Page 1 Charter Revision Commission Moderated by Richard Buery Tuesday, February 11, 2025 5:11 p.m. Fire Department of New York Headquarters 9 MetroTech Center Brooklyn, NY 11201 Reported by: Arkady Sandoval JOB NO:

Page 2 1 A P P E A R A N C E S List of Attendees: 2 3 Richard Buery, Chair Sharon Greenberger, Vice Chair 4 5 Leila Bozorg, Secretary Anita Laremont, Board Member 6 7 Carl Weisbrod, Board Member Lisette Nieves, Board Member 8 9 Julie Samuels, Board Member Grace Bonilla, Board Member 10 11 Shams DaBaron, Board Member Anthony Richardson, Board Member 12 13 Valerie White, Board Member 14 Kathryn S. Wylde, Board Member 15 Diane Savino, Board Member 16 Clava Brodsky, General Counsel 17 Jacob Anbinder, Speaker 18 Vicki Been, Speaker 19 Barika Williams, Speaker 20 Howard Slatkin, Speaker 21 Vishaan Chakrabarti, Speaker 22 Antonio Reynoso, Speaker Casey Test, Attendee 23 24 Annemarie Gray, Attendee 25 Robert Brunotte, Attendee

Page 3 A P P E A R A N C E S (Cont'd) 1 List of Attendees: 2 3 Robert Tiburzi, Attendee Moses Gates, Attendee 4 Alicia Boyd, Attendee 5 Rod Herbert, Attendee 6 7 Larry Nickens, Attendee 8 Denis Ibric, Attendee 9 Catherine Vaughan, Attendee Yvonne Roman, Attendee 10 11 Vishnu Reddy, Attendee 12 John Woelfling, Attendee 13 Hector Robertson, Attendee 14 Ben Weinberg, Attendee 15 Suwen Cheong, Attendee 16 David Gordon, Attendee Cormac Slade Byrd, Attendee 17 18 Michael Abrahams, Attendee 19 Daniel Golliher, Attendee 20 Michelle de la Uz, Attendee 21 Julio Pena, Attendee 22 Frank Morano, Attendee 23 Gillian Morris, Attendee 24 Sara Penenberg, Attendee 25 Stephen Crim, Attendee

	Page 4
1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd)
2	List of Attendees:
3	Jay Sorid, Attendee
4	Olivia Gonzales Killingsworth, Attendee
5	Vadim Grayboys, Attendee
6	Chloe PHitoussi, Attendee
7	Theresa Westerdahl, Attendee
8	Nichola Cox, Attendee
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 5 1 PROCEEDINGS Good evening. Welcome to 2 MR. BUERY: 3 the public hearing of the Charter Revision Commission. 4 My name is Richard Buery. I have the honor of chair 5 of the commission. And since it's our first real 6 hearing taking testimony, I'd like to take a minute 7 and introduce myself quickly. I am a Brooklyn native, so it's good to 8 9 be in my home borough. I was raised in East New York, 10 Brooklyn. My parents were immigrants from Panama, the 11 son of a public schoolteacher. My mom taught public school in East New York High School of Transit 12 13 Technology for almost 40 years before retiring, 14 teaching Spanish and ESL. 15 And I've been really lucky to spend my 16 entire career here in New York, working on behalf of 17 children and families. Started a mentoring program 18 with -- honored to lead the Children's Aid Society for 19 several years. Served as deputy mayor under Bill de 20 Blasio, where I was able to lead initiatives such as 21 the expansion pre-K and currently serve as CEO of the 22 Robin Hood Foundation, which is a -- the foundation 23 mission is to fight poverty in New York. 24 And so I'm really excited to have the

25 opportunity to chair this commission because in so

1	many ways, I think our task is so much aligned with my
2	life's work of trying to make New York a better place
3	for all. And of course, our timing couldn't be better
4	given the significant challenges our city faces. But
5	despite those challenges, I remain bullish in our
6	capacity to be better and to do more. And even the
7	energy in this room is a great reminder that, you
8	know, we can accomplish big things together when we
9	come together.
10	And so I'm excited to have the
11	opportunity to lead our work, think about how we can
12	make sure that New York remains a center of
13	opportunity that it was for my parents when they came
14	here about 60 years ago. What did it mean to have a
15	charter that promises a more inclusive city.
16	Obviously, we're a city of multitudes.
17	We have every political persuasion; eight million
18	people, double that many opinions; and that diversity
19	can make things hard. It can make it hard to govern,
20	hard to come together and find the path forward. And
21	I think one of the challenges of this commission is to
22	make sure that we review the charter, that we are
23	creating an opportunity to hear everyone's voices.
24	Our charter work, of course, is
25	ultimately what's making a series of recommendations.

1 Any recommendations that we make have to come to the 2 voters for election. And I know that the commission 3 are really excited about making sure that we hear the 4 broad spectrum of voices so that when we make any 5 recommendations, they're recommendations that really 6 reflect the best judgment, the best idea that we've 7 heard over the next few months.

8 This is, of course, the first of many 9 public hearings, and we're looking forward to hearing 10 from experts, residents, community leaders, elected 11 officials, and truly anyone who wishes to testify.

12 And the last thing I will note is that 13 although we were appointed by the mayor, this is very 14 much an independent commission, which means that we 15 are free to make our own judgment. In fact, we are 16 required to. We have been bound to make our own 17 judgments and to pursue ideas regardless of where they 18 came from or who supports them or who votes for them. 19 Joining me in this path are 12 other 20 commissioners: Vice Chair of Sharon Greenberger, 21 Secretary Leila Bozorg, Grace Bonilla, Shams

22 DaBaron -- I'm not sure he's here today -- Anita
23 Laremont, Dr. Lisette Nieves, Anthony Richardson,

24 Julie Samuels who I believe is joining us online,

25 Diane Savino, Carl Weisbrod, Valerie White, and

1 Kathryn Wylde.

2	As I said, one of the tasks with the
3	Charter Commission is to review the entire charter.
4	But one of the things that we are planning to do is
5	take a particularly close look at the charter's
6	approach to housing and planning. Of course, there
7	can be no more urgent challenge for us to take up.
8	As we all know in this room, our city
9	is in the midst of a profound affordability crisis.
10	For millions of low-income New Yorkers, housing costs
11	are a central struggle in their lives. And for
12	millions more, especially low-income New Yorkers, our
13	housing crisis severely limits where New Yorkers can
14	live, what school they can attend, how they can get to
15	work, and whether their families can stay together.
16	So I don't think it's hyperbolic to
17	stay that the future of housing and the future of New
18	York City and really determines what kind of city we
19	will be and for whom. I think our city has made
20	recent strides have made significant strides
21	recently under leadership of our mayor and the city
22	council and the tireless advocacy of countless
23	advocates reforms to the city of housing
24	opportunity. Really do I think set the stage for good
25	work. But all acknowledge there was far more work

1 will be done.

Today's hearing will focus on that topic in particular. And so let me take a minute to sort of describe how we're going to spend our time together.

6 First, we've invited several panelists, 7 each of whom has to testify for no longer than five minutes, followed by questions from the commissioners. 8 9 Most are in the room, but some of them will testify 10 virtually. We have the honor of starting the hearing 11 with this testimony from a truly distinguished group 12 of housing and land use experts: Vicki Been, Barika 13 Williams, Howard Slatkin, Vishaan Chakrabarti, and 14 Jacob Anbinder. And all of whom have served, many of 15 them have served in state government and outside the 16 government of this issue. And will bring a really 17 impressive array of expertise about how our government works and how we make land use decisions. 18

19After that, we'll open the hearing to20members of the public. I'll ask members of the public21to testify for no longer than three minutes. And then22members of the panel will have an opportunity to23question any member of the public who testifies.24When we do the public testimony, we'll

25 start from folks who are in the room/in person, and

1	then we'll go to those who are attending the meeting
2	virtually. And for anyone who wishes is to testify
3	virtually, there is a form online. There is a page
4	for the hearing. So if you can navigate the
5	nyc.gov/charter, click on the meetings tab, and then
6	select the Brooklyn hearing. We'll also drop a link
7	in the chat and the Zoom meeting.
8	We're going to do our best to hear from
9	everyone. The meeting is scheduled to run until 8
10	p.m. So it's another reason to ask everyone to please
11	be judicious if they're testifying and make sure that
12	everyone has a chance to participate. I'll ask both
13	those testifying and commissioners to be mindful of
14	the clock and for questions. And if their
15	testimony just so people try to make sure that
16	everyone has a chance to speak.
17	And for some reason if we don't get to
18	everybody because we go too far past the time, I
19	remind everyone that it is possible to submit written
20	testimony. Again nyc.gov/charter. And you can
21	also attend one of our other public hearings if for
22	some reason we're not able to get through them today.
23	And again, you can find information about all those
24	hearings at that website. And then you can also
25	submit testimony in writing at any time to the email

1	address chartertestimony@citycharter.nyc.gov. That is
2	chartertestimony@citycharter.nyc.gov. All the
3	commissions are public, they're all livestreamed, and
4	they're all recorded if you want to review hearing
5	at another time.
6	So before we begin, I'd like to ask for
7	a motion from the Commission to approve the minutes
8	from January 7th hearing. Can I have a motion?
9	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 1: Motion.
10	MR. BUERY: Can I have a second?
11	MS. BOZORG: Second.
12	MR. BUERY: Any questions or discussion
13	about the minutes?
14	All in favor, please say aye.
15	MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye.
16	MR. BUERY: Any opposed or abstentions?
17	All right. The minutes are adopted.
18	So with that, we'll go to our
19	testimony. First, looking forward to hearing from
20	Professor Vicki Been of NYU Law School.
21	MS. BEEN: Thank you very much thank
22	you very much to to all the members of the
23	commission for convening this hearing and very much
	commission for convening this hearing and very much for your public service. To have a group of people

1	smarts working on this is is really a benefit to
2	the city's health. And we are very grateful.
3	Over many decades, as you know and as
4	Chair Buery alluded to, New York City has invested
5	enormous amounts of resources, both money, federal,
6	state, local money and time and talent of city
7	officials. Today, the city's housing stock both more
8	affordable and high quality. Yet, the housing
9	affordability problem has really reached crisis
10	proportions. And and there are a number of red
11	flags. More people are leaving the city than are
12	coming in.
13	Okay. This is a slide that shows that
14	we have between April 2020 and July of 2023, the
15	city's population declined by more than 550,000
16	residents or more than 6 percent of the city's
17	population. That is one of the largest sustained
18	population declines in recent history, and it's
19	largely due to declines in net domestic migration.
20	More people are giving the city for other parts of the
21	country that are coming here from other parts of the
22	country. The pandemic exacerbated that trend to be
23	sure, but as you can see there, it was well on the way
24	by 2011.
25	One of the major reasons that people

1	say that they are leaving is the cost of living. The
2	share of the city's renters who are paying more than
3	30 percent of their income for housing expenses,
4	has who were stubbornly at around 50 percent for
5	decades. And more than 27 percent of our families,
6	mostly the low income lowest-income households in
7	the city pay more than half of their income for
8	housing.
9	One of the primary reasons for the high
10	cost of housing is the low rate of residential
11	construction in the city. A great deal of research
12	shows that with more supply, you get lower prices,
13	lower rents, or lower rent growth. Nevertheless, the
14	city built less than what what it needed in the
15	1980s and 1990s, and has never really recovered from
16	that deficit.
17	The city's land use system is one
18	reason for the inadequate production. While we have
19	the best and the brightest at our different agencies,
20	we also have a land use system that makes building
21	housing extraordinarily risky, costly, and time
22	consuming. It is we say that a great deal is as of
23	right, but the truth is that a great that we we
24	are permitting at way lower rates than our competitor
25	cities around the United States. And about a third of

1 all movements that were permitted in -- between 2010 2 and 2023 were actually on land that had been rezoned 3 recently.

4 The very uncomfortable fact now on this 5 is that some neighborhoods really shirk their 6 obligation to provide housing growth. Just 10 of the 7 city's 59 community districts with only 13 percent of the population housed 48 percent of the new housing 8 growth over the last -- from 2010 to 2023. And half 9 10 of the community districts in the city with 57 percent 11 of the population added only 21 percent of the housing 12 stock broker. So the disparity in which neighborhoods 13 contribute to alleviating the housing shortage and which do not is unfair, and it makes every 14 15 neighborhood less willing to allow for necessary 16 burden.

I think that one of the key things that the -- that the commission really has to focus on is every step in the development process and the risks that are posed and the delay and the costs that come with that risk. I've outlined in a written testimony the phases of development, the key milestones of each, and the risks that each cause.

24But I want to draw some bigger picture25lessons. First of all, the process is just too long.

1	A recent study by the Federal Reserve Board indicated
2	that across the nation, it takes about 15 months from
3	the announcement of a project to being shovels in
4	the ground. The time in New York is two and a half to
5	four times that. The time required imposes enormous
6	cost: caring the land, the auction or the adoption
7	of the land, hiring all the staff can add millions of
8	dollars. It's too risky.
9	People have to put too much money on
10	the table before the elected officials ever have to
11	commit or give input. And those elected officials are
12	not giving sufficient attention to citywide needs.
13	They pay too little attention in the process to the
14	needs of the city as a whole. And that results in
15	individual council members being able to veto or deter
16	housing even though that would be in the interest of
17	the city as a whole.
18	As I said, some neighborhoods shirk,
19	and some of the tools along the way are misused.
20	Environmental review and other kinds of reviews can
21	add enormous litigation risk, enormous time and delay
22	to the process, and don't really address the kinds of
23	environmental concerns that we're talking about.
24	So I want to end there by saying I
25	think it's really important for the commission to

1	think about all of these different risks to evaluate
2	the efficiency of those risks and the time and the
3	delay that they impose. And I wish you good luck. We
4	are stand ready to help in whatever way we can.
5	And and thank you again for your service.
6	MR. BUERY: Thank you, Professor. Have
7	any questions?
8	MS. BOZORG: I'm curious if you could
9	talk a little bit about some of your experiences when
10	you were overseeing HPD and as deputy mayor in the
11	effort to try to build more housing across the city.
12	I mean, you named some of those barriers, but
13	specifically, you know, and and given that New York
14	City put so many resources toward the affordable
15	housing production, this balance between how we do
16	affordable, how we unlock the market rate housing,
17	just some of the not just what what the research
18	shows, but what you experienced firsthand too in
19	trying to build in more neighborhoods.
20	MS. BEEN: Okay. We are fortunate to
21	be able to devote the kinds of resources that we've
22	devoted over the last few decades. I don't doubt that
23	will continue in the in the new era. But the thing
24	is that we add so much cost through so many delays;
25	right? And it's delayed not just the ones that I've

1	talked about and sort of the and the process.
2	But once you get through that process
3	and you still haven't gotten a commitment from the
4	council member or from the council, as you know, you
5	then have to deal with the fact that your proposal,
6	which was three years ago, manual on the pencil,
7	you've got to get got to update the financing.
8	You've got new term sheets and the agencies. All of
9	those things make you almost have to start all over
10	again. And that's delay and risk and and
11	litigation risk; right?
12	And and I do want to say, I mean, we
13	have so many incredible elected officials who are
14	serving the city in in such amazing ways.
15	Nevertheless, sometimes you get a situation where a
16	council member just stays in, and you end up spending
17	months, if not years, negotiating over, you know, can
18	there be any homeless set asides in the in the
19	housing? Can there you know, what is the what
20	are the AMI levels? And those those are legitimate
21	conversations to have, but not when it's adding a
22	year, thousands tens of thousands of dollars per
23	unit to the house.
24	MS. SAVINO: Thank you. Good to see
25	you again.

1	MS. BEEN: Good to see you.
2	MS. SAVINO: It's been a while.
3	I'm so I want to take you back to an
4	experience that we shared together when when we
5	rezoned in the Coney Islands. So we not only had 30
6	blocks of the area that had not seen development in
7	decades, but we had a willing council, councilmember.
8	So knowing then we had a willing council we didn't
9	have to worry about member difference denying
10	changes. It still took forever. And in fact, some of
11	the projects that we cited back then going back to
12	2009, are still not yet in the ground.
13	MS. BEEN: Yeah.
14	MS. SAVINO: So it's not simply just
15	the council process. What can we do differently to
16	speed up that type of activity? I think you in
17	your comments, you said on average, in most states, it
18	takes 15 months from conception to shovels in the
19	ground, and we're looking at more like 4500 [sic].
20	What could we do before it ever gets to the council
21	members, you know, oversight, to speed up that
22	process?
23	MS. BEEN: So I think that if we're
24	recalling the same set of facts and thank you for
25	your service one of the issues there was

1	coordination between the agencies; right? We had a
2	park issue; we had a building issue that needed to be
2	
	changed. All of that took an enormous amount of time.
4	It would be great if we could if we had,
5	essentially, limits on those kinds of conversations.
6	Even when some of those buildings that had been
7	developed there, then face months and months and
8	months of delay with you know, building inspections,
9	fire department inspections, with all kinds of
10	inspections, they're sitting that's housing sitting
11	empty while we have families in shelter that could be
12	put to use.
13	And there's no every agency faces
14	issues about priorities, issues about standing, but
15	there really needs to be a push to set deadlines on
16	those kinds of mission problems.
17	MS. SAVINO: Almost as if we need a
18	progressive design build for development housing.
19	MS. BEEN: I mean, that that is what
20	we're seeing a lot of success in public housing in
21	those ways. And and it is we do need deadlines,
22	and we do need upfront decision making and
23	coordination.
24	MS. SAVINO: Thank you.
25	MS. GREENBERGER: Thank you again. I

1	just have quick question. You mentioned that
2	one-third of housing production is done through
3	rezoning. Does that imply that two-thirds is done
4	through as a right? Is that instead
5	MS. BEEN: Well, not exactly. Sharon,
6	I appreciate the question because what we looked at is
7	a particular slice of time, but many of the things
8	that are milled, even in the 2000s were might have
9	been rezoned in 1980 or the Coney Island example.
10	MS. GREENBERGER: Right.
11	MS. BEEN: Fifteen years may go by
12	before you see something actually happen. It's
13	attributable to that rezoning, but we only looked at
14	it that slice in time.
15	MS. GREENBERGER: Yeah. Is there a way
16	to determine if you looked on an annual basis, how
17	much would be at the right versus or that just
18	difficult?
19	MS. BEEN: You'd have to go back
20	decades and decades to see what had been rezoned
21	and and make sure that you're finished, you know,
22	with what's being produced from that rezoning. I
23	mean, if you think about still the amount of
24	development that we're seeing on the Green Point,
25	Williamsburg Waterfront resulting from, I don't know

1 what -- that was 2005/2007; right? 2 MS. GREENBERGER: Right. So it -- it does take a long 3 MS. BEEN: time, but all of that is attributable back to that 4 5 rezoning. 6 MR. BUERY: You talked about the 7 differential burdens or respond -- different neighborhoods -- on different parts of the city 8 9 housing burden. Can you elaborate more on that 10 dynamic and -- and if you could, what are some of the 11 driving factors that lead to different communities to 12 take on more or less responsibility for the 50,000 --13 So the -- the neighborhoods MS. BEEN: 14 that have traditionally provided less housing growth 15 are often the low-density neighborhoods. Those 16 low-density neighborhoods complain that they don't 17 have the schools, the transportation infrastructure, 18 sometimes the sewage infrastructure to do that. So 19 again, it requires enormous coordination to bring that 20 all online and -- and get that in -- in place. 21 But in many cases, I mean, remember 22 that in the early 2000s, there was a lot of down zone 23 in those neighborhoods. And so they're just not zoned 24 to allow more development. And trying to get a 25 rezoning period to up that as the latest round on the

1 city -- is extraordinarily difficult. So all of that 2 comes into play. 3 And there's just -- you know, many of 4 those neighborhoods have higher rates of home 5 ownership than some of the other neighborhoods. And 6 the homeowners aren't particularly concerned about 7 their housing values, those kinds of issues. And so it is a complex problem, but it is a major source 8 9 of -- varies. 10 MR. BUERY: Thank you. 11 One more question. Carl? 12 MR. WEISBROD: Yeah. I want to just 13 explore for a minute the correlation, if there is one, 14 between time and subsidy. And as you and others have 15 said, time is money. The more time it takes to get 16 projects approved, the more expensive it will be. But 17 how does that, if it does at all, affect the pot of 18 money potentially that the city has to support 19 affordable housing, especially given as noted the 20 likelihood that that pot may well shrink going 21 forward? 22 MS. BEEN: So the worry is that the 23 longer time goes by, the more risks like increased 24 interest rates, et cetera, can raise the price of --25 of development; right? So that would require more

1 subsidy or -- you know, something has to build that And it's not just the sort of market changes 2 gap. 3 like for interest rate changes. 4 But you know, I -- I don't know what it 5 is now, but when I left city government, we had a 6 pipeline of about six years. And so you could get all 7 the way through ULURP and then wait for six years for subsidy to be freed up of the available pot, right, to 8 9 fund that project. In those six years plus the time 10 it was taken for ULURP, interest rates may have gone 11 up, the term sheets may have changed, regulations may have changed to make building more costly. 12 13 So the more time goes by, the higher 14 the cost per unit is going to be. So you're either going to be able to -- to fund fewer units or -- or 15 16 you're going to need more money. 17 MR. WEISBROD: And just so clear --18 just to be clear, that principally will affect 19 affordable housing, I would think? 20 MS. BEEN: It will principal -- the --21 the housing subsidies will certainly be affecting 22 affordable housing -- some income restricted housing; 23 right? But it's driving up the cost of even the 24 market-rate housing as well. 25 MR. BUERY: Thank you so much. Ι

1 appreciate it.

2 MS. BEEN: Thank you. 3 MR. BUERY: Next -- Barika Williams, 4 the executive director of the Association for 5 Neighborhood and Housing Development -- Neighborhood 6 and Housing Development. 7 Welcome. 8 MS. WILLIAMS: Good evening, everybody. Thank you Chair Buery, and thank you Commissioners for 9 10 the opportunity to testify. 11 My name is Barika Williams. I'm the executive director of the Association for Neighborhood 12 13 and Housing Development or ANHD, and we're a 50-year-14 old non-profit here in New York City working with many 15 of the groups who are responsible for -- nonprofit 16 groups who are responsible for building and managing 17 these affordable housing units across the city, but 18 also supportive housing providers, community 19 organizers, economic development advocates, and direct service providers as well. 20 21 Our mission is to build community 22 powers -- affordable housing and thriving equitable neighborhoods for all New Yorkers. This is near and 23 24 dear to our heart. So we are also a member of the 25 thriving and convener of the Thriving Communities

1	Coalition, TCC, which is a citywide movement of
2	grassroots organizing, advocacy, and policy
3	organizations working specifically on something we
4	have called comprehensive planning for a certain a
5	long period of time.
6	We are what we're focused on is
7	changing the framework of how we think about planning,
8	development, and investment here in New York City
9	instead of an ad hoc approach to planning that we've
10	been using for years that we know doesn't effectively
11	deliver for many New Yorkers and most New Yorkers, but
12	also reinforces our long and equitable practices as
13	Vicki talked about, and I will speak a little bit more
14	about.
15	Specifically, what we're talking about
16	for comprehensive planning is something that aligns
17	and coordinates existing plans, has sets clear
18	goals across the city, centers racial, economic
19	health, and climate equity and it's intentional,
20	robust, and representative including with other
21	community engagement. Already has come up other
22	issues, so this isn't just a housing issue. It
23	intersects with education. It intersects with
24	transit. It intersects with healthcare. And so
25	recognizing that all of these things need to be

integrated when we are planning for a city and
 planning for our neighbors.

3 So moving to a proactive approach, we 4 would love to talk with and for the Commission to 5 consider advancing comprehensive planning, specifically amending the charter to mandate that New 6 7 York City create a comprehensive plan that uses equity goals to establish clear equitable targets and 8 9 empowers communities to then create local plans that 10 feed into those targets.

11 So this is now starting with citywide equity goals, which we already have in the city 12 13 council's Fair Housing Plan to a certain extent that 14 that's only housing. Then creating a comprehensive planning steering committee that then oversees the 15 16 process of understanding how this then plays out 17 across neighborhoods that says, "Here's our various different citywide targets for these" -- "a variety of 18 19 topics and issues."

And then from that, the community district levels set targets that then have to feed into the citywide targets. That way, we are not in a situation where a community district is advancing its goals that are not in line with what we need overall as a city. It would commit all 59 community districts

1	to creating these plans, but then also ensure and
2	require that our budgets for land use, expense
3	budgets, and capital budgets also have to reinforce
4	what is being put forward in the plan. We are very
5	conscious, very aware that we can't just say,
6	"Communities have to plan this and magically do this,"
7	nor can we say, "Our agencies have to magically be
8	able to execute on this."
9	We know that that that one of the
10	challenges I don't want to re repeat too much of
11	what Vicki said but for example, 10 of New York
12	City's 59 community boards have built more than the
13	other 59 49 combined; right? So for example, Bronx
14	CD 1 with almost 11,000 units in comparison to
15	Manhattan CD 8, which is less than a thousand; right?
16	So this is the delta that we're looking at.
17	And we see the same thing show up in
18	non-housing issues. So Manhattan Community District
19	2, West Village Soho, where 100 percent of the
20	residents are within walking distance of a park or
21	open space, in comparison to Queens Community District
22	13 out by the Nassau border where only 38 percent are
23	in walking distance. So across all of those.
24	As a part of some of the ways you
25	recognize this, we would talk about fast tracking 100

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com

1	percent affordable housing projects to move through
2	some of these pipelines more quickly, hopefully reduce
3	some of their cost and expenditures. Making the
4	existing fair housing plan that is in Section 16-A for
5	the city council, enforceable and have teeth. Right
6	now it's a planning mechanism, but it doesn't have any
7	enforcement mechanism.
8	And then also exploring how we then
9	feed in this to our our capital dollars and to
10	dollars committed. We're very aware; we have been
11	doing this for a long time. But we don't want to lock
12	in agencies to into too much of a structure that
13	they're not able to respond to active projects,
14	changing priorities on the ground. But also, we don't
15	want to create a framework that then agencies or
16	administrations can ignore.
17	I want to conclude by encouraging
18	thanking you all for your service and your time and
19	asking this commission to take bold systemic steps
20	that begin to move New York City away from our current
21	land use and planning and zoning frameworks and really
22	to something that benefits all New Yorkers.
23	Recognizing that the honest truth is our current
24	systems and what we've been using for a long time have
25	been some, but not all.

1 MR. BUERY: Thank you so much. Questions, Anita? 2 3 MS. LAREMONT: Thank you very much for 4 your testimony. A question I have in terms of, you 5 know, developing your capital planning framework, 6 what -- what have you thought about in terms of the 7 enforceability of -- of such a plan and accountability in terms of, you know, you'll have community districts 8 9 with a target, but to ensure that those targets are 10 met because otherwise, you know, I -- I think there's 11 a -- a challenge that we've always sort of considered 12 in this. How would you deal with that? 13 Yes. We've talked about MS. WILLIAMS: 14 it in two different ways where, you know, there's the 15 way that the federal government prior to maybe more 16 recently was administering this in AFMH, which was an -- Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing, which 17 would be the loss of federal investments. So there's, 18 19 like, a penalty framework of this where you would say 20 you're potentially losing funds or investments. 21 And I -- I think in order for that to 22 be effective, it would have to probably be more -- it 23 would have to be broadly a penalty across, not just 24 specific to housing investments because to be honest, 25 some of these communities would be quite happy being

1	able to say, "We didn't take the housing investment,
2	and so therefore don't have to build the housing." So
3	the penalty has to be felt on something that actually
4	impacts those communities and and brings them in.
5	But then also could be an incentive opportunity to
6	say, "You know, when you do this, we're" "we're"
7	I think there's an opportunity.
8	That's why balancing out with not just
9	housing creates the the circumstance where you can
10	say, "You're literally looking for new school seats in
11	your community. We're going to be able to support new
12	school seats, but we can only do that if we're also
13	"if you're also funding affordable housing."
14	MR. BUERY: Thank you.
15	Lisette?
16	DR. NIEVES: Hi. Thanks for your
17	testimony.
18	I'm curious if there's an example that
19	you could refer to as a model that we've learned from
20	where we've really seen this kind of community
21	district, community board planning their budgets and
22	having it reinforced that that we could say, "Yeah,
23	a little bit more of that."
24	MS. WILLIAMS: Yes. I can get back to
25	you on specific places. A number of other major

1	metropolitan areas across the country actually have
2	some form of comprehensive planning. In fact, it's
3	not apples to oranges, but for example, our neighbors
4	just on the other side of the river in New Jersey have
5	a version where you have to you are required to
6	fulfill your fair housing numbers in targets and is
7	mandated.
8	Likewise, there's a somewhat similar
9	framework in Maryland. So we we know that there
10	are examples. New York's complicated, so it's a
11	little different to take somebody's else's exact
12	example and drop it here; right? Not everybody has
13	our community district framework that's optional. So
14	yeah.
15	DR. NIEVES: Yeah. And and for me
16	it's even not so much the example. I mean, I do know
17	other states that are doing some interesting things.
18	The question is in process.
19	MS. WILLIAMS: In process
20	DR. NIEVES: So much of your
21	recommendations about process; right?
22	MS. WILLIAMS: Yes. Yep.
23	DR. NIEVES: Are there other processes
24	even outside of housing that you seek to this that
25	offer the accountability and other pieces that we

Page 32 1 think are important. Particularly, like I said, the reinforcing the planning and supporting of community 2 3 boards. 4 MS. WILLIAMS: Absolutely. We'll get 5 back to you. 6 DR. NIEVES: Okay. 7 MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you. Commissioner Savino? 8 MR. BUERY: No. Valerie was first. 9 MS. SAVINO: 10 MR. BUERY: Oh. Sure. 11 MS. WHITE: Hello Barika. Hello. 12 MS. WILLIAMS: 13 MS. WHITE: Good to see you. Thank you 14 for your testimony. 15 Just to sort of piggyback with some of 16 these comments, when you think about a comprehensive planning strategy, what are some of the other parts of 17 18 the ecosystem you mentioned of schooling that could 19 tie into a larger community plan that would support 20 additional housing units, but also building up the 21 community with the type of ancillary services that it 22 needs to be successful? 23 MS. WILLIAMS: So I think a great 24 example of where we maybe couldn't quite figure this 25 out successfully is what transpired in the Flushing

-	noighborhood waraning shows there are a plan to
1	neighborhood rezoning where there was a plan to
2	increase density in Flushing, increase affordable
3	housing, but to do that much housing unilaterally
4	without being able to address the fact that they were
5	at an already over-capacity transit system just was
6	not going to add up.
7	And ultimately, part of the reason
8	it's not the only reason, but a significant reason
9	that that rezoning did not go forward was because
10	there was no real pathway to addressing the fact that
11	there was there wasn't a way to add capacity to the
12	7 Line and the buses out there; right? And and you
13	know, I I think we've got to be reasonable when
14	saying to folks, "Add all these new units," that they
15	add a lot of kids. They had a lot of people
16	commuting. They add more folks in beds at your local
17	hospitals. And and so we you can't just ask for
18	one; right?
19	MS. SAVINO: Yeah. Thank you thank
20	you for your testimony.
21	Both you and Vicki Been talked about
22	the challenges of developing housing in the City of
23	New York and bureaucracy and all of the issues that
24	get in the way from financing to the calendar, et
25	cetera.

1	But you said something specifically in
2	your testimony that you are requesting that we amend
3	the city charter to add a comprehensive plan. So can
4	you tell more about how that would change in the
5	are we suggesting creating a new entity under the
6	charter that would be responsible to the comprehensive
7	plan or assigning specific duties to, like, city
8	planning or HPD? What did you mean by that?
9	MS. WILLIAMS: I think we would we
10	would talk with you all and and want to talk with
11	the agencies. There's a version of this that could be
12	vested in city planning, but it cannot be the purview
13	and responsibility of city planning alone. It's too
14	broad for it to just be just sit with them, which
15	is also why we propose a steering committee that then
16	would work across different issue areas to help set
17	those targets and goals.
18	But yes, it would be similar to saying,
19	"Right now we have other required plans and metrics
20	that the City has to look to in the charter and
21	fulfill every year." I think currently the new Fair
22	Housing Plan that was passed by the city council is
23	every five years I believe on a rolling basis. So the
24	framework for requiring the plan is there. But we're
25	talking about expanding and beyond a plan on paper to

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com

Page 35 1 something that is actionable in enforceable. MR. BUERY: 2 Thank you. 3 MS. SAVINO: Thank you. 4 MR. BUERY: I'll give you the last 5 question. You all have 6 MS. BOZORG: I'm curious. 7 been thinking about comprehensive planning for a long time, and I'm -- I'm intriqued by this fast-track 8 9 idea, which seems to suggest you kind of have to do --10 if -- if you were to do comprehensive planning, we 11 also have to consider other reforms so that we don't end up at a state of, like, planning analysis. 12 13 Especially if we do comprehensive planning, there's an 14 assumption there that we can get every community board 15 to agree to targets and put that on paper and have the 16 plan voted on. 17 So how do you balance the need for 18 action? The fact that today we're in a worse position 19 than we were ten years ago on housing, with the length 20 of time that this type of comprehensive plan and the 21 types of coordination that's needed, how do you ensure 22 there's also action stemming from that? 23 MS. WILLIAMS: Yes. So we will say we 24 have -- we go through a lot of these ULURPs with city 25 agencies. We have done a number of these neighborhood

1	rezonings, and our members develop these housing
2	units. It takes a lot. It's so difficult to sit in
3	front of a community board and explain to folks that
4	the housing that they're talking about, they won't see
5	for ten years. And I think it's an incredibly big
6	challenge.
7	And but I I would challenge you
8	all and encourage you all to think about both sides of
9	what you're talking about of the action piece and the
10	and the long-term process and planning piece.
11	I think we've been doing leaning more on the
12	expediency side, not but not always getting to the
13	results that we right?
14	It's for any of us that are working on
15	the affordable housing and ULURP side, it's whack-a-
16	mole. It's one by one, project by project. We only
17	have and can only influence whatever projects are
18	coming before us; right? There's no way right now for
19	a community to say, "My priority is senior housing,
20	affordable home ownership, and large family size
21	units, and this is what we need to focus on in this
22	neighborhood." They can't structurally they can't
23	do it.
24	So yes, we want to we don't
25	the the fast-track piece is to make sure that we're

1	not halting, that what we're not doing in the process
2	of this is saying, "We're going to stop everything and
3	not develop anything." And so products continue to
4	move through the pipeline.
5	But the other piece, which I think our
6	affordable housing community would welcome, but and
7	the supportive housing community welcome, but I would
8	also say I think the broader including market rate
9	development community would welcome is some clarity
10	and predictability around what people are looking to
11	be developed and created in communities.
12	It is hard for any and all of us to
13	start a project at Year 1, and Year 5 when it's
14	finally or Year 3 when it's finally going though
15	ULURP, find out that the political priorities, the
16	community priorities have changed. And so
17	potentially, your project is now being turned down.
18	And then and that is exacerbated by
19	the fact that Vicki talked about this, but we
20	didn't specifically say this in the course of all
21	of that time, your AMIs are going up; right? So the
22	project gets green-lighted in Year 1, but by the time
23	we're actually opening these units, electives are
24	saying, "We were" "we thought this was an \$1,800,
25	two-bedroom apartment and now it's 25 26"; right? So

how do we both trim that, give some clarity and predictability, and not -- and recognize that we've got to invest in time in, like, the long-scale process of this while also continuing to move forward day to day. MR. BUERY: Thank you so much for your I really appreciate it. testimony. MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you. MR. BUERY: Next we'll hear from Howard Slatkin of the Citizens Housing and Planning Council. Welcome. MR. SLATKIN: Thank you. Good evening,

13 Chair Buery and Commissioners. I will associate 14 myself with Professor Been's gratitude for your 15 service.

My name is Howard Slatkin. I'm the executive director of the Citizens Housing and Planning Council, an 87-year-old policy research organization.

I'm pleased to be with you to share
lessons from CHPC's recent research on the ULURP
process as well as from my own experience in more than
two decades with the Department of City planning.
With my testimony, I'll be submitting a report that
CHPC released today on the subject of ULURP, housing,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1	and charter reform. I'm going to focus tonight on
2	three topics, the political incentives that are
3	embedded in ULURP process as it's currently
4	structured; how the 1989 charter revisions got us
5	here, some of the history behind it; and finally, some
6	principles that I suggest to guide reform.
7	There are many strengths to the ULURP
8	process. I won't detail them here in the interest of
9	time, but the process also contains built in political
10	incentives that are skewed ultimately against housing.
11	The problem is rooted in two intertwined factors,
12	NIMBYism and member deference. We need to understand
13	these, not as irrational behaviors or personal
14	failings that can be resolved through individual
15	effort, but rather as rational systemic phenomena that
16	we need to account for when we design our decision
17	processes.
18	I don't need to explain to people what
19	NIMBYism is. It is natural that NIMBYism is louder
20	than the voice of people who are in need of housing in
21	a local action. The future occupants of new apartment
22	buildings and the millions of people who benefit a
23	little bit from the addition of housing don't show up
24	to public hearings. This quiet majority is
25	represented in the process by officials who have a

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com

1 broader geographic purview.

The practice of member deference is 2 3 essentially an unwritten agreement that enables each 4 council member to effectively wield the authority of 5 the full council regarding matters in their own 6 district. It -- there -- there is an internal logic 7 to it as a practice among legislators, but it introduces systemic bias against new housing and the 8 9 equitable distribution of housing. Under this system, 10 local elected officials who oppose new housing have 11 the power to bar the door, and electeds who might actually favor new housing are consigned to a 12 13 thunderdome-style battle with project opponents where 14 they face harsh political penalties if they dare 15 advocate for citywide needs. 16 Simply put, the system is skewed to 17 make it too difficult to say yes and too easy to say 18 no or often, "Not now." Proposals are cut back 19 delayed, or often not introduced at all because the 20 local members made it clear that this would be a 21 futile investment of time and resources. 22 The key question is not whether 23 residents or council members are behaving rationally, 24 but whether our decision process is designed 25 rationally. The ULURP process actually wasn't

1	designed with this in mind. It was created in 1975 to
2	replace the top-down master plan model of the 1936
3	charter. It had the idea of local voice citywide
4	responsibility. Locals get the first say in the
5	process, but the decision authority rests with
6	officials who have citywide or boroughwide purview.
7	In 1989, when the city council replaced
8	the Board of Estimate, effectively the introduction of
9	member deference broke this concept because the final
10	vote in the process is effectively delegated to the
11	only elected official who could potentially be fired
12	by local project components. Instead of
13	contextualizing local perspectives through a citywide
14	lens, the process elevates localism above citywide
15	interests. It's important to note that land use is
16	the only arena where the council votes on actions of a
17	strictly local nature.
18	CHPC analyzed data on ULURP actions
19	approved since the dawn of ULURP, and there have been
20	fewer rezonings since the 1989 charter revisions, and
21	the neighborhoods that have resisted rezoning through
22	this process have actually added less housing in
23	recent decades.
24	A couple of additional reasons that
25	it's apt for this commission to look and reexamine the

1	'89 changes: One, our housing shortage is much more
2	severe. I won't go into detail on that, but that is a
3	dramatic difference between now and the 1980s.
4	And second, demographic changes. The
5	1989 revisions were animated by the imperative of
6	improving representation for communities of color in a
7	majority white city. Today however, the demographics
8	of the city's population and elected representation
9	have changed dramatically. And the minority
10	communities protected by member deference today are
11	often whiter, more affluent homeowner communities.
12	I would offer a few principles for
13	charter revision recommendations. I'll just cite them
14	here. One, return to the original concept from 1975
15	of local voice citywide responsibility. Find ways to
16	build a check against member deference into the
17	process. Find ways to promote cooperation within the
18	ULURP process rather than a structure that stokes
19	conflict or rivalry. Find ways to make minor actions
20	faster and more and less resource intensive. And
21	accelerate urgent affordable housing investments with
22	procedural relief for things like the disposition of
23	city-owned land for affordable housing and NYCHA
24	campus investments made through the resident
25	partnership model.

Page 43 1 I'll be happy to answer any questions that Commission has. 2 Thank you so much. 3 MR. BUERY: I'd 4 like to start with a question. 5 Can you -- you ended by talking about 6 the need to balance local input from the citywide 7 perspective. Can you say a little bit more about how 8 you might operationalize that? And I think that 9 MR. SLATKIN: Yes. 10 one of -- I -- you know, I've refrained in this report 11 -- we've refrained from putting a lot of specific detail into it. But you -- the -- the process that 12 13 was created in 1989 had a -- a potential check on this 14 process of member deference built into it. The idea 15 is that the mayor can veto a council action. 16 Ultimately, there are many things --17 there are a number of things like the triple no and 18 other things that were built into the ULURP process in 19 1989 that ultimately didn't play out the way that --20 that the -- the Charter Revision Commission thought. 21 For instance, optional call up of land use items. The 22 city council calls up everything that has optional --23 the idea was that the council wasn't going to review 24 every low -- and they would only review the big 25 controversial ones. But in essence, they reviewed

1 every action.

2	The mayoral veto has proven ineffective
3	for a couple of reasons. One, the council can
4	override it just as easily. It as easily that they
5	can defer to the local member on the original
6	decision. And second, a kind of flat up or down veto
7	is a very blunt instrument for improving the project
8	at the last stage of the process. It's kind of like
9	an emergency estate patch or it's it's not a
10	really effective way of of fine tuning the approval
11	process.
12	So I think that the critical thing is
13	finding ways that officials with a broader purview,
14	whether it's the borough presidents, whether it's the
15	planning commission which has represented
16	representatives of the mayor or presidents other
17	citywide officials, finding ways for them to to
18	enhance that role in the later stages of the process
19	and look for ways that the council and the commission
20	can make decisions that aren't necessarily in
21	competition with one another. Thank you.
22	MR. BUERY: Any questions from the
23	Commissioners? Please.
24	MS. SAVINO: Thank thank you for
25	your testimony.

1	I see we've been joined by the Brooklyn
2	Borough President, and that made me reflect on on
3	the fact that I may be on this panel the only person
4	who's ever served as an elected official in the state
5	senate, but he served in local government as well.
6	And I know there's a lot of discussion
7	around the equal member deference. And I think you
8	hit you said it perfectly. They are the one person
9	in this chain that have to worry about getting fired
10	for a decision that's made even when it may be the
11	right decision for that community or for the
12	development of housing for the future of the district.
13	But they live in short spurts; right? Four years at a
14	time. Sometimes it's two years at a time.
15	But they are also the person that
16	that community, that district elected to protect the
17	neighborhood around. And whatever they however
18	they think that means, whether it's the development of
19	housing or the or stopping housing or and the
20	most important thing is people don't like change in
21	their neighborhood. They like to say, "This is the
22	store I" "I shopped in when I was six, and it's
23	still there on the corner." And of course, that's not
24	reality. You can't you can't develop a city that
25	way. You can't plan for housing that way either.

