

MR. PERALES: Good evening. Am I being heard? Again, good evening. I'm delighted to be here in Staten Island for the first public hearing of the 2018 Charter Revision Commission. Before we get into the substance of what we're doing, I would like to call on our host Commissioner Mendy Mirocznik to say a word or two. Mendy.

MR. MIROCZNIK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you honorable committee. Thank you audience public who are here this evening. Staten Island is a wonderful place. It's a passionate community with hardworking people who care about community, family, society and major issues. And tonight we see a nice turnout of the public who take civics very seriously. I served as the president of COJO, which is the umbrella organization for the Jewish community. And besides working within our community, we do interfaith outreach work. We bridge all the communities together. And on behalf of Staten Island and COJO and the JCC which serves as a board member, we thank you Mr. Chairman. We thank you honorable committee for coming out to my island tonight. Thanks everybody.

MR. PERALES: And we thank you for not just hosting us tonight, but for agreeing to serve on the commission. I know that the Mayor was very pleased. I'm going to ask the commissioners to introduce themselves. Why don't I start with Marco on that end if I can. Tell the audience what you do for a living or something.

MR. CARRION: Sure. Good evening everyone. My name is Marco Carrion and I currently serve as commissioner of the Mayor's community affairs unit.

MS. GREENBERGER: Good evening. I'm Sharon Greenberger. I'm the president and CEO of the YMCA of Greater New York.

MS. SEECHARRAN: Hello and good evening. My name is Annetta Seecharran. I'm the executive director of CIA Community Development Corporation.

MR. MIROCZNIK: It's Mendy Mirocznik again. Good evening everybody.

MR. SCISSURA: Good evening. Carlo Scissura. President and CEO of the New York Building Congress.

MR. BRAGG: Good evening. I'm Kyle Bragg. I'm the secretary and treasurer of 165,000 member

SEIU 32BJ Property Service Union.
MR. PERALES: I failed to introduce myself. I'm Cesar Perales. I'm honored to serve as chair of the commission. I recently stepped down as secretary of State of New York. But I've had a number of positions in both government and civil rights as an advocate.

MR. SIEGAL: Good evening. My name is John Siegal. I'm a practicing lawyer in Manhattan. Partner in the law firm of Baker and Hostetler.

MS. CLARKE: Good evening. I'm Una Clarke. I am current trustee for the City University of New York. A former member of the New York City Council and pleased to able to serve on this commission. But formerly I was the educational consultant for Staten Island. So all of your head start, I was the chief trainer.

MR. HO: Good evening. My name is Dale Ho. I currently serve as the director of the ACLU voting rights project.

MS. WEISER: Hello. I am Wendy Weiser. I am the director of the democracy program at the Brennan Center for Justice at NYU School of Law. MS. ANGELO: I'm Larian Angelo. I'm a former budget person for the mayor and for the

City Council. And I am currently a research fellow of the institute for state and local governance and a proud graduate of Curtis High School.

MR. PERALES: Will Commissioner Fernandez introduce yourself.

MS. FERNANDEZ: Good evening. Apologies for my tardiness. My name is Angela Fernandez. I'm the executive director and supervising attorney of the Northern Manhattan Coalition for Immigrant Rights. I also serve as a mayor's designee on the CCRB, Civilian Complaint Review Board.

MR. PERALES: It is clear to me that we have a quorum. We're almost all here, which rarely happens. So I think it's a reflection of our interest in hearing from the folks in Staten Island.

Just so you'll understand what our role is, the mayor of the City of New York who served as a mayor has the opportunity to appoint a commission, which they do every several years, to review the city charter. That's the city's constitution in essence. And our role is to listen to the people who come to our hearings and who write us questions and to talk to experts and
to come up with recommendations for amending the city charter. Those recommendations are then presented to the citizens in November when they vote. So that we recommend and ultimately you the citizens of our city decide whether or not you will adopt our recommendations to revise or amend the charter.

Having said that, we review the entire charter. Everything that is in the charter. But every mayor has a particular interest. Mayor De Blasio is interested in how our democracy works, how our elections work. And he's looking to us to engage you on questions of how our elections ought to be held. How much money should be involved. How could we get more people to vote. Those issues are of particular interest, and we're hoping to learn as much as we can about how to make our city more democratic.

For the record, we have conducted extensive outreach in advance of this meeting. We have filed all the legal notices. We've posted public notices in the city record. Posted hearing notices on our own website. And we've sent notices to media outlets, not just to Staten Island, but throughout the city. We are now on

Facebook and Twitter. You can follow us on Twitter at NYCCharter. And rest assured we're going to continue to ensure that we get as much outreach as possible. As an example, we've already posted a video of our first organizational meeting. It is now available on our website. We also have sign language interpreters. And for those who need it, we have Spanish language interpreters. I want to thank the staff. I'll just run through their names to assure you that they are very important to our function. Candace Cho, Josh Stitz, Sabrina Fong, Christine Billing, Aaron Bloom, Quami Acuasha, Essence Franklin, Michael Shacki and Bruce Thomas.

We're going to have four other meetings after this. We're going to in the Bronx at the Bronx Community College. In Queens, Queens library in Flushing. Brooklyn at the Botanical Garden in Brooklyn and in Manhattan at the New York Public Library. We're also hoping to hold neighborhood meetings after the first round of what we call our borough hearings. I don't want to go through the rest of the schedule. It is available on our website.

I'm looking forward to hearing testimony. What we're going to do is, we're going to call three at a time. You'll come and take the chairs there and we will hear from each of you. Alex Camarda from the Reinvent Albany. Linda Baran from the Staten Island Chamber of Commerce, and Mary Bourne from the Housing Dignity Coalition. If you'll come forward. You have the floor.

MR. CAMARDA: Good evening. I just want to make sure this is on. Good evening Chair Perales and members of the charter revision commission. My name is Alex Camarda. I'm the senior policy advisor for Reinvent Albany. Reinvent Albany advocates for transparency and accountability in state government. We are the leading champions for transparency of New York City government, particularly the freedom of information law and open data. We will present detailed recommendations at future hearings of the commission, but wanted to comment tonight on two overarching issues as the commission begins its work.

