vapor products. So although 22 we've closed down now, I think, a couple hundred 23 stores, we have a long way to go. And the problem 24 won't stop once we close down these stores, because 25 they're coming up by the millions up this I-95

1	corridor.
2	The other thing that these illegal,
3	untaxed cigarettes does, not just the budgetary point
4	of view to the billions of dollars, they have been
5	it's been shown
6	MR. SCISSURA: I want to I want to
7	interrupt. Time is fleeting. I want you to tell us
8	what you'd like the Charter
9	MR. SCHWARTZ: Okay. What I'd like the
10	Charter what I'd like the commission to do, number
11	one is, we're down cigarette licenses. We're down
12	from 10,000 to 4,990. That's the exact amount of
13	illegal shops that have opened up. So I have I
14	have people complaining. Bodega owners, newsstands,
15	little grocery stores. That's who it's affecting. I
16	have them complaining every single day that they're
17	only selling six cartons when the illegal store's
18	selling 40 cartons.
19	So so what I would like the
20	commission to do is to mandate that we get back to a
21	normal amount of cigarette licenses, because if we're
22	going to have cigarettes sold in the City of New York,
23	they should be sold at legal stores, legal brick and
24	mortar stores in the City of New York. So we need
25	to to not get rid of that moratorium that's on

1	cigarette licenses right now by consumer protection
2	and get it back up to 10,000. We need to invest
3	resources into stopping stopping these cigarettes
4	coming up I-95 from North Carolina, whose tax is \$4
5	per carton. Ours is \$68.50. We need to invest and
6	resources, law enforcement resources in the stopping
7	that.
8	It's not going to cost you a dime.
9	It's going it's going to give us billions of
10	dollars in revenue. Yeah, we're going to spend a
11	little bit of money on enforcement, but it's going to
12	be well worth it, stopping it at the source coming
13	into the City of New York, because if we close down
14	all these shops, we'll go back to illegal cigarettes
15	being sold in the back of trunks, and we don't want
16	that either.
17	We want to stop this problem, and those
18	are two ideas that I think we can we can use to
19	stop the problem, and what I'll do is I'll I found
20	out about this hearing at the last minute. I
21	apologize, Chairman. And I'll I'll draft a memo,
22	outlining certain ideas.
23	MR. SCISSURA: Great. Thank you.
24	MR. SCHWARTZ: Thank you.
25	MS. LAW-GISIKO: Thank you very much.

1	Good evening, Chairman and members of the Charter
2	Revision Commission. And thank you for the
3	opportunity. My name is Layla Law-Gisiko. I am the
4	president of the City Club of New York.
5	The City Club of New York is a civic
6	organization founded in 1892, and our mission is to
7	advocate for sound urban policies and good government.
8	Before I go into my testimony, I would
9	like to second the comments that were made by
10	Assemblymember Glick earlier tonight. I agree with
11	her that the communication about the the hearings
12	from the commission are not as broadly advertised as
13	they could, and I really urge the commission, for
14	example, to publish all the upcoming meetings with the
15	dates and locations. Right now, there's only this
16	meeting that is advertised. It would be immensely
17	helpful to know and understand when the further the
18	subsequent meetings and hearings will happen and in
19	which boroughs.
20	MR. SCISSURA: Just for clarification,
21	we've we've announced through the end of June the
22	meeting schedule. So it should be out there.
23	MS. LAW-GISIKO: Oh. That that's
24	great. That is fantastic.
25	MR. SCISSURA: But we'll make sure we

1 continue to push that out there. 2 MS. LAW-GISIKO: Fantastic. Much 3 appreciated. I think that New Yorkers are really eager to participate in the work of -- of the 4 5 commission, and they will come and testify if they are aware of these opportunities. 6 7 So those are my preliminary remarks. Ι will be very brief. It doesn't have to do with fiscal 8 9 policy, although I'm, you know, very interested to 10 hear what my colleagues had to say. 11 But today, I want to present to you our 12 formal position on behalf of the City Club 13 recommending that registered lobbyists be restricted 14 from being appointed to official task forces, working 15 groups, and boards of the City of New York. The 16 presence of registered lobbyists in these critical 17 roles poses significant concerns regarding conflict of interest. It is vital for our community 18 19 decision-making processes to maintain the highest 20 standard of impartiality and integrity. Allowing 21 registered lobbyists to hold positions within these 22 bodies risks undermining public trust and could 23 potentially skew decisions in favor of special interest groups rather than the public good. 24 By 25 restricting the appointment of registered lobbyists to

Page 108 1 these roles, we can ensure that us -- our task forces, 2 working groups, and boards remain focused on serving 3 the public interest. This measure will help safeguard against undue influence and limit bias and external 4 5 pressures. And I thank you for taking this into 6 7 consideration. MR. SCISSURA: Thank you very much. 8 9 MS. ROWE-ADAMS: Thank you. 10 MS. MCGRAW: Thank you. 11 MR. SCISSURA: Hearing no questions or 12 comments? Okay. 13 Thank you very much. 14 Ben Tocker, Tiffany Fulton, and Goran 15 Svorcan. Ben Tocker, Tiffany Fulton, and Goran 16 Svorcan. 17 You are Ben; correct? 18 MR. TOCKER: Yes. 19 MR. SCISSURA: And you are Goran? 20 MR. SVORCAN: Yes. 21 MR. SCISSURA: And Tiffany? 22 MS. FULTON: Yes. 23 MR. SCISSURA: Come on up. 24 Okay. We'll start with you, Ben. 25 Thank you. Good evening. MR. TOCKER:

Thank you to the Charter Revision Commission for
 welcoming the public to submit testimony. My name is
 Ben Tocker.

I'm here representing Yaffed. We're a
nonprofit advocacy organization committed to
protecting the educational rights of children in New
York's nonpublic schools, and we've been fighting for
improvements to the quality of education at failing
yeshivas for over a decade.

10 Last year, the New York State Education 11 Department enacted Commissioner's Regulation Part 130, 12 which outlines an enforcement mechanism by which local 13 school districts are to hold nonpublic schools 14 accountable to state educational law Section 3204. 15 This law, known as the Compulsory Education Law, first 16 passed over a hundred years ago, and it demands that every nonpublic school offer an education that is 17 substantially equivalent to what is offered by local 18 19 public schools.

20 Commissioner's Regulation Part 130 is a 21 step in the right direction, but the unfortunate 22 reality is that many yeshivas are continuing to ignore 23 the law. Some have even taken a radical stand, 24 proclaiming that they should be free of all government 25 oversight. Sadly, this position is supported by a few

1	city and state elected officials who continue to
2	politicize the educational rights of New York's
3	nonpublic school students and seek to undermine the
4	current law and the implementation of the regulation
5	of Commissioners Part 130.
6	Under the current enforcement
7	framework, all nonpublic schools in New York State
8	must have demonstrated compliance with Educational Law
9	Section 3204 by the end of the 2024 to 2025 school
10	year. Demonstration can be performed by one of six
11	pathways, including using a state-approved accreditor
12	or having a local school district conduct a review.
13	The school district must catalog how each school
14	demonstrates compliance and report to the state when
15	such action has occurred and how.
16	While we're hopeful that this
17	regulation will have a significant impact on quality
18	of general education programs throughout the nonpublic
19	school sector, we're concerned that it alone is not a
20	sufficiently rigorous enforcement mechanism. The
21	politicization of this issue and the history of
22	complacency on the part of the state's educational
23	regulators demand that the system have built-in checks
24	and balances.
25	For that reason, in order to safeguard

1 the educational rights of New York City's nonpublic 2 school students, we recommend to the Charter Revision 3 Commission that the New York City Comptroller be vested with the authority to audit the programmatic 4 5 reviews and the compliance demonstration of all nonpublic schools under the jurisdiction of the New 6 7 York City Department of Education. The expertise of the Comptroller's office and their ability to track 8 9 fund disbursements to program activities will be an 10 invaluable aid in ensuring that bad actors are 11 prevented from obfuscating the process or otherwise 12 inhibiting school reform. 13 Every child has a right to an education 14 and the children of New York City have waited long 15 enough for educational justice. We hope you consider 16 our proposal, and we thank you for the opportunity to 17 speak today. 18 MR. SCISSURA: Thank you very much. 19 Goran? Did I say that right? 20 MR. SVORCAN: Yeah. Close enough. 21 MR. SCISSURA: How do you say it? 22 MR. SVORCAN: Goran. 23 MR. SCISSURA: Goran. 24 MR. SVORCAN: Yeah. Thank you for I -- my qualifications are that I 25 letting me speak.

1	am a private citizen who lives here, so hopefully that
2	is enough. And I promise this is under three minutes.
3	MR. SCISSURA: You're a New Yorker.
4	MR. SVORCAN: I wanted to preface this
5	by saying that some time back, I read a series of
6	interviews that talked to average citizens in
7	different countries, asking them what they admired
8	about various other countries. One of the most common
9	sentiments expressed about the US was how much people
10	are amazed and loved our national parks. The idea of
11	a country realizing the importance of giving its
12	citizens access to nature and preserving that nature
13	resonated with many people outside of our borders, and
14	I'm sure within them as well. Even our more ornery
15	siblings across the Atlantic in the UK recognize the
16	importance of public access to park land with their
17	colloquy called, "Right to Roam," namely the right of
18	citizens to traverse natural land regardless of
19	ownership.
20	In a city as dense as ours and one
21	hopefully getting denser through the City of Yes
22	program, providing access to green spaces will become
23	more and more important and require increasingly
24	clever solutions. But their own goals in this regard,
25	we are currently committing. There are private parks

Page 113 1 in this city. So my proposal is simple. Introduce an 2 amendment to the city charter banning private parks. 3 Ask these individuals --4 MR. SCISSURA: Did you say banning 5 private parks? MR. SVORCAN: Banning. 6 7 Access to nature should not be a luxury afforded to a select few, but a right granted to all. 8 9 Thank you. MR. SCISSURA: 10 Thank you. 11 Tiffany Fulton? 12 MS. FULTON: Hi. How are you? I can 13 be more prepared. I was asked to come to this 14 meeting. I'm representing Silent Voices United, Inc. 15 as well as C3, which is the citywide clergy collective 16 initiative to end gun violence. 17 How can -- how can city government -how city government can work more efficiently and 18 19 better respond to youth needs, improving efficiency 20 and response of the city government to meet the needs 21 of youth involves several targeted strategies. 22 Youth advisory boards: establishing 23 advisory boards or councils composed of youth 24 representatives to provide direct input on policy 25 programs and initiatives that affect them.

1	Accessibility, services, and			
2	information: ensuring that information about youth			
3	program services and resources is easily accessible			
4	through digital platforms, social media, and community			
5	centers.			
6	Three, youth-friendly spaces: creating			
7	safe and welcoming spaces such as youth centers,			
8	libraries, recreational facilities where young people			
9	can gather, learn, and participate in activities.			
10	Four, youth employment programs:			
11	expanding job training, internships, opportunities,			
12	and youth employment initiative that provide valuable			
13	skills and experiences for future careers.			
14	Five, education and career guidance:			
15	enhancing support for educational attainment and			
16	career development through mentoring programs, college			
17	readiness initiatives, and vocational training.			
18	Six, health and wellness services:			
19	increasing access to health services, mental health			
20	support, and recreation activities that promote			
21	physical and emotional well-being among youth.			
22	Seven, civic engagement and leadership			
23	development: encouraging youth participation in			
24	community decision-making process, leadership			
25	training, and volunteer opportunities to foster civic			

1 responsibilities and activism. 2 Eight, technology and innovation: 3 leveraging technology to engage youth in digital literacy programs, holding workshops and initiatives 4 5 that harness their creativity and innovation. Nine, community outreach and 6 7 engagement: conducting outreach efforts to actively involve youth from diverse backgrounds and community 8 9 and city planning, cultural events, and social 10 initiative. 11 Ten, feedback mechanism: establishing 12 mechanisms for ongoing feedback from youth to evaluate 13 the effectiveness of city programs and policies and to 14 make adjustments based on their input. 15 By implementing these strategies, city 16 government can better understand and address the 17 diverse needs and aspirations of youth, ensuring they have the support and opportunities necessary to thrive 18 19 and -- and contribute positively in their communities. 20 And what I wanted to say as far as 21 that -- as far as City Council is concerned, and I'm a 22 not-for-profit, so I do understand the struggles of 23 not-for-profits to be able to survive and provide in their communities, and the wait as far as waiting for 24 25 funds to be able to do what we need to do, it's a

1	problem, and I think we need to give our City Council				
2	more control to be able to do what they need to do as				
3	far as the community needs. We don't have enough of				
4	that, because I feel like a lot I feel like the				
5	budget is a problem. I think funds to be able to do				
6	what we need to do. They need to be more funding as				
7	far as City Council.				
8	MR. SCISSURA: Great. Thank you very				
9	much.				
10	Any questions, comments? Yes, sir?				
11	MR. BRAGG: Have any of those what				
12	was it, ten points that you had?				
13	MS. FULTON: Ten points, yes.				
14	MR. BRAGG: Ten points				
15	MS. FULTON: I wish I would've loved				
16	to have provide you with some with				
17	MR. SCISSURA: That's okay. Maybe you				
18	can email them to us.				
19	MR. BRAGG: Have any of those ten				
20	points been taken up with DOYCD?				
21	MS. FULTON: That's a probable so I				
22	talked to various other organizations that are				
23	not-for-profit, and the process to be able to fill out				
24	the necessary applications to be able to get funding				
25	or get support from DOYCD is very difficult. They				

Page 117 1 said it's a easy process, but the process has become 2 more difficult in order to get the funding from DOYCD 3 to become a vendor. 4 MR. BRAGG: I think what I'm suggesting 5 is that is contact be made with DOYCD and try to meet with the commissioner or some of his staff to discuss 6 7 some of these points. I think he'd be very interested in hearing from you. 8 9 MS. FULTON: Thank you. 10 MR. SCISSURA: Great. Thank you very 11 much. 12 Yes? 13 DR. DUKES: Thank you, Tiffany, for 14 that detail. Very detailed presentation. How 15 could -- and I heard everything, and you know, I know 16 what you're saying, I hear what you're saying, but the 17 Charter Commission, how could we or what would you 18 like for us to do to put this into action and motion 19 or? 20 MS. FULTON: I think we need to be able 21 to have more conversation, so we can be guided through 22 the process to be able to get the assistance and the 23 help we need. That's why City Council's concerned. Ι think City Council's arms are tied in a lot of things 24 25 that they able to do for the community.

1	DR. DUKES: Okay. So that's why we're				
2	having these hearings. So the community can have a				
3	voice, and we hear you. So we, the Charter				
4	Commission, could make sure that your voices are				
5	heard, and everybody know what's going on. So that's				
6	why I ask what could this City Charter Commission do.				
7	I'm not, you know, talking about the City Council, but				
8	I'm talking about our Charter Commission, what would				
9	you like to see us do, and how would you word this for				
10	us to make it happen?				
11	MS. FULTON: I think I need to go back				
12	to the table. Like I said, this was last minute.				
13	Somebody asked me to come to the meeting, and I put				
14	something together, 'cause you are very important to				
15	me, what's happening, getting them more engaged and				
16	involved in their community. We need more of that.				
17	And I was looking through the city the charter,				
18	while I was sitting here, so there's things that I				
19	need to basically take the time to review to get a				
20	better understanding as far as how you can help me.				
21	MR. SCISSURA: Well, you can certainly				
22	email us. Take your time, we still have a month.				
23	MS. FULTON: Thank you. I just wanted				
24	to say something about youth.				
25	MR. SCISSURA: We appreciate it.				

	Page 119			
1	DR. DUKES: Thank you.			
2	MS. FULTON: Thank you.			
3	DR. DUKES: Yes, yes.			
4	MR. SCISSURA: Okay. Thank you very			
5	much, everyone.			
6	In person, we have Harald Wacker and			
7	Andrea Gordillo, and Frank Morano on Zoom.			
8	So Frank, you're up. You're on mute,			
9	Frank.			
10	MR. MORANO: Thank you. Can you hear			
11	me?			
12	MR. SCISSURA: Yes.			
13	MR. MORANO: I appreciate it. I'm			
14	going to be uncharacteristically brief, because you			
15	were kind enough to let me go a few extra minutes at			
16	the Staten Island hearing, and I'm going to be			
17	submitting lengthier testimony in writing, which I do			
18	hope you'll review and and consider as you make			
19	your decisions about what to put on the ballot.			
20	I first wanted to take issue with what			
21	I've heard from some of the government groups. I'm			
22	not going to mention them, and some of the activists,			
23	and even some of the press, who I think are coming			
24	from a very good place, but instead of attacking the			
25	commission and pointing out all the flaws with the			

1 process, I really do think their efforts would be 2 better spent offering ideas and suggestions like 3 everybody here has done tonight for how city government can be improved, whether it's in terms of 4 5 public safety, fiscal responsibility, or something else, but rather than get the public riled up, I would 6 7 hope that those government groups that are trying to foment populist outrage would instead encourage people 8 9 to show up and testify like we're all doing. 10 So that's a bit disappointing, and I 11 hope that folks realize that whether the process is 12 going on or not, the charter revision question that 13 you guys put on the ballot this year are going to 14 count just as much as the charter revision process 15 whose process they were very happy with. So I just 16 want to get that out there. 17 It seems to me like the battle that you 18 guys are facing with the Charter Commission is the 19 same battle that New York City is facing in terms of 20 voter apathy and in terms of lack of participation. 21 And I'm here, once again, to advocate that the charter 22 consider putting on the ballot nonpartisan elections, changing the way that city elections are structured so 23 24 that every general election is structured the way a nonpartisan special election would be. 25

1	In my view, this would be very helpful
2	for fiscal responsibility. The city spends about
3	\$13.5 million to administer citywide primary
4	elections. If we had just one round of elections, as
5	is the case if we were to implement just special
6	elections for everything in a nonpartisan manner with
7	rank-choice voting, we could save \$13.5 million to pay
8	for cops, pay for firefighters, pay for universal
9	pre-K, and a lot of other things that are currently on
10	the chopping block because of the fiscal situation
11	that the city is in.
12	And the other thing that I wanted to
13	speak to and this is the last thing I'll mention,
14	and I do appreciate you reviewing the written
15	testimony that I'm going to submit is the issue
16	that Commissioner Rose and Commissioner Scissura
17	raised in the last hearing, which is whether or not a
18	partisan label should be on the ballot. In my view,
19	the answer is clear that it should not be. Chairman
20	Scissura, you made the case that everyone's going to
21	know what everyone is, and that's exactly right. And
22	they should know what everyone is. That is the job of
23	the candidates to communicate their beliefs to the
24	voters.
25	However, what I think we're doing by

1	making a political party identification the only thing
2	that the voters hear about a candidate is we're saying
3	to the voters that that's the most important thing
4	that they need to know, and it's not. Voters should
5	want to learn, and candidates should want to
6	communicate their beliefs to them, their education,
7	their professional experience, their family
8	experience, their passion, their interests, a whole
9	host of issues.
10	So the way elections have been
11	administered in New York City, except for nonpartisan
12	specials, where we decide that that's the only piece
13	of information that should be on the ballot, I think
14	is so foolish and so shortsighted, and unfortunately,
15	I think it leads to Republicans and independents in
16	most of the city when that Republican or independent
17	label is on the ballot, it looks like a scarlet
18	letter. It's the same thing for democrats running in
19	Republican districts.
20	So I appreciate the opportunity to
21	testify. I know with times, I might be trying your
22	patience. I recognize that you guys have put in a lot
23	of work already, and your reward for this is to get
24	criticized by everybody that doesn't agree with the
25	process or that doesn't agree with what we come up

Page 123 1 with. So I appreciate the opportunity, and I hope 2 you'll consider putting nonpartisan elections on the 3 ballot this November. 4 MR. SCISSURA: Thank you, Frank. Thank 5 you very much, Frank. MR. MORANO: Thank you. 6 7 MR. SCISSURA: Okay. I just want to confirm, is Harald Wacker here? No? Okay. 8 9 Andrea Gordillo, you are our last 10 speaker tonight. 11 MS. GORDILLO: Hi, everyone. 12 MR. SCISSURA: You still have three 13 minutes. 14 MS. GORDILLO: Okay. I'll keep it very 15 short. 16 So I'm here representing Manhattan 17 Community Board Three this evening. We represent Manhattan's East Village, Lower East Side and 18 19 Chinatown. I just have three short proposals. 20 We advocate for signing and formalizing 21 comprehensive support services for community boards 22 that will cover staffing, technical assistance, and 23 meeting locations. Board president offices handle 24 payroll, yet lack other administrative and technical 25 support, leaving community board managerial staff

lacking personnel services, uniquely among New York
 City employees.