1	But that one person, that member has to
2	answer to the 160,000 people in their in their
3	council district or you know, congressional member
4	and everyone is thrown into it. So you said something
5	interesting about maybe broadening the decision making
6	from just a single member for that council member to
7	maybe include the borough president and/or others.
8	But wouldn't they more likely reflect each other's
9	opinion and have the and come to the same
10	conclusion about that development? And I'm just
11	playing devil's advocate.
12	MR. SLATKIN: Understood. And and I
13	think, you know, I'd really like to come back in the
14	future to the Commission some more specific
15	recommendations about mechanisms that you could use to
16	achieve this.
17	But I think I think that an
18	important thing about looking at this process and the
19	way you're describing it too is that there are not,
20	like, good guys and bad guys in this process.
21	Everyone has a job. And they have the responsibility
22	to discharge their the job that they were elected
23	to you know, to do, or you know or you know
24	it they have to discharge that responsibility. But
25	so does everyone else. And the problem that emerges

1	is that not only is that member responsible for
2	advocating for what they're hearing from their
3	constituents; they're also made responsible for
4	advocating for what's best for the entire city because
5	no one else steps into that process to do that.
6	The the idea is that the city
7	council is a body of citywide they act in all other
8	respects as a body that you know, deliberative body
9	where members come together, debate, and then they
10	vote on actions that are not strictly local. In this
11	case, everyone else leaves the room like,
12	literally, this is what happens in the hearings.
13	Everyone else leaves the room. The local member is
14	left there with all of this weight on their shoulders.
15	And whether they like, as you said,
16	whether they don't want to see new housing or whether
17	they do want to see new housing, they're being asked
18	to do a job that isn't really the job they were
19	elected to do. They're they're there to advocate
20	for the constituents, and there are 50 other members
21	who are there to advocate for the other things that
22	are in the interest of the city. But that part of the
23	process just doesn't work on local actions. And so
24	that's why we need to find these these fixes.
25	MS. SAVINO: We turn the clock back to

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com

1 1970 --

I mean, I -- you know, 2 MR. SLATKIN: 3 one of the things that I don't want to suggest is 4 restoring the Board of Estimate. That's not -- but 5 the concept which is that at the end of the day, the 6 decisions -- I mean, another thing about -- you know, 7 when I was talking about NIMBYism, I think everyone, if you ask them their opinion about what should happen 8 right around their home, maybe there are some people 9 10 who are better than me or other people that -- you 11 know, we all have a -- that same perspective. It's 12 important to not give us that decision. That's not 13 our decision. 14 That's a decision that -- our -- our 15 views should be heard and integrated with an 16 understanding of what's best on the whole. And that 17 That is what the process is is a difficult process. 18 all about. It's about striking that balance and

19 reconciling those two things. So I'll -- I'll have 20 some more specific ideas.

21 MR. BUERY: Thank you. I want to know 22 before -- continue -- not only by President Reynoso 23 who will have join us in a few moments --24

```
commissioner -- join us.
```

```
25
```

Any other questions?

1	MS. LAREMONT: Howard, you know, in
2	thinking about this, I think it would be helpful to
3	the commission if there were examples of jurisdictions
4	that have changed or deviated from the original
5	paradigm that is similar to ours for us to think about
6	because I know it's a really challenging thing to
7	think about how we would change this. So do you have
8	any examples or could you, you know, share some of
9	those
10	MR. SLATKIN: I'll try to to share
11	some examples. I think there are a lot of examples
12	from the history of the city. And interestingly, many
13	of them have been discarded. Things like at-large
14	council members; right? This is something that
15	existed prior to the 1980s. So in '84, I think the
16	last at-large members were eliminated. But there I
17	think one of the ideas is that well, yes, we'll
18	come back with some more models. Thanks.
19	MS. BOZORG: One more.
20	Howard, your experience doing strategic
21	planning for DCP, I'm curious if you could reflect a
22	little bit on, like, some of the charter provisions
23	that already require fair share of certain public
24	facilities. What are are there lessons from that
25	that we can bring into housing or limitations?

1	And then similarly, I think it's
2	related. Just given your experience doing strategic
3	planning, how do you balance, you know, these these
4	calls for comprehensive planning with with some of
5	the needs to make reforms in the process to to move
6	towards action?
7	MR. SLATKIN: Right. I think there are
8	different versions of kind of plan consistency,
9	whether it's sort of a fair share kind of model or a
10	housing targets model or a comprehensive plan model.
11	The models that I'm familiar with usually rely on a
12	higher level of government that mandates compliance.
13	And there's someone external to the
14	city government who holds the city responsible for
15	consistency because consistency is in the eye of the
16	beholder often maybe not with a numerical target.
17	That might be a little bit easier. But that can be
18	difficult among coequal branches of government to sort
19	of spar over what is consistent with a a planner
20	policy.
21	That said, there are lots of ways to
22	provide the context for decision making, and I think
23	the the essence of ULURP is transparency and
24	disclosure and with context, right, that that there
25	are goals, there are targets, there are public

1	policies that are trying to be advanced. That gives
2	the public that gives all the participants in the
3	process something against which to hold any land use
4	proposal and to ask, "Does it meet these things?"
5	There it's really hard to arrive at
6	objective, clear, black-and-white answers as to
7	whether something is consistent. But the purpose of
8	ULURP is not to, you know, sort of check a box and
9	say, "This is good, this is" you know, "This
10	complies, this doesn't." It's debate. It's a
11	public it's a public deliberation. And that plan
12	and those those plans provide the backdrop for
13	making those decisions. I think that's an an
14	important part of the process.
15	MR. BUERY: Okay. Thank you. Thank
16	you so much. I'm sorry. One last question. Shams
17	DaBaron?
18	MR. DABARON: So I got here a little
19	late, so I might have missed a lot. So if I'm if
20	I'm asking something that's already been said part
21	of so I want to ask you just for my own
22	understanding, for you, what is an ideal ULURP
23	process, and what are some suggestions that you have
24	if this hasn't been said or you know, finding been
25	said, what are some of the suggestions that you would

1 have to make that ULURP process work better and more 2 efficient?

3 MR. SLATKIN: I'll try -- that's a --4 that could be, like, a -- I could give a very long 5 answer, but I won't. And I'll try to come back with some more specific recommendations. But I think I'll 6 7 try to answer it again on the level of principles. I think the idea of the ULURP process 8 9 is that the first step in the process is to get the 10 local community's perspective. That is the first --11 and the first voice in the process is what do they 12 think? And then the people who consider that 13 recommendation, next step, you know, the -- I should 14 say the borough presidents have a similar role but a 15 with a broader geographic basis for that.

16 And then their recommendations are 17 brought to the city planning commission, which has to 18 put together its idea of citywide policy, which people 19 should understand with those local perspectives. And 20 sometimes they fit together and sometimes they don't, 21 but they always have to explain why they don't if they 22 I think that's an important part of the don't. 23 process. So it's kind of a reconciliation of -- of 24 potentially opposing views.

25

And that the city council is another

1	sort of party to that step of the process. I think
2	that it would be good to see a process where both the
3	planning commission and the council are doing that
4	thing, which is taking the input that's been received
5	all the way through the process and finding ways to
6	reconcile the citywide and the local perspectives.
7	That's the I think to me that's the the core of
8	the process. How exactly to effectuate that is
9	something that we're going to be, I'm sure, discussing
10	more.
11	MR. BUERY: Thank you so much. I
12	appreciate it. Thank you.
13	Next on the President Reynoso to
14	join us.
15	MR. REYNOSO: Thank you so much.
16	MR. BUERY: Thank you so much,
17	President.
18	MR. REYNOSO: Good evening.
19	MR. BUERY: Good evening.
20	MR. REYNOSO: Should I just start?
21	MR. BUERY: Please.
22	MR. REYNOSO: All right. First, I just
23	want to say the testimony about DCP here is a breath
24	of fresh air. It's the first time that I felt like
25	the Department of City Planning actually wants to

1 plan. I just feel in its history -- and this 2 is -- it's going to seem like a slight, and it might 3 4 I'm perfectly fine with that. In its history, be. 5 the department of planning zones; it does not plan. 6 And we've fought -- this is the second time I'm 7 sitting in front of a charter revision commission talking about comprehensive planning. 8 9 The number one opponent to 10 comprehensive planning my last go was the Department 11 of City Planning. They spent a lot of time and 12 resources advocating to not do comprehensive planning. 13 And just think about that. A planning division in the 14 largest, greatest city in all of the world was the 15 number one culprit to the demise of a charter revision 16 opportunity to do comprehensive planning. 17 So to hear what Howard was saying to me 18 was amazing and unbelievable, and I'm looking forward 19 to working with DCP to make it the place where 20 planners want to go because they have an opportunity 21 to do something amazing. 22 And I have to say that because I'm 23 deeply frustrated that we're here, what, five or six 24 years later, having the same conversation and not 25 having the courage to be leaders in that time and

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com

1	hoping that we can be leaders now. And I want to say
2	this: The last commission of something that I didn't
3	think was of value to the city of New York. I didn't
4	think it had any real purpose. Not this one. This
5	is just looking at you all, my experience with you
6	all, this is real. You guys are real high highly
7	intelligent people that know more about this stuff
8	than most of the people that are going to speak to
9	you.
10	And I'm looking forward to you having
11	the courage to do something that is difficult, but
12	actually get something done because what we've lost in
13	New York is the courage to be great. Like, the fear
14	holds us back from doing amazing things. And that's
15	not who New York ever was. We built amazing bridges,
16	we set the trend. Now we're now we're scared to do
17	difficult things because of the backlash, I guess, on
18	social media or whatever it is. But we should have
19	the the courage to do it.
20	So I'm going to read my parts of my
21	statement that I think are valuable. I don't want to
22	go back to the Board of Estimates, even though that
23	would be greatly beneficial to me. But the fact that
24	we're even having these conversations, that's exactly
25	what we should be doing. So thank you so much for

1 having me here.

2	As you know, I'm the president of the
3	greatest borough in the City of New York, which is
4	Brooklyn, and I'm happy to have you all here at the
5	best borough talking about one of my favorite issues,
6	which is housing and land use.
7	You all know I was a big supporter of
8	the City of Yes for housing opportunity because I
9	believe deeply that every neighborhood in the city
10	needs to do its part to solve our housing our
11	housing crisis. And right now, even with the City of
12	Yes, that is not happening. My biggest criticism was
13	that the City of Yes didn't go far enough.
14	So now I'm here to ask you to take the
15	next step, which is citywide comprehensive planning.
16	I'm going to go to the bottom, and I hope we can get
17	into a bit of a conversation, but while housing growth
18	is the most critical part of this, the speaker's Fair
19	Housing framework is an example of a good start.
20	I want to be clear that comprehensive
21	planning goes beyond housing to take a comprehensive
22	look at how decisions influence quality of life. For
23	example, the comprehensive plan from Brooklyn examines
24	the relationships between land use and access to
25	housing, healthcare and transportation options, jobs,

1 community services, accessibility, and healthcare --2 environment.

3 In each of these areas, we see stark 4 contrast neighborhood by neighborhood in metrics such 5 as life expectancy, school performance, access to 6 parks and open space, the reach of transportation 7 options, the safety of our streets, the air quality, and the prevalence of health -- health challenges such 8 as diabetes, asthma, maternal mortality, and 9 10 morbidity.

We're all frustrated by how fraught -has become in New York City. We think -- we need to think beyond ULURP as being one of the only mechanisms for communities to engage in planning and development conversations. That starts with a comprehensive citywide needs assessment that captures the real needs facing communities.

18 We need to understand that our vision 19 for well-planned city requires and then follow up --20 follow that up with ten-year capital plans to 21 prioritize our investments and responsible communities for our youth with a focus on addressing longstanding 22 23 needs in underserved neighborhoods and preparing for 24 This transparent, inclusive vision climate change. 25 for how land use decisions are made will actually make

1	it easier for development to happen.
2	This commission can start by adding a
3	mandate to the New York City Charter to create a
4	comprehensive plan every ten years. We can work out
5	the mechanisms in conversation with the next
6	administration and the city council, but for now, we
7	need to a mandate to move this idea forward.
8	We are one of the only major cities
9	that doesn't have a comprehensive plan to guide growth
10	and development. And when voters learn that, they
11	will be excited for us to catch up with the rest of
12	the world.
13	Finally, I want to be clear that I have
14	no intention of asking for development to stop while
15	did we create this plan. This is not a stalling
16	tactic. It is an opportunity for an improved process
17	that would help us end the NIMBY doom loop and
18	actually deliver for New Yorkers. Thank you so much.
19	MR. BUERY: Thank you so much.
20	Any questions for the board president?
21	MR. WEISBROD: President, I know that
22	you made clear you don't want us go back to the Board
23	of Estimate, but I I wonder if you think that the
24	role of the borough president should be enhanced in
25	the planning process and whether that would help in

1	terms of planning more generally, but also elevating
2	kinds of concerns that have slack and
3	MR. REYNOSO: I do I do believe so.
4	It's it's an unfortunate thing that I am the
5	borough president, and it seems, like, a bit selfish.
6	But in the conversations that I'm currently having
7	with council members, I have a planning division that
8	is extremely aggressive and informs and educates
9	council members to the best of our ability. And my
10	comprehensive plan gives a borough-wide view of the
11	things we need to do.
12	And that in Bedford I'm sorry, in
13	Bay Ridge for example, they've created only 68 units
14	of housing over the last since 1968. Sixty-eight
15	units. So I think I think it's a little less than
16	that. But they created a small amount of units. When
17	we present to Bay Ridge, Bay Ridge doesn't have a
18	comprehensive vision of what's happening in Brooklyn.
19	They see a ten-story building and they think the whole
20	world is out of whack and that they've lost everything
21	and they're gone. In the Bronx, in one council MR.
22	managed district, they built over 20,000 units of
23	housing less than ten years.
24	When you give that perspective to a
25	comprehensive plan, it makes people feel like they are

1	part of a team and they're having a conversation about
2	how to help the city. No one's getting picked on.
3	They're not attacking you. Look at this entire city
4	and how it works, and you understand what development
5	looks like.
6	I have that perspective as a
7	boroughwide official. I can see what's one
8	councilmember's district, they shut down every single
9	project before it even starts. Should I have any
10	authority, I wouldn't allow that to happen. I would
11	allow the process that at least to take its course and
12	get to a place where a vote can happen at the city
13	council or the borough president's office, I would
14	extend it. But that council member has intrinsic
15	like, they have local local values or local
16	local vision. They're never going to go past that,
17	Carl.
18	MR. WEISBROD: Yeah.
19	MR. REYNOSO: And and there's some
20	that do it. We have a couple of council members that
21	do it, and they get killed for it, and they their
22	jobs are working for doing what's good for the city.
23	MR. WEISBROD: And and I know you've
24	seen it from both perspectives, both as a
25	councilmember and as a borough president.

1 MR. REYNOSO: Yes. So I -- I would be very 2 MR. WEISBROD: 3 interested, not necessarily right now, but if you 4 could suggest --5 Yeah -- yeah. MR. REYNOSO: 6 MR. WEISBROD: -- to us very specific 7 ways that you think the charter could be amended to strengthen the role of the borough president in this 8 9 process to -- to establish a -- a broader perspective. 10 MR. REYNOSO: Yeah. So I -- I do think 11 that we should consider flipping the timeline, the 12 line for the borough president to go after the council 13 I just really think that it's -- to go from a member. 14 borough-wide view on how development should happen and then go back to a very local view as to what should 15 16 happen is a backwards system. 17 I'm trying to give a recommendation 18 that's good for the borough. The councilmember's 19 going to look out for the interest of the -- the city 20 council district. And I have a recommendation. So I 21 just really want to speak to what's good for the city 22 is more important than what's good for a local 23 community. And a lot of people do not have that 24 perspective. And -- and I think having a borough president go after the council would be something we 25

1 could consider.

2	Or member deference is a big issue. I
3	was in the city council too. I benefited from all of
4	these things that I'm talking about now. My
5	perspective is I want to say evolved or changed
6	since I've become borough president. But I would say
7	that if I were to revert back to being a council
8	member, the interest of the community would be
9	something that would be more heightened at a local
10	level than it is at a borough-wide level.
11	So I wouldn't have changed what I've
12	done, but I do think maybe the borough president going
13	after or a better way to at large is something I've
14	heard of as well. Just a way to make it so that the
15	council members have a lot of authority, really set
16	the tone, but that we can get to a place where we're
17	all contributing to the greater good, which is not
18	happening right now.
19	MR. WEISBROD: Thank you.
20	MR. REYNOSO: Thank you.
21	MR. BUERY: Commissioner?
22	MR. RICHARDSON: Yes. Thank you,
23	Borough President, for your testimony.
24	I think for what I could gather, your
25	

1	gives us better planning. Just want to make sure I
2	understand. Are you also suggesting that it
3	facilitates or helps us get development faster?
4	MR. REYNOSO: Yes yes. That's the
5	goal. So the idea here is that we separate
6	infrastructure from housing. Right now, the only way
7	to get a new park in your community is to allow for
8	development to happen and negotiate with the city
9	through a community benefits agreement for perks that
10	should already be something that happens in the city.
11	I shouldn't have to be worried about
12	the City of Yes and the claim that our sewer system is
13	not sufficient enough to handle the new development
14	that's going to happen. The City should have a
15	rolling infrastructure budget that handles those
16	things regardless of development. That infrastructure
17	should never be something we talk about. It should be
18	a given. It is a necessity for the sustainability of
19	the city and the housing development then comes after.
20	In a comprehensive plan, what you would
21	do is say, "Hey, you're near a transit-rich area.
22	You're within a half a block of a train. You have
23	amenities. You have good schools. You have great
24	parks. This is an area where development can happen."
25	It's zoned that are R5. This is an area of interest.

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com

1	If you are a developer, and you go into
2	an area of interest, that we would allow you to speed
3	through the process because you're abiding by the
4	principles of a comprehensive plan. Should you want
5	to exceed the comprehensive plan or do more than what
6	the comprehensive plan is asking, then it goes to
7	ULURP, and it goes through a process that's more
8	formal and it slows you down significantly.
9	If you abide by the principles, and
10	this is a R7/R8 area more or less. We won't name
11	it exactly by this text, but it's R7/R8, is
12	something that falls with the line of the
13	comprehensive plan, you don't even need to go through
14	the ULURP process. You abided by the the the
15	comprehensive plan.
16	Also everyone knows the comprehensive
17	plan. The whole city sees it at the exact same time.
18	There's nothing hidden. The community knows exactly
19	what to expect. Hopefully, in a comprehensive plan,
20	we could talk to a community and say, "Hey, this is a
21	small neighborhood. We're not asking for 20-story
22	towers in Mill Basin." It just doesn't make sense.
23	But we're going to go from one story to three, and
24	from three story to five, but we want you to tell us
25	where you want see a thousand units of growth. We're

going to ask you what you think your big streets are.
 But everyone's contributing.

And then if the developer sees the whole map and they know where the opportunity areas are, they're throughout the entire borough, and they cannot get stopped by ULURP -- by the ULURP process if they abide by the principles of the copy of the plan. It speeds -- it'll speed up development in the city significantly.

10 And you ask any developer right now 11 what the biggest problem is, is they don't know what 12 the -- they have to negotiate with a council member, 13 and they don't know exactly what the council member's 14 going to be asking for. If you ask the council member what the biggest issue is, is that we're in the dark 15 16 on exactly what development looks -- what -- what 17 profit margin these developers have.

18 There's no -- we're getting. The 19 council members are negotiating in the dark. We're 20 making this up. We truly are. We want 60 percent of 21 affordable housing. And they'll say, "We can't do 22 The finances don't work." It's impossible. that. 23 Say, "Show me the finances. Show me that you're not 24 going to get a 8 percent return on investment. Show 25 it to me so that I can feel bad for you and then maybe

1	I don't negotiate for 60 percent, and I'll come down
2	to 40 or 50." But we don't see that. So we're just
3	shooting darts in the dark and hoping that we get to a
4	number that the community is satisfied with that
5	that allows for the project to happen.
6	A comprehensive plan solves almost all
7	of this. And in the end it, again, removes the
8	infrastructure development from from housing
9	development, and communities are not angry when we do
10	a City of Yes, which is a very modest thing that we
11	did.
12	It is it's the the value of
13	the City of Yes. That it was a citywide job, and
14	every councilmember had to vote on it. That was the
15	value of it. We got a council's work as a body on
16	a on an important issue. The actual work, it's
17	very insignificant to development that's going to
18	happen to the City of New York.
19	But there are one family homes
20	complaining about more people coming into their
21	neighborhood, and they have combined overflow and
22	they have issues with their sewers. That's real.
23	That should have been taken care of 20 years ago so
24	that it's not a part of the conversation. It's
25	completely independent of development. And that

Page 67 1 doesn't happen right now. And a comprehensive plan solve on all of that. 2 3 MR. RICHARDSON: Thank you. 4 MR. BUERY: Thank you so much, Borough 5 President. 6 MR. REYNOSO: Thank you. 7 MR. DABARON: So you know, I don't know 8 everything, so --9 MR. BUERY: Nobody does. 10 -- but I appreciate all MR. DABARON: 11 the information that you granted. I do want to say --12 I -- I want to ask you the thing about infrastructure. 13 How do you see that being funded? You know, I see 14 sometimes, like, if there's a development, especially 15 a significant development coming to a community and 16 you have a lot of monies that's going towards the 17 development. 18 How do you see -- or do you think that 19 it is the -- the developers that are coming and 20 redeveloping in a particular area, do you see that 21 they should contribute to infrastructure development? 22 Like, because of the massive amount of money that is 23 funding the development? Or do you think it -- I --24 because I heard you that it should be separate, and it 25 should be automatic or whatever the case is -- be

1	doing or if they're balanced that could happen in
2	those discussions. I don't know.
3	MR. REYNOSO: Yeah, no. So yeah. This
4	is a conversation we should have when we're developing
5	comprehensive planning. I don't have all all the
6	answers. I have a lot of them. And I would love for
7	you to go to the Brooklyn Borough president's website
8	and look at our comprehensive plan on 2.0. The second
9	part of our comprehensive plan is coming out.
10	And I did that with five very dedicated
11	staff members in I would say this for that is that
12	right now because it's only zoning that we do, is that
13	if one building comes up and it's ten stories and it's
14	going to add 50 people to the neighborhood, EIS and
15	the say that that one building is not going to
16	require the need for a new school, for example. Then
17	the next two months, another building goes up, and
18	then another building goes up.
19	And individually, each building does
20	not have enough of a contribution of of residents
21	to build a school. But now we have 20 of these and we
22	need a new school. And now the city's on the in
23	the on the hook for that work. What we should be
24	doing is that there should be the comprehensive
25	plan is going to say with this plan, we expect about

20,000 people coming into this neighborhood. We can
 start planning for new schools now.

3 And when the city plans long term, 4 they're building seven schools in -- in Bay Ridge They're looking for any property. 5 right now. 6 They're -- they're overpaying the -- the value of the 7 property because it's a necessity, and the -- the schools are busting out at the seams. 8 They're putting them in a location that don't make any sense because 9 10 they just need to fill the seats -- the seats need to 11 be there. The comprehensive plan would work more 12 efficiently and more affordably in all this work.

And I -- look, as the developers, we should be talking about more affordable housing and doing all this work. But they shouldn't be on the -they shouldn't be putting the bill for infrastructure. That's the city's responsibility.

18 But what they shouldn't be footing the 19 bill for is that we are giving them access to 20 increased value of their property and they should be 21 They should be doing a public good as well. returned. 22 And market-rate housing is a public good, but so is 23 affordable housing. So we can -- you won't have to 24 pay for the school, but 25 percent of -- is just too 25 small. We're going to increase that number.

1	But we have to look at many other
2	things. Union union-built housing; right? Like
3	we we got people building these apartments that
4	can't live in the apartments that they're building.
5	And that is just nonsensical in the city of New York;
6	all right? If you're a chef, you should be able to
7	buy the food you cooking. But we can talk about that
8	too. Those are other things that they should be
9	valuing that we should ask developers to do.
10	But the infrastructure should always
11	be responsibility. We shouldn't have to wait for a
12	developer to fix our problems. The City should be
13	responsible for that.
14	MR. BUERY: Thank you.
15	Last question, Lisette?
16	MS. BOZORG: How you doing?
17	MR. REYNOSO: I'm good.
18	MS. BOZORG: Good to see you.
19	My question is about the role of
20	community boards in this; right? I mean there's this
21	piece about member deference; right? But the truth is
22	you're thinking about these 59. What actually
23	reinforces member deference.
24	MR. REYNOSO: Yeah.
25	MS. BOZORG: How would you see that

1	kind of relationship shifting or changing in a
2	proposed, as you say, comprehensive plan?
3	MR. REYNOSO: Yeah. I think the role
4	of the community the the City gives them a goal,
5	and they are obligated to fulfill that goal. If they
6	don't fulfill that goal, then the City takes over. So
7	one example is what we've noticed in our comprehensive
8	plan of the framework that we're building we not
9	there yet is that in this area over the next ten
10	years, we think it can take on 10,000 units we're
11	going to give you two years to tell us where you want
12	to see that happen. If you can't get there, then
13	we're going to do it for you.
14	So we gave a community, like, an
15	ultimatum for all intents and purposes. It's like,
16	"We want you to decide. We're not going to tell you
17	how to do it. I'm not going to tell you where to do
18	it, but you have to do it." So you find the big
19	streets that you're comfortable with. You find the
20	the streets next to maybe subway stations that you
21	think it's appropriate and but you're going to find
22	it. And if the community board can't come to a
23	conclusion and can't come to a plan where they get
24	they show us where the 10,000 units are going to
25	happen, then then we have to and do it for them.

Page 72 1 But we gave them an opportunity to go there -- to go That's an example of a role from a community 2 first. 3 board that I think -- that. 4 MR. BUERY: Thank you so, so much. 5 MS. BOZORG: Thank you. 6 MR. REYNOSO: Thank you. You guys take 7 care. All right. Next up, I'd 8 MR. BUERY: 9 like to invite Vishaan Chakrabarti of the practice --10 the founder of the Practice for Architecture and 11 Urbanism. MR. CHAKRABARTI: 12 Commissioners, thank 13 you -- thank you for your service. Thank you for 14 inviting me. Unlike any of your other panelists, I 15 don't represent a nonprofit. But as a practicing 16 architect, I'm familiar with the term. 17 So I want to talk to you tonight about 18 a project we we're working on in our office, a kind of 19 research project. But I firmly believe that this 20 should be a city of 10 million people or more. We 21 have the capacity to be a city of 10 million people or 22 We would be a much more vibrant place. more. We'd

But the thing that we really keep inmind as we think about some of the discussion we just

have much more innovation.

23

1	had the question about infrastructure that was just
2	raised is that if we were a city of 10 million people,
3	we would be a much wealthier city that could afford to
4	solve the problems that we have in front of us because
5	every new resident pays more in taxes than they draw
6	on services. And if you need the evidence for that,
7	all you have to do is look at when we went from 7
8	million people to 8 million people and how the budget
9	expanded. So we need to make the room and have an
10	affordable city that's at least 10 million or more.
11	And so I have some slides. This was
12	actually for a project we did with the New York
13	Times some of you might have seen it last year
14	where we just took on we didn't look at zoning at
15	all. We just said, "Where are the sites that can
16	handle development across the city?" Using the City's
17	database and using metrics that we ourselves
18	established within a half a mile of transit outside of
19	the flood zones, we found over 10,000 sites, enough
20	for over 520,000 housing units, which should easily
21	get us to 10 million New Yorkers.
22	So one of the major things that we
23	wanted to address was this question of neighborhood
24	character. We hear this a lot, and you just heard a
25	lot of that in the borough president's testimony. How

1	do you have buildings that aren't out of scale? So
	do you have buildings that aren't out of scale? So
2	instead of looking at the zoning, we as I said
3	we said, "Let's look at places near transit out of the
4	flood zone," and then find all of these infill sites
5	through the city zoning. And we found enough acreage
6	that was twice the size of Central Park.
7	And this is very important in terms of
8	construction and affordability. We tend to talk about
9	affordability as programs and subsidies. We need to
10	understand more about construction to understand
11	affordability because it's depth function. There're
12	single family houses; there's three-story housing
13	units. They're built with light gauge steel, don't
14	require elevators because the American Disabilities
15	Act says you don't need an elevator for a three-story
16	building, a lot less concrete, block and plank
17	construction out to about 15 stories, and then larger
18	forms of high rises. Each of those are significantly
19	higher in construction costs per square foot as you go
20	up the scale.
21	So we focus on the three in the middle.
22	Kind of that that low-scale apartment, that 5 to
23	15 story, and that 30 to 50 story. And we said in
24	terms of neighborhood character, basically we said we
25	wouldn't allow a building that was taller than the

1	tallest building within the radius of a given site.
2	And so we there was some before and afters.
3	And so this is a transit-rich
4	neighborhood in the Bronx, single-family neighborhood
5	for the most part, but not all family. And there, we
6	proposed a six-unit apartment building this is the
7	one I got most hate mail on a six-unit apartment
8	building in the Bronx, in in Brooklyn. A one-story
9	building, right, that goes to, basically, the same
10	height as the neighboring apartment building over to
11	the left about, a 58-story building excuse me
12	58-unit building not 58 stories that's block and
13	plank construction.
14	And then in Queens, about a 250-unit
15	building, again, near a lot of mass transportation.
16	And then we did look at office-residential
17	conversions. Honestly, it delivered very little
18	housing.
19	So this is the interesting thing. Of
20	the 520,000 units, the lion's share came from the mid
21	rises, the 58-unit buildings in Queens and Brooklyn.
22	We do not believe most of those sites are as of right.
23	But here's the most interesting thing
24	in terms of the response to this article. We actually
25	have a lot of positive responses from civic

1	organizations, community organizations, and so forth.
2	We didn't get great response from the real estate
3	community. The real estate community said, "We really
4	don't have the wherewithal to build 58-unit housing
5	projects in Queens and Brooklyn." We were basically
6	built to build a bunch larger housing units.
7	And so you understand the dilemma.
8	We've got the sites. We can build to scale near
9	transportation, but we don't have the builders, and
10	and if we're going to say these sites aren't as of
11	right, that means that small-scale builders have to go
12	through ULURP, which is almost an impossibility to
13	build where we have the most capacity for housing near
14	transit and out of the flood zones.
15	So I just have a couple of very
16	specific recommendations I want to go through in terms
17	of trying to achieve this. I think we need an omnibus
18	method either through ULURP, which would be tough
19	because a lot of these would be map amendments; or
20	state GPP to create as of right low-rise housing in
21	low-rise neighborhoods and mid-rise housing in
22	mid-rise neighborhoods when those neighborhoods are
23	near transit, out of flood zones.
24	In mid-rise areas near transit, we
25	should make 50- to 60-unit housing projects as of

1 right as long as they comply with MAH. Such projects can be built out of less expensive block and plank 2 3 construction. 4 In low-rise areas, make multi-family 5 housing projects lower than 35 feet as of right. 6 These are really important and can provide a lot of 7 housing at that small scale because again, you don't need elevators; you don't need concrete. The cost of 8 9 construction's dramatically lower. 10 In addition, the city has over a 11 billion square feet of trapped FAR; right? Meaning 12 the places -- they can't utilize the density they've 13 got on their sites. Not that they don't need more 14 density, but because of use and bulk restrictions. 15 And I believe it'd be difficult, but I believe we 16 should use the minor mod process, not the major mod 17 process, to avoid ULURP to change use of bulk 18 restrictions on any number of sites, and we can unlock 19 a lot of FAR without doing any up zonings. I'm going 20 to stop there. 21 I -- I just want to close with this 22 thing. We've heard a lot about comprehensive 23 planning. I -- I went to planning school with 24 Commissioner Greenberger. I'm all for comprehensive 25 planning. But at the same time, we have to -- you

1	here we have to get the same time. We closely have
1	know, we have to at the same time. We clearly have
2	a housing crisis. We are going to the opposite of
3	having 10 million people in the city, as Vicki has
4	already talked about. And so and we have to put
5	the folks in orange shirts behind us to work; right?
6	And so we have to do that by creating
7	more as of right housing as soon as possible while we
8	work on a comprehensive plan. Those two things are
9	not contradictory to each other.
10	And lastly, I really like the idea of
11	the borough president going last board council. So
12	I'll stop there. Thank you.
13	MR. BUERY: Thank you so much.
14	MS. GREENBERGER: I just want to follow
15	up on your one billion square feet of untapped or
16	trapped FAR.
17	MR. CHAKRABARTI: Yeah.
18	MS. GREENBERGER: Same thing. Untapped
19	or trapped. Where is that predominantly located?
20	MR. CHAKRABARTI: It's all over the
21	city here. It's all over the city we're working
22	with a client not not last week, where they have
23	the ability to deliver over 2,000 housing units on
24	their site. And they can do that with the density
25	that is mapped on their site, but they cannot get the

Page 79 1 use and bulk restrictions lifted without a full scale ULURP to achieve those 2,000 units. 2 3 MS. GREENBERGER: So it's use in bulk. 4 It's not that it's already been all used; right? In 5 other ways. It's use and bulk. 6 MR. CHAKRABARTI: 7 And the bulk restrictions are often -- like, the most -- like ... 8 Is part of what you're --9 MS. BOZORG: 10 you're saying that -- like, the example you just gave, 11 they'd have to go through a full-scale ULURP. Are you saying because of the size and scale that -- of who 12 13 they are and the type of builder, that that's -- going 14 through that is too costly, or what -- what becomes 15 the barrier? 16 MR. CHAKRABARTI: Yeah. Because -- so 17 again, I mean, I think the elephant in the room here 18 is the development community because when people talk 19 about more housing, everyone talks about, "Well, then 20 you're helping the real estate developers"; right? 21 The thing is, is that the more 22 regulations we throw into the land-use system, the 23 more you're helping big real estate because only big

real estate can afford the attorneys and the lobbyistsand everyone else you need to get around those

1	regulations. And what we are hurting are all the
2	small builders, the community-scale builders who can
3	build on the kind of sites that we just talked about;
4	right? And so that's so and when you say so why do
5	these need to go through ULURP? Sometimes they don't
6	have the density on site. Often it's because there's
7	some stupid rear-yard regulation or some other thing.
8	It's a technicality of the zoning code. That means
9	that they need to go through a full ULURP.
10	And that's I there is a minor mod
11	process where it's left up to the discretion of the
12	city planning chair. And I think we should be using
13	that much more for these kinds of small-scale sites
14	because small builders cannot afford the profit that
15	we would have to put them through otherwise.
16	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 2: Yes. Well,
17	first of all, thank you for your testimony.
18	And we talked about reframing the
19	conversation around affordability. And so I want to
20	make sure that I'm understanding the position is that
21	the creation of more as of right housing is a direct
22	correlation to increasing affordability?
23	MR. CHAKRABARTI: No. That is not what
24	I was saying, sir. What I was actually trying to get
25	at is that we constantly talk about affordability as

-	
1	how much subsidy we can throw at things. And we have
2	fine equity sources. We always will. And we have to
3	have, I believe, a land-use system that can build as
4	of right housing that is affordable to working people
5	without a subsidy. And I think the only way you can
6	get there is to get have much, much more
7	construction but also, fundamentally, to be able to
8	build especially where there's a lot of sensitivity
9	in these low-rise neighborhoods.
10	But if you can and this been done
11	all over the country. There's nothing particularly
12	unique about this where you can build six- or ten-unit
13	apartment buildings, again, out of light-gauge
14	construction, fairly low cost per square foot, right,
15	in transit-rich neighborhoods that are low rise that
16	aren't ten-story buildings but are three-story
17	buildings.
18	I mean, a lot of single-family houses
19	are 35 feet tall; right? So you can actually pack a
20	lot of density into that height with a low
21	construction cost. And yet what I would be called
22	what I would call naturally occurring affordability so
23	that we can keep pressure subsidy dollars for where we
24	most need them.
25	MS. SAVINO: So thank you.

Page 82 1 So I'm just -- I'm thinking out loud. So you're suggesting is that for those low-density 2 projects, so the three to five, that we eliminate the 3 4 ULURP -- as of right. You don't think that would be somewhat controversial though amongst locality? 5 6 MR. CHAKRABARTI: Controversial? We're 7 in the charter revision. Of course --I thought I was in the --8 MS. SAVINO: actually. 9 10 UNASSIGNED: Of course -- of course it 11 would be. But we need -- we need much more as of 12 right housing for -- for all the reasons that have 13 been discussed for the last hour, hour and a half; 14 right? City Planning, where I'm a former and proud 15 employee, is incredibly overstressed. The Manhattan 16 office that I used to run I believe had 32 people when 17 I think it's 19 or 20 now, right, 'cause of I ran it. 18 city budget cuts. You know, we don't have the 19 bandwidth in our system. And so we just need to 20 create much more as of right housing. 21 The question is how do we create as of 22 right housing that people don't outright reject in the communities 'cause they feel like it's a scale that 23 24 makes sense; right? Can be built at a cost that makes 25 sense for small and midsize builders and not just the

1 ten millionaires in control of the real estate industry. And how can that that housing then be 2 affordable for our workforce? 3 4 And I -- I think that's not going to 5 happen unless it's as of right because you cannot 6 build the smaller and midsize housing that was just in 7 those images if those -- if those builders have to go It's impossible. 8 through ULURP. MS. SAVINO: How do you avoid the 9 10 extensive litigation? So again, you -- they're not 11 subject to ULURP. There's no such thing as, you know, 12 having to deal with borough president, the 13 councilmember, whoever the local electives are of the 14 community board. But the opposition may still remain. 15 So let's assume somebody immediately goes to court 16 and, you know, file a lawsuit to prevent this development. We don't foresee that as potential --17 Well, I think the 18 MR. CHAKRABARTI: 19 thing is there would have to be a process to get to 20 what I'm talking about; right? And again, I -- I 21 think it might be a state process. I'm not an 22 attorney, and I'm not sure. I don't know -- because 23 the problem here is that to look at those 10,000 sites 24 scattered across mainly four boroughs because Staten 25 Island doesn't have that much transit-rich zone sites

1 available; right? But mainly across four boroughs. 2 Those 3 are -- to use ULURP -- those are a series of map 4 amendments. City of Yes was very precisely built 5 around a set of text amendments; right? Because map amendments trigger a lot of things -- ULURP. 6 So I 7 think if this state GPP -- I'm not sure; I'm not a 8 lawyer. But I think we need some kind of 9 10 omnibus measure that lets this smaller and midscale 11 stuff happen. And during that time, there will be a 12 There'll be -- opponents can oppose, they process. 13 can advocate, they can sue, they can do all of those 14 things. And when the dust settles, something will 15 hopefully come of it that's positive. 16 MS. SAVINO: Thank you. 17 I just -- I have a MS. GREENBERGER: 18 request for you and the others who have already 19 testified. We've talked a lot about sort of how would 20 you reduce barriers or change process and things like 21 that, which I think are important. But I think a 22 couple of us have asked for you to come up -- come 23 back with ideas. Would you also think about, for lack of a better word, a shared incentivized process? 24 And 25 it might not just be financial. It might be timing,

look like with that short version?

12 MR. CHAKRABARTI: So that proposal --13 and I can send you, I -- I -- your staff has it on 14 the -- on the Charter Review Commission. They can 15 send you the link to the article. It generated about 16 520,000 new units of housing across city. About 330 17 of those 330,000 of those came from mid-rise. So 18 about, you know, 50- to 60-unit housing projects. The 19 rest mainly came from those smaller scale ones. So 20 not a lot from high-rises. Very little from office to 21 residential conversion for a lot of reasons we can 22 talk about. And -- and so again, how we get that 23 midrise engine working means both sites that we need 24 to unlock and a smaller scale development community 25 that needs to be able to do these things at the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1 community level, not just at the kind of level of big 2 glassy powers in Manhattan. 3 MR. BUERY: Thank you so much. Really 4 appreciate it. 5 MR. CHAKRABARTI: Thank you. 6 MR. BUERY: So just for awareness, we 7 have one more -- testimony, then we're going to open up the public testimony. Just so you can be prepared, 8 9 the first two speakers from the public will be 10 Annemarie Gray and Robert Tiburzi. So as soon as we 11 hear from Mr. Anbinder, we -- to come up. And so our 12 last testimony is from Jacob Anbinder of Cornell 13 University who is attending virtually. 14 Welcome. 15 MR. ANBINDER: Thank you. Thank you so 16 Can everyone hear me all right? much. 17 MR. BUERY: Yes. 18 MR. ANBINDER: Perfect. 19 I want to thank the members of the 20 Commission for having me here today. And I 21 particularly want to thank my fellow panelists. It's 22 really an honor to be in their company. 23 My name is Jacob Anbinder and I'm a 24 historian for urban politics and housing policy, 25 currently serving as a Klarman postdoctoral fellow at

1	Cornell University. I'm writing a book that's due out
2	the year after next called NIMBY Nation, the War on
3	Growth That Created Our Housing Crisis and Remade
4	American Politics. That gives you a sense of my
5	position on this question.
6	So really real to questions broadly.
7	The first is how did housing in places like New York
8	City New York City is one of the places I've looked
9	at, but also cities in California and so sort of
10	the northeast more broadly how did housing in these
11	places become so scarce over the last 40/50 years? So
12	I take sort of the long view compared to some of the
13	people who've spoken today.
14	And second, what does this shortage
15	have to do with places like New York simultaneously
16	becoming the most reliably democratic parts of the
17	country? And it's this apparent contradiction that
18	some of our most liberal communities, starting in the
19	'60s, '70s, and continuing through today are also the
20	most opposed to new housing. And that that's what
21	really peaked my interest as a political historian.
22	But I start by saying that my interest
23	in this topic was not purely academic. It started
24	because I experienced the New York City housing crunch
25	firsthand, not as someone who once ran away from being

1	on the street, but rather as one of the millions of
2	New Yorkers or former New Yorkers in my case for
3	whom a college degree at a white-collar job were
4	really barely enough to afford a home that was sturdy
5	and safe and spacious in the city.
6	And yet, when I would talk to my
7	neighbors in Park Slope or in Harvard Square when I
8	went to grad school or even hearing now, some of
9	the most extensively liberal people that you're
10	supposed to find anywhere in the country, I would find
11	that they were at best ignorant of the housing crisis
12	that was going on in their own backyards, or often
13	believed that opposing development was actually
14	with their identities as liberals.
15	And so I want to start by thanking the
16	Commission, not just for the work of revising the City
17	Charter in its sort of technical aspects, but for
18	working to change the moral conversation around
19	housing in a way that's sorely needed not just in New
20	York but really in progressive cities from coast to
21	coast because even though it's tempting to believe
22	that housing in the city has always been expensive,
23	the data show and other people spoke about this
24	today as well that we are at a point now that is
25	really unprecedented in recent history.