First is the scope of the work that the commission takes on. The second when changes to
campaign finance law should become effective. So first I'm going to talk about the commission scope of work. As was mentioned, the municipal home rule law requires the commission review the entirety of the city charter. Although Mayor De Blasio has requested the commission particularly focus on campaign finance reform and increasing public funding of campaigns. The good news is that the city's campaign finance system, 30 years in the making, is a model for governments across the country. We support changes to improve the city's already strong campaign finance system. But New York City has a ways to go before it curbs the perception of an undue influence of money on government decision making. As the city's campaign finance system has gotten stronger over the years, money has flowed outside of the system. Today we believe the fastest growing area of outside influence, and in some instances corruption risk, is from people doing business for seeking favors from government contributing to nonprofit organizations affiliated with government. We ask the commission to take a close look at the large unregulated contributions. In some instances
over a million dollars and often tens of thousands given by companies, individuals and others doing business with the city to the city affiliated nonprofits, city agencies and other city offices. We believe there are well over a hundred city affiliated nonprofits. However, there's no public listing. We ask the commission to consider significantly restricting donations to city affiliated nonprofits and city agencies by companies and individuals doing business with the city, and greatly increase the transparency of these donations. Currently there's not nearly enough transparency for contributions to nonprofits tied to city government. Contributions of $\$ 5,000$ or more are disclosed every six months. And the amounts reported are provided in seven broad ranges rather than the actual amount of the donation. In some instances contributions are not disclosed by agencies to the public at all. There's a separate process for making contributions anonymous. Additionally, the conflicts of interest board, which regulates this, releases the information in a very large 500 plus page PDF instead of a tabular form that can be reviewed in a
spreadsheet. Thereby making it very difficult for outside groups and journalists to analyze the donations. We also urge the commission to review conflicts of interest potentially created when city officials help fund raise for city affiliated and unaffiliated nonprofits and also city agencies. The current restrictions on fund raising for city agencies, city affiliated nonprofits and unaffiliated nonprofits are nuanced and complicated, but ultimately too permissive and very challenging to enforce. Reinvent Albany is particularly concerned about the role of volunteer fundraisers for city affiliated nonprofits who are not subject to conflicts of interest board laws or advisory opinions. Second issue I wanted to go over was the effective dates of any campaign finance reform. We expect the commission will propose changes to the campaign finance system, given the priority by the Mayor. We ask the commission to keep in mind the unprecedented administrative challenges faced by the campaign finance board in 2021, the next regular city election. 41 council seats and all citywide borough wide offices will be vacant. We anticipate as many as 300 to 400
candidates are expected to run for office, which will require the campaign finance board to provide extensive candidate services and handle an enormous volume of post election audits of every campaign. Because of this extraordinary workload, Reinvent Albany recommends that major proposed changes to the city's campaign finance system made by the commission be phased in over time. This could be done by eliminating the implementation of changes to city wide offices in 2021 or in all offices in 2023. The redistricting term of two years from 2022 to 2023 limits the delay in implementing changes while reducing the burden on the campaign finance board to smoothly administer the 2021 elections. We believe it is better to implement changes smoothly when the campaign finance board has adequate time and organizational capacity rather than overwhelm the agency during an unprecedented election in 2021. There's no pressing needs to hurry changes in 2021 instead of just two years later. Thank you for the opportunity to testify this evening and for your service. And we welcome any questions you may have. MR. PERALES: I'm going to ask the
commission if they have any questions? But I just want you to clarify something for me. You are suggesting that we not change anything related to campaign financing before this coming election? I'm not sure I understood your point about making gradual changes.

MR. CAMARDA: We support improvements to the City's campaign finance law. What we're suggesting is that the effective date of those solutions, not the entire, wait for the next regular city election which is in 2021, because of the number of vacant seats and hundreds of candidates potentially running for office.

MR. PERALES: I understand. In other words, you're saying we should look at it, but not put them in place for the next election in 2021? Phase them in somehow?

MR. CAMARDA: Yes. We think maybe just making changes for citywide offices is one way of limiting the burden on the campaign finance board. And we think that because of the redistricting terms that's two years that takes place every ten years. That's actually convenient in that delaying the changes two more years in the long run doesn't matter so much. It
won't be delayed four years as it otherwise would be.

MS. FERNANDEZ: I have a question. Angela Fernandez.

How does Reinvent Albany define a city affiliated nonprofit?

MR. CAMARDA: So it's not so much how we define it. I think it's more important as to how the city defines it. And that's something that we were seeking clarity on. We've looked at this issue at some level of depth. But I think obviously a greater examination is needed by the commission. But I think that's a key issue. I think for us the affiliation means the control. So we would look for factors like elective officials or city officials choosing board members, serving as board members, providing a disproportionate amount of funding. Whether the nonprofits were carrying a governmental purpose. Whether the nonprofits are subject to some of the laws that an agency would otherwise be subject to. For example, the freedom of information law, open meetings laws, procurement practices, these are all factors we think should be looked at, if they're not already. I imagine there's an
official city definition affiliated, but I think that's a very important point, and obviously the stronger the affiliation the more concern about contributions. We are not concerned about donations to unaffiliated nonprofits by people who don't have ties to the city. And similarly we're not concerned about donations by companies or individuals to the city who do not have business with the city.

MR. PERALES: I was about to ask a followup question on your question.

Can you give me an example of an affiliated nonprofit that comes to mind?

MR. CAMARDA: Sure. We believe there's over a hundred city affiliated nonprofits.

MR. PERALES: Give me the name of one I might be familiar with.

MR. CAMARDA: Sure. The mayor's fund is an example. Another example is the -- there's -I'm forgetting the name, but there's an association tied to the NY PD. That's a major city affiliated nonprofit. There's several ties to the Parks Department.

MS. WEISER: This is Wendy Weiser. With one followup question on the city affiliated nonprofits.

Do you have recommendations relating to officeholder affiliated nonprofits separate from city affiliated ones or do you define that as part of city affiliated.

MR. CAMARDA: There was council legislation passed in recent years that we think mostly addresses elective officials' ties to nonprofits. We're really looking at the nonprofits that are tied to city agencies.

MR. SIEGAL: John Siegal. I have a question about your suggestions regarding the campaign finance board which I practice in front of a lot over several decades. I was not surprised to hear somebody argue that any changes in campaign finance law should take place following the next election. I've already been contacted by several elected officials who will be candidates in 2121 making the same suggestion, but for a different reason that they are now conducting themselves based on the expectations of current law. There's some real issues about retroactivity if we're going to change them on this cycle. But I am surprised to hear you say this is administratively necessary for the campaign
finance board. Its audit function takes place largely after the next election. I'm not clear on what you think they can't implement. If we were to change, for example, the contribution limits or any other line item, why is that hard for their auditors to do. They're just applying whatever rule is in effect as of the audit date. So I don't understand the workload argument?

MR. CAMARDA: I think you're right, for example, with contributions where that would probably pose less of a burden than other changes. There are other proposals that are put forth before the City Council that I think the changes would be a tougher task for the campaign finance board to take on. I do want to make clear, we're simply saying that the commission should consider the administrative workload. We're not saying that the commission should not put forth reforms and that some of those reforms should not take place in the next election. We're just saying that it's something to keep into account as you decide which reforms to put before the voters.