3 We also need to employ full-time urban planners, as currently mandated by city charter, but 4 5 is not funded, in order to independently cater to our responsibilities. And the role should not be 6 7 fulfilled by DCP, Department of City Planning. With unpaid board members lacking urban planning experience 8 and city mandates requiring adherence to legal and 9 10 procedural requirements, it's vital that community boards have dedicated urban planner support, even 11 12 though it's currently unfunded by the city charter.

And lastly, we condemn the 60-day

14 limitation on shelter stays for families of 15 public-school students as educational abuse and 16 believe that the city must waive the 60-day rule for 17 asylum-seeker families of children in New York City public schools. Prioritizing principles of education 18 19 access, stability, and success for all students 20 irrespective of their housing circumstances, and we 21 propose that the right to shelter be mandated into the 22 city shelter in line with our resolved position. 23 Thank you for your time and consideration. 24 MR. SCISSURA: Thank you very much. So

25 I can tell you at the last charter commission, the

13

Page 125 1 request for planners to be at community boards was 2 brought up several times. 3 MS. GORDILLO: Yes. 4 MR. SCISSURA: So we've heard it. I 5 can say at least I've heard it in the past. MS. GORDILLO: Yes. I remember it in 6 7 2019, and we still maintain that position, so. 8 MR. SCISSURA: Great. Thank you. You 9 don't remember that? 10 MR. BRAGG: I remember it, but it 11 wasn't -- it wasn't --12 MR. SCISSURA: So it's -- it was -- it 13 was implemented --14 MS. GORDILLO: But not funded. 15 MR. SCISSURA: Correct. There's not 16 funding to it. 17 MS. GORDILLO: Yeah. Okay. Thank you 18 all. Have a good night. 19 MR. SCISSURA: All right. Thank you 20 very much. Any questions? 21 So any -- turn it over to you all for 22 any final comments before we adjourn for the evening? 23 Okay. 24 Well, this was a great, great evening, 25 a great hearing, and we've got a lot of work to do

	Page 126
1	after tonight. So I thank everybody. I have a call
2	for a motion to adjourn.
3	MS. ROWE-ADAMS: Motion to accepted.
4	MR. SCISSURA: All in favor?
5	MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
6	(Whereupon, the meeting concluded at
7	7:40 p.m.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE			
2	I, RICHELLE MODESTIL, the officer before			
3	whom the foregoing proceedings were taken, do hereby			
4	certify that any witness(es) in the foregoing			
5	proceedings, prior to testifying, were duly sworn;			
6	that the proceedings were recorded by me and			
7	thereafter reduced to typewriting by a qualified			
8	transcriptionist; that said digital audio recording of			
9	said proceedings are a true and accurate record to the			
10	best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am			
11	neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any			
12	of the parties to the action in which this was taken;			
13	and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of			
14	any counsel or attorney employed by the parties			
15	hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the			
16	outcome of this action.			
17	ρO			
18	AQ.			
19	RICHELLE MODESTIL			
20	Notary Public in and for the			
21	State of New York			
22				
23				
24				
25				

1	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER
2	I, HEATHER VISSIA, do hereby certify that
3	this transcript was prepared from the digital audio
4	recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said
5	transcript is a true and accurate record of the
6	proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and
7	ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to,
8	nor employed by any of the parties to the action in
9	which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a
10	relative or employee of any counsel or attorney
11	employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or
12	otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.
13	H.N. Vi
14	
15	HEATHER VISSIA
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

[1.4 - abbreviated]

1	175 29:19	21st 67:18	53 58:14
1	175 29.19 17th 5:20	21st 07.18 25 55:1	55 35:11
1.4 98:20	180 57:9	28 th 76:4 77:17	558 57:10
1.5 28:1 62:1	185 1:11	29653 127:18	5:12 1:7
78:21	1892 106:6	3	5.12 1.7 5th 7:16
10 59:16	19 30:13		
102:25 103:1	1970s 65:10	3 58:17	6
10,000 104:12	1973 58:14	30 10:10 72:5,8	6,000 62:2
105:2	1989 93:5	73:19 75:2	60 33:19
100 14:1 54:7	1st 26:21 76:6	77:7,8 82:24	124:13,16
54:13,14	77:17	102:23	6746044 1:18
10013 1:12		30,000 62:2	68.50. 105:5
11 52:17	2	72:6 77:7	7
11-90 96:9	2 23:2	30411 128:13	70s 35:19
111.6 26:21	2,000 20:21	3204 109:14	76th 89:14
12 94:6	2.1 56:11,22	110:9	7:40 126:7
123 27:22	20 9:23 22:25	35th 93:2	8
125 29:19	57:8 59:16	36 93:6 96:11	
12th 8:16	85:19	37 14:1	80 35:17 59:10
13 1:6 93:17,18	2002 56:10	3k 13:14	59:12
93:22 94:12	2003 56:11	4	831 96:4
13.5 121:3,7	2019 57:10	4 105:4	832 95:20
130 109:11,20	81:8 86:20	4,990 104:12	879 62:3
110:5	93:18 125:7	40 104:18	9
137 58:18	2020 38:10	45 20:11	9/11 38:18,20
14 56:8 94:6	57:12	5	56:13,15
143 93:14	2021 37:22		90 18:3 36:15
15 23:1 35:10	2023 98:19	5 33:18 57:14	900,000 61:25
49:16 56:8	2024 1:6 5:6	58:25	95 103:2,25
70:17,22	26:21 98:19	5.8 28:2	105:4
102:22,25	110:9	50 10:7 67:17	99 77:4
1500 35:16	2025 110:9	67:17 81:18	a
16 68:14	20th 5:21	500 35:13 55:7	
17 52:18 83:1,2	217 56:3 64:17	82:10	abbreviated
17,000 101:24	64:22	50th 51:9 67:8	15:12 17:9

[ability - advisory]

		4 00 1 7	
ability 12:1	accountable	active 89:15	address 10:19
15:22 29:3	72:17,18 97:14	actively 115:7	70:14 94:1
47:12 93:21	109:14	activism 115:1	96:18 115:16
95:7 111:8	accounting	activists 119:22	adherence 19:4
127:10 128:7	81:7 99:19	activities 94:25	124:9
able 21:23	102:11	111:9 114:9,20	adhering 87:7
50:24 74:3	accreditor	actors 111:10	adjourn 125:22
86:1 92:9	110:11	acts 11:6	126:2
115:23,25	accumulate	actual 37:8	adjust 71:13
116:2,5,23,24	47:6 66:19	56:18	adjustments
117:20,22,25	accuracy 47:15	actually 15:3	69:9 115:14
absolutely	48:22	41:7 42:10	administer
24:12,16 97:12	accurate 37:16	45:1,8 46:17	20:22 30:9
99:12	52:20 127:9	48:6 66:2 69:3	121:3
abuse 95:2	128:5	69:20 70:21	administered
124:15	achieve 69:25	71:14 83:21	122:11
accelerated	achieving 12:5	adams 2:10 6:4	administering
46:1	acknowledge	40:22,24 78:10	20:5
accepted 126:3	6:1 58:9	103:8 108:9	administration
access 88:1,1	acl 39:20	126:3	10:22 16:15
112:12,16,22	acoustics 26:7	add 11:21 30:2	25:23
113:7 114:19	acres 62:2	64:1,20,21	administrative
124:19	act 67:15 69:9	101:3,24	94:15 123:24
accessibility	103:10	added 28:4	admired 112:7
28:14 114:1	acted 48:15	47:24 57:21	adopt 68:7
accessible	action 53:10	addition 7:8	71:20
114:3	72:23 95:15	25:11 67:6	adoption 11:2
accommodate	110:15 117:18	87:7 93:14	29:15 67:25
8:8	127:12,16	94:12	adopts 27:3
accompanied	128:8,12	additional 7:25	advance 101:17
55:15	actionable	53:24 54:7	adverse 101:21
account 82:19	72:23	95:11 96:5,7	advertised
accountability	actions 12:3	additionally	106:12,16
49:25 51:25	18:20 95:17	37:18	advisory
98:23,24 99:1	96:17 99:20		113:22,23

[advocacy - answer]

[T	[1
advocacy 25:20	77:15 82:24	alcantara	amounts 30:4
109:5	83:5,6,19 93:9	92:24	analyses 29:2
advocate 91:17	93:14 98:12	aldrin 92:23	93:23
106:7 120:21	100:11	align 91:19	analysis 10:25
123:20	agency 17:23	alliance 3:13	11:23 12:13,14
affect 113:25	25:5 26:16	86:18 87:20	13:15 26:19
affected 73:12	27:15 28:2,11	allocated 76:2	30:12 62:14
affecting 5:14	33:24 61:5	76:5	87:18
104:15	70:9 71:16	allocates 27:8	analytics 3:3
affects 77:22,23	75:19 78:22	allow 27:16	19:24
affordability	79:4 82:24	60:19 61:21	analyzing 30:3
9:4,16,22 10:4	83:21,25 88:14	69:18 86:23	anarchy 38:7
16:14 48:5	93:7 96:3	allowed 37:19	anchoring 95:4
66:23 70:15	100:6,7	59:3 61:11	andrea 4:2
100:21,24	agency's 98:24	81:5,6	119:7 123:9
101:11	aging 66:25	allowing 23:12	andrew 3:6
affordable	ago 25:1 94:5	30:24 45:2	44:19 45:18
10:15 12:5	109:16	92:20 107:20	50:21 51:24
16:17,20 17:1	agree 31:17,18	allows 61:7	54:19 65:13
49:18 80:15	32:17 51:23	amazed 112:10	anniversary
101:6,12	61:1 65:10	amending	51:10 67:9
afforded 113:8	106:10 122:24	102:5	93:2
affords 29:14	122:25	amendment	announced
afternoon	agreed 32:25	12:17 93:5	106:21
14:25 19:22	55:8	113:2	announcements
51:23	agreement	amends 88:5	69:14
agencies 12:19	31:21	america 9:14	annoying 52:14
18:3 19:6	aid 56:21 57:18	43:16	annual 11:4
25:10 27:21	111:10	american 38:23	47:9 68:2,4
29:6 30:9,15	aimed 53:10	amount 13:20	70:18 71:6,9
30:18 34:2	54:18	21:22 64:12	annually 20:11
61:5 66:21	aims 71:10	95:5,25 101:12	74:9
69:17 70:3,5	akin 63:10	104:12,21	answer 10:19
72:13,14,15	albany 60:2,3	amounted 57:3	50:18 89:21
73:19 74:8,9	60:10		121:19

[answering - attached]

answering 58:1	appreciate	april 25:7	assembled 27:6
anthony 4:3	14:15 15:13	aptly 84:20	assembly 89:15
6:11,12 45:7	16:7,13 34:9	area 25:4 30:2	assemblyme
58:9	34:10 44:18	30:12 49:21	2:23 8:22
anti 38:9	45:2 50:21	50:6 53:21	14:19,20
anticipated	65:20 84:8,14	55:22 88:23	106:10
57:14	85:5,20 86:2	areas 46:6 52:6	assemblywo
anybody 14:17	118:25 119:13	94:2 95:23	25:13
32:1 77:11	121:14 122:20	arena 29:23	asserts 53:12
apartment	123:1	argue 92:3	assessed 94:9
10:11 20:16	appreciated	argued 53:16	assessment
101:25	107:3	arguments	71:9,14 102:7
apathy 120:20	approach	96:3	assets 87:1
apologize 97:4	19:13 28:6	armed 42:22	assign 73:22
105:21	49:5 71:3	arms 117:24	95:17
apparent 56:16	approaches	arrest 36:14	assigned 72:19
appear 7:15	48:7	arrested 39:6,8	assist 21:6
85:7	approaching	arrests 35:17	assistance
appeared 15:17	51:9	37:14	27:19 55:5
appears 17:4	appropriate	arrival 32:22	117:22 123:22
25:9	31:2 48:19,25	arrive 72:1	assistant 3:2
applaud 6:3	95:14	ascribing 100:5	17:15,19 19:23
103:8	appropriation	aside 53:3,4,19	associated 53:4
applause 45:6	13:4 27:5	57:2,4	association
applicants	53:15,18 54:1	asked 78:11	3:19 71:18,19
93:12	54:6	89:25 113:13	102:20
applications	appropriations	118:13	associations
88:20 116:24	94:20	asking 42:18	25:20
applied 22:9	approval 27:11	83:3,4 94:17	assumed 49:12
96:8	56:14 87:22	98:5 112:7	assuming 23:24
apply 20:20	approved	asks 37:4	asylum 30:13
appointed	110:11	asleep 32:10	32:22 124:17
90:18 107:14	approximately	aspirations	atlantic 112:15
appointment	28:2	115:17	attached 97:11
107:25			

[attachment - believe]

attachment	aunt 89:17	65:18,18 76:14	bans 36:8
98:8	authorities	104:20 105:2	barbara 3:13
attack 40:4	22:10	104.20 105.2	73:1 85:15
56:16,17 57:1	authority 22:8	112:5 118:11	86:15,17
57:3	22:12 48:19	backed 55:19	base 60:4 64:4
attacked 41:20	56:13,14 57:13	background	based 18:20
41:21	95:12,21 96:2	35:9	22:15,18 62:25
attacking	96:6 111:4	backgrounds	81:17 115:14
119:24	authorized	115:8	bases 7:21
attainment	81:9	bad 72:4 86:23	basic 54:2
114:15	authorizes 27:3	111:10	55:23 87:9
attempt 17:4	automate 68:16	balance 46:9	basically 57:2
attempted	automate 08.10	48:20	63:12 70:16
57:13	82:16	balanced 56:11	71:11 78:3
attended 58:17	automatically	balances	81:20 118:19
attendees 2:2	68:18 82:4	110:24	basis 85:1
attending	autonomous	balancing 18:2	basis 85.1 battle 120:17
66:12 85:23	94:1	ball 76:7	120:19
attention 59:14	available 31:6	balling 55:6	bear 35:24
86:3 101:1	47:6,10 58:11	ballot 7:14,15	beautiful 5:3
attorney	average 10:15	15:19 41:8,13	40:15 41:5
127:14 128:10	68:12 71:25	43:17 119:19	began 53:21
attract 12:2	81:16 83:1	120:13,22	beginning 16:8
attributable	112:6	120:13,22	21:18 32:21,22
10:11	avoiding 66:22	122:17 123:3	56:23
audience	award 30:18	ban 36:9	begins 31:17
101:15	76:7	bank's 78:1	behalf 20:4,7
audio 127:8	awarded 30:16	banking 22:16	88:9 92:20
128:3	aware 107:6	22:17	102:20 107:12
audit 71:10	aye 126:5	bankruptcy	belief 23:7
87:13 93:7	b	51:11	beliefs 121:23
94:24 111:4	babies 38:25	banks 84:21	122:6
audits 93:13,22	back 19:1,1	banning 113:2	believe 9:5
august 89:23	35:18 38:25	113:4,6	10:23 35:6
	39:25 54:24		41:11 61:17

[believe - bristow]

L	1	1	1
65:25 70:12	biggest 16:21	board 4:2	borrow 65:5
71:20 90:7	41:10	50:10 72:12	borrowed
91:13,23 96:14	bill 11:15,23	74:6 77:13	55:25
96:17,21 97:21	63:13 64:1	83:16 84:1,3,4	borrowing
124:16	101:2	90:2,8 92:23	56:14
ben 3:22	bill's 11:14	94:5 97:13	brad 3:10 5:18
108:14,15,17	billion 20:11	123:17,23,25	66:1,10 87:14
108:24 109:3	26:22 28:1,3	124:8	bragg 2:16 6:3
benefit 58:24	52:17,18 55:3	boards 15:10	23:18 24:13
benefits 47:2,8	56:12,23 57:14	25:15,17	78:8 84:7 97:3
62:23,24 63:3	58:25 59:10,12	107:15 108:2	98:6 99:2
63:4,5,8 64:3	59:16,17	113:22,23	116:11,14,19
benefitted 59:2	102:23,25	123:21 124:11	117:4 125:10
best 8:8 10:22	103:1	125:1	brains 61:9
19:8 21:16	billions 61:24	bodega 104:14	branch 43:5,6
38:6 71:19	104:4 105:9	bodies 107:22	43:11,12 48:20
89:17 127:10	bills 29:16	body 90:16	brazen 37:20
128:6	63:11,14 100:5	bolstered 49:1	breaks 21:18
better 7:7 14:9	bit 5:10 35:24	bond 19:7	brewer 3:11
48:6 51:25,25	35:25 105:11	56:22	85:17,18,21
66:24 69:7	120:10	bonds 57:11,14	86:13
73:5 85:25	black 83:10	57:22	brick 104:23
91:14 113:19	blair 3:13 73:1	bonilla 92:23	bridges 62:3
115:16 118:20	85:15 86:16,17	bookkeepers	brief 53:12
120:2	blasio 57:12	64:15	58:20 94:2
bias 108:4	63:20	books 70:8	107:8 119:14
bid 78:19,24	blast 16:2	boom 59:4	briefly 45:24
bidders 78:19	blithely 55:18	border 38:13	bring 46:12
bidding 32:15	block 121:10	40:6	70:10
big 13:12,16	blocks 27:7	borders 112:13	bringing 14:12
41:6 48:13	bloomberg	borne 9:19	brings 33:9
54:5 63:15	56:24 63:20	borough 5:21	bristow 2:24
76:21 81:11	bo 3:5 34:16	27:23 85:17	17:13,17,19
83:12	40:15 44:5	boroughs 10:1	24:1,16,19,25
	45:14	106:19	25:16 26:2

[broader - cause]

broader 67:10 67:10 broadly 106:12 broadway 1:11 bronx 5:20 brooklyn 5:21 brought 125:2 brown 83:10 budget 2:25 3:4 3:6 9:8 10:9 11:3,4,12,13 12:21 13:4,22 13:24 17:14,21 18:2 21:5,6,11 21:13 23:5,9 24:5,5,12,15,17 25:2,7,8,18	90:8 94:1,7,19 94:21 95:5 97:8,22 98:2,8 98:9,16,20 101:13 102:13 103:11 116:5 budgetary 5:16 29:17 94:13 95:22 104:3 budgeted 28:1 budgeting 21:2 54:23 69:25 budgets 18:1,3 27:15,21 70:3 83:11 build 18:21 64:2 68:19	buys 32:14 c c 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1 56:3 64:17,22 95:20 c3 3:24 113:15 calendar 29:14 29:20 call call 36:10 42:13 85:13 93:4 126:1 called 11:5 33:3 78:20 79:6 83:15 89:17 97:5 112:17 calling 91:7 calls	card 22:24 52:9 100:25 care 43:19 career 35:10 114:14,16 careers 114:13 careful 13:11 21:25 carefully 14:11 carlo 1:5 2:3 5:23 carol 13:23 carol 13:23 carolina 105:4 carry 41:21 carton 105:5 cartons 104:17 104:18
$\begin{array}{c} 26:4,14,18,21\\ 26:22\ 27:1,3,6\\ 28:2,5\ 29:10\\ 29:23\ 30:5,9\\ 34:19\ 44:20\\ 45:19\ 48:16,17\\ 49:2,19\ 51:12\\ 51:14,18\ 52:23\\ 53:6\ 55:13\\ 56:2,5,7,11,25\\ 57:1\ 59:12\\ 60:15,25\ 61:15\\ 61:16\ 62:15\\ 63:16\ 67:22\\ 68:4\ 69:8\\ 70:18\ 71:15,15\\ 72:17\ 79:23\\ 82:7\ 90:3,5,6,8 \end{array}$	79:22 81:4 82:5 building 10:11 27:7 101:3 buildings 101:25 builds 67:21 built 25:17 69:24 75:17 110:23 business 8:16 9:12 13:8 17:7 20:8,17 33:11 78:4 81:23 100:7 businesses 11:24 77:23	candid 99:19 candidate 92:8 122:2 candidates 121:23 122:5 cannabis 88:21 103:18 cap 49:16 capacity 88:2 100:7,11 capital 18:1 56:4,5,5 66:24 71:3 capitalized 77:24 captain 36:22 car 20:16	case 10:9 53:12 53:18 57:23 92:10 94:22 121:5,20 cash 55:5 catalog 110:13 catch 97:6 categorical 59:17 categories 27:4 27:15 cater 124:5 cause 12:19 40:2,8 41:17 47:12 63:17,21 70:18 91:20 97:6 103:14 118:14

