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MR. PERALES: Welcome. I now will call to order -- oh, and we've got one more commission member, as well.

I call to order the 2018 Charter Revision Commission, that is holding a meeting in the Bronx.

This is the second of five public hearings, and anyone who wants to testify can please sign up at the welcome table.

I'd like to begin by introducing our two host commissioners from the Bronx, Marco Carrion and John Siegal. Commissioner Carrion, would you like to say a few words?

MR. CARRION: Thank you, chair.
It's great to be here in the Bronx, where I was born and raised. As everyone knows, the Bronx is the home of the New York Yankees, the real Little Italy, the birthplace of hip-hop, and the home of the Bronx Zoo, and the real New York Botanical Gardens. But all jokes aside, this is a really dynamic, diverse borough that's filled with a lot of pride. I'd just like to say the folks in the Bronx never judge themselves or define themselves by their past struggles, but by the incredible future that this borough has in
front of it. Thank you very much for everyone joining us here today.

MR. PERALES: I'm told that everyone has to turn off their mic for anyone else's mic to work. Let me ask the -- let me ask the rest of the commissioners to introduce themselves.

We'll start on my extreme right with Kyle.
MR. BRAGG: Good evening, I'm Kyle Bragg. I'm the secretary-treasurer of SEIU Local 32BJ.

MR. HO: Good evening. My name is Dale Ho. I am director of the ACLU voting rights project.

MR. MIROCZNIK: Good evening. My name is Mendy Mirocznik. I'm the president of COJO Staten Island.

MR. SCISSURA: Good evening, I'm Carlo
Scissura. It's a pleasure to be in the Bronx.
I'm from Brooklyn, and I would argue with you about Little Italys, but let me take you to dinner on Arthur Avenue anytime you want. In my day job I serve as the President of the New York Building Congress.

MR. PERALES: My name is Cesar Perales. I should have introduced myself a moment ago. I have the honor of serving as chair of this Charter Revision Commission.

I recently stepped down as the Secretary of State of New York. I have a long history in public service, but since I'm in the Bronx and having recently passed Yankee Stadium on my way up here, let's hope that that streak continues. VOICE: Yes.

MS. CLARKE: Good afternoon. I'm Una Clarke. I'm a former member of the New York City council. I am a trustee for the City University, and this beautiful institution in which you are sitting is one of the colleges within CUNY, so I am just so honored to be here.

I'm also a member of the Charter, and I'm very pleased to be a part of this group of commissioners, all who are intent on making sure we listen carefully and we understand exactly what the issues are in all of our boroughs, so that we can come together and make sure that we deepen the participation of all people in the City of New York, and also make sure that everybody is counted and accounted for. MS. WEISER: Hello, my name is Wendy Weiser. I direct the Democracy Program at the Brennan Center for Justice at the NYU Law School. I'm really delighted to be here, and I also want to
thank all of you for being here and for actually living what we are trying to accomplish, which is an increased participation in the life of our City and democracy.

MR. SIEGAL: Good evening. John Siegal. I do reside in the Bronx nearby, and I'm a lawyer in private practice, as well as a mayoral designee to the Civilian Complaint Review Board, reviewing citizen complaints against our police officers. And I'm pleased to be here and hear your views on City issues tonight.

MR. CARRION: Once again, I'm Marco Carrion. I serve as the commissioner to the Mayor's Office of Community Affairs.

MR. PERALES: I too want to thank all of you for coming. This is, for us, very important, that you get an opportunity to express yourselves, let us know what is concerning you regarding the New York City Charter.

Again, what we're here to do is to listen to you as we prepare to revise, make amendments, and make changes to the New York City Charter.

Under the law, the Mayor of the City of New York can appoint a commission on any particular year. We haven't had one in about eight years.

I think, and that commission usually examines not just the issues the mayor has raised and asked, but looks at the entire Charter. The Charter is the governing document of the City of New York. It's like a constitution, but our role, really, before we can do that, is to listen to the people of our City, and that's what we are here to do tonight. The recommendations that we ultimately make are presented then to the voters in November as an amendment. I'm sure all of you have gone into a voting booth and seen all these complicated amendments that you sometimes don't have time to read, but you're asked to vote "yes" or "no."

I'm hoping that this November, you get a chance to read them well, and that they reflect, perhaps, something you have heard tonight that has been told to us by you, the residents of the Bronx.

The mayor himself has asked us to look at something in particular, and that is, how do we make New York more democratic? What is it that we can change or insert into the City Charter that will enable us to have more fair elections, have more people vote, and maybe take money, the
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importance of money is lessened by changes that we make. So we're particularly interested in your views on how elections are held, but we want to hear everything you have to say about the City Charter.

And with that, let me begin by quickly introducing three of our staff members who are new and haven't been introduced even to the other members of the commission. Ingrid Kristofsen, who is a legal -- on our legal staff. Michael Massiano, a tech, Steve Newmark in Operations, and Mary Van Noy in Operations.

You should know that after we conduct this hearing here in the Bronx, we will be conducting hearings in Queens on May 3rd, in Brooklyn on May 7th, and in Manhattan on May 9th.

Our hope is after these initial borough hearings, we will have an opportunity of going to a variety of neighborhoods to have smaller hearings there as well. Again, public testimony here is open to everyone. The hearing is about the Charter. If you wish to speak, please sign up at the table, and I will take the liberty of limiting the speakers to five minutes each, because there are a number of them who have
indicated an interest in speaking.
Any comments from any of the other members of the commission? I tend to feel that I'm dominating the conversation.

If not, we will hear from our first speaker. I think it's Egidio Sementilli.

MR. SEMENTILLI: I'm honored.
MR. PERALES: Will you tell us what organization, Mr. Sementilli, you represent?

MR. SEMENTILLI: Yes, I will, Commissioner. I am the first one, and I have five minutes; am I correct?

MR. PERALES: That's correct.
MR. SEMENTILLI: E-G-I-D-I-0
S-E-M-E-N-T-I-L-L-I.
And it was an honor to see Mrs. Clarke here. Great woman. Many years I saw her when she -still is a firebrand, but I saw her, it was great. And the clock is running. Pelham Bay Community Improvement and Safety Organization, not for profit. I'm here today --

Welcome commissioners, and thank you for coming here.

First issue -- first issue would be --
MR. PERALES: Let me interrupt you for a
moment. The gentleman back here is interpreting --

MR. SEMENTILLI: Yes.
MR. PERALES: -- for the deaf. I failed to indicate that we've done, through this series, taken steps to ensure that everybody can participate and hear what is being said, so your words are being translated.

MR. SEMENTILLI: And maybe we can run the clock again? So that we can start fresh?

MS. CLARKE: Whatever frame of time.
MR. SEMENTILLI: Thank you. Thank you so much.

Sementilli. Egidio Sementilli. My first issue is with the CCRB. I'm happy that the commissioner is here. I'm would like to see that the Charter Commission here propose and hopefully the voters will accept an independent agency, not a mayoral agency, an independent agency with the power of discipline. Right now, they don't have the power, only to recommend, and it's solely based on the commission. I believe it should be an independent body. It should have the right to fire and discipline officers, and the only way it would be created through this revision as an
independent agency, and the Comptroller, and also, the Public Advocate; and in turn, as the community boards are independent. And speaking of the community boards, this unicameral government that we set up here for -- for -might be good for a small town. Minnesota, I think, is the next largest state that has this form of government with 600,000 people. New York City, it does not work, and I believe to improve that and to make it more transparent, I believe that the community board should also be an independent body, not appointed by the borough president or recommended by the City Council; but appointed through an election process, maybe, or a petition process, and it should be as an independent body, in the same manner as the Public Advocate and the Comptroller were established, and it's balanced to this one body system of government. Is it balanced? And that's what the charter states. It's identified as a one-party system and the balance of it is the City -- is the Comptroller and the Public Advocate and the community boards, respectfully. There should be no attachment to any political office, because it becomes an extension of the
politics, and that we do not want. We'll get greater representation. That should be an issue that should be brought up.

Third issue, what I'd like to see on the charter since we're here for this, I would like to see the allocation of public monies by elected officials at least, minimum to go through a review process through the community boards. Unfortunately, we have politicians that allocate millions and millions of dollars to groups that no one even knows that there are in the community.