MR. PERALES: Right. One of the issues I always had with the campaign finance board is
that their resources are relatively constant during a four year cycle and need to ramp up around election years and just after election years. And certainly we should keep that in mind in terms of the impact and anything that we do we should support their ability to get the job done, which may result in other issues. So I appreciate the suggestion in that regard.

MR. CAMARDA: Thank you.
MR. PERALES: Are there any other questions? Thank you for your testimony.

MR. CAMARDA: Thank you.
MS. BARON: Good evening, Commissioner. I would like to thank Chairman Perales and the team that's up here today for listening to us tonight. I'm going to go in a little bit of a different direction.

My name is Linda Baran, I'm the president of Staten Island Chamber of Commerce. We represent about 700 businesses here with about 20,000 employees. I would like to ask that you take a comprehensive look at the charter. One of the main issues that we see here on Staten Island is the lack of local control. And that's across all five boroughs. We've had numerous situations out
here where -- we have very limited representatives. We have three City Council members. We have a borough president. I know that when the board of estimate was in place, the borough president had a lot more power. We can't get things done. I'm sure the situation is similar in other boroughs. You get a stop sign put in or a street wide without waiting an enormous amount of time. After Super Storm Sandy hit Staten Island, there were numerous recommendations from Borough Hall in terms of how to handle that situation with the actual, like, relocation of some of the housing. Five years later, some of those people are even back in their houses right now. Transportation infrastructure planning. I would like to recommend that the commission look at giving some local control back to the boroughs and also looking at a master plan for each of the boroughs. Creating some type of a situation where we can have a master plan so that we literally can look into and make recommendations for our own boroughs, because one size does not fit all. Staten Island has been left out of the five borough -- well, it's now called the five
borough ferry plan, but the fast ferries. We have limited transit options. We have a lot of development here, but we don't have the infrastructure to support it. In terms of getting more people engaged, 1 think a lot of the public hearings that we have out here, the reason that people don't come out and vote and attend some of the hearings, because they're disengaged. They feel like it doesn't make a difference if they show up to say anything. Because they feel like the powers that be in Manhattan are making the decisions and no matter what they say is not heard. Thank you very much. I appreciate the time tonight.

MR. PERALES: Let me just take the first question so $I$ understand.

When you talk about local control in the past, your borough president had more control over things like stop signs et cetera?

MS. BARAN: From what $I$ understand, this is before my day, there was a board of estimate that was in place. And the board of estimate, the borough president sat on that and had a very specific say. Now a lot of the say, especially when it comes to planning, goes through the
community board with a recommendation through the City Council. There's a process for it, but the borough president's office has become more of a cheerleader for the borough. Where legislatively they can't do much to make change.

MR. PERALES: Right.
MR. SCISSURA: Hi, Linda. I had the pleasure of working with Linda when I was at the chamber. Carlo Scissura.

I think one of the things we've heard over the years, and this was brought up in the 2010 charter review commission, was how do you strengthen maybe not necessarily the role of the borough president or the role of the council or the role of the community board. But how do you bring it more together. So one of the byproducts of the 89 big charter review was to create something called borough boards. And the borough boards were created to do exactly that. To have local input with the borough president, with the council, and with other state office holders. I think it is worth this commission looking at what is the role of the borough board? And is there a way of making that more of a locally strengthened entity? And I think this is something that we
will hear in other boroughs. I think you will hear it more in Staten Island when he come back. But it is something that I know we've commented on in our finding sections in 2010.

MR. PERALES: We have the advantages of somebody who served on the charter revision commission last time around in 2010. So I think it's useful. But thank you very much for your comments.

MR. SCISSURA: Thank you, Linda.
MR. BARAN: Thank you.
MS. BOURNE: Good evening, Commissioner. Good evening everyone. Thank you for this opportunity to testify before you. My name is Mary Bourne. I am a deacon at First Central Baptist Church and a member of the Housing Dignity Coalition. The coalition is a group of faith based leaders. Our goal is to make sure that affordable housing is maintained on Staten Island. We're currently in the process of a lot of planning for rezoning especially around the Bay Street area. And the coalition has discussed the plan that the department of city planning has setup. In discussing this with a lot of the members of our churches, we get a lot of concern
from the congregates that the prospect of being able to afford to live after this rezoning is done to afford the rent is becoming more scarce. We've met with several people in the families who already complained that landlords are already increasing rent. And as you know, there are so many families that are on this north shore that are already rent burdened. So we're really concerned about the effects of this rezoning and how it will affect our north shore families that have been here for so many years. I also want to include the fact that the public -- the use public land. And we're also concerned that public land, and we have very few pieces of public land left that's owned by the city, that they're not giving over to private developers so that they can create affordable housing. And our target is to not only have affordable housing, but deep affordable housing as this plan goes forward.

MR. PERALES: Any comments from any of the commissioners?

I think we know the issue of housing affordability is a major issue in the city. It's something we expect to hear wherever we go. But

I thank you for your testimony.
We may have a question.
MR. BRAGG: I have a question. Thank you.
Kyle Bragg.
I was wondering if there's an issue with the revitalization of current affordable housing. Is that also of equal concern and is the current affordable housing adequate for the current population?

MS. BOURNE: Well, according to the plan, the affordability is not deep enough. We're talking families that earn an income between, $I$ would say, $\$ 25,000$ and $\$ 35,000$ annually. And the plan targets families that are earning \$52,000 annually. So it leaves a pretty large percentage of the families that currently reside on the north shore.

MR. PERALES: Thank you all very much.
I'm going to call three other people to the desk. Brendon Clarke from NYPIRG. Marjorie Gardner, the organization is Black Homes is a Thing, and Kim Hinkson.

MR. CLARKE: Good evening. Thank you for holding this public hearing. My name is Brendon Clarke. I'm the project coordinator at the

College of Staten Island for New York public interest research group or NYPIRG. I'm delivering testimony on behalf of Megan Adhern, NYPIRG's program director. I will briefly review five keys ways the city can offer greater services and opportunities for voters with a passage of amendment to the city's charter. More information is provided in the printed testimony.

First establish same day voter registration for city elections. Each year just as interest in elections and candidates begins to peak potential voters fight the deadline for registering to vote has already passed. We already know that participation has fallen to shocking low levels.

MR. PERALES: Would you turn the mic to you.
MR. CLARKE: Is that better?
MR. PERALES: Much better. What did you say about getting voters to do what?