1	The two-bedroom house that Jane Jacobs
2	owned in the village is worth nearly a thousand times
3	what she paid for it in 1947. The Atlantic called
4	housing recently the linchpin of the great
5	affordability crisis breaking America. And these
6	runaway housing markets in New York City and elsewhere
7	are having noticeable social effects.
8	In the '60s, about one in five
9	Americans moved in a given year. Now, the figure is
10	less than half that.
11	And through their inability to buy a
12	home in places like New York, many people are not only
13	excluded from what has become in this day and age the
14	dominant mode of wealth building in America, but are
15	increasingly unable to even live in the same parts of
16	the country as those who had the good fortune to
17	invest in real estate decades ago.
18	And the core premise of my work is
19	really quite simple. Housing is scarce and expensive
20	today because we made it illegal to build more of it
21	in the places where people want to live. In 1961, New
22	York City changed its zoning code to cut the number of
23	homes that could exist in the city by 80 percent. In
24	1975, voters approved several provisions put forward
25	by a charter commission similar to this one, which

1	created the modern system of community boards as well
2	as the ULURP procedure and removed authority over the
3	capital budget from the City Planning Commission. And
4	this is an episode that I address in my book and can
5	discuss more in Q and A.
6	So New York City, in other words,
7	wasn't always a City of No. It chose to become a City
8	of No. And in particular, New York liberals decided
9	to embrace an ideology of no, at a discreet moment in
10	time in a belief that it was ameliorate the harms that
11	the program's policies that remade the city in the
12	'30s, '40s, '50s, and '60s. And so if I want to leave
13	this commission with one idea, it's that we need
14	not dispersions on those community control ideas
15	that predominated the past in order to be given remedy
16	for unintended consequences.
17	I always tell my students that history
18	is not about using the present to judgement on the
19	past. That using the present to understand the

19 past. That using the present to understand the 20 motivations of people in the past. And so we need 21 only remind ourselves the New York of today is a very 22 -- place from the one in which Jane Jacobs and Robert 23 Moses lived. A place that faces an entirely different 24 set of problems from the ones that they -- their 25 contemporaries grappled with. And I think today New

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com

1 York City is a city that wants to grow and prosper, but only if we will let it. 2 3 Thank you again, and I welcome your 4 comments and questions. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 3: Thank you so 5 6 much for your perspective. 7 I -- ask a question. I'm wondering if you could talk a little bit about -- it's a very 8 9 helpful historical perspective. But talk about trust. 10 I think some of the practices that we described, as 11 you say -- doing the work they intended to do are reactions to a well-earned distrust of picture --12 13 developers, city planners of the past. 14 And so how do you -- how would you 15 think about a system that addresses the core problem, 16 which is that it's not -- on cost with the very, I think, well-earned desire by communities to have a 17 18 voice and have a community developed? 19 MR. ANBINDER: Absolutely. I -- you 20 know, it's -- it's such a good question. And -- and 21 in fact, it gets to many of the themes that I talk 22 about when I talk about the '75 Charter Revision. The 23 core reason that reforming city government to devolve 24 city planning power to the level of the community boards -- the core reason that that became so popular 25

1	in the '70s was that similar to today, it was an era
2	of low trust in government. Not just in city
3	government, you know, this was an era when crime was
4	much higher than it is today. The city budget
5	obviously was facing calamity. It was not clear if
6	your garbage was going to get picked up in a given
7	week. And then of course, low trust at the same time
8	in the federal government manifested in things like
9	opposition of the Vietnam War.
10	I think a core issue and I I
11	realize that this this doesn't answer your question
12	so much as contextualize it a core issue for you
13	guys today is that we are in an era when, in some
14	ways, trust in government is not that much higher than
15	it was in the '70s, and in some aspects, you might
16	argue that it's lower.
17	The the one thing that I will say
18	that creates an opportunity here is that it's clear
19	from seeing how land use politics works in New York
20	City today that all the parties that were on board
21	with the system that was put in place in the '70s and
22	modified in the '90s, nearly all parties today agree
23	that that system no longer serves really the interests
24	of anyone except maybe the most NIMBY interest groups
25	in the city.

1	And as Professor Been pointed out, a
2	system that was supposed to give neighborhoods the
3	ability to sort of plan their own future has instead
4	resulted in one might even argue over-development in
5	places in neighborhoods that are more vulnerable
6	than communities than who have less political say and
7	underdevelopment in neighborhoods where it's the
8	opposite.
9	And and so I would say that my
10	advice to you guys and it's difficult, but it needs
11	to be kind of find a way to to frame the changes
12	that need to be made to the City's land use polices in
13	sort of moral and ideological terms that take
14	advantage of and harness that distrust and and
15	attempt to present the people an answer as to why the
16	system that's going to be put into place more
17	adequately responds to the dissatisfaction that the
18	people have with the current system and how it's
19	this housing shortage.
20	MR. BUERY: Thank you.
21	Commissioner, any questions? All
22	right. Go ahead.
23	MR. DABARON: I may be way off with
24	this one. But there was something that you said
25	and it could be and I'm always humble with this, my

1	lack of understanding. Did is there a racial
2	dynamic when we look at the past coming up to the
3	present that we have to also factor in when we are
4	making these decisions? A lot of it my humble
5	understanding, there was always a racial dynamic here
6	in New York City when it came to the city planning and
7	all of these things that effect how we develop housing
8	in various communities. I don't know if you covered
9	that or or if you articulated that. But what are
10	your thoughts on that?
11	MR. ANBINDER: It's it's an
12	excellent question. The politics of race as they
13	relate to this history in New York City are are
14	complicated. And what I mean is it's hard to point
15	to you know, when you point to the era of the
16	master builder, Robert Moses, moving into the era of
17	community control, moving into maybe the next era of a
18	sort of more pro housing New York.
19	One of the constants is that there has
20	always been sort of political coalitions, agreements
21	where some sides have believed that the system the
22	new system that's being put in place will serve
23	communities of color better. And it's almost never
24	really been the case that that actually pans out.
25	So I'll be more specific. You know,

1	obviously, I did the the ways in which the era of
2	urban renewal disproportionately harms communities of
3	color. Those are well studied, well known, and have
4	been well documented in everything from history books
5	to fiction to movies. When you talk about moving into
6	the sort of community born era, the era of ULURP, you
7	had your sort of do gooders, people who genuinely in
8	their heart of hearts believed that devolving power to
9	the community boards was necessary to affect their
10	vision of social progress.
11	But we can't overlook that at the same
12	time considerable part of the political support in
13	favor of implementing that that framework that
14	exists today was also made up of reactionary racists.
15	So you think of the extremely controversial plan to
16	put public housing in Forest Hills in the early '70s.
17	I talk about this in my book. And
18	there were many politicians in New York City in the
19	'70s who directly attributed the growing interests in
20	among their constituents in serving on a community
21	board to wanting to oppose public housing in the same
22	way that people opposed it in Forest Hills. And that
23	was sort of a semi-successful opposition where they
24	cut the amount of public housing in half, and they
25	gave preference to people who already had in the

neighborhood. And so 70 percent of the public housing
 in Forest Hills from that project ended up opening
 were white.

4 And so, you know, it's hard for me 5 to -- I think we should avoid sweeping claims that one 6 system or another will necessarily create better 7 conditions when we talk about the effects of development on vulnerable urban communities. 8 I think 9 it's important to remember that people with the least 10 political power in the city, they're -- they're in --11 of these changes whether they be in favor of 12 development or against development and -- constant 13 threats to this history. And it's important to keep 14 those in mind and think about ways to safeguard that and remedy that as move into a sort of post-ULURP era 15 16 if that's what we are doing here.

MR. BUERY: Thank you so much for your
 testimony. Incredibly helpful. Thank you.

19 So we're going to move to the public 20 part of our testimony. Our first speakers are 21 Annemarie Gray and Robert Tiburzi. One thing I'm 22 going to ask is they come to the table. We have loud 23 speakers -- I'm going to be pretty -- forgive me I'm 24 going to be pretty aggressive about cutting folks off 25 at three minutes. And I'm going to ask if people in

Page 97 1 the audience just be respectful of the -- testifying so that we can hear them. 2 Thank you so much. 3 Annemarie? 4 MS. GRAY: Thank you. 5 My name is Annemarie Gray. I'm the 6 executive director of Open New York, an independent --7 pro housing nonprofit with hundreds of volunteer members across the state and city. 8 So there's been so much fantastic 9 10 expert testimony. We are really focused on how do we 11 build more homes. We really strongly believe 12 displacement, exclusion, tenant harassment, 13 homelessness are all exacerbated by this housing 14 shortage and that for far too long, many of the most -- parts of the city have been essentially off-15 16 limits to help solve the problem. 17 Jumping in that we went ahead and 18 released a -- yesterday called A Charter for Housing 19 Equity, Five Reforms for a Fair and More Affordable 20 NYC, which I encourage you to read in full. A really 21 quick summary of the five ideas that we put out there 22 and that we are very excited to discuss. 23 One, create a fast track for fair

housing. So we need a new approvals process forproposals that would advance fair housing in our most

1	transit rich, climate resilient, and low-growth
2	neighbors in line with the implementation of
3	speaker fair housing framework to ensure that it
4	has real teeth. In these well researched neighbors,
5	often the whitest, wealthiest neighborhoods. We must
6	fast track approvals to remove the ability for a local
7	councilmember to homes. These reforms are actually
8	common in other states. They're often known as
9	builder's remedies, and imbalance.
10	Number two, streamline advisory reviews
11	ULURP, the section in line with a Citizen's Budget
12	Commission recommendation as well. Local input into
13	the City's land use review process those with the
14	most time, money, and connections. And actually, many
15	places around the country have adopted better
16	community engagement practices that are more
17	representative and less reactionary. So we should
18	combine to separate community board and board
19	advisory ULURP, bring them together with additional
20	board president oversight, improve techniques in a
21	borough-wide lens.
22	Three, make public land work for
23	affordable housing. So the City is by far the largest
24	land owner in the five boroughs. But turning city-
25	owned land into affordable housing is bureaucratic and

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com

slow. We should establish an expedited process to do
 just that.

3 Four, factor housing needs into 4 landmark decision. The Landmarks Preservation 5 Commission is actually limited by the charter itself 6 in what factors it can consider in making critical 7 decisions. And this is especially severe in the City's most low-resource neighborhoods. So we should 8 ensure that housing affordability and availability are 9 10 part of the discussion when LPC makes its decisions. 11 Five, strengthen city agencies for 12 better results. The charter's not actually updated 13 the roles and responsibility of agencies to face 21st century challenges, so we should allow stronger 14 oversight and accountability to increase its 15 16 effectiveness with -- specific ideas in the report 17 itself. 18 So we have a rare chance to reform the 19 charter so every New Yorker has a fair shot living

20 where they choose -- can afford to continue -- thank 21 you.

MR. BUERY: Thank you so much.
Any questions for Annemarie?
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 4: Annemarie, the
question about the landmarks issue. How do you

```
1
2
```

envision that actually working? So what would -- town?

3 MS. GRAY: A couple things that we put 4 into the report and are really excited to talk about 5 this more: One, for example, they could work with 6 other city agencies who already think and are required 7 to think about the housing crisis in evaluating the impact on a new historic district on housing sites --8 9 housing opportunity sites. Same with -- we've 10 actually lost 100,000 units of housing over the last 11 couple decades in consolidations of historic -- you 12 know, used to be 15 apartment buildings into one 13 They have not -- they're not massive mansion. 14 actually evaluating the intent of that loss of 15 apartments in their decisions, and they should be 16 required to do that. 17 MR. BUERY: Thank you. 18 And just an alert folks, the next two 19 speakers will be Alicia Boyd and Rod Herbert. 20 Now we'll hear from Robert Tiburzi. 21 MR. TIBURZI: Good evening. My name is 22 Robert Tiburzi. I am a Brooklyn resident and a proud 23 member of Construction and General Building Laborers 24 Local 79. Our union is the largest construction 25 laborers local in the United States with over 10,000

members, the majority of whom live in the five
 boroughs.

Laborers Local 79 calls on the New York 3 4 City Charter Revision Commission to include strong 5 labor standards when proposing changes to our city's 6 housing and land use policies. Economic development 7 will always succeed if the best -- workers that build our city. We must not forget that the housing crisis 8 we face is also a low wage crisis. We can fast track 9 10 the building of affordable housing but only if the 11 fast track is coupled with city subsidies, which 12 require \$40 per hour minimum compensation package for 13 construction workers with strong local hire 14 provisions.

15 A bill before the city council that 16 includes these standards could pair with an expedited 17 review process for affordable housing to make sure 18 that all New Yorkers are served by the charter reform 19 process. Over 40 New York City councilmembers have 20 already signed on as cosponsors of this bill called 21 the Construction Justice Act. This bill sets a just 22 \$40 wage and benefits standard on city-financed 23 affordable housing projects and also includes strong 24 local hiring provisions for communities most in need. 25 We have an opportunity to build more

1	housing and faster while ensuring that our communities
2	get family-sustaining jobs. As we consider charter
3	reform, let's make sure that a just wage and community
4	hiring is part of every proposal. Thank you.
5	MR. BUERY: Thank you so much.
6	Any questions for Robert? All right.
7	Thank you so much.
8	Our next will be Alicia Boyd and Rod
9	Herbert.
10	Ms. Boyd?
11	MS. BOYD: Hi. My name is Alicia Boyd,
12	and I'm a political activist in the Crown
13	Heights/Flatbush. I have been a strong advocate
14	against development and high-rise development and
15	gentrification in my neighborhood. All the experts
16	that you have in front of you have never once talked
17	about gentrification, and this makes my they talked
18	about, "Oh, the opportunity for developers to build
19	more and build faster; and oh my God, it's so
20	expensive for them; and oh, we got to do" but not
21	one person did you ask to talk about what are the
22	effects of all this gentrification, this development.
23	District 35, Crown Heights, Flatbush,
24	downtown has the highest rate of development in
25	Brooklyn. Oh, we have our share. But we also have

1	the highest rate of evictions. And who are the ones
2	who are evicted? Black people. Black people are a
3	part of this process that continues to focus on black
4	communities, communities of color.
5	When they talked about those ten
6	communities that produced all of this development, did
7	anybody talk about the fact that they were all
8	communities of color? Did anybody sit there and give
9	you documentation about how much how much
10	displacement has happened as a result of that? Did
11	anybody talk about affordability?
12	Okay. So neighborhood, which is all
13	we got all of the all of the key points. We
14	have next to transit, we're next to Prospect Park.
15	We are you know, that's about all that you require
16	for a low-rise community. Last time, we just got a
17	rezoning in our neighborhood. The target population
18	for the affordable housing was \$141,000 starting.
19	\$3500 for a studio. My neighborhood has \$55,000
20	annual income. So what is that development going to
21	do? That's going to provide us with a little bit of
22	affordable housing, and it's going to put so much
23	pressure on the existing residents in that community.
24	This board needs to start talking about
25	not the term "affordability." It needs to be talking
1	

1 about what -- are you going to plan to ensure that communities of color whose average median income is 2 55,000 is not getting affordable housing that's 3 4 141,000. There needs to be a concern for the 5 residents. People are leaving this city because of 6 7 a lack of affordability, but they're also leaving the city because they can't afford to live here. 8 If you're making \$30,000, you have a 6 percent change of 9 10 fining an apartment in New York City. And nothing 11 that has come -- nothing, senior guests, none of them are addressing those people. None of you are 12 13 addressing the people who make \$30,000. 14 MR. BUERY: Thank you so much, Ms. 15 Boyd -- be enough time for anybody to -- any questions 16 for Ms. Boyd? 17 I was -- I know we're MS. SAVINO: 18 short on time. 19 But I just -- you know, you described a 20 problem that exists in neighborhoods that have become 21 desirable. You know, so the first hearing, I talked 22 I went from Staten Island about, I grew in Astoria. 23 because I got priced out Astoria, and now we're 24 running out of space on Staten Island. 25 So part of the problem is neighborhoods

1	like Crown Heights which 30 years ago no one wanted
2	to no one wanted move to, now everyone wants to
3	move there, and it's driving up the cost, and then
4	couple that into development. How do we deal with
5	that? How do we how do we keep the afford the
6	affordable rent and/or homeownership opportunities
7	that were always there and allow for development at
8	the same time because we know we need to grow in this
9	city.
10	We know we need to build more housing
11	because even if you're able to stay there, you have
12	kids and grandkids who aren't going to be able to stay
13	in the neighborhood that they grew up in or buy a
14	house there or rent an apartment because those
15	opportunities have disappeared. So how do we tackle
16	that? And you don't know I don't know the answer,
17	and I've been in this business a long time. I'm just,
18	like, how how do we get that problem?
19	MS. BOYD: Well, one of the things you
20	can do is when a community sits down and actually does
21	a community plan and there have been community
22	plans, enforce that community plan too often and even
23	the President Reynoso himself created a community
24	plan, and then the Department of City Planning refused
25	to pass it. And so he used his community deference,

1 and he said no to that plan.

2	So why aren't you allowing the
3	community to actually create these plans because we
4	know our neighborhood best. We know where we can
5	truly have housing. The heights, the affordability,
6	we know that. But every time we create a plan, we
7	give it to the Department of City Planning, they drop
8	it in garbage, and they replace it with their own
9	plan. And then you have the community outrage that
10	there is a plan now sitting in place that's being
11	passed that the community did not support.
12	So what the City Charter should start
13	to do is start to empower community boards to create
14	these plans and demand that they be put in place
15	because we know our community's best. And if we
16	actually had that power, more communities would engage
17	in that. But right now there's not a community in New
18	York City that would want to engage with the
19	districtwide rezoning plan with the Department of City
20	Planning because of its history. So I think that
21	should be what you should do. Empower the community
22	boards, not take our voices away.
23	MR. BUERY: Thank you so much.
24	Any other questions for Ms. Boyd? And
25	before I'm sorry. Go ahead.

1 MR. DABARON: So -- wow. This is a 2 hard one -- power. I love it. 3 So what I'm trying to learn is some 4 solutions and stuff like that. And you -- you're speaking to -- to a lot of that. In my -- in my 5 limited awareness, I'm just trying to wonder how do 6 7 we -- like, how do we fund the type of developments that allow for the affordability? I think some of the 8 9 developments that happen in the target communities of, 10 you know, with dominant populations, people of color 11 because, you know, it's easier to build there, more 12 cost effective, et cetera. And they'll find a way to 13 make the money; right? 14 So that's where we start to talk about 15 zoning and their share and stuff like that, being able 16 to spread it throughout the city and find other 17 opportunities. But if they're coming to our 18 community, like, how do we have the discussion --19 where do we have that discussion, whether it's 20 community board, residents, et cetera, how do we have 21 that to where we can balance it out to actually bring 22 the development and make it make sense? 23 MS. BOYD: Well, I think you can look 24 at Charles Barron's East New York district. He did a 25 wonderful job using community -- city council

1	deference and ensuring that nothing that came into his
2	community was not benefiting his community. I saw the
3	six-story high-rise developments. I saw the \$500 for
4	studio apartments. I saw the three-bedroom apartments
5	for between 815 and \$1,000 with my own eyes. He was
6	strong. He advocated for his residents. And he got
7	the development.
8	So why aren't we demanding that in our
9	city charter? Why aren't we demanding that area
10	median income be the target for any development coming
11	into a community? So what you "If you want come
12	and build in here and if you're going to provide us
13	housing, then it has to be at the AMI of the local
14	community."
15	Why hasn't that been happening? Why
16	can't that happen? Well he did it. So why don't you
17	ask him how it is done? He's still around.
18	MR. BUERY: Thank you so much.
19	And before you testify Mr. Herbert, the
20	next two speakers will be Sara Penenberg and Ben
21	Weinberg.
22	I want to note that we have about 30
23	speakers, and about 45 minutes left in our time. So I
24	need everyone to be respectful Mr. Herbert.
25	MR. HERBERT: All right. I'll be

1	quick. I don't know if I can follow up a discussion
2	like Ms. Boyd. I'll be very brief.
3	All right. So with regards to the
4	ULURP process, many of my bosses have told me in the
5	past that if there is a problem with a certain
6	process, don't look to change it, but go to improve
7	on. So how do you improve on? What's known as an
8	operational self-assessment. Not just have five, six,
9	or four people in a room to discuss the ULURP process
10	and make a change. You have to have a broad scope of
11	individuals together in a room, having them tear apart
12	the process and then rebuilding.
13	It's a painful painful process to go
14	through. I've done about 12 operational self-
15	assessments and for large projects and small projects.
16	It does work.
17	And the concern that many junior boards
18	have that I speak to other community board members is
19	the term as of right because what happens is that many
20	of the homeowners and long-term longtime community
21	members, they feel that they're going to be pushed
22	out. And that's how they look at that term, "as of
23	right."
24	I also know a couple of people in
25	Queens that as of right scares them because they have

1	these solar panels on their homes, and they're afraid
2	that they will no longer have the use of it of the
3	sun to use their solar panels because of as of right
4	construction.
5	All right. So what happens? You have
6	a process, don't look to change it, look to improve.
7	MR. BUERY: Thank you so much. Any
8	questions? Thank you.
9	Next up, we have we have Sara
10	Penenberg and Ben Weinberg.
11	MS. PENENBERG: Good evening, Charter
12	Review Commission. My name is Sara Penenberg, and I
13	am here on behalf of SEIU Local 32BJ. 32BJ is the
14	largest union property service workers in the country
15	representing over 170,000 members in 13 states,
16	including tens of thousands of commercial property
17	service workers, security officers, airport workers,
18	and residential building staff in New York City.
19	32BJ believes that the city ULURP's
20	process is a vital democratic process through which
21	our community members and groups, including labor
22	unions, have the opportunity to engage with developers
23	and shape that project and help shape New York City.
24	Through decades of involvement in the city's ULURP
25	process, our union has been able to defend hard-won

1 industry standards and benefits and to educate the real estate industry and legislators about the 2 importance of creating good jobs in the property 3 4 service sector. 5 At the same time, our union understands 6 the urgency of New York City's housing crisis, and we 7 recognize that confronting this huge crisis and the importance of this for our leadership and policy 8 makers advancing solutions to -- to facilitate the 9 10 productions of hundreds of thousands of new homes of 11 housing as soon as possible. 12 We are hopeful to the Charter 13 Commission in considering amendments to the ULURP 14 process and the Commission's proposal to balance the pressure needed to build more housing with the 15 16 importance of preserving and representing this 17 democracy in this reviewing process. 18 Thank you for your time. 19 MR. BUERY: Thank you so much. 20 Any questions? Thank you so much. 21 And after Mr. Weinberg, next will be 22 Larry Nickens and Denis Ibric. 23 MR. WEINBERG: Thank you. My name is 24 Ben Weinberg, and I'm the director of public policy at 25 Citizens Union. I want to thank you for giving us the

1 opportunity to speak before you today. Citizens Union is a nonpartisan 2 3 government group committed to reforming city and state 4 government by fostering accountability, honesty in the highest technical standards, advocating for political 5 6 reform, and fair and open elections. 7 New York City is facing a serious housing crisis and has a severe housing shortage, a 8 9 shortage in housing unions overall and affordable 10 housing in particular. It needs to produce more 11 housing and provide better opportunities for New 12 Yorkers to obtain and remain in reasonable housing. 13 We very much appreciate the commission's goal to 14 review sections of the city charter addressing planning, housing, and development and to recommend 15 16 changes that would improve the state of housing in our 17 city. 18 We heard a lot of views here today 19 about ULURP, timelines, and other sections of the 20 charter related to housing. We are not opining at 21 this time on individual changes you may be considering 22 to these sections. 23 However, we do wish to comment on a 24 recent proposal to substantially alter the powers of 25 city officials on land use matters. Specifically, you

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com

1	will likely hear receive proposal and shifting
2	final decision-making power on land use matters from
3	the council to the executive branch or the planning
4	commission or other entities. This raises fundamental
5	questions about the structure of the government and
6	the system checks and balances. So we would like to
7	give our opinion on that matter.
8	As a general matter, Citizens Union
9	believes that the problem of New York City housing is
10	not a problem of the New York City Charter, but rather
11	of a lack of a more comprehensive vision between
12	the executive and legislative branches are causing our
13	housing shortage in other words, and not the result of
14	flaws in the structure of government, but how these
15	branches of government operated and the politics that
16	drove and still drive their decisions.
17	And we heard from other speakers that
18	in past decades, the city has taken a piecemeal
19	approach to dealing with housing and planning. And
20	the city council in approving or disapproving those
21	narrow proposals, it's also generally deferred to one
22	or two council members. It's therefore gratifying to
23	see some recent shifts in political wind including the
24	City of Yes.
25	I'll wrap up and say we believe that

1	the keys for such further successes like the City of
2	Yes are the willingness of their executive branch to
3	develop and present housing and other development
4	programs or broad scope wherever possible. And the
5	willing of the mayor and the council to coordinate
6	from an early stage in such programs. Continuing the
7	political jocking between the City Planning Commission
8	and the council on their proposal is a steep price to
9	pay for the millions of city residents who cannot find
10	or afford a place to live.
11	We also know that as a general
12	principle, zoning is a law which determines how land
13	may be used. And therefore, changes to that law
14	should rest in the hands of the council as a
15	legislative body. They mayor has a strong role in
16	this process through the City Planning Commission,
17	which as the sole power control the land and
18	decide what
19	MR. BUERY: We're at time,
20	Mr. Weinberg.
21	MR. WEINBERG: Thank you.
22	MR. BUERY: Thank you so much. Any
23	questions for Mr. Weinberg?
24	DR. NIEVES: I I do. Just briefly.
25	So first picture the 32BJ, I just

want to be clear. You're suggesting that in the ULURP process, whatever reforms are made, we retain the protection for labor standards and prevailing wage, et cetera, those things. So I'm assuming the carpenters and the laborers and everybody increased with that concept? MS. PENENBERG: Yes.

8 DR. NIEVES: Okay. Ben, I'm somewhat
9 confused.

Yes.

10 MR. WEINBERG:

DR. NIEVES: So are you suggesting we keep the ULURP process the way it is? We upend it? I -- and maybe I didn't understand, but I could not quite follow what you were recommending.

15 I'm sorry if my -- I was MR. WEINBERG: 16 unclear. We are not -- about the initial phases of 17 legal process. Only about the question of who has the 18 final say over -- over land use matters, whether it is 19 the city council as the legislative body, or any other 20 part of city government as this is maybe a -- kind of 21 like a preemptive opinion to some -- we have heard around the discussion before this hearing and probably 22 23 you will hear later on about shifting the final 24 decision-making power on -- on land use matters from 25 the city council to the executive.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Page 116 1 DR. NIEVES: So you are anticipating. 2 MR. WEINBERG: Exactly. Always good to 3 anticipate. 4 MR. NIEVES: Thank you. 5 MR. BUERY: Can I ask a question, 6 Mr. Weinberg? 7 MR. WEINBERG: Please. MR. BUERY: What impact did that then 8 9 have on the challenges in -- you discussed around the 10 obstacles to development -- what then would be the 11 reform? So -- so again, many of 12 MR. WEINBERG: 13 the proposals that were heard today are not 14 necessarily -- do not necessarily involve the question 15 of who has that final decision-making power. And they 16 are -- reform, which I'm -- I'm sure we would support The -- the one issue I think we all 17 many of them. 18 agree on is member deference as -- as kind of an 19 inside issue of the legislative branch, which it seems 20 like most of the people around here, including us, are 21 not in favor of. And we are aware of the problems and 22 difficulties of actually legislating member deference 23 or regulating it through the city charter. 24 However, our point is kind of broader 25 about who has the final say over land use matters,

1	whether that should be the legislative volume or the
2	other or or the executive. And our concern is
3	really about shifting too much power to the executive
4	in and final say over where the
5	MR. BUERY: Thank you. Thank you both
6	so much.
7	Next up, Larry Nickens and Denis Ibric.
8	And following Larry and Denis will be Catherine
9	Vaughan and Yvonne Roman.
10	MR. IBRIC: Thank you so much. Good
11	evening. My name is Denis Ibric. I'm speaking on
12	behalf of the 20,000 men and women of the New York
13	City District Council of Carpenters. Every day our
14	members are risking their lives to build New York
15	City.
16	Numerous press reports have suggested
17	that this commission is seeking to limit member
18	deference and weaken the ULURP process. What this
19	commission must understand is that while imperfect,
20	member deference and ULURP remains the only mechanism
21	that forces powerful real estate interests to make
22	concessions to the community and ensures construction
23	workers are paid enough to afford the very affordable
24	housing they build.
25	This job used to be a ticket to the

1	middle class. Now the amount of construction workers
2	on government assistance is above average when
3	compared to other industries. That is why we cannot
4	support and will aggressively oppose any efforts to
5	erode the power of elected officials to protect our
6	members on projects in their districts.
7	If the commission wants to pursue
8	targeted common-sense reforms to speed up the process,
9	that is a conversation worth having. But it cannot
10	come with the expense of responsible development and
11	working New Yorkers.
12	With as much humility as I can muster,
13	I'd like to remind everyone that whether in Albany or
14	City Hall, every time we have engaged in a land use
15	fight over the past four years, the Carpenters Union
16	has always won. We hope for a constructive dialogue.
17	But on behalf of the workers of New York City union
18	and non-union alike, we will be ready if it is not.
19	Thank you.
20	MR. BUERY: Start name earlier.
21	Mr. Ibric?
22	MR. IBRIC: Ibric.
23	MR. BUERY: Ibric. Sorry about that.
24	I have a question. So you talked
25	about reform. Is there a particular reform that
l	

Page 119 1 you would recommend or open to? MR. IBRIC: I would -- if I would be 2 3 able to, I would like to at least send an email of a 4 full detail approach on it. If I could get your email 5 at the -- at the end of this please. 6 MR. BUERY: Thank you. And -- and the 7 email address, I believe, is chartertestimony@ -- oh I forgot. Can somebody remind me what the 8 my gosh. 9 email address? Citycharter.nyc.gov. So 10 chartertestimony@citycharter.nyc.gov. And that's true 11 for anyone who plans to testify and is not able to 12 stay, please do submit written testimony. It will all 13 be reviewed. Thank you. Any other questions? 14 Oh 15 yes. I'm sorry. 16 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 5: I did. I -- I want to say first, I'm a daughter of carpenter, so I 17 18 just wanted to say that. 19 MR. IBRIC: Awesome. 20 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 5: From Brooklyn. 21 So I wanted -- I just wanted to ask -- push a little 22 bit further on that. When you send that email, I'm 23 curious to also hear not just what would be some of 24 the solutions or suggestions for an expedited process, 25 but also for a process that still includes community

1 board input as well too. So if you can add those, that's my request. 2 3 MR. IBRIC: Absolutely. 4 MR. BUERY: Okay. 5 Thank you guys for -- for MS. SAVINO: coming tonight and testifying. And you said something 6 7 interesting that every time you -- whether it's in Albany or in City Hall, when you guys are opposed to 8 9 something or support something, you managed to deliver 10 Quite honestly, a lot of the changes to housing it. 11 law and financing and development that is needed for 12 the city would not have happened without the 13 partnership either in Albany or in City Hall with the 14 carpenters, with the laborers, with the entire building trades. And in fact, the labor movement in 15 16 general because we know how hard it is for working 17 people to be able to find a place to live in the city. 18 But many of the projects that your guys 19 are going to have gone to work on, it's taken forever 20 to get them to shovels in the ground. So I think what 21 we are looking for is are there recommendations coming from either the carpenters and the building trades at 22 23 large as to what we can do to speed up that process so 24 not only do you guys get to work sooner, which you 25 might even get an opportunity to live in some of these

Page 121 1 buildings that you are building. And if you don't know the answer to 2 3 that, you can share with us later. But I'm just 4 curious as to what the perspective of building trades 5 is on this. 6 MR. IBRIC: Right. So I can't -- I 7 can't speak for the building trades, but I can definitely in that email speak for what the carpenters 8 9 have a plan as. Yeah, definitely. 10 MS. SAVINO: Thank you. 11 MR. BUERY: Thank you for your 12 testimony. I appreciate it. Thank you. 13 Next we have Catherine Vaughan and 14 Ms. Vaughan? Yvonne Roman. 15 MS. VAUGHAN: Hi. My name is Catherine 16 I'm the co-founder and co-executive director Vaughan. of Abundance New York. 17 We --18 MR. BUERY: I'm sorry. What? 19 MS. VAUGHAN: Abundance New York. 20 We're a community of 2,000 New Yorkers 21 who believe that our city's superpower -- growth and 22 change -- want to see New York that can build enough 23 housing for -- demand, and build transit and climate 24 infrastructure as well -- 21st century. And we

25 represent what power -- refers to as a quiet majority

1	of people who hope to be a counterweight to what we
2	see as undue and and just too much NIMBY influence
3	in a lot of these processes. And in addition to
4	running Abundance New York, I'm a member of community
5	Ward 6, and I'm a mother of two young children and a
6	renter. So it's a personal issue for me as well.
7	Our housing crisis is undeniable.
8	Rents are rising at seven times seven times faster
9	than wages and hit a record high of \$3,500 on median
10	rent in Brooklyn last year. Fifty-five percent of
11	households are rent burdened, and we have the lowest
12	rental we had the lowest rental vacancy rate that
13	we've seen in 50 years.
14	And yet, as speaker after speaker has
15	described, the processes for approving new housing are
16	broken. From the ULURP process to member deference,
17	which gives individual members a veto over citywide
18	
	housing priorities to specific participants in the
19	housing priorities to specific participants in the ULURP process that are not representative of their
19 20	
	ULURP process that are not representative of their
20	ULURP process that are not representative of their community community boards.
20 21	ULURP process that are not representative of their community community boards. So as we think about some of these
20 21 22	ULURP process that are not representative of their community community boards. So as we think about some of these process changes, I I have three Ps here. In the
20 21 22 23	ULURP process that are not representative of their community community boards. So as we think about some of these process changes, I I have three Ps here. In the purview of ULURP change, can the process change and

1	Reynoso talked about comprehensive planning and
2	processes. You know, can we set goals and targets by
3	district about how much housing should be built with
4	an eye toward discrepancies and inequities that have
5	happened in the past and think about what is
6	appropriate for each district. And then fast track
7	projects through the ULURP process. You know, can we
8	think about the disposition of public land differently
9	as as of New York mentioned, thinking about fast
10	tracking processes for building affordable housing on
11	public on city-owned land.
12	And then finally, not housing-related.
13	But all sorts of land-use projects are required and
14	subject to ULURP like shelters. And that doesn't
15	seem like a good use of time, so think about what can
16	we remove or from ULURP. Can the process change
17	Reynoso suggested switching the order of borough
18	presidents and councilmembers.
19	And you know, Open New York has
20	recommended a streamlined process that combines the
21	community and borough president since borough
22	presidents have oversight of community boards already
23	that could be a consolidation processes where the BP
24	would ultimately oversee and coordinate community
25	input.

1	And finally, speaking of community
2	boards, thinking about the participants in this
3	process, community boards play an important advisory
4	role in ULURP, and yet they're not representative of
5	their community. They tend to be older, whiter,
6	wealthier, more likely to own homes and cars. The
7	charter could require more community boards to be more
8	representative of their communities or reimagine them
9	entirely though random selection, citizen assembly
10	processes or just other innovative ways of getting a
11	community.
12	MR. BUERY: Thank you so much. Can
13	we thank you.
14	Please continue.
15	MS. ROMAN: Hi. My name is Yvonne
16	Roman. I'm a homeowner, and I've been in my home over
17	60 years. As developers okay. As developers build
18	their properties, they are required to avoid damaging
19	neighboring buildings. Damaging neighboring
20	buildings, this has always been a challenge,
21	especially in New York City where construction often
22	causes serious harm to adjoining properties. To
23	prevent this, it's required that developers enter into
24	a license agreement with the neighboring property
25	owners granting access to protect those properties.

Yet, despite the extensive planning that goes into
 real estate development, this crucial step is often
 overlooked.

4 I did my due diligence. I researched. 5 I had a neighbor agreement. I notified my insurance 6 company that construction was starting on both sides 7 of my 100-year-old home at the same time. This happened in 2016. Let that sink in. nine years. 8 For 9 nine years, my family and I have been unable to fully 10 enjoy our home. Besides myself, my home is empty. No 11 rental income. My family had to move away up to White 12 Plains to find affordable housing. Developers arrived 13 -- promising to fix any damage that projects cause. But when the damage happens, suddenly accountability 14 15 disappeared.

16 The 2024 Charter Revision Commission 17 introduced reform to improve the capital planning 18 process, prioritizing capital project efficiency. But 19 as we streamline these processes, we must include 20 clear, enforceable protection for homeowners. We 21 cannot sacrifice -- quality of life in the name of 22 development. Builders must hold accountability when 23 they fail to honor these agreements with their 24 neighbors. It should not be as easy as just forming 25 an LLC and then vanishing when it's time to take

responsibility. That's exactly what happened in my
 case.

A recent city report stated "These reforms are essential to making New York City more resilient to extreme weather, and most importantly, to ensure the wellbeing of our people." Well, where's my resiliency? The buildings went up, and while my basement continues to get flooding, creating a hazardous mold infestation.

10 This cannot continue. We need real 11 collaboration between builders and homeowners, not 12 just empty promises. I've taken my case to every 13 politician I can find, and all I hear is, "Call my office in the morning." Well, I did that. And guess 14 15 what? Lawyers are expensive. And after exhausting my 16 retirement, my 401k is still not enough. And when I finally go to court, the judge issues adjournment 17 18 after adjournment. Nine years later, nothing has been 19 done.

20 So I ask what happened to getting stuff 21 done? I did my part. Now it's time for my city that 22 I love to do its part. Thank you.

23MR. BUERY: Thank you so much.And --24thank you so much.

25

I'd please ask everyone to please save

Page 127 1 commentary for the testimony. Thank you so much. Before I ask questions, I want to --2 Vishnu Reddy and John Woelfling will be speaking next. 3 4 Do you have any questions for the 5 panelist? And I do want to express my -- just express 6 my -- my sorrow that you're going through --7 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 6: We need solutions, not just sympathy. 8 9 MR. DABARON: Yeah. I just wanted to 10 ask you -- if I heard you correct, you were talking 11 about community board appointment; correct? You, 12 ma'am. Sorry. 13 MS. VAUGHAN: Yes. 14 And thank you for your MS. DABARON: 15 testimony. 16 I wanted to know, like, what were your ideas in terms of, like, how -- how do you see that, 17 18 you know, that that can be done in the way that, you 19 know, you feel? 20 MS. VAUGHAN: Sure. I mean, there 21 could be questions on the community board application. 22 I've seen some changes in some boroughs around 23 homeownership. So having a proportion of homeowners 24 versus renters. Be similar to that in the community. 25 You could do that with a number of different potential

1 dimensions.

2	But I think that could be one step
3	toward you know, obviously, it's hard for anyone to
4	show up at any, like, 6:30 p.m. meeting. So it often
5	selects for people who have more time and more
6	resources to be able to do that. But if it's possible
7	to rethink ways that community boards could truly be
8	more representative of their community and how
9	proportions of lower income folks or renters or people
10	who are affected by the housing crisis.
11	MR. BUERY: Just a follow up, and
12	then do you think that should be a charter change,
13	or do you think that's the responsibility of both
14	certainly, very thoughtful suggestions. But the
15	responsibility of the appointing authorities, the
16	borough president, the city councilmember? And
17	shouldn't they be held accountable?
18	MS. VAUGHAN: Yeah. Well, what is the
19	accountability process
20	MR. BUERY: The the voting booth.
21	MS. VAUGHAN: Yeah. I mean,
22	unfortunately, with, like, 7 percent turnout rates
23	and and very low kind of a high capacity of
24	information about things like board appointments, I
25	think that, like, that that process wouldn't work.

1	So I think that I I'm not an expert on charters.
2	But I do think that some sort of accountability
3	process or mandate could help, you know, folks appoint
4	more representative boards.
5	MR. BUERY: Any other questions?
6	MR. DABARON: If it's okay, just a
7	follow up. I'm sorry. Just a follow up. I know
8	we time.
9	But I was seeing in certain cases where
10	you have community board appointments that are not
11	necessarily representative of actual community members
12	and don't have real stakes in the community. So my
13	my thought is on how, you know, the idea of how the
14	charter could better server to address that particular
15	thing.
16	MS. VAUGHAN: Yeah. I mean, I think
17	you could also just look to other models of what
18	democratic processes look like. Community boards
19	if you've ever sat through a community board meeting,
20	you can feel it can feel very tedious, and not
21	necessarily really often community input and true
22	participation. So thinking of I mean, there are,
23	you know, I served on a grand jury here, and that
24	was that looked like a truly representative slice,
25	at least of citizens in Brooklyn whereas, you know,
1	

1	the way community boards look, it looks like people
2	who have a lot of time and interest who sit there and
3	often say no to things. And and so, you know,
4	selecting people at random, having a citizen's
5	assembly model, I'm not again, I'm not an expert in
6	this either, but there's a wealth of literature on how
7	other countries and cities try to optimize for truly
8	representative participation and democratic processes.
9	MS. SAVINO: I just wanted to add it
10	may be worth looking at the Manhattan process of
11	the there. They reimagined all the community
12	boards and really looked at these I'm just saying
13	that because I think there are ways of thinking about
14	that. And I would say too, not one community board's
15	the same as the next community board too. So you
16	could have some boards in Brooklyn that may more
17	representative than others. But I think that's I
18	just wanted to add that.
19	MS. VAUGHAN: I will check that out.
20	MR. BUERY: Thank you both for your
21	testimony. Thank you.
22	Mr. Reddy?
23	MR. REDDY: Hi. My name is Vishnu
24	Reddy, and I live in Sunny Side. I'm here to ask to
25	the Commission to push for reform to the charter

1	that meet the moment in the face of an intense housing
2	crisis that is decades in the making. I'm so grateful
3	that we've passed an important milestone with City of
4	Yes, but we need to keep the momentum going if we want
5	to have any relief for New Yorkers who struggle to
6	live here.
7	We need government to act to get us the
8	housing we need, but it's clear now more than ever
9	that the processes set forth decades ago are holding
10	us back from solving the crisis. The clear example of
11	how current processes keep shooting ourselves in the
12	foot is the project in the Bronx.
13	It's very clear that the city has a
14	dire need for supportive housing, and the Just Home
15	project is a slam dunk in that regard. The process to
16	get Just Home across the finish line has taken years,
17	and we still have no idea if and when it's going to
18	get approved. More than two years ago, Bronx CB 11
19	had a hearing about Just Home where my friends were
20	harassed and faced threats of violence for simply
21	being in favor of the project.
22	More than one year ago, Just Home
23	finally made it to the council. But the council that
24	stalled on it because they are not bound to any time
25	constraint. The fact that we still have no clue

what's happening with the project after so long is
 ridiculous.

I'd like the Charter Revision 3 4 Commission to pursue reforms that'll help us do better 5 to deliver homes for New Yorkers, and there are some key reforms I think would help. We should consolidate 6 7 advisory review phase on any housing project into a single process slip by the borough president. 8 The way we currently do advising -- advisory reviews is not 9 10 working, and I'm sick of attending screaming matches 11 in church basements run by unelected people to get an 12 apartment building built. Borough presidents are much 13 more well-resourced and have more experienced staff 14 that can help run these community -- processes better. 15 And by consolidating the process, we can avoid 16 needless slowdowns to progress.

We should look at consolidating city agencies like DCP and HPD that do a lot of related work so they can more effectively tackle the housing crisis by having a clear -- direction.