[caused - christopher]

caused 67:9	32:12 33:6	chapter 93:6	87:23 88:6
70:4,5 94:10	34:9,12	96:11	92:19 93:5,6
causes 101:9	chair 2:3,4 5:23	charge 91:22	94:11,18 95:16
cautious 19:13	6:2 26:11 66:6	91:25 100:25	96:4,11,13,22
cbc 48:7 54:20	71:1 85:22	charged 28:17	102:6 104:8,10
cbc's 49:4	86:17 92:17,18	66:11 93:15	106:1 109:1
55:12	92:23,23 99:9	95:1	111:2 113:2
ccrb 97:7,21	chairman 8:24	charging 78:1	117:17 118:3,6
ccrb's 98:7,16	26:11 34:25	charter 1:1 2:3	118:8,17
center 3:7	35:2 58:6 75:4	2:4,6,8,10,12	120:12,14,18
34:19 44:23	105:21 106:1	2:14,16,18,20	120:21 124:4
56:16,17	121:19	5:6,24 6:15 7:4	124:12,25
centers 20:14	challenge 10:13	7:5,8,24 8:13	charter's 28:8
114:5,7	10:19 28:6	8:25 10:18,18	charterinfo
centralized	challenged	10:24 11:4,9	8:15
87:24	87:6	11:17 12:17	charters 86:6
century 67:18	challenges 19:2	15:23 16:12,19	99:23
ceo 8:20	96:1 100:21	17:18 18:16	check 8:12
certain 11:10	challenging	19:11 26:17	checks 110:23
21:21 25:4	84:16 86:24	28:15,24 41:2	chief 13:25
32:15 63:14	chance 25:10	44:11 46:10,12	17:22
88:7 90:21	change 18:19	46:18 47:3,5,8	child 111:13
105:22	39:10 53:5	47:24 48:1,23	children 109:6
certainly 24:2	94:3,17	49:25 50:2,7	111:14 124:17
118:21	changes 7:6	51:14,16 53:9	chinatown
certificate	11:25 12:1	53:13,17 56:2	123:19
127:1 128:1	22:1 49:10	57:21 60:13	choice 121:7
certification	53:23 67:10	63:19 66:7	choices 10:22
49:14	94:3 96:13,22	67:24 68:5,10	choke 42:11
certified 54:6	101:23	70:24 71:12,13	chokehold
certify 127:4	changing	71:14 72:4,11	36:11 42:15,16
128:2	120:23	72:23 73:16	chopping
chafee 3:4 26:5	chaos 91:20	75:19 77:2	121:10
26:7,10,13	92:10	81:9 83:4,23	christopher 2:8
31:14,23 32:9		86:19 87:11,12	6:3

[cigarette - clarified]

cigarette	18:25 20:4,7	70:13 71:20,24	124:16,17,22
104:11,21	20:12,22 21:17	75:7,8 78:4,18	city's 11:19
105:1	21:22 22:5,14	79:4,9 81:3,6	12:1 17:25
cigarettes	23:7,10,21,23	81:16 84:12,13	18:1,14,17,22
102:23,24	25:14 26:4,13	86:8,19 87:8	21:9 22:6,13
103:2,3,20,20	26:16,18 27:3	87:11,11,12,14	22:16 23:5,9
104:3,22 105:3	27:7,10,13,20	87:15,16,23,24	26:22 27:1
105:14	28:24 29:18,19	88:5,6,8,8,10	30:5,13 46:6
circumstances	29:21 30:8,10	89:13 90:4,9	46:14 47:7
32:16 124:20	30:14 32:14	91:6,18,21	48:15 51:10
citations 35:17	33:16 34:6	92:19,21 93:5	52:10 56:11
citing 19:7	35:5,9,15,15,21	93:7,9,12,14	58:23 61:19,20
citizen 14:8	35:23 36:6,12	94:16,23,25	64:4 66:12,16
40:12 112:1	36:17,18,21,23	95:1,2,6,8,10	66:23 67:11
citizen's 34:19	37:22 38:8,14	95:16 96:4,11	73:2 80:11
citizenry 15:4	38:15 39:12,23	96:15,20,23	93:17 96:20
citizens 3:6,18	39:24 40:1,15	97:18,20 98:5	99:16 101:13
11:19 23:23	40:18,18 41:1	99:25 100:22	111:1
24:22 25:19	41:10,15,16	102:13,24	citycharter.n
44:20 45:19	42:7,9 43:1,3,3	103:15 104:22	8:15
67:22 99:15	43:10,13 44:3	104:24 105:13	citywide 18:5
112:6,12,18	49:5,6 50:8,14	106:4,5 107:12	87:1 88:3
city 2:22,24 3:4	50:16 51:16	107:15 110:1	94:14 113:15
3:10,11,21	52:11 53:8,9	111:3,7,14	121:3
5:14 7:5,6,10	53:13,24 54:8	112:20,21	civic 106:5
7:24,25 8:18	54:10,16,23	113:1,2,17,18	114:22,25
8:21 9:3,12,14	55:2 56:4,8,19	113:20 115:9	civilian 90:2,7
9:14,23 10:6	57:18 58:15,25	115:13,15,21	97:13
10:14,17,24	59:11,21 60:2	116:1,7 117:23	claims 70:3
11:5,20 12:5	60:5,6,9 61:2,3	117:24 118:6,7	90:17,23
12:16 14:2,9	61:5,5,9,11,21	118:17 120:3	clarification
15:11 16:10,20	61:22 62:9,25	120:19,23	43:23 96:5
16:23 17:1,14	63:3,5 66:1,10	121:2,11	106:20
17:16,22 18:2	66:21 68:6	122:11,16	clarified 53:19
18:3,6,11,12,23	69:7 70:4,6,9	124:2,4,7,9,12	

[clarity - committed]

clarity 21:14	collapsed 56:9	comfortable	44:20 45:19
29:4 69:4 96:2	colleague 8:19	10:1	46:11,12 60:15
class 53:9	75:6	coming 8:1	63:19 66:7
classes 22:6	colleagues 12:9	24:24 38:13,13	67:22 81:9
clean 18:23	90:22,23	38:14 67:13,14	83:4,23 89:25
clear 6:21	107:10	75:10 85:16	92:19,21 93:4
11:10 27:6	collect 21:22	103:2,4,25	102:5 104:10
65:2 68:1	59:12	105:4,12	104:20 106:2
70:20 73:15,22	collected 59:9	119:23	106:12,13
87:24 102:3	collecting 20:6	commend	107:5 109:1
121:19	collection	84:24	111:3 117:17
clearer 96:5	21:11,21	comment 11:8	118:4,6,8
clearly 12:11	collections 21:7	16:7 25:11	119:25 120:18
28:10 51:19	21:9,15,18	comments 7:9	124:25
53:7 56:25	22:2,24	7:19 8:13 14:5	commission's
clergy 113:15	collective	15:22 16:13	30:22
clever 112:24	113:15	17:12 23:15	commissioner
cliff 49:11	collects 20:11	74:19 97:1	3:2 14:14
climate 67:1	college 114:16	106:9 108:12	17:15 19:23
clinics 87:4	colloquy	116:10 125:22	52:23 62:11
close 8:16	112:17	commercial	92:24,25,25
67:22 71:2	color 93:11,16	20:10	117:6 121:16
103:24 105:13	combined	commission 2:3	121:16
111:20	27:22	2:5,9,11,13,15	commissioner's
closed 64:19	come 7:18 8:10	2:17,19,21 3:6	109:11,20
103:22	20:19 31:8	3:17 5:6,13,24	commissioners
closely 21:4	34:14,17 40:6	6:15 7:4,13 9:1	14:4 23:16
club 3:21 89:16	47:18 63:11	9:6 10:18,23	69:18 89:7
106:4,5 107:12	69:16,20 79:16	11:21 15:10	110:5
clustering 87:3	90:21 107:5	16:22 17:6,18	commissions
codified 87:21	108:23 113:13	18:16 19:12,17	2:6
codify 86:21	118:13 122:25	20:3 22:17,17	commitments
cofounder	comes 36:23	26:12 28:8,15	59:8
89:12 90:13	38:1 54:4	28:16 30:2	committed 8:5
	80:19	34:19,24 41:3	15:24 18:13

[committed - congressional]

	1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
109:5	comparative	complicated	concern 16:18
committee 1:1	94:20	68:16	83:12 94:2
29:1,15,21	compared 33:8	comply 79:1	concerned 9:4
42:23 86:17	94:22	comport 50:1	24:2 110:19
87:21,23 88:25	comparing	composed	115:21 117:23
89:14	22:21	113:23	concerning
committing	comparison	comprehensive	15:13,17
112:25	29:9	50:4 123:21	concerns 23:20
common 14:13	comparisons	comptroller	30:14 107:17
19:3 112:8	21:7	3:10 5:17	conclude 57:25
communicate	compels 72:20	30:25 31:18	concluded
21:10 121:23	competing	32:17,24 49:14	126:6
122:6	33:11	55:2,6,9 66:1,2	conclusion 23:6
communication	competition	66:10 68:6	concrete 72:22
14:11 106:11	30:19 32:19	74:13,16 79:15	72:24
communicati	33:1,7,8,9	83:17 84:7	condemn
89:11	competitive	85:5 87:14	124:13
communities	32:15	94:23 95:1,6	conditions
83:12 86:22	complacency	111:3	18:11 46:22
87:6 115:19,24	110:22	comptroller's	conduct 50:8
community 4:2	complaining	55:7 111:8	110:12
15:10 25:14,17	104:14,16	comptrollers	conducting
50:10 74:25	complaint 90:2	49:7	93:15 115:7
75:20 76:9	90:7 97:13	compulsory	confidence
87:2,3 88:7,12	complement	109:15	20:24
88:17,21	50:1 73:9	concentrated	confine 22:11
107:18 114:4	complete 14:2	88:12	confirm 123:8
114:24 115:6,8	completed	concentration	conflict 107:17
116:3 117:25	93:18	87:10,17 88:3	conflicts 91:2
118:2,16	complexity	88:18	confused 42:5
123:17,21,25	20:20	concept 63:3	congress 42:22
124:10 125:1	compliance	86:22	59:15
company 22:24	20:24 87:25	concepts 87:8	congressional
35:13	95:15 110:8,14	conceptual	29:10,12,14
	111:5	63:9	

[connection - council]

connection	constraint	contracted	cop 40:12
98:7	61:12	88:8,11	cops 41:14
consequences	construction	contracting	121:8
95:18,22	101:9,14,23	30:11 72:14	core 60:12,13
100:16 101:19	102:9	74:7 75:13	corporation
consider 48:4	consultants	contractor	20:8 96:8
49:13 88:22	61:8	33:17	correct 88:25
102:4,5 111:15	consulted	contractors	95:23 108:17
119:18 120:22	13:23	61:8	125:15
123:2	consumer	contracts 30:16	corrected 84:19
considerable	101:18 105:1	30:17,18,24	corrections
90:10 95:25	consumers 9:20	31:12,15 32:5	62:11
considerably	11:25	33:16,16,23	corrective
29:13	cont'd 3:1 4:1	72:6 75:18	95:14,17
consideration	contact 117:5	76:21 84:25	corridor 103:2
11:8,18 12:23	contain 99:23	contribute 9:11	104:1
13:8 22:1	contingent 54:9	12:4 101:4	cost 9:11,13,24
29:21 108:7	continue 35:14	115:19	12:5 13:3,9
124:23	49:12 59:6	contributing	18:6 29:16
considered	107:1 110:1	37:9	30:4,7 52:15
32:6 48:1,6	continued	contribution	56:17 60:23
55:20 62:6	30:15 93:21	88:10	71:5 100:10
91:15	continues 31:2	contributions	101:3,24 105:8
considering 9:7	continuing	68:2	costs 9:19 10:1
23:8	109:22	control 43:21	10:6 11:25
consistent 95:9	continuity 92:9	54:17 116:2	13:8 29:25
96:7 98:17	contract 31:17	controlled	30:15,21 55:2
consistently	31:24 32:6	48:11	56:19 63:24
19:6	33:2,4,19 52:3	convenient	99:19 101:1,8
constituency	72:1,6,16 75:3	16:6,6	101:9,12 102:9
17:2 25:14	75:15,21,24,25	conversation	102:11
constituents	75:25 76:10,13	117:21	council 3:18
16:4	76:20 77:25	cooperation	10:21 11:6,11
constitution	79:12 83:21	37:17	12:9 17:5 22:5
16:23			22:8 23:21

[council - daughters]

	1	1	1
27:3,10,13	112:11	creativity	cultural 115:9
28:25 29:8,19	couple 5:8 6:19	115:5	culture 59:7
29:21 36:12,18	42:6 77:18	credibility	cuomo 57:17
36:19,21,23	103:22	55:20	current 18:21
39:12,24 42:7	course 6:10	credible 55:9	52:10 63:7,25
42:9 43:1,3,10	25:5,18 46:3	credit 19:7	110:4,6
43:14 48:3,7	48:17 94:9	22:24 100:25	currently 11:17
52:16,22,22,24	101:16	crime 36:3 37:9	28:24 46:13,21
61:2,3,11 68:6	courses 58:15	37:15 40:14	93:13 95:13
68:20 74:13,14	cover 6:9 56:1	41:10,12	112:25 121:9
76:2,4 77:16	56:3 57:14	crimes 37:10	124:4,12
77:17 82:6,8	80:9 101:8	39:6	curse 74:25
86:8 90:4 91:6	123:22	criminal 39:3	cursory 11:7
94:16 99:15	covered 7:21	103:19	customer 20:25
115:21 116:1,7	57:4	criminals 37:19	cut 78:9 80:20
118:7	covering 100:9	crises 19:3	82:2,15 94:6
council's 22:11	covid 30:13	100:24 101:11	cuts 66:22 81:2
29:20 36:6	32:21 38:10	crisis 9:4,16,22	cutting 70:1
	1	1	<u> </u>
52:11,17	57:15	10:4 16:14	d
117:23,24	сро 29:16	30:17,19 51:10	d
117:23,24 councilmember	cpo 29:16 crash 70:5	30:17,19 51:10 51:15 55:24	d d 5:1
117:23,24 councilmember 3:11 85:16,18	cpo 29:16 crash 70:5 crc's 46:1	30:17,19 51:10 51:15 55:24 57:5,15 67:1,8	d d 5:1 dangerous 44:6
117:23,24 councilmember 3:11 85:16,18 86:13	cpo 29:16 crash 70:5 crc's 46:1 create 12:13	30:17,19 51:10 51:15 55:24 57:5,15 67:1,8 84:11 102:14	d d 5:1 dangerous 44:6 darrell 4:4
117:23,24 councilmember 3:11 85:16,18 86:13 councilmemb	cpo 29:16 crash 70:5 crc's 46:1 create 12:13 46:8 69:1 91:1	30:17,19 51:10 51:15 55:24 57:5,15 67:1,8 84:11 102:14 criteria 86:25	d d 5:1 dangerous 44:6 darrell 4:4 dashboard
117:23,24 councilmember 3:11 85:16,18 86:13 councilmemb 11:16	cpo 29:16 crash 70:5 crc's 46:1 create 12:13 46:8 69:1 91:1 91:14 92:9	30:17,19 51:10 51:15 55:24 57:5,15 67:1,8 84:11 102:14 criteria 86:25 88:1 96:8	d d 5:1 dangerous 44:6 darrell 4:4 dashboard 77:9
117:23,24 councilmember 3:11 85:16,18 86:13 councilmemb 11:16 councils 113:23	cpo 29:16 crash 70:5 crc's 46:1 create 12:13 46:8 69:1 91:1 91:14 92:9 created 12:16	30:17,19 51:10 51:15 55:24 57:5,15 67:1,8 84:11 102:14 criteria 86:25 88:1 96:8 critical 17:24	d d 5:1 dangerous 44:6 darrell 4:4 dashboard 77:9 data 21:7 29:6
117:23,24 councilmember 3:11 85:16,18 86:13 councilmemb 11:16 councils 113:23 counsel 6:16	cpo 29:16 crash 70:5 crc's 46:1 create 12:13 46:8 69:1 91:1 91:14 92:9 created 12:16 46:10,11 47:2	30:17,19 51:10 51:15 55:24 57:5,15 67:1,8 84:11 102:14 criteria 86:25 88:1 96:8 critical 17:24 87:16 107:16	d d 5:1 dangerous 44:6 darrell 4:4 dashboard 77:9 data 21:7 29:6 49:24 87:16
117:23,24 councilmember 3:11 85:16,18 86:13 councilmemb 11:16 councils 113:23 counsel 6:16 96:8 127:11,14	cpo 29:16 crash 70:5 crc's 46:1 create 12:13 46:8 69:1 91:1 91:14 92:9 created 12:16 46:10,11 47:2 63:19 88:17	30:17,19 51:10 51:15 55:24 57:5,15 67:1,8 84:11 102:14 criteria 86:25 88:1 96:8 critical 17:24 87:16 107:16 criticized	d d 5:1 dangerous 44:6 darrell 4:4 dashboard 77:9 data 21:7 29:6 49:24 87:16 date 21:12 72:3
117:23,24 councilmember 3:11 85:16,18 86:13 councilmemb 11:16 councils 113:23 counsel 6:16 96:8 127:11,14 128:7,10	cpo 29:16 crash 70:5 crc's 46:1 create 12:13 46:8 69:1 91:1 91:14 92:9 created 12:16 46:10,11 47:2 63:19 88:17 93:5	30:17,19 51:10 51:15 55:24 57:5,15 67:1,8 84:11 102:14 criteria 86:25 88:1 96:8 critical 17:24 87:16 107:16 criticized 122:24	d d 5:1 dangerous 44:6 darrell 4:4 dashboard 77:9 data 21:7 29:6 49:24 87:16 date 21:12 72:3 74:7 84:1,2
117:23,24 councilmember 3:11 85:16,18 86:13 councilmemb 11:16 councils 113:23 counsel 6:16 96:8 127:11,14 128:7,10 count 79:25	cpo 29:16 crash 70:5 crc's 46:1 create 12:13 46:8 69:1 91:1 91:14 92:9 created 12:16 46:10,11 47:2 63:19 88:17 93:5 creates 12:14	30:17,19 51:10 51:15 55:24 57:5,15 67:1,8 84:11 102:14 criteria 86:25 88:1 96:8 critical 17:24 87:16 107:16 criticized 122:24 crowell 4:3	d d 5:1 dangerous 44:6 darrell 4:4 dashboard 77:9 data 21:7 29:6 49:24 87:16 date 21:12 72:3 74:7 84:1,2 98:15
117:23,24 councilmember 3:11 85:16,18 86:13 councilmemb 11:16 councils 113:23 counsel 6:16 96:8 127:11,14 128:7,10 count 79:25 94:5,10 120:14	cpo 29:16 crash 70:5 crc's 46:1 create 12:13 46:8 69:1 91:1 91:14 92:9 created 12:16 46:10,11 47:2 63:19 88:17 93:5 creates 12:14 95:18	30:17,19 51:10 51:15 55:24 57:5,15 67:1,8 84:11 102:14 criteria 86:25 88:1 96:8 critical 17:24 87:16 107:16 criticized 122:24 crowell 4:3 6:11 44:25	d d 5:1 dangerous 44:6 darrell 4:4 dashboard 77:9 data 21:7 29:6 49:24 87:16 date 21:12 72:3 74:7 84:1,2 98:15 dates 8:12
117:23,24 councilmember 3:11 85:16,18 86:13 councilmemb 11:16 councils 113:23 counsel 6:16 96:8 127:11,14 128:7,10 count 79:25 94:5,10 120:14 countries 112:7	cpo 29:16 crash 70:5 crc's 46:1 create 12:13 46:8 69:1 91:1 91:14 92:9 created 12:16 46:10,11 47:2 63:19 88:17 93:5 creates 12:14 95:18 creating 10:4	30:17,19 51:10 51:15 55:24 57:5,15 67:1,8 84:11 102:14 criteria 86:25 88:1 96:8 critical 17:24 87:16 107:16 criticized 122:24 crowell 4:3 6:11 44:25 45:7 58:9	d d 5:1 dangerous 44:6 darrell 4:4 dashboard 77:9 data 21:7 29:6 49:24 87:16 date 21:12 72:3 74:7 84:1,2 98:15 dates 8:12 106:15
117:23,24 councilmember 3:11 85:16,18 86:13 councilmemb 11:16 councils 113:23 counsel 6:16 96:8 127:11,14 128:7,10 count 79:25 94:5,10 120:14	cpo 29:16 crash 70:5 crc's 46:1 create 12:13 46:8 69:1 91:1 91:14 92:9 created 12:16 46:10,11 47:2 63:19 88:17 93:5 creates 12:14 95:18 creating 10:4 52:25 114:6	30:17,19 51:10 51:15 55:24 57:5,15 67:1,8 84:11 102:14 criteria 86:25 88:1 96:8 critical 17:24 87:16 107:16 criticized 122:24 crowell 4:3 6:11 44:25 45:7 58:9 crucial 21:23	d d 5:1 dangerous 44:6 darrell 4:4 dashboard 77:9 data 21:7 29:6 49:24 87:16 date 21:12 72:3 74:7 84:1,2 98:15 dates 8:12 106:15 daughter 40:11
117:23,24 councilmember 3:11 85:16,18 86:13 councilmemb 11:16 councils 113:23 counsel 6:16 96:8 127:11,14 128:7,10 count 79:25 94:5,10 120:14 countries 112:7 112:8 country 26:23	cpo 29:16 crash 70:5 crc's 46:1 create 12:13 46:8 69:1 91:1 91:14 92:9 created 12:16 46:10,11 47:2 63:19 88:17 93:5 creates 12:14 95:18 creating 10:4 52:25 114:6 creation 11:24	30:17,19 51:10 51:15 55:24 57:5,15 67:1,8 84:11 102:14 criteria 86:25 88:1 96:8 critical 17:24 87:16 107:16 criticized 122:24 crowell 4:3 6:11 44:25 45:7 58:9	d d 5:1 dangerous 44:6 darrell 4:4 dashboard 77:9 data 21:7 29:6 49:24 87:16 date 21:12 72:3 74:7 84:1,2 98:15 dates 8:12 106:15 daughter 40:11 daughters 36:4
117:23,24 councilmember 3:11 85:16,18 86:13 councilmemb 11:16 councils 113:23 counsel 6:16 96:8 127:11,14 128:7,10 count 79:25 94:5,10 120:14 countries 112:7 112:8	cpo 29:16 crash 70:5 crc's 46:1 create 12:13 46:8 69:1 91:1 91:14 92:9 created 12:16 46:10,11 47:2 63:19 88:17 93:5 creates 12:14 95:18 creating 10:4 52:25 114:6	30:17,19 51:10 51:15 55:24 57:5,15 67:1,8 84:11 102:14 criteria 86:25 88:1 96:8 critical 17:24 87:16 107:16 criticized 122:24 crowell 4:3 6:11 44:25 45:7 58:9 crucial 21:23	d d 5:1 dangerous 44:6 darrell 4:4 dashboard 77:9 data 21:7 29:6 49:24 87:16 date 21:12 72:3 74:7 84:1,2 98:15 dates 8:12 106:15 daughter 40:11