MR. CLARKE: Yes. Absolutely. The first thing is to establish same day voter registration for city elections. Each year just as the elections and interest in them is beginning to peak, potential voters find that the deadline for registering to vote has already passed. And we
all do know that voter participation in New York City has fallen to shockingly low levels. We would encourage the city to do the same day or no registration as states that have inputted them traditionally have the highest voter turn out in the country.

Second, to establish automatic registration for city elections by automatically registering eligible clients who interact with city agency databases. The system enfranchises many who might not register in time before the election. Provisions would have to be made to accommodate a dual registration system. But automatic registration is particularly important for the city due to the shortcomings that exist with the states motor voter law implementation. While voters who interact with DMV enjoy a form of automatic registration. This opportunity maybe depressed for voters in the city who hold fewer licenses. Third, implement instant runoff voting for citywide primary elections. Allowing primary voters to not only vote for the candidate of their choice, but to rank in order of preference the other candidates as well would enfranchise absentee voters. There's simply not enough time
for the board of elections to turn around and runoff absentee ballets by the election. In low turnout elections, such as a municipal runoff, is absentee voters can easily be the margin of victory or defeat. The commission should propose the implementation of the IRV for citywide municipal primaries at an absolute minimum IRVs should be introduced for all absentee ballets. Something municipal primaries for citywide positions. Fourth, establish early voting for municipal elections. NYPIRG believes early voting holds potential for increasing voter participation. One important question is whether there is an advantage for having voters cast their ballots on election day when the latest information about candidates and races is available. Another concern is whether early voting has dampened turnout for low turnout elections. We would urge the commission to study these issues and present their findings to the public before deciding on how to implement early voting for the city. And fifth, to make the city's voter guide more useful. The 1988 charter revision process established the city's motor guide. We applaud the campaign finance board for
embracing and improving the guide since then. But we suggest that the guide include district attorneys and any state legislative races occurring in the municipal election years and I urge the commission to consider acquiring the publication of a hardcopy guide for state and federal races as well. Thank you for the opportunity to testify and we look forward to the conversations on these issues.

MR. PERALES: Before I turn it over to commissioners who I know want to comment on your suggestions. There is a major issue. The board of elections is a state agency. So some of the things your recommending are very attractive to us, but we cannot implement on our own. But we're going to try to find ways to meet the goals that you're suggesting we pursue. Even though we're going to have to very carefully study the powers that the City of New York has and the city charter, and the powers of New York State board of elections. With that I'll throw it open.

Dale, did you have a question?
MR. HO: For the court reporter this is Dale Ho. I just have a question, and if you don't know the answer that's all right.

What if anything do you know about the legal authority that the city would have to have a different registration deadline from the rest of the state or an automatic registration policy just for the municipal elections or early voting just for municipal offices?

MR. CLARKE: Unfortunately I don't know the answer to that. But I can consult with our program director and get back to you with an answer.

MR. HO: No problem. Just as a practical matter. Let's take early voting for example. I think candidly as a policy matter, I'm in favor of reformed early voting registration, automatic registration. Those three in particular that you mentioned. If we had early voting for municipal elections, if someone came to vote early to cast a ballot in municipal elections, would they have to come back at -- I mean only for the municipal elections. Not for state and federal, is that your proposal?

MR. CLARKE: That's correct. That is our proposal.

MR. SCISSURA: I'm Carlo Scissura. I just wanted to clarify. I didn't hear what you said
for your fourth point. Just the first line.
MR. CLARKE: That we believe that early voting holds potential for increase in voter participation.

MR. SCISSURA: I'm intrigued by the instant runoff idea. Can you explain to me how a voter would actually cast their ballot on an instant runoff. Probably nobody in this room or some of you may remember school board elections where you go and you rank 1, 2, 3. I happen to run in one of those, and I remember you were able to cast your ballot once. You ranked an order of preference and then you left. And you never had to go back. So are you looking at a similar idea for that?

MR. CLARKE: Yes. Precisely. When an absentee voter would fill out the ballot for the first time, they would rank in order of their preference for the candidate. In an instance of a runoff, their vote would automatically go to their highest ranked candidate that's in the runoff election.

MR. SCISSURA: So when we go to vote on the machine, we would actually rank one John Smith, two Mary Smith and three et cetera.

MR. HART: That's correct, sir.
MR. PERALES: That may be something within our purview. I know that it's been discussed many times. That it was actually something that was raised with a prior commission. Preferential voting is another way of describing it. Instant runoff that is something that we are very interested in talking about. Anyone else?

MS. FERNANDEZ: Angela Fernandez. In your second point you mentioned automatic registration. But I couldn't hear exactly where that would happen or how that would happen? I'm familiar with it at the state level, but at the city level, how could that happen?

MR. CLARKE: Yeah, absolutely. It would occur when a potential voter interacts with the city agencies. For example, currently people have the opportunity to register to vote in a form of automatic voter registration when they signup for a driver's license. Unfortunately not a lot of people in the city drive and there are actually 300,000 less female driver's license holders in the states than there are men with 600,000 more women. So it does discriminate against women in some forms as well.

MS. FERNANDEZ: I guess the followup question is, what city agencies would you imagine could be the place where one could go in and say I'm going to do a particular function at a city agency and $I$ also want to register to vote.

MR. CLARKE: I don't have a specific answer for you, but I can consult with our program director and get back to you. But generally anyone is available.

MR. PERALES: How about a marriage license? You get married only if you register to vote. Anyone else with a silly comment? Someone's concerned what happens when you get divorced, do you lose your right to vote? Thank you very much.

MS. GARDNER: My name is Marjorie Gardner. I've been fighting for 40 years in a community that has practically been forgotten. I know my City Council member does everything possible that she can do to try to get the help to get a community center built in Park Hill. We have five owners of 15 buildings. 15 buildings consist of 110 apartments, and one building consist of 220 apartments. And we had nothing in that community for the children, the young
people. And I've been promised since Dave Dinkins was mayor, I'm coming to you to fight to help provide a community center in Park Hill. We have 500 new homeowners in celebration behind Park Hill. And our City Councillor Debbie Rose had to clean up behind Park Hill last year, and we found city land back there that a community center could be built. So we need the funds to have that built. I was promised by one of the owners a community center five years ago in one of the buildings and that fell through. He didn't come up with the money. MR. PERALES: Una Clarke, do you have any information? MS. CLARKE: Una Clarke. I'm the former member of the City Council. I see you are wearing the T-shirt from your City Council member.