So we need to open up that process. We need the allocation of discretionary funds for the City Council to be an open process and a review process by a board, in this case, I think the community board already set up for it would be would be a great opportunity, too.

And number four -- since we're here, number four, I would like to spend my next one minute and thirty seconds to say $I$ respect everyone on this board, but I hope that this board does not become the platform for a third term, for a mayoral third term.

We, the people, the will of the people, were
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denied through the prior mayoral and we lost our win legislatively. I hope that this board here does not become a platform for Mayor De Blasio to extend to a third term, and I beg and humbly suggest that -- and to be independent and this board and all the individuals that serve on this board -- because at the end of the day, you will make the recommendation, not the mayor.

So I hope you respect the people and respect the community and the will of the people. This board -- honorable board cannot be a front for Mayor De Blasio to usurp the will of the people and try and try to get a third term; and as we know and read in the papers, the lack of participation from the public voting will be able to achieve that, if you allow it. If you allow it.

So, I thank you, commissioners, and thank you for the opportunity to be the first to testify here, thank you.

MR. PERALES: Thank you. Don't leave. We may have a question or two.

MR. SEMENTILLI: Of course.
MALE SPEAKER: You came to talk about the CCRB?

MR. SIEGAL: I guess I want to make sure I understand your point about the CCRB. What you were, I believe, saying was that your proposal would be that the CCRB would make final determinations on police discipline as opposed to making recommendations to the police department that the police commissioner then acts on?

MR. SEMENTILLI: Attorney, yes, an attorney. That is what I said, but in addition to that, I did also say that there would be a separate, independent entity of government, in the same way that the Charter indicates or describes the Public Advocate and the Comptroller as independent entities of the Charter and not mayoral agencies. I would like to see that absolutely to be.

In fact, today, $I$ was on the phone with the CCRB, making a complaint, and interestingly enough, after I spent an hour on the phone making my complaint, they tell me, well, we don't have jurisdiction over this issue. It's going to be sent down to the chief of department and as an administrative issue.

And what was it? Basically, it was officers refusing to take police reports, and we have a
systematic problem with our precincts that certain policies, maybe it might be City-wide policy; I don't know, but certain issues that they refuse to take complaints, and therefore, becomes, knowing that they will become not a disciplinary issue with CCRB, or reviewed by CCRB, or IAB, but it will be referred back to chief of department as an administrative issue. That's enough. You know, it takes the power, the teeth, the spirit of the law, I guess, or what we as the public believe what CCRB disseminates as to be an agency of righteousness, and to be a fair -- a fair balance of police -- public good; and I think that's not the case here, and the only way it will be by, through an independent, non-mayoral agency.

MR. SIEGAL: Obviously, I don't know the specifics of the case you were talking about, nor is this the time or place.

MR. SEMENTILLI: No, it isn't.
MR. SIEGAL: But I can say that there are a lot of cases in the CCRB where we make recommendations on, that the complaint is the officer refused to take a citizen complaint, and we recommend frequently discipline for officers, particularly people, you know, at the desk in the stationhouse or even commanding officers in the stationhouse who don't appropriately take complaints and forward them to CCRB, where they're supposed to go.

I'm not sure of the specifics, but -- and in terms of the decider, I guess you're saying there should be an independent judge who would decide these cases?

MR. SEMENTILLI: I think that all --
MR. SIEGAL: Or an elected official?
MR. SEMENTILLI: No, no, mine is to limit to the board.

MR. SIEGAL: Okay.
MR. SEMENTILLI: The Board's authority. You have the CCRB board. I read through the profiles of all of the board members. Great profiles and so on. I think they're capable and could be fair and honest to make decisions like that.

MR. SIEGAL: Just to clarify, the board is not comprised of a majority -- they're all mayoral-appointed but they're designated equally by the mayor and the City Council and managed by the police commissioner.

MR. SEMENTILLI: The appointment could stay the same. It would just be an independent agency.

MR. SIEGAL: Thanks. I understand.
MR. SEMENTILLI: Thank you.
MS. CLARKE: Since I was a member of the City Council, and you talked about the issue of term limits, $I$ believe in a democracy, the voters should decide; and if we want to be democratic in one, we have to be democratic all the time. We need voter education, and that's what we need to advocate for. They should know when their representative is no good and kick them out. But when somebody is good they should be able to remain there. In other areas it becomes a career, and it doesn't make the person who is the elected official noneffective.

So I just want to put that out there so you understand. I spent my ten years and I would not come back, but let's say somebody comes, the first four years, they learn how to be a council member. The next four years, they start figuring out, what the hell am I going to do with my career after these four years, so they become ineffective. So I would argue that the people should decide who their representative is. Not
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some rich person who put a referendum and states eight years is good enough. Somebody can say 12 years is good enough, and somebody can say 20 years is good enough. So, as far as I'm concerned, having served in the City Council, and I know what colleagues do and can do, I am not for term limits. So let me just let you know that. I think the people have the right to decide who their representative will be.

MR. PERALES: Let me just say: I don't expect this to be on the ballot. This is not an issue that we -- it's not been raised anywhere else. I don't think anybody on this panel is interested in extending term limits.

MR. SEMENTILLI: Great to hear from you, and thank you for saying so. Look at me in the eyes, and the public in the eye, and tell them this statement. That's a powerful statement from the Chair. I do thank you for that statement.

MR. PERALES: Yes.
MR. SIEGAL: Thank you.
MR. SEMENTILLI: Thank you.
Anyone else or am I -- I'm good. Thank you, sir, thank you again. I'll try to write something, an e-mail.

MR. PERALES: Wonderful. Thank you.
And that goes for anyone in the audience. We are accepting written comments and questions.

Let me call on Jose Ofredo, 32BJ.
MR. OFREDO: First of all, good evening to all. Pardon my appearance. I just came from work. I apologize. On behalf of 32BJ, I work at there right down the road. Thank you to you guys for listening and everything. I hope you have a blessed day.

First and foremost, I want to thank everybody for all and everything on behalf of myself and the members of the SEIU 32BJ union effort in strengthening our City democracy, and we ensure that everybody in New York's voices count, basically. Our union members are 160 -163,000 strong here in New York alone. We represent over 85,000 buildings, service workers to keep our cities, residential buildings, schools, offices, stadiums, and airports clean and safe. We proudly fight for the rights of our members who are working class and predominantly people of working color -- people of color -pardon me -- to live safe and healthy lives with dignity and respect. Through my Union I have
been lucky enough to work -- excuse me, I apologize.

Through my Union, I have been lucky enough to work more -- I'm nervous, I apologize. This is, like, last-minute, spur of the moment. I really apologize.

Through my Union I have been lucky enough to learn about democracy, the Democrats and have been provided a vehicle of civic -- civic engagement, and I am grateful to the opportunity the Union has given me to make my voice and our voice heard at the state level. And one important way to get our work on election by communicating with the Union brothers and sisters about the candidates that I feel passionate about. In New York City, because of our campaign finance and law, our type of communication is considered a campaign contribute -- excuse me, I'm sorry. I'm really nervous -- a contribution, and so they are prohibited. It doesn't -- it does not make sense. I know through my own experience that conversations between Union members is one of the most effective ways to advocate. This is why I believe that we need to revise charter in order to clarify that these
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kinds of important conversations of our candidates can happen. Not only is
members-to-members communication critical -- a critical way to advocate, but it also gets countless members involved in our electrical [sic] and policy -- excuse me, campaigns.

We can count members-to-members communications to -- as a campaign contribute -oh, man, I'm so nervous.

MALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: It's all right.
FEMALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: You're doing great.
MALE SPEAKER: You sound good.
MR. OFREDO: Not only is member-to-member communication a critical way to advocate, but it also gets countless members involved in electrical policies and campaigns. We count member-to-member communication and independent contributions and we distinctly -- oh, man, I'm so nervous. I apologize, guys.

When we count member-to-member communication with these kind of boots on the ground -instead. I'm so sorry, man I --

MR. PERALES: It's all right.
MS. CLARKE: No, it's all right. Take your time.