I'm so grateful to the amazing public servants that are working hard to solve this existential problem for the City, and I believe that consolidating efforts around housing under a single agency would help them serve us better, especially

1 with the staffing shortages in the city right now. MR. BUERY: 2 Thank you. 3 MR. REDDY: I'd also want to see 4 affordable housing on public land get a more 5 streamlined process so it can deliver the housing New 6 Yorkers need to stay and strive in the city. Whenever 7 I go to community board meetings on housing, I hear my neighbors mention the clear need for more affordable 8 9 housing, and they are completely right. Lastly, I'd 10 like to see reforms that make exclusionary low-growth 11 neighborhoods contribute their fair share when it 12 comes to housing supply. Thank you for tackling such 13 an important issue for our city, and I'm looking 14 forward to voting "yes" on much needed reforms on my 15 ballot. 16 MR. BUERY: Thank you so much, 17 Mr. Reddy. 18 Can I have Mr. Woelfling -- before 19 questions. And before you speak, Mr. Woelfling, just 20 so you know, the next speakers will be Hector Robertson and David Gordon. 21 22 MR. WOELFLING: Thank you. So my name 23 is John Woelfling. Thank you all for your service on 24 this important commission. 25 I'm here to tell you that the ULURP

with confidence because I am an architect, practiced in the city for three years. I've also served on my community board my local community board, Brooklyn So I've seen this from both sides. I've seen how the process works for both sides. Much of what I've worked on as an architect is affordable housing. And really truly affordable housing. Affordable housing is sponsored by the city, HPD. And many of those projects have gone through the ULURP process, sometimes for disposition of city-owned property, sometimes for	
4 community board my local community board, Brooklyn 5 So I've seen this from both sides. I've seen how the 6 process works for both sides. 7 Much of what I've worked on as an 8 architect is affordable housing. And really truly 9 affordable housing. Affordable housing is sponsored 10 by the city, HPD. And many of those projects have 11 gone through the ULURP process, sometimes for 12 disposition of city-owned property, sometimes for	
5 So I've seen this from both sides. I've seen how the process works for both sides. 7 Much of what I've worked on as an architect is affordable housing. And really truly affordable housing. Affordable housing is sponsored by the city, HPD. And many of those projects have gone through the ULURP process, sometimes for disposition of city-owned property, sometimes for	
 6 process works for both sides. 7 Much of what I've worked on as an 8 architect is affordable housing. And really truly 9 affordable housing. Affordable housing is sponsored 10 by the city, HPD. And many of those projects have 11 gone through the ULURP process, sometimes for 12 disposition of city-owned property, sometimes for 	
 Much of what I've worked on as an architect is affordable housing. And really truly affordable housing. Affordable housing is sponsored by the city, HPD. And many of those projects have gone through the ULURP process, sometimes for disposition of city-owned property, sometimes for 	
8 architect is affordable housing. And really truly 9 affordable housing. Affordable housing is sponsored 10 by the city, HPD. And many of those projects have 11 gone through the ULURP process, sometimes for 12 disposition of city-owned property, sometimes for	
9 affordable housing. Affordable housing is sponsored 10 by the city, HPD. And many of those projects have 11 gone through the ULURP process, sometimes for 12 disposition of city-owned property, sometimes for	
10 by the city, HPD. And many of those projects have 11 gone through the ULURP process, sometimes for 12 disposition of city-owned property, sometimes for	
<pre>11 gone through the ULURP process, sometimes for 12 disposition of city-owned property, sometimes for</pre>	
12 disposition of city-owned property, sometimes for	
13 rezoning, and sometimes both.	
14 So in my experience, this process is	
15 broken in two ways. And the first one which has been	
16 spoken to as length today is about nonstrategic	
17 planning. So I'm not going to go into that.	
18 But what I will talk about, which is	
19 where I have a great degree of frustration, the ULURP	
20 process, as many or maybe all of you know, is	
21 comprised of two components. There's the	
22 precertification phase, and then there's the	
23 post-certification phrase phase. The	
24 post-certification phase takes six to seven	
25 statutory it's limited to that. The	

1	precertification phase can take three years or more.
2	That is crazy. And what we what often takes up
3	that time is urban planning comments. It's talking
4	about where the curb cut is located. It's talking
5	about, you know, the environmental assessment.
6	And I I truly believe that we need
7	to build more affordable housing. I have two projects
8	that have taken over three years for just a simple map
9	change. Three years of that precertification process.
10	So one of my proposals and I know this is
11	controversial. It's been spoken about tonight how
12	controversial it might be would be to if you've
13	got an affordable housing project that targets
14	neighborhood AMIs and has a significant percentage
15	that has targeted that neighborhood's AMIs, and maybe
16	leave some other environmental factors, just change
17	the map. Change the map and move on. Focus on the
18	bigger, more complicated things.
19	These things that my fellow architect,
20	Vishaan, talked about, it made so much sense. Limit
21	it to what's in the neighborhood. But make that
22	project affordable. So that's gentrification,
23	displacement, those things are all addressed. I've
24	heard many times in the community board that these
25	are, like, the concerns of my neighbors. And I get

1	it. I understand it. They're right. But we can
2	build more housing. We can do it thank you.
3	MR. BUERY: Thank you so much.
4	Any questions for Mr. Reddy or Mr.
5	Woelfling?
6	MR. DABARON: I have a question.
7	So first, if if you do it the way
8	you say, right, if there are are you sacrificing
9	quality for speed? I agree with you, by the way, in
10	terms of the ULURP process and the amount of time and
11	stuff like that, so we agree. But I'm just wondering
12	that is there a way that we can do this to speed it up
13	but without sacrificing even addressing things like
14	infrastructure throughout the local community,
15	community engagement, all different things. Is there
16	a way to do that without sacrificing those things?
17	MR. WOELFLING: I think this does go
18	back to the comprehensive plan that's been mentioned
19	by many others. You just you need to look at what
20	that those implications are, whether it's school,
21	sewage, transits. The the thing was so ingenious
22	about the the study that Vishaan spoke about was
23	limiting it to areas that could really handle some of
24	these things. Don't go and flood areas. Build
25	where near where transit is. That infill with that

1	midrise housing, the fact that we can get so much out
2	of that makes so much sense.
3	MR. BUERY: Thank you very much.
4	I have a follow up question. So how
5	would environmental review play what role would
6	environmental review play in the
7	MR. WOELFLING: So it may be something
8	as simple as looking at shadows. Maybe it is, you
9	know, making sure that the density of this is not
10	going to outweigh something that is also in the
11	neighborhood. One of the things that I often do in my
12	rezoning proposals is I look at the contents I'll
13	look at what the adjacent zoning districts are. I'll
14	look at whether there's a big building right next door
15	or within a block radius or or within a relatively
16	close area. I think in Miami, there is something very
17	similar. If you are matching the density of something
18	that's in the a mile radius, you get a much faster
19	process to get that project approved. So that would
20	be one way to do it.
21	DR. NIEVES: As someone who's built
22	affordable housing, I have housing that you've
23	built that's been affordable, has it been to the AMI
24	of that local community?
25	MR. WOELFLING: It has been. We

1 often -- like, every unit is affordable. And it's not just, like, the -- affordable. It's 20, 40 -- there's 2 some 60 and some 80, maybe some hundred. But -- lower 3 4 rates. And one of the -- I think one of the 5 6 controversial things about doing these all-affordable 7 housing projects is that it takes a lot of city subsidy to do those. If you do have a more mixed 8 income like an 80/20 -- 45 W or 45 X program, those 9 10 two populations cross subsidize each other. 11 I think that actually is better housing 12 policy to have a mix of incomes within buildings and 13 within communities. It allows people to stay in 14 place. It allowed for more resiliency in the 15 building's -- if there is some sort of problem where, 16 you know, people can't pay rent for whatever reason, 17 there's more ability for those buildings to survive 18 those type of situations. 19 MR. BUERY: Okay. Thank you so much --20 thank you so much. 21 Next we have Hector Robinson and David 22 Gordon. 23 And just so you know, up next would be 24 Cormac Slade Byrd and Michael Abrahams. Thank you so 25 much.

1	Mr. Robinson?
2	MR. ROBINSON: Thank you.
3	Good evening. I think there's been a
4	lot of talk about comprehensive planning in this
5	meeting tonight. But I think a lot of people need to
6	realize that comprehensive planning is more than just
7	land use. We have to start focusing on education,
8	transportation, public health, and safety,
9	sustainability.
10	Most of the buildings that are built,
11	for example, in my community in Crown Heights, are not
12	taking these aspects into account. There's a lot of
13	luxury buildings where the population of Crown Heights
14	is probably less than \$65,000 a year. And so when we
15	take this discomfort and planning and not take into
16	account all these different aspects that goes into
17	building a community. I think that would be
18	absolutely shortsighted.
19	We do not want to stifle the
20	development of New York City. That's not the goal.
21	And if I sit on a community board and see exactly
22	what's happening in the community and how the into
23	our community and don't take into account the
24	community residents' will and desire what exactly they
25	need for the communities.

1	And so when the city council and the
2	-
	borough president actually think that having a
3	comprehensive plan is going to save all the problems
4	and cutting out the community, I just think I
5	simply think that this is just not the way we should
6	go. I don't necessarily think that the commission
7	really has a lot of say in in exactly how we should
8	actually handle development in different communities.
9	But I think the ULURP process should
10	remain. It gives communities a voice in exactly what
11	they need to build in their communities, and it
12	actually tells the developers exactly how we need to
13	build in their communities. And so of this is
14	absolutely necessary for us to continue doing in our
15	communities. Thank you.
16	MR. BUERY: Thank you so much.
17	Mr. Gordon?
18	MR. GORDON: Thank you. Thank you for
19	holding this hearing. I'm here today to testify as an
20	advocate for more housing, more homes in New York.
21	I'm a side renter, and I frequently find myself at
22	CB-3 meetings and other public meetings much like
23	tonight's.
24	Frankly, tonight's meeting feels much
25	more productive than the average CB meeting. I

want to emphasize my support for two specific points
 proposed tonight by our experts. First, a fast track
 for ULURP as proposed by Vicki Been as well as
 Annemarie Gray of Open New York.

And secondly, strengthening the 5 interagency organization, I think as being testified, 6 7 and this was one of the largest contributors of added 8 time. And I don't think it is the type of time that 9 people would object to taking out of the process, and the type of time that if we can get our agencies more 10 11 coordinated, it can seem -- be a seamless win-win for 12 everybody.

13 But I won't go on too much longer 14 because I'm proud to be testifying in favor of these 15 reforms as I wrote my senior thesis on this exact 16 topic, much of it based on the research and work of experts who testified today, including Vicky Been and 17 Vishaan Chakrabarti. So I'm here to ask this 18 19 commission to lean on their expertise and lean on the 20 experts we've heard here tonight. You invited these 21 experts for a reason, and they have done the work and 22 know what is needed to be done in order to reform the commission -- in order to reform ULURP. 23

I also just would like to remindeverybody that the Commission's work product at the

1	end of the day will be a referendum that everyday
2	voters will get to vote on. Those voters include
3	myself and the other everyday public New Yorkers who
4	have come here to testify. And so in that vein, I
5	really hope that you use the expertise to its greatest
6	advantage and put forward what they think is best for
7	the workers and we'll have the opportunity to accept
8	or reject that.
9	So I'll end my testimony by saying that
10	I look forward to voting in favor of some of the
11	reforms that I've heard here tonight, and I hope the
12	commission includes them in their final proposal. And
13	I look forward to voting for these because I think
14	that they'll bring about lower rents, and it'll mean
15	less time for me spending less time in lengthy
16	meetings begging for more housing all the time. I
17	think that we can save a lot of all of our times with
18	this process. So thank you again and I'll cut it off
19	there.
20	MR. BUERY: Thank you so much. Any
21	questions for our panelist?
22	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 7: Thank you for
23	your testimony.
24	I wanted to know, are you for
25	Greenlining are you okay with greenlining the ULURP
	Varitary Lagal Solutions

1	process, or do you think that the process is good as
2	it is? And if you had any particular recommendation,
3	what would that be whether to make it better or keep
4	it the same, or whatever the case.
5	MR. GORDON: Well, I think I
6	think sorry. I think the processes should be
7	streamlined. I think that we we spend a lot of
8	time on the community board trying to ensure that the
9	community is protected from, you know, unnecessary
10	tall buildings and developments that don't really help
11	the community. So I I don't necessarily think that
12	the process needs to be revamped or taken offline yet.
13	You need to have a fair track to make sure that
14	whatever's built in the community, number one, is
15	useful for the community. And number two, that the
16	community continues to have a voice as to whether or
17	not that usefulness is what is good for the people of
18	the community, not necessarily the developer.
19	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 7: Thank you.
20	MR. BUERY: All right. Thank you so
21	much. I appreciate it.
22	I just want to note our ASL
23	interpreters are only here to stay here until
24	8 p.m. And I want to I want to know of anyone here
25	requires ASL service. Not who's still in the room

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com

1 because we can ask them to stay later, but we'll only do if someone needs interpretation. There's subtitles 2 3 online for people who need it. Okay. All right. 4 Thank you so much. 5 So our next speakers are Cormac 6 Slack -- sorry, Cormac Slade Byrd and Michael 7 I'm sure I butchered both those names, so I Abrahams. 8 apologize. Afterwards it'll be Daniel Golliher and 9 10 Julio Pena. 11 Thank you so much. Mister -- sorry. 12 Can you -- how do you pronounce your last name? 13 MR. SLADE BYRD: Slade Byrd. 14 MR. BUERY: Slade Byrd. Okay. Thank 15 you so much. 16 MR. SLADE BYRD: Good afternoon. My name is Cormac Slade Byrd. I urge this commission to 17 18 take bold action -- a more prosperous New York City. 19 For decades, our city has been mired in a housing 20 emergency. A crisis declared year to year, with 21 little to no real change. For over 50 years, we have 22 simply hoped the emergency would fix itself, but 23 housing remains as unaffordable as ever. This failure 24 exposes our inability to address the root cause: 25 insufficient new housing supply.

1	There are two proposals that can help
2	break this cycle. To help contextualize proposals
3	a little bit, they're working on the member deference
4	problem that Howard Slatkin eloquently brought up
5	earlier today. First, we must reform City Council's
6	role in decisions during a housing emergency.
7	Today the council can block or dilute new housing
8	proposals with a simple majority vote, repeatedly
9	stifling projects essential to increasing our housing
10	stock.
11	What has been said during a declared
12	emergency, the council to disprove decisions to
13	be raised to a supermajority this change in Section
14	197-d would force the council to take its own
15	emergency declaration seriously concerning the only
16	proposals would near unanimous opposition can be
17	blocked.
18	Second, we need to reshape the
19	council's composition so the represents the entire
20	city rather than narrow local interests. With 51
21	district-based members, our current council is often
22	paralyzed by parochial pressures. Let's add ten
23	citywide at large seats to Chapter 2 of the charter.
24	This would inject a broader perspective into the
25	legislative process. These representatives

1 accountable to all New Yorkers would focus on the metropolitan-wide issues and help counterbalance 2 localized, resistant to progress. 3 4 Consider the transformative potential 5 of these reforms. In the early 1900s, New York built 6 over 700,000 new housing units in less than a decade. 7 A construction boom that stabilized rent, created -and created vibrant, diverse neighborhoods. 8 This 9 historical example shows that -- different policies 10 can reshape a city's housing market. 11 As a great New York City politician 12 once declared, "Far better is it to dare mighty things 13 to win gloriant triumphs" -- "to win glorious triumphs 14 or" -- "even though checkered by failure." The spirit of daring innovation has been lacking here in New York 15 16 City. 17 While the recently passed City of Yes 18 was a step in the right direction, it was 19 significantly -- down and pales in comparison to what 20 we could be doing. Just this week, Cambridge, 21 Massachusetts passed zoning reforms, which eliminated 22 outdated single-family restrictions, and now allowed 23 six-story buildings to be built citywide. It is now 24 more restrictive to build in Brooklyn than it is in Cambridge, a disparity that must change. 25

1	In summary, these two reforms work in
2	-
	tandem to break the cycle of inaction that defined New
3	York City's housing policy for far too long by
4	imposing a supermajority requirement for blocking new
5	housing during an emergency and by expanding the
6	council voices inside of New York real, rapid
7	change.
8	Thank you Chair Buery and the Charter
9	Revision Commission.
10	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 8: Build in the
11	rich men kind of neighborhoods. Bull.
12	MR. BUERY: Thank you so much. And
13	please please allow folks to testify so we can
14	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 8: He finished,
15	sir. Sorry.
16	MR. BUERY: Mr. Abrahams?
17	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 8: Gentrifier.
18	MR. ABRAHAMS: So I don't have quite as
19	much talk. I wanted to voice my support for
20	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 8: Another
21	gentrifier.
22	MR. ABRAHAMS: the Open New York site
23	revision recommendations. Particularly, one would
24	create, like, a fast track for fair housing. Like
25	framework. Like, basically, you know, there's been a

1	lot said about how, you know, our housing shortage
2	lacks increased like, causing this but like,
3	you know, I think one thing that a lot of people who
4	have been, like, suggesting things have been, like,
5	"Oh. Let's add a confrontation to the process.
6	Let's, like, add this city, like, you know,
7	comprehensive plan." Like, you know.
8	And like, yes, like, I understand that.
9	But I just want to, like, ask that we all, like
10	like, try to consider, like, a principle of, like,
11	designing rules that, like like, rule system,
12	something that can, like, simplify streamline. Like,
13	if we like, if we were create a, like,
14	comprehensive plan; right?
15	Like, the value of that would be in
16	creating like, simple agreement that, like, we can
17	later, like, revise and say, "Hey, like, that's what
18	you agreed to. Like, you don't have to go through
19	these complicated processes and it, like, speeds
20	things up"; right?
21	So you know, I guess I also wanted to
22	maybe just to address a little bit of this, like, you
23	know, what you know, what is the community New
24	York? Like you know, "Oh, you're a gentrifier";
25	right? Like

Page 149 1 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 8: You are. 2 MR. ABRAHAMS: -- the -- you know, 3 like -- it's like, you know, I've been living here for 4 eight years; right? Like, I'm a renter --UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 8: Yeah. 5 6 Gentrifier. 7 MR. ABRAHAMS: -- you know, and like, you know, my friends are here. I've lived here. 8 I've 9 been coming to New York, and this is my home; right? 10 Like -- and you know, like, I -- the --11 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 8: Right. Ι mean, it's just --12 13 MR. BUERY: Please -- please -- all due 14 respect when --15 MR. ABRAHAMS: Yeah. Ordinary --16 ordinary -- trying to survive here. Like, you know, we just want, like, prices to, like, come down. 17 18 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 8: Oh really? MR. ABRAHAMS: Like, you know, we just 19 20 want to be able to live here. 21 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 8: And we don't? 22 MR. ABRAHAMS: And so, like, you know, 23 I -- yeah. I just wanted to like -- like, you know, 24 ask that, like, you know, that -- that, you know --25 you know, allowing -- housing for, like, you know,

Page 150 1 people who want to live here. Like, that is New York. 2 Like, those are -- too, so yeah. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 8: One minute. 3 4 MR. BUERY: Thank you. 5 Any questions for the panelist? 6 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 8: Next. 7 MS. LAREMONT: Thank you. I just had a question about your proposal to have -- require 8 9 supermajorities of the city council because it's 10 not -- it's not clear to me how that would change any 11 of the -- the dynamics that we have today. What --12 what is your thought on that? 13 MR. ABRAHAMS: Yeah. I mean, we all 14 know this -- most things get -- committee, and then 15 just get voted through in a large package together. Ι 16 mean, the -- the hope is that that combined with 17 perhaps the citywide at large members if all -- say, all ten of them were in favor of all new 18 19 construction --20 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 8: Of course 21 they -- real estate --22 MR. ABRAHAMS: -- and it's already 23 almost close to the required 25 -- that's required 25 24 percent. And I think there's not a great answer to

25

that. You could have a supermajority that requires 80

1 percent -- majority that requires 85 percent. You know, I don't have the right numbers. But if --2 3 MR. BUERY: Thank you so much. 4 Any other questions? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 8: I don't 5 6 think --7 I had a quick question. MR. DABARON: Just I wanted to learn something from you. 8 You referred to the 1900s. 9 10 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 8: Are you the 11 guy from -- he even acknowledged it. He --12 MR. DABARON: Yeah -- so my question to you is do you think it -- that we should look back to 13 14 that time period of even before right before that, or 15 should be -- time to do something different? We're 16 not -- of the 1900s -- before that. I think of places like, you know, when policies allow for -- like Seneca 17 18 Village to turn into Central Park. 19 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 8: That's right, 20 sir. Black History Month. Thank you for -- American 21 History. 22 MR. DABARON: So my thing is really, 23 like, I kind of -- like, look at all of the -- been 24 here that we have been doing and what we do. I want 25 to know from you, like, what do you think? Like,

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com

Page 152 1 how -- do you think that we really should, like, just 2 draw apart the maps --UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 8: 3 That was 4 what -- Washington, not New York. 5 MR. DABARON: -- and try to do 6 something new? 7 MR. ABRAHAMS: I think there's Yeah. definitely something to be learned of the past. 8 Ι 9 think a very pointed example that I considered here 10 was we had at large members -- they were borough-based 11 at large members as recently as 1984, of course, before the Board of Estimates. 12 13 But you know, this is -- I think this 14 is -- before where we've had borough at large members. 15 Those -- dropped down because they were not equal 16 votes because of -- populations. But they -- citywide at large members -- would be more present. So there 17 18 is certainly precedent for many of these old options 19 that we could, you know, take our --20 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 8: Real estate --21 support that. MR. ABRAHAMS: 22 -- and use what, you 23 know -- what we've done before as a -- as a -- when 24 you think about what could be done going forward. 25 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 8: And where

Page 153 1 would the black people --MR. BUERY: Thank you so much. 2 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 8: -- could seats 3 4 at that time. You're not going to --5 MR. BUERY: Thank you so much. Ι 6 really appreciate it. 7 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 8: Boo --8 gentrifier. Boo. 9 MR. BUERY: Next up we have Daniel Golliher and Julio Pena. 10 11 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 8: Oh. Another one. Coming out of the woodworks like roaches. 12 13 MR. BUERY: And again, I'm really going 14 to ask everyone if we could please be respectful for 15 It's very difficult to get through -each other. 16 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 8: Excuse me. This person's out of order. Why is he -- me when he's 17 18 up? 19 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 9: Watch 20 yourself -- watch yourself. 21 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 10: We're having 22 a discussion here. Be respectful. 23 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 9: Right. Watch 24 yourself -- watch yourself. 25 MR. BUERY: Thank you so much.

1 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 11: This is a 2 public hearing. Can we all just be respectful please and allow the testimony to continue? 3 4 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 8: Yeah but we're 5 not letting anybody harass our women; okay? Nobody's 6 harassing our women. 7 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 11: We stayed to 8 support everybody. I just want that to be for the 9 record. Everybody else left. We're still here. 10 MR. BUERY: Thank you so much. We 11 appreciate it. Thank you. 12 MR. GOLLIHER: Hello. My name is 13 Daniel Golliher. I am the founder of the civics 14 school, Maximum New York, and my students include city and state employees as well as wide cross section New 15 16 York City. I submitted my full testimony electronically, which includes five --17 18 recommendations. 19 But I want to highlight one 20 recommendation for the Commission today, which is 21 actually something that Cormac already mentioned. 22 Section 197-d of the charter covers city council 23 review of land use decisions rendered by the City 24 Planning Commission. I recommend amending this 25 section -- the reality of the housing shortage, which

means, among other things, while the council has
 declared a legal housing emergency, it shall have
 limited authority to disapprove of new housing supply
 only.

5 If the City really is in a housing 6 emergency as the council has dutifully declared in our 7 administrative code for at least 50 years, I believe the charter should hold them by mandated procedure to 8 9 their work. City and state law acknowledge that the 10 emergency is created by a severe shortage of housing 11 supply, particularly private residential construction. 12 And the charter should facilitate rapid supply of this 13 nature.

To that end, during a council-declared housing emergency, I think Section 197-d could say the following with regard to any decision that proposes new housing supply: One, the council shall only disapprove a decision with a three-quarters vote of all councilmembers; otherwise, the decision shall be considered approved by default.

Two, the council may approve a decision with modification with a majority vote of all the councilmembers if those modifications to not decrease estimated housing unit production.

25

While the City Council will likely not

1	be pleased by these amendments to put it mildly, they
2	are appropriate given these things: One, the
3	council's own declaration of an emergency of the past
4	five decades; and two, the lack of major action to
5	solve the same emergency.
6	These changes would also provide the
7	council with an incentive to get us out of this
8	supply-induced emergency so that they can proclaim
9	broader land use authority. These changes would
10	structurally alter discretionary housing proposal so
11	the current default "no" that must be argued to a
12	"yes," which privileges member deference already
13	discussed to a default "yes" that must be argued to a
14	"no." This places the argument to burden correctly
15	given our housing crisis. Thank you for your
16	consideration.
17	MR. BUERY: Any questions for
18	Mr. Golliher?
19	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 8: I've heard
20	enough.
21	MR. BUERY: Thank you so much.
22	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 8: Gentrifier.
23	MR. BUERY: I assume Mr. Pena's not
24	here? All right. Next up we have Gillian Morris and
25	Stephen Crim.

1 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 8: A white male 2 is coming up. My name is 3 MR. CRIM: Okay. Hi. 4 Stephen Crim. It's getting late. I'll be really 5 brief. I'm a Manhattan resident, and I'm excited that 6 this review commission is working on housing and land 7 use. I want to call attention to some of the 8 9 roles you've heard about reforming or improving the 10 Landmarks Preservation Commission -- historic 11 preservation, more generally. I support some of the proposals that you've heard about expanding what 12 13 should be considered in a landmarking process. I 14 value the base of historic buildings in our city, but 15 I strongly believe it needs to be balanced against the 16 need to provide more housing and just opportunity for 17 change over time. 18 I happen to live in a part of Manhattan 19 that has a lot of historic districts. And while it's 20 very pretty, I often walk around thinking, "I hope 21 those don't get bigger," because I think that means 22 that we're going to -- decrease in the opportunity for 23 growth and change. I think the suggestion simply of 24 changing of what could be considered could be potentially powerful because then at least on the 25

record there's some sort of consideration on the
 implications of landmarking and historic preservation
 rules on housing affordability and supply.

4 Perhaps, like, some more specific ideas 5 could be some sort of cumulative affect-style analysis that's like, "Well, in this area, we already have this 6 7 much of the land or land use or housing stock under landmark, so you know, we have to make a much --8 9 there's a higher bar to allow further landmarking or 10 restriction on adjacent properties or perhaps some 11 sort of an idea that, well, if you're going to put 12 this much more housing under some sort of regulation, 13 then an equivalent amount needs to be taken out or 14 something like that. Specific recommendations like 15 that are beyond what I can offer right now. 16 But I just want to say that I know a 17 lot of the attention will be around ULURP, but -- and 18 I think it's very important, but I do think that 19 there's some really positive, perhaps easier, reforms 20 possible through landmarking and historic 21 preservation. Thanks. 22 Very helpful. MR. BUERY: Thank you.

23Any questions? One question for you.24MS. GREENBERGER: I think it would be25very much that -- you know, when we did some --

1	rezoning, we removed that, you know, the talented
2	districts was really empty. And they should and so
3	I absolutely welcome thinking about things in which
4	that process can take into account the impact that it
5	has on housing. And so I would just encourage any
6	ideas that you have in that regard to be shared with
7	us because I also agree that that is probably lower-
8	hanging fruit than some of the other things that we
9	talked about. But would be meaningful.
10	MR. CRIM: Yeah. I agree. Thank you.
11	MR. BUERY: Thank you. All right.
12	Thank you so much.
13	We're going to move to virtual
14	testimony oh. I'm sorry.
15	MS. MORRIS: Gillian Morris.
16	MR. BUERY: Okay. Sorry.
17	MS. MORRIS: My name is Gillian Morris.
18	I was born in
19	MR. BUERY: Press the button. Thank
20	you so much.
21	MS. MORRIS: My name is Gillian Morris.
22	I was born in New York City, and I moved away as a
23	child because my parents couldn't afford to live here.
24	I've recently been able to move back. I'm an
25	entrepreneur and founded a technology company, and I'm

1 renter now in Fort Greene.

2	I, like everyone here, have witnessed
3	the housing crisis. We know that we have less than
4	1.4 percent vacancy. I also moved I lived in San
5	Francisco for a while, and I moved slightly ashamed
6	to San Francisco, which is known for being NIMBY and
7	anti-housing and anti-development has actually built
8	more housing per capita in the last ten years than New
9	York City has. And I personally think New York City
10	is much better suited than San Francisco on nearly
11	every level, but this is something where I think we
12	could do a lot better.
13	We've heard a lot of great ideas here
14	tonight. I do think as many, many others have said,
15	ULURP can be reformed. It could be faster, more
16	representative of the City's best interest rather than
17	those older, wealthier, whiter community board
18	members that that have undue representation in the
19	process and undue sway.
20	There is also a lot of needless extra
21	bureaucracy in new building. The Department of
22	Housing Preservation and Development and the
23	Department of City Planning have a ton of overlap, and
24	there could be reform that combines a lot of those
25	departments. Oversight is also split between the

1 Department of Buildings and the Fire Department, Department of Housing Preservation and Development. 2 I'm sure there could be a lot of streamlining there as 3 4 well. 5 I'm not an expert in these matters. My -- more -- more well-informed people have 6 7 testified, and I just wanted to add my small voice to the urgent need to pay attention to these matters and 8 address our affordability prices so that more 9 10 people -- I -- so that more people like me could 11 actually grow up in New York City, and more people can 12 move here. Thank you. 13 Thank you so much. MR. BUERY: 14 Any questions for Ms. Morris? No? 15 Thank you so much for being here. 16 Really appreciate it. 17 I believe now we're going to Okay. 18 move on to virtual testimony, beginning with Michelle 19 de la Uz. 20 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 12: Chair, I 21 don't think she's here. 22 MR. BUERY: No? Okay. Thank you. 23 Well, hopefully Michelle submitted her testimony in 24 writing. 25 Next we have Suwen Cheong.

Page 162 1 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 12: Chair, we don't think she's here. 2 3 MR. BUERY: Okay. Frank Morano. 4 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 12: Not here, 5 Chair. 6 MR. BUERY: Jay Sorid. 7 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER 12: He's here. All right. 8 MR. BUERY: Thank you. 9 Thank you, Mr. Sorid. Please continue. 10 MR. SORID: Yes. How long do I have to 11 the time? 12 MR. BUERY: Three minutes. 13 MR. SORID: Okay. Thank you very much. 14 My name is Jay Sorid. I'm a former member of the Community Board 9 Lens Committee, former 15 16 member of the Community Board 17 Land Use Committee. I volunteered for community boards and for --17 18 committees. 19 If this -- if the Charter Revision 20 Commission, which is basically discussing whether or 21 not to put ULURP on the chopping block, what you 22 should all do is have people from the -- the land use 23 committees of community boards as part of the Charter 24 Revision Commission. The Charter Revision Commission, 25 for instance, when you deal with Brooklyn, you should

1	have had 18 members on this on the Charter Revision
2	Commission as the heads of the ULURP committees for
3	the various community boards so they could give input
4	as to how many that's Point Number 1.
5	Point Number 2 is the if you want to
6	change things and make it more democratic, more
7	progressive in in the city and be you know, have
8	all the integrity and the lofty ideas everybody is
9	talking about, it should be directed community
10	board members. That's so nice, I got to say twice.
11	There should be direct election of
12	community board members instead of them being
13	appointed as political cronies who are simply loyal to
14	the hand that feeds them. That's the problem. So if
15	you have 40 districts in Community Board 9, you
16	could simply have elections for the 40 different
17	census districts and you get 40 members. And then
18	you have ten more spots which would be large, but then
19	you fill up 50 spots.
20	There should be direct election of the
21	of community board members. What's going on is the
22	local communities are losing control. You're getting
23	rid of the local voices of the local community, and
24	you're basically making this a city decision over
25	local matters, which nobody wants, which is hardly

1 progressive.

2	I've heard a whole bunch of people add
3	in the racial elements and to say that all of these
4	community boards are too white where they have older
5	white people. You come into a place like Community
6	Board 9, and you see many Community Board many
7	people who had joined the community matter of fact,
8	joined the community board yet, they vote against a
9	lot of different proposals. So the Community Board
10	does not want to give up power.
11	In the City of Yes, I think a majority
12	of words almost all the were between black
13	and brown voting down the City of Yes. So it working.
14	And I I think that the very elitist that the
15	Charter Revision is saying that we are going to get
16	rid of local control, and it's going to be up to the
17	city because there's a tremendous that happens as a
18	result of the decision making and
19	MR. BUERY: Thank you so much. I'm
20	sorry I'm sorry, Mr. Sorid. We're out of time. I
21	apologize.
22	Any questions for Mr. Sorid?
23	All right. Thank you so much for your
24	testimony. We appreciate it.
25	Next I'm going to call on a Olivia

1	Gonzalez Killingsworth. Is Ms. Killingsworth there?
2	Okay. Great.
3	MS. KILLINGSWORTH: Yeah. Hi.
4	Thanks I'm calling in as a member of the local
5	community. I'm actually away right now. I'm out of
6	the country. But this matters to me so much, I'll
7	take a break from my vacation to call y'all.
8	And just speak in support of a lot of
9	the different closings that have come before you
10	tonight in favor of fast-tracking affordable housing
11	and other types of mixed income and subsidized housing
12	that meets the fair housing framework and other
13	community goals for affordable housing. And I'm
14	saying that as someone who is a former resident of 100
15	percent affordable subsidized housing.
16	I used to live in the in Downtown
17	Brooklyn, breaking ground property. And I qualified
18	for that because I am a low-income artist or I was
19	a low-income artist. I've been a professional actor
20	for over 20 years, and half my neighbors were also
21	low-income artists. The other half were formerly
22	homeless and veterans. So I'm very happy to see my
23	friend, Shams, on the on the panel tonight, and I'm
24	glad that you're part of this discussion.
25	Time is money in housing development.

1	The building that I lived in, it took a long, long
2	time for it to be approved and built and shovels in
3	the ground. So in housing advocacy, we say that
4	housing delayed is housing denied. So anything that
5	this Revision Commission can do to consider fast
6	tracking the process for the approval of housing that
7	is 100 percent affordable for mixed income,
8	subsidized, that can make our subsidy dollars go
9	further and create more housing for early career and
10	emerging artists like myself. I mean, at the time I
11	was early career.
12	And then just last thing. As a union
13	member for 20 years, I am a member of and Actors'
14	Equity Association. I want to just boost all of the
15	other union members who have come before you tonight.
16	I think it really is important to see them in these
17	discussions. They do the work. They build the
18	housing. They are the experts. So I think that we
19	have to keep affordability and speed in mind, but I
20	also think that union members deserve a place at the
21	table just as formerly homeless New Yorkers do, just
22	as members of the community do the broader
23	community. So thank you so much for your time.
24	MR. BUERY: Thank you so much. I
25	really appreciate it.

1 Any questions for Ms. Killingsworth? 2 Nothing. 3 Next testifying virtually is Vadim 4 Grayboys, who will be followed by Chloe Phitoussi. 5 Welcome, Mr. Grayboys. 6 MR. GRAYBOYS: I -- in 1989 with my mom 7 as a refugee from the Soviet Union. We were part of a -- virtual connectivity interruption -- New York. 8 Back then -- and that was only \$100 a month. 9 Easilv 10 affordable on -- I've recently returned to my old 11 neighborhood, but this time I'm paying \$2,000 a month to share a two-bedroom apartment. 12 Recently, New York experienced another 13 14 flood of refugees. But this time, we did not have 15 nearly enough housing to house them because of the --16 housing shortage. We spent billions of dollars housing refugees, forcing cut backs from other city 17 18 services, making immigration a crisis, turning the 19 public against immigration and refugees, and propelling Donald Trump back into the White House. 20 21 We've talked about how the actions of 22 the Community Boards and the City Council members 23 protecting their local interests have negative effects 24 on the overall city. But not only that, but by 25 negatively affecting New York as the largest and most

1	important city in America, local interests can
2	negatively affect the entire country. That we were
3	unable to handle a flood of refugees without adversely
4	affecting other city residents is shameful.
5	I am ashamed for this city. We should
6	all be ashamed. This is a city with the Statue of
7	Liberty. Have we forgotten what the Statue of Liberty
8	represents? We've always been able to accept large
9	floods of immigrants and integrate them into our city
10	until very recently. The reason the influx of
11	refugees cause a crisis is that there's a massive
12	shortage of homes. We need to significantly reform
13	the charter with a focus on building as many homes as
14	possible requires that doesn't happen again.
15	I support all of the passing reforms
16	discussed by this commission during this meeting,
17	but that they do not go hope that one day I'll
18	see New York City accepting waves of refugees again as
19	we've always done so except for a few shameful decades
20	in recent history. Thank you.
21	MR. BUERY: Thank you so much for your
22	testimony.
23	Next we have Ms. Phitoussi.
24	Ms. Phitoussi?
25	MS. PHITOUSSI: Hi. My name is Chloe

1	Phitoussi. I am a native New Yorker and a mother of
2	two. I am here because unaffordable housing doesn't
3	just mean unaffordable housing. As we all know, it
4	means that everything else becomes unaffordable too
5	from coffee to childcare, as I know too well. I'm
6	by tonight's consensus that ULURP should be reformed,
7	and I support Annemarie's recommendation to streamline
8	the process. Accepting community input doing so
9	more quickly, ultimately bringing down costs.
10	I also want to second earlier
11	testimony. Voters will vote on these reforms. Let's
12	being in some bold thinking from randomized recruiting
13	to reforming state agencies, and allow the democratic
14	process to show us what the city wants. Incremental
15	changes are not going to suffice, and I urge you to
16	moment. Thank you.
17	MR. BUERY: Thank you so much.
18	I believe we have Theresa Westerdahl in
19	the room to testify. Thank you.
20	MS. WESTERDAHL: Hi.
21	MR. BUERY: Do you have a can you
22	press the button on the microphone?
23	MS. WESTERDAHL: Hi. My name is
24	Theresa Westerdahl. I live in Crown Heights near
25	and where we have an incredible amount of

1 development. My -- I just serve on a community board, not on your committee, by the way. But I'm here just 2 to talk for myself. 3 4 But I have been -- meetings and 5 listening to my neighbors for a decade -- but we --6 all of this development and all of this building going 7 up, I -- we have worked to protect our green space. The City hasn't protected us. The City Council hasn't 8 9 protected us. Our community members have had to sue 10 to protect our green spaces. I find that appalling. 11 Right now, there's development in -- on 12 Empire that's going to probably shade -- if it goes 13 through, it's going to shade Jackie Robinson's 14 school -- not just the ball course. The school, the It's -- the development 15 garden, the playground. 16 that's happening there now, our city agencies are not 17 putting out for -- I've been in touch with the City 18 Council and my community board because we've had a 19 water main break, a street collapse. We have the --20 they did not do proper remediation for the 21 development. 22 This is -- this is -- of Sullivan and 23 Bedford and Empire -- so we -- we're dealing with a 24 lot -- an environmental crisis, a water main broke. 25 It took the City three weeks to fix it. And this --

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com

1	this is going to continue. We're not being protected.
2	And I don't think deference should go to city from
3	to Reynoso, our borough president, or the City.
4	I think it should be kept within the community boards
5	because we're best able to identify what's happening
6	in our board. And we want to protect our resources,
7	and we want to keep people safe that are already
8	living there.
9	And not the amount of people that
10	are having to leave my community is astounding.
11	They're not none of those places them. It's all
12	going to be luxury housing. There's very, very little
13	affordable housing being built. And it's it's sad.
14	And we I there's not really a
15	housing crisis. There's plenty of housing. There's
16	plenty of apartment buildings if you have four or
17	\$5,000; right? There it's there. There're
18	thousands of units. I've been looking for a friend.
19	Thousands of units that are out there. Over 5,000 in
20	Brooklyn right now if you could pay that much. But
21	nobody should have to pay that much.
22	I we need some help, and this isn't
23	it. Changing this isn't going to help anybody. It's
24	going to help tech bros; you know? Our city is being
25	taken over by technology.