I would encourage you to speak with her about this as a special project for Staten Island, because this is budget time for the city and the City Council. So if this is an item she wants to carry, I'm sure that she could get support from among the colleagues. Our role as commissioners is not to advocate for money, but
to see how we can better help the city to deepen its understanding of the democracy of which we are a part. So I will tell Debi Rose that you came to testify. She knows me personally, so you can say former councilor Clark member, since I came over to Staten Island to get her elected. I just want to make sure that she produces for you. And I will take this to her. Thank you.

MR. PERALES: Thank you.
MS. HINKSON: Good evening every one,
commissioner and your team. I welcome you. This is my first time ever attending a meeting like this. I humbly come before you. I am a parent of Stapleton community since 1991. Raised seven children in that community. I originally came from Queens. I've lived in Texas and Louisiana through my husband in the military. Came out here on a hardship. I raised my own children and I thank God for all the help that I have ever received from people out here in Staten Island raising my children. But now I am a chair of the advisory board of the Gerard Carter Center. I'm also on the neighborhood advisory board. I'm trying to give back to the community. I retired working for NYCHA. Because that was a job that
was going to pay for a single mother to pay the rent and raise their children in that community. My concerns are broad range, because I know about the schools, the Gerard Carter Center, the neighborhood. We have some in -- Stapleton Park Hill and Clifton, that's the area Gerard Carter Center is supposed to be servicing. And they're doing a good job, but we want them to do an excellent job. Right now they need a director, a night director. They need more services so that they can invite more people that want to offer their services like dancing and other cultural and art programs. We have children in our neighborhood that are affected by lead poison. I'm a mother with one child. And that is the biggest challenge of my life raising that one child. We need more support services for parents with children with lead poisoning. And those type of services, my suggestion would be arts and crafts. Things that they can build with their hands. We have a courthouse that's on a Targee Street that's not being used right now. That would be an excellent place to have skilled trade. We need to teach our young people things that they have lost contact with. They just
don't have anywhere to go. So they hangout and they do things that are not good. But we offer more services in those neighborhoods, starting with the Gerard Carter Center, which in my opinion we need four Gerard Carter Centers in our neighborhood to help our youth. Another thing I'm concerned about I'm a senior now. I actively partake in the senior center in Stapleton. Over the past ten years I have seen and heard that the seniors have gone through when they become displaced and moved out of their private homes in the neighborhood and moved to places that they really are not happy with. And there's a lot of disability problems in the neighborhood with the youth and with the seniors. I just implore everybody to provide services for people with disabilities, because they may have a disability in one form as the world sees it, but they may have a gift in another form. The only way that we can help them is by providing different types of services that will bring this creativity. We have groups in my church at First Central Baptist Church. They are doing an excellent job with the young men in our community. Like I said we just need more. We need more community centers. We
have a lot of housing. We need more affordable housing and we need parks, recreation, because that's the big thing in your country now being healthy. So whatever you can help us with, I would appreciate and thank you for your time.

MR. PERALES: I want to thank you for your testimony. Do any of the commissioners have any comment? Thank you very much.

Next panel, Gonzalo Mercardo I think is the name. Staff person from True 2 Life. There's one person per organization, right? Who is going to speak on True 2 Life?

PERSON: We will both have different issues. MR. PERALES: So one you wait for the next panel. One panel. Then I got -- I think it's Harry Timberland.

MR. TIMBERLAND: Here.
MR. PERALES: Oh, that's you. So you are on this panel.

Mr. Mercardo, we will start with you.
MR. MERCARDO: Sure. Thank you. Thank you for all of the commissioners for being here. I really commend you for your first visit to Staten Island. I think there is an old saying that we're left all the time as the last people, the
last thought. By you being here as your first meetings really means a lot for us. So thank you for that.

My name is Gonzalo Mercardo. I'm the executive director of Staten Island Community Job Center. We're an immigrant rights organization working with the immigrant community on Staten Island. I'm also a Staten Islander myself. I live and work in the north shore here on Staten Island. My reason for being here is to talk specifically about how can we open the democratic process to more residents of New York City. I have a few suggestions for you all. Like, for example, making an election day a municipal holiday. I think that would be a great opportunity for people especially working people who work long hours who may leave work 9, 10 o'clock. They don't have a chance to go and vote. They can't afford in many cases to take a day off to go and do that. I am originally from Chile and we vote there on Sundays. I know that might not work for a lot of people. But at least it's the day that most people stay at home. It's done for that purpose. The people have the free time to participate in the democracy. Another
suggestion that I have is also lowering the voting age. We have a lot of young people who are really, really engaged in the civic process. We ourselves in La Colmena have a youth group called the butterfly effect. It's a group of young people from different backgrounds and also from different immigration statuses. We have a lot of people who are citizens, other youth that document eligible people and other undocumented. So they have become very, very interested in being part of the political process. We've seen it now in other parts of the country with the tragic events in Florida. How all young people are really we getting involved in the process. So I think that would be a great thing for New York that we can allow 16 year olds allowed to vote. Another way I think we can enhance that and open our democracy is having same day voter registration. I think we have to find ways to make it easier for all of us in our community to participate in same day voter registration would be a great opportunity for people that for whatever reason haven't been able to register to vote, they can do it on the same day. And then also my last suggestion is the issue of noncitizen voting. We have a lot of people here in New York City who may not be citizens, but they're surely contributing to our economy and contributing not just to that, but also to our culture and the vibrancy of our city. I think an open democracy should have the opportunity to everybody to participate. A great example of this is participatory budgeting. The older requirements for participatory budgeting are to be of the age of 14 and live in the district. And Councilor Debi Rose is the only Council person in Staten Island who had opened that process for a couple of years now, and has been a great way that people can engage and make decisions about the monies they're going to be spending on the district. Thank you very much. MR. PERALES: Let me start the questioning, because I've gotten lots of phone calls from folks when they heard that I was going to chair this commission about noncitizen voting. My immediate response, I'm certainly open to being persuaded, was that I thought that the reason my mother became a citizen, because she wanted to vote. And that is currently and has been for years an incentive to get people to be
naturalized.
What has been your experience with getting people to naturalize, people that have their permanent residencies and eligible to become citizens? And do you think that voting is an incentive to become a citizen?

MR. MERCARDO: So in terms of your first question, we have been doing a lot of work to make sure that every one who is eligible to vote have the opportunity to do so. We've also worked with youth. We were a part of the student registration day last year. We registered a thousand students to vote. I believe there are a lot of areas why people don't become citizens right away. One is the length of time that you have to have as a permanent resident. But people live in those communities and work in those communities as permanent residents. They should be able to be part of the discussion of the decisions that are made of people here. I think if anything this will encourage more people to become involved in the political process. They're able to vote in the municipal elections, but not on state or federal. Maybe that's going to be the steppingstone. You know what? I
better become a citizen right now to be able to participate in all levels of the democracy. So I think it will actually help encourage more people. But also for people that are not allowed for the length of the time that they usually have to wait to become a citizen. In some cases it could be four or five years before they can do that. So I think all these opportunities that we can have to encourage people can just be positive to make sure that we open the process for everybody.