[david - deputy]

david 3:19 99:6	58:10	deduction	demonstrates
99:8 102:17,18	debate 49:3	82:17	110:14
day 22:12	100:3 102:12	deems 95:15	demonstrating
38:19,20,20	debated 36:12	deep 5:13 16:18	88:15
41:20 46:8,10	deborah 2:23	deeper 102:14	demonstration
46:11 47:5,12	8:22 14:20	deeply 9:4	110:10 111:5
61:25 63:18,21	debt 23:2 49:16	93:22	denominator
63:23 67:25	49:17 56:4	deferred 101:5	19:4
69:2 72:5,8	57:9 66:23	deficit 54:16	dense 112:20
73:17 74:11	70:14,16,18,23	define 46:24	denser 112:21
75:2 79:15,16	decade 10:8	definition 96:3	department 3:3
79:18,21 80:17	109:9	deliberate	17:16 19:25
80:18 81:10,25	decades 67:7	64:24 65:1	20:4,4,10,15,17
82:4,10,14	december	delicate 48:20	20:21 21:1
89:10 103:3,13	52:24	delineation	27:17 35:16
104:16 124:13	decide 60:14,22	96:5	72:17 74:4
124:16	69:13 122:12	deliver 25:21	75:20 76:9,17
days 54:25	decision 69:13	50:16	83:22 94:14
56:15 73:19	102:3 107:19	delivered 61:25	109:11 111:7
75:2 77:7,8	114:24	delivering	124:7
82:25 83:2,25	decisions 21:13	49:22	depend 61:23
dc 13:25	23:7 36:6	demand 100:6	dependent 60:3
dcp 124:7	100:2 102:1,8	110:23	62:4
de 47:4 57:12	102:12 107:23	demands	depends 7:15
63:20	119:19	109:16	29:4
deadline 72:5	declared 32:17	democracy	deposit 46:9
72:19,20 76:19	decoy 44:4,4	42:19 43:16,20	68:15
76:24	decoys 35:18	democratic	deposits 46:13
deadlines 74:6	decrease 55:15	89:14,16	46:15,19,23,24
deal 20:20	55:17	democrats	47:9
55:11 84:11	decreases	122:18	depth 32:10
dealing 54:19	101:12	demographic	deputy 52:24
deals 55:23	dedicated	50:12	62:12 91:24
dean 4:3 6:11	99:16 124:11	demonstrated	92:1,5
44:25 45:7		110:8	

[derail - dollars]

derail 17:5	determining	dime 105:8	distorting 49:2
described 21:3	28:17 59:20	dinkins 13:24	distressed 16:1
76:24 82:14	developed	direct 113:24	distributes
description	51:15	direction	87:15
28:9		109:21	distribution
deserve 13:11	developing 17:25 46:21	directions 37:4	87:1
deserve 13.11 deserves 49:12	48:7 83:21		distributors
		directive 36:19	
design 101:20	development	directives 36:7	3:20 102:21
designed 48:18	68:11 75:20	36:24 42:15	district 3:13
56:3,7	76:9 114:16,23	directly 9:5	86:18 87:20
desks 11:16	dialogue 30:1	director 2:20	88:12 89:15
despite 59:14	diane 2:20	6:16 13:24	110:12,13
destabilizing	diaphragm	17:19 26:5,12	districts 88:4,7
47:23	36:12	26:13 52:2	109:13 122:19
destroying	dietl 3:5 34:16	92:22 99:14	dive 5:13
40:14	34:20,23 39:17	directs 29:2	diverse 115:8
destruction	40:20,23 41:4	disappointed	115:17
40:9	41:7,25 42:4,8	17:3	dividends
detail 37:3	43:4 44:1,12	disappointing	51:17
67:20,23 68:24	45:14	120:10	division 11:12
70:15 71:17	difference	disbursements	19:25
89:20,21	78:14	111:9	divisions 27:24
117:14	different 12:14	disciplined	doe 72:14
detailed 45:25	19:2 32:13,13	11:3	doing 8:4 9:12
55:16 117:14	50:12 61:14	disclosed 102:2	15:24 44:21
details 46:2	73:11 78:14,16	discrimination	52:4,4 56:8
49:6 55:19	78:17 82:24	90:11,17 91:10	60:24 74:9
65:15	83:20 98:4	discuss 28:21	76:12 103:12
detective 27:24	112:7	28:22 86:9	120:9 121:25
35:9	difficult 29:25	98:15 117:6	dollar 101:11
determination	36:16 116:25	discussed 47:21	dollars 13:3
31:1	117:2	discussion 11:1	33:10 49:10
determine 7:13	digital 114:4	disputed 36:11	55:3 104:4
61:3,18	115:3 127:8	distinct 54:1,6	105:10
	128:3		

[door - elements]

door 57.20	110.1.2	59.02 (1.00.02	
door 57:20	119:1,3	58:23 61:22,23	effectiveness
downward	duly 127:5	62:5,8 67:11	18:6 115:13
37:16	duty 94:24	educate 61:25	effects 101:21
doycd 116:20	dynamic 90:20	education	efficiencies
116:25 117:2,5	dysfunction	20:12 109:8,10	69:12
dozens 35:22	91:20	109:15,17	efficiency 18:5
44:9	e	110:18 111:7	49:21 113:19
dr 2:4 6:2 14:6	e 2:1,1 3:1,1 4:1	111:13 114:14	efficiently 7:7
24:14,18,21	4:1 5:1,1 16:2	122:6 124:18	18:13 20:23
25:12 26:11	e.j. 3:7 44:22	educational	30:10 66:21
74:20,23 78:5	eager 107:4	109:6,14 110:2	113:18
78:7 117:13	eagler 107.4 earlier 45:1	110:8,22 111:1	efforts 93:9
118:1 119:1,3	48:3 54:4	111:15 114:15	115:7 120:1
draft 76:10	55:13 99:22	124:15	eight 35:14
105:21	106:10	edward 2:14	76:7 115:2
drafted 29:24	early 35:18	eeo 93:13 95:9	either 93:11
draw 62:9	54:24	95:23	105:16
96:20	ears 100:10	eepc 93:4,4,13	elaborate 32:8
dream 38:23	easier 37:24	93:20 94:5,13	97:9
dress 35:20	easiest 84:11	94:17 95:1,7	elaine 92:24
drive 20:16		95:15	elect 59:18
driven 57:6	easily 114:3 east 89:16	eepc's 94:7	elected 8:22
driver 101:10		95:5,12,20,25	25:22 90:17
dual 28:6	123:18,18	96:6	91:19 92:4
due 30:15	easy 57:20	effect 38:10	110:1
37:13 57:15	80:13 117:1	54:9 99:24	election 5:19
63:11 94:23	economic 10:20	effected 54:8	7:16 15:19
102:23 103:1	11:1,21 18:10	effective 27:8	120:24,25
dukes 2:4 6:2	46:22 61:20	30:7 36:7	elections
14:6,15 24:14	66:20 79:22	37:12 72:3	120:22,23
24:18,21 25:12	economies	93:10	121:4,4,6
26:11 34:25	18:10	effectively	122:10 123:2
74:20,22,23	economist	18:13 30:9	element 39:3
78:5,7 92:18	13:25 97:24	100:9	elements 47:16
117:13 118:1	economy 11:19		88:22
	26:19 49:18		

[eligible - establishes]

	124.2		and:4-1a 05:00
eligible 63:6	124:2	engagement	entity's 95:22
eliminate 47:11	employers 9:19	114:22 115:7	envy 19:9
64:18 69:14	9:21	enhance 26:17	equal 3:16
elimination	employment	enhancing 18:4	92:21 93:3,10
11:24	3:16 92:21	27:1 28:13	equation 22:3
email 14:25	93:3,8,10,12	31:5 114:15	equipped 21:12
15:1,2 116:18	95:3 114:10,12	enjoined 97:8	85:25
118:22	empowered	ensure 18:22	equitable 10:17
embark 32:18	93:7	21:2,12 47:6	87:1,13 96:16
33:2	empty 69:4	66:19 87:21	equity 59:4
emergencies	enable 102:12	93:9 95:15	equivalent
84:12	enacted 29:19	96:15 98:16	29:10 109:18
emergency	52:16 53:6	108:1	era 67:1
27:19 30:11,17	101:22 109:11	ensures 10:24	erica 3:14
30:18 31:1,12	enactments	18:12	85:14 89:5,10
31:15,17,19,24	29:12	ensuring 28:6	es 127:4
32:5,6,16,18	encourage 8:2	30:7,10 111:10	especially 52:2
33:2 43:7	20:24 120:8	114:2 115:17	66:13 90:20
46:20,25 54:15	encouraging	enter 75:23	95:19
62:1 67:15	114:23	enterprises	essence 97:19
69:9 88:11	endeavors 21:1	103:19	essential 10:17
emotional	ended 57:8	enters 75:21	66:22 70:1
114:21	endorse 73:4	entire 6:12,16	essentially
emphasis 37:18	enemy 37:18	7:5	56:24 69:13
empire 3:7	energy 17:8	entities 12:12	94:8
34:19 44:23	61:10	13:17 14:12	establish 30:23
employ 124:3	enforcement	18:4 31:16,22	47:5 86:25
employed	35:11 41:10	32:24 87:5	87:23 94:18
127:11,14	93:24 95:11,12	95:10,19 96:6	96:16
128:8,11	95:21 105:6,11	96:12,16	established
employee	109:12 110:6	entitlement	47:3,4 78:13
127:13 128:10	110:20	52:15	96:4
employees	engage 115:3	entity 12:14	establishes
20:21 35:13	engaged 118:15	83:15 94:25	49:25
84:12 93:11			

[establishing - extremely]

	1	1	1
establishing	eventually	excessive 36:7	expenses 11:20
113:22 115:11	76:22	36:9	56:1 57:24
establishment	everybody 5:2	exchanges 87:5	62:7
68:25	5:3 14:22	exciting 5:25	expensive 9:14
estate 10:12	40:15 78:25	7:3	52:21
34:4	85:1 89:6	excruciating	experience
esteemed 5:25	118:5 120:3	67:23	42:21 122:7,8
estimate 52:18	122:24 126:1	executive 2:20	124:8
52:19 55:10	everybody's	6:15 26:11	experiences
estimates 29:16	86:7	43:5,6,7,11	114:13
47:17 48:23,24	everyday 16:20	47:16 48:21	expert 13:22
49:6,7,8 52:4	everyone's	49:9 92:22	expertise 62:13
52:15 55:2,4,9	121:20	99:14	111:7
estimating	eviction 52:12	existence 29:5	experts 5:17
18:11 29:25	exact 104:12	existing 11:22	7:11 9:8 61:8
99:24	exactly 31:23	88:18	explain 32:5
ethnicity 50:12	81:2 121:21	expand 60:14	49:9
evaluate 18:16	examination	62:23	explaining
28:17 42:15	30:23	expanded 10:4	55:16
93:8 115:12	examine 28:8	expanding	explanation
evaluating 18:5	examined	52:25 114:11	49:12
evening 5:2,4	96:10	expansion	explicit 12:16
7:11 26:10,25	example 10:9	52:11,16 53:5	exponentially
31:7 33:25	22:5,24 32:20	expansions	64:5
45:15 51:9,22	106:14	54:5	expressed
66:5 73:6	examples 55:1	expected 55:17	112:9
86:16 92:17	excellent 84:24	expenditure	extend 96:11
96:24 99:9,13	86:4	12:25 48:24	extended 30:20
106:1 108:25	except 16:24	55:15	extent 96:10
123:17 125:22	88:17 122:11	expenditures	external 108:4
125:24	exception 94:8	24:7 25:4	extra 119:15
event 15:1	exceptional	53:18,25 54:11	extreme 54:25
51:11	20:25	57:6 99:25	extremely
events 85:23	excess 36:9	expense 18:1	29:22
115:9	57:7 63:1	56:1,6,7	

[eyes - fiscal]

100.0	611 20.04	fl. 06.15	50.1 54 15 01
eyes 100:9	families 38:24	female 36:15	53:1 54:15,21
f	41:22 124:14	fewer 37:14	55:10,14 67:14
face 66:25	124:17	fheps 52:13,13	70:9 71:18
101:8	family 18:24	52:14 53:5	72:4 88:10
faces 53:9	35:5 36:4	fifth 49:15,15	101:19 102:7
100:22	40:11 52:11	67:1 73:18	financially
facial 38:11	122:7	fight 13:14	127:15 128:11
facially 38:16	fantastic	40:14	find 22:2 50:13
facilitate 27:8	106:24 107:2	fighting 36:15	76:3,4 78:2
facilities 20:14	far 25:5 27:21	44:2 68:20	fine 80:2
86:24 87:13,16	55:9 86:3	82:8 91:5	fire 20:13
88:6,8,11,13,19	103:13 115:20	109:7	firefighters
114:8	115:21,24	figure 52:20	70:19 79:24
facility 88:2,8	116:3,7 118:20	54:3,15 83:24	121:8
88:15	fast 46:4 50:23	file 79:9,10	first 5:7,12
facing 9:4	faster 61:22	fill 47:9 63:9,25	11:14 17:13
10:14 35:6	62:7	69:3 116:23	27:1 30:16
120:18,19	father 35:4	final 11:16 50:6	34:23 35:3
fact 16:21	favor 107:23	125:22	38:19 46:6
facto 47:5	126:4	finalize 76:13	48:2 49:5 52:8
	feasible 30:20	finally 19:11	52:9 53:21
factors 22:18	feature 54:22	91:13	56:24 64:6
failed 87:8	federal 18:7	finance 3:3	67:21 73:9
failing 87:18	29:10,22 56:21	11:11 12:9	75:12 89:24
109:8	57:4,18 59:13	17:16 20:1,5	91:24 92:1,5
failure 95:17	59:23 92:5	20:10,15,18,21	103:9 109:15
95:22	feedback 45:21	21:1 56:4,13	119:20
fair 27:19 73:2	50:7,9,15	62:10 71:18	fis 11:5,13,19
73:5 86:22,24	115:11,12	finances 19:9	11:22
87:8,21,24,25	feel 13:16 16:10	financial 11:18	fiscal 5:15 6:20
93:9 96:16	16:10 38:25	14:12 17:23	6:24 9:6 10:20
fairly 20:23	116:4,4	22:20 24:8	11:1,5,10
faith 86:23	feeling 39:22	28:14,18,19	12:23 16:13
fall 32:10 49:11	felony 35:17	31:5 48:22	17:25 18:7,18
falsely 37:15	39:8 43:8	51:25 52:10	18:20,22 19:1
			10.20,22 17.1

[fiscal - fulton]

19:4,8,13 21:2	floor 8:23	foregoing	93:18 114:10
21:25 23:22	florida 40:16	127:3,4 128:4	fourth 5:5
24:4,7,9 25:18	40:17 43:25	forensic 27:25	26:22 71:1
26:20 28:25	fluctuate 21:15	forest 58:18	fragile 60:5
29:4 33:21	focus 26:25	forever 59:6	framework
34:1,1 46:7	86:7 103:17	form 7:14	50:2 110:7
47:16,20,24,25	focused 46:5	11:16 33:10	frank 3:25
48:8 49:3,11	90:15 108:2	formal 107:12	119:7,8,9
49:15,16 51:10	focuses 11:19	formalizing	123:4,5
54:7 55:1,24	focusing 5:15	123:20	frankly 47:4
56:10,12,18	folks 91:11	former 13:25	fraud 95:2
57:3,5 59:8	120:11	36:22 62:11,12	free 89:12
60:4,11,17	follow 65:13,15	85:17	109:24
66:12,13,16	85:10 89:22	forms 79:8	freedoms 89:15
67:8,12,15,19	92:4	formula 68:2	frequently
69:9 72:24	followed 8:21	68:15,25 69:6	25:24 100:4
73:3 84:11	following 34:18	82:14	friend 5:18
90:1 94:4,20	45:23 97:16	forth 42:24	13:25 34:24
95:7 96:22	follows 55:13	50:2	58:9
99:23 100:5	57:22 94:3	forum 6:20,23	friendly 114:6
107:8 120:5	foment 120:8	6:25 8:18	friends 8:2 66:8
121:2,10	food 27:19	forums 5:12,19	front 20:2
fit 92:11	foolish 122:14	7:11	fruit 38:25
five 10:1 46:6	force 36:9,9	forward 19:16	fudged 100:5
50:9 52:14	38:1 59:20	58:1 72:24	fuel 100:23
66:15 67:7	80:5 93:17	86:11 90:21	fulfill 94:11
73:15 74:5	forced 84:21	foster 86:25	fulfilled 124:7
84:9,15 114:14	forces 107:14	114:25	full 29:21 57:24
flat 94:8	108:1	found 15:2	124:3
flawed 77:4	forcing 53:10	59:1 87:15	fully 54:8
flaws 119:25	forecasting	93:19 105:19	fulton 3:24 4:4
fleeting 104:7	18:10	founded 106:6	108:14,15,22
flexibility	forecasts 24:15	four 18:25 22:6	113:11,12
18:21 28:7	24:22,24 25:1	53:6 66:23	116:13,15,21
	55:14	69:9 89:15	117:9,20