Page 172 1 MR. BUERY: Thank you so much. Thank you for your testimony. I appreciate it. 2 3 MS. WESTERDAHL: Okay. Any questions? 4 I didn't think so. 5 MR. BUERY: All right. Thank you so 6 much. Appreciate it. 7 We have testimony from Nichola Cox 8 remotely. 9 MS. COX: Good afternoon -- good 10 evening. I feel like I'm tag teaming with Theresa 11 Westerdahl, who is actually a neighbor of mine. And I 12 appreciate her voice and many of the other voices that 13 occurred tonight representing the community and the people that have been longtime residents. 14 I -- as 15 Theresa mentioned, I live in Crown Heights South. 16 We're near Prospect Park and Ebbets Field. 17 And my blocks are comprised primarily 18 of one- and two-family houses, but we're -- we're 19 zoned R6. So even as of right development, especially 20 with the City of Yes changes that have taken place 21 recently can be detrimental to the 100-year-old houses 22 that we have as a -- as a woman spoke about earlier. 23 It's important that the people that are 24 in the community are considered when we're making 25 these changes. Even -- I know fast tracking is

1	important, but keep in mind the people that are here			
2	and have to live with the changes that will be			
3	proposed. There has been talk about fast tracking			
4	developments where you look at the highest building in			
5	the community, and make sure that is a proposed			
6	development. It's lower than that building, but			
7	you're going to fast track it.			
8	The highest building in our community			
9	would be Ebbets Field and Tivoli Towers, which is			
10	always considered out of context. But they were part			
11	of Ebbets Field when Ebbets Field when the Dodger's			
12	moved. This apartment building was built in the '70s.			
13	We still had primarily a low-rise community here. But			
14	every development that comes alone, they look to			
15	Ebbets Field as being contextual.			
16	And now we have, again, as Theresa			
17	mentioned, development all along Prospect Park and			
18	and the Bontanic [sic] Gardens being proposed. So			
19	again, my main thing is to look at the black and brown			
20	communities that have done our fair share through the			
21	last few years. I want to make sure that we continue			
22	to be protected because we have been the ones that			
23	survived red lining, survived high crime rates.			
24	These are people that have lived in			
25	this community for 60 years, 50 years. And now			

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com

1	because we're now desirable, the rates are going up,
2	development is increasing, and now we these
3	residents residential renters as well as
4	homeowners are being pushed out. And it's not fair to
5	us. It's not fair to the diversity and the liveliness
6	of this of this city.
7	And I want to make sure that our voice
8	continues to be heard. It's critical that community
9	boards that have been protecting these communities
10	over the years continue to have a vital role in this
11	project. It can't just be top down. You have to be
12	able to have people with their feet on the ground,
13	ears to the street that understand the community and
14	know where affordable housing can be built in this
15	community that's truly what's needed, not just
16	market-rate housing. Thank you.
17	MR. BUERY: Thank you so much, Ms.
18	Cox. Any questions for Ms. Cox?
19	MR. DABARON: I I have a question.
20	So so the one thing that I'm struggling to
21	understand is if if every community board can
22	and I'm I really want to learn. If every community
23	board is able to reject housing for whatever reasons
24	whether it's too tall or whatever, the question is
25	that what happens when we try to spread development to

1	places that where there is not the the fair
2	share? How do we how do we address that part?
3	MS. COX: Well, I think it's key to
4	as I think someone talked about earlier creating a
5	framework criteria. Basically, a checklist. When has
6	this community contributed to the city goals?
7	Again Borough President Reynoso spoke about the
8	fact that we have to look at it from a citywide lens,
9	which I agree. Citywide borough, et cetera. We don't
10	to just have a tunnel vision and only look at what's
11	happening in our specific community.
12	At the same time, you need to balance.
13	And so we need to make sure that we do have those
14	people that can speak to the City, "What's going"
15	"How is it going to impact our community?" And if we
16	haven't contributed any housing at all in the last ten
17	years and you're only asking for two two additional
18	stories, then that's a big difference than telling
19	someone who's in a two-story building that "I'm going
20	to put a 14-story building in your backyard," and your
21	community has already contributed several thousand
22	units of of housing.
23	So I think that if you have some kind
24	of a criteria where you can check off and understand
25	what has that community contributed, what is the

1 impact to the surrounding buildings, what is already 2 in the pipeline for that community, that can make a 3 big difference in the decision-making process. 4 MR. DABARON: Thank you for that. Ι 5 appreciate it. 6 MR. BUERY: Thank you. Any other 7 questions for Ms. Cox? 8 All right. I want to thank everyone 9 for testifying, especially those of you who held out 10 with us for the evening. I appreciate it. Remind 11 everybody who's still listening, you can submit 12 testimony to chartertestimony@citycharter.nyc.gov. 13 And our next public hearing will be in Queens on 14 February 24th at 5 p.m. at the New York City of 15 Department of Design and Construction at 30-30 16 Thomspon Avenue -- place in the first -- group. 17 I would detain a motion to adjourn our 18 meeting. Any discussion? All in favor? 19 MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: Aye. 20 MR. BUERY: You're adjourned. Thank 21 you so much. 22 (Whereupon, the meeting concluded at 23 8:35 p.m.) 24 25

CERT	тг	тс	<u>አ</u> ሞፑ
CERT	ΤĽ	エレ	ATL

Т	CERTIFICATE
2	I, ARKADY SANDOVAL, the officer before whom
3	the foregoing proceedings were taken, do hereby
4	certify that any witness(es) in the foregoing
5	proceedings, prior to testifying, were duly sworn;
6	that the proceedings were recorded by me and
7	thereafter reduced to typewriting by a qualified
8	transcriptionist; that said digital audio recording of
9	said proceedings are a true and accurate record to the
10	best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am
11	neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any
12	of the parties to the action in which this was taken;
13	and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of
14	any counsel or attorney employed by the parties
15	hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the
16	outcome of this act ASA
17	ARKADY SANDOVAL
18	Notary Public in and for the
19	State of New York
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1

1	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER
2	I, ELIZABETH WALKOWSKI, do hereby certify
3	that this transcript was prepared from the digital
4	audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said
5	transcript is a true and accurate record of the
6	proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and
7	ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to,
8	nor employed by any of the parties to the action in
9	which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a
10	relative or employee of any counsel or attorney
11	employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or
12	otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.
13	
14	Elizaleth M Jenn
15	ELIZABETH WALKOWSKI
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

[1 - 49]

1	16 28:4	20 64:21 66:23	30 13:3 18:5
1 11:9 27:14	160,000 46:2	68:21 82:17	74:23 105:1
37:13,22 163:4	17 162:16	138:2 165:20	108:22
1,000 108:5	170,000 110:15	166:13	30,000 104:9,13
1,800 37:24	18 163:1	20,000 59:22	30-30 176:15
1.4 160:4	19 82:17	69:1 117:12	30502 178:14
10 14:6 27:11	1900s 146:5	2000s 20:8	30s 90:12
72:20,21 73:2	151:9,16	21:22	32 82:16
73:10,21 78:3	1936 41:2	2005/2007 21:1	32bj 110:13,13
153:21	1947 89:3	2009 18:12	110:19 114:25
	1961 89:21	2010 14:1,9	330 85:16
10,000 71:10,24	1968 59:14	2011 12:24	330,000 85:17
73:19 83:23	197 145:14	2016 125:8	35 77:5 81:19
100:25	154:22 155:15	2020 12:14	102:23
100 27:19,25	1970 48:1	2023 12:14	3500 103:19
125:7 165:14	1975 41:1	14:2,9	38 27:22
166:7 167:9	42:14 89:24	2024 125:16	4
172:21	1980 20:9	2025 1:7	
100,000 100:10	1980s 13:15	21 14:11	4 99:24
11 1:7 131:18	42:3 49:15	21 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 	40 5:13 66:2
154:1,7	1984 152:11	121:24	101:12,19,22
11,000 27:14	1989 39:4 41:7	24th 176:14	138:2 163:15
11201 1:13	41:20 42:5	25 37:25 69:24	163:16,17
12 7:19 109:14	43:13,19 167:6	150:23,23	40/50 87:11
161:20 162:1,4	1990s 13:15	250 75:14	401k 126:16
162:7		25578 177:16	40s 90:12
13 14:7 27:22	2	25578 177.10 26 37:25	45 108:23
110:15	2 27:19 80:16		138:9,9
14 175:20	145:23 163:5	27 13:5	4500 18:19
141,000 103:18	2,000 78:23	3	48 14:8
104:4	79:2 121:20	3 37:14 91:5	49 27:13
15 15:2 18:18	167:11	140:22	
74:17,23	2.0. 68:8	3,500 122:9	
100:12			

[5 - accomplish]

5	138:3 173:25	80 89:23 138:3	171:5 174:12
	60s 87:19 89:8	150:25	174:23
5 37:13 74:22	90:12	80/20 138:9	above 41:14
119:16,20	65,000 139:14	815 108:5	118:2
176:14	68 59:13	84 49:15	abrahams 3:18
5,000 171:17,19	6:30 128:4	85 151:1	138:24 144:7
50 13:4 24:13		87 38:18	147:16,18,22
47:20 66:2	7	89 42:1	147.10,18,22
68:14 74:23	7 33:12 73:7	8:35 176:23	149:22 150:13
76:25 85:18	128:22 142:22		-
122:13 144:21	143:19	9	150:22 152:7
155:7 163:19	70 96:1	9 1:12 153:19	152:22
173:25	700,000 146:6	153:23 162:15	absolutely 32:4
50,000 21:12	70s 87:19 92:1	163:15 164:6	91:19 120:3
500 108:3	92:15,21 95:16	90s 92:22	139:18 140:14
50s 90:12	95:19 173:12	a	159:3
51 145:20	7127322 1:20	abide 64:9 65:7	abstentions
520,000 73:20	75 91:22	abided 64:14	11:16
75:20 85:16	79 100:24	abiding 64:3	abundance
55,000 103:19	101:3	ability 59:9	121:17,19
104:3	7th 11:8	78:23 93:3	122:4
550,000 12:15	8	98:6 138:17	academic 87:23
57 14:10	8 10:9 27:15	177:10 178:7	accelerate
58 75:11,12,12	6 10.9 27.13 65:24 73:8	able 5:20 10:22	42:21
75:21 76:4			accept 142:7
59 14:7 26:25	143:24 147:10	15:15 16:21	168:8
27:12,13 70:22	147:14,17,20	23:15 27:8	accepting
5:11 1:8	149:1,5,11,18	28:13 30:1,11	168:18 169:8
6	149:21 150:3,6 150:20 151:5	33:4 70:6 81:7	access 56:24
6 12:16 104:9		85:25 105:11	57:5 69:19
122:5 127:7	151:10,19	105:12 107:15	124:25
	152:3,20,25	110:25 119:3	accessibility
60 6:14 65:20	153:3,7,11,16	119:11 120:17	57:1
66:1 76:25	154:4 156:19	128:6 149:20	accomplish 6:8
85:18 124:17	156:22 157:1	159:24 168:8	

account 39:16	active 28:13	added 14:11	administering
139:12,16,23	activist 102:12	41:22 141:7	29:16
159:4	activity 18:16	adding 17:21	administration
accountability	actor 165:19	58:2	58:6
29:7 31:25	actors 166:13	addition 39:23	administrations
99:15 112:4	actual 66:16	77:10 122:3	28:16
125:14,22	129:11	additional	administrative
128:19 129:2	actually 14:2	32:20 41:24	155:7
accountable	20:12 30:3	98:19 175:17	adopted 11:17
128:17 146:1	31:1 37:23	address 11:1	98:15
accurate 177:9	40:12,25 41:22	15:22 33:4	adoption 15:6
178:5	53:25 55:12	73:23 90:4	advance 97:25
achieve 46:16	57:25 58:18	119:7,9 129:14	advanced 51:1
76:17 79:2	70:22 73:12	144:24 148:22	advancing 26:5
acknowledge	75:24 80:24	161:9 175:2	26:23 111:9
8:25 155:9	81:19 82:9	addressed	advantage
acknowledged	88:13 94:24	135:23	93:14 142:6
151:11	98:7,14 99:5	addresses	adversely
acreage 74:5	99:12 100:1,10	91:15	168:3
act 47:7 74:15	100:14 105:20	addressing	advice 93:10
101:21 131:7	106:3,16	33:10 57:22	advising 132:9
action 35:18,22	107:21 116:22	104:12,13	advisory 98:10
36:9 39:21	138:11 140:2,8	112:14 136:13	98:19 124:3
43:15 44:1	140:12 154:21	adequately	132:7,9
50:6 144:18	160:7 161:11	93:17	advocacy 8:22
156:4 177:12	165:5 172:11	adjacent	25:2 166:3
177:16 178:8	ad 25:9	137:13 158:10	advocate 40:15
178:12	add 15:7,21	adjoining	46:11 47:19,21
actionable 35:1	16:24 33:6,11	124:22	84:13 102:13
actions 41:16	33:14,15,16	adjourn 176:17	140:20
41:18 42:19	34:3 68:14	adjourned	advocated
47:10,23	120:1 130:9,18	176:20	108:6
167:21	145:22 148:5,6	adjournment	advocates 8:23
	161:7 164:2	126:17,18	24:19

advocating	69:14,23 73:10	aggrossiva 50.8	allow 14:15
47:2,4 54:12	81:4 83:3	aggressive 59:8 96:24	21:24 60:10,11
112:5	97:19 98:23,25		63:7 64:2
affect 22:17	101:10,17,23	aggressively 118:4	74:25 99:14
23:18 95:9			105:7 107:8
	103:18,22	ago 6:14 17:6 35:19 66:23	
158:5 168:2	104:3 105:6		147:13 151:17
affected 128:10	112:9 117:23	89:17 105:1	154:3 158:9
affecting 23:21	123:10 125:12	131:9,18,22	169:13
167:25 168:4	133:4,8 134:8	agree 35:15	allowed 138:14
affirmatively	134:9,9 135:7	92:22 116:18	146:22
29:17	135:13,22	136:9,11 159:7	allowing 106:2
affluent 42:11	137:22,23	159:10 175:9	149:25
afford 73:3	138:1,2,6	agreed 148:18	allows 66:5
79:24 80:14	165:10,13,15	agreement 40:3	138:13
88:4 99:20	166:7 167:10	63:9 124:24	alluded 12:4
104:8 105:5	171:13 174:14	125:5 148:16	alter 112:24
114:10 117:23	affordably	agreements	156:10
159:23	69:12	94:20 125:23	amazing 17:14
affordability	afmh 29:16	ahead 93:22	54:18,21 55:14
8:9 12:9 74:8,9	afraid 110:1	97:17 106:25	55:15 132:21
74:11 80:19,22	afternoon	aid 5:18	ameliorate
80:25 81:22	144:16 172:9	air 53:24 57:7	90:10
89:5 99:9	afters 75:2	airport 110:17	amend 34:2
103:11,25	age 89:13	albany 118:13	amended 61:7
104:7 106:5	agencies 13:19	120:8,13	amending 26:6
107:8 158:3	17:8 19:1 27:7	alert 100:18	154:24
161:9 166:19	28:12,15 34:11	alicia 3:5	amendments
affordable 12:8	35:25 99:11,13	100:19 102:8	76:19 84:4,5,6
16:14,16 22:19	100:6 132:18	102:11	111:13 156:1
23:19,22 24:17	141:10 169:13	aligned 6:1	amenities
24:22 28:1	170:16	aligns 25:16	63:23
30:13 33:2	agency 19:13	alike 118:18	america 89:5
36:15,20 37:6	132:25	alleviating	89:14 168:1
42:21,23 65:21		14:13	

american 74:14	announcement	apartments	appropriate
87:4 151:20	15:3	70:3,4 100:15	71:21 123:6
americans 89:9	annual 20:16	108:4,4	156:2
ami 17:20	103:20	apologize 144:8	approval 44:10
108:13 137:23	answer 43:1	164:21	166:6
amis 37:21	46:2 52:5,7	appalling	approvals
135:14,15	92:11 93:15	170:10	97:24 98:6
amount 19:3	105:16 121:2	apparent 87:17	approve 11:7
20:23 59:16	150:24	apples 31:3	155:21
67:22 95:24	answers 51:6	application	approved
118:1 136:10	68:6	127:21	22:16 41:19
158:13 169:25	anthony 2:12	appoint 129:3	89:24 131:18
171:9	7:23	appointed 7:13	137:19 155:20
amounts 12:5	anti 160:7,7	163:13	166:2
analysis 35:12	anticipate	appointing	approving
158:5	116:3	128:15	113:20 122:15
analyzed 41:18	anticipating	appointment	april 12:14
anbinder 2:17	116:1	127:11	apt 41:25
9:14 86:11,12	antonio 2:22	appointments	architect 72:16
86:15,18,23	anybody 103:7	128:24 129:10	134:2,8 135:19
91:19 94:11	103:8,11	appreciate 20:6	architecture
ancillary 32:21	104:15 154:5	24:1 38:7	72:10
angry 66:9	171:23	53:12 67:10	area 18:6 63:21
anhd 24:13	apart 109:11	86:4 112:13	63:24,25 64:2
animated 42:5	152:2	121:12 143:21	64:10 67:20
anita 2:6 7:22	apartment	153:6 154:11	71:9 108:9
29:2	37:25 39:21	161:16 164:24	137:16 158:6
annemarie 2:24	74:22 75:6,7	166:25 172:2,6	areas 31:1
86:10 96:21	75:10 81:13	172:12 176:5	34:16 57:3
97:3,5 99:23	100:12 104:10	176:10	65:4 76:24
99:24 141:4	105:14 132:12	approach 8:6	77:4 136:23,24
annemarie's	167:12 171:16	25:9 26:3	arena 41:16
169:7	173:12	113:19 119:4	argue 92:16
			93:4

[argued - backyards]

argued 156:11	135:5	attending 10:1	124:18 132:15
156:13	assessments	86:13 132:10	aware 27:5
argument	109:15	attention 15:12	28:10 116:21
156:14	assigning 34:7	15:13 157:8	awareness 86:6
arkady 1:19	assistance	158:17 161:8	107:6
177:2,17	118:2	attorney 83:22	awesome
array 9:17	associate 38:13	177:14 178:10	119:19
arrive 51:5	association	attorneys 79:24	aye 11:14,15
arrived 125:12	24:4,12 166:14	attributable	176:19
article 75:24	assume 83:15	20:13 21:4	b
85:15	156:23	attributed	back 18:3,11
articulated	assuming 115:4	95:19	18:11 20:19
94:9	assumption	auction 15:6	21:4 30:24
artist 165:18	35:14	audience 97:1	32:5 40:18
165:19	asthma 57:9	audio 177:8	46:13 47:25
artists 165:21	astoria 104:22	178:4	49:18 52:5
166:10	104:23	authorities	55:14,22 58:22
ashamed 160:5	astounding	128:15	61:15 62:7
168:5,6	171:10	authority 40:4	84:23 85:3
asides 17:18	atlantic 89:3	41:5 60:10	131:10 136:18
asked 47:17	attacking 60:3	62:15 90:2	151:13 159:24
84:22	attempt 93:15	155:3 156:9	167:9,20
asking 28:19	attend 8:14	automatic	backdrop
51:20 58:14	10:21	67:25	51:12
64:6,21 65:14	attendee 2:23	availability	backlash 55:17
175:17	2:24,25 3:3,4,5	99:9	backs 167:17
asl 143:22,25	3:6,7,8,9,10,11	available 23:8	backwards
aspects 88:17	3:12,13,14,15	84:1	61:16
92:15 139:12	3:16,17,18,19	avenue 176:16	backyard
139:16	3:20,21,22,23	average 18:17	175:20
assembly 124:9	3:24,25 4:3,4,5	104:2 118:2	backyards
130:5	4:6,7,8	140:25	88:12
assessment	attendees 2:2	avoid 77:17	
57:16 109:8	3:2 4:2	83:9 96:5	

[bad - bit]

bad46:20basically74:2481:382:1662:1363:165:2575:976:588:2197:1184:2494:23	
65.25 75.976.5 88.21 97.11 84.24 94.23	
balance 16:15 147:25 162:20 113:25 119:7 96:6 98:15	
35:17 43:6 163:24 175:5 121:21 132:23 99:12 112:11	
48:18 50:3 basin 64:22 135:6 155:7 129:14 132:4	
107:21 111:14 basis 20:16 157:15 161:17 132:14,25	
175:12 34:23 52:15 169:18 138:11 143:3	
balanced 68:1 battle 40:13 believed 88:13 146:12 160:1	0
157:15 bay 59:13,17 94:21 95:8 160:12	
balances 113:6 59:17 69:4 believes 110:19 beyond 34:25	
balancing 30:8 becoming 113:9 56:21 57:13	
ball 170:14 87:16 ben 3:14 158:15	
ballot 133:15 bedford 59:12 108:20 110:10 bias 40:8	
bandwidth 170:23 111:24 115:8 big 6:8 36:5	
82:19 bedroom 37:25 beneficial 43:24 56:7	
bar 40:11 89:1 108:4 55:23 62:2 65:1	
158:9 167:12 benefit 12:1 71:18 79:23,	23
barely 88:4 beds 33:16 39:22 86:1 137:14	
barika 2:19 been's 38:14 benefited 62:3 175:18 176:3	
9:12 24:3,11 begging 142:16 benefiting bigger 14:24	
32:11 beginning 108:2 135:18 157:2	1
barrier 79:15 161:18 benefits 28:22 biggest 56:12	
barriers 16:12 behalf 5:16 63:9 101:22 65:11,15	
84:20 110:13 117:12 111:1 bill 5:19 69:1	б
barron's 118:17 best 7:6,6 10:8 69:19 101:15	
107:24behaving40:2313:1947:4101:20,21	
base 157:14 behaviors 48:16 56:5 billion 77:11	
based 141:16 39:13 59:9 88:11 78:15	
145:21 152:10 beholder 50:16 101:7 106:4,15 billions 167:1	6
basement belief 90:10 142:6 160:16 bit 16:9 25:13	;
126:8believe7:24171:5177:1030:2339:23	
basements34:23 56:9178:643:7 49:22	
132:1159:3 72:19better6:2,3,650:17 56:17	
75:22 77:15,15 48:10 52:1 59:5 91:8	

[bit - broad]

103:21 119:22	160:17 162:15	boom 146:7	102:10,11,11
145:3 148:22	162:16 163:10	boost 166:14	104:15,16
black 51:6	163:12,15,21	booth 128:20	105:19 106:24
103:2,2,3	164:6,6,8,9	border 27:22	107:23 109:2
151:20 153:1	170:1,18 171:6	born 95:6	bozorg 2:5 7:21
164:12 173:19	174:21,23	159:18,22	11:11 16:8
blasio 5:20	board's 130:14	borough 5:9	35:6 49:19
block 63:22	boards 27:12	44:14 45:2	70:16,18,25
74:16 75:12	32:3 70:20	46:7 52:14	72:5 79:9
77:2 137:15	90:1 91:25	56:3,5 58:24	bp 123:23
145:7 162:21	95:9 106:13,22	59:5,10 60:13	branch 113:3
blocked 145:17	109:17 122:20	60:25 61:8,12	114:2 116:19
blocking 147:4	123:22 124:2,3	61:14,18,24	branches 50:18
blocks 18:6	124:7 128:7	62:6,10,12,23	113:12,15
172:17	129:4,18 130:1	65:5 67:4 68:7	break 145:2
blunt 44:7	130:12,16	73:25 78:11	147:2 165:7
board 2:6,7,8,9	162:17,23	83:12 98:21	170:19
2:10,11,12,13	163:3 164:4	123:17,21,21	breaking 89:5
2:14,15 15:1	167:22 171:4	128:16 132:8	165:17
30:21 35:14	174:9	132:12 140:2	breath 53:23
36:3 41:8 48:4	body 47:7,8,8	152:10,14	bridges 55:15
55:22 58:20,22	66:15 114:15	171:3 175:7,9	brief 109:2
71:22 72:3	115:19	boroughs 83:24	157:5
78:11 83:14	bold 28:19	84:2 98:24	briefly 114:24
92:20 95:21	144:18 169:12	101:2 127:22	brightest 13:19
98:18,18,20	bonilla 2:10	boroughwide	bring 9:16
103:24 107:20	7:21	41:6 60:7	21:19 49:25
109:18 120:1	bontanic	bosses 109:4	98:19 107:21
127:11,21	173:18	bottom 56:16	142:14
128:24 129:10	boo 153:7,8	bound 7:16	bringing 169:9
129:19 130:15	book 87:1 90:4	131:24	brings 30:4
133:7 134:4,4	95:17	box 51:8	broad 7:4
135:24 139:21	books 95:4	boyd 3:5	34:14 109:10
143:8 152:12		100:19 102:8	114:4

[broadening - building's]

Page 9

broadening	brunotte 2:25	128:11,20	124:17 135:7
46:5	budget 63:15	129:5 130:20	136:2,24
broader 37:8	73:8 82:18	133:2,16 136:3	140:11,13
40:1 44:13	90:3 92:4	137:3 138:19	146:24 147:10
52:15 61:9	98:11	140:16 142:20	166:17
116:24 145:24	budgets 27:2,3	143:20 144:14	builder 79:13
156:9 166:22	27:3 30:21	147:8,12,16	94:16
broadly 29:23	buery 1:6 2:3	149:13 150:4	builder's 98:9
87:6,10	5:2,4 11:10,12	151:3 153:2,5	builders 76:9
brodsky 2:16	11:16 12:4	153:9,13,25	76:11 80:2,2
broke 41:9	16:6 21:6	154:10 156:17	80:14 82:25
170:24	22:10 23:25	156:21,23	83:7 125:22
broken 122:16	24:3,9 29:1	158:22 159:11	126:11
134:1,15	30:14 32:8,10	159:16,19	building 13:20
broker 14:12	35:2,4 38:6,9	161:13,22	19:2,8 23:12
bronx 27:13	38:13 43:3	162:3,6,8,12	24:16 32:20
59:21 75:4,8	44:22 48:21	164:19 166:24	59:19 68:13,15
131:12,18	51:15 53:11,16	168:21 169:17	68:17,18,19
brooklyn 1:13	53:19,21 58:19	169:21 172:1,5	69:4 70:3,4
5:8,10 10:6	62:21 67:4,9	174:17 176:6	71:8 74:16,25
45:1 56:4,23	70:14 72:4,8	176:20	75:1,6,8,9,10
59:18 68:7	78:13 85:5	build 16:11,19	75:11,12,15
75:8,21 76:5	86:3,6,17	19:18 23:1	89:14 100:23
100:22 102:25	93:20 96:17	24:21 30:2	101:10 110:18
119:20 122:10	99:22 100:17	42:16 68:21	120:15,22
129:25 130:16	102:5 104:14	76:4,6,8,13	121:1,4,7
134:4 146:24	106:23 108:18	80:3 81:3,8,12	123:10 132:12
162:25 165:17	110:7 111:19	83:6 89:20	137:14 139:17
171:20	114:19,22	97:11 101:7,25	160:21 166:1
bros 171:24	116:5,8 117:5	102:18,19	168:13 170:6
brought 52:17	118:20,23	105:10 107:11	173:4,6,8,12
145:4	119:6 120:4	108:12 111:15	175:19,20
brown 164:13	121:11,18	117:14,24	building's
173:19	124:12 126:23	121:22,23	138:15

www.veritext.com

[buildings - certification]

buildings 19:6	burdens 21:7	calling 165:4	casey 2:23
39:22 74:1	bureaucracy	calls 43:22 50:4	cascy 2.23 catch 58:11
75:21 81:13,16	33:23 160:21	101:3	catherine 3:9
81:17 100:12	bureaucratic	cambridge	117:8 121:13
121:1 124:19	98:25	146:20,25	121:15
121.1 124.19	buses 33:12		cause 14:23
		campus 42:24	
138:12,17	business	capacity 6:6	82:17,23
139:10,13	105:17	33:5,11 72:21	125:13 144:24
143:10 146:23	busting 69:8	76:13 128:23	168:11
157:14 161:1	butchered	capita 160:8	causes 124:22
171:16 176:1	144:7	capital 27:3	causing 113:12
built 13:14	button 159:19	28:9 29:5	148:2
27:12 39:9	169:22	57:20 90:3	cb 131:18
43:14,18 55:15	buy 70:7 89:11	125:17,18	140:22,25
59:22 70:2	105:13	captures 57:16	cd 27:14,15
74:13 76:6	byrd 3:17	care 66:23 72:7	census 163:17
77:2 82:24	138:24 144:6	career 5:16	center 1:12
84:4 123:3	144:13,13,14	166:9,11	6:12
132:12 137:21	144:16,17	caring 15:6	centers 25:18
137:23 139:10	с	carl 2:7 7:25	central 8:11
143:14 146:5	c 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1	22:11 60:17	74:6 151:18
146:23 160:7	calamity 92:5	carpenter	century 99:14
166:2 171:13	calendar 33:24	119:17	121:24
173:12 174:14	california 87:9	carpenters	ceo 5:21
bulk 77:14,17	call 43:21	115:4 117:13	certain 25:4
79:1,3,6,7	81:22 126:13	118:15 120:14	26:13 49:23
bull 147:11	157:8 164:25	120:22 121:8	109:5 129:9
bullish 6:5		cars 124:6	certainly 23:21
bunch 76:6	165:7	case 47:11	128:14 152:18
164:2	called 25:4	67:25 88:2	certificate
burden 14:16	81:21 87:2	94:24 126:2,12	177:1 178:1
21:9 156:14	89:3 97:18	143:4	certification
burdened	101:20	cases 21:21	134:23,24
122:11		129:9	,

			1 1
certify 177:4	104:9 109:6,10	42:13 43:20	checks 113:6
178:2	110:6 121:22	49:22 54:7,15	chef 70:6
cetera 22:24	122:23,23,24	58:3 61:7 82:7	cheong 3:15
33:25 107:12	123:16 128:12	85:14 88:17	161:25
107:20 115:4	135:9,16,17	89:25 91:22	child 159:23
175:9	144:21 145:13	97:18 99:5,19	childcare 169:5
chain 45:9	146:25 147:7	101:4,18 102:2	children 5:17
chair 2:3,4 5:4	150:10 157:17	106:12 108:9	122:5
5:25 7:20 12:4	157:23 163:6	110:11 111:12	children's 5:18
24:9 38:13	changed 19:3	112:14,20	chloe 4:6 167:4
80:12 147:8	23:11,12 37:16	113:10 116:23	168:25
161:20 162:1,5	42:9 49:4 62:5	124:7 125:16	choose 99:20
chakrabarti	62:11 89:22	128:12 129:14	chopping
2:21 9:13 72:9	changes 18:10	130:25 132:3	162:21
72:12 78:17,20	23:2,3 42:1,4	145:23 147:8	chose 90:7
79:6,16 80:23	93:11 96:11	154:22 155:8	chpc 38:25
82:6 83:18	101:5 112:16	155:12 162:19	41:18
85:12 86:5	112:21 114:13	162:23,24	chpc's 38:21
141:18	120:10 122:22	163:1 164:15	church 132:11
challenge 8:7	127:22 156:6,9	168:13	circumstance
29:11 36:6,7	169:15 172:20	charter's 8:5	30:9
124:20	172:25 173:2	99:12	cite 42:13
challenges 6:4	changing 25:7	charters 129:1	cited 18:11
6:5,21 27:10	28:14 71:1	chartertestim	cities 13:25
33:22 57:8	157:24 171:23	11:1,2 119:7	58:8 87:9
99:14 116:9	chapter 145:23	119:10 176:12	88:20 130:7
challenging	character	chat 10:7	citizen 124:9
49:6	73:24 74:24	check 42:16	citizen's 98:11
chance 10:12	charles 107:24	43:13 51:8	130:4
10:16 99:18	charter 1:1 5:3	130:19 175:24	citizens 38:10
change 34:4	6:15,22,24 8:3	checkered	38:17 111:25
45:20 49:7	8:3 10:5,20	146:14	112:2 113:8
57:24 77:17	26:6 34:3,6,20	checklist 175:5	129:25
84:20 88:18	39:1,4 41:3,20		

[city - clear]

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·
city 6:4,15,16	78:21 80:12	128:16 131:3	121:21 146:10
8:8,18,18,19,21	82:14,18 84:4	131:13 132:17	147:3 160:16
8:23 12:4,6,11	85:16 87:8,8	132:23 133:1,6	citycharter.n
12:20 13:7,11	87:24 88:5,16	133:13 134:3	11:1,2 119:9
13:14 14:10	88:22 89:6,22	134:10,12	119:10 176:12
15:14,17 16:11	89:23 90:3,6,7	138:7 139:20	citywide 15:12
16:14 17:14	90:7,11 91:1,1	140:1 144:18	25:1 26:11,18
21:8 22:1,18	91:13,23,24	144:19 145:5	26:22 40:15
23:5 24:14,17	92:2,4,20,25	145:20 146:11	41:3,6,13,14
25:8,18 26:1,7	94:6,6,13	146:16,17	42:15 43:6
26:12,25 28:5	95:18 96:10	148:6 150:9	44:17 47:7
28:20 33:22	97:8,15 98:23	154:14,16,22	52:18 53:6
34:3,7,12,13,20	98:24 99:11	154:23 155:5,9	56:15 57:16
34:22 35:24	100:6 101:4,8	155:25 157:14	66:13 122:17
38:23 41:7	101:11,15,19	159:22 160:9,9	145:23 146:23
42:7,23 43:22	101:22 104:6,8	160:23 161:11	150:17 152:16
45:24 47:4,6	104:10 105:9	163:7,24	175:8,9
47:22 49:12	105:24 106:7	164:11,13,17	civic 75:25
50:14,14 52:17	106:12,18,19	167:17,22,24	civics 154:13
52:25 53:25	107:16,25	168:1,4,5,6,9	claim 63:12
54:11,14 55:3	108:9 110:18	168:18 169:14	claims 96:5
56:3,8,9,11,13	110:19,23	170:8,8,16,17	clarity 37:9
57:12,19 58:3	112:3,7,14,17	170:25 171:2,3	38:1
58:6 60:2,3,12	112:25 113:9	171:24 172:20	class 118:1
60:22 61:19,21	113:10,18,20	174:6 175:6,14	clava 2:16
62:3 63:8,10	113:24 114:1,7	176:14	clear 23:17,18
63:12,14,19	114:9,16	city's 12:2,7,15	25:17 26:8
64:17 65:8	115:19,20,25	12:16 13:2,17	40:20 51:6
66:10,13,18	116:23 117:13	14:7 27:12	56:20 58:13,22
69:3 70:5,12	117:15 118:14	42:8 68:22	92:5,18 115:1
71:4,6 72:20	118:17 120:8	69:17 73:16	125:20 131:8
72:21 73:2,3	120:12,13,17	93:12 98:13	131:10,13
73:10,16 74:5	123:11 124:21	99:8 101:5	132:20 133:8
77:10 78:3,21	126:3,4,21	110:24 111:6	150:10

[clearly - communities]

clearly 78:1	150:16	commercial	commissioner
click 10:5	combines	110:16	32:8 48:24
client 78:22	123:20 160:24	commission 1:1	62:21 77:24
climate 25:19	come 6:9,20 7:1	5:3,5,25 6:21	93:21
57:24 98:1	14:20 25:21	7:2,14 8:3 11:7	commissioners
121:23	46:9,13 47:9	11:23 14:18	7:20 9:8 10:13
clock 10:14	49:18 52:5	15:25 26:4	24:9 38:13
47:25	66:1 71:22,23	28:19 41:25	44:23 72:12
close 8:5 77:21	84:15,22,22	43:2,20 44:15	commissions
137:16 150:23	85:3 86:11	44:19 46:14	11:3
closings 165:9	96:22 104:11	49:3 52:17	commit 15:11
clue 131:25	108:11 118:10	53:3 54:7 55:2	26:25
coalition 25:1	142:4 149:17	58:2 85:14	commitment
coalitions	164:5 165:9	86:20 88:16	17:3
94:20	166:15	89:25 90:3,13	committed
coast 88:20,21	comes 22:2	98:12 99:5	28:10 112:3
code 80:8 89:22	63:19 68:13	101:4 110:12	committee
155:7	133:12 173:14	111:13 113:4	26:15 34:15
coequal 50:18	comfortable	114:7,16	150:14 162:15
coffee 169:5	71:19	117:17,19	162:16 170:2
collaboration	coming 12:12	118:7 125:16	committees
126:11	12:21 36:18	130:25 132:4	162:18,23
collapse 170:19	66:20 67:15,19	133:24 140:6	163:2
collar 88:3	68:9 69:1 94:2	141:19,23	common 98:8
college 88:3	107:17 108:10	142:12 144:17	118:8
color 42:6	120:6,21 149:9	147:9 154:20	communities
94:23 95:3	153:12 157:2	154:24 157:6	21:11 24:25
103:4,8 104:2	comment	157:10 162:20	26:9 27:6
107:10	112:23	162:24,24	29:25 30:4
combination	commentary	163:2 166:5	37:11 42:6,10
85:2	127:1	168:16	42:11 57:14,17
combine 98:18	comments	commission's	57:21 66:9
combined	18:17 32:16	111:14 112:13	82:23 87:18
27:13 66:21	91:4 135:3	141:25	91:17 93:6

[communities - concept]

94:8,23 95:2	105:20,21,21	167:22 169:8	complicated
96:8 101:24	105:22,23,25	170:1,9,18	31:10 94:14
102:1 103:4,4	106:3,9,11,13	171:4,10	135:18 148:19
103:6,8 104:2	106:17,21	172:13,24	complies 51:10
106:16 107:9	107:18,20,25	173:5,8,13,25	comply 77:1
124:8 138:13	108:2,2,11,14	174:8,13,15,21	components
139:25 140:8	109:18,20	174:22 175:6	41:12 134:21
140:10,11,13	110:21 117:22	175:11,15,21	composition
140:15 163:22	119:25 121:20	175:25 176:2	145:19
173:20 174:9	122:4,20,20	community's	comprehensive
community	123:21,22,24	52:10 106:15	25:4,16 26:5,7
7:10 14:7,10	124:1,3,5,7,11	commuting	26:14 31:2
24:18,21 25:21	127:11,21,24	33:16	32:16 34:3,6
26:20,23,25	128:7,8 129:10	company 86:22	35:7,10,13,20
27:12,18,21	129:11,12,18	125:6 159:25	50:4,10 54:8
29:8 30:11,20	129:19,21	compared	54:10,12,16
30:21 31:13	130:1,11,14,15	87:12 118:3	56:15,20,21,23
32:2,19,21	132:14 133:7	comparison	57:15 58:4,9
35:14 36:3,19	134:4,4 135:24	27:14,21	59:10,18,25
37:6,7,9,16	136:14,15	146:19	62:25 63:20
45:11,16 57:1	137:24 139:11	compensation	64:4,5,6,13,15
61:23 62:8	139:17,21,22	101:12	64:16,19 66:6
63:7,9 64:18	139:23,24	competition	67:1 68:5,8,9
64:20 66:4	140:4 143:8,9	44:21	68:24 69:11
67:15 70:20	143:11,14,15	competitor	71:2,7 77:22
71:4,14,22	143:16,18	13:24	77:24 78:8
72:2 76:1,3,3	148:23 160:17	complain 21:16	113:11 123:1
79:18 80:2	162:15,16,17	complaining	136:18 139:4,6
83:14 85:24	162:23 163:3,9	66:20	140:3 148:7,14
86:1 90:1,14	163:12,15,21	completely	comprised
91:18,24 94:17	163:23 164:4,5	66:25 133:9	134:21 172:17
95:6,9,20	164:6,7,8,9	complex 22:8	concept 41:9
98:16,18 102:3	165:5,13	compliance	42:14 48:5
103:16,23	166:22,23	50:12	115:6

[conception - contributing]

conception	conscious 27:5	consolidations	context 50:22
18:18	consensus	100:11	50:24 173:10
concern 104:4	169:6	constant 96:12	contextual
109:17 117:2	consequences	constantly	173:15
concerned 22:6	90:16	80:25	contextualize
concerning	consider 26:5	constants 94:19	92:12 145:2
145:15	35:11 52:12	constituents	contextualizing
concerns 15:23	61:11 62:1	47:3,20 95:20	41:13
59:2 135:25	99:6 102:2	constraint	continue 16:23
concessions	146:4 148:10	131:25	37:3 48:22
117:22	166:5	construction	99:20 124:14
conclude 28:17	considerable	13:11 74:8,10	126:10 140:14
concluded	95:12	74:17,19 75:13	154:3 162:9
176:22	consideration	77:3 81:7,14	171:1 173:21
conclusion	156:16 158:1	81:21 100:23	174:10
46:10 71:23	considered	100:24 101:13	continues
concrete 74:16	29:11 152:9	101:21 110:4	103:3 126:8
77:8	155:20 157:13	117:22 118:1	143:16 174:8
conditions 96:7	157:24 172:24	124:21 125:6	continuing
coney 18:5 20:9	173:10	146:7 150:19	38:4 87:19
confidence	considering	155:11 176:15	114:6
134:2	111:13 112:21	construction's	contradiction
conflict 42:19	consigned	77:9	87:17
confrontation	40:12	constructive	contradictory
148:5	consistency	118:16	78:9
confronting	50:8,15,15	consuming	contrast 57:4
111:7	consistent	13:22	contribute
confused 115:9	50:19 51:7	cont'd 3:1 4:1	14:13 67:21
congressional	consolidate	contains 39:9	133:11
46:3	132:6	contemporaries	contributed
connections	consolidating	90:25	175:6,16,21,25
98:14	132:15,17,24	contents	contributing
connectivity	consolidation	137:12	62:17 65:2
167:8	123:23		

[contribution - coupled]

contribution	coordinated	costs 8:10	council's 26:13
68:20	141:11	14:20 74:19	66:15 145:5,19
contributors	coordinates	169:9	156:3
141:7	25:17	council 8:22	councilmember
control 83:1	coordination	15:15 17:4,4	18:7 60:25
90:14 94:17	19:1,23 21:19	17:16 18:7,8	66:14 83:13
114:17 163:22	35:21	18:15,20 28:5	98:7 128:16
164:16	copy 65:7	34:22 38:10,18	councilmemb
controversial	core 53:7 89:18	40:4,5,23 41:7	60:8 61:18
43:25 82:5,6	91:15,23,25	41:16 43:15,22	councilmemb
95:15 135:11	92:10,12	43:23 44:3,19	101:19 123:18
135:12 138:6	cormac 3:17	46:3,6 47:7	155:19,23
convener 24:25	138:24 144:5,6	49:14 52:25	counsel 2:16
convening	144:17 154:21	53:3 58:6 59:7	177:11,14
11:23	cornell 86:12	59:9,21 60:13	178:7,10
conversation	87:1	60:14,20 61:12	counterbalance
54:24 56:17	corner 45:23	61:20,25 62:3	146:2
58:5 60:1	correct 127:10	62:7,15 65:12	counterweight
66:24 68:4	127:11	65:13,14,19	122:1
80:19 88:18	correctly	78:11 101:15	countless 8:22
118:9	156:14	107:25 113:3	countries 130:7
conversations	correlation	113:20,22	country 12:21
17:21 19:5	22:13 80:22	114:5,8,14	12:22 31:1
55:24 57:15	cosponsors	115:19,25	81:11 87:17
59:6	101:20	117:13 131:23	88:10 89:16
conversion	cost 13:1,10	131:23 140:1	98:15 110:14
85:21	15:6 16:24	145:7,12,14,21	165:6 168:2
conversions	23:14,23 28:3	147:6 150:9	couple 41:24
75:17	77:8 81:14,21	154:22 155:1,6	44:3 60:20
cooking 70:7	82:24 91:16	155:14,17,21	76:15 84:22
cooperation	105:3 107:12	155:25 156:7	100:3,11 105:4
42:17	costly 13:21	167:22 170:8	109:24
coordinate	23:12 79:14	170:18	coupled 101:11
114:5 123:24			

[courage - de]

	1	1	1 1
courage 54:25	148:16 175:4	crucial 125:2	127:9,14 129:6
55:11,13,19	creation 80:21	crunch 87:24	136:6 151:7,12
course 6:3,24	creativity	culprit 54:15	151:22 152:5
7:8 8:6 37:20	11:25	cumulative	174:19 176:4
45:23 60:11	crim 3:25	158:5	damage 125:13
82:7,10,10	156:25 157:3,4	curb 135:4	125:14
92:7 150:20	159:10	curious 16:8	damaging
152:11 170:14	crime 92:3	30:18 35:6	124:18,19
court 83:15	173:23	49:21 119:23	daniel 3:19
126:17	crisis 8:9,13	121:4	144:9 153:9
covered 94:8	12:9 56:11	current 28:20	154:13
covers 154:22	78:2 87:3	28:23 93:18	dare 40:14
cox 4:8 172:7,9	88:11 89:5	131:11 145:21	146:12
174:18,18	100:7 101:8,9	156:11	daring 146:15
175:3 176:7	111:6,7 112:8	currently 5:21	dark 65:15,19
crazy 135:2	122:7 128:10	34:21 39:3	66:3
create 26:7,9	131:2,10	59:6 86:25	darts 66:3
28:15 58:3,15	132:20 144:20	132:9	data 41:18
76:20 82:20,21	156:15 160:3	cut 40:18 89:22	88:23
96:6 97:23	167:18 168:11	95:24 135:4	database 73:17
106:3,6,13	170:24 171:15	142:18 167:17	daughter
147:24 148:13	criteria 175:5	cuts 82:18	119:17
166:9	175:24	cutting 96:24	david 3:16
created 37:11	critical 44:12	140:4	133:21 138:21
41:1 43:13	56:18 99:6	cycle 145:2	dawn 41:19
59:13,16 87:3	174:8	147:2	day 38:4,5 48:5
90:1 105:23	criticism 56:12	d	89:13 117:13
146:7,8 155:10	cronies 163:13	d 5:1 145:14	142:1 168:17
creates 30:9	cross 138:10	154:22 155:15	dcp 49:21
92:18	154:15	dabaron 2:11	53:23 54:19
creating 6:23	crown 102:12	7:22 51:17,18	132:18
26:14 27:1	102:23 105:1	67:7,10 85:4,7	de 3:20 5:19
34:5 78:6	139:11,13	93:23 107:1	161:19
111:3 126:8	169:24 172:15	75.25 107.1	