MR. PERALES: Commissioner Clarke?
MS. CLARKE: Una Clarke, former member of the City Council. I tried that when I was in the City Council that anyone with a green card for three years or more intend to become a citizen should be able to work for the Sanitation Department, Police Department or any of the uniformed services that they're kept out of not being citizens. I almost got my head handed to me, but after that people looked at it and figured that it would strengthen not only the boroughs or the community, but that it would be an encouragement. The same way that nurses had to register in Albany in order to work in a
hospital to show that they're nurses and that they would become citizens. They had a paper called intent to become a citizen. I tried that. It didn't work. It may well be because of the time in which we are now living that people may think twice about that. But I am in agreement with you. And I would work with any other group. Myself as you would probably hear that my accent didn't go anywhere. Didn't come from Chile, but it came from someplace otherwise. I know that the energy and the incentive to get people to become citizens are almost always there.

MR. MERCARDO: I just want to add that the money is another barrier. It cost almost $\$ 1,000$ to become a citizen. For people who cannot come up with that money, they're eligible, but they just don't have the funds to actually apply for it.

MR. PERALES: You're next.
MS. WEISER: Thank you. Wendy Weiser. One of the last panelists recommended early voting as a reform. I was wondering what you thought about and whether that would address some of the other concerns you raised when you were recommending election -- making election day a holiday. And
sort of providing a range of days and evening hours and weekend hours for the people to vote.

MR. MERCARDO: I'll be in agreement with that. Again, $I$ think our thought behind all of these accommodations is to make an open process for people to participate in democracy. So any initiative, any ideas that are going towards that to make sure that we can get more people to participate in early voting that would be great. Same day voter registration and making an election day a holiday also is going to help. So I would be in agreement with that as well as another way of getting more people to become involved in the process.

MR. HO: This is Dale Ho. I was just wondering if you could just speak a little bit more about your personal experience working in immigrant communities how of a desire there is amongst noncitizens to have their voices heard in local elections?

MR. MERCARDO: Yes. So a lot of our members are people who work in the service industry, are people who work as landscapers, domestic workers, construction workers. They have been here for, you know, maybe 20, 25 years. They have been
long term members of our community. They shop in our community. They send their kids to school. So they are very, very important members in terms in engaging in their own communities. Now voting in the municipal elections may be it's something that right now has not been allowed for them to do so. There are issues that we mentioned before, but they are contributing members. They're paying their taxes. They're not only the income tax, but when they go shopping or local stores, they pay a sales tax. So they're really contributing. Not just with the labor, but also with their -- where they're spending their money. I think they are a lot of background of why we should extend and make sure that people that already a part of this community can have a way of becoming more involved in the decisions that they're making about the districts.

MR. HO: I was wondering if you might want to say a little about if there are particular issues of interest amongst noncitizens in terms of local issues that you think would benefit to get the perspective of noncitizens?

MR. MERCARDO: I would say they're not very different than citizens. Our communities care
about having better schools. They care about better public transportation. Like here in Staten Island a lot of folks can't afford to have a car. So they have to rely on buses and the one line of train that we have here in Staten Island. So we have people here, for example, that live in Port Richmond and they have to travel to Tottenville the next day to work and it takes an hour and a half. So there are a lot of reasons why I believe people are invested, but they're not that different than the other people who are voting right now. It's really about better jobs, it's really about better schools, better transportation. All of those local issues that people care about.

MR. HO: If noncitizens could vote in local elections, do you have any concerns about the possibility that folks could be confused about whether or not they could be eligible to vote in state and federal elections? Because I think the last thing anyone would want would be for someone to inadvertently register or vote for elections or in elections for which they're not eligible and then have to face some kind of sanctions as a result of that. I mean I think the last thing we
would be for someone to render themselves ineligible for naturalization or removable, because they voted in the federal election when they're not eligible. Is that a concern or do you feel like that's something that's manageable? MR. MERCARDO: I think as long as we have a very thorough public education campaign about if we were to have this, what does it look like? Partnership with community organization, with churches and places where immigrant communities, for example, go to would be key to make sure that people understand exactly what they're eligible for. I haven't heard personally for examples, but I see your concern.

MR. HO: Just one last question. In your opinion, should we open voting to all noncitizens or only a subset of noncitizens. Like say green cardholders or people who are here legally or just any noncitizen?

MR. MERCARDO: I will leave that to you all to discuss.

MR. HO: Personal opinion.
MR. MERCARDO: I am of my personal opinion that anyone who lives in the district, you know, for at least six months or so and is working and
is having a family there, should have the opportunity to become involved in the decisions that are made, whether they're working and they're paying taxes regardless of their citizenship, immigration status or none at all.

MR. HO: Thanks. Those are the only questions $I$ have.

MR. BRAGG: Kyle Bragg. So I hear you and I agree that the undocumented population in our city contributes richly to our city and our communities. One of the concerns is a lot dialogue about whether undocumented workers -undocumented residents should have the ability to vote is whether or not that invites ICE (sic) then to set up camps at these polling sites and then further intimidate these undocumented workers. I would like to hear your opinion on that. And second in regards to the lowering of the age for voters, $I$ would be interested in knowing the statics of participatory budgeting process, because I know they opened that up to 14 and older. I'll be interested in knowing how much they've engaged in that process to see whether or not there is any means, if there's any work in pursuing opening up the general elections.

MR. MERCARDO: So in terms of your first question it would be very difficult $I$ think to assess if they're actually going to show up to places where people are trying to vote. I think it would be a great responsibility from the city to make sure that these places are safe for every one. To be able to go in without fearing that anybody is going to be outside arresting them. Like it's happening right now on Staten Island. Just yesterday we had an arrest of a person at the criminal court, which is a block away from here, a person who went in with an assault case and it was dismissed at the courthouse. He walked out and got picked up by immigration. So I know that is a very real problem right now that needs to be fixed. And I totally hear your concerns about it. I love to work more. I actually was with Governor Cuomo announcing the seriousness of a measure that he's got to do to make sure that ICE complies with basic rules of due process and warrants and things like that.

In terms of your second question, $I$ think it has been incredible the amount of young people that have been able to participate in the
participatory budgeting. Because it's not just about voting on something, it's about providing the ideas first. Ideas about how can you spend, in this case, say a million dollars in your community. So they were not just able to just go out and vote, they were actually able to participate in the idea what can we do with this money. So it's really, really engaging. I think a lot of young people, especially the people that we work with, have had a great experience to start becoming civically engaged at a very young age even before leaving high school.