[fulton - good]

110 11 00	6 4 22 22	• • • • • • • • •	110.15
118:11,23	future 22:23	gisiko 3:21 99:7	119:15
119:2	46:16 59:18,21	105:25 106:3	goal 27:13 28:9
function 12:18	66:25 114:13	106:23 107:2	goals 28:23
27:20 28:11	g	give 34:10	101:1,17
functions 93:21	g 5:1	39:25 41:8	112:24
94:22	gale 3:11 85:17	45:6 53:23	goes 37:3 54:24
fund 46:9,10,11	gallons 61:24	59:15 69:17	76:17,19,20
47:5,10,12	gangs 39:4	74:16 75:12	80:9 82:4
63:10,18,21,23	gap 56:25	84:2 97:23	going 6:1,20
63:25 64:2	69:15	105:9 116:1	13:9,13 23:3
67:25 69:2	garden 57:2,5	given 33:16	33:23,25 34:13
70:7 74:12	garment 3:13	45:25 66:17,25	34:21 36:3,16
79:21 81:10,10	86:18 87:20	gives 59:16	38:6,7,17
81:25 82:4,11	gather 51:9	giving 58:25	39:15,18 40:2
111:9	114:9	112:11	40:8 44:1,6,14
fundamental	gee 16:3	glad 74:16	45:9 46:14
23:6	general 6:16	glick 2:23 8:22	48:13 50:25
funded 27:17	7:16 15:19	14:20,24	52:6 55:22
28:12,19 53:14	68:23 70:7	106:10	58:20,20 59:6
124:5 125:14	102:10 110:18	global 67:10	59:25 60:9,21
funding 24:4	120:24	go 15:22 32:7	62:19 63:14,16
97:22 98:25	generally 6:7	32:10 33:17,18	70:15 74:2
116:6,24 117:2	29:22	35:20 39:11,12	77:18 78:24
125:16	generates 62:5	44:5 49:20	80:1,19 82:9
funds 46:18	generous 56:20	51:1 52:13	82:11 85:13,18
53:25 54:8,11	gentlemen	59:18 60:9	89:19 90:20
59:9 96:20	45:12	63:14 67:23	99:10 103:5,7
115:25 116:5	geographic	68:18 70:15	104:22 105:8,9
further 5:10	88:17	72:10 74:25	105:9,10,11
29:15 101:13	germane 103:7	75:19 77:25	118:5 119:14
102:13 106:17	getting 21:3,4	79:12 80:5	119:16,22
127:13 128:9	63:8 72:19	81:23 84:21	120:12,13
furthermore	103:12 112:21	89:19 102:13	121:15,20
46:17	118:15	103:23 105:14	good 5:2 9:10
	110,10	106:8 118:11	15:14 19:22

[good - head]

26:10 43:4	113:18,20	greatest 100:21	hand 92:8
45:15 46:15	115:16 119:21	green 112:22	handcuff 36:14
47:13 48:25	120:4,7	grocery 104:15	handle 123:23
49:23 51:21	governmental	group 3:15	hands 37:13
66:5 71:5	96:11	5:25 89:13	39:23 59:18
72:22 76:2	governor 57:16	90:14 97:10	happen 5:10
77:9 82:3	grade 64:7	grouped 27:20	36:25 38:17
84:23 86:16	graduate 58:16	groups 25:20	55:18 57:12
92:15,17 96:22	grandchildren	50:12 74:24	106:18 118:10
99:9 106:1,7	36:5	107:15,24	happened
107:24 108:25	grandfather	108:2 119:21	42:12
119:24 125:18	35:4	120:7	happening
goran 3:23	grandson 40:10	grow 61:22	118:15
108:14,15,19	41:19	growing 62:6	happens 77:10
111:19,22,23	granted 58:23	guarantee	happy 32:9
gordillo 4:2	113:8	70:22	50:18 72:21
119:7 123:9,11	grateful 23:10	guess 42:17,25	85:11 89:21
123:14 125:3,6	66:8,14	guidance 46:23	120:15
125:14,17	grave 32:23	114:14	harald 4:5
gotten 5:8	great 5:3 8:7	guide 18:20	119:6 123:8
govern 22:12	13:19 14:3,12	guided 117:21	harassment
governance	20:19 25:25	guiliani 54:24	3:14 89:13
19:8	31:9,25 33:14	56:23	90:11,13,17
government	33:20 34:7	guiliani's 57:1	91:10
5:19 7:6,10	45:10 50:24	gun 41:21	hard 81:2
9:17 10:3,14	73:7 74:18,21	113:16	harlem 44:5,5
15:6 18:8	78:12 82:21	guys 44:13	harm 84:23
21:24 22:4	84:5 85:11	66:17 120:13	harming 97:17
23:11 26:16	89:3,19 91:7	120:18 122:22	harms 70:4
30:15 50:16	103:12 105:23	h	harness 115:5
57:5 59:13	106:24 116:8	half 68:17 69:4	hazel 2:4 6:2
60:7 61:21	117:10 125:8	81:25 82:1,3	34:25 78:11
71:17 92:5	125:24,24,25	hampered	head 47:18
99:20 106:7	greater 51:25	93:23	79:25 94:5,10
109:24 113:17		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	

[headlock - hundreds]

headlock 36:10	118:2	highly 88:12	119:18 120:7
42:10,13,16,16	heart 41:11	hills 58:18	120:11 123:1
health 23:23	71:2	hire 78:23	hopeful 110:16
47:1 62:23	heather 128:2	hiring 61:7	hopefully 86:6
63:2,4,5 66:12	128:15	historical 51:7	112:1,21
114:18,19,19	height 30:16	history 110:21	horizon 69:15
healthcare	held 5:7 85:1	hit 39:14,18	hospitalized
20:13	hello 89:6	63:16 72:20	35:22 44:9
hear 5:16 7:2	102:18	80:4	hospitals 20:13
7:19,23 9:7	help 7:6 10:21	hitting 64:4	host 5:13,19
24:14 65:12	34:2,3 40:1	74:10	122:9
78:11 98:6,15	45:20 57:14	hold 72:13	hosting 6:12
107:10 117:16	65:15,19 69:25	107:21 109:13	7:24 89:7
118:3 119:10	70:24 108:3	holding 97:14	hours 15:2
122:2	117:23 118:20	115:4	households
heard 23:19,20	helped 59:23	holds 28:7	10:5
47:21 51:21	helpful 15:8	holistically	housing 3:18
52:7 60:16	106:17 121:1	23:8	16:17,17,21
61:17 66:20	helping 8:5	home 5:21	41:11 80:15
100:19 117:15	12:4 96:15	20:16 22:25	99:15,17,21
118:5 119:21	97:20 100:23	34:4 38:3	100:20,20,23
125:4,5	hereto 127:15	46:12	100:24 101:4,7
hearing 1:1 5:5	128:11	homelessness	101:7,10,12,14
6:24 7:19,22	hi 32:4 74:20	52:12	101:22 102:6
8:17 11:14	113:12 123:11	homework	102:10,12,14
33:25 58:11	high 9:11 10:2	62:20	124:20
99:22 100:15	12:5 33:7	homicide 35:9	howard 3:18
102:7 103:8	37:12 46:4	honestly 71:4	99:6,8,14
105:20 108:11	58:18 85:2	honor 35:1	huge 13:7
117:8 119:16	higher 9:23	85:7	human 56:17
121:17 125:25	91:11 101:8	honorable 5:18	67:3
hearings 5:7	highest 9:13	honored 5:24	hundred 64:13
24:23 25:8	107:19	hope 5:9 16:8	103:22 109:16
89:8 100:3	highlight 21:8	86:9 88:25	hundreds 13:3
106:11,18		99:7 111:15	42:14

[hurried - include]

L	· 100.22	·····	100.0
hurried 15:17	ignore 109:22	impacted 22:23	122:3
i	ignored 100:9	impacts 9:16	impose 102:9
i.e. 39:4	ignoring	11:1 12:23	imposed 99:20
ibo 12:9 26:15	101:19	16:24 23:8	imposes 9:18
28:7 29:2,11	illegal 39:5,18	29:24 47:24	imposing 22:20
30:1,2,22 31:3	43:9 102:24	impartiality	improperly
31:11,14 52:1	103:2,16,19,20	107:20	77:6
52:3 54:4	103:21 104:2	impeccable	improve 7:10
60:25 64:11	104:13,17	66:3	7:24 8:6 46:6
	105:14	impeding 36:7	47:15 48:22
ibo's 26:17,24	illegally 39:8	implement	50:7 87:25
28:21,22 29:3	imagine 65:12	48:15 53:11	88:1
29:13 30:12	immediate 16:2	95:17 121:5	improved
ice 39:7,9 40:7	42:21 88:16,22	implementati	51:18 100:14
43:9	immensely	53:15 110:4	100:16 120:4
idea 12:16 16:3	59:24 106:16	implemented	improvement
27:5 40:5 63:9	immigration	13:5 75:4	49:4,21 50:6
71:4 79:20	38:22	125:13	improvements
81:4 92:16	immunity	implementing	109:8
112:10	37:25	100:10 115:15	improving
ideas 7:23		implications	113:19
19:15 31:4	impact 10:21	48:16	
51:22 74:17	11:3,5,18,22,23		inadequate
100:18 105:18	13:7 18:7,17	implicitly	100:17
105:22 120:2	21:9 22:13,23	53:17	incalculable
identification	23:4,22 24:4	importance	56:18
122:1	24:10,11 28:14	12:21 60:16	incentive 70:10
identify 28:10	28:18,19,25	112:11,16	incentives
38:16 48:9	29:4,17 47:21	important 5:14	69:19
49:7	48:2,9 49:15	10:13 12:24	incident 42:11
identifying	52:1 54:7	22:19,21 35:6	70:6
60:17	56:18 60:18,20	36:1 37:1 51:6	includable 56:6
identity 40:5	87:19 96:15	53:24 59:19	include 11:23
ideologies	99:24 100:5,13	78:15 100:7	17:25 27:15,18
91:18	102:7 110:17	101:17,25	46:22 55:1
71.10		112:23 118:14	56:6 69:11

[include - involve]

	1	1	
74:15	122:16	initiatives	108:3
included 37:23	independently	113:25 114:17	interested
includes 21:25	95:8 124:5	115:4	107:9 117:7
including 5:17	independents	injury 39:19	127:15 128:12
8:1 18:1 20:8	122:15	innovation	interests 122:8
58:14 66:7	indicate 29:17	19:14 115:2,5	intergovernm
67:2 88:7,11	individual	input 7:25	17:20
110:11	25:19 79:12	14:10 15:21	interim 59:25
income 9:17	individually	24:22 25:6,21	internships
50:12 60:4	16:25	113:24 115:14	114:11
81:23	individuals 6:1	insights 12:20	interrupt 104:7
incorporated	113:3	insolvency 65:6	interviews
48:17	industries 12:1	instance 36:10	112:6
increase 47:25	inequities	52:2 55:1	introduce 5:22
48:4 98:22	96:18	101:22	14:21 113:1
101:11	infinite 15:14	instill 20:23	introduced
increased 10:7	inflicted 91:11	institute 3:8	47:22
10:8 28:9 49:1	influence 51:13	44:24 62:10	invaluable
increases 9:18	108:4	institution	111:10
30:4	influx 21:17	19:12	invest 70:12
increasing 31:6	inform 102:12	institutions	105:2,5
37:7 114:19	information	22:20	investigate
increasingly	10:20 15:6	integrity 17:25	90:22
112:23	18:8 21:13	46:7 75:15	investigation
incurred 30:14	28:14,20 88:2	79:7 107:20	27:25
independence	114:2,2 122:13	intended 86:25	investigations
93:25 94:4,19	informed 10:22	interact 20:17	35:12
94:24	15:5	interaction	investigatory
independent	infrastructure	33:24	90:16
3:4 10:25	66:25 71:3,5	interest 22:9,14	investing 81:4
11:12 12:21,22	inhibiting	22:19,21,25	investment
26:4,14,15,19	111:12	23:1,2 36:5	12:2
61:5 90:3,5,16	initiative 52:21	59:3 78:2	inviting 58:8
90:24 93:6	113:16 114:12	84:22 91:2	involve 11:11
94:21 98:2,17	115:10	107:18,24	115:8

[involved - kyle]

involved 12.17	joanna 2.16	1	61.5 6 11 11
involved 12:17	jeanne 3:16 85:14 92:22	k	64:5,6,14,14
35:16,17 61:2		k 121:9	67:6,9,12
61:6 118:16	job 1:18 9:24	kathryn 2:22	69:22 71:1,23
involves 11:7	38:2,2 61:15	kathy 8:19 12:7	71:25 73:8
113:21	84:24 103:12	14:10,18 23:20	74:3,8,14
iphone 37:6	114:11 121:22	54:14	75:11,22 76:1
irrespective	jobs 8:4 11:24	keep 10:6 64:22	76:4 77:4,15
124:20	13:9 73:11	70:11 81:4	77:16 78:15
island 42:12	join 45:10,11	97:15,20	79:3,8,9,22,23
119:16	joined 6:14	123:14	80:1,1,25 81:7
issue 5:12 6:19	66:1	keeping 87:16	81:20 82:9
19:18 37:1	joining 6:5	ken 2:6 6:5	83:24 84:10,20
41:11 47:17	joint 30:25	key 28:11	85:16,19 86:2
54:19 55:11	judge 60:20	101:10	86:7 90:9 91:5
56:4 57:14	judgments	kids 64:5 82:11	91:23 92:7
103:7 110:21	96:19	kiernan 2:14	98:1,12,15
119:20 121:15	july 8:16 21:19	kind 13:15	100:17 103:14
issued 56:12	26:20 76:5,15	15:20 53:7	103:14 106:17
issues 9:6 13:18	77:17	68:20 69:3,13	107:9 117:15
15:11 34:5	jump 6:8 85:19	71:16 75:9	117:15 118:5,7
35:6 36:1,17	jumping 85:20	76:6 80:1	121:21,22
38:21 43:2	june 1:6 5:20	102:1 119:15	122:4,21
45:22 53:2,3	5:21 15:18	knee 39:20	knowledge
56:22 122:9	76:4,4 77:17	knife 44:8	127:10 128:6
it'll 82:20	106:21	know 5:23 6:18	known 17:21
item 54:1	jurisdiction	8:3 13:14 15:8	34:25 52:13
items 12:25	93:25 95:24	16:3,4,18 24:2	109:15
13:12,16 56:6	96:2 111:6	24:3,5,6,9,11	knows 14:22
i	jurisdictional	24:17,17,19,25	36:23 39:25
jackie 2:10 6:4	96:3	25:2,2,3,6,6,23	43:15 78:22
jacobson 62:11	jurisdictions	34:15 35:4,8	kyle 2:16 6:2
james 2:24	9:24	38:12 39:10,11	23:17
17:13,18	justice 111:15	42:10 43:5	
january 25:7		44:4 50:11	
62:14 76:13		53:9 63:13	
02.14 /0.13		55.7 05.15	

[label - limitation]

1	launch 28:15	layoffs 81:1	length 68:12
label 121:18	60:14	leader 8:18	81:16
122:17	launched 52:22	leadership	lengthier
lack 93:24,25	laundry 71:17	39:23 114:22	119:17
100:1,22	law 1:10 3:21	114:24	lens 18:17
120:20 123:24	4:3 6:11 18:2	leads 122:15	letter 52:24
lacking 124:1,8	29:1 35:10	leaning 23:25	53:8 76:8
ladies 38:24	36:13 38:9	learn 114:9	122:18
lady 44:13	39:25 41:9	122:5	letting 111:25
laid 11:16	43:12 45:3,4	leave 82:6,16	level 50:10,13
land 73:3,5	47:4 51:16	leaving 68:3	87:9 91:5
112:16,18	53:14,24 54:15	91:9,25 123:25	98:23 99:1
lander 3:10	58:10 72:17	left 16:11	levels 9:17 49:2
5:18 66:1,2,5	74:3 76:17	legal 27:18	54:22
66:11 71:8	83:22 88:5	53:2,11 78:17	leveraging
73:22 74:2,21	93:17,18,22	103:18 104:23	115:3
75:11 78:6,16	94:12 97:25	104:23 124:9	liability 47:8
79:20 80:22,24	99:7 105:6,25	legally 29:11	63:15
83:1,14 85:3,5	106:3,23 107:2	legislate 42:20	libraries 114:8
85:7,11 87:15	109:14,15,15	43:2	licenses 88:21
language 10:24	109:23 110:4,8	legislated 24:10	104:11,21
29:5 46:22	laws 11:18	legislation	105:1
65:15 73:17,18	18:19 20:6,22	10:21 11:2,2,6	life 10:1 50:15
95:20 96:7	29:20,23 52:25	13:2,7 18:8	58:13
large 23:10	53:6 100:10	23:21 24:3,9	light 18:15 28:9
27:9 30:4	101:3,16	28:18 37:23	likelihood
larger 12:25	lawsuit 53:10	47:22 48:1,13	47:25 48:5
29:13	53:19	99:25 100:4,15	likely 57:7 79:3
largest 25:9	lawsuits 98:21	legislative 9:10	limit 47:11
26:22 52:9	lawyer 102:19	29:5 47:16,20	48:15 52:3
lastly 95:24	lay 84:12	48:20 94:13	58:21 101:20
124:13	laying 80:10	102:8	108:4
late 22:9,15	layla 3:21 99:7	legislature	limitation
latitude 22:11	106:3	42:19 56:15	30:23 124:14
		81:8	

[limitations - make]

limitations	loans 84:21,22	looked 84:19	lynch 2:8 6:4
33:15	84:23	looking 12:22	33:13 62:22
limited 46:20	lobbyists	12:23 13:17	64:8
95:13 103:13	107:13,16,21	69:7 98:13	
limits 64:15	107:25	118:17	m
101:13	local 9:17	looks 122:17	made 19:9,17
line 55:13	10:11 18:7,9	loopholes 86:23	21:13 22:16
91:14 92:2	29:1,19 52:25	lose 83:6 91:8	23:25 68:8
124:22	53:6,14,24	losing 38:2,5	102:2 106:9
linked 9:5	62:10 88:5	loss 94:10	117:5 121:20
33:10 54:9	93:17,18,22	lost 102:23,25	maintain 66:22
liquor 88:20	94:12 109:12	lot 6:9 14:13	96:16 107:19
list 2:2 38:15	109:18 110:12	16:25 40:7,16	125:7
40:8 71:17	locally 48:10	44:3 51:18,21	maintaining
listened 14:10	locate 87:12	62:18,18 63:24	10:16 70:21
listening 25:12	locations 5:9	71:7,9 86:5	97:17
literacy 115:4	106:15 123:23	90:12 103:6	maintenance
litigation 96:19	lock 48:11	116:4 117:24	101:5
little 5:10 8:6	long 13:14,24	121:9 122:22	major 15:9
30:19 32:8	15:9 30:20	125:25	22:4,13 27:14 36:2 48:16
35:24,25 59:13	47:7,17 48:5	louisa 3:4 26:4	52:4
61:14 64:1	54:22 55:25	26:13	majority 16:1
68:16,17 77:18	57:22 69:18,21	love 35:23	majority 10.1 make 9:25
82:3 83:20	74:3 80:2	98:14	10:22 12:10
98:3 104:15	84:17 103:23	loved 112:10	14:9,13 16:19
105:11	111:14	116:15	17:1 18:18
livable 10:17	longer 83:22	loves 72:19	23:7 31:10
live 14:9 18:24	91:16 92:11	low 52:16 55:6	37:24 40:18
58:20 59:9	look 12:19	83:11	41:15 46:14
73:11	13:11 19:16	lower 9:24	61:10,13 64:7
lives 35:5 112:1	38:22 43:24	30:21 52:20	65:2 67:25
living 9:11	58:1 60:8	123:18	70:24 73:15
58:23 60:10	67:18,19 81:20	lunch 39:19	77:9 91:8
loan 23:1 78:1	82:6 86:11	luxury 16:17	94:13 96:22
	89:25 93:22	101:15 113:7	97:15 106:25