MR. OFREDO: We should be doing everything we can to ensure, but not to stifle it. Thank you for your time and efforts to ensure our democracy works for all, including working people and the community. I apologize. (Applause).

MR. PERALES: Thank you. Anybody want to comment? Carlo?

MR. SCISSURA: First of all, you did a great job. And I always say this: If we had to come and do the jobs you do, we couldn't do it as good as you, so the fact that you're here, taking the time to do it (unintelligible)(applause).

I just have a comment. I grew up in a union household. My mother was a member of Local 372, the DC37, and when my father died when I was a teenager, I can tell you that if it were not for her Union job, we would not have had medical insurance, and after she had a bad stroke, it would have probably lost her house, or if not for the Union medical. So I give a lot of credit to you for what you do.

MR. OFREDO: Thank you so much, sir.
MR. SCISSURA: Keep up the good work. To you, and to all the members.

MR. OFREDO: Thank you.
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MR. PERALES: You in the chair.
MR. OFREDO: Yeah, I have a question.
MR. PERALES: So you said you came from work.

MR. OFREDO: Yeah, I just came from work, me and my coworkers. Yes.

MR. MIROCZNIK: And can you tell us why hearing from your Union, political activities or campaign-related issues is important to you?

MR. OFREDO: Sure. We're definitely trying to strive, you know. When we do our picketing, we're not always on the street. We're trying to get some place, some shelter, some warm, something that can benefit our workers and our voices can really be heard.

We're very strong. We do what we have to do. We're outside constantly, rain, sleet, snow, it doesn't matter, just to get our point across and basically, that's what we're here for, just to try to get our voices heard, and hopefully for the people in our environment are open.

MR. PERALES: Thank you. I take your comments to be supportive of the idea that we as a commission are to figure out more ways to make it easier for the people like yourself to participate in politics and elections, right? MR. OFREDO: That would be appreciated, thank you.

MR. PERALES: Any other comments? Thank you very much. MR. OFREDO: Thank you so much for your time. I appreciate you. Have a blessed night (applause).

MR. PERALES: Louis Rocco, the president of the Westchester Square Civic Association.

MR. ROCCO: (Indicating) Good evening. My name is Louis Rocco. I'm president of the Westchester Square Civic and I am honored to be here tonight. Commissioners, I'm really honored to be here tonight. Tonight marks the -actually, this night marks six years of my civic organization being in operation. I took this endeavor on to make our neighborhood clean and save. I found a newspaper article, going through the news, and I said my mission was to make my neighborhood safe and clean. I reached that goal, but the hurdles that I've come through were overwhelming. Our government does not work. They had me take my signs down. They punished me with building department inspectors. They used
the police to harass and stop my places, and on and on and on. I came close to having a breakdown. I got on my niece and I said, "God, I didn't finish my mission." And this is what it's about. It's about good people wanting to make the City of New York work. I love the City. I've worked on the issues that our mayor wanted to get done, Vision Zero, they stopped me or tried stopping me, and that means they were stopping our mayor. My daughter is an attorney. It took two days to get the paperwork in. I got the paperwork in and I succeeded. They made me go through hell, getting signatures, stopping my bumps. I didn't have enough signatures. I had too many signatures. And what I'm talking about is our community boards. Our community boards are not brought up to time.

What I'd like to see done is independent community boards. If you want the City to survive, you need people. When I opened the civic association, I wanted to teach people about civic, about going out there and voting, getting involved, volunteering, and becoming a good representative in this City. When I see people move out, it is horrific that we leave it and say
we're only leaving bad politicians and bad people.

Some of my recommendations that $I$ want to see -- I want you for get on a community board. I have been on a community board. I have been on almost anything you could mention this man has been through, even working 32B. I've been a police officer, a firefighter and it's not that I'm just bragging, and where did I wind up? In the Bronx, in the City of New York, to really give back. I'm a 9/11 survivor. I'm not bragging. I didn't want anything. I don't sue. I'm out here to make my community the best place. And what we're looking for is quality of life, all right?

We've almost met that goal. We need to change the community boards. The community boards are hindering us from doing this. We have people that gave up on the political system. Our recommendations are change the Charter on a Community boards, free them up, don't make them a political agenda, open them up, let you go out there, get signatures from the community, and people will be -- welcome you and get these signatures for you to get on these community
boards. You have to go and do the legwork, the same legwork you would have to go do petitions to get on, to vote. So the recommendation I make is that our community boards have to be more independent and you're going to hear it from more members and more people. Because if you don't free up the community boards, you're going to lose it as a political agenda. People are getting sick and tired of living in New York. I thank the mayor. He's done a lot of great things, but there's a lot of people that tried stopping him. I stood by him on the Vision Zero and I fine-tuned it, and as we speak, I'm still getting streets done. I'm still getting a lot of stuff done in the community. I've gotten streets paved and I don't think politician like when you get things done. I get out there every day. Really? We're not -- we're not looking to take your jobs. I have no reason to run for politics. I want the politicians to start working. You work for us, we don't work for you and this -you got to understand that, we're good people. We have seniors eating out of garbage cans, and it goes on and on and on. Where are our community boards? Our community boards are only
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there to discipline, and some of the -- what I get from the community, we're only an advisory board. Are you guys an advisory board? No, you're commissioners. You're above that, but what the community boards do is they will go after you and gang together. They work as criminals. I hate to put words like that, but they're supposed to be -- the clock stopped. It means I've got plenty of time -- so they're supposed to be working with us. We need to change this attitude. I think on this election, make my life on this election, put something on there that frees the communities up, and you'll see a better City; and you'll see us vote. MR. PERALES: Thank you. Thank you very much (applause).

MR. BRAGG: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Kyle Bragg. There's two things I want to take exception to I heard tonight.

One, this honorable chair, this honorable commission is somehow the puppet of the mayor. That's not the case. Each of these honorable commissioners up here are charged with a responsibility to look at this New York City Charter, to examine whether or not there are
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things that we can do to make this City greater. And to make it more democratic and more inclusive. To indicate we might be puppets of the mayor, I take great exception to.

The second thing is, I sit on Community Board 13 in Queens, and I take exception that you think we are also puppets of some political apparatus and that we are the handmaidens or the strongarms of some political force that, personally, I don't know about because I have been on that community board there, representing my community, and that's my charge and that's what I do, and I do that with as much, much honor and distinction as I possibly could do it with.

So what you're speaking about, I'm not sure how each and every community board in this City operates, but I can guarantee you that's not how mine operates, and that's not been my experience, and so I just want to state that for the record, that community -- community board members are not criminals, because I don't consider myself a criminal. I consider myself a person that's of -- of our community who's doing a civic duty by representing that community in the best way that he can. So thank you.

MR. ROCCO: Can I respond to that, please? MR. PERALES: Yes, but please be very brief. MR. ROCCO: I also sat on Community Board 9, and while I was on there in the Bronx, I worked with the parks, and it was hands-on, you know, Field of Dreams. We got a lot of stuff done. It's not an easy job. It's not a thankful job. But we need to make some changes. And as far as -- I can't suggest, or whatever it is, we have people that shouldn't be on community boards. That's why I'm suggesting term limits on the community boards, and I'm suggesting to make sure it's independent. Once it's independent and we open it up and we the City would thrive by it. We have a lot of talent but they're not coming to community boards because they have a little clubhouse, which I hate to say, and there have been arrests of community board members. There have been people arrested, okay? So that doesn't bring out the best of the best. We want the best of the best in these community boards, but we need to make them more independent, and we need to put it on as a vote.

MR. PERALES: Okay, Mr. Rocco, thank you very much.

MR. ROCCO: Thank you, sir.
MR. PERALES: I've been getting a lot of sheets of paper representing the people who want to speak. I'm going to have to limit it from five to -- let's make it three minutes. Is that fair to everybody?

FEMALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: No.
FEMALE AUDIENCE MEMBER 2: No, but still.
MR. PERALES: But you understand the
problem. You want everyone to get an opportunity.

FEMALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: Thank you.
MR. PERALES: Yes, you will get an opportunity.

Sheek Musa Morala, I think.
I must have destroyed your name. The handwriting is not good. What is your name, so we will all hear it correctly?