[deadlines - deputy]

deadlines 19:15	176:3	117:18,20	demise 54:15
19:21	decisions 9:18	122:16 145:3	democracy
deal 13:11,22	44:20 48:6	156:12 171:2	111:17
17:5 29:12	51:13 56:22	deferred	democratic
83:12 105:4	57:25 94:4	113:21	87:16 110:20
162:25		deficit 13:16	129:18 130:8
	99:7,10 100:15 113:16 145:6	defined 147:2	
dealing 113:19			163:6 169:13
170:23	145:12 154:23	definitely 121:8	demographic
dear 24:24	declaration	121:9 152:8	42:4
debate 47:9	145:15 156:3	degree 88:3	demographics
51:10	declared	134:19	42:7
decade 146:6	144:20 145:11	delay 14:20	denied 166:4
170:5	146:12 155:2,6	15:21 16:3	denis 3:8
decades 12:3	155:14	17:10 19:8	111:22 117:7,8
13:5 16:22	declined 12:15	delayed 16:25	117:11
18:7 20:20,20	declines 12:18	40:19 166:4	density 21:15
38:23 41:23	12:19	delays 16:24	21:16 33:2
89:17 100:11	decrease	delegated	77:12,14 78:24
110:24 113:18	155:23 157:22	41:10	80:6 81:20
131:2,9 144:19	dedicated	deliberation	82:2 137:9,17
156:4 168:19	68:10	51:11	denying 18:9
decide 71:16	deeply 54:23	deliberative	department
114:18	56:9	47:8	1:11 19:9
decided 90:8	default 155:20	deliver 25:11	38:23 53:25
decision 19:22	156:11,13	58:18 78:23	54:5,10 105:24
39:16 40:24	defend 110:25	120:9 132:5	106:7,19
41:5 44:6	defer 44:5	133:5	160:21,23
45:10,11 46:5	deference	delivered 75:17	161:1,1,2
48:12,13,14	39:12 40:2	delta 27:16	176:15
50:22 99:4	41:9 42:10,16	demand 106:14	departments
113:2 115:24	43:14 45:7	121:23	160:25
116:15 155:16	62:2 70:21,23	demanding	depth 74:11
155:18,19,21	105:25 108:1	108:8,9	deputy 5:19
163:24 164:18	116:18,22		16:10

describe 9:4	developer 64:1	105:7 107:22	139:16 140:8
described	65:3,10 70:12	103.7 107.22	146:9 151:15
91:10 104:19	143:18	112:15 114:3	163:16 164:9
122:15	developers	112.13 114.3	165:9
describing	65:17 67:19	120:11 125:2	differential
46:19	69:13 70:9	120.11 123.2	21:7
deserve 166:20	79:20 91:13	140:8 160:7,22	
	102:18 110:22	140.8 100.7,22	differently 18:15 123:8
design 19:18 39:16 176:15			difficult 20:18
	124:17,17,23	170:1,6,11,15	
designed 40:24 41:1	125:12 140:12	170:21 172:19	22:1 36:2
	developing	173:6,14,17	40:17 48:17
designing	29:5 33:22	174:2,25	50:18 55:11,17
148:11	68:4	developments	77:15 93:10
desirable	development	107:7,9 108:3	153:15
104:21 174:1	14:19,22 18:6	143:10 173:4	difficulties
desire 91:17	19:18 20:24	deviated 49:4	116:22
139:24	21:24 22:25	devil's 46:11	digital 177:8
despite 6:5	24:5,6,13,19	devolve 91:23	178:3
125:1	25:8 37:9	devolving 95:8	dilemma 76:7
detail 39:8 42:2	45:12,18 46:10	devote 16:21	diligence 125:4
43:12 119:4	57:14 58:1,10	devoted 16:22	dilute 145:7
detain 176:17	58:14 60:4	diabetes 57:9	dimensions
deter 15:15	61:14 63:3,8	dialogue	128:1
determine	63:13,16,19,24	118:16	dire 131:14
20:16	65:8,16 66:8,9	diane 2:15 7:25	direct 24:19
determines	66:17,25 67:14	difference 18:9	80:21 163:11
8:18 114:12	67:15,17,21,23	42:3 175:18	163:20
detrimental	73:16 79:18	176:3	directed 163:9
172:21	83:17 85:24	different 13:19	direction
develop 36:1	88:13 93:4	16:1 21:7,8,11	132:20 146:18
37:3 45:24	96:8,12,12	26:18 29:14	directly 95:19
94:7 114:3	101:6 102:14	31:11 34:16	director 24:4
developed 19:7	102:14,22,24	50:8 90:23	24:12 38:17
37:11 91:18	103:6,20 105:4	127:25 136:15	97:6 111:24

[director - dropped]

121:16	107:18,19	100:8 102:23	146:20 151:24
disabilities	107:10,15	107:24 117:13	169:8
74:14	153:22 165:24	123:3,6 145:21	dollars 15:8
disappeared	176:18	districts 14:7	17:22 28:9,10
105:15 125:15	discussions	14:10 26:25	81:23 166:8
disapprove	68:2 166:17	29:8 118:6	167:16
155:3,18	disparity 14:12	137:13 157:19	domestic 12:19
disapproving	146:25	159:2 163:15	dominant
113:20	dispersions	163:17	89:14 107:10
discarded	90:14	districtwide	donald 167:20
49:13	displacement	106:19	doom 58:17
discharge	97:12 103:10	distrust 91:12	door 40:11
46:22,24	135:23	93:14	137:14
disclosure	disposition	diverse 146:8	double 6:18
50:24	42:22 123:8	diversity 6:18	doubt 16:22
discomfort	134:12	174:5	downtown
139:15	disproportion	division 54:13	102:24 165:16
discreet 90:9	95:2	59:7	dr 7:23 30:16
discrepancies	disprove	documentation	31:15,20,23
123:4	145:12	103:9	32:6 114:24
discretion	dissatisfaction	documented	115:8,11 116:1
80:11	93:17	95:4	137:21
discretionary	distance 27:20	dodger's	dramatic 42:3
156:10	27:23	173:11	dramatically
discuss 90:5	distinguished	doing 28:11	42:9 77:9
97:22 109:9	9:11	31:17 36:11	draw 14:24
discussed 82:13	distribution	37:1 49:20	73:5 152:2
116:9 156:13	40:9	50:2 53:3	drive 113:16
168:16	district 26:21	55:14,25 60:22	driving 21:11
discussing 53:9	26:23 27:18,21	68:1,24 69:15	23:23 105:3
162:20	30:21 31:13	69:21 70:16	drop 10:6
discussion	40:6 45:12,16	77:19 85:8	31:12 106:7
11:12 45:6	46:3 59:22	91:11 96:16	dropped
72:25 99:10	60:8 61:20	138:6 140:14	152:15

due12:1987:1173:9,11,11,15either23:14145:4125:4149:13economic24:1945:2576:18else's31:11duly177:525:18101:6120:13,22email10:25dunk131:15ecosystem130:6119:3,4,7,9,22dust84:1432:18elaborate21:9121:8duties34:7educate111:1elected7:10embeddeddutifully155:6educates59:815:10,1117:13embracedynamic21:10education40:1041:11emergency94:2,525:23139:742:845:4,1644:9144:20,22dynamicseffective29:22118:5147:515:6,12,15150:11effectivelyelection7:2156:3,5,8emergesearlier118:2041:8,10132:19elections112:6145:5169:1099:16electives37:23emerging145:5166:1099:16electives37:23emphasize95:16114:696:7102:22154:17170:23146:5166:9,11effectuate53:8elements164:391:17effectuate53:8elements164:3employed91:17earned91:12125:18elevates41:14178:8,11	1 110.16		• (0.14	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	drove 113:16	ebbets 172:16	eis 68:14	eloquently
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				
dust $84:14$ $32:18$ elaborate $21:9$ $121:8$ duties $34:7$ educate $111:1$ elected $7:10$ embedded $39:3$ dutifully $155:6$ educates $59:8$ $15:10,11$ $17:13$ embedded $39:3$ dynamic $21:10$ educates $59:8$ $15:10,11$ $17:13$ embedded $39:3$ $94:2,5$ $25:23$ $139:7$ $42:8$ $45:4,16$ $44:9$ $144:20,22$ dynamicseffect $94:7$ $46:22$ $47:19$ $145:6,12,15$ $150:11$ effective $29:22$ $118:5$ $147:5$ $155:2,6$ e $44:10$ $107:12$ electeds $40:11$ $155:10,15$ e $2:1,1$ $3:1,1$ $41:8,10$ $132:19$ elections $112:6$ earlier $118:20$ $41:8,10$ $132:19$ electives $37:23$ emerges $46:25$ early $21:22$ $99:16$ electives $37:23$ emphasizeemerging $163:16$ $99:16$ electronically $141:1$ empire $170:12$ $95:16$ $114:6$ $96:7$ $102:22$ $154:17$ $170:23$ empire $91:17$ earned $91:12$ $96:7$ $102:2$ $164:3$ employed $91:17$ $174:13$ efficient $52:2$ elevates $41:1$ $178:8,11$ easier $50:17$ $69:12$ elevator $74:15$ $177:13$ $178:10$ $158:19$ $69:12$ $69:12$ $69:12$ 16	-	25:18 101:6	120:13,22	
	dunk 131:15	ecosystem	130:6	119:3,4,7,9,22
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	dust 84:14	32:18	elaborate 21:9	121:8
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	duties 34:7	educate 111:1	elected 7:10	embedded 39:3
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	dutifully 155:6	educates 59:8	15:10,11 17:13	embrace 90:9
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	dynamic 21:10	education	40:10 41:11	emergency
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	94:2,5	25:23 139:7	42:8 45:4,16	44:9 144:20,22
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	dynamics	effect 94:7	46:22 47:19	145:6,12,15
e2:1,1 3:1,1 4:1 $4:1 5:1,1$ earlier 118:20 $145:5 169:10$ $172:22 175:4$ early 21:22 $95:16 114:6$ $146:5 166:9,11$ earned 91:12 $91:17$ effectively $25:10 40:4$ effectiveness $99:16$ effects 89:7 $83:13$ election 7:2 $163:16$ elections 112:6 $163:16$ 156:3,5,8 emerges 46:25 emerging $163:16$ 99:16 early 21:22 $95:16 114:6$ $146:5 166:9,11$ ears 174:13 easier 50:17 $58:1 107:11$ $158:19$ effectively effectiveness $99:16$ effects 89:7 $99:16$ electives $37:23$ $83:13$ emprasize $163:16$ $163:16$ early 21:22 $95:16 114:6$ $146:5 166:9,11$ effectuate 53:8 efficiency 16:2 $158:1 107:11$ $158:19$ effectively $167:23$ efficiently $69:12$ election 7:2 $163:16$ 156:3,5,8 emerges 46:25 emerging $163:16$ $12:6$ early 21:22 $95:16 114:6$ $146:5 166:9,11$ $167:23$ efficient 52:2 efficient $52:2$ efficiently $69:12$ elections $112:6$ $164:3$ elevating $59:1$ elevator $74:15$ $177:13 178:10$ elevators $74:14$ employees	150:11	effective 29:22	118:5	147:5 155:2,6
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	e	44:10 107:12	electeds 40:11	155:10,15
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	e 2.1 1 3.1 1 1.1	effectively	election 7:2	156:3,5,8
earlier118:2041:8,10 132:19elections112:6emerging145:5 169:10145:5 169:10172:22 175:499:16163:16166:10172:22 175:499:16effectiveness93:13141:1early21:2295:16 114:696:7 102:22electronicallyempire146:5 166:9,1196:7 102:22154:17170:23earned91:1296:7 102:22154:17170:2391:17effectuate53:8elements164:3employedears174:13efficiency16:2elephant79:17177:11,14easier50:17efficient52:2elevators41:14178:8,1158:1107:1169:12elevators74:15177:13178:10158:19effort16:1177:8154:15154:15	· · ·	25:10 40:4	163:11,20	emerges 46:25
145:5 169:10 effectiveness 163:16 166:10 172:22 175:4 99:16 electives 37:23 emphasize early 21:22 96:7 102:22 83:13 141:1 95:16 114:6 167:23 154:17 empire 170:12 146:5 166:9,11 167:23 154:17 170:23 earned 91:12 effectuate 53:8 elements 164:3 employed 91:17 ears 174:13 efficiency 16:2 elevates 41:14 178:8,11 easier 50:17 58:1 107:11 69:12 efficiently elevators 74:15 177:13 178:10 69:12 effort 16:11 77:8 154:15	,	41:8,10 132:19	elections 112:6	emerging
172:22 175:4 early 21:22 95:16 114:6 146:5 166:9,1199:16 effects 89:7 96:7 102:22electives 37:23 83:13emphasize 141:195:16 114:6 146:5 166:9,11 91:17 91:17 91:17 ears 174:13 essier 50:17 58:1 107:11 158:1996:7 102:22 167:23electronically 154:17empire 170:12 170:2391:17 ears 174:13 efficient 52:2 efficiently 158:19effectuate 53:8 efficient 52:2elements 164:3 elephant 79:17employed 177:11,14125:18 efficient 52:2 efficiently 158:19efficient 52:2 efficientlyelevating 59:1 elevator 74:15employee 82:15 177:13 178:10158:1969:12 effort 16:1177:8154:15		effectiveness	163:16	166:10
early21:22effects89:783:13141:195:16114:696:7102:22electronicallyempire170:12146:5166:9,11167:23154:17170:23170:23earned91:12effectuate53:8elements164:3employed91:17ears174:13125:18elevates41:14177:11,14ears174:13efficienty16:2elevates41:14178:8,11easier50:17efficient52:2elevating59:1employee82:1558:1107:1169:12elevator74:15177:13178:10158:19effort16:1177:8154:15154:15		99:16	electives 37:23	emphasize
95:16 114:6 96:7 102:22 electronically empire 170:12 146:5 166:9,11 167:23 154:17 170:23 earned 91:12 effectuate 53:8 elements 164:3 employed 91:17 ears 174:13 efficiency 16:2 elevates 41:14 178:8,11 easier 50:17 58:1 107:11 efficiently elevator 74:15 177:13 178:10 158:19 effort 16:11 77:8 154:15		effects 89:7	83:13	141:1
146:5 166:9,11 earned 91:12 91:17167:23 effectuate 53:8 efficiency 16:2154:17 elements 164:3 elephant 79:17170:23 employed 177:11,14ears 174:13 easier 50:17 58:1 107:11 158:19efficient 52:2 efficiently 69:12elevates 41:14 elevator 74:15177:11,14 177:11,14ears 174:13 efficient 52:2 efficiently 69:12elevator 74:15 elevator 74:14178:8,11 employee 82:15		96:7 102:22	electronically	empire 170:12
earned 91:12 effectuate 53:8 elements 164:3 employed 91:17 ears 174:13 efficiency 16:2 elephant 79:17 177:11,14 ears 174:13 125:18 elevates 41:14 178:8,11 easier 50:17 58:1 107:11 16:2 elevating 59:1 employee 82:15 58:1 107:11 69:12 elevators 74:15 177:13 178:10 69:12 effort 16:11 77:8 154:15		167:23	154:17	170:23
91:17 efficiency 16:2 elephant 79:17 177:11,14 ears 174:13 125:18 elevates 41:14 178:8,11 easier 50:17 efficient 52:2 elevating 59:1 employee 82:15 58:1 107:11 69:12 elevators 74:15 177:13 178:10 effort 16:11 77:8 154:15		effectuate 53:8	elements 164:3	employed
ears174:13 easier125:18 efficientelevates41:14 elevating178:8,11 employeeeasier50:17 58:1efficient52:2 efficientlyelevating59:1 elevatoremployee82:15 177:1358:1107:11 158:1969:12 effortelevators74:14 77:8177:13178:10 employees		efficiency 16:2	elephant 79:17	177:11,14
easier 50:17 efficient 52:2 elevating 59:1 employee 82:15 58:1 107:11 69:12 elevator 74:15 177:13 178:10 69:12 effort 16:11 77:8 154:15		125:18	elevates 41:14	178:8,11
58:1 107:11 efficiently elevator 74:15 177:13 178:10 158:19 69:12 elevators 74:14 employees effort 16:11 77:8 154:15		efficient 52:2	elevating 59:1	employee 82:15
158:19 69:12 elevators 74:14 employees 158:19 69:12 77:8 154:15		efficiently	elevator 74:15	177:13 178:10
effort 16.11 77.8 15/.15		69:12	elevators 74:14	employees
		effort 16:11	77:8	154:15
73:20 167:9 39:15 eliminate 82:3 empower	•	39:15	eliminate 82:3	empower
75.20 107.9 afforts 118.4 aliminated 106.13 21		efforts 118:4	eliminated	-
east $5:9,12$ $132:24$ $49:16\ 146:21$ $100.13,21$ empowers $26:9$		132:24	49:16 146:21	empowers 26:9
107.24 aight 6.17 alitist 164.14 ampty 10.11		eight 6:17	elitist 164:14	-
easy 40.17 50.14 140.4 alizabeth 178.2 125.10 126.12	· ·	U		125:10 126:12
125:24 35.14 145.4 Chizabeth 178.2 125.10 120.12 178:15 159:2	123:24			

[enables - exact]

enables 40:3	104:1 126:6	era 16:23 92:1	estimates 55:22
encourage 36:8	143:8	92:3,13 94:15	152:12
97:20 159:5	ensures 117:22	94:16,17 95:1	et 22:24 33:24
encouraging	ensuring 102:1	95:6,6 96:15	107:12,20
28:17	108:1	erode 118:5	115:3 175:9
ended 43:5	enter 124:23	es 177:4	evaluate 16:1
96:2	entire 5:16 8:3	esl 5:14	evaluating
energy 6:7	47:4 60:3 65:5	especially 8:12	100:7,14
enforce 105:22	120:14 145:19	22:19 35:13	evening 5:2
enforceability	168:2	67:14 81:8	24:8 38:12
29:7	entirely 90:23	99:7 124:21	53:18,19
enforceable	124:9	132:25 172:19	100:21 110:11
28:5 35:1	entities 113:4	176:9	117:11 139:3
125:20	entity 34:5	essence 43:25	172:10 176:10
enforcement	entrepreneur	50:23	everybody
28:7	159:25	essential 126:4	10:18 24:8
engage 57:14	environment	145:9	31:12 115:5
106:16,18	57:2	essentially 19:5	141:12,25
110:22	environmental	40:3 97:15	154:8,9 163:8
engaged 118:14	15:20,23 135:5	establish 26:8	176:11
engagement	135:16 137:5,6	61:9 99:1	everyday 142:1
25:21 98:16	170:24	established	142:3
136:15	envision 100:1	73:18	everyone's 6:23
engine 85:23	episode 90:4	estate 44:9 76:2	65:2
enhance 44:18	equal 45:7	76:3 79:20,23	evicted 103:2
enhanced	152:15	79:24 83:1	evictions 103:1
58:24	equitable 24:22	89:17 111:2	evidence 73:6
enjoy 125:10	25:12 26:8	117:21 125:2	evolved 62:5
enormous 12:5	40:9	150:21 152:20	exacerbated
15:5,21,21	equity 25:19	estimate 41:8	12:22 37:18
19:3 21:19	26:7,12 81:2	48:4 58:23	97:13
ensure 27:1	97:19 166:14	estimated	exact 31:11
29:9 35:21	equivalent	155:24	64:17 141:15
98:3 99:9	158:13		

[exactly - fact]

exactly 20:5 53:8 55:24	execute 27:8	expenses 13:3	extensively
	executive 24:4	expensive	88:9
64:11,18 65:13	24:12 38:17	22:16 77:2	extent 26:13
65:16 116:2	97:6 113:3,12	88:22 89:19	external 50:13
126:1 139:21	114:2 115:25	102:20 126:15	extra 160:20
139:24 140:7	117:2,3 121:16	experience 18:4	extraordinarily
140:10,12	exhausting	38:22 49:20	13:21 22:1
examines 56:23	126:15	50:2 55:5	extreme 126:5
example 20:9	exist 89:23	134:14	extremely 59:8
27:11,13 30:18	existed 49:15	experienced	95:15
31:3,12,16	existential	16:18 87:24	eye 50:15 123:4
32:24 56:19,23	132:23	132:13 167:13	eyes 108:5
59:13 68:16	existing 25:17	experiences	
71:7 72:2	28:4 103:23	16:9	face 19:7 40:14
79:10 100:5	exists 95:14	expert 97:10	99:13 101:9
131:10 139:11	104:20	129:1 130:5	131:1
146:9 152:9	expanded 73:9	161:5	faced 131:20
examples 31:10	expanding	expertise 9:17	faces 6:4 19:13
49:3,8,11,11	34:25 147:5	11:25 141:19	90:23
exceed 64:5	157:12	142:5	facilitate 111:9
excellent 94:12	expansion 5:21	experts 7:10	155:12
except 92:24 168:19 excited 5:24 6:10 7:3 58:11 97:22 100:4	expect 64:19 68:25 expectancy 57:5 expediency	9:12 102:15 141:2,17,20,21 166:18 explain 36:3 39:18 52:21	facilitates 63:3 facilities 49:24 facing 57:17 92:5 112:7
157:5 excluded 89:13 exclusion 97:12 exclusionary 133:10	36:12 expedited 99:1 101:16 119:24 expediting 85:1 expenditures	explore 22:13 exploring 28:8 exposes 144:24 express 127:5,5 extend 60:14	fact 7:15 14:4 17:5 18:10 31:2 33:4,10 35:18 37:19 45:3 55:23 91:21 103:7
excuse 75:11 153:16	28:3 expense 27:2 118:10	extensive 83:10 125:1	120:15 131:25 137:1 164:7

[fact - firmly]

175:8	fantastic 97:9	feel 54:2 59:25	financed
factor 94:3	far 8:25 10:18	65:25 82:23	101:22
99:3	56:13 77:11,19	109:21 127:19	finances 65:22
factors 21:11	78:16 97:14	129:20,20	65:23
39:11 99:6	98:23 146:12	172:10	financial 84:25
135:16	147:3	feels 140:24	financially
facts 18:24	fast 27:25 35:8	feet 77:5,11	177:15 178:11
fail 125:23	36:25 97:23	78:15 81:19	financing 17:7
failings 39:14	98:6 101:9,11	174:12	33:24 120:11
failure 144:23	123:6,9 141:2	fellow 86:21,25	find 6:20 10:23
146:14	147:24 165:10	135:19	37:15 42:15,17
fair 26:13 28:4	166:5 172:25	felt 30:3 53:24	42:19 47:24
29:17 31:6	173:3,7	fewer 23:15	71:18,19,21
34:21 49:23	faster 42:20	41:20	74:4 88:10,10
50:9 56:18	63:3 102:1,19	fiction 95:5	93:11 107:12
97:19,23,25	122:8 137:18	field 172:16	107:16 114:9
98:3 99:19	160:15	173:9,11,11,15	120:17 125:12
112:6 133:11	favor 11:14	fifteen 20:11	126:13 140:21
143:13 147:24	40:12 95:13	fifty 122:10	170:10
165:12 173:20	96:11 116:21	fight 5:23	finding 44:13
174:4,5 175:1	131:21 141:14	118:15	44:17 51:24
fairly 81:14	142:10 150:18	figure 32:24	53:5
falls 64:12	165:10 176:18	89:9	fine 44:10 54:4
familiar 50:11	favorite 56:5	file 83:16	81:2
72:16	fear 55:13	fill 69:10	fining 104:10
families 5:17	february 1:7	163:19	finish 131:16
8:15 13:5	176:14	final 41:9 113:2	finished 20:21
19:11	federal 12:5	115:18,23	147:14
family 36:20	15:1 29:15,18	116:15,25	fire 1:11 19:9
66:19 74:12	92:8	117:4 142:12	161:1
75:4,5 77:4	feed 26:10,21	finally 37:14,14	fired 41:11
81:18 102:2	28:9	39:5 58:13	45:9
125:9,11	feeds 163:14	123:12 124:1	firmly 72:19
146:22 172:18		126:17 131:23	

[first - free]

first 5:5 7:8 9:6	136:24 167:14	forcing 167:17	152:24
11:19 14:25	168:3	foregoing	fostering 112:4
32:9 41:4 52:9			
	flooding 126:8	177:3,4 178:4	fought 54:6 found 73:19
52:10,11 53:22	floods 168:9	foresee 83:17	
53:24 72:2	flushing 32:25	forest 95:16,22	74:5
80:17 86:9	33:2	96:2	foundation
87:7 96:20	focus 9:2 14:18	forever 18:10	5:22,22
104:21 114:25	36:21 39:1	120:19	founded 159:25
119:17 134:15	57:22 74:21	forget 101:8	founder 72:10
136:7 141:2	103:3 135:17	forgive 96:23	121:16 154:13
145:5 176:16	146:1 168:13	forgot 119:8	four 15:5 45:13
firsthand 16:18	focused 25:6	forgotten 168:7	83:24 84:2
87:25	97:10	form 10:3 31:2	99:3 109:9
fit 52:20	focusing 139:7	formal 64:8	118:15 171:16
five 9:7 34:23	folks 9:25	former 82:14	frame 85:3
54:23 64:24	33:14,16 36:3	88:2 162:14,15	93:11
68:10 82:3	78:5 96:24	165:14	framework
89:8 97:19,21	100:18 128:9	formerly	25:7 28:15
98:24 99:11	129:3 147:13	165:21 166:21	29:5,19 31:9
101:1 109:8	follow 57:19,20	forming 125:24	31:13 34:24
122:10 154:17	78:14 109:1	forms 74:18	56:19 71:8
156:4	115:14 128:11	fort 160:1	95:13 98:3
fix 70:12	129:7,7 137:4	forth 76:1	147:25 165:12
125:13 144:22	followed 9:8	131:9	175:5
170:25	167:4	fortunate 16:20	frameworks
fixes 47:24	following 117:8	fortune 89:16	28:21
flags 12:11	155:16	forward 6:20	francisco 160:5
flat 44:6	food 70:7	7:9 11:19	160:6,10
flatbush 102:13	foot 74:19	22:21 27:4	frank 3:22
102:23	81:14 131:12	33:9 38:4	162:3
flaws 113:14	footing 69:18	54:18 55:10	frankly 140:24
flipping 61:11	force 145:14	58:7 89:24	fraught 57:11
flood 73:19	forces 117:21	133:14 142:6	free 7:15
74:4 76:14,23		142:10,13	
, , 0.1 1,20			

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com

[freed - goes]

freed 22.9	f	149.24 140.6	
freed 23:8	further 114:1	148:24 149:6	glassy 86:2
frequently	119:22 158:9	153:8 156:22	gloriant 146:13
140:21	166:9 177:13	genuinely 95:7	glorious 146:13
fresh 53:24	178:9	geographic	go 10:1,18
friend 165:23	furthering	40:1 52:15	11:18 20:11,19
171:18	29:17	getting 36:12	33:9 35:24
friends 131:19	futile 40:21	45:9 60:2	42:2 54:10,20
149:8	future 8:17,17	65:18 104:3	55:22 56:13,16
front 36:3 54:7	39:21 45:12	124:10 126:20	58:22 60:16
73:4 102:16	46:14 93:3	157:4 163:22	61:12,13,15,25
fruit 159:8	g	gillian 3:23	64:1,13,23
frustrated	g 5:1	156:24 159:15	68:7 72:1,1
54:23 57:11	gap 23:2	159:17,21	74:19 76:11,16
frustration	garbage 92:6	give 15:11 35:4	79:11 80:5,9
134:19	106:8	38:1 48:12	83:7 93:22
fulfill 31:6	garden 170:15	52:4 59:24	106:25 109:6
34:21 71:5,6	gardens 173:18	61:17 71:11	109:13 126:17
full 40:5 79:1	gates 3:4	93:2 103:8	133:7 134:17
79:11 80:9	gather 62:24	106:7 113:7	136:17,24
97:20 119:4	gauge 74:13	163:3 164:10	140:6 141:13
154:16	81:13	given 6:4 16:13	148:18 166:8
fully 125:9		22:19 50:2	168:17 171:2
function 74:11	general 2:16 100:23 113:8	63:18 75:1	goal 63:5 71:4
fund 23:9,15		89:9 90:15	71:5,6 112:13
107:7	114:11 120:16	92:6 156:2,15	139:20
fundamental	generally 59:1	gives 51:1,2	goals 25:18
113:4	113:21 157:11	59:10 63:1	26:8,12,24
fundamentally	generated	71:4 87:4	34:17 50:25
81:7	85:15	122:17 140:10	123:2 165:13
funded 67:13	gentrification	giving 12:20	175:6
funding 30:13	102:15,17,22	15:12 69:19	god 102:19
67:23	135:22	111:25	goes 22:23
funds 29:20	gentrifier	glad 165:24	23:13 56:21
	147:17,21	8-444 100.21	64:6,7 68:17
			01.0,7 00.17

[goes - group]

68:18 75:9	159:13 161:17	140:17,18	grayboys 4:5
83:15 125:1	163:21 164:15	143:5	167:4,5,6
139:16 170:12	164:16,25	gosh 119:8	great 6:7 13:11
going 9:4 10:8	169:15 170:6	gotten 17:3	13:22,23 19:4
18:11 22:20	170:12,13	govern 6:19	32:23 55:13
23:14,15,16	171:1,12,23,24	government	63:23 76:2
30:11 33:6	173:7 174:1	9:15,16,17	89:4 134:19
37:2,14,21	175:14,15,19	23:5 29:15	146:11 150:24
39:1 43:23	golliher 3:19	45:5 50:12,14	160:13 165:2
53:9 54:3 55:8	144:9 153:10	50:18 91:23	greater 62:17
55:20 56:16	154:12,13	92:2,3,8,14	greatest 54:14
60:16 61:19	156:18	112:3,4 113:5	56:3 142:5
62:12 63:14	gonzales 4:4	113:14,15	greatly 55:23
64:23 65:1,14	gonzalez 165:1	115:20 118:2	green 20:24
65:24 66:17	good 5:2,8 8:24	131:7	37:22 170:7,10
67:16 68:14,15	16:3 17:24	gpp 76:20 84:7	greenberger
68:25 69:25	18:1 24:8	grace 2:10 7:21	2:4 7:20 19:25
71:11,13,16,17	32:13 38:12	grad 88:8	20:10,15 21:2
71:21,24 76:10	46:20 51:9	grand 129:23	77:24 78:14,18
77:19 78:2,11	53:2,18,19	grandkids	79:3 84:17
79:13 83:4	56:19 60:22	105:12	158:24
86:7 88:12	61:18,21,22	granted 67:11	greene 160:1
92:6 93:16	62:17 63:23	granting	greenlining
96:19,22,23,24	69:21,22 70:17	124:25	142:25,25
96:25 103:20	70:18 89:16	grappled 90:25	grew 104:22
103:21,22	91:20 100:21	grassroots 25:2	105:13
104:1 105:12	110:11 111:3	grateful 12:2	ground 15:4
108:12 109:21	116:2 117:10	131:2 132:21	18:12,19 28:14
120:19 127:6	123:15 139:3	gratifying	120:20 165:17
131:4,17	143:1,17	113:22	166:3 174:12
134:17 137:10	144:16 172:9,9	gratitude 38:14	group 9:11
140:3 152:24	gooders 95:7	gray 2:24 86:10	11:24 112:3
153:4,13	gordon 3:16	96:21 97:4,5	176:16
157:22 158:11	133:21 138:22	100:3 141:4	

04.15	140.0.160.2	1.	10(10,100.7
groups 24:15	140:8 168:3	harassing	126:13 133:7
24:16 92:24	handles 63:15	154:6	heard 7:7 48:15
110:21	hands 114:14	harassment	62:14 67:24
grow 91:1	hanging 159:8	97:12	73:24 77:22
105:8 161:11	happen 20:12	hard 6:19,19	112:18 113:17
growing 95:19	48:8 58:1	6:20 37:12	115:21 116:13
growth 13:13	60:10,12 61:14	51:5 94:14	127:10 135:24
14:6,9 21:14	61:16 63:8,14	96:4 107:2	141:20 142:11
56:17 58:9	63:24 66:5,18	110:25 120:16	156:19 157:9
64:25 87:3	67:1 68:1	128:3 132:22	157:12 160:13
98:1 121:21	71:12,25 83:5	harm 124:22	164:2 174:8
133:10 157:23	84:11 107:9	harms 90:10	hearing 5:3,6
guess 55:17	108:16 157:18	95:2	7:9 9:2,10,19
126:14 148:21	168:14	harness 93:14	10:4,6 11:4,8
guests 104:11	happened	harsh 40:14	11:19,23 47:2
guide 39:6 58:9	103:10 120:12	harvard 88:7	88:8 104:21
guy 151:11	123:5 125:8	hate 75:7	115:22 131:19
guys 46:20,20	126:1,20	hazardous	140:19 154:2
55:6 72:6	happening	126:9	176:13
92:13 93:10	56:12 59:18	headquarters	hearings 7:9
120:5,8,18,24	62:18 108:15	1:11	10:21,24 39:24
h	132:1 139:22	heads 163:2	47:12
half 13:7 14:9	170:16 171:5	health 12:2	heart 24:24
	175:11	25:19 57:8,8	95:8
15:4 63:22	happens 47:12	139:8	hearts 95:8
73:18 82:13	63:10 109:19	healthcare	hector 3:13
89:10 95:24	110:5 125:14	25:24 56:25	133:20 138:21
165:20,21	164:17 174:25	57:1	height 75:10
hall 118:14	happy 29:25	hear 6:23 7:3	81:20
120:8,13	43:1 56:4	10:8 38:9	heightened
halting 37:1	165:22	54:17 73:24	62:9
hand 163:14	harass 154:5	86:11,16 97:2	heights 102:13
handle 63:13	harassed	100:20 113:1	102:23 105:1
73:16 136:23	131:20	115:23 119:23	106:5 139:11
	101.20	110.20 117.20	10010 107111

[heights - houses]

	1	1	1
139:13 169:24	high 5:12 12:8	106:20 151:20	168:12,13
172:15	13:9 55:6	151:21 168:20	honest 28:23
held 128:17	74:18 85:20	hit 45:8 122:9	29:24
176:9	102:14 108:3	hoc 25:9	honestly 75:17
hello 32:11,12	122:9 128:23	hold 51:3	120:10
154:12	173:23	125:22 155:8	honesty 112:4
help 16:4 34:16	higher 22:4	holding 131:9	honor 5:4 9:10
58:17,25 60:2	23:13 50:12	140:19	86:22 125:23
97:16 110:23	74:19 92:4,14	holds 50:14	honored 5:18
129:3 132:4,6	158:9	55:14	hood 5:22
132:14,25	highest 102:24	home 5:9 22:4	hook 68:23
143:10 145:1,2	103:1 112:5	36:20 48:9	hope 56:16
146:2 171:22	173:4,8	88:4 89:12	118:16 122:1
171:23,24	highlight	124:16 125:7	142:5,11
helpful 49:2	154:19	125:10,10	150:16 157:20
91:9 96:18	highly 55:6	131:14,16,19	168:17
158:22	hills 95:16,22	131:22 149:9	hoped 144:22
helping 79:20	96:2	homeless 17:18	hopeful 111:12
79:23	hire 101:13	165:22 166:21	hopefully 28:2
helps 63:3	hiring 15:7	homelessness	64:19 84:15
herbert 3:6	101:24 102:4	97:13	161:23
100:19 102:9	historian 86:24	homeowner	hoping 55:1
108:19,24,25	87:21	42:11 124:16	66:3
hereto 177:15	historic 100:8	homeowners	hospitals 33:17
178:11	100:11 157:10	22:6 109:20	hour 82:13,13
hey 63:21	157:14,19	125:20 126:11	101:12
64:20 148:17	158:2,20	127:23 174:4	house 17:23
hi 30:16 102:11	historical 91:9	homeowners	89:1 105:14
121:15 124:15	146:9	105:6 127:23	167:15,20
130:23 157:3	history 12:18	homes 66:19	housed 14:8
165:3 168:25	39:5 49:12	89:23 97:11	households
169:20,23	54:2,4 88:25	98:7 110:1	13:6 122:11
hidden 64:18	90:17 94:13	111:10 124:6	houses 74:12
	95:4 96:13	132:5 140:20	81:18 172:18

172:21	69:14,22,23	125:12 128:10	hpd 16:10 34:8
housing 8:6,10	70:2 73:20	131:1,8,14	132:18 134:10
8:13,17,23	74:12 75:18	132:7,19,24	huge 111:7
9:12 12:7,8	76:4,6,13,20,21	133:4,5,7,9,12	humble 93:25
13:3,8,10,21	76:25 77:5,7	134:8,9,9	94:4
14:6,8,11,13	78:2,7,23	135:7,13 136:2	humility
15:16 16:11,15	79:19 80:21	137:1,22,22	118:12
16:16 17:19	81:4 82:12,20	138:7,11	hundred 138:3
19:10,18,20	82:22 83:2,6	140:20 142:16	hundreds 97:7
20:2 21:9,14	85:16,18 86:24	144:19,23,25	111:10
22:7,19 23:19	87:3,7,10,20,24	145:6,7,9	hurting 80:1
23:21,22,22,24	88:11,19,22	146:6,10 147:3	hyperbolic
24:5,6,13,17,18	89:4,6,19	147:5,24 148:1	8:16
24:22 25:22	93:19 94:7,18	149:25 154:25	i
26:13,14 27:18	95:16,21,24	155:2,3,5,10,15	ibric 3:8 111:22
28:1,4 29:17	96:1 97:7,13	155:17,24	117:7,10,11
29:24 30:1,2,9	97:18,24,25	156:10,15	118:21,22,22
30:13 31:6,24	98:3,23,25	157:6,16 158:3	118:23 119:2
32:20 33:3,3	99:3,9 100:7,8	158:7,12 159:5	119:19 120:3
33:22 34:22	100:9,10 101:6	160:3,7,8,22	121:6
35:19 36:1,4	101:8,10,17,23	161:2 165:10	idea 7:6 35:9
36:15,19 37:6	102:1 103:18	165:11,12,13	41:3 43:14,23
37:7 38:10,17	103:22 104:3	165:15,25	47:6 52:8,18
38:25 39:10,20	105:10 106:5	166:3,4,4,6,9	58:7 63:5
39:23 40:8,9	108:13 111:6	166:18 167:15	78:10 90:13
40:10,12 41:22	111:11,15	167:16,17	129:13 131:17
42:1,21,23	112:8,8,9,10,11	169:2,3 171:12	158:11
45:12,19,19,25	112:12,15,16	171:13,15,15	ideal 51:22
47:16,17 49:25	112:20 113:9	174:14,16,23	ideas 7:17
50:10 56:6,8	113:13,19	175:16,22	48:20 49:17
56:10,11,17,19	114:3 117:24	howard 2:20	84:23 90:14
56:21,25 59:14	120:10 121:23	9:13 38:9,16	97:21 99:16
59:23 63:6,19	122:7,15,18	49:1,20 54:17	127:17 158:4
65:21 66:8	123:3,10,12	145:4	12,11, 100,1

	1		
159:6 160:13	importance	inaction 147:2	115:5 148:2
163:8	111:3,8,16	inadequate	increasing
identify 171:5	important	13:18	80:22 145:9
identities 88:14	15:25 32:1	incentive 30:5	174:2
ideological	41:15 45:20	156:7	increasingly
93:13	46:18 48:12	incentives 39:2	89:15
ideology 90:9	51:14 52:22	39:10	incredible
ignorant 88:11	61:22 66:16	incentivized	17:13 169:25
ignore 28:16	74:7 77:6	84:24	incredibly 36:5
illegal 89:20	84:21 96:9,13	include 46:7	82:15 96:18
images 83:7	124:3 131:3	101:4 125:19	incremental
imbalance 98:9	133:13,24	142:2 154:14	169:14
immediately	158:18 166:16	includes 101:16	independent
83:15	168:1 172:23	101:23 119:25	7:14 66:25
immigrants	173:1	142:12 154:17	97:6
5:10 168:9	importantly	including 25:20	indicated 15:1
immigration	126:5	37:8 110:16,21	individual
167:18,19	impose 16:3	113:23 116:20	15:15 39:14
impact 100:8	imposes 15:5	141:17	112:21 122:17
116:8 159:4	imposing 147:4	inclusive 6:15	individually
175:15 176:1	impossibility	57:24	68:19
impacts 30:4	76:12	income 8:10,12	individuals
imperative	impossible	13:3,6,6,7	109:11
42:5	65:22 83:8	23:22 103:20	induced 156:8
imperfect	impressive 9:17	104:2 108:10	industries
117:19	improve 98:20	125:11 128:9	118:3
implementati	109:6,7 110:6	138:9 165:11	industry 83:2
98:2	112:16 125:17	165:18,19,21	111:1,2
implementing	improved	166:7	ineffective 44:2
95:13	58:16	incomes 138:12	inequities
implications	improving 42:6	increase 33:2,2	123:4
136:20 158:2	44:7 157:9	69:25 99:15	infestation
imply 20:3	inability 89:11	increased	126:9
	144:24	22:23 69:20	

[infill - items]

infill 74:4	inspections	interesting	investments
136:25	19:8,9,10	31:17 46:5	29:18,20,24
influence 36:17	instance 43:21	75:19,23 120:7	42:21,24 57:21
56:22 122:2	162:25	interestingly	invite 72:9
influx 168:10	instrument	49:12	invited 9:6
information	44:7	interests 41:15	141:20
10:23 67:11	insufficient	92:23 95:19	inviting 72:14
128:24	144:25	117:21 145:20	involve 116:14
informed 161:6	insurance	167:23 168:1	involvement
informs 59:8	125:5	internal 40:6	110:24
infrastructure	integrate 168:9	interpretation	irrational
21:17,18 63:6	integrated 26:1	144:2	39:13
63:15,16 66:8	48:15	interpreters	island 20:9
67:12,21 69:16	integrity 163:8	143:23	83:25 104:22
70:10 73:1	intelligent 55:7	interruption	104:24
121:24 136:14	intended 91:11	167:8	islands 18:5
ingenious	intense 131:1	intersects	issue 9:16 19:2
136:21	intensive 42:20	25:23,23,24	19:2 25:22
initial 115:16	intent 100:14	intertwined	34:16 62:2
initiatives 5:20	intention 58:14	39:11	65:15 66:16
inject 145:24	intentional	intrigued 35:8	92:10,12 99:25
innovation	25:19	intrinsic 60:14	116:17,19
72:23 146:15	intents 71:15	introduce 5:7	122:6 133:13
innovative	interagency	introduced	issues 18:25
124:10	141:6	40:19 125:17	19:14,14 22:7
input 15:11	interest 15:16	introduces 40:8	25:22 26:19
43:6 53:4	22:24 23:3,10	introduction	27:18 33:23
98:12 120:1	39:8 47:22	41:8	56:5 66:22
123:25 129:21	61:19 62:8	invest 38:3	126:17 146:2
163:3 169:8	63:25 64:2	89:17	it'd 77:15
inside 116:19	87:21,22 92:24	invested 12:4	it'll 65:8 142:14
147:6	130:2 160:16	investment	144:9
insignificant	interested 61:3	25:8 30:1	items 43:21
66:17	177:15 178:12	40:21 65:24	