[make - mechanism]

	1	1	
115:14 118:4	mandate 68:10	mask 38:9,16	mayor's 17:20
118:10 119:18	68:25 71:21	massive 47:23	26:21 28:16
makers 102:3	72:11 73:24	material 30:5	36:22 43:6
makes 20:11	77:13 83:18,25	materialize	50:1 52:18
22:17 29:24	84:3 87:12	55:17	54:21 55:10,14
30:17 62:25	94:11 104:20	matt 17:15	72:15 76:19
makeup 35:20	mandated	19:23	mayoral 83:16
making 6:17	26:16 29:11	matter 6:22	93:7 95:19
10:14 15:6	37:2 46:14	53:10	mayorality
28:19 37:14	72:10 87:18	matters 5:16	91:14
43:12 46:14	124:4,21	11:10	mayors 69:12
58:10 59:8	mandates 9:18	matthew 3:2	91:24
61:6 84:25	93:23 124:9	max 2:18 6:4	mcgraw 2:12
102:8 107:19	mandatory	31:13,21	6:4 32:2,3,4
114:24 122:1	46:19,24	maximum	33:5,12 79:14
mama 74:21	manhattan	54:16	82:22 83:3
manage 18:22	2:23 3:8 4:2	mayor 13:24	92:15 108:10
19:3	5:8,11 8:2	15:14 17:5	mcmahon 3:7
managed 18:14	14:20 15:8	30:25 31:18	44:22 51:4
management	44:23 123:16	32:17,23 36:18	63:2 64:9,17
2:24 11:13	manhattan's	36:20,25 39:11	64:20,23 65:1
17:14,21 18:4	123:18	39:25 41:15	65:5,8,22
18:20 19:5	manner 29:24	43:9,14 53:11	mean 24:23
21:5,11 49:21	102:3 121:6	54:12,24 55:3	51:19 60:11
49:22,23,24	marginalized	55:6 56:23,24	78:17 80:25
50:3,5 59:11	83:11	57:1,12 59:1	meaningful
60:25 61:15	marijuana	59:20 62:12,14	10:25 86:1
66:16 67:12,15	103:18	63:20,20 68:6	means 98:22
67:19 70:16	mark 31:16	68:20 74:12,14	100:15
72:16,25 90:1	40:3 62:12	82:6,8 89:24	measure 108:3
manager 50:1	market 59:4	90:4 91:16,16	measures 37:23
managerial	marketed	91:17,24 92:1	95:12
28:7 123:25	101:15	92:5,6,8,10	mechanism
managing 21:6	marks 93:2	94:15 95:14	109:12 110:20
		103:8,9	115:11

[mechanisms - mwbes]

- •			• • • •
mechanisms	mentoring	minority 77:23	money's 64:3
115:12	114:16	minute 58:21	monopoly
media 114:4	message 58:19	69:16 105:20	19:15
medical 62:1	met 72:7	118:12	month 118:22
meet 10:8	methadone	minutes 34:22	months 72:2
113:20 117:5	87:4	99:11,18 112:2	77:18,19,20,25
meeting 5:6 6:8	method 31:1	119:15 123:13	83:8,8
8:11 85:25	methods 60:17	miranda	moot 57:17
86:12 106:16	75:23 78:18	103:11	morale 37:1
106:22 113:14	79:3	misconduct	morano 3:25
118:13 123:23	michael 62:11	70:6	119:7,10,13
126:6	mid 27:9	missing 98:9	123:6
meetings 7:23	middle 97:5	mission 26:17	moratorium
106:14,18	midrise 101:25	41:3 106:6	104:25
member 2:8,10	migration	mitchell 3:9	mortar 104:24
2:12,14,16,18	38:22	45:9 58:4,12	moss 3:9 45:9
84:2 89:14	military 42:24	model 94:20	58:4,6,12
members 7:10	million 14:1	moderated 1:5	64:11,14 65:23
7:17 8:25	33:18,19 54:7	modestil 1:17	motion 117:18
17:17 26:12	54:13,14 55:7	127:2,19	126:2,3
58:7 59:15	57:10,11 62:1	moment 25:1	move 40:16
66:6 91:6	64:13 78:22	80:11	70:7
92:18 106:1	82:10 98:20	moments 59:5	moving 40:16
124:8	121:3,7	monday 5:20	mugged 35:21
memo 45:25	millions 13:3	money 21:22	multiple 78:19
105:21	103:3,3,3,25	34:3 40:16	126:5
menaska 92:24	mind 19:16	47:10 56:1	municipal
mental 114:19	minimal 95:18	59:12,17 63:1	10:14 71:18
mention 119:22	minimized	63:4,24 69:21	municipality
121:13	56:20	70:13 71:7,9	19:10
mentioned 6:19	minimum	76:2,5 77:16	mute 119:8
8:1 12:9 54:4	46:18	79:16,16,18	mwbe 71:25
54:14 82:23	minor 96:14,22	80:14,17 81:11	78:3,21,22
83:8	minorities	81:24,25	mwbes 67:2
	35:14	105:11	84:15

[n - nine]

n	99:19 100:12	neighborhoods	62:9 66:10
	104:24 105:2,5	82:12 87:17	75:9 78:12,12
n 2:1 3:1 4:1	115:25 116:1,2	neighbors 8:3	79:8,10 81:24
5:1 name 17:18	116:6,6 117:20	neither 27:12	81:25 82:1
19:22 34:15	117:23 118:11	127:11 128:7	86:19 87:11,14
36:8 58:12	118:16,19	never 36:11,11	88:5 89:12,13
89:10 97:6	122:4 124:3	42:23 65:10	90:9 92:19,20
106:3 109:2	needed 70:12	new 1:10,12	94:16,22,25
name's 86:17	84:13	2:22,24 3:4,10	95:6,8 96:8
nancy 58:24	needle 87:4	3:11,14,16,19	97:15,18,20
narcotics 27:25	needs 10:9	3:21 4:3 6:11	99:24 101:24
narrower 60:4	18:22 23:7	7:2,7 8:6,20	102:19,20,22
national 18:9	47:25 50:16	9:3,5,13,18,22	102:24 103:11
112:10	53:19 55:19	10:4,15 11:18	103:15 104:22
natural 112:18	80:15 82:1	12:25 13:12	104:24 105:13
nature 97:4	84:18 88:3,16	14:23 16:7,23	106:4,5 107:3
112:12,12	91:21 92:10	17:14,15,22	107:15 109:6
113:7	98:22 113:19	21:8,19 22:16	109:10 110:2,7
navigated 19:1	113:20 115:17	22:22 23:2,9	111:1,3,6,14
nearly 18:3	116:3	24:23 26:4,13	112:3 120:19
19:9	negative 24:11	26:16,18 27:7	122:11 124:1
necessarily	negligible	28:4 29:18	124:17 127:21
84:16	29:17	30:7,8 34:2	newly 48:15
necessary	negotiate 75:25	35:5,9,13,15,21	news 76:2
18:22 81:15	negotiated 76:1	37:13 38:9,14	newspapers
95:15 97:10	negotiation	38:14,18 40:12	38:12
115:18 116:24	68:4	40:15 41:10,13	newsstands
need 14:21	negotiations	43:3,16,17,19	104:14
39:23 49:22,22	28:5 90:4	44:2,3,15 45:3	ngai 2:6 6:5
59:7 60:22	98:18	45:4,17 46:15	ngozi 92:25
64:3 70:18	neighborhood	50:13,16,17	nice 9:1 42:3
80:15,16,17	13:10 87:19	53:13 58:10,13	nicole 92:25
81:3 82:11,12	neighborhood's	58:15,17,25	night 125:18
82:20 83:22	50:11	59:7,11 60:6,7	nine 83:7,8
88:17 91:15		60:15,18 61:7	115:6

[ninety - once]

ninety 35:14	notion 15:6	obligations	40:1 71:18,19
non 93:7 95:19	16:12 53:14	67:13	79:24
noncompetiti	november 7:16	observe 100:20	offices 27:23
78:20,20	41:8,13 43:18	observers 17:4	123:23
noncompliance	76:10 86:20	obviously	official 8:22
95:18,23	123:3	12:24 75:12	15:2 32:16
nonpartisan	number 5:17	77:15	107:14
26:15 120:22	22:22 46:8	ocaro 93:1	officials 18:9
120:25 121:6	67:2,24 73:14	occur 33:1	25:22 29:3
122:11 123:2	74:5 84:15	occurred	31:24 90:18
nonprofit	95:11 96:9	110:15	110:1
71:25 72:1	104:10	occurs 24:4	offsetting 48:10
74:24 78:3	numbers 21:11	october 76:10	oh 45:8 76:2
89:11 99:15	37:16 98:1	offer 109:17	106:23
109:5	numerical	offered 109:18	okay 26:7,8,10
nonprofits	13:20 64:12	offering 120:2	34:8 39:17,20
74:25 77:22	numerous	office 2:24 3:4	41:4,7 62:18
83:7	51:17 101:18	11:12,13 12:21	64:9 65:11,25
nonpublic	nurses 79:25	17:14,20 21:4	84:5 85:13
109:7,13,17	ny 1:12	21:10 26:4,14	86:15,16 98:6
110:3,7,18	nypd 90:8	29:10 39:19	104:9 108:12
111:1,6	97:14,16 98:21	59:11 60:25	108:24 116:17
normal 74:15	nypd's 27:23	61:14 72:2,15	118:1 119:4
104:21	37:2 97:22	72:16 75:2	123:7,8,14
north 5:10	nyu 58:14	76:19,23 77:1	125:17,23
105:4	0	90:5 92:11,22	old 36:15 79:8
notable 20:7	o 5:1	94:15,21 98:2	omb 12:10,20
notary 127:20	o'cleireacain	111:8	17:21,22 19:12
noted 99:21	13:23	office's 84:24	25:9 62:13
100:1	obfuscating	officer 37:4,25	64:11 74:4
notes 56:12	111:11	38:5 127:2	76:20,21 98:2
57:23	obligated 80:6	officer's 37:11	omb's 90:6
notice 16:5	obligation	officers 37:13	omission 86:21
noting 67:7	48:15	37:20,24 38:7	once 50:9 53:20
		39:4,22,23	77:1,2 103:24
		1	

[once - part]

120:21	23:13 31:3,8	outrage 120:8	owned 77:23
ones 43:20	45:13,16 50:19	outreach 15:21	owners 104:14
49:20 77:6	51:5 58:1 66:8	87:10 115:6,7	ownership
78:13 79:10	73:6 86:2 89:1	outside 13:3	112:19
81:17 83:9	89:8 91:8	24:5,10 52:22	р
ongoing 30:24	99:13 106:3	53:6,7 61:7	p 2:1,1 3:1,1
115:12	111:16 122:20	112:13	4:1,1 5:1
online 11:14	123:1	outstanding	p.m. 1:7 126:7
opaque 27:21	opposed 97:17	8:21 57:11	paid 51:17 63:9
open 39:1 87:2	options 45:24	overall 23:5	70:7 75:5
opened 104:13	order 40:1 43:7	30:5	84:18
operate 62:2	51:19 84:21	overcome	pair 47:15
95:8	94:18 102:10	88:15	pandemic
operated 39:20	110:25 117:2	overconcentr	59:23
operating	124:5	88:6	panel 2:8,10,12
49:18 56:1	orders 97:17	overhaul 66:23	2:14,16,18
57:24 63:16	organization	71:2	16:25 17:13
101:4	99:16 106:6	overlooked	26:3 45:10
operation	109:5	16:11	58:8 66:21
99:21 102:10	organizations	override 43:14	panelists 51:1
operational	61:1 73:12	overrides 36:21	paper 56:25
53:1	77:22 83:10	39:13	paper 50.25
operations 18:4	116:22	oversaturated	22:11
18:13	original 27:13	88:4	park 62:2
opine 25:17	35:18 55:24	overseeing 18:3	112:16
opinion 79:21	ornery 112:14	oversight 27:9	parks 20:14
96:9	outcome	33:24 72:15	87:2 112:10,25
opinions 96:9	127:16 128:12	74:7 77:14	113:2,5
opportunities	outline 69:24	87:24 98:24	part 21:23 22:3
93:10 107:6	outlines 109:12	109:25	25:13 28:5
114:11,25	outlining 66:15	overtime 55:2	43:12 56:11
115:18	105:22	own 20:15 36:4	67:10,11 70:2
opportunity	outpouring	39:14,18 49:8	76:3,11 79:19
6:22 7:2 9:1	56:20	67:11 69:12	,
16:9 19:12,19		112:24	109:11,20
			110:5,22

[participate - placement]

participate	past 18:25	16:20,24 17:9	periodicity
45:16 86:1	30:20 58:14	25:14 34:5	50:3
107:4 114:9	125:5	35:3,8 38:13	periods 21:20
participation	pathway 25:24	40:6,8 41:1,13	68:23 81:1
114:23 120:20	pathways	41:16 42:19,22	permanent
particular	110:11	43:8,19 44:3,3	13:13
99:20 101:6	patience	44:7,15 45:17	permitting
particularly	122:22	49:23 52:14	95:21
12:24 13:12	pay 47:7 48:9	60:6,19 63:7	person 7:18,19
15:5 30:3	48:13 57:9,23	64:13 71:23,23	39:7 92:2
51:24 65:13	60:9 61:23,24	75:7,9 81:3	119:6
84:9	63:4,13,25	92:3 93:10,16	personal 73:13
parties 11:11	64:5 75:1	99:17 101:21	personnel
127:12,14	121:7,8,8	104:14 112:9	124:1
128:8,11	paying 9:22	112:13 114:8	persons 75:5
partisan	38:3 67:1 86:3	120:8	pertaining 96:1
121:18	payment 62:25	percent 9:23	phase 69:23,23
partners 22:3	75:18	10:7,10 22:25	phenomenal
23:10	payments	23:1,2 35:14	61:15
partnership	22:10,15 23:3	49:17 59:3	philosophical
2:22 8:20 9:3,9	70:8	68:14 70:17,23	42:18
14:7 16:14	payroll 61:10	77:4	physical 114:21
23:20	82:17 123:24	percentage	picked 15:14
partnership's	pays 70:4 101:2	90:6 95:5	picture 49:2
12:12	pd 97:8 98:8	97:23	piece 48:13
parts 16:9	pd's 98:8	perform 88:9	122:12
party 122:1	peaks 21:17	performance	piggyback 75:6
passed 13:2,7	peg 69:14	49:23 50:4	pivotal 59:20
24:6 37:22	pegs 94:9	performed	place 17:6
47:23 86:20	pelosi 58:24	110:10	18:23,24 25:3
103:11 109:16	penfold 3:2	performing	32:25 53:25
passing 13:16	17:15 19:22,23	12:18	67:16 119:24
48:1	pension 63:10	performs 93:20	placement
passion 122:8	people 8:3 9:16	period 41:1	86:24
	15:8 16:9,10	54:17 80:7	

[plaintiffs - preserving]

plaintiffs 53:11	points 26:25	politicization	practices 3:17
plan 24:8 48:23	116:12,13,14	110:21	9:11 19:8
52:10 54:21	116:20 117:7	politicize 110:2	67:16 71:19
55:1,10,14	police 20:12	politics 82:16	92:21 93:3,8
	27:22 35:16	90:24	95:3
planner 124:11			
planners 124:4	36:22 37:3,11	population	pre 121:9
125:1	37:17,20,23,24	88:16	precedent
planning 3:18	37:25 38:5,6	populist 120:8	57:19
17:6 19:13	40:1,2 41:17	portrayed	precincts 27:22
50:3 66:24	61:24 70:6	37:18	predict 24:6
69:18 71:3	79:23	poses 101:6	predictable
87:22 99:15	policies 37:13	107:17	69:15
115:9 124:7,8	73:3 106:7	position 12:11	preface 112:4
platforms	115:13	12:11,12	preferred 48:9
114:4	policing 36:7	107:12 109:25	preliminary
play 22:4	36:23 37:8,12	124:22 125:7	46:2,5 107:7
please 34:17	37:19 41:9	positions	prepare 28:25
35:24 40:13,13	43:18	107:21	29:2
40:18 45:6,11	policy 3:7	positive 87:2	prepared
82:18	19:25 21:8	positively	113:13 128:3
pleased 28:21	26:19 41:9	115:19	preparing
45:23	44:23 48:16	possible 15:18	24:15
pleasure 58:5	53:5 67:25	20:12 21:14	presence
73:8 86:14	68:7,9,10,11	23:8 48:7	107:16
pockets 75:1	70:16,21 72:12	possibly 23:21	present 34:17
point 20:18	73:5,17,23	post 44:11	56:25 107:11
28:13 29:9	74:1,6,12,13	potential 11:25	presentation
30:6 42:7,8,9	77:13 81:6	18:16,17 29:8	40:22 117:14
43:13 61:13	83:5,16,18	30:4	presenting
63:14 73:19	84:1,3,4 101:1	potentially	61:15
76:24 104:3	101:20 107:9	47:23 107:23	presents 62:15
pointed 33:20	113:24	power 39:24	preserve 94:18
84:19	political 90:3	90:20 93:24	preserving
pointing	98:17 122:1	practice 27:12	112:12
119:25		72:4	

[president - progressive]

president 3:13	prior 11:2,14	22:2,4 24:5,10	product 61:20
6:10 8:20	12:13 29:1	25:17 45:20	101:14
44:20 45:18	100:15 102:7	49:25 50:5	products
58:9 59:14	127:5	51:12,15,18	103:21
85:17 86:18	priorities 49:19	52:23 53:7	professional
92:6,7 106:4	71:16 96:21	55:13 69:8,25	61:8 73:13
123:23	prioritize 23:3	71:22 72:9,13	89:11 122:7
press 28:16	prioritizing	74:15 76:23	professor 45:9
119:23	124:18	77:12 79:5,13	58:4 64:11
pressures	priority 91:3	79:17 82:7	profit 115:22
108:5	private 15:1	83:10,20 84:17	116:23
pretty 67:22	101:14 112:1	87:22 91:2	profits 115:23
69:10	112:25 113:2,5	111:11 114:24	profound 51:13
prevent 37:11	probable	116:23 117:1,1	60:21
56:8 102:13	116:21	117:22 120:1	program 13:16
prevented	probably 85:19	120:11,14,15	25:4 49:11
111:11	98:22	122:25	52:13 53:1,11
preventing	problem 43:2	processes 28:17	54:5 60:15
36:9 87:3	76:3 84:18	107:19	82:17 95:9
prevention	103:10,23	proclaiming	111:9 112:22
52:12	105:17,19	109:24	114:3
prevents	116:1,5	procured 77:6	programmatic
101:20	procedural	procurement	111:4
previous 21:7	124:10	31:1 33:3	programs 10:5
59:1 81:9,24	procedures	71:22,24 72:12	10:16 12:25
price 29:11	93:8	74:6 75:23	13:12,13 27:17
prices 11:25	proceeding	77:13 78:18,21	27:18 69:7,14
29:16	128:4	79:2,5 83:16	70:12 93:14
pricing 33:7,9	proceedings	83:17,20 84:1	94:24 110:18
34:5	127:3,5,6,9	84:3,3	113:25 114:10
primary 121:3	128:6	procures 32:14	114:16 115:4
principles	proceeds 57:22	procuring	115:13
18:19 124:18	process 7:12	77:14	progressive
print 37:5	11:4,10 15:5,9	produce 87:18	39:24
	15:13 17:9	100:3	