MR. MORALA: No problem. My name is Saf Musa Morala.

MR. PERALES: Thank you.
MR. MORALA: Thank you, Chairman, and thank you, honorable commissioners. Thank you for having me here to testify as to how we can make New York City a better place for all.
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I am suggested that post-9/11, the level of expenditure in law enforcement and intelligence and incarceration is unsustainable; therefore, we need to have Commissioner of Peace or Commission for Peace in New York City Charter, so that the preventive services that can be provided on a volunteer basis will eliminate the need to spend billions of dollars, you know, for intelligence that creates more adversary relationships among residents than they serve.

For the past ten years, I have travelled around the world to see how people maintain peace and sustain peace, and the best thing that any City can do, especially New York City, is to create an environment where citizens become, you know, preventing agents, not just depend on law enforcement that unfortunately don't always have the best relationships with the community that they serve. I have submitted a suggestion as to how that will work in five boroughs, and with limited expenditure, next to nothing, it will create a whole line of preventive services, you know, from the gangs on the streets to religious conflicts to extremism to terrorism, you name it; we can do the preventive work without involving
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law enforcement, and if we do that, we will save billions of dollars and perhaps, maybe we can prevent events such as $9 / 11$ and any other.

Personally, you know, I have been active in preventive mechanisms here in the Bronx.

For the past 24 months we have removed 105 gang members through the preventive service we provide, and throughout the world our organization has spread from here to 43 countries, to bring people who do not normally talk to each other to sit at the table. And that is what I'm suggesting, so that we have Commissioner for Peace at the mayor's office, just like we have for the commissioner of preventative services. Thank you.

MR. PERALES: That is a very interesting idea, and I invite you to submit a description of how you think it could be done, as you suggest, across the five boroughs.

MR. MORALA: Absolutely.
MR. PERALES: Anyone else have any comments?
MS. CLARKE: I detect that, like myself, that you're an immigrant and that you are looking for ways in which you can settle and make sure communication is respectful within the community,
and apart from that, that you serve as a voice for what would otherwise be disruptive in the community and whether you call it peace or not, it's about a way in which people conduct themselves, both because of their religion, because of their race, because of settling in and sometimes, people don't really recognize that a behavior is to the person, what their culture is.

Is that what we're looking at?
MR. MORALA: Absolutely.
MS. CLARKE: Thank you.
And thank you, sir, for testifying.
MR. PERALES: Roxanne Delgado?
MS. DELGADO: Hello.
MR. PERALES: Hello.
MS. DELGADO: Hello, hi. Okay, the Campaign Finance Board is responsible for protecting tax dollars and the public interest, but is unable to do so when the laws are set by the fox in the chicken coop. As per my spreadsheet, which I sent to you by e-mail, Queens Borough President Melinda Katz received over $\$ 550,000$ in public funds and outspent her two opponents by over 1.3 million. Her two opponents received no public matching funds and raised less than 11,000
combined.
Over half of Ms. Katz's spending went to consulting fees. Even though Ms. Katz had the advantage as an incumbent, she used public monies against two unknown candidates who barely raised one percent of what she raised. As expected, she won her reelection with over 76 percent of the vote, and Katz returned no money to the CFB. A similar case of public activists has change, who received over 750,000 in public monies, and he turned no money back to the CFB. None of their opponents received any public funds, and all five combined raised less than two percent of the 1.67 million that Mr. James raised, and as expected, James won reelection with over 77 percent of the vote.

Same with Mayor De Blasio who received -who returned only $\$ 377$ of the 4.88 million that he received in public funds. Only $\$ 377$, out of 3.4 million money that he received in public funds. None of his opponents except for the Republican candidate Nicole Malliotakis, received public funding, and he outspent her 2.7 to 1 and as expected, won the reelection by over 66 percent of the votes.

Same with the City Council raises. For example, Upper East Side council member Ben Kallos. None of his opponents received public funding matching. They spent less than 7 percent of the 301,000 that he raised, and, as expected, he won reelection with over 80 percent of the vote. Not to mention that Kallos did not return any of the public money, even though he has less -- he has 26,000 left in his campaign fund, and spent 5,000 this year for tote bags. Why is he spending money when his campaign received over 125,000 in public funds and has not yet returned any of it?

Shame on the media and shame on the good government groups that say we have the New York State campaign finance is the gold standard. It's a sham to only give millions to incumbents to demolish their opponents who are at a disadvantage already -- the CAFB is not leading -- is not levelling the playing field, but instead is undetermining [sic] -- wait, undermining challenges to the establishment. And the worst part is the CAFB is using public money to undermine our democracy. The money could have been used for our parks, our libraries, and our
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food pantries. So I recommend that public matching funds not be made in any way when other opponents receive no public funds. And when the matching will give someone a financial advantage over 25 percent. For example, Candidate A receives $\$ 5,000$. One minute. I'm almost done.

Half a minute. 40 seconds. All right. This example, my recommendation. For example, Candidate A raised \$5,000 and is eligible for 18,000 in public funds; however, all his other candidates, opponents, only raised 20,000, not eligible for public funds. So the highest raised by one of his opponents is $\$ 6000$. So therefore, Candidate A can receive 1250 in public funds because his total campaign funds, what $I$ see is over 25 percent of any other opponents. So what is disturbing is that City Council has just introduced by saying that increase the matching amount from 125 to 250 and increase the limit of public funds from 55 percent to 85 percent of the total spending limit. So under this scenario, the financial gap is widened between the incumbent and the opponents, and the worst part is the public funding raised for the incumbent and which only undermines our democracy more,
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because the incumbents win 99 percent of the time. And I'm making sure because -- but the point is the Campaign Finance Board needs to be independent and has to have its own authority.

It needs to protect the chicken coop from the fox. And the rest, if you have that e-mail spreadsheet numbers, $I$ gave the data. I did a lot of work.

MR. PERALES: And I apologize for limiting your time so that you had to --

MS. DELGADO: Sorry.
MR. PERALES: -- run through it. We are very interested in this subject. I don't know if I mentioned it as we started out. I mean, our interest is in looking at campaign financing, so your comments are very appropriate, and I hope we get them in writing so that I can understand -MS. DELGADO: Yes, e-mailed them too, an hour before, and also placed similar comments to CAB, because I ran for City Council as a third party. I had no chance to win. But the point was, I saw the system work, matching funds against someone that had no chance in hell, and I only raised -- oh, I used my own money. I spent less than \$1000. I didn't raise money. They get
matching funds, 6 to 1 . Come on. I mean, it's a joke, it's unfair. It's abuse of power, so thank you.

MR. PERALES: All right. Anyone have any comments?

MS. CLARKE: Just one. I just want to say, part of the matching funds is meant to help to make sure that communities that were less fortunate, including myself as an immigrant to run, because there were no matching funds at the point, the rich person, because they had money would be able to win, and therefore, I would not be able to tell my story to my community so I could be elected. So it is meant to level the playing field in terms of who's got money, who's got people with money, and who's got to depend on the public so that they can do the public good.

MS. DELGADO: May I respond? But that may have been the intention, which sounds great. I love that intention, but, in fact, the reality is that that's not what's really happening. What is funding the incumbents and actually squashing down any challengers to establishment, which is why people don't want to waste time and money. I mean, I give away \$500. \$500 is a lot to me, but

I did it because I wanted to bring issues to the table. Most people are not going to invest their time and money when they have no chance of winning, and on top of it, their opponent is being funded 6 to 1 but they're not receiving any matching funds. It's not a level playing field. It's a scam. So unless putting these amendments where no public matching funds given to the incumbent if none of his opponents received. Why is the incumbent getting 1.3 million when his opponents raised 11,000 combined? Come on, is that fair? It's not fair. I'm upset. I'm sorry.

MS. CLARKE: We can look at the individuals.
MS. DELGADO: I've got a spreadsheet for you.

MS. CLARKE: We can look at the system and how it is working, and who benefits from it, but it is not to say it's not a good system.

MS. DELGADO: The intention's great.
MS. CLARKE: Allows for the matching funds and the rules could be reviewed to look at that. If we go back to the old system, then there will be people who will not, including yourself, who will never be able to run, unless you have money
by yourself.
MS. DELGADO: No.
MS. CLARKE: And 11,000 is not going to cut it.