	• • • • 10.11		(7, 12, (0, 2))
j	judicious 10:11	kind 8:18 30:20	67:13 68:2
jackie 170:13	julie 2:9 7:24	35:9 44:6,8	78:1 82:18
jacob 2:17 9:14	julio 3:21	50:8,9 52:23	83:11,16,22
86:12,23	144:10 153:10	71:1 72:18	85:18 91:20
jacobs 89:1	july 12:14	74:22 80:3	92:3 94:8,15
90:22	jumping 97:17	84:9 86:1	94:25 96:4
jane 89:1 90:22	junior 109:17	93:11 115:20	100:12 103:15
january 11:8	jurisdictions	116:18,24	104:17,19,21
jay 4:3 162:6	49:3	128:23 147:11	105:8,10,16,16
162:14	jury 129:23	151:23 175:23	106:4,4,6,15
jersey 31:4	justice 101:21	kinds 15:20,22	107:10,11
job 1:20 46:21	k	16:21 19:5,9	109:1,24
46:22 47:18,18	k 5:21	19:16 22:7	114:11 120:16
66:13 88:3	k 5.21 kathryn 2:14	59:2 80:13	121:2 122:25
107:25 117:25	8:1	klarman 86:25	123:2,7,19
jobs 56:25	keep 72:24	know 6:8 7:2	127:16,18,19
60:22 102:2	81:23 96:13	8:8 12:3 16:13	128:3 129:3,7
111:3	105:5 115:12	17:4,17,19	129:13,23,25
jocking 114:7	131:4,11 143:3	18:21 19:8	130:3 133:20
john 3:12 127:3	166:19 171:7	20:21,25 22:3	134:20 135:5
133:23	173:1	23:1,4,4 25:10	135:10 137:9
join 48:23,24	kept 171:4	27:9 29:5,8,10	138:16,23
53:14	▲	29:14 30:6	141:22 142:24
joined 45:1	key 14:17,22	31:9,16 33:13	143:9,24
0	40:22 103:13	43:10 45:6	147:25 148:1,3
164:7,8	132:6 175:3	46:3,13,23,23	148:6,7,21,23
joining 7:19,24	keys 114:1	46:23 47:8	148:23,24
judge 126:17	kids 33:15	48:2,6,11,21	149:2,3,7,8,10
judgement	105:12	49:1,6,8 50:3	149:16,19,22
90:18	killed 60:21	51:8,9,24	149:23,24,24
judgment 7:6	killingsworth	52:13 55:7	149:25,25
7:15	4:4 165:1,1,3	56:2,7 58:21	150:14 151:2
judgments 7:17	167:1	60:23 65:4,11	151:17,25
		65:13 67:7,7	152:13,19,23
		00.10 07.7,7	102,10,17,20

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com

	1	1	1
158:8,16,25	81:3 92:19	100:24 110:14	left 23:5 47:14
159:1 160:3	93:12 98:13,22	141:7 167:25	75:11 80:11
163:7 169:3,5	98:24,25 101:6	larry 3:7	108:23 154:9
171:24 172:25	112:25 113:2	111:22 117:7,8	legal 115:17
174:14	114:12,17	lastly 78:10	155:2
knowing 18:8	115:18,24	133:9	legislating
knowledge	116:25 118:14	late 51:19	116:22
177:10 178:6	123:8,11,13	157:4	legislative
known 95:3	133:4 139:7	latest 21:25	113:12 114:15
98:8 109:7	154:23 156:9	law 11:20	115:19 116:19
160:6	157:6 158:7,7	114:12,13	117:1 145:25
knows 64:16,18	162:16,22	120:11 155:9	legislators 40:7
1	landmark 99:4	lawsuit 83:16	111:2
la 3:20 161:19	158:8	lawyer 84:8	legitimate
labor 101:5	landmarking	lawyers 126:15	17:20
110:21 115:3	157:13 158:2,9	lead 5:18,20	leila 2:5 7:21
120:15	158:20	6:11 21:11	length 35:19
laborers	landmarks	leaders 7:10	134:16
100:23,25	99:4,25 157:10	54:25 55:1	lengthy 142:15
100:23,25	laremont 2:6	leadership 8:21	lens 41:14
120:14	7:23 29:3 49:1	111:8	98:21 162:15
lack 84:23 94:1	150:7	lean 141:19,19	175:8
104:7 113:11	large 36:20	leaning 36:11	lessons 14:25
156:4	49:13,16 62:13	learn 58:10	38:21 49:24
lacking 146:15	109:15 120:23	107:3 151:8	letting 154:5
lacks 148:2	145:23 150:15	174:22	level 50:12 52:7
land 9:12,18	150:17 152:10	learned 30:19	62:10,10 86:1
13:17,20 14:2	152:11,14,17	152:8	86:1 91:24
15:6,7 27:2	163:18 168:8	leave 90:12	160:11
28:21 41:15	largely 12:19	135:16 171:10	levels 17:20
42:23 43:21	larger 32:19	leaves 47:11,13	26:21
51:3 56:6,24	74:17 76:6	leaving 12:11	liberal 87:18
57:25 79:22	largest 12:17	13:1 104:6,7	88:9
	54:14 98:23		
		1	I

liberals 88:14	link 10:6 85:15	lived 90:23	localism 41:14
90:8	lion's 75:20	149:8 160:4	locality 82:5
liberty 168:7,7	lisette 2:8 7:23	166:1 173:24	localized 146:3
license 124:24	30:15 70:15	liveliness 174:5	locals 41:4
life 56:22 57:5	list 2:2 3:2 4:2	lives 8:11	located 78:19
125:21	listening 170:5	117:14	135:4
life's 6:2	176:11	livestreamed	location 69:9
lifted 79:1	literally 30:10	11:3	lock 28:11
light 74:13	47:12	living 13:1	lofty 163:8
81:13	literature	99:19 149:3	logic 40:6
lighted 37:22	130:6	171:8	long 14:25 21:3
likelihood	litigation 15:21	llc 125:25	25:5,12 28:11
22:20	17:11 83:10	lobbyists 79:24	28:24 35:7
likely 46:8	little 15:13 16:9	local 12:6 26:9	36:10 38:3
113:1 124:6	25:13 30:23	33:16 39:21	52:4 69:3 77:1
155:25	31:11 39:23	40:10,20 41:3	87:12 97:14
likewise 31:8	43:7 49:22	41:12,13,17	105:17 109:20
limit 117:17	50:17 51:18	42:15 43:6	132:1 147:3
135:20	59:15 75:17	44:5 45:5	162:10 166:1,1
limitations	85:20 91:8	47:10,13,23	longer 9:7,21
49:25	103:21 119:21	52:10,19 53:6	22:23 92:23
limited 99:5	144:21 145:3	60:15,15,15,16	110:2 141:13
107:6 134:25	148:22 171:12	61:15,22 62:9	longstanding
155:3	live 8:14 45:13	83:13 98:6,12	57:22
limiting 136:23	70:4 89:15,21	100:24,25	longtime
limits 8:13 19:5	101:1 104:8	101:3,13,24	109:20 172:14
97:16	114:10 120:17	108:13 110:13	look 8:5 34:20
linchpin 89:4	120:25 130:24	134:4 136:14	41:25 44:19
line 26:24	131:6 149:20	137:24 145:20	56:22 60:3
33:12 61:12	150:1 157:18	163:22,23,23	61:19 68:8
64:12 98:2,11	159:23 165:16	163:25 164:16	69:13 70:1
131:16	169:24 172:15	165:4 167:23	73:7,14 74:3
lining 173:23	173:2	168:1	75:16 83:23
			85:9,11 94:2

[look - makes]

107:23 109:6	45:6 49:11	103:16 128:23	major 12:25
109:22 110:6,6	51:19 54:11	133:10 165:18	22:8 30:25
129:17,18	61:23 62:15	165:19,21	58:8 73:22
130:1 132:17	67:16 68:6	173:13	77:16 156:4
136:19 137:12	73:24,25 74:16	lower 13:12,13	majority 39:24
137:13,14	75:15,25 76:19	13:13,24 77:5	42:7 101:1
142:10,13	77:6,19,22	77:9 92:16	121:25 145:8
151:13,23	81:8,18,20	128:9 138:3	151:1 155:22
173:4,14,19	84:6,19 85:20	142:14 159:7	164:11
175:8,10	85:21 94:4	173:6	make 6:2,12,19
looked 20:6,13	107:5 112:18	lowest 13:6	6:19,22 7:1,4
20:16 87:8	120:10 122:3	122:11,12	7:15,16 9:18
129:24 130:12	130:2 132:18	loyal 163:13	10:11,15 17:9
looking 7:9	138:7 139:4,5	lpc 99:10	20:21 23:12
11:19 18:19	139:12 140:7	luck 16:3	36:25 40:17
27:16 30:10	142:17 143:7	lucky 5:15	42:19 44:20
37:10 46:18	148:1,3 157:19	luxury 139:13	50:5 52:1
54:18 55:5,10	158:17 160:12	171:12	54:19 57:25
69:5 74:2	160:13,20,24	m	62:14 63:1
120:21 130:10	161:3 164:9	ma'am 127:12	64:22 69:9
133:13 137:8	165:8 170:24	made 8:19,20	73:9 76:25
171:18	lots 50:21	40:20 42:24	77:4 80:20
looks 60:5	loud 82:1 96:22	45:2,10 47:3	98:22 101:17
65:16 130:1	louder 39:19	57:25 58:22	102:3 104:13
loop 58:17	love 26:4 68:6	89:20 93:12	107:13,22,22
losing 29:20	107:2 126:22	95:14 115:2	109:10 117:21
163:22	low 8:10,12	131:23 135:20	133:10 135:21
loss 29:18	13:6,10 21:15	magically 27:6	143:3,13 158:8
100:14	21:16 43:24	27:7	163:6 166:8
lost 55:12	74:22 76:20,21	mah 77:1	173:5,21 174:7
59:20 100:10	77:4 81:9,14	mail 75:7	175:13 176:2
lot 19:20 21:22	81:15,20 82:2	main 170:19,24	makers 111:9
33:15,15 35:24	92:2,7 98:1	173:19	makes 13:20
36:2 43:11	99:8 101:9	110117	14:14 59:25

82:24,24 99:10	map 65:4 76:19	16:10 43:15	meeting 10:1,7
102:17 137:2	84:3,5 135:8	44:16 114:5,15	10:9 128:4
making 6:25	135:17,17	mayoral 44:2	129:19 139:5
7:3 19:22 28:3	mapped 78:25	mean 6:14	140:24,25
46:5 50:22	maps 152:2	16:12 17:12	168:16 176:18
51:13 65:20	margin 65:17	19:19 20:23	176:22
94:4 99:6	market 16:16	21:21 31:16	meetings 10:5
104:9 113:2	23:2,24 37:8	34:8 48:2,6	133:7 140:22
115:24 116:15	69:22 146:10	70:20 79:17	140:22 142:16
126:4 131:2	174:16	81:18 94:14	170:4
137:9 163:24	markets 89:6	127:20 128:21	meets 165:12
164:18 167:18	maryland 31:9	129:16,22	member 2:6,7,8
172:24 176:3	mass 75:15	142:14 149:12	2:9,10,11,12,13
male 157:1	massachusetts	150:13,16	2:14,15 9:23
managed 59:22	146:21	166:10 169:3	17:4,16 18:9
120:9	massive 67:22	meaning 77:11	24:24 39:12
managing	100:13 168:11	meaningful	40:2,4 41:9
24:16	master 41:2	159:9	42:10,16 43:14
mandate 26:6	94:16	means 7:14	44:5 45:7 46:1
58:3,7 129:3	matches 132:10	45:18 76:11	46:3,6,6 47:1
mandated 31:7	matching	80:8 85:23	47:13 60:14
155:8	137:17	155:1 157:21	61:13 62:2,8
mandates	maternal 57:9	169:4	65:12,14 70:21
50:12	matter 113:7,8	measure 84:10	70:23 100:23
manhattan	164:7	mechanism	116:18,22
27:15,18 82:15	matters 40:5	28:6,7 117:20	117:17,20
86:2 130:10	112:25 113:2	mechanisms	122:4,16 145:3
157:5,18	115:18,24	46:15 57:13	156:12 162:15
manifested	116:25 161:5,8	58:5	162:16 165:4
92:8	163:25 165:6	media 55:18	166:13,13
mansion	maximum	median 104:2	member's
100:13	154:14	108:10 122:9	65:13
manual 17:6	mayor 5:19	meet 51:4	members 9:20
	7:13 8:21	131:1	9:20,22 11:22

15:15 18:21	metrotech 1:12	78:3	mode 89:14
36:1 40:20,23	miami 137:16	millionaires	model 30:19
47:9,20 49:14	michael 3:18	83:1	41:2 42:25
49:16 59:7,9	138:24 144:6	millions 8:10	50:9,10,10
60:20 62:15	michelle 3:20	8:12 15:7	62:25 130:5
65:19 68:11	161:18,23	39:22 88:1	models 49:18
86:19 97:8	microphone	114:9	50:11 129:17
101:1 109:18	169:22	mind 41:1	moderated 1:6
109:21 110:15	mid 75:20	72:25 96:14	modern 90:1
110:21 113:22	76:21,22,24	166:19 173:1	modest 66:10
117:14 118:6	85:17	mindful 10:13	modification
122:17 129:11	middle 74:21	mine 172:11	155:22
145:21 150:17	118:1	minimum	modifications
152:10,11,14	midrise 85:23	101:12	155:23
152:17 160:18	137:1	minor 42:19	modified 92:22
163:1,10,12,17	midscale 84:10	77:16 80:10	mold 126:9
163:21 166:15	midsize 82:25	minority 42:9	mole 36:16
166:20,22	83:6	minute 5:6 9:3	mom 5:11
167:22 170:9	midst 8:9	22:13 150:3	167:6
men 117:12	mighty 146:12	minutes 9:8,21	moment 90:9
147:11	migration	11:7,13,17	131:1 169:16
mention 133:8	12:19	96:25 108:23	moments 48:23
mentioned 20:1	mildly 156:1	162:12	momentum
32:18 123:9	mile 73:18	mired 144:19	131:4
136:18 154:21	137:18	missed 51:19	money 12:5,6
172:15 173:17	milestone	mission 5:23	15:9 22:15,18
mentoring 5:17	131:3	19:16 24:21	23:16 67:22
met 29:10	milestones	mister 144:11	98:14 107:13
method 76:18	14:22	misused 15:19	165:25
metrics 34:19	mill 64:22	mix 138:12	monies 67:16
57:4 73:17	milled 20:8	mixed 138:8	month 151:20
metropolitan	million 6:17	165:11 166:7	167:9,11
31:1 146:2	72:20,21 73:2	mod 77:16,16	months 7:7
	73:8,8,10,21	80:10	15:2 17:17

			1
18:18 19:7,7,8	movement 25:1	nassau 27:22	39:12,16,18,20
68:17	120:15	nation 15:2	43:6 47:24
moral 88:18	movements	87:2	57:12,18 58:7
93:13	14:1	native 5:8	59:11 64:13
morano 3:22	movies 95:5	169:1	68:16,22 69:10
162:3	moving 26:3	natural 39:19	69:10 73:6,9
morbidity	94:16,17 95:5	naturally 81:22	74:9,15 76:17
57:10	multi 77:4	nature 41:17	77:8,8,13
morning	multiple 11:15	155:13	79:25 80:5,9
126:14	176:19	navigate 10:4	81:24 82:11,11
morris 3:23	multitudes	near 24:23	82:19 84:9
156:24 159:15	6:16	63:21 74:3	85:23 90:13,20
159:15,17,17	muster 118:12	75:15 76:8,13	93:12 97:24
159:21,21	n	76:23,24	101:24 105:8
161:14	n 2:1 3:1 4:1	136:25 145:16	105:10 108:24
mortality 57:9	5:1	169:24 172:16	126:10 127:7
moses 3:4	name 5:4 24:11	nearly 89:2	131:4,7,8,14
90:23 94:16	38:16 64:10	92:22 160:10	133:6,8 135:6
mother 122:5	86:23 97:5	167:15	136:19 139:5
169:1	100:21 102:11	necessarily	139:25 140:11
motion 11:7,8,9	110:12 111:23	44:20 61:3	140:12 143:13
176:17	117:11 118:20	96:6 116:14,14	144:3 145:18
motivations	121:15 124:15	129:11,21	157:16 161:8
90:20	125:21 130:23	140:6 143:11	168:12 171:22
move 28:1,20	133:22 144:12	143:18	175:12,13
37:4 38:4 50:5	133:22 144:12	necessary	needed 13:14
58:7 96:15,19	157:3 159:17	14:15 95:9	19:2 35:21
105:2,3 125:11	159:21 162:14	140:14	88:19 111:15
135:17 159:13	168:25 169:23	necessity 63:18	120:11 133:14
159:24 161:12	named 16:12	69:7	141:22 174:15
161:18	named 10.12 names 144:7	need 19:17,21	needless 132:16
moved 89:9	narrow 113:21	19:22 23:16	160:20
159:22 160:4,5	145:20	25:25 26:24	needs 15:12,14
173:12	175.20	35:17 36:21	19:15 32:22

www.veritext.com

800-727-6396

40:15 50:5	neighborhoods	25:11,11 26:6	139:20 140:20
56:10 57:16,16	14:5,12 15:18	27:11 28:20,22	141:4 142:3
57:23 85:25	16:19 21:8,13	30:10,11 31:4	144:18,25
93:10 99:3	21:15,16,23	31:10 33:14,23	145:7 146:1,5
103:24,25	22:4,5 24:23	34:5,21 39:21	146:6,11,15
104:4 112:10	26:17 41:21	40:8,10,12	147:2,4,6,22
143:12 144:2	57:23 76:21,22	47:16,17 55:3	148:23 149:9
157:15 158:13	76:22 81:9,15	55:13,15 56:3	150:1,18 152:4
negative	93:2,5,7 98:5	57:12 58:3,18	152:6 154:14
167:23	99:8 104:20,25	63:7,13 66:18	154:15 155:3
negatively	133:11 146:8	68:16,22 69:2	155:17 159:22
167:25 168:2	147:11	70:5 73:5,12	160:8,9,21
negotiate 63:8	neighboring	73:21 85:16	161:11 166:21
65:12 66:1	75:10 124:19	87:7,8,15,20,24	167:8,13,25
negotiating	124:19,24	88:2,2,19 89:6	168:18 169:1
17:17 65:19	neighbors 26:2	89:12,21 90:6	176:14 177:19
neighbor 125:5	31:3 88:7 98:2	90:8,21,25	nice 163:10
172:11	98:4 125:24	92:19 94:6,13	nichola 4:8
neighborhood	133:8 135:25	94:18,22 95:18	172:7
14:15 24:5,5	165:20 170:5	97:6,24 99:19	nickens 3:7
24:12 33:1	neither 177:11	100:8 101:3,18	111:22 117:7
35:25 36:22	178:7	101:19 104:10	nieves 2:8 7:23
45:17,21 56:9	net 12:19	106:17 107:24	30:16 31:15,20
57:4,4 64:21	never 13:15	110:18,23	31:23 32:6
66:21 68:14	60:16 63:17	111:6,10 112:7	114:24 115:8
69:1 73:23	94:23 102:16	112:11 113:9	115:11 116:1,4
74:24 75:4,4	nevertheless	113:10 117:12	137:21
96:1 102:15	13:13 17:15	117:14 118:11	nimby 58:17
103:12,17,19	new 1:11 5:9,12	118:17 121:17	87:2 92:24
105:13 106:4	5:16,23 6:2,12	121:19,20,22	122:2 160:6
135:14,21	8:10,12,13,17	122:4,15 123:9	nimbyism
137:11 167:11	12:4 14:8 15:4	123:19 124:21	39:12,19,19
neighborhood's	16:13,23 17:8	126:4 131:5	48:7
135:15	24:14,23 25:8	132:5 133:5	

www.veritext.com

	I		[]
nine 125:8,9	numerical	officer 177:2	olivia 4:4
126:18	50:16	officers 110:17	164:25
nobody's 154:5	numerous	official 41:11	omnibus 76:17
non 24:14	117:16	45:4 60:7	84:10
27:18 118:18	ny 1:13	officials 7:11	once 17:2 87:25
nonpartisan	nyc 97:20	12:7 15:10,11	102:16 146:12
112:2	nyc.gov 10:5,20	17:13 39:25	one's 60:2
nonprofit	nycha 42:23	40:10 41:6	ones 16:25
24:15 72:15	nyu 11:20	44:13,17	43:25 85:19
97:7	0	112:25 118:5	90:24 103:1
nonsensical	o 5:1	offline 143:12	173:22
70:5	object 141:9	oh 32:10	online 7:24
nonstrategic	objective 51:6	102:18,19,20	10:3 21:20
134:16	obligated 71:5	102:25 119:7	144:3
northeast	obligation 14:6	119:14 148:5	open 9:19
87:10	obstacles	148:24 149:18	27:21 57:6
notary 177:18	116:10	153:11 159:14	86:7 97:6
note 7:12 41:15	obtain 112:12	okay 12:13	112:6 119:1
108:22 143:22	obviously 6:16	16:20 32:6	123:19 141:4
noted 22:19	92:5 95:1	51:15 103:12	147:22
noticeable 89:7	128:3	115:8 120:4	opening 37:23
noticed 71:7	occupants	124:17 129:6	96:2
notified 125:5	39:21	138:19 142:25	operated
number 12:10	occurred	144:3,14 154:5	113:15
30:25 35:25	172:13	157:3 159:16	operational
43:17 54:9,15	occurring	161:17,22	109:8,14
66:4 69:25	81:22	162:3,13 165:2	operationalize
77:18 89:22	offer 31:25	172:3	43:8
98:10 127:25	42:12 158:15	old 24:14 38:18	opining 112:20
143:14,15	office 60:13	125:7 152:18	opinion 46:9
163:4,5	72:18 75:16	167:10 172:21	48:8 113:7
numbers 31:6		older 124:5	115:21
85:9,10 151:2	82:16 85:20 126:14	160:17 164:4	opinions 6:18

[opponent - park]

	-		
opponent 54:9	options 56:25	overflow 66:21	p.m. 1:8 10:10
opponents	57:7 152:18	overlap 160:23	128:4 143:24
40:13 84:12	orange 78:5	overlook 95:11	176:14,23
opportunities	oranges 31:3	overlooked	pack 81:19
105:6,15	order 29:21	125:3	package 101:12
107:17 112:11	90:15 123:17	overpaying	150:15
opportunity	141:22,23	69:6	page 10:3
5:25 6:11,13	153:17	override 44:4	paid 89:3
6:23 8:24 9:22	ordinary	oversee 123:24	117:23
24:10 30:5,7	149:15,16	overseeing	painful 109:13
54:16,20 56:8	organization	16:10	109:13
58:16 65:4	38:19 141:6	oversees 26:15	pair 101:16
72:1 92:18	organizations	oversight 18:21	pales 146:19
100:9 101:25	25:3 76:1,1	98:20 99:15	panama 5:10
102:18 110:22	organizers	123:22 160:25	pandemic
112:1 120:25	24:19	overstressed	12:22
142:7 157:16	organizing	82:15	panel 9:22 45:3
157:22	25:2	own 7:15,16	165:23
oppose 40:10	original 42:14	38:22 40:5	panelist 127:5
84:12 95:21	44:5 49:4	51:21 88:12	142:21 150:5
118:4	outcome	93:3 106:8	panelists 9:6
opposed 11:16	177:16 178:12	108:5 124:6	72:14 86:21
87:20 95:22	outdated	145:14 156:3	panels 110:1,3
120:8	146:22	owned 42:23	pans 94:24
opposing 52:24	outlined 14:21	89:2 98:25	paper 34:25
88:13	outrage 106:9	123:11 134:12	35:15
opposite 78:2	outright 82:22	owner 98:24	paradigm 49:5
93:8	outside 9:15	owners 124:25	paralyzed
opposition	31:24 73:18	ownership 22:5	145:22
83:14 92:9	outweigh	36:20	parents 5:10
95:23 145:16	137:10	р	6:13 159:23
optimize 130:7	overall 26:24	p 2:1,1 3:1,1	park 19:2
optional 31:13	112:9 167:24	p 2.1,1 5.1,1 4:1,1 5:1	27:20 63:7
43:21,22		T.1,1 J.1	74:6 88:7

[park - performance]

Page 43

[1	11
103:14 151:18	147:23 155:11	pays 73:5	107:10 109:9
172:16 173:17	parties 92:20	peaked 87:21	109:24 116:20
parks 57:6	92:22 177:12	pena 3:21	120:17 122:1
63:24	177:14 178:8	144:10 153:10	126:6 128:5,9
parochial	178:11	pena's 156:23	130:1,4 132:11
145:22	partnership	penalties 40:14	138:13,16
part 27:24 33:7	42:25 120:13	penalty 29:19	139:5 141:9
47:22 51:14,20	parts 12:20,21	29:23 30:3	143:17 144:3
52:22 56:10,18	21:8 32:17	pencil 17:6	148:3 150:1
60:1 66:24	55:20 87:16	penenberg 3:24	153:1 161:6,10
68:9 75:5 79:9	89:15 97:15	108:20 110:10	161:10,11
95:12 96:20	party 53:1	110:11,12	162:22 164:2,5
99:10 102:4	pass 105:25	115:7	164:7 171:7,9
103:3 104:25	passed 34:22	people 6:18	172:14,23
115:20 126:21	106:11 131:3	10:15 11:24	173:1,24
126:22 157:18	146:17,21	12:11,20,25	174:12 175:14
162:23 165:24	passing 168:15	15:9 33:15	percent 12:16
167:7 173:10	past 10:18	37:10 39:18,20	13:3,4,5 14:7,8
175:2	60:16 90:15,19	39:22 45:20	14:10,11 27:19
participants	90:20 91:13	46:2 48:9,10	27:22 28:1
51:2 122:18,24	94:2 109:5	52:12,18 55:7	65:20,24 66:1
124:2	113:18 118:15	55:8 59:25	69:24 89:23
participate	123:5 152:8	61:23 66:20	96:1 104:9
10:12	156:3	68:14 69:1	122:10 128:22
participation	patch 44:9	70:3 72:20,21	150:24 151:1,1
129:22 130:8	path 6:20 7:19	73:2,8,8 78:3	160:4 165:15
particular 9:3	pathway 33:10	79:18 81:4	166:7
20:7 67:20	pay 13:7 15:13	82:16,22 87:13	percentage
90:8 112:10	69:24 114:9	88:9,23 89:12	135:14
118:25 129:14	138:16 161:8	89:21 90:20	perfect 86:18
143:2	171:20,21	93:15,18 95:7	perfectly 45:8
particularly	paying 13:2	95:22,25 96:9	54:4
8:5 22:6 32:1	167:11	96:25 103:2,2	performance
81:11 86:21		104:6,12,13	57:5

[period - plans]

[
period 21:25	phitoussi 4:6	98:15 151:16	26:1,2,5,15
25:5 151:14	167:4 168:23	156:14 171:11	28:6,21 29:5
perks 63:9	168:24,25	175:1	30:21 31:2
permitted 14:1	169:1	plains 125:12	32:2,17 34:8
permitting	phrase 134:23	plan 26:7,13	34:12,13 35:7
13:24	picked 60:2	27:4,6 28:4	35:10,12,13
person 9:25	92:6	29:7 32:19	36:10 38:10,18
45:3,8,15 46:1	picture 14:24	33:1 34:3,7,22	38:23 44:15
102:21	91:12 114:25	34:24,25 35:16	49:21 50:3,4
person's	piece 36:9,10	35:20 41:2	52:17 53:3,25
153:17	36:25 37:5	45:25 50:8,10	54:5,8,10,11,12
personal 39:13	70:21	51:11 54:1,5	54:13,16 56:15
122:6	piecemeal	56:23 58:4,9	56:21 57:14
personally	113:18	58:15 59:10,25	58:25 59:1,7
160:9	pieces 31:25	63:20 64:4,5,6	62:25 63:1
perspective	piggyback	64:13,15,17,19	68:5 69:2
43:7 48:11	32:15	65:7 66:6 67:1	77:23,23,25
52:10 59:24	pipeline 23:6	68:8,9,25,25	80:12 82:14
60:6 61:9,24	37:4 176:2	69:11 71:2,8	90:3 91:24
62:5 91:6,9	pipelines 28:2	71:23 78:8	94:6 105:24
121:4 145:24	place 6:2 21:20	93:3 95:15	106:7,20
perspectives	54:19 60:12	104:1 105:21	112:15 113:3
41:13 52:19	62:16 72:22	105:22,24	113:19 114:7
53:6 60:24	90:22,23 92:21	106:1,6,9,10,19	114:16 123:1
persuasion	93:16 94:22	121:9 136:18	125:1,17
6:17	106:10,14	140:3 148:7,14	134:17 135:3
phase 132:7	114:10 120:17	plank 74:16	139:4,6,15
134:22,23,24	138:14 164:5	75:13 77:2	154:24 160:23
135:1	166:20 172:20	planned 57:19	plans 25:17
phases 14:22	176:16	planner 50:19	26:9 27:1
115:16	places 30:25	planners 54:20	34:19 51:12
phenomena	74:3 77:12	91:13	57:20 69:3
39:15	87:7,8,11,15	planning 8:4,6	105:22 106:3
	89:12,21 93:5	25:4,7,9,16	106:14 119:11

[play - president]

play 22:2 43:19	111:8,24	158:20 168:14	pre 5:21
124:3 137:5,6	138:12 147:3	post 96:15	precedent
playground	political 6:17	134:23,24	152:18
170:15	37:15 39:2,9	postdoctoral	precertification
playing 46:11	40:14 87:21	86:25	134:22 135:1,9
plays 26:16	93:6 94:20	pot 22:17,20	
please 10:10	95:12 96:10	23:8	precisely 84:4
11:14 44:23	102:12 112:5		predictability 37:10 38:2
		potential 43:13	
53:21 116:7	113:23 114:7	83:17 127:25	predominantly
119:5,12	163:13	146:4	78:19
124:14 126:25	politician	potentially	predominated
126:25 147:13	126:13 146:11	22:18 29:20	90:15
147:13 149:13	politicians	37:17 41:11	preemptive
149:13 153:14	95:18	52:24 157:25	115:21
154:2 162:9	politics 86:24	poverty 5:23	preference
pleased 38:20	87:4 92:19	power 40:11	95:25
156:1	94:12 113:15	91:24 95:8	premise 89:18
plenty 171:15	popular 91:25	96:10 106:16	prepared 86:8
171:16	population	107:2 113:2	178:3
plus 23:9	12:15,17,18	114:17 115:24	preparing
point 20:24	14:8,11 42:8	116:15 117:3	57:23
88:24 94:14,15	103:17 139:13	118:5 121:25	present 59:17
116:24 163:4,5	populations	164:10	90:18,19 93:15
pointed 93:1	107:10 138:10	powerful	94:3 114:3
152:9	152:16	117:21 157:25	152:17
points 103:13	posed 14:20	powers 24:22	preservation
141:1	position 35:18	86:2 112:24	99:4 157:10,11
polices 93:12	62:25 80:20	practice 40:2,7	158:2,21
policies 51:1	87:5	72:9,10	160:22 161:2
90:11 101:6	positive 75:25	practiced 134:2	preserving
146:9 151:17	84:15 158:19	practices 25:12	111:16
policy 25:2	possible 10:19	91:10 98:16	president 45:2
38:18 50:20	78:7 111:11	practicing	46:7 48:22
52:18 86:24	114:4 128:6	72:15	53:13,17 56:2

[president - process]

Page 46

	1	1	
58:20,21,24	priced 104:23	83:23 91:15	51:3,14,23
59:5 60:25	prices 13:12	97:16 104:20	52:1,8,9,11,23
61:8,12,25	149:17 161:9	104:25 105:18	53:1,2,5,8
62:6,12,23	primarily	109:5 113:9,10	58:16,25 60:11
67:5 78:11	172:17 173:13	132:23 138:15	61:9 64:3,7,14
83:12 98:20	primary 13:9	145:4 163:14	65:6 77:16,17
105:23 122:25	principal 23:20	problems 19:16	80:11 83:19,21
123:21 128:16	principally	70:12 73:4	84:12,20,24
132:8 140:2	23:18	90:24 116:21	85:1 97:24
171:3 175:7	principle	140:3	98:13 99:1
president's	114:12 148:10	procedural	101:17,19
60:13 68:7	principles 39:6	42:22	103:3 109:4,6
73:25	42:12 52:7	procedure 90:2	109:9,12,13
presidents	64:4,9 65:7	155:8	110:6,20,20,25
44:14,16 52:14	prior 29:15	proceeding	111:14,17
123:18,22	49:15 177:5	178:4	114:16 115:2
132:12	priorities 19:14	proceedings	115:12,17
press 117:16	28:14 37:15,16	177:3,5,6,9	117:18 118:8
159:19 169:22	122:18	178:6	119:24,25
pressure 81:23	prioritize 57:21	process 14:19	120:23 122:16
103:23 111:15	prioritizing	14:25 15:13,22	122:19,22,23
pressures	125:18	17:1,2 18:15	123:7,16,20
145:22	priority 36:19	18:22 26:16	124:3 125:18
pretty 96:23,24	private 155:11	31:18,19,21	128:19,25
157:20	privileges	36:10 37:1	129:3 130:10
prevailing	156:12	38:3,22 39:3,8	131:15 132:8
115:3	pro 94:18 97:7	39:9,25 40:24	132:15 133:5
prevalence	proactive 26:3	40:25 41:5,10	134:1,6,11,14
57:8	probably 29:22	41:14,22 42:17	134:20 135:9
prevent 83:16	115:22 139:14	42:18 43:12,14	136:10 137:19
124:23	159:7 170:12	43:18 44:8,11	140:9 141:9
price 22:24	problem 12:9	44:18 46:18,20	142:18 143:1,1
114:8	22:8 39:11	47:5,23 48:17	143:12 145:25
	46:25 65:11	48:17 50:5	148:5 157:13

[process - provided]

159:4 160:19	program's	promising	proposed 71:2
166:6 169:8,14	90:11	125:13	75:6 141:2,3
176:3	programs 74:9	promote 42:17	173:3,5,18
processes 31:23	114:4,6	pronounce	proposes
39:17 122:3,15	progress 95:10	144:12	155:16
123:2,10,23	132:16 146:3	propelling	proposing 85:9
124:10 125:19	progressive	167:20	101:5
129:18 130:8	19:18 88:20	proper 170:20	prospect
131:9,11	163:7 164:1	properties	103:14 172:16
132:14 143:6	project 15:3	124:18,22,25	173:17
148:19	23:9 36:16,16	158:10	prosper 91:1
proclaim 156:8	37:13,17,22	property 69:5,7	prosperous
produce 112:10	40:13 41:12	69:20 110:14	144:18
produced	44:7 60:9 66:5	110:16 111:3	protect 45:16
20:22 103:6	72:18,19 73:12	124:24 134:12	118:5 124:25
product 141:25	96:2 110:23	165:17	170:7,10 171:6
production	125:18 131:12	proportion	protected
13:18 16:15	131:15,21	127:23	42:10 143:9
20:2 155:24	132:1,7 135:13	proportions	170:8,9 171:1
productions	135:22 137:19	12:10 128:9	173:22
111:10	174:11	proposal 17:5	protecting
productive	projects 18:11	51:4 85:12	167:23 174:9
140:25	22:16 28:1,13	102:4 111:14	protection
products 37:3	36:17 76:5,25	112:24 113:1	115:3 125:20
professional	77:1,5 82:3	114:8 142:12	proud 82:14
165:19	85:18 101:23	150:8 156:10	100:22 141:14
professor 11:20	109:15,15	proposals	proven 44:2
16:6 38:14	118:6 120:18	40:18 97:25	provide 14:6
93:1	123:7,13	113:21 116:13	50:22 51:12
profit 24:14	125:13 134:10	135:10 137:12	77:6 103:21
65:17 80:14	135:7 138:7	145:1,2,8,16	108:12 112:11
profound 8:9	145:9	157:12 164:9	156:6 157:16
program 5:17	promises 6:15	propose 34:15	provided 21:14
138:9	126:12		

[providers - rapid]

Page 48

[I	1
providers	push 19:15	43:4 51:16	quickly 5:7
24:18,20	119:21 130:25	70:15,19 73:1	28:2 169:9
provisions	pushed 109:21	73:23 82:21	quiet 39:24
49:22 89:24	174:4	85:5 87:5 91:7	121:25
101:14,24	put 15:9 16:14	91:20 92:11	quite 29:25
ps 122:22	19:12 27:4	94:12 99:25	32:24 89:19
public 5:3,11	35:15 40:16	115:17 116:5	115:14 120:10
5:11 7:9 9:20	52:18 78:4	116:14 118:24	147:18
9:20,23,24	80:15 89:24	136:6 137:4	r
10:21 11:3,24	92:21 93:16	150:8 151:7,12	r 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1
19:20 39:24	94:22 95:16	158:23 174:19	r5 63:25
49:23 50:25	97:21 100:3	174:24	r6 172:19
51:2,11,11	103:22 106:14	questions 9:8	r7 64:10,11
69:21,22 86:8	142:6 156:1	10:14 11:12	r8 64:10,11
86:9 95:16,21	158:11 162:21	16:7 29:2 43:1	race 94:12
95:24 96:1,19	175:20	44:22 48:25	racial 25:18
98:22 111:24	putting 43:11	58:20 87:6	94:1,5 164:3
123:8,11	69:8,16 170:17	91:4 93:21	racists 95:14
132:21 133:4	q	99:23 102:6	radius 75:1
139:8 140:22	qualified	104:15 106:24	137:15,18
142:3 154:2	165:17 177:7	110:8 111:20	raise 22:24
167:19 176:13	quality 12:8	113:5 114:23	raised 5:9 73:2
177:18	56:22 57:7	119:14 127:2,4	145:13
purely 87:23	125:21 136:9	127:21 129:5	raises 113:4
purpose 51:7	quarters	133:19 136:4	ran 82:17
55:4	155:18	142:21 150:5	87:25
purposes 71:15	queens 27:21	151:4 156:17	random 124:9
pursue 7:17	75:14,21 76:5	158:23 161:14	130:4
118:7 132:4	109:25 176:13	164:22 167:1	randomized
purview 34:12	question 9:23	172:3 174:18	169:12
40:1 41:6	20:1,6 22:11	176:7	rapid 147:6
44:13 122:23	29:4 31:18	quick 20:1	155:12
122:25	35:5 40:22	97:21 109:1	
		151:7	

rare 99:18	125:2 126:10	166:16,25	recognizing
rate 13:10	129:12 120:10	171:14 174:22	25:25 28:23
16:16 23:3,24	147:6 150:21	rear 80:7	recommend
37:8 69:22	152:20	reason 10:10	112:15 119:1
102:24 103:1	reality 45:24	10:17,22 13:18	154:24
122:12 174:16	154:25	33:7,8,8 91:23	recommendat
rates 13:24	realize 92:11	91:25 138:16	52:13 61:17,20
22:4,24 23:10	139:6	141:21 168:10	98:12 143:2
128:22 138:4	really 5:15,24	reasonable	154:20 169:7
173:23 174:1	7:3,5 8:18,24	33:13 112:12	recommendat
rather 39:15	9:16 12:1,9	reasons 12:25	6:25 7:1,5,5
42:18 88:1	13:15 14:5,18	13:9 41:24	31:21 42:13
113:10 145:20	15:22,25 19:15	44:3 82:12	46:15 52:6,16
160:16	28:21 30:20	85:21 174:23	76:16 120:21
rational 39:15	38:7 44:10	rebuilding	147:23 154:18
rationally	46:13 47:18	109:12	158:14
40:23,25	49:6 51:5	recalling 18:24	recommended
raw 11:25	61:13,21 62:15	receive 113:1	123:20
reach 57:6	72:24 76:3	received 53:4	recommending
reached 12:9	77:6 78:10	recent 8:20	115:14
reactionary	86:3,22 87:6	12:18 15:1	reconcile 53:6
95:14 98:17	87:21 88:4,20	38:21 41:23	reconciliation
reactions 91:12	88:25 89:19	88:25 112:24	52:23
read 55:20	92:23 94:24	113:23 126:3	reconciling
97:20	97:10,11,20	168:20	48:19
ready 16:4	100:4 117:3	recently 8:21	record 122:9
118:18	129:21 130:12	14:3 29:16	154:9 158:1
real 5:5 33:10	134:8 136:23	89:4 146:17	177:9 178:5
55:4,6,6 57:16	140:7 142:5	152:11 159:24	recorded 11:4
66:22 76:2,3	143:10 149:18	167:10,13	177:6
79:20,23,24	151:22 152:1	168:10 172:21	recording
83:1 87:6	153:6,13 155:5	recognize	177:8 178:4
89:17 98:4	157:4 158:19	27:25 38:2	recovered
111:2 117:21	159:2 161:16	111:7	13:15

[recruiting - rental]

recruiting	reforming	80:1	remade 87:3
169:12	91:23 112:3	reimagine	90:11
red 12:10	157:9 169:13	124:8	remain 6:5
173:23	reforms 8:23	reimagined	83:14 112:12
reddy 3:11	35:11 50:5	130:11	140:10
127:3 130:22	97:19 98:7	reinforce 27:3	remains 6:12
130:23,24	115:2 118:8	reinforced	117:20 144:23
133:3,17 136:4	126:4 132:4,6	30:22	remediation
redeveloping	133:10,14	reinforces	170:20
67:20	141:15 142:11	25:12 70:23	remedies 98:9
reduce 28:2	146:5,21 147:1	reinforcing	remedy 90:15
84:20	158:19 168:15	32:2	96:15
reduced 177:7	169:11	reject 82:22	remember
reexamine	refrained 43:10	142:8 174:23	21:21 96:9
41:25	43:11	relate 94:13	remind 10:19
refer 30:19	reframing	related 50:2	90:21 118:13
referendum	80:18	112:20 123:12	119:8 141:24
142:1	refugee 167:7	132:18 177:11	176:10
referred 151:9	refugees	178:7	reminder 6:7
refers 121:25	167:14,17,19	relationship	remotely 172:8
reflect 7:6 45:2	168:3,11,18	71:1	remove 98:6
46:8 49:21	refused 105:24	relationships	123:16
reform 39:1,6	regard 131:15	56:24	removed 90:2
99:18 101:18	155:16 159:6	relative 177:13	159:1
102:3 112:6	regarding 40:5	178:10	removes 66:7
116:11,16	regardless 7:17	relatively	rendered
118:25,25	63:16	137:15	154:23
125:17 130:25	regards 109:3	released 38:25	renewal 95:2
141:22,23	regulating	97:18	rent 13:13
145:5 160:24	116:23	reliably 87:16	105:6,14
168:12	regulation 80:7	relief 42:22	122:10,11
reformed	158:12	131:5	138:16 146:7
160:15 169:6	regulations	rely 50:11	rental 122:12
	23:11 79:22		122:12 125:11

renter 122:6	representing	reshape 145:18	respectful 97:1
140:21 149:4	110:15 111:16	146:10	108:24 153:14
160:1	172:13	resident 42:24	153:22 154:2
renters 13:2	represents	73:5 100:22	respects 47:8
127:24 128:9	145:19 168:8	157:5 165:14	respond 21:7
174:3	request 84:18	residential	28:13
rents 13:13	120:2	13:10 75:16	responds 93:17
122:8 142:14	requesting 34:2	85:21 110:18	response 75:24
repeat 27:10	require 22:25	155:11 174:3	76:2
repeatedly	27:2 49:23	residents 7:10	responses
145:8	68:16 74:14	12:16 27:20	75:25
replace 41:2	101:12 103:15	40:23 68:20	responsibility
106:8	124:7 150:8	103:23 104:5	21:12 34:13
replaced 41:7	required 7:16	107:20 108:6	41:4 42:15
report 38:24	15:5 31:5	114:9 139:24	46:21,24 69:17
43:10 99:16	34:19 100:6,16	168:4 172:14	70:11 99:13
100:4 126:3	123:13 124:18	174:3	126:1 128:13
reported 1:19	124:23 150:23	resiliency	128:15
reports 117:16	150:23	126:7 138:14	responsible
represent	requirement	resilient 98:1	24:15,16 34:6
72:15 121:25	147:4	126:5	47:1,3 50:14
representation	requires 21:19	resistant 146:3	57:21 70:13
42:6,8 160:18	57:19 143:25	resisted 41:21	118:10
representative	150:25 151:1	resolved 39:14	rest 58:11
25:20 98:17	168:14	resource 42:20	85:19 114:14
122:19 124:4,8	requiring	99:8	restoring 48:4
128:8 129:4,11	34:24	resourced	restricted
129:24 130:8	research 13:11	132:13	23:22
130:17 160:16	16:17 38:18,21	resources 12:5	restriction
representatives	72:19 141:16	16:14,21 40:21	158:10
44:16 145:25	researched	54:12 128:6	restrictions
represented	98:4 125:4	171:6	77:14,18 79:1
39:25 44:15	reserve 15:1	respect 149:14	79:7 146:22