MS. SEECHARRAN: Annetta Seecharran. So I was interested in this issue of including undocumented individuals in the noncitizen voting recommendation, but I think my colleagues have covered the nuances about that. But I was also wondering if you can speak about your knowledge of the experiences of other municipalities across the country that have noncitizen voting and what impact that has had on the policy making or on those localities.

MR. MERCARDO: I have examples for I believe (inaudible) is one of them. I don't have the other ones with me. But I have not heard of any
first effects of any of these examples. I can furnish you all with the statistics about specific municipalities who have done this. It's just another way of where people can be a part of the political process. I think not just the resources that come to where you are, but make sure that people themselves are the ones who are driving the conversations. I was in concert of elected officials who are the ones that are charge of making policies. It's really important that place is not a closed door. But we can open it up to more people not just in terms of immigration status, but $I$ will be happy to provide you with information about other municipalities.

MS. FERNANDEZ: Angela Fernandez. I'm glad that the commissioner brought that up. My understanding is that there are 11 cities around the country that have noncitizen voting. I wanted to speak -- ask you a question around the intersection of criminal law and immigration law and how that impacts specifically legal permanent residents, and how that could be a barrier for them to become citizens. Even when a legal permanent resident has one misdemeanor and that
makes them inadmissible. That makes them unable to become a citizen. I would like to know if you are familiar with that and if you can share any thoughts on that.

MR. MERCARDO: Yeah, I think a lot of these issues have happened communities where may be overly policed or for whatever reason they start getting criminal records. Especially for very, very minor violations like being in the park after dark or you know other things, right, that are very minimal. But then become that obstacle for people to become citizens, because of having those. So I would say it's very important that we also look at that issue where our communities that being over policed for very very low violations where we go to other ones, and I don't want to name names here on Staten Island where people are doing the same things and we do see that type of enforcement in it. So you know the intersection of communities with the criminal justice system specifically for minor violations is really, really an obstacle for people that are going to become citizen.

MR. PERALES: I want to thank you. We've taken up an awful lot of your time. We
appreciate it. I like to have the next speaker to have the opportunity.

MR. TIMBERLAND: Harry Timberland from True 2 Life. Our work with True 2 Life is the antigun violence initiative. We work with the public on mediating conflicts and wrap around services for mental help, schooling, employment. One of the issues I want to talk about is a very big, big issue with this. Nobody speaks about it. It's housing. From 28 years in and younger, 97 percent of males do not have their own place to stay. And a lot of males are coming home, maybe coming home from prison and they cannot go back to their housing projects because they're banned and have nowhere to go. And they're incarcerated from Richmond County which is Staten Island. They're forced to go into Bellevue which is in Brooklyn, a homeless shelter that the conditions are terrible. So a 24, 22 year old unlikely is not going to stay in that shelter. When a female gets pregnant or a female is battered, they have all sorts of programs and it's good. They get vouchers to get placed into the state. The men, nothing. If you make \$50,000 a year without no criminal record, it's
hard for you to get an apartment then with the credit checks and the first month, second month security. And if there's a broker fees, forget about it. Forget about it. So for the young males, they have nowhere to go. On parole. Where parole just sends them wherever they can send them. Staten Island has no shelters. They have project hospitality which they stuff them in a church somewhere and make them get up very early. So the work that we do is trying to assist them from prison reform and reentry. It's very difficult to tell somebody I can't help you. You can't come in my house. Where do they go? This is very important. So we need something done. We need some housing. Not just the people coming home from prison. In general there's no responsibility. 22, 23 years old in New York State, 98 percent do not have their own place. That's it.

MR. PERALES: We hear the issue of housing all the time. I want to thank you. You have been very eloquent and I think articulated in communicating to us how desperate the situation has become.

Give the other gentleman an opportunity to
speak. Thank you.
MR. PERRY: Good afternoon everybody. My name is Mike Perry. I'm the program manager of the antigun violence organization called True 2 Life. We are part of the crisis management system. The mayor backs this initiative and so does our amazing councilwoman Debi Rose.

I just want to speak about advocating for more cure violence programs on Staten Island. If you look at the numbers where these sites are located, these cure violence antigun violence initiative sites, you'll see that the numbers of shootings, the percentage of shootings in homicide are down at an amazing number. We need more sites on Staten Island. We have two current sites right now. One in Stapleton and Park Hill area, which the numbers have been phenomenal. We are looking at almost 400 days without a homicide in a specific area where we're from, and that's definitely due to the men and woman who are working those streets working with our people that we know that we identify with. In terms of changing their mentality, changing their mindsets, changing what's called a community norm. So I'm a life long Staten Islander. First
let me welcome you guys to our borough, right? Welcome every one. We want to welcome you to our borough. Me and my staff are lifelong Staten Islanders. So we just need to speak about more cure violence and antigun violence initiative programs here on Staten Island. We need backup. We need help with things like recidivism programs, right. Because we can't do it alone. Like my brother poppa said he spoke about we have wrap around services. One of our wrap around services on Staten Island is mental health.

These are organizations where the youth that we deal that are dealing with this trauma that they've been dealing with since youth, right. Staten Island Mental Health is an organization that we refer them to. Day Top also for substance abuse. New York City Interpersonal Development for conflict mediation and stuff like that. Although we are the mastic conflict mediators. This is why these numbers -- these shootings, these homicides are down in these areas. So we do have help with some of our wrap around services, but I think we would benefit immensely from the recidivism program on Staten Island. And lastly, again, I'm also a life long

Staten Islander, so I do want to advocate for -we are already deemed the forgotten borough. We want to make sure you guys don't forget about us. I want to advocate for affordable housing. Also me being a lifelong Staten Islander and community record centers. Thank you.

MR. PERALES: Any of our commissioners have a comment?

MR. BRAGG: Kyle Bragg. I agree, again, that we have a long way to go with criminal justice reform in the city, state and country. I agree that everything that you've said that we have to deal with the recidivism that's taking place. So whatever it takes we're very interested in hearing what you guys are doing and recommendations. How we address the recidivism problems. If we don't address it then we do our city an injustice. Thank you.

MR. PERALES: Anyone else? Thank you all very, very much. That is our last panel.

I just want to reiterate my own personal commitment to the process in which we are getting all these comments from people in the community and the interest that they've shown. Let me throw the floor open to my fellow commissioners
to react to what we've heard today and to the process of engaging. Every one is smiling. Is that an affirmation of my comment?