MS. DELGADO: Yes, but why would they give Melinda Katz 1.3 million, then, if her opponents only raised 11,000? That was the point. They need to fix the system because, like every system, they abuse the power. So you have to fix the system if we review, because when you give an opponent -- the incumbent 1.3 million, while his other two opponents have no public funds and only raise 11,000, you know. Come on. I mean, does that seem right to you? It doesn't to me, I'm sorry to say. Thank you, sorry.

MR. PERALES: Thank you (applause).
FEMALE SPEAKER: Good evening. Thank you for having me. I'll try to be as brief as possible. I'm here on behalf of FairVote, which is a nonprofit, nonpartisan organization that advocates for electoral reform. I'm here to discuss instant runoff voting or ranked choice voting, as it's often known. This is the primary reform that FairVote advocates for. I personally act as the deputy director of advocacy.

So ranked choice voting or instant runoff voting -- instant runoff is what it sounds like. Instead of having to have a separate runoff election, you could combine those two elections into one. Voters would be able to rank their ballots in order of choice. If no candidate reaches the threshold that would trigger that runoff, and instead of having votes come back to the polls, you eliminate the candidate with the fewest votes. Voters who selected that candidate as their first choice would have their vote instantly go to their second choice. And then you count the votes again to see if somebody has the majority or whatever the threshold would be.

I think this system would be really well-suited for the City, considering runoff elections are very expensive, and turnout is usually very, very low. There are cities across the country that are already using this system, so there are examples that can be used. And the equipment that is being use in those cities is very similar to here, so there are solutions in place and rules that can be drawn upon --

MR. PERALES: Can I interrupt you for a minute. One of the concerns I have -- I'm an
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advocate of instant runoff is that our machines may not be able to handle it.

Are you suggesting that you know of a place --

FEMALE SPEAKER: Yes.
MR. PERALES: -- where similar equipment is used?

FEMALE SPEAKER: You have the DS200 system, which I believe is what is used in Minneapolis. They call it ranked choice voting, but it's the same process.

MR. SCISSURA: I'm sorry -- what are you calling it?

FEMALE SPEAKER: Instant runoff voting -MR. MIROCZNIK: I know, but what are you calling it? I didn't hear you.

FEMALE SPEAKER: Ranked choice voting.
MR. SCISSURA: Ranked choice, correct?
FEMALE SPEAKER: Yes. The one describes the election itself. The other describes the process for the voter. But it's the same process. I'm sorry for the confusing language, but they use the $S$ and $S$ equipment with the DS200, and it would be a simple software upgrade to do it, and they've been running this for almost a decade
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now. So there is experience using it. And cities that are using this, in addition to being able to eliminate a costly runoff election and to be sure we are electing people when the most voters at the polls. It's a secondary benefit but I think it proves not just practicality but improving democracy. We're seeing candidates reaching out to more voters because when you know you're going to need supporters of your opponents' second and third choices to be elected, you are incentivized to reach out beyond your base to bring people into the fold of your campaign. In addition to that, we're seeing more civil campaigning, as you can imagine with that. If you're bashing your opponents, you're less likely to get their supporters' second choices, and in some of these cities where we're seeing this, we're seeing much more issue-focused campaigns, rather than kind of mudslinging, which can be very frustrating, we're seeing very civil, issue-focused debates. So I'll kind of leave it there, but if there's any questions, I will be happy to answer.

MR. PERALES: Thank you.
I've a question or two. Do you see instant
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runoff or ranked choice voting, the purpose of that primarily to deal with elections and runoff elections where there's low turnout?

FEMALE SPEAKER: There's been various
reasons why cities have moved forward because they have nonpartisan elections. Others because they have runoffs. So, San Francisco, as an example, eliminated a runoff by adopting the instant runoff voting, and has saved millions of dollars at this point by not having to run that election, while also seeing increases in representation of people in color and women. So it kind of has two-fold benefits in terms of representation and cost savings, but largely, cities have found a secondary election to be unnecessary and looked for alternatives, and instant runoffs have come up.

MR. SCISSURA: Let me turn it around and ask it another way. Do you think it's an appropriate solution in a situation where there is a very high turnout runoff election?

FEMALE SPEAKER: I don't know if there are very high turnout runoff elections, but if it were the case, I would say that that -- I mean, if the system is working, possibly, but looking
at the turnout data that I've seen in this city --

MR. SCISSURA: So if I know of a type of runoff election where there's a high turnout. Runoff elections for mayor in the City of New York.

FEMALE SPEAKER: Sure.
MR. SCISSURA: Which have historically had record high runoffs. And so that's why I'm asking the question, as to whether $I$ understand the argument for some offices, where we have a visibly low turnout, but in those few situations where we have had mayoral runoffs, we've had record-high turnout, and so I wonder about the need or appropriateness of this remedy for that election.

FEMALE SPEAKER: I mean, I think the commission could consider all the angles if there are offices where the system currently is working, then that's a consideration you have to make. It's your City and you understand your elections. I would say in other offices where you are seeing turnout that is extremely low, it's definitely something to consider. So other cities have carved out, you know, some offices
are elected with this system, others aren't, and that's perfectly easy to navigate on a ballot if need be, and so that's a consideration to make.

MS. WEISER: I have two questions, one is a follow-up. Does FairVote or do you have a recommendation of my question as to which offices are appropriate in New York for this, or is that not part of your recommendation at this point? FEMALE SPEAKER: I would say it's probably not part of our recommendation at this point. I think we want to have a robust conversation. We have a lot of activists on the ground that we talk to and work with, and I really would think we would want it to come from them. I just wanted to speak on our behalf of the expertise that we have, so I think that's a discussion that at least for now, we would not have an official position on.

MS. WEISER: And then the other question I have is on the examples of how it's working in other cities, does -- are the cities that are using some form of instant runoff voting, do they have City elections separate from other elections or are there any that do it at the same time as there are, you know, statewide or federal
elections as well?
FEMALE SPEAKER: Both would be the answer. So Minneapolis and Saint Paul have their elections in off years, whereas Oakland, San Francisco, and other cities in the Bay Area have theirs during on-year elections. So it's been -it's been done both ways and it's been pretty successful in both situation.

MS. WEISER: Thank you.
MR. SCISSURA: Thank you. You mentioned some cities that do nonpartisan elections. Does your group have a position on that?

FEMALE SPEAKER: We don't really take a position. Cities construct their elections how they need to. We just want to make sure that systems are in place to ensure every vote matters, and some -- in cities with nonpartisan elections with however they do it, Santa Fe is actually a great example. They just switched to instant runoff, and they have one round of nonpartisan election where, if five candidates ran, somebody could be elected with 23 percent. So they changed to this and they now have a system that functions better. They have that kind of majority component so that you're hearing
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kind of where the consensus is without having somebody win with a small base being mobilized. And there's a whole line of strategic voting on that.

MR. SCISSURA: And you would not advocate for eliminating runoffs?

FEMALE SPEAKER: With voiceable voting, I would, but $I$ would say that this is a system that could combine those two elections and get you the same results.

MALE SPEAKER: Great. Thank you.
MR. PERALES: Anyone else?
Thank you very much.
FEMALE SPEAKER: Thank you (applause).
MR. PERALES: David Cowlick?
MR. COWLICK: Thank you. I am David Cowlick. I am a deputy director of the Fiscal Policy Institute. I'm also director of immigration research, and I have to first of all say I'm so pleased to be here. It's great to see everybody. It's great to see people so engaged, so I hope that your commission figures out ways to continue this into the election process.

I also wanted to speak in favor of the idea of instant runoff voting. Since I've come here
from the Fiscal Policy Institute, you may expect me to talk about the fiscal benefits, which I will, but $I$ think that's not the real reason to support or not to support this. You know, I think there are fiscal benefits. You do save a lot of money by not having runoff elections. It can cost $\$ 10$ million to have runoff elections, but if -- if that $\$ 10$ million were in support of greater democracy, better representation, I would be in favor of spending it. I think this is a rare instance where you can save money and also improve the democracy along the way.