[prohibit - put]

	1		
prohibit 88:4	propose 60:19	76:15	88:1 89:8,25
prohibition	62:9 68:15,24	providers 67:3	90:15 91:8,9
88:15	69:6 74:12	88:23	91:16 98:12
projected	90:14,15	provides 75:19	100:3 101:1,18
81:21	124:21	providing	102:7 107:22
projecting	proposed 26:21	10:20 18:8	107:24 108:3
61:16	28:18 29:1,5	20:25 21:6	109:2,19
projects 56:5	51:24 94:2	45:21 46:2	112:16 120:5,6
promise 112:2	95:4,19 96:7	76:14 84:13	124:15,18
promote 27:2	proposing 22:1	95:8 112:22	127:20
114:20	67:23 68:5	provision 53:13	publication
promoted 48:6	73:4 77:12	53:23 56:2	100:14
promulgate	88:14 94:3	57:21	publish 50:8
74:6	protect 35:15	provisions	106:14
promulgating	44:7 46:15	51:14 95:16	published
73:23	protecting	96:10	11:14 48:3
properly 56:6	17:24 37:19	prudence 59:8	publishing
94:18	90:10 109:6	60:11	95:13
property 3:2	protection	prudent 30:21	purpose 63:24
19:24 20:7,9	20:13 101:18	61:6	102:11
22:6,9,15 23:2	105:1	public 1:1 3:7	purposes
23:4 81:22	proved 57:17	5:5,7,20 6:25	101:19
proposal 57:16	provide 9:9	6:25 7:11,18	pursuant 93:17
68:11,24 70:14	15:23 26:5	7:23 10:25	purview 98:4
70:22 72:11	29:3 37:2	11:8 15:21,21	push 107:1
74:5 81:13,19	45:23 49:6	20:12,24 21:2	put 18:12 25:7
90:1 111:16	62:1,12,13	25:5,10,21	25:8 33:14
113:1	65:14 80:6	26:17,18 27:16	36:18,24 38:9
proposals 7:13	85:24 87:9	28:8,10,20	41:8,12 43:7
18:6,16 21:8	96:2 98:3	36:3 37:17	43:17 48:12
30:3 52:5	102:10 113:24	40:25 43:2,18	59:7 60:12
66:15,18 72:23	114:12 115:23	44:16,23 48:3	62:4 67:16,24
00.13,10 /2.23	117.12 113.23		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
84:9 89:18	116:16	58:16,17,17	68:9 70:24
			,
84:9 89:18	116:16	58:16,17,17	68:9 70:24

[put - recognized]

	1		
80:16,18 81:19	58:2 62:20	66:24	36:5 37:1
81:25 82:10,18	65:11 72:22	rank 52:21	38:23 39:1
91:24 117:18	74:19 79:15	121:7	57:3 63:16
118:13 119:19	89:22 93:23,25	rate 22:5,25	67:15,19 72:3
120:13 122:22	97:1 108:11	59:3	73:3 77:23
puts 36:19	116:10 125:20	rates 22:9,14	80:16,19 83:9
55:18 63:4	quick 49:20	22:19,21 84:22	85:20 106:13
putting 17:6	97:7	rather 16:17	107:3 120:1
33:14 53:3	quickly 56:16	33:1 44:2 61:3	reason 110:25
57:2 81:11	59:1	68:3 80:14	reasonable
120:22 123:2	quite 54:25	107:24 120:6	48:24 84:1
q	97:9	ratings 19:5,7	reasons 12:4
qualifications	r	rationale 97:9	66:24 96:21
111:25	r 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1	reached 54:21	received 77:8
qualified 37:25	race 50:12	read 38:12 67:4	receiving 88:10
78:22,23 127:7	radical 109:23	112:5	recent 13:1
quality 46:4	rain 80:21,23	readiness	29:18 54:25
49:21,22 50:14	rainy 46:8,10	114:17	86:19 87:13
50:15 109:8	46:11 47:5,12	reads 57:22	94:8
110:17	63:18,21,23	ready 26:6 66:4	recently 57:10
quarterly 74:8	67:25 69:2	real 7:3 9:8	101:22
queens 39:4	73:17 74:11	10:11 30:10	recession 46:20
question 7:15	79:15,16,18,21	34:4 39:20	46:24 57:6
12:8 15:18	80:17,18 81:10	40:2 41:23	66:20 68:13,13
41:5,6 42:18	81:25 82:4,10	63:18 72:24	68:23 79:22
43:5 62:22	82:14	74:9,25	80:4,7,10,12,19
64:10 73:14	raise 18:24	reality 33:8	81:17
78:12 82:23	45:22 52:8	109:22	recognition
97:7 120:12	101:7	realize 120:11	38:11
question's 60:1	raised 30:14	realized 27:14	recognize
questions 5:8	53:1 54:19	realizing	59:22 112:15
7:14 14:5	121:17	112:11	122:22
17:12 23:15	ramble 35:25	really 5:4 7:1	recognized
31:7 42:6	range 15:11	12:17 15:23	86:21
50:19 51:2	17:23 52:16	27:14 35:6	

[recognizing - represent]

recognizing	reduce 88:6	regulation	125:10
59:5	96:18 100:6	109:11,20	remind 51:8
recommend	reduced 101:5	110:4,17	removal 37:24
10:18,24 31:14	127:7	regulations	remove 48:19
95:21 111:2	reductions	101:16	86:23
recommendat	54:10	regulators	removed 76:22
47:19 48:22	redundancy	110:23	renew 30:25
49:16 54:18	64:24 65:1	regulatory	renewed 75:16
recommendat	reese 92:24	94:13	renewing 75:14
7:9 19:18	referred 27:4	rein 3:6 44:19	rent 10:10
22:16,18 23:24	referring 25:1	44:21 45:13,18	20:10,16
45:21,24 46:5	reform 5:19	50:23 65:14,19	rents 10:10
53:22 68:8	30:12 37:23	65:24	101:5,8
89:23 94:14	88:3 111:12	related 18:4	repair 22:25
recommended	regard 112:24	127:11 128:7	71:6
100:18	regarding	relations 17:20	repeat 57:13
recommending	23:21 93:24	relative 29:7	59:25 65:10
7:6 24:12 49:4	107:17	127:13 128:10	repeating 54:3
83:14 95:14	regardless	relatively 96:14	repercussion
107:13	112:18	relay 25:22	95:16
reconstruction	regards 28:13	release 28:16	replace 80:8
56:19	register 77:3	released 66:18	report 37:6
record 48:12	registered 72:1	relevance	55:8 66:17
75:14 127:9	75:18 77:5	47:15 98:10	67:6 71:10
128:5	107:13,16,21	100:2	74:8 87:14
recorded 127:6	107:25	relevant 29:6	95:13 110:14
recording	registering	reliance 30:15	reported 1:17
127:8 128:4	72:5	remain 108:2	37:15
recovery 56:12	registration	remainder 55:4	reporting 50:4
56:19 57:11	72:2 76:23	remains 18:23	reporting's
recreation	regularly 21:11	98:16	37:8
114:20	52:4 74:8	remarks 99:22	reports 37:3
recreational	87:25	107:7	93:19
20:14 114:8	regulated	remember	represent
	10:10	38:20 125:6,9	123:17
		,	

[representatives - reviews]

representatives	requires 11:4	response 27:24	retiree 47:1,8
113:24	24:3 28:24	28:1 30:14	62:23 63:2,4,5
representing	49:24 53:17	37:10 53:16	retirees 47:11
109:4 113:14	94:12	113:20	64:3
123:16	requiring	responses 16:2	return 77:3
represents	53:24 100:14	responsibilities	returned 77:5
54:16	124:9	17:24 18:15	returning 72:5
reps 83:16,17	research 3:3	115:1 124:6	revenue 20:6
republican	19:24	responsibility	20:11,22 21:10
122:16,19	reserves 66:19	5:16 6:21,24	22:13 23:5
republicans	68:12,18,19	9:6 16:13	49:17 57:15
122:15	81:5	18:18 21:2,25	62:5 68:17
request 29:7	resident 50:7,9	23:11 31:5	80:9 105:10
125:1	58:13 101:5	32:25 33:22	revenues 10:8
requested 29:2	residents 97:18	34:1 66:11,13	11:20 18:11
requests 87:20	97:21 101:21	70:8 73:23	48:11,24 57:7
require 11:17	resign 92:10	120:5 121:2	59:3 61:17
27:10 33:6	resigns 91:16	responsible	68:14 80:2,5
46:19,23 47:9	resisting 36:13	20:5 21:5	81:20,21 99:25
48:2,8,12 49:5	resolved	responsibly	review 1:1 5:6
49:9 50:7	124:22	18:14	5:24 6:15 7:4
67:24 70:2	resonated	rest 70:18	11:7 14:2
71:14 74:5,12	112:13	restraints 36:8	15:23 27:14
102:6 112:23	resources	restricted	49:24 77:3
required 18:2	18:14 27:8	107:13	83:22 88:24
47:24 62:14	47:7 67:13	restricting	90:2,7 97:13
71:12,13 87:11	100:6 103:13	107:25	110:12 118:19
requirement	105:3,6,6	result 10:6	119:18
11:21 48:18,23	114:3	16:16 67:14	reviewed 45:25
53:19 54:12	respond 113:19	70:4 100:8	76:21
77:2	responded 9:21	resulting 96:19	reviewing 7:5,8
requirements	10:3 75:22	results 50:10	19:16 61:2,16
47:20 69:2	responding	retired 35:10	121:14
76:25 99:23	96:1	38:5,19	reviews 75:15
101:23 124:10			79:7 88:22

Public Hearing

[reviews - school]

00.16.111.5	111 12 10		07 17 00 10
90:16 111:5	111:13,19	round 45:6	97:17 98:12
revision 2:3,4,6	112:17,17	121:4	101:17,23
2:9,11,13,15,16	113:8 121:21	rowe 2:10 6:4	120:5
2:18,20 8:25	124:21 125:19	40:22,24 78:10	safety's 37:11
10:18 15:9	rights 63:8	108:9 126:3	salaries 9:22
17:18 19:11	109:6 110:2	rule 102:8	sales 81:22
28:15 41:3	111:1	124:16	sandy 32:20
46:10,12 63:19	rigorous	rules 46:9,13	save 34:3 69:21
66:7 81:9	110:20	47:3 60:8 68:2	70:13 77:21
83:23 87:23	riled 120:6	68:25 69:10	121:7
92:19 106:2	ripe 30:11	79:1 81:8,11	saved 80:8
109:1 111:2	rise 98:21	81:14,14 101:3	savings 48:10
120:12,14	rising 10:6,9	run 8:9 54:16	69:7,11,17,25
reward 122:23	37:9 101:4,9	60:5 62:10	70:11 80:8
rfp 75:22 78:18	risk 37:11,12	66:21 91:18	82:17,19
78:25	52:14 65:8	92:5 95:9	savino 2:20
rhbt 47:6	101:6	running 57:7	26:12
richard 3:5	risks 107:22	122:18	saying 25:1
34:15,16,16,16	roam 112:17	rushed 15:17	32:7 48:12
richelle 1:17	robberies	S	56:4 63:22
127:2,19	35:19	s 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1	73:20,25 112:5
rid 104:25	robust 15:9,23	s 2.1 5.1 4.1 5.1 sadly 109:25	117:16,16
right 6:8 14:17	49:24	safe 18:23,23	122:2
23:23 24:18	role 18:15 21:3	40:18 41:1,18	says 25:13
35:7,12 36:2	22:4 52:3	97:15,21 114:7	40:15 55:6
38:11 39:17	124:6	safeguard	scared 41:21,22
42:6,19 43:16	roles 107:17	108:3 110:25	scarlet 122:17
44:12,12,19	108:1		schedule
45:5,14 47:3	room 71:24	safeguarding	106:22
66:5 69:1 70:5	97:4,6	95:2 safer 41:15	schedules 8:4
71:4 80:3	rose 2:18 6:4		school 1:10 4:3
90:21 91:15,19	42:1,2,5,17	safety 5:20	6:11 45:3,4
92:4 98:19	43:22 121:16	6:25 36:3	58:10,16,17,17
99:3,8 105:1	roughly 55:8	40:25 43:2,18	58:18 97:25
106:15 109:21	102:25	44:16 70:20	109:13,17
		80:16 89:25	

[school - services]

110.2 0 10 12	00.2 < 10	22.15.27.20	541
110:3,9,12,13	99:3,6,10	33:15 37:20	separate 54:1,6
110:13,19	102:16 104:6	38:23,24 41:2	97:7
111:2,12	105:23 106:20	42:3,7,21 44:8	separately
124:15	106:25 108:8	44:10 45:10	91:20
schools 109:7	108:11,19,21	58:5 59:25	september 76:8
109:13,19	108:23 111:18	68:23 73:8	series 7:23 25:8
110:7 111:6	111:21,23	74:21 82:18	27:4 112:5
124:18	112:3 113:4,10	86:14 89:3	serious 11:8
schwartz 3:19	116:8,17	90:5 91:24	12:19 17:7
99:7,8 102:18	117:10 118:21	98:11 118:9	37:10 57:3
102:19 104:9	118:25 119:4	seeing 98:21	67:18 86:20
105:24	119:12 121:16	seek 30:21	seriously 86:10
scissura 1:5 2:3	121:20 123:4,7	110:3	servants 90:15
5:2,23 8:25	123:12 124:24	seeker 30:13	91:9
12:7 13:19	125:4,8,12,15	32:22 124:17	serve 7:7 87:6
14:3,16 17:11	125:19 126:4	seeking 101:22	served 30:8
19:21 23:14	scooter 39:18	seems 15:12,19	42:23 56:24
25:25 26:3,9	scope 50:3	120:17	67:16
26:11 31:9,20	scrutiny 75:9	seen 13:1,6	serves 99:17
31:25 32:3,11	75:12	47:14 80:21,23	service 14:23
34:7,13,21	searched 15:1	sees 21:17	20:12,25 27:18
39:15 40:19,21	second 28:13	select 113:8	45:17,20 49:16
41:6,24 42:1	47:1 49:8,20	selling 38:25	50:20 67:3
44:10,17,22	61:13 69:6	103:19,21	70:18,23 76:12
45:8 50:21,25	90:9 106:9	104:17,18	76:12 78:23
58:3,7 62:17	secretary 2:6	send 37:6	87:5 88:23
64:10,17,22,25	section 56:3	sends 76:9	services 10:5
65:4,7,9,17,20	95:20 96:4	senior 17:19	10:16 18:6
65:25 66:6	109:14 110:9	sense 14:13,13	27:18 30:7,13
71:7 73:7,25	sector 110:19	30:17 64:7	32:14,14,18
74:18 80:21,23	secure 62:25	96:22	42:23 49:23
82:21 84:5	94:1	sent 15:3	50:14 52:23
85:4,9,13	security 35:12	sentiments	66:22 70:1,20
86:13 89:3	see 5:3 9:1	112:9	72:16 76:20
92:14,18 96:25	27:16 31:11,12		80:6 81:3

[services - sold]

84:13 87:3,10	shares 27:19	significant	sitings 88:2,4
87:12,17 88:9	shelter 124:14	29:14,23 37:22	sitting 38:24
88:16 94:15	124:21,22	49:10 54:5	40:11 42:22
101:6 114:1,3	shelters 87:5	77:5 96:15	118:18
114:18,19	sheriff 103:11	100:6 102:9	situation 12:20
123:21 124:1	shifts 27:9	107:17 110:17	57:3 89:1
serving 108:2	shop 59:10	significantly	121:10
sessions 7:25	shops 104:13	49:8	situations 13:2
set 19:2 25:2	105:14	signing 123:20	13:6 32:22
50:2 67:15	short 16:5	silent 3:24	37:12 90:21
71:19 72:12	29:22 123:15	113:14	six 104:17
74:13 77:13	123:19	similar 22:8	110:10 114:18
81:14 83:18	shortage	29:22 55:12	size 11:10
84:4 90:6 95:5	101:10	88:18 92:6	26:23 46:21
sets 22:15	shortfall 57:6	94:20,25 98:1	81:15 101:2
71:15 83:17	shortfalls 57:15	98:23 100:22	skew 107:23
setting 21:5	shortsighted	102:6	skills 114:13
settlements	122:14	similarity	127:10 128:6
96:19	shot 35:22 39:5	94:23	skip 79:11
seven 46:5	shoulder 47:5	similarly 74:11	slatkin 3:18
114:22	show 16:4 27:7	simple 58:19	99:6,8,9,12,14
several 51:22	41:16 120:9	113:1	slow 37:10
100:19 113:21	shown 104:5	simpler 60:19	57:16
125:2	shut 57:20	simply 18:12	small 13:8
severe 39:19	siblings 112:15	59:7 61:9 62:4	74:23 78:20,20
46:20,25	side 24:2 48:21	sin 55:24	94:6
sexual 3:14	73:5 79:17	singapore 9:15	smoke 103:10
89:13 90:13	89:16 98:8	single 27:22	social 27:18
shaped 51:11	123:18	28:1 72:8	52:23 70:19
51:16	sidetracked	103:12 104:16	79:24 87:5
share 61:19,19	57:16	sir 51:3 84:6	88:23 114:4
73:2,5 86:22	sign 74:4	97:2 116:10	115:9
86:24 87:8,21	signature	site 101:23	sold 104:22,23
87:24 88:1	127:18 128:13	siting 88:7,14	105:15
89:19			
1	1	1	

Public Hearing

[solely - statistically]

	1	1	1
solely 11:20	spans 35:11	60:20 61:6,19	starting 55:12
solicited 25:22	speak 8:9 19:19	61:21 70:23	76:14
soliciting 7:9	20:3 41:2,2	spends 121:2	starts 20:15
solutions	45:14 50:23	spent 43:25	26:20 76:5
112:24	51:5 89:2	96:1 120:2	77:17
somebody	92:20 111:17	spirit 53:8	state 3:14,16
118:13	111:25 121:13	spit 37:21	18:7 49:6
somebody's	speaker 14:19	spoke 64:18	51:16 55:7
12:22	34:14 52:25	square 37:5	57:13 59:16
someone's	123:10	squeeze 49:19	60:7,8 62:10
60:21	speakers 52:7	stabbed 35:21	71:5 81:8
something's	85:14 100:20	stability 13:10	89:12,14 91:5
63:16	126:5	18:18 46:7	102:22 103:1
son 39:14,18	speaking 24:1	91:21 124:19	103:11 109:10
sons 36:4	special 33:4	stabilize 95:6	109:14 110:1,7
soon 40:4	59:5 107:23	staff 6:15 15:10	110:11,14
sorry 64:25	120:25 121:5	15:22 18:12	127:21
78:9	specials 122:12	29:13 31:4	state's 52:10
sort 17:5 24:4	specific 29:3	77:8 117:6	110:22
101:14	46:21 53:22	123:25	stated 28:16
sought 57:13	65:16 83:18	staffer 90:20	55:18
souls 15:14	specifically	staffing 123:22	statement 11:5
sound 18:20	22:14 53:14	stage 71:22	11:22 29:4
67:15 102:1	78:21 89:24	stand 51:14	48:9 55:16
106:7	93:6	109:23	88:3 100:14
sounds 81:7	spend 21:23	standard 85:2	statements
source 105:12	45:2 59:1 61:4	107:20	11:22 28:25
sources 21:10	70:17 71:8	standpoint	47:21 48:2
62:7	80:14 82:3,19	51:7	52:1 99:24
southern 38:13	99:18 105:10	start 20:16	100:1,8,13,17
40:6	spending 9:10	21:19 31:24	staten 42:12
space 58:10	9:18 10:7 14:2	47:9 64:2 67:1	119:16
87:2	21:3 27:4,9,14	83:6 86:15	statistically
spaces 90:12	30:11 33:9,19	108:24	50:8
112:22 114:6,7	59:8,23 60:18		