MS. ANGELO: Larian Angelo. As a former budget person, I believe I've heard the participatory budgeting two or three times tonight. And I think it's one of the most interesting and remarkable changes in city government happening very quietly. It's not only allows people to allocate capital within their own district. I suspect the changes how they look at government. Because they get to in effect be the government. So I'm glad it's happening here in Debi Rose's district. Again, it's a great reform.

MR. PERALES: Yes. Any other thoughts?
MS. SEECHARRAN: This is Annetta Seecharran.
I appreciate hearing some very concrete
recommendations today that we can consider. I have to admit that I'm sitting here feeling very heartbroken about some of the needs that have been expressed around social services, particularly housing and feeling like sort of racking my brain thinking what can this commission going to do about that. So that's
just sort of expressing hearing these testimonies.

MS. FERNANDEZ: Angela Fernandez. I was surprised by the diversity of issues that were presented. And especially around on this last one on gun violence and what role does the commission may or can play in terms of the charter. What areas of the charter we may never have considered before. Especially on this particular issue gun violence. It would be very interesting to see. And of course I think that a conversation around who can vote in city government is a worthwhile conversation to have.

MR. PERALES: It sounds almost if we're getting a charge to staff. One of the things I'm interested in -- obviously, the limitations that we have if what we're doing is trying to amend the situation which is obviously our charge. The city charter doesn't provide money for anybody. Doesn't fund any programs. Can't do anything about violence. But there are some things that we can do and I'm intrigued. I like the staff to clarify for us the limits of what we can do when it comes to election law in changing election laws and where we can push the boundaries
vis-a-vis the registration process. Obviously we can't do early voting, because that's clearly state law. But there must be some things that we can do to facilitate registration and facilitate voting. I ask you to try as hard as you can to come up with ways of things that we can pursue that would push the envelope as they say to make things in New York City a little easier to vote, to participate in democracy.

Does the commission agree that that's the charge?

PERSON: Yes.
MS. CLARKE: Una Clarke. I wanted to say as a product of a charter revision when the city decided that 32 members were not enough, and that some were elected and others weren't appointed, and the charter was then reviewed. That increased the numbers of members on the City Council advocating the first ever immigrant woman from anywhere to have been elected and that's because we were able to educate the community which I was a part of. That it was time that we step up to the table and take responsibility for ourselves in the way that we lived in the City of New York. So I am very conscious of the issues
that come before us that somebody may say it's not workable. Once we educate communities about the benefits, I'm sure that we will be able to work together to make it a home that all New Yorkers can be proud of, because I'm proud of my ten years in the New York City Council. And the fact that I left the Council with a good name and a good reputation. So much so that $I$ could be on this commission to be able to take another deep dive into what we do to continue to make our city a place where New Yorkers feel that this is their home and they can participate in the life of the communities of which we were all apart of.

MR. PERALES: Seems like that's a good note to end on unless you have a comment to make.

MS. WEISER: This is Wendy Weiser. I do very much enjoy all of the testimony and the recommendations and the diverse issues that were presented.

A number of people raised recommendations on topics that there's also pending legislation in this City Council in reference to that. I think it would be helpful to look at to compare what's in the charter and what are the legislative proposals on the topics relating to voting and
money and politics and some of the other issues that came up today. So I would be very interested in seeing a list of those pending legislations so we could see what other things could be considered elsewhere.

MR. PERALES: The one caution I would cite is that there are many times in which legislation is considered and then again considered and years go by. I think we have this unique opportunity to take it immediately to the voters in November. I think it's a good idea to find out what the City Council may be considering if only to make some excellent ideas. But some of them may not be adopted.

MS. WEISER: To be clear, I think the purpose of getting additional ideas for the commission from the City Council members as well not to suggest that we shouldn't look at it.

MR. PERALES: Mr. Ho?
MR. HO: I just wanted to echo Chairman Perales request from staff that we get whatever information you can in the time that you can about our legal -- about the city's legal authority to have different eligibility requirements for voting in municipal elections
and then different election procedures from the state in terms of methods of registration, timing of registration up to some methods of registration including, automatic registration, timing registration up until election day and time voting including early voting, because it's not something that, despite the fact that I practice in collection law, that I actually know in terms of New York City's independent authorities separate from the states as set those requirements differently.

MR. SIEGAL: John Siegel. I would just add to the list that Dale Ho outlined also the day on which election day is held, $I$ know that there is a definition of election day in the state election law, but whether the city has any flexibility to do it on a different day, because the suggestion of Sunday which is non-elsewhere is a very significant idea. If there's any room to change the date of a municipal election, I like to know that.

MS. FERNANDEZ: I like to respond to that Angela Fernandez. I think that instead of moving it to a weekend day, potentially exploring the day that is already the election day, and at the
municipal level making it a holiday.
Is there any room or space where it's a municipal holiday on that Tuesday, whether it be primaries or the general election, because that does happen in other countries in the world. Where if the election is always on a Tuesday, they just shut down that Tuesday. Just explore to see if any possibility of that.

MR. SCISSURA: I'm just going to speak as a veteran on charter commissions. Carlo Scissura. We're going to get excited at every meeting about things we hear. I would just urge, just from my experience, that we don't talk issues or debate issues right now until we at least get through one round of hearings. Because you'll see every borough will have similar things and then every borough will have unique issues and ideas. So although I agree that the staff should look at the election law things, because I think that's going to come up. But my recommendation would be get through the five hearings and then have a meeting to literally and the staff to give us, you know 30, 40, issues and then we can decide which expert panels and things should go with them.

MR. PERALES: This is the chair speaking. I got my own views similar to that. We're going to end up with a preliminary report. But I do think that certainly on these voting issues where we were appointed because of all us share an interest in democratizing our elections that we really get a better feel of what it is that we can do. I just don't want us to go through all five boroughs talking about setting a new election day when we can't do it. Or early voting when that's beyond our power. So I like to find out as soon as possible what is it that we could entertain so that we keep that in the front of our minds when we hear testimony in the other boroughs.

Did anybody else want to say something? MS. GREENBERGER: It's Sharon Greenberger. I just wanted to say $I$ was struck by the fact that many of the comments here tonight were about resources ultimately and the allocation of resources. And in some ways some of those resources are depended on how we as New Yorkers are going to be counted in the upcoming census. So there is a question about participation. We're encouraging participation and voting is a
form of participating in that democracy so is being counted. So I think there's going to be some interesting overlap in some of those issues. MR. PERALES: Point well taken. I will now entertain a motion. I heard a motion to adjourn. I heard it second. All in favor. Any opposed. Meeting is adjourned.
(Whereupon, at 8:18 P.M., the above matter concluded.)
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