I was going to say some things about low turnout elections and obviously, that's a big challenge and with the answer to have an instant runoff is you get the full electorate to participate, but since you've brought it up, let me also say I think that there are some big advantages, even in the high turnout elections, even especially in the high turnout elections, one of the advantages of ranked choice voting or instant runoff voting, is that you change the dynamics of what it's like to be in the campaign. So instead of -- so if you have a number of different candidates running, rather than running
off some and saying, okay, well, this person is going to win in this district, and this person is going to run among this constituency, and so I'm going to focus on where $I$ come in, right, you have a number of different candidates who are saying, well, okay, that's probably the way it is. Because, you know, they're very strong in that place, but at the same time, those people are going to have to make a second choice and I want those people to make the second choice me. So you know, if you're running in one neighborhood really strong, I think that really does have an effect on how people are likely to run; and I think it does not just affect the election you're running at that time, but over the longer term in building constituencies together to be able to form sort of what the next election is going to look like, as well as, you know, I think that you see, you know, people -in the low turnout elections, you see low turnout. You see a skewed turnout, right, it's a change in what the electorate looks like, and you also see people turned off to the election when their candidate is out. They say, well, there's some bitterness often, and not just from that
particular person, but for the whole sort of constituency.

So, right, I think it is true that in other -- in other locations as Grace just said, that the experience has been that more people of color are elected, more women are elected. It's more possible to run as an additional candidate because you're not at risk of seeming like a spoiler, right? You can come into the election and you can be somebody who is building a constituency. In fact, as we've seen often, people get drawn in, you know, people are not voting all together in any election. They're drawn in when they see somebody they're really, really excited about. And I think that can be something that can serve not necessarily to get that person elected always, but to get that person's people to vote for somebody else as well.

So, as I said, I think the fact that you saved maybe $\$ 10$ million for each time you don't have to have a runoff election is certainly an advantage. You could have a bunch of after-school programs and, you know, you could do a lot with that. But I think that that's not the
reason person to support it. I think it's really an additional benefit, you could say, to having a system like that.

MR. PERALES: Just let me -- one follow-up. I'm very interested in increasing turnout, getting more people to vote. Are you suggesting that if you have instant runoffs or ranked voting, that someone who says, "I'm not going to vote because my candidate can't possibly win, might be more inclined to vote?

MR. COWLICK: I think so. Also a candidate who is running so you might have both more people running to represent the different constituencies, and also, those people who are going to try hopefully be part of the system, you know, okay, vote for me because I represent what you're saying or what you are, what you want to see in office, but if I don't happen to win, vote for this as your next choice. That's going to -and then you have that dynamic of the various people running who all are going to be angling for who that next choice is going to be.

MR. PERALES: Anyone else have a comment? MS. WEISER: I just wanted to follow up on that last. Have there been, in the places that
have had instant runoff voting, a documented increase in the number of candidates? And then the second question is also, does the turnout increase both at the runoff stage and -- I mean, it's one stage, but are you also saying there's greater turnout in the underlying election as well?

MR. COWLICK: I think so. I'll leave that to the FairVote people to say for sure. Right?

FEMALE SPEAKER: Yes.
MR. COWLICK: I think there has been an increase in turnout. I'm not for sure who the people are. I guess it's hard to tell, you know, every election is unique, and there has been a lot of change in how people have run for the New York City races, for reasons not related to that, right, but I think you have seen, certainly, very nicely documented examples in Minneapolis of people who -- the situation I was talking about where people who did better than they probably would have if they were, you know, if they were to be the sort of third or fourth candidate. Maybe they wouldn't have even run if they hadn't seen the possibility of running that way, but also, like, they build a constituency. They
built their own name, but they also built some sense of power in that constituency within the election, when otherwise people would not have voted at all.

MR. PERALES: Let me ask Mrs. Ramsey to provide us data about the increase in voter participation. That is of interest to us and if you think that the instant runoff does improve turnout, we would like to see it.

MR. COWLICK: Thank you very much.
MS. RAMSEY: Absolutely.
MR. PERALES: Thank you very much.
I can read the first name as Aluso. Is there somebody by the name of Aluso here? They may have left.

Leah James?
MS. JAMES: Good evening.
My name is Leah James. I have worked here in the Bronx, well, five boroughs over the last 25 years. I just have some suggestions, voting engagement and turnouts. So I'm speaking on the grass roots at a community level. Bringing up and transforming the community boards, and I'm thinking that, can community boards have urban planning committees? We see a lot of rezoning
happening in the Bronx where City planning brings its designs to the community. Is there a way community boards can do a needs assessment in their own districts and say what they would like to change and bring it to City planning themselves. And also, I'm noticing in some community boards, the members don't reflect the community.

Is there a way that community boards can be mandated, meaning -- that's not the right word -but have five business owners in the district, homeowners, NYCHA residents, stuff like that. I think a community board and there are no NYCHA residents on the board. So I'm just wondering, is it -- our charter is able to refine and change and upgrade the community boards to reflect the communities that they're supposed to represent?

MALE SPEAKER: First of all, thank you for being here. You know, I was on the Charter Commission eight years ago and one of the things we had heard was something you brought up, so you're really thinking of something positive, which is that if a community board is to focus on land use, which is one of their biggest roles, that the City should provide as part of the
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budget a specialist in land use, so that every community board should have somebody that understands land use full time working there, which is pretty good.

The other thing you brought up is something that -- and so I spent five years as chief of staff to Brooklyn Borough President Marty Markowitz, and one of my jobs -- you know Marty.

MS. JAMES: I do.
MALE SPEAKER: And one of my jobs was to do the community board appointments, to oversee that and we always said, you know, there were some districts that have large NYCHA populations.

MS. JAMES: Right.
MALE SPEAKER: How do we not have residents from NYCHA?

MS. JAMES: Exactly.
MALE SPEAKER: There are some districts that have large business districts. How do you not have a small business owner or two or three or four?

MS. JAMES: Right.
MALE SPEAKER: So I think that is something I know I am personally very interested in, not abolishing community boards, not changing them,
not making them independent because they are actually independent, but how do you make them better and I think your two suggestions interest me a lot.

MS. JAMES: Because I know that when it's time to get voters, people like to vote to large institutions, residential complexes. You go to NYCHA, right, but some of the residents don't have political education, right? So if the community boards can be an outlet and educate, this is my politician or, like you said, if the representative is not for that community or not, how would they know, you know, so, and another thing that I stumbled across is that we -- I've been trying to get youth to be on the community board, right? And there's not a space for youth. You have an education for youth committee, but there is no youth on the committee. When we got some youth to apply, you know, because you just want to see how the meetings were, they had to stay until, like, 9 to 10 o'clock, and their parents are not playing that, you know? So is there a timeframe -- I mean, maybe this is a very precedent question, but is there an outlet of youth, especially with all of this happening in the school system?

MALE SPEAKER: So I can tell you that the Manhattan Borough President has done a good job in including members of younger people, but there's definitely something to look at there.

MS. JAMES: That's just my suggestions.
MS. CLARKE: I think that all of what you said made sense, because community boards should reflect the community, and the residents of the community including our younger people because they also have a lot of understanding of the community. And I am interested in how we get a youth on and how you would, you know, make it -make sure that that young person can be at a board meeting and get home on time to do homework.

MS. JAMES: That's right. That's right.
MS. CLARKE: You know, so if you have this recommendation --

MS. JAMES: I do.
MS. CLARKE: -- and you want to put it in writing, please send to us and we will be very interested.

MS. JAMES: I will. Thank you (applause).
MR. PERALES: Gaflinda Dowling?
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MALE SPEAKER: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. I have a little bit of an accent, but if you listen to me carefully you will understand what I'm saying. I'm here about Uber and Lyft, to testify to that Uber and Lyft, they -- we work for them now. We are the one that are doing the job, but we not getting the money. They were the one getting the money. It takes a lot of commission out of our money. We should make it difficult for us to live in this City that we love to live in, and it takes their Uber fee or Lyft fee and they take the blank out form and also take the sales tax which I don't have a problem with because I want to pay my tax, but the blank out form, that is like a white conversation when something happens to you, the term you're now working that you use that money to pay you while you are working. If something happen, you don't get that money, and on top of that too, what about if you drive for one, two, three years, you don't got into an accident, what happened with that money? Why you the driver, that money is kept for you just in case something happen to you, you should get some of that money back. You drive for many years and don't get
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into no trouble, is there any way the City can do something about that? So then the drivers can get some of this money back?