Public Hearing

[statistics - support]

statistics 37:15	strategy 95:4	stumbling	sufficient 15:20
stay 34:22	streams 21:21	102:14	46:15 66:19
70:22 81:6	street 37:4	subject 11:3,7	68:12
stayed 38:20	38:24	31:21 51:6	sufficiently
staying 40:17	streets 62:2	55:23 88:21	110:20
stays 124:14	103:15	96:6 100:18	suggest 11:9
stems 52:9	strength 52:3	subjected	55:11 60:24
step 72:13 97:4	58:22	88:23	suggested
109:21	strengthen	subjects 51:22	13:25 14:1
stephanie 2:12	47:20 66:16	submit 7:20	52:1
6:4	strengthened	8:11 89:23	suggesting
steps 16:15	51:17	109:2 121:15	73:16 117:4
72:24 79:10	strengthens	submitted 8:14	suggestion
103:9	95:20	77:2	55:12 60:18
steward 66:12	strides 90:10	submitting	suggestions
sticking 64:12	strip 39:24	89:20 119:17	19:16 86:7
stipulate 11:9	strong 19:4,6,7	subsequent	120:2
stock 99:17	stronger 60:17	96:9 106:18	summarized
100:25	72:24	subsidize 10:5	21:16
stop 37:5 43:15	strongly 77:24	substance 53:4	summary 94:2
57:24 103:9,24	structure 27:2	substantial	summer 5:3
105:17,19	94:19,21 95:7	19:1 53:1	sunshine 47:24
stopping 105:3	structured	substantially	superstorm
105:3,6,12	63:17 120:23	109:18	32:20
store's 104:17	120:24	success 93:21	supplement
stores 103:16	struggle 94:10	124:19	52:12
103:18,23,24	struggles	succession	support 9:25
104:15,23,24	115:22	91:14 92:2	28:22,22 40:17
straight 50:13	struggling 98:7	sudden 32:23	41:14,14,17
50:15	students 61:25	36:19 38:1	88:10 101:13
straightforward	110:3 111:2	42:12	114:15,20
69:11	124:15,19	sue 37:24	115:18 116:25
strategic 27:25	study 59:10	sued 38:2,3	123:21,25
strategies	93:15	suffer 83:9	124:11
113:21 115:15			

[supported - testimony]

supported 19:5	110:23	118:7,8	technology
53:25 109:25	systematic 28:6	tan 43:24	115:2,3
supporting	t	target 46:21	teeth 100:2
55:16 97:20	table 118:12	68:1 81:15	tell 8:2 39:7
supportive	take 5:13 7:13	targeted	40:3 44:1 71:9
101:7	21:21 23:11	113:21	104:7 124:25
supports 30:22	36:14 38:4	task 107:14	tempted 82:19
53:13 92:23	42:14 53:25	108:1	ten 33:18 72:2
supposed 68:1	54:8 58:22	tasked 7:5	75:2 77:19,25
81:12	62:18 67:18	97:13,19 98:13	93:15 115:11
sure 12:10 15:4	74:3 76:18	98:25	116:12,13,14
16:21 18:19	77:18 81:24	tax 3:3 10:8	116:19
31:10 61:6,10	82:18 83:7	19:24,25 20:5	term 13:14
62:12 67:25	84:21 86:7,10	20:6,8,9,9,9,10	47:7 48:5
70:24 73:15	103:9 118:19	20:22 21:8,9	55:25 57:22
77:9 84:25	118:22 119:20	21:19 22:1,5,6	69:18,21 72:7
89:6 91:8	taken 90:10	22:10,15 23:2	terms 13:9,10
97:16 106:25	109:23 116:20	49:17 60:4	14:1 16:22
112:14 118:4	127:3,12 128:9	64:4 68:17	32:8 56:18
surged 35:19	takes 75:24	81:21,22,23,23	86:8 120:4,19
survey 50:9	82:24 83:8	105:4	120:20
survive 84:22	84:17	taxes 10:12	terrorist 38:15
115:23	talent 61:10	20:8,19 23:4	40:4
sustainability	talk 6:23 7:1	81:22	terrorists 38:17
101:17	30:6 55:23	taxpayers 9:20	testify 6:22 9:2
svorcan 3:23	72:21 78:2	20:20	20:2 23:13
108:15,16,20	85:12 100:19	teacher 64:6	31:8 66:9,14
111:20,22,24	103:5,6,7	teachers 70:19	89:9 99:13
112:4 113:6	talked 34:5	79:23 80:11	107:5 120:9
sworn 127:5	39:10 60:16	teaching 58:14	122:21
syndrome	112:6 116:22	team 6:12,12	testifying 127:5
54:23	talking 6:20	6:16	testimony 7:20
synergies 23:19	33:21 41:9,12	technical 62:13	8:11,13 15:11
system 22:1	51:13 60:10	123:22,24	23:19 25:21
75:17 79:11	62:24 99:18		26:5,24 31:5

Public Hearing

[testimony - tiffany]

31:11 34:10,17	86:11,14 89:1	42:20 53:12	70:14 103:1
42:2 54:4,14	89:3,7 92:12	61:1 69:4,5,20	thirds 60:3
67:5 73:2	92:14,15,19	74:14 81:22	thought 73:10
78:11 84:8	96:24,25 97:3	85:19 86:8	thoughtful 14:8
85:24 86:3	99:2,3,5,12	90:24 97:14	99:22 100:18
89:20 106:8	102:15,16	117:24 118:18	101:20
109:2 119:17	105:23,24,25	121:9	thoughts 44:18
121:15	106:2 108:6,8	think 7:2 8:7	thousands 75:7
thank 5:4 6:5	108:9,10,13,25	9:9 12:3,15,18	103:14,16
6:10,13,17	109:1 111:16	13:10,20 14:11	threat 36:2
8:24 12:6,7	111:18,24	14:21 15:7,15	three 4:2 5:12
14:9,14,16,18	113:9,10 116:8	15:16 16:16	11:11 12:12,19
14:22,24 15:24	117:9,10,13	33:19 35:25	13:17 14:12
17:10,11,17	118:23 119:1,2	43:1 44:14	29:7 34:22
19:19,21 23:12	119:4,10 123:4	47:2 51:16	46:6 49:4 52:6
23:14,18 24:13	123:4,6 124:23	55:8 59:4,19	53:22 58:21
25:25 31:8,9	124:24 125:8	60:12 62:20,24	66:22 67:21
31:25 32:11	125:17,19	65:9 67:6 68:9	72:7 77:19
33:5,12 34:7	126:1	68:10 69:19,21	83:16 85:14,16
34:12,20,24	thanked 44:25	72:22 73:9,10	89:18 92:13
40:19,20,23	thanks 26:2	81:14 83:23	94:3,5 99:11
41:4,24,25	42:2 45:13	85:24 86:6,10	99:18 112:2
42:4 43:22	that'd 78:14	97:10 98:1	114:6 123:12
44:13,16,17	theatrical	103:22 105:18	123:17,19
45:1,15,16	35:20	107:3 116:1,5	threshold
50:19,20 51:4	theirs 74:10	117:4,7,20,24	13:20
51:5 57:25	theme 66:14	118:11 119:23	thrive 115:18
58:3,6,7 62:16	thing 16:21	120:1 121:25	thursday 5:21
62:17 63:6	33:20 36:20	122:13,15	ticket 92:6
64:9 65:21,22	42:6,11 63:21	thinking 22:22	tied 37:13
65:23,24 66:6	104:2 121:12	50:11 84:8,14	39:23 90:3,8
73:6 75:4 78:8	121:13 122:1,3	thinks 60:2	97:22 98:2
78:10,10 79:15	122:18	third 5:5 7:22	117:24
82:22 84:7	things 6:19 8:7	9:14 30:6	tiffany 3:24
85:2,3,4,8,21	13:11 37:7	49:13 68:22	108:14,15,21

[tiffany - trust]

113:11 117:13	times 33:15	tonight's 8:17	transitional
time 6:17 8:10	35:22 37:5	took 57:8,8	56:13
11:15 13:24	42:14 44:9	tools 18:21	transitioning
15:20 17:8	46:15 58:24	19:2	91:22
29:14 30:23	60:2 66:20	topic 86:9	transparency
36:24 37:10,21	79:22 81:2	topics 5:14	19:14 26:23
39:9,11 42:20	82:3 122:21	103:6	27:2,13 28:7
43:25 45:3	125:2	total 28:2 55:3	28:10 31:6
46:3 48:4	timing 29:7	56:22 61:20	33:22 87:9
54:22 58:21	66:2	totally 54:10	100:16,23
60:14 64:1	tocker 3:22	71:11	transparent
67:3,18 69:15	108:14,15,18	totals 26:21	15:7 28:20
70:25 74:9,24	108:25 109:3	touch 6:18	transparently
75:3,13 76:7,8	today 5:11,15	36:16 52:6,8	20:23
76:12,18 78:5	6:14 9:2,7,13	tougher 60:2	traverse 112:18
80:7,20 82:5	10:14 15:18	towards 17:24	treasured 8:19
83:24 85:6,14	16:5 19:20	96:15	treatment
89:12 91:21	20:3 23:13	track 75:14	78:14,17
95:25 96:24	35:24 40:3	111:8	trending 80:2,3
104:7 112:5	44:8 47:21	trade 25:20	tries 36:25
118:19,22	60:16 99:23	56:15,17	39:11,12
124:3,23	100:22 103:5,7	tradeoffs 102:2	trigger 30:4
timed 51:8	103:8 107:11	traditional	64:11
timeframe 46:1	111:17	33:1	triggering
timeframes	together 5:11	traffic 70:5	54:17
71:22 72:18	14:13 34:2	training 114:11	trip 91:25
73:18	40:13 68:7	114:17,25	troopers 15:15
timeline 72:8	118:14	transcriber	trouble 40:3
72:10	told 44:5 45:9	128:1	80:7
timelines 72:12	ton 78:1	transcript	true 8:18 60:8
77:11,14 83:19	tonight 6:9,19	128:3,5	100:10 127:9
84:4	6:24 51:5 66:9	transcriptionist	128:5
timeliness 29:6	66:14 85:20	127:8	trunks 105:15
timely 85:1	106:10 120:3	transfer 20:9	trust 47:2
102:3	123:10 126:1		62:23 63:3,3

[trust - using]

63:10 92:9	typewriting	115:22	unsupported
107:22	127:7	understandable	39:22
truth 40:24	typically 21:17	27:6,16	untaxed 102:23
try 32:10 69:20	u	understanding	102:24 103:1
99:11 117:5		9:10 21:21	103:20,21
trying 16:25	ua 27:10,15,22 28:1,8	26:18 118:20	104:3
35:15 36:14	uas 27:5,17,20	underutilizati	unusual 58:24
38:1 42:25	28:4	93:16	upcoming 24:7
120:7 122:21	uk 112:15	undesirable	24:8 106:14
tuesday 15:3	ultimately 9:19	87:4	update 87:25
tune 55:6 57:9	57:17 96:20	undue 108:4	updated 11:15
turn 8:10 12:8	101:2	unevenly 87:15	updates 51:19
39:6,9 40:7	unavailable	unfair 88:4,18	upheld 19:6
43:8 62:19	87:17	unfortunate	upper 89:16
125:21	uncharacteris	109:21	ups 65:15
turning 84:25	119:14	unfortunately	urban 106:7
tweet 8:3	unconsciona	16:16 75:17	124:3,8,11
twice 76:21	72:3	122:14	urge 106:13
two 5:7 19:1	under 13:24	unfunded	usable 71:15
29:5 30:23	19:25 46:22	124:12	use 30:24 46:17
31:12,15,16,16	52:17 56:23,23	unique 12:20	47:12 55:25
31:18,20,21,24	69:8 110:6	90:19	63:12,13,23,23
32:7,24 33:14	111:6 112:2	uniquely 124:1	73:3,5 79:2
39:4 47:14	underbudgeti	unit 101:24	82:1 105:18
48:7 49:20	49:1 54:20	united 3:24	used 19:2 25:24
51:1 52:2,6	underlying	113:14	35:19 42:13,13
56:22 60:3,25	55:24 60:14	units 27:5,24	44:4 47:4
61:5 66:21	undermine	universal 121:8	54:17 57:23
69:17 79:14	110:3	university 62:9	63:20 76:20
83:17 85:24	undermining	unpaid 23:1	79:4,6,18
95:11 97:11	107:22	124:8	useful 29:3
105:18	understand	unprecedented	uses 87:4
type 33:4 91:10	12:4,10 31:10	49:2 54:21	using 61:9
types 20:6	90:19 97:9	unprepared	94:19 100:24
90:23	106:17 115:16	43:1	110:11

[usually - way]

usually 83:1	vetoes 36:20	volunteer	78:8 80:16
utility 20:9	43:14	114:25	81:3 86:9
v	vetted 79:2	vote 29:1,8,15	89:18 90:25
valid 50:8	vice 2:4 6:2	29:21 41:14,17	91:18 97:23
53:15	26:11 92:7,18	43:20 44:15	100:4,19 104:6
valleys 21:17	92:23	voter 120:20	104:6,7 105:15
valuable	vicissitudes	voters 7:14	105:17 107:11
114:12	68:4	69:1 121:24	120:16 122:5,5
value 19:14	victor 3:16	122:2,3,4	123:7
30:2,10 60:12	85:14 92:17,22	votes 22:5	wanted 112:4
60:13	videoconfere	voting 121:7	115:20 118:23
values 19:13	2:7 3:12,25	W	119:20 121:12
values 19.13 vapor 103:21	view 19:5,11	wacker 4:5	wants 61:2
vapor 103.21 variety 22:18	104:4 121:1,18	119:6 123:8	warranted
57:5 86:8	village 123:18	wait 77:25	31:19 60:23
	violated 53:8		warrants 26:23
various 20:6	violence 113:16	115:24	96:4
27:24 75:22	virtual 51:11	waited 111:14	washington
112:8 116:22	virtually 6:5	waiting 115:24	59:19
varying 49:7	7:20 9:17	waive 124:16	waste 95:2
vehicle 33:2	29:11	waived 54:12	wasting 17:8
70:6	visit 45:2 59:10	wake 51:15	watch 38:15
vendex 79:6,8	vissia 128:2,15	56:13	40:8 77:9
vendor 75:12	vital 18:8	walk 41:19	water 61:24
79:4 117:3	107:18 124:10	103:15	wave 40:14
vendors 30:21	vladimir 3:14	walked 57:16	way 8:6 24:6,11
33:10 67:2	85:15 89:6,10	want 5:4 6:8,18	33:14 34:16
75:7 78:13	97:12 98:11	6:21 7:1 12:10	43:24 48:9
venezuela 39:5	99:5	14:6 31:10	53:5 54:13
versus 74:4	vocational	32:7 34:23	57:20 59:1
vessel 69:4	114:17	35:3 41:14,18	63:17,23 65:5
vested 36:5	voice 118:3	44:8 45:22	69:7,8,24 73:1
111:4	voice 118.5 voices 3:24	55:22 58:7,8	73:8,19 74:24
veto 36:21	113:14 118:4	58:15 61:13	84:11 86:1
39:13	113.14 110.4	62:8 65:10	87:13 90:25
		73:15 75:5,23	01.13 70.23

[way - wylde]

91:18 92:7	welcoming	wonderful 17:9	workshops
98:2 100:25	109:2 114:7	word 12:22	115:4
103:23 120:23	welfare 55:5	118:9	world 9:15
120:24 122:10	wellness 114:18	wording 53:22	18:9 19:10
ways 32:13,13	went 15:10	64:19	33:3 56:15,17
we've 5:8 7:20	38:18,19 97:24	words 6:8 40:4	worlds 73:13
8:7 13:1,1,1,6	98:20	61:20	73:14
45:25 58:23	west 1:11	work 5:11 7:7	worried 39:2,3
60:16 66:17	wheelhouse	16:25 18:24	40:10
72:6,7 73:12	97:24	20:3 21:4	worst 80:20
77:7,7 80:24	whim 69:13	27:25 28:21,22	81:2
80:25,25 81:17	wholeheartedly	31:3 34:2,11	worth 67:7
93:18 94:22	73:4	35:15 43:13	105:12
100:24 103:22	wholesalers	46:4 59:9	worthiness
106:21,21	3:19 102:20	61:11 63:5	19:7
109:7 125:4,25	wide 15:11	68:7 76:14	worthless
weaknesses	17:23 27:23	80:5 90:12	71:11
67:11	widely 100:8	93:17 97:25	would've
wear 38:16	wild 52:9	100:11 107:4	116:15
website 8:12	willingly 23:11	113:18 122:23	wounds 44:8
93:19	win 43:19	125:25	wrap 39:16,21
week 5:18	wisdom 15:15	workers 63:7	write 74:1
66:18	19:15	79:24 90:10	writing 8:11,14
weekend 34:11	wish 116:15	workforce 91:9	119:17
62:21	wishes 8:9	working 3:15	written 26:24
weeks 8:1 31:7	withdrawal	9:16 35:11	34:10 67:5
69:17	46:9	41:3 60:7	89:20 121:14
weighed 55:21	withdrawals	62:13 63:7	wrong 43:15
weird 81:7,7	46:19 68:3,22	89:13 90:13	76:11
welcome 5:5	withstand	91:5 107:14	www.nyc.gov
7:17 8:18	84:17	108:2	8:13
44:19	witness 127:4	workplace	wylde 2:22
welcomes 30:1	woman 97:5	96:17,18	8:19,23,24
31:3	women 77:23	works 69:8	12:15 13:22
	93:11,16	70:25	14:5,14,18

[wylde - zurich]

23:20	33:17,17,17,18	58:10,13,15,17	youth 75:20
wylde's 54:14	35:1,10,11	58:25 59:11	76:9 113:19,21
y y	50:10 51:20	60:6,7 66:10	113:22,23
yaffed 3:22	54:25 56:8,10	86:19 87:11,14	114:2,6,7,10,12
109:4	57:9 58:14	88:5 89:12,13	114:21,23
yeah 23:17	67:17,17 69:20	90:9 92:19,20	115:3,8,12,17
32:2 64:8	72:7 74:23	94:16,23 95:1	118:24
75:16 79:20	75:8,16 81:18	95:6,9 96:8	Z
97:12 105:10	81:24 93:18	97:18,20	zero 59:2 100:5
111:20,24	94:5,7 95:25	102:19,20,22	zoom 7:18
125:17	102:22,25	102:24 103:11	85:18 119:7
year 21:16,19	109:16	103:15 104:22	zurich 9:15
21:20 22:7	yearwood	104:24 105:13	
24:7 25:3,5,19	92:25	106:4,5 107:15	
26:20 27:2,9	yeshivas 109:9	109:10 110:7	
28:4 29:12,20	109:22	111:1,3,7,14	
30:23 31:16	yesterday	120:19 122:11	
32:7 36:15	14:25 16:2	124:1,17	
47:18 49:10,10	york 1:10,12	127:21	
52:2,14 54:9	2:22,24 3:4,10	york's 17:22	
55:4,7,15,15	3:11,14,16,19	38:9 45:4	
56:24 57:10	3:21 4:3 6:11	62:10 109:7	
59:16 62:14	8:6,20 9:3,13	110:2	
63:6,14,25	9:22 14:23	yorker 10:15	
67:8 68:17	16:23 17:14,16	112:3	
69:9 76:5,16	22:16 24:23	yorkers 7:2,8	
80:14 90:7	26:4,13,16,18	9:5 16:7 22:22	
93:2,15 109:10	29:18 35:5,9	23:3,9 27:7	
110:10 120:13	35:13,15,21	30:7,8 34:3	
year's 63:13	38:14,14,18	46:16 50:14,16	
64:4	40:12,15 41:10	50:17 97:15	
years 13:1 14:7	41:13 43:3,16	107:3	
18:25 21:7	43:17,19 44:2	young 97:5	
29:18 31:12,15	44:3,15 45:3	114:8	
31:18,21 33:14	45:17 53:13		
51.10,21 55.14			