	• 140.17		
restrictive	revise 148:17	rich 63:21 75:3	76:20 77:1,5
146:24	revising 88:16	81:15 83:25	77:11 78:5,7
rests 41:5	revision 1:1 5:3	98:1 147:11	79:4,20 80:4
result 103:10	42:13 43:20	richard 1:6 2:3	80:21 81:4,14
113:13 164:18	54:7,15 82:7	5:4	81:19 82:4,12
resulted 93:4	91:22 101:4	richardson	82:14,17,20,22
resulting 20:25	125:16 132:3	2:12 7:23	82:24 83:5,20
results 15:14	147:9,23	62:22 67:3	84:1,5 86:16
36:13 99:12	162:19,24,24	rid 163:23	93:22 102:6
retain 115:2	163:1 164:15	164:16	106:17 107:13
rethink 128:7	166:5	ridge 59:13,17	108:25 109:3
retirement	revisions 39:4	59:17 69:4	109:19,23,25
126:16	41:20 42:5	ridiculous	110:3,5 121:6
retiring 5:13	reynoso 2:22	132:2	133:1,9 136:1
return 42:14	48:22 53:13,15	right 11:17	136:8 137:14
65:24	53:18,20,22	13:23 16:25	143:20 144:3
returned 69:21	59:3 60:19	17:11 19:1	146:18 148:14
167:10	61:1,5,10	20:4,10,17	148:20,25
revamped	62:20 63:4	21:1,2 22:25	149:4,9,11
143:12	67:6 68:3	23:8,23 27:13	151:2,14,19
revert 62:7	70:17,24 71:3	27:15 28:5	153:23 156:24
review 6:22 8:3	72:6 105:23	31:12,21 33:12	158:15 159:11
11:4 15:20	123:1,17 171:3	33:18 34:19	162:8 164:23
43:23,24 85:14	175:7	36:13,18,18	165:5 170:11
98:13 101:17	rezoned 14:2	37:21,25 45:11	171:17,20
110:12 112:14	18:5 20:9,20	45:13 48:9	172:5,19 176:8
132:7 137:5,6	rezoning 20:3	49:14 50:7,24	rise 76:20,21
154:23 157:6	20:13,22 21:5	53:22 56:11	76:21,22,24
reviewed 43:25	21:25 33:1,9	61:3 62:18	77:4 81:9,15
119:13	41:21 103:17	63:6 65:10	85:17 102:14
reviewing	106:19 134:13	67:1 68:12	103:16 108:3
111:17	137:12 159:1	69:5 70:2,6,20	173:13
reviews 15:20	rezonings 36:1	70:21 72:8	rises 74:18
98:10 132:9	41:20	75:9,22 76:11	75:21 85:20

	1		11
rising 122:8	roles 99:13	safeguard	says 26:17
risk 14:21	157:9	96:14	74:15
15:21 17:10,11	rolling 34:23	safety 57:7	scale 38:3 74:1
risking 117:14	63:15	139:8	74:20,22 76:8
risks 14:19,23	roman 3:10	samuels 2:9	76:11 77:7
16:1,2 22:23	117:9 121:14	7:24	79:1,11,12
risky 13:21	124:15,16	san 160:4,6,10	80:2,13 82:23
15:8	room 6:7 8:8	sandoval 1:19	85:19,24
rivalry 42:19	9:9,25 47:11	177:2,17	scarce 87:11
river 31:4	47:13 73:9	sara 3:24	89:19
roaches 153:12	79:17 109:9,11	108:20 110:9	scared 55:16
robert 2:25 3:3	143:25 169:19	110:12	scares 109:25
86:10 90:22	root 144:24	sat 129:19	scattered 83:24
94:16 96:21	rooted 39:11	satisfied 66:4	scheduled 10:9
100:20,22	round 21:25	save 126:25	school 5:12,12
102:6	rule 148:11	140:3 142:17	8:14 11:20
robertson 3:13	rules 148:11	savino 2:15	30:10,12 57:5
133:21	158:3	7:25 17:24	68:16,21,22
robin 5:22	run 10:9 82:16	18:2,14 19:17	69:24 77:23
robinson	132:11,14	19:24 32:8,9	88:8 136:20
138:21 139:1,2	runaway 89:6	33:19 35:3	154:14 170:14
robinson's	running 104:24	44:24 47:25	170:14
170:13	122:4	81:25 82:8	schooling 32:18
robust 25:20	S	83:9 84:16	schools 21:17
rod 3:6 100:19	s 2:1,14 3:1 4:1	104:17 120:5	63:23 69:2,4,8
102:8	5:1	121:10 130:9	schoolteacher
role 44:18	sacrifice	saw 108:2,3,4	5:11
52:14 58:24	125:21	saying 15:24	scope 109:10
61:8 70:19	sacrificing	33:14 34:18	114:4
71:3 72:2	136:8,13,16	37:2,24 54:17	screaming
114:15 124:4	sad 171:13	79:10,12 80:24	132:10
137:5 145:6	safe 88:5 171:7	87:22 130:12	seamless
174:10		142:9 164:15	141:11
		165:14	

[seams - share]

	1		
seams 69:8	165:22 166:16	137:2	26:21 34:16
seats 30:10,12	168:18	sensitivity 81:8	55:16 62:15
69:10,10	seeing 19:20	separate 63:5	84:5 90:24
145:23 153:3	20:24 92:19	67:24 98:18	123:2 131:9
second 11:10	129:9	series 6:25 84:3	sets 25:17
11:11 42:4	seek 31:24	serious 112:7	101:21
44:6 54:6 68:8	seeking 117:17	124:22	settles 84:14
87:14 145:18	seem 54:3	seriously	seven 69:4
169:10	123:15 141:11	145:15	122:8,8 134:24
secondly 141:5	seems 35:9 59:5	servants	several 5:19 9:6
secretary 2:5	116:19	132:22	89:24 175:21
7:21	seen 18:6 30:20	serve 5:21	severe 42:2
section 28:4	60:24 73:13	94:22 132:25	99:7 112:8
98:11 145:13	122:13 127:22	170:1	155:10
154:15,22,25	134:5,5	served 5:19	severely 8:13
155:15	sees 64:17 65:3	9:14,15 45:4,5	sewage 21:18
sections 112:14	seiu 110:13	101:18 129:23	136:21
112:19,22	select 10:6	134:3	sewer 63:12
sector 111:4	selecting 130:4	server 129:14	sewers 66:22
security 110:17	selection 124:9	serves 92:23	shade 170:12
see 12:23 17:24	selects 128:5	service 11:24	170:13
18:1 20:12,20	self 109:8,14	16:5 18:25	shadows 137:8
27:17 32:13	selfish 59:5	24:20 28:18	shameful 168:4
36:4 45:1	semi 95:23	38:15 72:13	168:19
47:16,17 53:2	senate 45:5	110:14,17	shams 2:11
57:3 59:19	send 85:13,15	111:4 133:23	7:21 51:16
60:7 64:25	119:3,22	143:25	165:23
66:2 67:13,13	seneca 151:17	services 32:21	shape 110:23
67:18,20 70:18	senior 36:19	57:1 73:6	110:23
70:25 71:12	104:11 141:15	167:18	share 13:2
113:23 121:22	sense 64:22	serving 17:14	38:20 49:8,10
122:2 127:17	69:9 82:24,25	86:25 95:20	49:23 50:9
133:3,10	87:4 107:22	set 8:24 17:18	75:20 102:25
139:21 164:6	118:8 135:20	18:24 19:15	107:15 121:3

[share - slatkin]

133:11 167:12	shot 99:19	168:12	77:18 80:3,13
173:20 175:2	shoulders	similar 31:8	83:23,25 85:23
shared 18:4	47:14	34:18 49:5	100:8,9
84:24 159:6	shovels 15:3	52:14 89:25	sits 105:20
sharon 2:4 7:20	18:18 120:20	92:1 127:24	sitting 19:10,10
20:5	166:2	137:17	54:7 106:10
sheets 17:8	show 27:17	similarly 50:1	situation 17:15
23:11	39:23 65:23,23	simple 89:19	26:23
shelter 19:11	65:24 71:24	135:8 137:8	situations
shelters 123:14	88:23 128:4	145:8 148:16	138:18
shifting 71:1	169:14	simplify 148:12	six 23:6,7,9
113:1 115:23	shows 12:13	simply 18:14	45:22 54:23
117:3	13:12 16:18	40:16 131:20	75:6,7 81:12
shifts 113:23	146:9	140:5 144:22	108:3 109:8
shirk 14:5	shrink 22:20	157:23 163:13	134:24 146:23
15:18	shut 60:8	163:16	sixty 59:14
shirts 78:5	sic 18:19	simultaneously	size 36:20 74:6
shooting 66:3	173:18	87:15	79:12
131:11	sick 132:10	single 46:6 60:8	skewed 39:10
shopped 45:22	side 31:4 36:12	74:12 75:4	40:16
short 45:13	36:15 130:24	81:18 132:8,24	skills 177:10
85:11 104:18	140:21	146:22	178:6
shortage 14:13	sides 36:8	sink 125:8	slack 59:2
42:1 87:14	94:21 125:6	sir 80:24	144:6
93:19 97:14	134:5,6	147:15 151:20	slade 3:17
112:8,9 113:13	signature	sit 34:14 36:2	138:24 144:6
148:1 154:25	177:16 178:14	103:8 130:2	144:13,13,14
155:10 167:16	signed 101:20	139:21	144:16,17
168:12	significant 6:4	site 75:1 78:24	slam 131:15
shortages	8:20 33:8	78:25 80:6	slatkin 2:20
133:1	67:15 135:14	147:22	9:13 38:10,12
shortsighted	significantly	sites 73:15,19	38:16 43:9
139:18	64:8 65:9	74:4 75:22	46:12 48:2
	74:18 146:19	76:8,10 77:13	49:10 50:7

[slatkin - speed]

Page 56

	1	1	
52:3 145:4	156:5	95:7,23 96:15	151:10,19
slice 20:7,14	solves 66:6	129:2 138:15	152:3,20,25
129:24	solving 131:10	158:1,5,11,12	153:3,7,11,16
slide 12:13	somebody	sorts 123:13	153:19,21,23
slides 73:11	83:15 119:8	source 22:8	154:1,4,7
slight 54:3	somebody's	sources 81:2	156:19,22
slightly 160:5	31:11	south 172:15	157:1 161:20
slip 132:8	somewhat 31:8	soviet 167:7	162:1,4,7
slope 88:7	82:5 115:8	space 27:21	speaker's 56:18
slow 99:1	son 5:11	57:6 104:24	speakers 11:15
slowdowns	soon 78:7 86:10	170:7	86:9 96:20,23
132:16	111:11	spaces 170:10	100:19 108:20
slows 64:8	sooner 120:24	spacious 88:5	108:23 113:17
small 59:16	sorely 88:19	spanish 5:14	122:25 133:20
64:21 69:25	sorid 4:3 162:6	spar 50:19	144:5 176:19
76:11 77:7	162:9,10,13,14	speak 10:16	speaking 107:5
80:2,13,14	164:20,22	25:13 55:8	117:11 124:1
82:25 109:15	sorrow 127:6	61:21 109:18	127:3
161:7	sorry 51:16	112:1 121:7,8	specific 29:24
smaller 83:6	59:12 106:25	133:19 165:8	30:25 34:7
84:10 85:19,24	115:15 118:23	175:14	43:11 46:14
smarts 12:1	119:15 121:18	speaker 2:17	48:20 52:6
social 55:18	127:12 129:7	2:18,19,20,21	61:6 76:16
89:7 95:10	143:6 144:6,11	2:22 11:9	94:25 99:16
society 5:18	147:15 159:14	80:16 91:5	122:18 141:1
soho 27:19	159:16 164:20	98:3 99:24	158:4,14
solar 110:1,3	164:20	119:16,20	175:11
sole 114:17	sort 9:4 17:1	122:14,14	specifically
solutions 107:4	23:2 29:11	127:7 142:22	16:13 25:3,15
111:9 119:24	32:15 50:9,18	143:19 147:10	26:6 34:1
127:8	51:8 53:1	147:14,17,20	37:20 112:25
solve 56:10	84:19 87:9,12	149:1,5,11,18	spectrum 7:4
67:2 73:4	88:17 93:3,13	149:21 150:3,6	speed 18:16,21
97:16 132:22	94:18,20 95:6	150:20 151:5	64:2 65:8

www.veritext.com

118:8 120:23	stage 8:24 44:8	154:15 155:9	steps 28:19
136:9,12	114:6	169:13 177:19	47:5
166:19	stages 44:18	stated 126:3	stifle 139:19
speeds 65:8	stakes 129:12	statement	stifling 145:9
148:19	stalled 131:24	55:21	stock 12:7
spend 5:15 9:4	stalling 58:15	staten 83:24	14:12 145:10
143:7	stand 16:4	104:22,24	158:7
spending 17:16	standard	states 13:25	stokes 42:18
142:15	101:22	18:17 31:17	stop 37:2 58:14
spent 54:11	standards	98:8 100:25	77:20 78:12
167:16	101:5,16 111:1	110:15	stopped 65:6
spirit 146:14	112:5 115:3	stations 71:20	stopping 45:19
split 160:25	standing 19:14	statue 168:6,7	store 45:22
spoke 88:23	stark 57:3	statutory	stories 68:13
136:22 172:22	start 9:25 17:9	134:25	74:17 75:12
175:7	37:13 43:4	stay 8:15,17	175:18
spoken 87:13	53:20 56:19	105:11,12	story 59:19
134:16 135:11	58:2 69:2	119:12 133:6	64:21,23,24
sponsored	87:22 88:15	138:13 143:23	74:12,15,23,23
134:9	103:24 106:12	144:1	75:8,11 81:16
spots 163:18,19	106:13 107:14	stayed 154:7	81:16 108:3
spread 107:16	118:20 139:7	stays 17:16	146:23 175:19
174:25	started 5:17	steel 74:13	175:20
spurts 45:13	87:23	steep 114:8	strategic 49:20
square 74:19	starting 9:10	steering 26:15	50:2
77:11 78:15	26:11 87:18	34:15	strategy 32:17
81:14 88:7	103:18 125:6	stemming	streamline
stabilized	starts 57:15	35:22	98:10 125:19
146:7	60:9	step 14:19 52:9	148:12 169:7
staff 15:7 68:11	state 9:15 12:6	52:13 53:1	streamlined
85:13 110:18	35:12 45:4	56:15 125:2	123:20 133:5
132:13	76:20 83:21	128:2 146:18	143:7
staffing 133:1	84:7 97:8	stephen 3:25	streamlining
	112:3,16	156:25 157:4	161:3

[street - sure]

street 88:1	studied 95:3	subtitles 144:2	supermajorities
170:19 174:13	studio 103:19	subway 71:20	150:9
streets 57:7	108:4	succeed 101:7	supermajority
65:1 71:19,20	study 15:1	success 19:20	145:13 147:4
strengthen	136:22	successes 114:1	150:25
61:8 99:11	stuff 55:7 84:11	successful	superpower
strengthening	107:4,15	32:22 95:23	121:21
141:5	126:20 136:11	successfully	supply 13:12
strengths 39:7	stupid 80:7	32:25	133:12 144:25
strictly 41:17	sturdy 88:4	suddenly	155:3,11,12,17
47:10	style 40:13	125:14	156:8 158:3
strides 8:20,20	158:5	sue 84:13 170:9	support 22:18
striking 48:18	subject 38:25	suffice 169:15	30:11 32:19
strive 133:6	83:11 123:14	sufficient 15:12	95:12 106:11
strong 101:4,13	submit 10:19	63:13	116:16 118:4
101:23 102:13	10:25 119:12	suggest 35:9	120:9 141:1
108:6 114:15	176:11	39:6 48:3 61:4	147:19 152:21
stronger 99:14	submitted	suggested	154:8 157:11
strongly 97:11	154:16 161:23	117:16 123:17	165:8 168:15
157:15	submitting	suggesting 34:5	169:7
structurally	38:24	63:2 82:2	supporter 56:7
36:22 156:10	subsidies 23:21	115:1,11 148:4	supporting
structure 28:12	74:9 101:11	suggestion	32:2
42:18 113:5,14	subsidize	157:23	supportive
structured 39:4	138:10	suggestions	24:18 37:7
struggle 8:11	subsidized	51:23,25	131:14
131:5	165:11,15	119:24 128:14	supports 7:18
struggling	166:8	suited 160:10	supposed 88:10
174:20	subsidy 22:14	sullivan 170:22	93:2
stubbornly	23:1,8 81:1,5	summary 97:21	sure 6:12,22
13:4	81:23 138:8	147:1	7:3,22 10:11
students 90:17	166:8	sun 110:3	10:15 12:23
154:14	substantially	sunny 130:24	20:21 32:10
	112:24		36:25 53:9

[sure - teaming]

Page 59

63:1 80:20	92:21,23 93:2	143:12 158:13	talking 15:23
83:22 84:7	93:16,18 94:21	171:25 172:20	25:15 34:25
101:17 102:3	94:22 96:6	177:3,12 178:9	36:4,9 43:5
116:16 127:20	113:6 148:11	takes 15:2	48:7 54:8 56:5
137:9 143:13	systemic 28:19	18:18 22:15	62:4 69:14
144:7 161:3	39:15 40:8	36:2 71:6	83:20 103:24
173:5,21 174:7	systems 28:24	134:24 135:2	103:25 127:10
175:13	t	138:7	135:3,4 163:9
surrounding	tab 10:5	talent 12:6	talks 79:19
176:1	table 15:10	talented 159:1	tall 81:19
survive 138:17	96:22 166:21	talk 16:9 26:4	143:10 174:24
149:16	tackle 105:15	27:25 34:10,10	taller 74:25
survived	132:19	63:17 64:20	tallest 75:1
173:23,23	tackling 133:12	70:7 72:17	tandem 147:2
sustainability	tactic 58:16	74:8 79:18	target 29:9
63:18 139:9	tag 172:10	80:25 85:22	50:16 103:17
sustained 12:17	take 5:6 8:5,7	88:6 91:8,9,21	107:9 108:10
sustaining	9:3 18:3 21:3	91:22 95:5,17	targeted 118:8
102:2	21:12 28:19	96:7 100:4	135:15
suwen 3:15	30:1 31:11	102:21 103:7	targets 26:8,10
161:25	56:14,21 60:11	103:11 107:14	26:18,21,22
sway 160:19	71:10 72:6	134:18 139:4	29:9 31:6
sweeping 96:5	87:12 93:13	147:19 170:3	34:17 35:15
switching	106:22 125:25	173:3	50:10,25 123:2
123:17	135:1 139:15	talked 17:1	135:13
sworn 177:5	139:15,23	21:6 25:13	task 6:1
sympathy	144:18 145:14	29:13 33:21	tasks 8:2
127:8	152:19 159:4	37:19 78:4	taught 5:11
system 13:17	165:7	80:3,18 84:19	taxes 73:5
13:20 33:5	taken 23:10	102:16,17	tcc 25:1
40:9,16 61:16	66:23 113:18	103:5 104:21	teaching 5:14
63:12 79:22	120:19 126:12	118:24 123:1	team 60:1
81:3 82:19	131:16 135:8	135:20 159:9	teaming 172:10
90:1 91:15	151,10 155,0	167:21 175:4	

[tear - thank]

tear 109:11	69:3 72:16	119:12 121:12	102:4,5,7
tech 171:24	103:25 109:19	127:1,15	104:14 106:23
technical 88:17	109:20,22	130:21 142:9	108:18 110:7,8
112:5	terms 29:4,6,8	142:23 154:3	111:18,19,20
technicality	59:1 74:7,24	154:16 159:14	111:23,25
80:8	75:24 76:16	161:18,23	114:21,22
techniques	93:13 122:24	164:24 168:22	116:4 117:5,5
98:20	127:17 136:10	169:11 172:2,7	117:10 118:19
technology	test 2:23	176:12	119:6,14 120:5
5:13 159:25	testified 84:19	text 64:11 84:5	121:10,11,12
171:25	141:6,17 161:7	thank 11:21,21	124:12,13
tedious 129:20	testifies 9:23	16:5,6 17:24	126:22,23,24
teeth 28:5 98:4	testify 7:11 9:7	18:24 19:24,25	127:1,14
tell 34:4 64:24	9:9,21 10:2	22:10 23:25	130:20,21
71:11,16,17	24:10 108:19	24:2,9,9 29:1,3	133:2,12,16,22
90:17 133:25	119:11 140:19	30:14 32:7,13	133:23 136:2,3
134:1	142:4 147:13	33:19,19 35:2	137:3 138:19
telling 175:18	169:19	35:3 38:6,8,12	138:20,24
tells 140:12	testifying 10:11	43:3 44:21,24	139:2 140:15
tempting 88:21	10:13 97:1	44:24 48:21	140:16,18,18
ten 35:19 36:5	120:6 141:14	51:15,15 53:11	142:18,20,22
57:20 58:4	167:3 176:9	53:12,15,16	143:19,20
59:19,23 68:13	177:5	55:25 58:18,19	144:4,11,14
71:9 81:12,16	testimony 5:6	62:19,20,22	147:8,12 150:4
83:1 103:5	9:11,24 10:15	67:3,4,6 70:14	150:7 151:3,20
145:22 150:18	10:20,25 11:19	72:4,5,6,12,13	153:2,5,25
160:8 163:18	14:21 29:4	72:13 78:12,13	154:10,11
175:16	30:17 32:14	80:17 81:25	156:15,21
tenant 97:12	33:20 34:2	84:16 85:5	158:22 159:10
tend 74:8 124:5	38:7,24 44:25	86:3,5,15,15,19	159:11,12,19
tens 17:22	53:23 62:23	86:21 91:3,5	161:12,13,15
110:16	73:25 80:17	93:20 96:17,18	161:22 162:8,9
term 17:8	86:7,8,12	97:2,4 99:20	162:13 164:19
23:11 36:10	96:18,20 97:10	99:22 100:17	164:23 166:23

166:24 168:20	31:17 42:22	49:2,5,7,11,15	142:13,17
168:21 169:16	43:16,17,18	49:17 50:1,7	143:1,5,6,6,7
169:17,19	47:21 48:3,19	50:22 51:13	143:11 148:3
172:1,1,5	49:13 51:4	52:6,8,12,22	150:24 151:6
174:16,17	55:14,17 59:11	53:1,7 54:13	151:13,16,25
176:4,6,8,20	62:4 63:16	55:3,4,21	152:1,7,9,13,24
thanking 28:18	70:2,8 73:22	57:12,13 58:23	155:15 157:21
88:15	78:8 81:1 84:6	59:15,15,19	157:23 158:18
thanks 30:16	84:14,20 85:1	61:7,10,13,24	158:18,24
49:18 158:21	85:2,25 92:8	62:12,24 65:1	160:9,11,14
165:4	94:7 100:3	67:18,23 71:3	161:21 162:2
themes 91:21	105:19 115:4	71:10,21 72:3	164:11,14
theresa 4:7	128:24 130:3	72:25 76:17	166:16,18,20
169:18,24	135:18,19,23	79:17 80:12	171:2,4 172:4
172:10,15	136:13,15,16	81:5 82:4,17	175:3,4,23
173:16	136:24 137:11	83:4,18,21	thinking 35:7
thesis 141:15	138:6 146:12	84:7,9,21,21,23	49:2 70:22
thing 7:12	148:4,20	90:25 91:10,15	82:1 123:9
16:23 27:17	150:14 155:1	91:17 92:10	124:2 129:22
44:12 45:20	156:2 159:3,8	95:15 96:5,8	130:13 157:20
46:18 48:6	163:6	96:14 100:6,7	159:3 169:12
49:6 53:4 59:4	think 6:1,11,21	106:20 107:8	third 13:25
66:10 67:12	8:16,19,24	107:23 116:17	20:2
72:24 75:19,23	14:17 15:25	120:20 122:21	thirds 20:3
77:22 78:18	16:1 18:16,23	123:5,8,15	thomspon
79:21 80:7	20:23 23:19	128:2,12,13,25	176:16
83:11,19 92:17	25:7 29:10,21	129:1,2,16	thought 29:6
96:21 129:15	30:7 32:1,16	130:13,17	37:24 43:20
136:21 148:3	32:23 33:13	132:6 136:17	82:8 129:13
151:22 166:12	34:9,21 36:5,8	137:16 138:5	150:12
173:19 174:20	36:11 37:5,8	138:11 139:3,5	thoughtful
things 6:8,19	43:9 44:12	139:17 140:2,4	128:14
8:4 14:17 17:9	45:7,18 46:13	140:5,6,9	thoughts 94:10
20:7 25:25	46:17,17 48:7	141:6,8 142:6	

[thousand - track]

		1	
thousand 27:15	19:3 20:7,14	175:12	tonight 39:1
64:25 89:2	21:4 22:14,15	timeline 61:11	72:17 120:6
175:21	22:15,23 23:9	timelines	135:11 139:5
thousands	23:13 25:5	112:19	141:2,20
17:22,22	28:11,18,24	times 15:5	142:11 160:14
110:16 111:10	35:8,20 37:21	73:13 89:2	165:10,23
171:18,19	37:22 38:3	122:8,8 135:24	166:15 172:13
threats 96:13	39:9 40:21	142:17	tonight's
131:20	45:14,14 53:24	timing 6:3	140:23,24
three 9:21 17:6	54:6,11,25	84:25	169:6
39:2 64:23,24	64:17 77:25	tireless 8:22	took 18:10 19:3
74:12,15,21	78:1 84:11	tivoli 173:9	73:14 166:1
81:16 82:3	90:10 92:7	today 7:22	170:25
96:25 98:22	95:12 98:14	10:22 12:7	tools 15:19
108:4 122:22	103:16 104:15	35:18 38:25	top 41:2 174:11
134:3 135:1,8	104:18 105:8	42:7,10 86:20	topic 9:3 87:23
135:9 155:18	105:17 106:6	87:13,19 88:24	141:16
162:12 170:25	108:23 111:5	89:20 90:21,25	topics 26:19
thriving 24:22	111:18 112:21	92:1,4,13,20,22	39:2
24:25,25	114:19 118:14	95:14 112:1,18	touch 170:17
throw 79:22	120:7 123:15	116:13 134:16	tough 76:18
81:1	125:7,25	140:19 141:17	toward 16:14
thrown 46:4	126:21 128:5	145:5,7 150:11	123:4 128:3
thunderdome	129:8 130:2	154:20	towards 50:6
40:13	131:24 135:3	today's 9:2	67:16
tiburzi 3:3	136:10 141:8,8	together 6:8,9	towers 64:22
86:10 96:21	141:10 142:15	6:20 8:15 9:5	173:9
100:20,21,22	142:15,16	18:4 47:9	town 100:2
ticket 117:25	143:8 151:14	52:18,20 98:19	track 35:8
tie 32:19	151:15 153:4	109:11 150:15	36:25 97:23
time 9:4 10:18	157:17 162:11	told 109:4	98:6 101:9,11
10:25 11:5	164:20 165:25	ton 160:23	123:6 141:2
12:6 13:21	166:2,10,23	tone 62:16	143:13 147:24
15:4,5,21 16:2	167:11,14		173:7

[tracking - ulurp]

	1	I	
tracking 27:25	57:6 75:15	107:3,6 143:8	141:10
123:10 165:10	76:9 139:8	149:16	types 35:21
166:6 172:25	trapped 77:11	tuesday 1:7	165:11
173:3	78:16,19	tuning 44:10	typewriting
trades 120:15	tremendous	tunnel 175:10	177:7
120:22 121:4,7	164:17	turn 47:25	u
traditionally	trend 12:22	151:18	ultimately 6:25
21:14	55:16	turned 37:17	33:7 39:10
train 63:22	trigger 84:6	turning 98:24	43:16,19
transcriber	trim 38:1	167:18	123:24 169:9
178:1	triple 43:17	turnout 128:22	ultimatum
transcript	triumphs	twice 74:6	71:15
178:3,5	146:13,13	163:10	ulurp 23:7,10
transcriptionist	true 119:10	two 15:4 20:3	36:15 37:15
177:8	129:21 177:9	29:14 37:25	38:21,25 39:3
transformative	178:5	38:23 39:11	39:7 40:25
146:4	truly 7:11 9:11	45:14 48:19	41:18,19 42:18
transit 5:12	65:20 106:5	68:17 71:11	43:18 50:23
25:24 33:5	128:7 129:24	78:8 86:9 89:1	51:8,22 52:1,8
63:21 73:18	130:7 134:8	98:10 100:18	57:13 64:7,14
74:3 75:3	135:6 174:15	108:20 113:22	65:6,6 76:12
76:14,23,24	trump 167:20	122:5 131:18	76:18 77:17
81:15 83:25	trust 91:9 92:2	134:15,21	79:2,11 80:5,9
98:1 103:14	92:7,14	135:7 138:10	82:4 83:8,11
121:23 136:25	truth 13:23	141:1 143:15	84:3,6 90:2
transits 136:21	28:23 70:21	145:1 147:1	95:6 96:15
transparency	try 10:15 16:11	155:21 156:4	98:11,19 109:4
50:23	49:10 52:3,5,7	167:12 169:2	109:9 110:24
transparent	130:7 148:10	172:18 175:17	111:13 112:19
57:24	152:5 174:25	175:17,19	115:1,12
transpired	trying 6:2	type 18:16	117:18,20
32:25	16:19 21:24	32:21 35:20	122:16,19,23
transportation	51:1 61:17	79:13 107:7	122:10,19,25
21:17 56:25	76:17 80:24	138:18 141:8	123.7,17,10

Γ			
124:4 133:25	understand	149:21 150:3,6	units 23:15
134:11,19	39:12 52:19	150:20 151:5	24:17 27:14
136:10 140:9	57:18 60:4	151:10,19	32:20 33:14
141:3,23	63:2 74:10,10	152:3,20,25	36:2,21 37:23
142:25 158:17	76:7 90:19	153:3,7,11,16	59:13,15,16,22
160:15 162:21	115:13 117:19	153:19,21,23	64:25 71:10,24
163:2 169:6	136:1 148:8	154:1,4,7	73:20 74:13
ulurp's 110:19	174:13,21	156:19,22	75:20 76:6
ulurps 35:24	175:24	157:1 161:20	78:23 79:2
unable 89:15	understanding	162:1,4,7	85:16 100:10
125:9 168:3	26:16 48:16	unilaterally	146:6 171:18
unaffordable	51:22 80:20	33:3	171:19 175:22
144:23 169:2,3	94:1,5	unintended	university
169:4	understands	90:16	86:13 87:1
unanimous	111:5	union 70:2,2	unlock 16:16
145:16	understood	100:24 110:14	77:18 85:24
unassigned	46:12	110:25 111:5	unnecessary
82:10	undue 122:2	111:25 112:2	143:9
unbelievable	160:18,19	113:8 118:15	unprecedented
54:18	unelected	118:17,18	88:25
unclear 115:16	132:11	166:12,15,20	untapped
uncomfortable	unfair 14:14	167:7	78:15,18
14:4	unfortunate	unions 110:22	unwritten 40:3
undeniable	59:4	112:9	update 17:7
122:7	unfortunately	unique 81:12	updated 99:12
under 5:19	128:22	unit 17:23	upend 115:12
8:21 34:5 40:9	unidentified	23:14 75:6,7	upfront 19:22
132:24 158:7	11:9 80:16	75:12,14,21	urban 86:24
158:12	91:5 99:24	76:4,25 81:12	95:2 96:8
underdevelop	119:16,20	85:10,18 138:1	135:3
93:7	127:7 142:22	155:24	urbanism
underserved	143:19 147:10	united 13:25	72:11
57:23	147:14,17,20	100:25	urge 144:17
	149:1,5,11,18		169:15

[urgency - voted]

Page 65

111.6	107:25	vein 142:4	vishaan 2:21
urgency 111:6		version 31:5	9:13 72:9
urgent 8:7	usually 50:11		
42:21 161:8	utilize 77:12	34:11 85:11	135:20 136:22
use 9:12,18	uz 3:20 161:19	versions 50:8	141:18
13:17,20 19:12	V	versus 20:17	vishnu 3:11
27:2 28:21	vacancy 122:12	127:24	127:3 130:23
41:15 43:21	160:4	vested 34:12	vision 57:18,24
46:15 51:3	vacation 165:7	veterans	59:18 60:16
56:6,24 57:25	vadim 4:5	165:22	95:10 113:11
77:14,16,17	167:3	veto 15:15	175:10
79:1,3,6,22	valerie 2:13	43:15 44:2,6	vital 110:20
81:3 84:3 85:3	7:25 32:9	122:17	174:10
92:19 93:12	valuable 55:21	vibrant 72:22	voice 39:20
98:13 101:6	value 55:3	146:8	41:3 42:15
110:2,3 112:25	66:12,15 69:6	vice 2:4 7:20	52:11 91:18
113:2 115:18	69:20 148:15	vicki 2:18 9:12	140:10 143:16
115:24 116:25	157:14	11:20 25:13	147:19 161:7
118:14 123:13	values 22:7	27:11 33:21	172:12 174:7
123:15 139:7	60:15	37:19 78:3	voices 6:23 7:4
142:5 152:22		141:3	106:22 147:6
154:23 156:9	valuing 70:9	vicky 141:17	163:23 172:12
157:7 158:7	vanishing	vietnam 92:9	volume 117:1
162:16,22	125:25	view 59:10	volunteer 97:7
used 79:4 82:16	varies 22:9	61:14,15 87:12	volunteered
100:12 105:25	variety 26:18	views 48:15	162:17
114:13 117:25	various 26:17	52:24 112:18	vote 41:10
165:16	94:8 163:3	village 27:19	47:10 60:12
useful 143:15	vaughan 3:9	89:2 151:18	66:14 142:2
usefulness	117:9 121:13	violence 131:20	145:8 155:18
143:17	121:14,15,16	virtual 159:13	155:22 164:8
uses 26:7	121:19 127:13	161:18 167:8	169:11
using 25:10	127:20 128:18	virtually 9:10	voted 35:16
U		•	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	130:19		
28:24 73:16,17 80:12 90:18,19	128:21 129:16 130:19	10:2,3 86:13 167:3	150:15

[voters - week]

			1
voters 7:2	64:24,25 65:20	wants 53:25	29:14 42:15,17
58:10 89:24	67:11,12 71:11	91:1 105:2	42:19 44:13,17
142:2,2 169:11	71:16 72:17	118:7 163:25	44:19 50:21
votes 7:18	76:16 77:21	169:14	53:5 61:7 79:5
41:16 152:16	78:14 80:19	war 87:2 92:9	92:14 95:1
voting 128:20	85:7 86:19,21	ward 122:5	96:14 124:10
133:14 142:10	88:15 89:21	washington	128:7 130:13
142:13 164:13	90:12 106:18	152:4	134:15
vulnerable	108:11,22	watch 153:19	we've 7:6 9:6
93:5 96:8	111:25 115:1	153:20,23,24	16:21 25:9
W	119:17 121:22	water 170:19	28:24 29:11,13
w 138:9	127:2,5 131:4	170:24	30:19,20 33:13
wage 101:9,22	133:3 139:19	waterfront	36:11 38:2
102:3 115:3	141:1 143:22	20:25	43:11 45:1
wages 122:9	143:24,24	waves 168:18	54:6 55:12
wages 122.9 wait 23:7 70:11	148:9 149:17	way 12:23	71:7 76:8
walt 23.7 70.11 walk 157:20	149:20 150:1	13:24 15:19	77:22 84:19
walking 27:20	151:24 154:8	16:4 20:15	100:9 122:13
27:23	154:19 157:8	23:7 26:22	131:3 141:20
walkowski	158:16 163:5	29:15 33:11,24	152:14,23
178:2,15	164:10 166:14	36:18 43:19	160:13 167:21
want 11:4	169:10 171:6,7	44:10 45:25,25	168:8,19
14:24 15:24	173:21 174:7	46:19 53:5	170:18
17:12 18:3	174:22 176:8	62:13,14 63:6	weaken 117:18
22:12 27:10	wanted 73:23	81:5 85:8	wealth 89:14
28:11,15,17	105:1,2 119:18	88:19 93:11,23	130:6
34:10 36:24	119:21,21	95:22 107:12	wealthier 73:3
47:16,17 48:3	127:9,16 130:9	115:12 127:18	124:6 160:17
48:21 51:21	130:18 142:24	130:1 132:8	wealthiest 98:5
53:23 54:20	147:19 148:21	136:7,9,12,16	weather 126:5
55:1,21 56:20	149:23 151:8	137:20 140:5	website 10:24
58:13,22 61:21	161:7	170:2	68:7
62:5 63:1 64:4	wanting 95:21	ways 6:1 17:14	week 78:22
02.3 05.1 04.4	_	19:21 27:24	92:7 146:20

1 1 1 2 2 2 5		•••	01.11.00.00
weeks 170:25	wherewithal	wishes 7:11	91:11 98:22
weight 47:14	76:4	10:2	100:5 109:16
weinberg 3:14	white 2:13 7:25	witness 177:4	120:19,24
108:21 110:10	32:11,13 42:7	witnessed	128:25 132:19
111:21,23,24	51:6 88:3 96:3	160:2	141:16,21,25
114:20,21,23	125:11 157:1	woelfling 3:12	147:1 155:9
115:10,15	164:4,5 167:20	127:3 133:18	166:17
116:2,6,7,12	whiter 42:11	133:19,22,23	worked 134:7
weisbrod 2:7	124:5 160:17	136:5,17 137:7	170:7
7:25 22:12	whitest 98:5	137:25	workers 101:7
23:17 58:21	who've 87:13	woman 172:22	101:13 110:14
60:18,23 61:2	wide 59:10	women 117:12	110:17,17
61:6 62:19	61:14 62:10	154:5,6	117:23 118:1
welcome 5:2	98:21 146:2	won 110:25	118:17 142:7
24:7 37:6,7,9	154:15	118:16	workforce 83:3
38:11 86:14	wield 40:4	wonder 58:23	working 5:16
91:3 159:3	williams 2:19	107:6	12:1 24:14
167:5	9:13 24:3,8,11	wonderful	25:3 36:14
wellbeing	29:13 30:24	107:25	54:19 60:22
126:6	31:19,22 32:4	wondering	72:18 78:21
went 73:7	32:7,12,23	91:7 136:11	81:4 85:23
77:23 88:8	34:9 35:23	woodworks	88:18 100:1
97:17 104:22	38:8	153:12	118:11 120:16
126:7	williamsburg	word 84:24	132:10,22
west 27:19	20:25	words 90:6	145:3 157:6
westerdahl 4:7	willing 14:15	113:13 164:12	164:13
169:18,20,23	18:7,8 114:5	work 6:2,11,24	works 9:18
169:24 172:3	willingness	8:15,25,25	60:4 92:19
172:11	114:2	34:16 47:23	134:6
whack 36:15	win 141:11,11	52:1 58:4	world 54:14
59:20	146:13,13	65:22 66:15,16	58:12 59:20
whatever's	wind 113:23	68:23 69:11,12	worried 63:11
143:14	wish 16:3	69:15 78:5,8	worry 18:9
	112:23	88:16 89:18	22:22 45:9

			1
worse 35:18	37:13,13,14,22	26:7 27:11	york's 31:10
worth 89:2	38:18 57:20	28:20 33:23	yorker 99:19
118:9 130:10	73:13 87:2	55:3,13,15	169:1
wow 107:1	89:9 122:10	56:3 57:12	yorkers 8:10,12
wrap 113:25	125:7 131:22	58:3 66:18	8:13 24:23
writing 10:25	139:14 144:20	70:5 73:12	25:11,11 28:22
87:1 161:24	144:20 172:21	87:7,8,15,24	58:18 73:21
written 10:19	years 5:13,19	88:20 89:6,12	88:2,2 101:18
14:21 119:12	6:14 17:6,17	89:22 90:6,8	112:12 118:11
wrote 141:15	20:11 23:6,7,9	90:21 91:1	121:20 131:5
wylde 2:14 8:1	25:10 34:23	92:19 94:6,13	132:5 133:6
X	35:19 36:5	94:18 95:18	142:3 146:1
x 138:9	45:13,14 54:24	97:6 101:3,19	166:21
	58:4 59:23	104:10 106:18	young 122:5
У	66:23 71:10,11	107:24 110:18	youth 57:22
y'all 165:7	87:11 105:1	110:23 111:6	yvonne 3:10
yard 80:7	118:15 122:13	112:7 113:9,10	117:9 121:14
yeah 18:13	124:17 125:8,9	117:12,14	124:15
20:15 22:12	126:18 131:16	118:17 121:17	Z
30:22 31:14,15	131:18 134:3	121:19,22	zone 21:22 74:4
33:19 60:18	135:1,8,9	122:4 123:9,19	83:25
61:5,5,10 68:3	144:21 149:4	124:21 126:4	zoned 21:23
68:3 70:24	155:7 160:8	139:20 140:20	63:25 172:19
71:3 78:17	165:20 166:13	141:4 144:18	zones 54:5
79:16 121:9	173:21,25,25	146:5,11,15	73:19 76:14,23
127:9 128:18	174:10 175:17	147:3,6,22	zoning 28:21
128:21 129:16	yep 31:22	148:24 149:9	68:12 73:14
149:5,15,23	yesterday	150:1 152:4	74:2,5 80:8
150:2,13	97:18	154:14,16	89:22 107:15
151:12 152:7	york 1:11 5:9	159:22 160:9,9	114:12 137:13
154:4 159:10	5:12,16,23 6:2	161:11 167:8	146:21
165:3	6:12 8:18 12:4	167:13,25	zonings 77:19
year 17:22	15:4 16:13	168:18 176:14	2011111go //.17
24:13 34:21	24:14 25:8	177:19	

[zoom - zoom]

zoom 10:7