MR. PERALES: With all due respect, let me cut you off and tell you that we as a commission have no involvement with Uber or Lyft, or even the Taxi and Limousine Commission. I would urge you to talk to your City Council representative where you live. I don't know who it is, but it's the City Council that can pass legislation, would you say that's true?

MS. CLARKE: Mm-hmm, that's true.
MR. PERALES: You want to say something?
MR. CARRION: So are you referencing the -I know there's a bill that has been brought up in the City Council -- is that what you're referencing, regarding the fee that Uber and Lyft drivers would have to pay? The yearly fee.

MALE SPEAKER: Yes.
MR. CARRION: Okay. That is a City Council -- a City Council matter. I know there was a hearing on that today.

MALE SPEAKER: Okay.
MR. PERALES: But I do appreciate you coming here.

MALE SPEAKER: Yes, and I also want to talk about housing. I don't know if you guys have something to do with the housing, so.

MR. PERALES: You know, we constantly hear about housing and the need for more housing and affordable housing, but we in the City Charter doesn't speak directly to the issue of housing. So that's, again, something that your City Council representative could help you with.

MALE SPEAKER: Okay.
MR. PERALES: We all agree?
MS. CLARKE: Yes.
MALE SPEAKER: Thank you.
MR. PERALES: Thank you for coming. We appreciate it.

MALE SPEAKER: You're welcome.
MR. PERALES: Engado Aboiuz from the African Advisory Council.

MR. ABOIUZ: Yes.
MR. PERALES: Since I mispronounce
perhaps --
MR. ABOIUZ: Yes, yes, yes. Couple of things. I'm not sure if this going to fall into this commission, but I got to mention it anyhow. One is the car insurance. The south Bronx in the
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70's was considered to be the high risk area, meaning, to buy a car, even in the 90s, when I bought my new Pathfinder, the insurance was \$4,000. That was fine, because there was a lot of crime at that time. We have changed. Things things have gone better. There's no crime but no one ever went to revise the fee. Somebody living up on Fordham Road, about $\$ 500$ different than somebody who lived on 149th Street. I think this is something that we should really, that we should look into, as to the City Council, anybody I spoke to said it's a state issue but someone's going to have to --

MR. PERALES: It is a state issue. The State Insurance Department. I am afraid we have absolutely nothing to do with it, even less than housing. So.

MR. ABOIUZ: I'll move on to my next thing.
MR. PERALES: Okay.
MR. ABOIUZ: We have also the Board of Education. There is a problem that I think it should really be considered. Given an example, the Bronx has the largest concentration of African descent. The schools in the Bronx do not have a teacher -- African teacher. Now -- and

## PUBLIC HEARING

there is a problem of culture in the school, which will always go on -- the teacher always pull our kids. They don't listen, disobeying our orders, because in Africa the child don't look the parent in the eye when talking to him. Just because a student is not looking at the eye of the teacher while talking to him, you consider that the child is not listening. In our culture you will never see a child -- I'm talking to my son. Things have changed here but in Africa the child will look down. That does not mean that he is not listening to you. He is listening with his ears. I don't know if in America the kids listen with their eyes. We listen with the ears. So you're talking to your child, and for that reason, teachers get upset, send the kid to the office, and that creates a problem. Our kids always getting detention just because of that matter, and I think that the school -- the board of education should consider those things.

On the second thing, in terms of health. A couple of years ago we had Ebola. In America we hear -- people hear about Ebola but it was happening over there, across the river, across the pond, so nobody bothered until it started at
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home. People went like, somebody dropped a bomb in our country. We travel -- Africans travel to Africa very often. It take a vaccination to go there. The vaccination, the insurance company don't cover. It takes about $\$ 400$ for a parent to get all the shots to go vacation in Africa. So now, if a parent does not do that and find a way to get to travel without it, goes there and catches malaria and comes back here, goes to public hospital, and get treated for free. That's about \$10,000 about there. You don't have to be a rocket scientist to understand that \$400 --

MR. PERALES: Again, I'm going to have to be a terrible person and tell you that that's really an insurance question. Health insurance.

MR. ABOIUZ: Well, HHC isn't part of the City?

MR. PERALES: Yes, but HHC can't determine whether or not you're going to get reimbursed money from your insurance company to pay to get your immunization.

MR. ABOIUZ: Well, again --
MR. PERALES: HHC is taking care of whoever gets sick.

MR. ABOIUZ: Under public funding, do we not pay for that?

MR. PERALES: Yes, but --
MR. ABOIUZ: That could be prevented if we admit that into the coverage which is --

MR. PERALES: I understand, but we have nothing to do with that.

MR. ABOIUZ: All right. I'll move on to my next thing.

MR. PERALES: I'm glad you have a long list.
MR. ABOIUZ: There's not that many, but I'm trying to see. I think we should have an African commission in the City here to deal with our population. We have too many issues that nobody listens to us. I think that if we can have a commission, because nobody can look into your problem and put it on yourself, so I think we should be able to address our own issues and we can include that, the communication. For instance, if you look into a cab driver for instance, we have tons of Africans that drive cab in this City. I can pretty much say 40 percent of them. But we have no representation. So I think communication can be clear, we can all -when it comes to the TSE -- when it's time to
vote for the situation, we're not included. So I think this is going to make a difference than just keep jumping on the stuff if I may have, it can be better.

MS. CLARKE: Mr. Chairman, my name is Una Clarke, and I'm just going to give you advice. I'm an immigrant from the Caribbean, and when we wanted to import ourselves, we went to every church and every place that we could go to get our people to become citizens, and register and vote. So when the time is right, somebody can advance a person from your community who would run for office, because there's no way somebody is going to give you a commission and a voice and a mouth unless you can vote.

I just want to let you know that citizenship and those who can -- those who can vote should vote for those who cannot vote, because at this point when we look at all of what's happening in Washington, we have to educate people who are immigrants. Diversity in the classroom and diversity in the teachers. We can look at that, but this is not the forum in which all of that is being addressed. But you should -- since you have African organization, you need to be able to
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speak to the chancellor of the board of education about cultural diversity and what it means, what your customs and traditions are, and how they're to be respected. We do that and if we didn't do that in the Caribbean-American community, we wouldn't have a voice today.

Out of my trial and error, my daughter is in Congress. So organize, organize, educate and educate. Don't complain, just work.

MR. ABOIUZ: No, this is not a complaint, again --

MS. CLARKE: You're doing a good job, but I'm just saying, part of what you need to do now is organize your community. Those who are registered to vote, you make sure that they get out and vote, and those who are eligible to become citizens, let them know they're not going to go back to the motherland, as I call Africa. They must stay here and make their contribution to the community of which they are a part. And if you need me to come, I'll surly.

MR. ABOIUZ: Sure, I invite you.
MS. CLARKE: If you want me to come, I'll come. Because I'm an immigrant and I just love immigrant communities. I just want to empower
communities, and you are on the right track, but you are in the wrong forum.

MR. ABOIUZ: But at least somebody heard me. I wouldn't have got you if I didn't come here, so thank you.

MR. PERALES: I think we all heard you.
MR. ABOIUZ: Thank you.
MR. PERALES: I'm having a little trouble reading this name, but it's someone from the African People's Alliance. There you are. So you're going to come and pronounce your name for me, will you.

FEMALE SPEAKER: Yes, the guy with the golden crown on. I guess I'll just allow you to come.

MR. DECIPHINA: (Indicating).
MR. PERALES: And your name, sir?
MR. DECIPHINA: My name is Jemaine Deciphina. I am the president of the African People Alliance. I'm glad that my predecessor, Mr. Ambrose, has already tackled my main concern, which you were positively answered, which is to have African community part of the commission. You know, our community is getting huge, and especially with youths, we are so concerned about
them, we push them to school and eventually that we went to schools, that we want them to have role models. This is important. We want them to see some folks in front of them that they can look up to. So one way or another, we need your help. And I'm glad that the previous commissioners, which I was there too. So I hope that all I've said today is taken into consideration and get updated for the good cause of everybody.

And I also want to touch on the case of the police brutality.

I travel a lot, and I end up here. But all I have been seeing when police want to do something with individuals, they just kill. Why not talk to somebody and then later take the person to the justice? What -- I remember when my junior brother wanted to join law enforcement in my country, my mother told him never care. At least just finish him and arrest that person, never care. So I'm here. Easily, police just care -- police protect themselves more than the citizens. That's why I'm surprised. So I wish you could look at that and let police, you know, whether shot in the arms or legs, to just
stabilize the criminal, instead of killing people like animals. That's one thing.

And another one is City ID. The -- how you call it?

MALE SPEAKER: NYCID.
MR. DECIPHINA: NYCID. That is so limited. As the president of the second largest community in the Bronx, I will give you if you could help us make that City to become, you know, driver's license. It can be driver license, one way or another. Most of our people when they come here are already over 18 years old. They already driving a car. So when they come here, they come with their driver's license. If that driver's license can be converted, that's another thing. So I'm urging the commission to also look upon that, and I think Mr. Ambrose already tackled the issue which I'm so proud of. African People Alliance is an organization that most likely works on our culture position and make our culture exhibition as well. We have youth, you know, help youth to do sports, play soccer, and all legal assistance we can give to our community. So we need your help, we need to shape up so we can be a better community.

Thank you very much.
MR. PERALES: Thank you.
Denise Green?
Denise may have left us.
Michael Beltzer?
MR. CARRION: Beltzer. Like bouncer.
MR. PERALES: All right. Come on down.
MR. BELTZER: Good evening. My name is Michael Beltzer. I'm a community advocate and a former candidate for City Council, and today, I am -- let me just get this going, sorry.

Today, I'm here to speak about the New York City Charter. The New York City Charter, especially since New York City moved to a strong-term mayor governance in 1989, has left large gaps of power and large gaps in the amount of power and input on how the tax dollars are spent and how their City is run. And in our current environment, this is exasperating inequality by giving developers a leg up on our community, not seizing every opportunity for civic and political engagement, and gives the administration too much control on how to allocate our resources.

I have trust that the members of this
commission will seriously examine the following chapters and sections of the charter and change accordingly.

Section 197A. Amendment to require each community district working with the respective borough president and community board to present a district-wide community plan with the help of a dedicated planner once every ten years.

Section 197C. A man to mandate a more accountable precertification process. The name of an applicant shall be made public if multiple major revisions have occurred. Plans that a well-rounded character, even as a right should also enter the public realm.

Sections 102 and 211. We're told to take new development to generate tax revenue, but the formula to set these sections uses preset calculations and allocates expensive capital funds on population and size, not on economic activity.

I suggest either a percentage of total receipts to the general fund from each borough be remitted back, or a new tax levy charge that would go to a dedicated borough fund.

Section 1052. Amendment to have the
chairperson of the Campaign Finance Board picked by the speaker in consultation with the council.

Section 2800. Make all board meetings transmitted via live stream. I'll also have more testimony and be back at a later date on community board reform.

Chapter 10. Amend appropriate sections to mandate PBNYC for each council district and a similar program at the borough or City-wide level.

We also have Chapter 1, Chapter 12. Looking at the possibility of establishing a service drafted transit seeking fund. I don't know if it's possible.

And also Chapter 71. Establishing a standard for equitable street allocations for arterial and secondary roadways.

And I didn't have enough time to parse through the charter on electoral reform, but I stand for, and many people do stand for nonpartisan elections, instant runoff voting, a lift on the cap for matching funds from 55 percent to 100 percent of the spending limit.

And I thank you for your time today.
MR. PERALES: I for one appreciate that you
took the time to identify the particular parts of the Charter that you want us to look at, as you make these recommendations.

MR. PERALES: Anyone else want to make a comment?

MS. CLARKE: I -- I think that as a former candidate for City Council, that you understand the politics of it all.

MR. BELTZER: Right.
MS. CLARKE: And because you understand the politics of it all, that you know, your recommendations are in keeping with your beliefs and in how you think that the City Council will be more effective and then Charter would then, in many ways certify or agree with all of what you've just put in.

MR. BELTZER: Well, it's not just I. It's also, you know, a lot of the work and different -- over the years of what people have expressed, different community groups, different people in the community. That really informs my position. And I don't know if the commission could look at something, but we have council candidates and people running for office, and there's one winner and everyone else is the loser. In that process,
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the losers learned a lot of information about the community, they learned the different issues and what people really want to prioritize, and I don't feel like the City does anything with that information. You know, why is it that only the winner is the one who gets to make that community better or like, you know, that that -- there -the -- their issues that they're going to champion are the only ones that are heard. Like, we're -- in the race that $I$ was in, $I$ mean there's over half a million dollars spent. And that's a lot of people engaged. What is the City doing to extract any value out of that? I mean, we're giving out all this money in public funds and then, you know, most of the people never get heard from or nothing is acted on from all that energy again. So I really challenge you all to think about how that could happen. Maybe there could be some kind of debriefing or review process that could occur, so that there could be better alignment of the needs and priorities of the community regardless if the candidates won or not.

MS. CLARKE: And because -- and because we're looking at how to deepen the democracy and
to get more participation. As a former candidate, I hope you will stay engaged and educate the community about what the democracy is all about, why one wins and the other loses, and that we support your community --

MR. BELTZER: Right.
MS. CLARKE: -- after the fight, but then, we participate in all of what happens in the community and that is in educating voters.

MR. BELTZER: Right, right and I think the live streaming, this is on my page, going to about 2,000 people in the district. So you know, this -- that's what I hope the community boards and every single City agency and public meeting can turn into and you know, we definitely need to keep engaged and stay involved, and that why I'm here. And I really appreciate everyone's involvement in this.

MS. CLARKE: Thank you for coming.
MR. SIEGAL: I have a question. Your
presentation had so much in it that I probably missed a lot, but one line that you had and I wanted to see if you had thought about in any more detail, was the notion that there should be disclosure to community boards of major
developments that are being planned or built, right?

MR. BELTZER: Right.
MR. SIEGAL: Do you have any further thoughts on what would trigger that? How it could be mandated or how that could be done?

MR. BELTZER: So to me, you know, the developers are building within the guidelines that are set before them and the zoning specs and -- but when there's a project as of right that's just, like, so far outside of the scope of anything surrounding it; I think something like that would be helpful if the community, or if it was -- it was brought to the public that hey, this is, like, a real large project that's coming in, where we're in the process of getting them into certification, but we wanted to let you know the name of the -- the developer or the group that's managing the project. So that conversation can be started. I know some council members would like this process so they could put in their on their own user to possibly down zone. I don't really have an opinion on that. I just like that -- if we're making -- that if a developer is coming in and really going to alter
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massively, even within the as of right, but there's nothing really around it, $I$ feel like the community should give it -- be given a heads-up so that they can -- the developer and the community could be like, "hey, we're going to start with a project that's more in line with the community," because right now, as you all know, when it goes to certification, it's usually the biggest project that can possibly happen, and then we get this short amount of time to whittle it down. I think when we have, you know, these much larger projects than anybody would ever imagine, just having left it as of right coming in, that the public deserves to know.

MR. PERALES: Anyone else?
Thank you very, very much. I appreciate it. I think that exhausts the list of people who wanted to speak to us. I do want to affirm our continued commitment to hear from the public and I really want people who have not had an opportunity yet, or who are thinking about questions, to communicate them to us at your earliest convenience. It is important to us. I don't know if anyone else in our panel would like to say anything else about this
evening's hearing? If not, I will entertain a motion to adjourn.

MR. SCISSURA: Motion to adjourn.
MR. PERALES: Do I hear a second? MS. WEISER: I second. MR. PERALES: All those in favor? THE BOARD: I. MR. PERALES: Opposed? Hearing none, motion is carried and we're adjourned. (Whereupon, at 8:16 P.M., the above matter concluded.)
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